Setting the Scene for the WLJ Special Edition on Leisure Management

John R. Tower, PhD
Senior Lecturer, Recreation and Sport Management
Victoria University
Phone +61 3 9919 4741
John.Tower@vu.edu.au
www.vu.edu.au

Jo An M. Zimmermann, Ph.D., CPRP
Associate Professor, Recreational Administration
Department of Health & Human Performance
Texas State University
San Marcos, TX 78666 USA
Phone 1 512 245 1973

Jz15@txstate.edu
www.txstate.edu

Abstract

This article sets the scene for the range of issues that could be addressed in the *WLJ* special edition on leisure management. The management and delivery of leisure programs and services is the foundation of community leisure. Leisure management textbooks provide guidelines and direction for leisure program delivery but there is limited research about how leisure programs and services are delivered and evaluated. This paper provides a summary of a range of considerations about leisure management and community leisure programs and services. After decades of leisure services delivery, there is still a need for public leisure managers to provide a rationale for why they deliver services. Five legitimations based on health, socialisation, economic impact, community development and national identity provide a further consideration for what needs to be better understood about leisure management.

Key Words

Leisure management, recreation management, community leisure programs

Purpose

This article is designed to set the scene for the range of issues that we would like to address in the World Leisure Journal (*WLJ*) special edition on Leisure Management. The overall aim of the edition is to provide insights and innovations about the latest trends being used in the management of community leisure services, whether government, non-profit or commercial enterprises, to address the challenge of delivering better programs, services and facilities while working within the constraints of the 21st century (i.e. shrinking budgets, increased risk management issues, gender and diversity, the demand for transparency). The mission of World Leisure Organization (WLO) is "leisure, when properly conceived, is a central force for enhancing the human condition" (World Leisure Organisation, 2009). If we use this as a guide, then both the capacity for recreation, sport, tourism, and events to improve quality of life as well as how they do so should be explored and documented. The *WLJ* special edition in Leisure Management is seeking articles that will explain how the WLO mission is being achieved.

Leisure Management

The use of the term leisure management in the *WLJ* Special Edition is a specific decision to adopt a term that is inclusive of a range of recreation, sport, tourism and events management

issues. While this issue is focused on 'Leisure Management' we want to ensure that we don't turn away potential authors who are working in or conducting research on a wide variety of management issues regardless of whether they are framing their work as leisure, recreation, tourism, community sport or events. From on-line conversations happening in the United States, it would appear that the term leisure is used most often by academics but rarely by practitioners (Academy of Leisure Sciences Listserve, ALSNET). Recreation may be more relevant for some of the public authorities that administer the range of leisure services because leisure is seen as too soft / discretionary whereas recreation may have a more positive image (ALSNET, 2015). The opposite may or may not be true in other parts of the world. For instance, Parks and Leisure Australia is one of the main professional associations in Australia. As this is an international journal we are hopeful of exploring many viewpoints.

Some the recent sport management books have included the term leisure because of its capacity to include sport, leisure, health, tourism and events (Weed, 2014). It is the use of the term leisure that provides the opportunity to be inclusive. Recreation often captures the essence of what leisure management is about but even then the ideas of leisure are used to capture the breadth of what needs to be considered. Shivers and Halper (2012), whose book is titled *Strategic Recreation Management*, acknowledge it is about leisure in the sense that the profession has a role to educate people about the worthy use of leisure and to provide "the spaces, places, personnel and opportunities for participation in safe, enjoyable, beneficial and satisfying activities" (p. 3).

