## Traffic Signal Performance Measures Workshop











## 169 Registered Participants Representing 85 Organizations



## Messages

- 1. Web dissemination
- 2. Background/Context/Attribution
- 3. Performance Measure Pyramid
  - Communication
  - Detectors
  - Splits
  - Coordination
- 4. Longitudinal System Monitoring
- 5. Outcome Assessment Telling Our Story
- 6. Performance Measures will not reduce consulting, but I believe their services will evolve



#### AUTOMATED TRAFFIC SIGNAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES WORKSHOP

Follow



The Automated Traffic Signal Performance Measure (SPM) Workshop, held on January 26–27, 2016, in Salt Lake City, Utah, provided a forum to share best practices, agency progress, and configuring and troubleshooting tips, as well as to discuss the potential future of SPMs. Representatives from more than 70 organizations—including state and federal agencies, local agencies, universities, vendors, and consultants—attended. Presentations and posters can be accessed via the link below.

The SPM Workshop was sponsored by the National Operations Center of Excellence, the American Association of State Transportation Officials Initiative on SPMs, the Transportation Pooled Fund Program for Traffic Signal Systems Operations and Management led by the Indiana Department of Transportation and Purdue University, and the Utah Department of Transportation.

Browse the contents of Automated Traffic Signal Performance Measures Workshop:

Presentations and Posters

Home > JTRPROGRAM > ATSPMW

#### Workshop posters &

### related manuscripts

#### available on e-Pubs.

A RSS

#### Posters

2016

#### Tuesday, January 26th

<u>Visualization and Assessment of Arterial Progression Quality Using High Resolution</u> Signal Event Data and Measured Travel Time

Christopher Day, Purdue University Ross Haseman, Purdue University Hiromal Premachandra, Purdue University Thomas Brennan, Purdue University Jason Wasson, Indiana Department of Transportation James Sturdevant, Indiana Department of Transportation Darcy Bullock, Purdue University 12:00 AM

#### Reliability, Flexibility, and Environmental Impact of Alternative Arterial Offset Optimization Objective Functions

Christopher Day, Purdue University Thomas Brennan, Purdue University Alexander Hainen, Purdue University Stephen Remias, Purdue University Hiromal Premachandra, Purdue University James Sturdevant, Indiana Department of Transportation Greg Richards, Indiana Department of Transportation Jason Wasson, Indiana Department of Transportation Darcy Bullock, Purdue University 12:00 AM

Sign this form to publish your workshop presentation.

Agreement for deposit of ATSPMW Presentations to Purdue e-Pubs

I authorize Purdue University in connection to the Active Traffic Signal Performance Management Workshop to upload my presentation(s) identified below to Purdue e-Pubs. I retain all copyrights to my presentation and accompanying documents ("Works") and provide Purdue University, its agents, and others working under its authority with a perpetual, royalty free non-exclusive license to use the Works as described herein.

(title(s)/file name(s))

I hereby grant Purdue University a non-exclusive perpetual royalty free license to use, duplicate, and distribute the Works in whole or in part. The Work is to be deposited in the Purdue University institutional repository. I further grant to Purdue University the right to transfer the Work to any format or medium now known or later developed for preservation and access in accordance with this agreement. This agreement does not represent a transfer of copyright to Purdue University.

I represent and warrant to Purdue University that the Work is my original work and does not, to the best of my knowledge, infringe or violate any rights of others, nor does the deposit violate any applicable laws. I further represent and warrant that I have the authority and/or have obtained all necessary rights to permit Purdue University to use, duplicate, and distribute the Work and that any third-party owned content is clearly identified and acknowledged with the Work.

By granting this non-exclusive license, I acknowledge that I have read and agreed to (a) the terms of this agreement and (b) <u>Purdue University's policy on intellectual property</u>.

