Journal of Visualized Experiments

www.jove.com

### Video Article RNAi Trigger Delivery into Anopheles gambiae Pupae

Kimberly Regna<sup>1</sup>, Rachel M. Harrison<sup>1</sup>, Shannon A. Heyse<sup>1</sup>, Thomas C. Chiles<sup>1</sup>, Kristin Michel<sup>2</sup>, Marc A. T. Muskavitch<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biology Department, Boston College

<sup>2</sup>Division of Biology, Kansas State University

<sup>3</sup>Discovery Research, Biogen

Correspondence to: Marc A. T. Muskavitch at marc.muskavitch@biogen.com

URL: http://www.jove.com/video/53738 DOI: doi:10.3791/53738

Keywords: Infection, Issue 109, Mosquito, pupa, malaria, gambiae, vector, injection, dsRNA, RNA interference, RNAi, reverse genetics, functional genomics

#### Date Published: 3/8/2016

Citation: Regna, K., Harrison, R.M., Heyse, S.A., Chiles, T.C., Michel, K., Muskavitch, M.A.T. RNAi Trigger Delivery into Anopheles gambiae Pupae. J. Vis. Exp. (109), e53738, doi:10.3791/53738 (2016).

### Abstract

RNA interference (RNAi), a naturally occurring phenomenon in eukaryotic organisms, is an extremely valuable tool that can be utilized in the laboratory for functional genomic studies. The ability to knockdown individual genes selectively via this reverse genetic technique has allowed many researchers to rapidly uncover the biological roles of numerous genes within many organisms, by evaluation of loss-of-function phenotypes. In the major human malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae*, the predominant method used to reduce the function of targeted genes involves injection of double-stranded (dsRNA) into the hemocoel of the adult mosquito. While this method has been successful, gene knockdown in adults excludes the functional assessment of genes that are expressed and potentially play roles during pre-adult stages, as well as genes that are expressed in limited numbers of cells in adult mosquitoes. We describe a method for the injection of *Serine Protease Inhibitor 2 (SRPN2)* dsRNA during the early pupal stage and validate SRPN2 protein knockdown by observing decreased target protein levels and the formation of melanotic pseudo-tumors in *SRPN2* knockdown adult mosquitoes. This evident phenotype has been described previously for adult stage knockdown of *SRPN2* function, and we have recapitulated this adult phenotype by *SRPN2* knockdown initiated during pupal development. When used in conjunction with a dye-labeled dsRNA solution, this technique enables easy visualization by simple light microscopy of injection quality and distribution of dsRNA in the hemocoel.

#### Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at http://www.jove.com/video/53738/

#### Introduction

Malaria is a mosquito-borne infectious disease that affects many millions of individuals every year. The World Health Organization (WHO) reports that in 2013 there were approximately 584,000 deaths due to malaria, 78 percent of which occurred in children under the age of five years<sup>1</sup>. The pathogens that cause human malaria are apicomplexan parasites within the genus *Plasmodium* and are transmitted between their human hosts by female *Anopheles* mosquitoes. Transmission occurs when the mosquito takes a blood meal from an individual who is infected, and then deposits infective parasites into an uninfected individual in a subsequent blood meal. Within the genus *Anopheles*, *Anopheles gambiae* is the species with the greatest vectorial capacity and is the most prominent malaria vector in sub-Saharan Africa<sup>1-3</sup>.

Currently, mosquito vector control by deployment of insecticides continues to be the major method employed to reduce the burden of human malaria. Although the use of insecticides since the 1960s has proven to be extremely successful, the rise of insecticide resistance has driven a need for development of novel insecticides and alternative vector control strategies<sup>4-7</sup>. During 2010, a total of 49 of 63 countries reporting to the WHO indicated the occurrence of insecticide resistance in malaria vectors<sup>1</sup>. Additionally, the IR Mapper tool, which utilizes peer-reviewed literature to assess resistance data in Afrotropical regions, reports that between 2001 and 2012 there were 46% and 27% increases in resistance to pyrethroids and dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), respectively<sup>7</sup>.