One of the key elements of leisure management is the mixed economy of leisure where services are provided by government, non-profit organisations, commercial groups and the household (Veal, Darcy & Lynch, 2013). This mixed economy often requires organisations from the three sectors (not including the household) to collaborate to deliver services at a local, state, national and international level. Although the mixed economy of leisure exists, much of what is encompassed by leisure management resides in the public sector. It is public sector management with the policy implications of governments and political ideologies that will impact on the nature of how leisure services are delivered. It is this interplay of the mixed economy of leisure with a strong base in public management that gives much of leisure management its character. In Australia it was Bloomfield's (1974) report that set the foundation for the development of recreation at a national level. Government plays an integral role in the delivery of leisure services so we are hopeful that articles will be provided

which explore the nexus of the mixed economy of leisure or will reinforce the crucial role of public management in the delivery of leisure services.

Looking at the earliest textbook we could locate, The Organization and Administration of Playgrounds and Recreation (1938) by Jay B. Nash, and comparing it to newer management textbooks (Hilete & Shivers, 1978; Kraus & Curtis, 2000; Edginton et.al., 2008; Hurd, Barcelona & Meldrum, 2008; Shivers & Halper, 2012; Weed, 2014) we can see a shift in the types of topics being addressed. The Nash book includes chapters about the objectives of play and recreation, organising a recreation department, programs for specific age groups as well as seasons and a variety of facility type chapters (i.e. community centres, swimming pools and playgrounds). When Edginton (1997) reviewed the literature relating to the management of park and recreation organisations he indicated that it emerged early in the 1900's. The early literature focused on topics such as "leadership, organisation and finance" (Edginton, 1997, p. 30). The more recent publication by Edginton, Hudson, Lankford and Larson (2015) updates this focus to include community planning, accountability, building partnerships, appreciating diversity in the community and the workplace. The nature of what needs to be considered by leisure managers is certainly expanding. George Torkildsen's Leisure and Recreation Management (1983) was a popular text in the UK, Australia and around the world as a guide for the management of leisure and recreation services. The first edition of this book focused on the planning, delivery, management and control of leisure and recreation services (Torkildsen, 1983). By the sixth edition of his book the focus had expanded to include more applied management information about quality and performance management, financial considerations, legal requirements and the role of enterprise (Taylor, 2011). The two examples from Torkildsen and Edginton, et al. (2015) provide an indication of the breadth of topics that warrant attention in the leisure management field. There is an assumption that most of recreation management will function in the public sector (Shivers & Halper, 2012) but there is acknowledgement that they need to step beyond the public sector to be "an entrepreneur who operates in a public sector environment' (Howard & Crompton, 1980, p. 1). These topics illustrate the breadth of information with which the leisure manager must be familiar. In many other industries, they would hire specially trained people to cover each of those functions. While contemporary organisations are beginning to do so with specific responsibilities, in many cases it still all rests on the leisure manager.

Community leisure programs, services and facilities

Nash (1938) suggested that the role of the recreation executive is one who "assigns responsibility, gives direction, smooths out differences, removes obstacles, all preliminary to putting into effect policies and actually getting things done" (p.186). This call for papers is seeking research and applications of leisure management practices that focus on community / non-profit / government leisure services. One of the challenges of delivering leisure services in this setting is the juggling of commercial outcomes with community service expectations. Can leisure managers achieve the balance of these competing goals? Much of the current research in leisure focuses on the commercial sector rather than the community/non-profit/government. A review of leisure research provides some helpful insights about what has been reported.

In the 1970s and 1980s, the focus was contemporary management practices and how they could/should be applied in the <u>leisure recreation</u> industry. More recently, there has been a shift towards concentrating on specific aspects of management. For example:

- Economics, marketing and the use of marketing tools (Bright, 2000; Crompton, 2015; Novatorov & Crompton, 2001; Hodgkinson, Hughes and Hughes, 2012; Hu and Davis, 2010; Kyle & Mowen, 2005; Kyle et. al., 2006; Reis, Thompson-Carr & Lovelock, 2012);
- Community infrastructure (McShane, 2006);
- Fees (Crompton, 2010; More, 1999; Winter, Palucki & Burkhardt, 1999);
- Quality control (Tawsw & Keogh, 1998);
- Benchmarking and the use of performance measurement (Liang, 2005; Zimmermann, 2003; Zimmermann & Stevens, 2006);
- Outsourcing (Ravenscroft, 1998; Jang, 2006; Edgington & Jiang, 2000; Yough, 2000);
- Scheduling of facilities and fields (Morris, 1998);
- The use of partnerships to provide programs and services as well as partnerships
 designed with the intention of improving health outcomes (Leichty et.al., 2014; Payne
 et.al., 2013; Sheehan, 2015; Tower, Jago & Deery, 2006; Tower, Jago & Deery, 2010;
 Tower, McDonald & Stewart, 2014);
- Professionalism and competencies needed for success in the recreation industry as well as the role of an administrator (Auld, 1996; Hurd & McLean, 2004; Hurd, 2005; Knapp & McLean, 2003; McNamee, Sheridan & Buswell, 2001a; McNamee,

Sheridan & Buswell, 2001b; Patterson & Gough, 2003; Zimmermann, Dupree & Hodges, 2014; Zimmermann & Payne, 2012; Zimmermann & Allen, 2009);

- Human resource management was a focus in a special edition of the Journal Park and Recreation Administration Fin May 2015. The Journal of Park and Recreation Administration editors of the had a special edition focused on Human Resource Management where the editors bemoaned the lack of research currently being done in this areathis area (Mulvaney and Hurd, 2015);
- Evaluation and research related to camps, camping and service for specific population groups has been made by Tthe American Camp Association has been making great strides in evaluation and research related to camps, camping and serving specific population groups (i.e. Browne, Jameson & Bialeschki, 2015; Gillard, Buzuvis & Bialeschki, 2014);
- African policies and strategies There is at least one interesting article out of Africa on
 policies and strategies for providing recreation services (Mogajane, et.al., 2014);
- The impact of events on both natural resources and local economies (Manning, 2014; Newsome, Lacroix and Pickering, 2011; Trendafilova and Waller, 2011);
- The concept of shared spaces to benefit communities (Young et.al., 2014); and
- The idea of extraordinary experiences (Jeffries & Lepp, 2012).

A review of recent leisure journals demonstrates the need for more publications in the area of leisure management. Edginton, Bandhidi, Jalloh, Dieser, Xiafei and Yub (2014) reported that the *World Leisure Journal* has focused on leisure management / programming for 7.3% of journal topics between 2000 and 2012. Weed (2014) did not provide such a detailed analysis but his review of recent volumes of three key sport management journals identified that the *Journal of Sport Management (JSM)* focused on North American professional sport and related matters such as stadium naming rights; the *European Sport Management Quarterly (ESMQ)* included sport tourism, lifestyle sports, events and sport participation; and *Sport Management Review* provides a balance between the professional sport of *JSM* and the wider focus of *ESMQ*. This type of detailed analysis is not available from other leisure related journals so a review of six key leisure journals was conducted by inspecting the tables of contents of a recent volume of the journals. Table 1 provides a summary of the journal and number of titles that relate to leisure management articles. Initially, the titles in each issue were reviewed. If the title indicated the potential for a focus on leisure management issues

Formatted: Font: Italic

then the abstract was reviewed. Articles that had a leisure management focus mentioned in the abstract were included in this tally. The three journals with the greatest focus on leisure management in the past two years are the *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, due primarily to the issue focused on human resource management, the *Journal of Leisure Research* followed by *Leisure Sciences*. It is worth noting that the nature of these articles had limited focus on community / non-profit and government services with the exception of parks related research.