Author name and institution as you want it to appear on Purdue e-Pubs (please print):

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Institution:

Email: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature

Date

## Pooled Fund Study Products (FHWA, Purdue and Agency Partners)

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEMS

An Outcome-Oriented Approach



Christopher M. Day, Darcy M. Bullock, Howell Li, Stephen M. Remias, Alexander M. Hainen Richard S. Freije, Amanda L. Stevens, James R. Sturdevant, and Thomas M. Brennan



"Volume 1"

Defining Performance Measures...

Download at: tinyurl.com/signalmoe

Integration of Performance Measures into Traffic Signal Systems Business Practices



"Volume 2"

Business Practices, Use Cases, and Implementation...

Estimated to publish in March 2016

### **Report Download Page**

1. Free

#### Performance Measures for Traffic Signal Systems: An Outcome-Oriented Approach

Christopher M. Day, Purdue University	Follow
Darcy M. Bullock, Purdue University	Follow
Howell Li, Purdue University	Follow
Steve M. Remias, Purdue University	Follow
Alexander M. Hainen, Purdue University	Follow
Richard S. Freije, Purdue University	Follow
Amanda L. Stevens, Indiana Department of Transportation	Follow
James R. Sturdevant, Indiana Department of Transportation	Follow
Thomas M. Brennan, The College of New Jersey	Follow



5. Social

Media

🕹 Free Download

### Attribution

2. Author

#### **Recommended Citation**

Day, C. M., D. M. Bullock, H. Li, S. M. Remias, A. M. Hainen, R. S. Freije, A. L. Stevens, J. R. Sturdevant, and T. M. Brennan. Performance Measures for Traffic Signal Systems: An Outcome-Oriented Approach. Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, 2014. http://dx.doi.org/10.5703/1288284315333

DOT

10.5703/1288284315333

3. Persistent URL

### Quarterly Report on Downloads

🖉 Autho	<b>r</b> Dashboard	Welcome, Darcy M Bullock	
Showing:	Performance Measures for Traffic Signal Systems: An Outcome-Oriented Approach	30 days	All time
Readership	Distribution	B PE OVA SCOTIA	All time
Available Da	a: 12/16/2014 to 01/18/2016		
68 Ins	titutions Beta ^ 11 Countries		^

### **Pooled Fund Partners**



Indiana, California, Utah, Texas, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Chicago DOT, Mississippi, Georgia, New Hampshire

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEMS

An Outcome-Oriented Approach

PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEMS

An Outcome-Oriented Approach



rintopher M. Day, Darcy M. Bullock, Howell Li, Stephen M. Remias, Alexander M. Hainen, chard S. Freije, Amanda L. Stevens, James R. Stardevant, and Thomas M. Brennan



### **POOLED FUND STUDY** INDIANAPOLIS

NOVEMBER 12, 2014







### May 2015 ATL Workshop



## October 2006 State of the practice





"If one wants to be outstanding in their field, one must first spend some time standing in the field" *Bill Kloose* 

## 

TT

## Themes

Active Traffic Signal Management

Agencies

Vendors

- 1. Research Framework Perspective & Universities Collaborative Activities
- 2. Pyramid Perspective on Signal System Priorities
- 3. High resolution Data is critical for identifying operational intervention strategies
- 4. Probe Data is critical for communicating outcome assessment to Decision Makers
- 5. We believe the consulting model will change in the next three years to rely less on synchro and more on hi-resolution data and probe data















## High Resolution Controller Data

### Probe Data





Opportunities to Better Leverage Existing Infrastructure

Opportunities to Push the State of the Possible

What gets measured gets done, what gets measured and fed back gets done well, what gets rewarded gets repeated. – John E. Jones

Enormous opportunities to fuse/validate traffic signal data with probe data sources





## Probe Data is integral for Outcome Assessment







## **Critical Performance Measures for Managing Signals**

Hi Resolution Data is Critical for Identifying Levers



- 1. Is my communication working?
- 2. Are my detectors working?
- 3. Do I have adequate green time on each phase?
- 4. Do I have most of my vehicles arriving on green?

Write this Down if you agree!