RNA interference (RNAi) was identified in the early 1990s as a technique that could be employed to inactivate genes in the Petunia plant<sup>8,9</sup> and in the fungus *Neurospora crassa*<sup>9,10</sup>. Shortly thereafter, in 1998, RNAi was first documented in *Caenorhabditis elegans* as a means of reducing gene expression in an animal model by introduction of antisense or double-strand RNA (dsRNA) via injection or feeding methods<sup>9,11</sup>. Since its discovery, RNAi has revolutionized the pursuit of functional genomics by allowing researchers to utilize reverse genetics to rapidly investigate the functional roles of genes of interest via a highly selective post-transcriptional gene silencing mechanism. In some organisms, such as *Drosophila melanogaster*, the use of transgenic organisms that express interfering RNA constructs has been widely successful for gene knockdown (KD). Although the use of transgenes in *An. gambiae* for RNAi has been utilized and may prove useful for large-scale screens, the generation of transgenic mosquito strains is both labor and time intensive, generally taking two to three months to go from the identification of a gene of interest to the generation of an appropriate transgenic stock<sup>12</sup>. Currently, the primary method of gene KD in *An. gambiae* is by injection into the hemolymph, during the adult stage, of dsRNA specific for a given gene<sup>12,13</sup>. This process typically takes about one month to go from identification of a gene of interest to assessment of gene KD, proving to be much more rapid than transgenic methods<sup>12</sup>. Methods for larval-stage

RNAi have been established recently in *An. gambiae* and *Aedes aegypti* via nanoparticle feeding<sup>14-17</sup> or by oral delivery of microalgae-based dsRNA molecules<sup>18</sup>, offering opportunities to perform functional genomic analysis during early stages of development. In direct injection, feeding, and nanoparticle delivery methods, dsRNA is taken up autonomously by the target cell and cleaved by the enzyme Dicer into 21-25 nucleotide-long "short interfering RNAs" (siRNAs)<sup>19,20</sup>. These siRNAs are then incorporated into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), from which one strand will be discarded, allowing the RNA-bound RISC complex to bind to and cleave the target mRNA and thereby reduce its level and inhibit its translation<sup>19,20</sup>.

Many intrinsic features of basic mosquito biology modulate vectorial capacity, including host preference (*e.g.*, olfaction, gustation), mating, reproduction and immunity. Given the importance of these biological processes, it is likely that their modulation on a genetic or pharmacological level will offer new opportunities for vector control, including circumvention of insecticide resistance, and provide new tools for more broadly integrated approaches to vector management. The use of functional genomics to assess the roles of genes underlying these intrinsic biological features will enable identification of new targets and provide new insights into how we can effectively create new, more effective control strategies. We describe the development and use of a rapid injection method for initiating RNAi during the pupal stage of *An. gambiae*. We observe that pupal stage injection of an RNAi trigger enables observation of resultant phenotypes in early stage adults, *i.e.*, sooner after emergence than would be observed if gene knockdown were initiated in adults post-emergence. This methods enables gene knockdown beginning during the pupal developmental interval and extending into adult stages, such that gene knockdown initiated during pupal development can persist and affect early adult hemolymph-accessible cell types, as well as cell types that are more hemolymph-accessible during metamorphosis than in the adult, such as sensory neurons found in adult appendages following emergence.

### Protocol

### 1. Synthesis and Preparation dsRNA

Identify a 200-800 bp knockdown region (to generate the corresponding dsRNA) within the gene of interest that is predicted to have no identifiable off-target effects (*e.g.*, no sequence homology ≥18 bp within another gene) and a negative control (*e.g.*, a heterologous sequence that is not present within target insect genome, such as the *Escherichia colilacZ* gene (GenBank Gene ID: 945006). Alternatively, use a positive control (*e.g.*, which yields an easily observed phenotype, such as SRPN2<sup>21,22</sup>, GenBank Gene ID: 1270169). The sequence of the dsRNA targeting SRPN2 is defined in Michel *et al.* (2005)<sup>21</sup>.
Note: F-RNAi is an open-source bioinformatic resource that is useful for the identification of such regions and for the process of designing.

Note: E-RNAi is an open-source bioinformatic resource that is useful for the identification of such regions and for the process of designing oligonucleotide primers<sup>23</sup>.

- Perform standard PCR amplification (*i.e.*, performed with Taq DNA polymerase using 30-35 cycles) using a genomic DNA or cDNA template to obtain dsRNA synthesis template flanked by a T7 promoter sequence (5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG-3') and proceed with dsRNA preparation and clean-up using a commercial *in vitro* transcription kit as per manufacturer's instructions. Use *SRPN2* PCR amplification conditions and primer information as presented in An *et al.* (2011)<sup>22</sup>.
- 3. Quantify purified RNA amplicon yields by ultraviolet absorbance spectroscopy at wavelength of 260 nm and adjust to the desired concentration (e.g., 3 µg/µl) in RNase-free water.
  - For troubleshooting low RNA concentrations, reduce liquid volume by spinning samples down in a vacuum centrifuge at room temperature or by lyophilizing samples and reconstituting in smaller volumes of water. The time required for sample lyophilization will vary depending on initial sample volumes and dsRNA concentrations.
- 4. Check the quality and length of the dsRNA on a 2% agarose gel prepared with 1x TBE or TAE buffer and stained with ethidium bromide (EtBr), along with the template DNA used for the transcription reaction. The dsRNA will migrate more slowly than template DNA. Quality and length can be assessed by assuring there are no non-specific dsRNA products and by comparing products with a standard DNA marker, respectively.