Table 1. Leisure journal's leisure management articles

_	_		
Journal	Year /	Number of leisure	% of LM articles
	Volume	management	
		related articles	
Annals of Leisure	2014 / 1 <mark>7</mark> 4	2	8%
Research	2015 / 18	3	14%
Journal of Leisure	2014 / 46	11	28%
Research	2015 / 47	0	0%
Journal of Park and	2014 / 32	2	7%
Recreation Administration	2015 / 33	8	30%
Leisure Sciences	2014 / 36	4	14%
	2015 / 37	0	0%
Leisure Studies	2014 / 33	1	3%
	2015 / 34	2	6%
World Leisure Journal	2014 / 56	3	18%
	2015 / 57	0	0%

Often it is a focus on leisure programs, services and facilities designed to support local participation that provide the substance of community leisure but there is limited research that documents the nature of how these types of programs, services and facilities are delivered and managed. Leisure management is the fa fundamental foundation required to deliver local leisure services but this analysis shows that a more concentrated effort is warranted via the *WLJ* special edition on leisure management.

Legitimacy of public authorities involved in leisure services

One final point to consider, is the argument posed by some that recreation and sport services and programs should not be provided by the public sector. They would argue that such services are a private good rather than either a public or merit good. Weed (2014) and Chalip (2014) identify five5 legitimations for why public authorities are involved in sport and leisure services. These legitimations provide additional considerations that could/should be addressed in the special edition:

- 1. Health Seport and recreation are fundamental contributors to physical activity but there is little that seems to be progressing to the public health agenda. There is some evidence to suggest that some local government local authorities are not even willing to have their leisure services in the public health conversation. A key to remember is that leisure management will contribute to public health but it is in the community participation sector. It is not about sport business & spectators!
- 2. Salubrious socialisation Building self-esteem, promoting moral development and teaching life skills are often attributed to sport and leisure services (Chalip, 2014). How well are leisure programs and services producing these outcomes? Are we producing research to demonstrate the value of community leisure programs achieving these key social outcomes?
- 3. Economic development <u>T</u>this tends to be mostly focused on the wonky economic impact of professional sport but there is evidence that community leisure is contributing to the local community by creating jobs, and serving the needs of local residents. Tower, McDonald and Stewart (2014) demonstrated that local aquatic and recreation centres were economically significant in their local communities by employing local residents and using local businesses while they served the needs of mostly local residents and schools. We need more about these situations documented.
- 4. Community development How well are we documenting the capacity for community leisure services to contribute to social capital? Forsell (2014) explained how local recreation and sport clubs are producing social capital but other community settings are only producing neutral levels of social capital (Tower, McDonald & Stewart, 2014). More about community leisure programs' capacity to develop communities is needed.
- National identity Wwe see the success of gold medals, community pride and
 national identity (Chalip, 2014) but there is little that documents the impact of
 community leisure on a national identity. Initiatives such as Bhutan's gross happiness
 index (Ura, Alkire, Zangmo, Wangdi, (2012) and Canada's index of wellbeing

(Canadian Index of Wellbeing, 2014) have been in place for some time Bbut we know little about how these policy and research initiatives impact on countries with the highest levels of physical activity use that participation as well as healthy lifestyles to build national identity and pride. Further, is there a correlation between community pride and national identity and positive community leisure programs?

Call for papers

This article has raised a number of issues that warrant further research and discussion to enhance our understanding of how leisure management enhances community's quality of life. Papers may cover a broad range of topics and perspectives and include scholarly and empirical contributions from an international range of authors and contexts. Empirical research (both qualitative and quantitative), systematic reviews, scholarly descriptions, brief reports, and book reviews are invited.

Appropriate topics for this issue include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Conceptual and theoretical issues relating to the roles and aims of leisure management;
- Practical issues relating to leisure management and its implementation in different populations, contexts, and settings;
- Local, regional, and global trends and developments, and their impact on leisure management;
- Perceptions of and attitudes towards leisure management;
- Leisure management in relation to different patterns of leisure behaviour and consumption;
- Models, programs, curricula, and operational frameworks of leisure management education and their implications for individuals, communities, and societies;
- Approaches and strategies for leisure management;
- Training and preparation of leisure management professionals; and
- Policy and advocacy issues pertaining to leisure management.