### Portfolio of Performance Measures (p. 1)

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEMS

An Outcome-Oriented Approach

MOE	Usage	Documented in Monograph	Journal Papers (DOI)
Cycle Length	Verify consistent controller programming along corridor, and quickly evaluate performance of fully-actuated signals	~	10.3141/2128-05
Green Time and Capacity	Verify controller behavior	✓	10.3141/2035-11
Count and Volume	Characterize vehicle demand by movement	✓	10.3141/2035-11
Volume-to-Capacity Ratio	Evaluate utilization of provided capacity	~	10.3141/2035-11
Degree of Intersection Saturation	Evaluate overall intersection utilization	~	10.3141/2128-05
Percent on Green, Arrival Type	Evaluate progression performance	~	10.3141/2035-11
Purdue Coordination Diagram	Visualize progression performance over a variety of time-scales	~	10.3141/2192-04 10.3141/2259-06
Platoon / Flow Profile	Visualize progression performance for a given time period where a consistent cycle length occurs	~	10.3141/2259-02
Estimated Queue Length	Estimate lengths of queues at intersections	✓	10.1016/j.trc.2009.02.003
Oversaturation Severity Index	Evaluate spatial and temporal characteristics of oversaturation in the street network		10.1016/j.trc.2010.01.003
Input-Output Delay	Estimate delay experienced by vehicles on movements where advance detection exists	~	10.3141/2035-08.
Maximum Vehicle Delay	Estimate delay experienced by vehicles on movements where stop bar detection exists		TRB Paper # 15-0385
Estimated Vehicle HCM Delay	Estimate delay experienced by vehicles based on HCM methodology		10.3141/2259-03



Christopher M. Day, Darcy M. Bullock, Howell Li, Stephen M. Remias, Alexander M. Hainen Bishard S. Ennin Amanda I. Stawane Jamas B. Stardovard and Thomas M. Rosnan



### Portfolio of Performance Measures (p. 2)

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEMS

An Outcome-Oriented Approach



Christopher M. Day, Darcy M. Bullock, Howell Li, Stephen M. Remias, Alexander M. Hainen Richard S. Freile, Amanda L. Stevens, James R. Sturdevant, and Thomas M. Brennan



# This workshop is about sharing best practices and developing shared vision



Search	Home > JTRPROGRAM > ATSPMW
Enter search terms: In this collection  Advanced Search	AUTOMATED TRAFFIC SIGNAL PERFORMANC MEASURES WORKSHO
Notify me via email or RSS	Follow
Browse	
Collections Disciplines Authors	
Links for Authors	
	The Automated Traffic Signal Performance Measure (SPM) Workshop, held on January 26-27, 2016, in Salt Lake City, Utah, provided a forum to share best practices, agency progress, and configuring and templeheotics into a result as to discuss the actional forum of EDMs. Bearserstein the
	concentrations in pays as well as to obscute the protocol of the second
	The SPM Workshop was sponsored by the National Operations Center of Excellence, the American Association of State Transportation Officials Initiative on SPMs, the Transportation Pooled Fund Program for Traffic Signal Systems Operations and Management led by the Indiana Department of Transportation and Purdue University, and the Utah Department of Transportation.
TRANSPORTATION PODE115 TUNIT PRINTAM	Browse the contents of Automated Traffic Signal Performance Measures Workshop:
PURDUE	Presentations and Posters



## **Typical Corridor (22 Intersections)**



## **Traffic Signal Timing Process**



### Changing the System Management Model

**Existing Model:** 



New Model:



## Messages

- 1. Web dissemination
- 2. Background/Context/Attribution
- 3. Performance Measure Pyramid
  - Communication
  - Detectors
  - Splits
  - Coordination
- 4. Longitudinal System Monitoring
- 5. Outcome Assessment Telling Our Story
- 6. Performance Measures will not reduce consulting, but I believe their services will evolve