Note: EtBr is a potent mutagen and should be handled accordingly.

- Note: At a dsRNA concentration of 3 µg/µl, 0.5 µl of the sample is more than sufficient for visualization on the EtBr-stained agarose gel.
- Store dsRNA at -20 °C until needed. Multiple freeze/thaw cycles may cause degradation, so aliquots should be prepared for large volumes of dsRNA.

## 2. Prepare Fast Green FCF Dye (FGD) Tubes

- 1. Dilute Fast Green FCF dye from stock solution (≥85% dye content) to 0.1% (v/v) (working solution) in RNase-free water.
- 2. Pipette 1 µl of dye into the bottom of a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube.
- 3. Place tubes in a 65 °C heat block for approximately 3 hr to evaporate liquid, then place tubes at room temperature for at least 30 min, to cool before using. This dry solid dye will reconstitute in dsRNA resuspension solution.

### 3. Pull Injection Needles

- Pull borosilicate glass needles using a heated needle puller to a tip diameter of 10-30 μm. Pull settings correspond to: Heater adjustment no. 1 = 100, Heater adjustment no. 2 = 70.
- To avoid damage to the fine tip of the needle, place all pulled needles horizontally in a Petri dish on a strip of molding putty. Note: Additional information for capillary needle pulling methods can be found in further detail in the Malaria Research and Reference Reagent Resource Center MR4 manual<sup>24</sup>.

# 4. Prepare Injection Station

- 1. Collect materials required: glass microcapillary needles pulled to fine tip, microinjector, thin filter paper and thick filter paper, Petri dishes, transfer pipettes, paint brush and dissecting light microscope.
- 2. Prepare the microinjector as instructed in the microinjector manual, and set injection volume to desired volume per pulse (*e.g.*, maximum of 69 nl per pulse).
- On a platform that is easy to maneuver under a microscope (e.g., flat side of a Styrofoam tube rack), stack the two filter paper sheets with the thin filter paper on top, and secure with tape around the edges.
- 4. Resuspend 10 µl of each dsRNA solution in separate colored dye tubes, and place on ice.

# 5. Collect Pupae for Injection

- 1. Fill a small 60 mm x 15 mm Petri dish with 10 ml of deionized water, and collect ~50 pale pupae (during the first 24 hr after pupation) from an insectary tray using a disposable plastic transfer pipette.
- Remove any pupae that have medium to dark cuticle tanning. Note: Once the cuticle begins to tan, it becomes more difficult to penetrate the cuticle, and injection results in much higher lethality.

### 6. dsRNA Injection

- 1. Under the dissecting microscope, break off the distal tip of the injection needle with a pair of fine forceps.
- 2. Prepare the injection needle by backfilling the needle with mineral oil (using a syringe with a 3 inch, 30 gauge needle), and expelling excess oil with the microinjector.
- Front fill injection needle with maximum amount of dsRNA, and eject one pulse under the microscope to ensure the dispensing of liquid. In the event that no liquid is taken up and/or expelled, check the distal tip of the needle for any blockage and ensure that the needle is firmly secured in the microinjector.
- 4. Pick 1-3 pupae, and place them onto the filter paper.
- 5. Using the paintbrush, position the pupae on the filter paper with dorsal cuticle accessible, and use the paintbrush to push on filter paper and absorb of any excess water.
- 6. Stabilize the pupa with the tip of the paintbrush, and insert the needle into the dorsal cuticle between the thorax and abdomen at an angle of approximately 30° in relation to the dorsal surface of the pupa. Injection should be directed toward the posterior end of the pupa and needle should be moved in an anterior-to-posterior direction.
- Inject two pulses (69 nl per pulse) of 3 µg/µl dsRNA solution into the hemolymph, and check for the distribution of color throughout the body. If no color is identified, shift the injection needle position slightly to clear the tip from obstruction and repeat liquid delivery.
- Use the wetted paintbrush to gently move pupa from the needle into water for culturing. The pupa should stick to the paintbrush upon light contact.