Details about the submission of abstracts (due 1 April 2016) and final papers (due 10 July, 2016) are provided in *WLJ* and at the WLO website – <u>www.worldleisure.com</u>

References

Academy of Leisure Sciences <ALSNET@LISTSERV.UGA.EDU>

- Auld, C. (1996). Management professionalism and the leisure industry? *Social Alternatives*, 15(2), 11-14.
- Bloomfield, J. (1974). *The Role, Scope and Development of Recreation in Australia*. Canberra. Department of Tourism and Recreation.
- Bright, A.D. (2000). The role of social marketing in leisure and recreation management. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 32(1), 12-17.
- Browne, L.P., Jameson, M. & Bialeschki, M.D. (2015). Engaging camp staff in continuous program improvement. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 33 (1) 40-56.
- Canadian Index of Wellbeing. (2014). *How are Ontarians Really Doing?* A Provincial Report on Ontario Wellbeing. Waterloo, ON: Canadian Index of Wellbeing and University of Waterloo.
- Chalip (2014). Toward a distinctive sport management discipline. In Mike Weed (Ed.), *Sport and Leisure Management*. London: Sage.
- Crompton, J.L. (2010). Eleven Strategies for reducing negative responses to price increases for public park and recreation services. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 28 (3) 114-146.
- Crompton, J.L. (2015). Complementing Scott: Justifying discounts for low-income groups through an economic lens. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 33 (1) 1-15.
- Edginton, C.R. (1997). Managing Leisure Services: A new ecology of leadership toward the year 2000. *JOPERD- The Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 68(8), 29-31.
- Edginton, C.R. & Jiang, J. (2000). Outsourcing. *JOPERD- The Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 71(4), 46-49.
- Edginton, C.R., Banhidi, M., Jalloh, A., Dieser, R.B., Xiefei, N. & Yub, D. (2014). A content analysis of the World Leisure Journal: 1958–2012. *World Leisure Journal*, *56* (3), p. 185-203.
- Edginton, C. R., Hudson, S.D., Lankford, S.V. & Larsen, D. (2008). *Managing Recreation, Parks, and Leisure Services: An Introduction, 3rd Ed.* Urbana, Ill. Sagamore Publishing.
- Edginton, C.R., Hudson, S., Lankford, S. & Larsen, D. (2015). *Managing Recreation, Parks, and Leisure Services: An Introduction, 4th Ed.* Urbana, Ill. Sagamore Publishing.

Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0 cm, Hanging: 0.95 cm, Line spacing: 1.5 lines, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

- Forsell, T. (2014) *Measurement of Social Capital in Sport and Recreation Clubs in Victoria*. Unpublished PhD thesis. Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia.
- Gillard, A., Buzuvis, E.E. & Bialeschki, M.D. (2014). Supporting transgender and gender nonconforming youth at summer camp. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 32 (3), 92-105.
- Hjelte, G., & Shivers, J.S. (1978). *Public administration of recreational services*. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger.
- Hodgkinson, I.R., Hughes, P. & Hughes, M. (2012). Re-examining the deployment of market orientation in the public leisure sector. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 28, (11-12) 1249-1269.
- Howard, D.R. & Crompton, J. (1980). Financing, Managing and Marketing Recreation and Park Resources. Dubuque Iowa. Wm. C. Brown.
- Hu, W. & Davis, A. (2010). Desert recreation: Economic values of management and service strategies. Applied Economics Letters, 17, 747-751.
- Hurd, A.R., & McLean, D.D. (2004) An analysis of the perceived competencies of CEOs in public park and recreation agencies. *Managing Leisure*, 9(2), 96-110.
- Hurd, A.R. (2005) Competency development for entry level public parks and recreation professionals. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 23(3), 45-62.
- Hurd, A.R., Barcelona, R.J. & Meldrum, J.T. (2008). *Leisure Services Management*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.
- Jang, H.S. (2006). Contracting out parks and recreation services: Correction for selection bias using a Heckman selection model. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 29(10-11), 799-818.
- Jeffries, K. & Lepp, A. (2012). An investigation of extraordinary experiences. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 30 (3) 37-51.
- Knapp, J. & McLean, D. (2003). The passion for public service. *Parks & Recreation*, 38(5), 24-30.
- Kraus, R.G. & Curtis, J.E. (2000). Creative Management in Recreation, Parks, and Leisure Services, 6th Ed. Boston. McGraw Hill.
- Kyle, G.T. & Mowen, A.J. (2005). An examination of the leisure involvement agency commitment relationship. *Journal of Leisure Research*, *37*(3), 342-363.
- Kyle, G.T., Mowen, A.J., Absher, J.D. & Havitz, M.E. (2006). Commitment to public leisure service providers: A conceptual and psychometric analysis. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 38(1), 78-103.