## Messages

- 1. Web dissemination
- 2. Background/Context/Attribution
- 3. Performance Measure Pyramid
  - Communication
  - Detectors
  - Splits
  - Coordination
- 4. Longitudinal System Monitoring
- 5. Outcome Assessment Telling Our Story
- 6. Performance Measures will not reduce consulting, but I believe their services will evolve

## **Detector "Ticker"**







• \$900,000 in annual user benefits

## Messages

- 1. Web dissemination
- 2. Background/Context/Attribution
- 3. Performance Measure Pyramid
  - Communication
  - Detectors
  - Splits
  - Coordination
- 4. Longitudinal System Monitoring
- 5. Outcome Assessment Telling Our Story
- 6. Performance Measures will not reduce consulting, but I believe their services will evolve

### **Overview of Study Intersection**


# Trailer Camera Setup and Study Schedule



#### Phase 7 Extension Timer

#### Extension Timer = 3.0 seconds





Start of Green (9:30:24.1)





9:30:25.1





9:30:26.1





9:30:27.1





9:30:28.1



Calculation Illustration of GOR and ROR<sub>5</sub>



9:30:29.1





9:30:30.1



Calculation Illustration of GOR and ROR<sub>5</sub>



9:30:31.1





9:30:32.1





Start of Yellow (9:30:33.1)





9:30:34.1





9:30:35.1





Start of Red (9:30:36.6)





9:30:37.6





9:30:38.6



Calculation Illustration of GOR and ROR<sub>5</sub>



9:30:39.6



Calculation Illustration of GOR and ROR<sub>5</sub>



9:30:40.6



Calculation Illustration of GOR and ROR<sub>5</sub>



5 Seconds After Start of Red (9:30:41.6)



Calculation Illustration of GOR and ROR<sub>5</sub>



Calculation Illustration of GOR and ROR<sub>5</sub>



# ROR<sub>5</sub> vs. GOR Plot for an Undersaturated Left Turn



#### Phase 4 Extension Timer

#### Extension Timer = 3.0 seconds

































12:52:28.1









12:52:30.1





12:52:31.1








12:52:33.1









12:52:35.1







Calculation Illustration of GOR and ROR<sub>5</sub>





Calculation Illustration of GOR and ROR<sub>5</sub>



12:52:38.1





12:52:39.1









12:52:41.1





12:52:42.1





12:52:43.1





Start of Red (12:52:44.1)











12:52:46.1





















# ROR<sub>5</sub> vs. GOR Plot for an Oversaturated Thru Movement



# ROR<sub>5</sub> vs. GOR and/or V/C Ratio Summary (0900-1500)



# ROR<sub>5</sub> vs. GOR and/or V/C Ratio Summary (0900-1500)



#### Split Time Adjustment (0900-1500)



Before



After

#### Phase 8 Before and After Comparison (0900-1500)



#### Phase 8 Before and After Comparison (0900-1500)





#### Bar Charts of Three Consecutive Split Failures (0900-1500)



#### Thursday Comparison

= After Split Adjustment (Thurs. 7/25)

#### Bar Charts of Three Consecutive Split Failures (0900-1500)





= Before Split Adjustment (Fri. 7/19)

= After Split Adjustment (Fri. 7/26)

#### **Application of the Concept to Signal Performance Measures Data**



#### **Indiana Corridor Selection**



Corridor	Intersections	Phases	Lanes	Detectors
Pendleton Pike	14	133	192	208
US-31 Greenwood	11	93	141	139
US-31 Columbus	10	95	132	133
SR-37 Martinsville	5	39	53	75
SR-37 Noblesville	10	99	137	139
SR-37 Indianapolis South	13	99	151	162
US-421 Zionsville	7	79	101	117
Total	70	637	907	973