## 7. Post-injection Conditions

- 1. Place Petri dish with injected pupae into a mosquito cage with suitable airflow (*e.g.*, mesh cage or container with mesh lid). Pupal rearing conditions should remain consistently at 27 ± 3 °C temperature, 75 ± 5% humidity and be under a light:dark cycle of 16:8 hr.
- 2. Prepare a 10% (w/v) glucose solution, and place a solution-saturated cotton ball on the mosquito cage mesh for adult feeding.

## 8. Assess Knockdown

- 1. At desired time-point(s), assess phenotypes in experimental dsRNA-injected insects, compared to controls.
  - Assess dsSRPN2 and dsLacZ insects daily by chilling down adults for about 2-3 min at -20 °C, transferring them to a cold plate at 2 °C and identifying any pseudo-tumor formation by utilization of a dissecting microscope at 15X magnification with brightfield illumination. After assessment, return adults to insectary conditions (27 ± 3 °C, 75 ± 5% humidity).

Note: The experimental and control dsRNAs employed in this protocol are *dsSRPN2* and *dsLacZ*, respectively. There are many options suitable for controls; however, it is suggested that a positive control for which phenotype and/or expression is easily visualized (e.g., by dissecting microscopy) and/or quantified [e.g., quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR), Western blot] should be used when learning this technique. *SRPN2* protein and transcript quantification via Western blot and qRT-PCR, respectively, are described in Michel *et al.* (2005)<sup>21</sup>.

### **Representative Results**

Pupal injection for gene KD yields optimal results when injection is performed during the early pupal stage, when cuticle tanning levels are low (**Figure 1A**, left, and **1B**). Increased tanning and hardening of cuticle, generally after 24 hours, results in increased pupal death following injection (**Figure 1A**, center and right). The rate of pupal development can vary depending on insectary conditions and animal density<sup>24,25</sup>; therefore, it is best to assess pigmentation visually.

During the injection process, the capillary needle is inserted into the dorsal cuticle at an angle of approximately 30° in the anterior to posterior direction (**Figure 2A**). Once the needle is inserted and the dsRNA + 0.01% (w/v) FGD is dispensed, the distribution of dye is evident throughout the hemolymph (**Figure 2B**).

Assessment of adult emergence for pupae injected with 0.01% (w/v) FGD revealed an average rate of 70% emergence, compared to 96.7% emergence of non-injected controls (**Figure 3A**). Of note, partial emergence from the pupal case was observed for a large number of non-surviving mosquitoes (**Figure 3B**). Injected animals exhibit no delays in emergence time (**Figure 4A**) or biased impact on either gender (**Figure 4B**). Additional assessment of adult survival carried out up to day 10 post-emergence reveals no evident impact on post-emergence adult survival (**Figure 4C**).

Validation of KD quality was assessed by the melanotic pseudo-tumor (darkly pigmented tissue cluster) phenotype associated with *SRPN2* knockdown<sup>21,22</sup> as a positive control for knockdown and the absence of phenotypes associated with *dsLacZ* injection as a negative control. Adult mosquitoes that emerged were scored at day 8 post-injection (day 6-7 post-emergence). Melanotic pseudo-tumors (**Figure 5A** and **5B**) were observed through the cuticle of 93.5% of the *dsSRPN2* vs. 0% of the *dsLacZ* adult mosquitoes (**Figure 6A**). Clusters of darkly melanized tissue were identified upon dissection of pigmented patches (**Figure 5C**). Pseudo-tumors were visible on the adult cuticle as early as day 3 post-emergence and were also present in a subset of *dsSRPN2* hemolymph and gut tissues (data not shown). At 5 post-injection (early adult stage), significantly decreased SRPN2 levels in *dsSRPN2*, but not *dsLacZ* or non-injected hemolymph protein isolates was observed (**Figure 6B**).



B

Figure 1: Developmental staging for pupal dsRNA injection. Early pupal injection of dsRNA results in optimal survival and progression into adult stage. Low levels of cuticle pigmentation (A, left, and B) can be observed within the first 0-24 hr following pupation. Tanning of the pupal cuticle preceding injection (A, center and right) results in moderate to poor survival.





Figure 2: Injection position and distribution of dye-labeled dsRNA. (A) Capillary needle injection of dye-labeled dsRNA into the dorsal cuticle at an angle of approximately 30°, in anterior to posterior direction. (B) The dye is visibly distributed in the pupal hemolymph. dsRNA injection volume of 138 nl, labeled with 0.01% FGD (w/v).



**Figure 3:** Post-injection adult emergence. (A) 70% of pupae injected with 0.01% FGD (w/v) successfully emerged (n = 60), compared to 96.7% of non-injected controls (n = 60). Three biological replicates were performed. (**B**) Partial emergence from the pupal case was observed for a large number of non-surviving mosquitoes. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM).