- Leichty, T., Mowen, A.J., Payne, L.L., Henderson, K.A., Bocarro, J.N. & Bruton, C. (2014). Public park and recreation managers' experience with health partnerships. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 32(2) 11-27.
- Liang, Y. (2005). An essential management tool. Parks & Recreation, 40(12), 22-29.
- Manning, R, (2014). Research to guide management of outdoor recreation and tourism in parks and protected areas. *Koedoe* 56(2), Art. #1159, 7 pages. http://dx.doi.org/10.4102/koedoe.v56i2.1159
- Mcnamee, M.J., Sheridan, H. & Buswell, J. (2001a.) Paternalism, professionalism and public sector leisure service provision: The boundaries of a leisure profession. *Leisure Studies*, 19, 199-209.
- Mcnamee, M.J., Sheridan, H. & Buswell, J. (2001b). The limitations of utilitarianism as a professional ethic in public sector leisure policy and provision. *Leisure Studies*, 20, 173-197.
- McShane, I. (2006). Social value and the management of community infrastructure. Australian Journal of Public Administration, 65(4) 82-96. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-8500.2006.00506a.x
- Mogajane, V.S, Meyer, C., Toriola, A.L., Amusa, L.O. & Monyeki, M.A., (2013). The availability of recreation policies and strategies for the provision of recreation service delivery in the North West Province, South Africa. *African Journal for Physical, Health Education, Recreation and Dance*, 20(1), 24-39.
- More, T.A. (1999). A functionalist approach to user fees. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 31(3), 227-244.
- Morris, P. (1998). Working together to create scheduling harmony. *Parks & Recreation*, 33(6), 77-79.
- Mulvaney, M. & Hurd, A. (2015). Human resource management in parks and recreation. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 33 (1) 16-19.
- Nash, J.B. (1938). *The organization and administration of playgrounds and recreation*. New York: A.S. Barnes.
- Newsome, D., Lacroix, C. and Pickering, C. (2011). Adventure racing events in Australia: Context, assessment and implications for protected area management. *Australian Geographer*, 42 (4) 403-418.
- Novatorov, E.V. & Crompton, J.L. (2001). A revised conceptualization of marketing in the context of public leisure services. *Journal of Leisure Research*, *33*(2), 160-186.