**Figure 4: Emergence rate, sex assessment and adult survival.** (A) Comparable emergence times were observed following pupal injection with 0.01% FGD (24 hr = 80% and 48 hr = 20%), as compared to non-injected pupae (24 hr = 83% and 48 hr = 17%). (B) Approximately equal male and female adult emergence was observed for 0.01% FGD injected pupae (female = 48% and male = 52%) and non-injected pupae (female = 52% and male = 48%). (C) Survival analysis reveals that injection with 0.01% FGD does not impact adult survival, assessed up to day 10 post-emergence. Results represent data from three independent experiments with 0.01% FGD injected (n = 60) and non-injected (n = 60) pupae (equal numbers of males and females). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM).





Figure 5: Pseudo-tumor positive control phenotype reflects successful knockdown. Pseudo-tumors were observed on the (A) abdominal and (B) thoracic cuticle of *dsSRPN2*-injected, but not *dsLacZ*-injected adult mosquitos at day 8 post-injection. (C) Higher magnification (400X) imaging (a) of cuticle and dissection of pigmented patches (b) reveals clusters of darkly melanized cells.





**Figure 6: Quantification of pseudo-tumor formation and decreased SRPN2 protein levels.** (**A**) Pupal stage injections result in pseudo-tumor formation in 93.5% of *dsSRPN2* adults (n = 21) compared to 0% of *dsLacZ* controls (n = 19). Results obtained day 8 post-injection. (**B**) Western blot (left) shows decreased SRPN2 levels in *dsSRPN2*, but not *dsLacZ* or non-injected hemolymph protein isolates (day 5 post-injection). Results based on three independent experiments. Anti-SRPN2<sup>21</sup> and anti-SRPN3<sup>26</sup> antibody dilutions used were 1:1,000 and 1:2,000, respectively. Goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (Product sc-2004, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas TX) was used at 1:5,000. All protein levels were quantified (right) by band intensity (ImageJ Software, NIH, Bethesda, MD), normalized to SRPN3, and statistically compared by unpaired *t* test. P <0.05: \*, P ≥0.05: n.s. (not significant). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM).

### **Discussion**

Current methods for inducing non-transgenic RNAi in mosquitoes involve direct injection of dsRNA into the adult hemocoel<sup>12,13</sup> or larval feeding of RNAi trigger-coated nanoparticles<sup>14-17</sup> or microalgae-based dsRNA molecules<sup>18</sup>. Targeting the adult mosquito, while extremely valuable, can exclude a large number of genes that function during earlier developmental periods. Knockdown initiated by larval feeding may yield inconsistent phenotypes during the adult stage due, in part, to the potential of variable protein persistence through the pupal stage. Therefore, introducing an additional method that is aimed specifically at initiating RNAi during pupal development will provide a means to more fully assess gene functions during pre-adult developmental stages, as well as enhanced abilities to assess gene function during adult stages. As with gene knockdown approach based on dsRNA injection or expression, the persistence of gene knockdown cannot be predicted. Therefore, transcript or protein levels should be assessed for gene of interest during developmental periods of interest. Although we observe a continuation of decreased protein levels at day 5 post-injection for SRPN2 in *SRPN2* dsRNA-injected animals, factors such as protein turnover and half-life can differ for different targets. It is critical to ensure, as well, that the dsRNA to be used for injection is well concentrated and appears intact on an agarose gel. We recommend experimenters reassess these factors if knockdown results are not sufficient, and respective concentrations of dsRNA may need to be tested empirically for specific gene targets.

We describe a method for the initiation of RNA interference during the pupal stage of *An. gambiae* development. This method relies on the introduction via microinjection of dsRNA directly into the hemocoel of early pupae and allows for assessment of injection quality by the use of dye-labeled dsRNA. The ability to visualize injection quality constitutes a critical enhancement for ensuring successful knockdown and constitutes an aspect of injection-based gene knockdown that has not been considered in most previously reported dsRNA-based protocols focusing on the adult stage. By targeting the pupa at the onset of this developmental period, genes that might play a role during this critical developmental interval, or during the early stages of adulthood can be evaluated functionally. Additionally, this method may enable dsRNA delivery to cells, and establishment of RNA interference in cells that are accessible during metamorphosis, but less accessible in fully formed adult mosquitoes.

A recent microarray analysis by Harker *et al.* (2012) identified 560 *An. gambiae* transcripts that were up-regulated or down-regulated by at least 4-fold during distinct developmental stages, ranging from the embryo to adult. Of the 560 transcripts identified, a set of 309 was up-regulated during pupal development<sup>27</sup>. These findings suggest that there are many requirements for differential gene expression throughout mosquito development, including those that occur during the pupal stage, an interval during which the organism undergoes metamorphosis. In many insect species, including *An. gambiae*, genes involved in processes such as development (*i.e.*, pupal cuticular and chitin-binding proteins)<sup>27-31</sup> and immune response (*i.e.*, Toll receptor-like proteins)<sup>27,32-34</sup> are highly expressed during the pupal stage. Once a fully formed adult has emerged, there is continued gene expression in response to environmental and physiological changes<sup>35</sup>. Notably, during early adult development, there is

an increase in the expression of developmental genes (*i.e.*, adult cuticular and sarcoplasmic proteins)<sup>36</sup>, as well as other key genes (*i.e.*, sperm specific protein and cytochrome P450 metabolism enzymes)<sup>27,36</sup>.

The positive control used in the development of this protocol, *SRPN2*, is an *An. gambiae* serine protease inhibitor (serpin). SRPN2 plays an important role in the negative regulation of insect melanization, a broad spectrum innate immune response in insects<sup>21,22</sup>. Knockdown of *SRPN2* in adult mosquitoes results in pseudo-tumor formation<sup>21,22</sup>, a phenotype that is easily observed by use of light microscopy. Given that this distinct phenotype can be easily scored in live insects, we used *SRPN2* for initial pupal stage RNAi injections. In addition, *SRPN2* is expressed during all developmental stages<sup>37</sup>, thereby providing a good target for pupal stage RNAi injection and assessment of function in the early adult. We demonstrate that the method we have developed is capable of inducing similar adult melanotic pseudo-tumor formation as a consequence of dsRNA injections performed during the pupal stage of development. In developing this protocol, we have observed that injection during early pupal development (*i.e.*, the first 24 hr after the larval-pupal molt) is critical for obtaining optimal adult emergence. In the event that poor emergence is obtained post-injection, we recommend staging larvae with greater accuracy, to obtain pupae with less extensive cuticle hardening and assure early pupal stage injection can help ensure that only the intended region of the animal is punctured. If the needle should puncture through to the ventral cuticle, pooling of dye-labeled liquid will be evident on the exterior of the pupa or will saturate the filter paper. It is highly recommended to discard any pupae that have more than one cuticle puncture.

With the extensive experiences of many laboratories with the performance of adult mosquito injections, previously identified microinjection approaches can be adapted with simple protocol modifications for use in pupal RNAi experiments. Overall, the goal of this method is to provide researchers the ability to expand the timeframe during which reverse genetic analyses can be performed, further enabling research that will support the development of novel vector control strategies. Interestingly, experiments in other species, such as *Rhodnius prolixus* and *Spodoptera frugiperda*, reveal that gene silencing effects tend to be much greater when initiated during pre-adult stages<sup>38,39</sup>. During all stages of development, RNAi-mediated gene knockdown is subject to considerations regarding the rapidity and persistence of gene silencing, and the stability of proteins encoded by targeted genes. The ideal RNAi target genes tend to be those that encode a protein or RNA that has a short half-life and high turnover rate<sup>11,40</sup>.

While transgenic RNAi strategies can also be employed to address considerations regarding rapidity and persistence of RNAi during pre-adult stages, transgenic techniques have many drawbacks (e.g., time required for the generation of transgenic lines, experimental time-frames for mosquito matings to generate insects with regulated dsRNA expression, and maintenance of transgenic stocks). By contrast, our protocol affords an easier and faster method for initiating gene knockdown during pupal development and in cell types that originate and are accessible during metamorphosis but are less accessible in adults. The use of dve-labeled dsRNA suspensions allows for easy assessment of injection success and dispersal of introduced material within pupae. Our data on the onset of tumor formation in pupal-injected adults, as compared to animals injected as adults, is consistent with initiation of RNAi-mediated knockdown during the pupal stage. Using our G3 mosquito line and under our insectary conditions, we observe the adult melanotic pseudo-tumor formation as early as 10 days post-injection of adults injected three to five days post-emergence. By performing early pupal-stage injection, we observe visible melanotic pseudo-tumor formation as early as five days post-injection (i.e., three to four days post emergence). These data imply that this method enables initiation of gene knockdown during a previously under-studied developmental period (i.e., pupal development). Our dye labeling method may also prove useful for the development of new larval injection protocols, due to the translucent nature of the cuticle during all larval instars. While the control used in this study requires progression into adult stage to display a knockdown phenotype, future experiments to assess pupal stage-specific phenotypes following injection of early pupae will provide valuable insight regarding the expansion of this method into additional developmental periods such as late pupal development. In summary, this method provides a valuable RNAi protocol for pupal stage initiation of RNAi-mediated gene knockdown and expands the functional genomic tools available for use within the vector insect research community.