- Patterson, C. & Gough, R. (2003). *Teams, compulsory competitive tendering and employee* burnout (Working Papers Series 23/2003). Melbourne, Australia: Victoria University, School of Management.
- Payne, L.L., Zimmermann, J.M., Mowen, A.J., Orsega-Smith, E., & Godbey, G.C. (2013).
 Community size as a factor in health partnerships in community parks and recreation,
 2007. Preventing Chronic Disease 10:120238. doi:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.5888/pcd10.120238.
- Ravenscroft, N. (1998). The changing regulation of public leisure provision. *Leisure Studies*, 17(2), 138-154.
- Reis, A.C., Thompson-Carr, A. & Lovelock, B. (2012). Parks and families: Addressing management facilitators and constraints to outdoor recreation participation. *Annals of Leisure Research*, 15 (4) 315-334.
- Sheehan, D. (2015). Instructor behaviour and youth physical activity in recreation center programs: The role of management on improving outcomes. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 33 (3) 1-16.
- Shivers, J. & Halper, J. (2012). Strategic Recreation Management. London. Routledge.
- Tawse, E.L. & Keogh, W. (1998). Quality in the leisure industry: An investigation. *Total Quality Management*, 9(4&5), S219-S222.
- Taylor, P (ED). (2011). *Torkildsen's Sport and Leisure Management 6th Edition*. Milton Park, UK. Routledge.
- Trendafilova, S.A. & Waller, S.N. (2011). Assessing the ecological impact due to disc golf. International Journal of Sport Management, Recreation and Tourism, 8, 35-64.
- Torkildsen, G. (1983). Leisure and Recreation Management. London. E & F. N. Spon.
- Tower, J., Jago, L., and Deery, M. (2006) Relationship marketing and partnerships in not-for-profit sport in Australia. *Journal of Sport Marketing*. 15 (3): 167 180.
- Tower, J., Jago, L. & Deery, M. (2010). Strategies for managing partnerships in community sport and recreation services. Poster presentation at the 2010 National Recreation and Park Association Congress, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA.
- Tower, J., McDonald, K. & Stewart, B. (2014). *Community Benefits of Victorian Aquatic and Recreation Centres*. Victoria University. Melbourne: Victoria University.
- <u>Ura, K., Alkire, S., Zangmo, T, & Wangdi, K. (2012). A Short Guide to Gross National Happiness Index.</u> Thimphu, Bhutan: The Centre for Bhutan Studies.
- Veal, AJ, Darcy, S, & Lynch, R. (2013). *Australian Leisure 4th Edition*. Frenchs Forest, NSW: Pearson.

- Weed, M. (2014). Sport and Leisure Management. London. Sage
- Winter, P.L., Palucki, L.J. & Burkhardt, R.L. (1999). Anticipated responses to a fee program: The key is trust. Journal of Leisure Research, *31*(3), 207-226.
- World Leisure Organization, (2009). *Strategic Plan 2009 2014*. World Leisure Organisation. Retrieved 1 December 2015 from http://www.worldleisure.org/template.php?id=111
- Youhn, S. (2000). Good leases gone bad: Who is running your golf course? *Parks & Recreation*, 35(6), 78-83.
- Young, D.R., Spengler, J.D., Frost, N.' Everson, K.R., Vincent, J.M. & Whitsel, L. (2014). Promoting physical activity through the shared use of school recreational spaces: A policy statement from the American Heart Association. *American Journal of Public Health*, 104 (9), 1583-1588.
- Zimmermann, J.M. (2003). The use of performance measurement in the United States: A case study of the City of Central, South Carolina recreation department. <u>Australian Parks & Leisure</u>, 6 (4) 37, 43.
- Zimmermann, J.M. & Allen, L.R. (2009). Public Recreation Administration: An Examination of the Perceived Roles of Local Recreation Administrators. *Administration* & Society, 41(4), 470-502. DOI: 10.1177/0095399709334648.
- Zimmermann, J.M., Dupree, J., & Hodges, J.S. (2014). The delivery of recreation programs: Students gain entry level management skills through service learning. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 14 (4) 144 163. doi: 10.14434/josotl.v14i4.4761.
- Zimmermann, J.M., & Payne, E.M. (2012). A proposed model of administrative roles in recreation management. *World Leisure Journal*, 54 (2) 160-179. doi:10.1080/04419057.2012.678504.
- Zimmermann, J.M., & Stevens, B.W. (2006). The use of performance measurement in nonprofits of South Carolina: Impacts on management and funding. Nonprofit Management & Leadership, 16 (3) 315-327.