### Disclosures

Open Access for this video-article is paid for by Biogen.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Flaminia Catteruccia and her research group (Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA) for providing our laboratory with the G3 colony used in this research and for the *LacZ* template DNA. We thank Adam Jenkins (Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA) for his assistance in maintaining the insectary. The Biology Department of Boston College generously funded the research associated with the development of this technique.

### References

- 1. World Health Organization. World Malaria Report. <a href="http://www.who.int/topics/malaria/en">http://www.who.int/topics/malaria/en</a> (2014).
- Kelly-Hope, L. A., & McKenzie, F. E. The multiplicity of malaria transmission: a review of entomological inoculation rate measurements and methods across sub-Saharan Africa. *Malar. J.* 8 (1), 1-16, (2009).
- 3. The malERA Consultative Group on Vector Control. A Research Agenda for Malaria Eradication: Vector Control. *PLoS Med.* 8 (1), e1000401, (2011).
- 4. Enayati, A., & Hemingway, J. Malaria Management: Past, Present, and Future. Annu. Rev. of Entomol. 55 (1), 569-591, (2010).
- 5. Edi, C. V., et al. CYP6 P450 Enzymes and ACE-1 Duplication Produce Extreme and Multiple Insecticide Resistance in the Malaria Mosquito Anopheles gambiae. *PLoS Genet.* **10** (3), e1004236, (2014).
- Mitchell, S. N., et al. Metabolic and Target-Site Mechanisms Combine to Confer Strong DDT Resistance in Anopheles gambiae. PLoS ONE. 9 (3), e92662, (2014).

- Knox, T. B., et al. An online tool for mapping insecticide resistance in major Anopheles vectors of human malaria parasites and review of resistance status for the Afrotropical region. Parasite Vector. 7 (76), 1-14, (2014).
- Napoli, C., Lemieux, C., & Jorgensen, R. Introduction of a Chimeric Chalcone Synthase Gene into Petunia Results in Reversible Co-Suppression of Homologous Genes. *Plant Cell.* 2, 279-289, (2002).
- 9. Sen, G. L., & Blau, H. M. A brief history of RNAi: the silence of the genes. FASEB J. 20 (9), 1293-1299, (2006).
- Romano, N., & Macino, G. Quelling: transient inactivation of gene expression in Neurospora crassa by transformation with homologous sequences. Mol. Microbiol. 6 (22), 3343-3353, (1992).
- 11. Fire, A., Xu, S., Montgomery, M. K., Kostas, S. A., Driver, S. E., & Mello, C. C. Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature*. **391**, 806-811, (1998).
- Catteruccia, F., & Levashina, E. A. RNAi in the Malaria Vector, Anopheles gambiae: Therapeutic Applications of RNAi: Methods and Protocols. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 555, 63-75, (2009).
- 13. Garver, L., & Dimopoulos, G. Protocol for RNAi Assays in Adult Mosquitoes (A. gambiae). J. Vis. Exp. (5), e230, (2007).
- 14. Mysore, K., Andrews, E., Li, P., & Duman-Scheel, M. Chitosan/siRNA nanoparticle targeting demonstrates a requirement for single-minded during larval and pupal olfactory system development of the vector mosquito Aedes aegypti. *BMC Dev. Biol.* **14** (9), 1-16, (2014).
- Mysore, K., Flannery, E. M., Tomchaney, M., Severson, D. W., & Duman-Scheel, M. Disruption of Aedes aegypti Olfactory System Development through Chitosan/siRNA Nanoparticle Targeting of semaphorin-1a. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 7 (5), e2215, (2013).
- 16. Zhang, X., Zhang, J., & Zhu, K. Y. Chitosan/double-stranded RNA nanoparticle-mediated RNA interference to silence chitin synthase genes through larval feeding in the African malaria mosquito (Anopheles gambiae). *Insect Mol. Biol.* **19** (5), 683-693, (2010).
- 17. Zhang, X., et al. Chitosan/Interfering RNA Nanoparticle Mediated Gene Silencing in Disease Vector Mosquito Larvae. J. Vis. Exp. (97), e52523, (2015).
- 18. Kumar, A., Wang, S., Ou, R., Samrakandi, M., Beerntsen, B.T., & Sayre, R.T. Development of an RNAi based microalgal larvicide to control mosquitoes. *Malaria World J.* 4 (6), 1-7 (2013).
- 19. Huvenne, H., & Smagghe, G. Mechanisms of dsRNA uptake in insects and potential of RNAi for pest control: A review. J. Insect Physiol. 56 (3), 227-235, (2010).
- 20. Burand, J. P., & Hunter, W. B. RNAi: Future in insect management. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 112, S68-S74, (2013).
- 21. Michel, K., Budd, A., Pinto, S., Gibson, T. J., & Kafatos, F. C. Anopheles gambiae SRPN2 facilitates midgut invasion by the malaria parasite Plasmodium berghei. *EMBO Rep.* 6 (9), 891-897, (2005).
- 22. An, C., Budd, A., Kanost, M. R., & Michel, K. Characterization of a regulatory unit that controls melanization and affects longevity of mosquitoes. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 68 (11), 1929-1939, (2011).
- Horn, T., & Boutros, M. E-RNAi: a web application for the multi-species design of RNAi reagents--2010 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 38, W332-W339, (2010).
- 24. Benedict, M. Q. Methods in Anopheles Research. Malaria Research and Reference Reagent Resource Center, (2014).
- 25. Lyimo, E. O., Takken, W., & Koella, J. C. Effect of rearing temperature and larval density on larval survival, age at pupation and adult size of Anopheles gambiae. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **63**, 265-271, (1992).
- 26. Michel, K., *et al.* Increased melanizing activity in Anopheles gambiae does not affect development of Plasmodium falciparum. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103** (45), 16858-16863, (2006).
- 27. Harker, B. W., et al. Transcription Profiling Associated With Life Cycle of Anopheles gambiae. J Med Entomol. 49 (2), 316-325, (2012).
- Dotson, E. M., Cornel, A. J., Willis, J. H., & Collins, F. H. A family of pupal-specific cuticular protein genes in the mosquito Anopheles gambiae. *Insect Biochem. Molec. Biol.* 28, 459-472, (1998).
- Hopkins, T. L., Krchma, L. J., Ahmad, S. A., & Kramer, K. J. Pupal cuticle proteins of Manduca sexta: characterization and profiles during sclerotization. *Insect Biochem. Molec. Biol.* 30, 19-27, (1999).
- Liang, J., Zhang, L., Xiang, Z., & He, N. Expression profile of cuticular genes of silkworm, Bombyx mori. BMC Genomics. 11 (173), 1-13, doi:10.1186/1471-2164-11-173 (2010).
- Zhou, X., & Riddiford, L. M. Broad specifies pupal development and mediates the "status quo" action of juvenile hormone on the pupal-adult transformation in Drosophila and Manduca. *Development.* 129, 2259-2269 (2002).
- Luna, C., Wang, X., Huang, Y., Zhang, J., & Zheng, L. Characterization of four Toll related genes during development and immune responses in Anopheles gambiae. *Insect Biochem. Molec. Biol.* 32, 1171-1179, (2002).
- Tauszig, S., Jouanguy, E., Hoffmann, J. A., & Imler, J.-L. Toll-related receptors and the control of antimicrobial peptide expression in Drosophila. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 97 (19), 10520-10525, (2000).
- Tryselius, Y., Samakovlis, C., Kimbrell, D. A., & Hultmark, D. CecC, a cecropin gene expressed during metamorphosis in Drosophila pupae. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 204, 1-5 (1992).
- 35. Goodisman, M. A. D., Isoe, J., Wheeler, D. E., & Wells, M. A. Evolution of Insect Metamorphosis: a Microarray-Based Study of Larval and Adult Gene Expression in the Ant Camponotus Festinatus. *Evolution.* **59** (4), 858-870, (2005).
- 36. Cook, P. E., & Sinkins, S. P. Transcriptional profiling of Anopheles gambiae mosquitoes for adult age estimation. *Insect Mol. Bio.* **19** (6), 745-751, (2010).
- 37. Suwanchaichinda, C., & Kanost, M. R. The serpin gene family in Anopheles gambiae. Gene. 442 (1-2), 47-54, (2009).
- 38. Araujo, R. N., *et al.* RNA interference of the salivary gland nitrophorin 2 in the triatomine bug Rhodnius prolixus (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) by dsRNA ingestion or injection. *Insect Biochem. Molec. Biol.* **36** (9), 683-693, (2006).
- 39. Griebler, M., Westerlund, S. A., Hoffmann, K. H., & Meyering-Vos, M. RNA interference with the allatoregulating neuropeptide genes from the fall armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda and its effects on the JH titer in the hemolymph. J. Insect Physiol. 54 (6), 997-1007, (2008).
- 40. Scott, J. G., et al. Towards the elements of successful insect RNAi. J. Insect Physiol. 59 (12), 1212-1221, (2013).