#### **Top Level View**



#### Segmentation by Time of Day: Looking Only at the AM Peak (0600-0900)



#### Segmentation by Time of Day: Looking Only at the Midday (0900-1600)



#### Segmentation by Time of Day: Looking Only at the AM Peak (1600-1900)



#### Friday Split Failures by Corridor / Date Ranked Across the Entire System as Single List



#### Friday Split Failures by Corridor Worst Days of Each Corridor Ranked Separately



#### Drill down to Corridor... (US 31 Greenwood)




#### Split Failure Count Corridor View by Intersection by Time of Day



#### Split Failure Count Corridor View by Movement by Intersection



#### Top Level View: Executive Report Average Number of Split Failures per Hour

Corridor	Time of Day	Mon-Thur	Fri	Sat	Sun
	0600-0900	0.52	0.44	0.04	0.06
Pendleton Pike	0900-1600	0.65	0.23	0.17	0.08
	1600-1900	1.49	1.17	0.12	0.08
	0600-0900	0.67	0.60	0.21	0.08
US-31 Greenwood	0900-1600	0.83	1.35	2.22	0.89
	1600-1900	1.89	2.99	2.28	0.70
	0600-0900	0.25	0.26	0.04	0.02
US-31 Columbus	0900-1600	0.76	1.43	0.94	0.39
	1600-1900	1.21	1.86	0.73	0.33
	0600-0900	0.06	0.07	0.02	0.01
SR-37 Martinsville	0900-1600	0.12	0.34	0.69	0.40
	1600-1900	0.28	1.05	0.26	0.12
	0600-0900	1.08	0.93	0.06	0.03
SR-37 Noblesville	0900-1600	0.83	1.33	1.72	0.47
	1600-1900	2.21	2.98	0.83	0.21
	0600-0900	0.58	0.48	0.04	0.05
SR-37 Indianapolis South	0900-1600	0.22	0.28	0.14	0.07
	1600-1900	0.70	1.12	0.32	0.07
	0600-0900	0.42	0.33	0.06	0.05
US-421 Zionsville	0900-1600	0.52	0.84	0.44	0.16
	1600-1900	1.14	1.35	0.25	0.16

# Messages

- 1. Web dissemination
- 2. Background/Context/Attribution
- 3. Performance Measure Pyramid
  - Communication
  - Detectors
  - Splits
  - Coordination
- 4. Longitudinal System Monitoring
- 5. Outcome Assessment Telling Our Story
- 6. Performance Measures will not reduce consulting, but I believe their services will evolve

Very Good Progression...



# Messages

- 1. Web dissemination
- 2. Background/Context/Attribution
- 3. Performance Measure Pyramid
  - Communication
  - Detectors
  - Splits
  - Coordination
- 4. Longitudinal System Monitoring
- 5. Outcome Assessment Telling Our Story
- 6. Performance Measures will not reduce consulting, but I believe their services will evolve

### **Probe Vehicle Data, the Early years** Graduate Student Powered (circa 1994)





### **Circa 2009 Probe Monitoring Stations in Indiana**



Long Term Installation with Real-Time SQL Based Travel Time Calc Short Term Battery Powered Device (Traffax)..Data post processed

Short Term Installation with Real-Time SQL Based Travel Time Calc

## US 31 in Kokomo, Indiana



- 9 Mile Arterial Between Indianapolis and South Bend.
- 13 Signals Retimed during the first week of April in 2012

		MARCH 2012							
	S	Μ	Т	W	Т	F	S		
					1	2	3		
	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Before	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		
Retiming	18	19	20	21	22	23	24		
Week 13	25	26	27	28	29	30	31		
			AP	RIL 2	012				
Retiming	S	Μ	API T	RIL 2 W	012 T	F	S		
Retiming Week 15	S 1	M 2	API T 3	RIL 2 W 4	<b>012</b> T 5	F 6	S 7		
Retiming Week 15	S 1 8	M 2 9	AP T 3 10	RIL 2 W 4 11	012 T 5 12	F 6 13	S 7 14		
Retiming Week 15 Week 16	S 1 8 15	M 2 9 16	API T 3 10 17	RIL 2 W 4 11 18	012 T 5 12 19	F 6 13 20	S 7 14 21		
Retiming Week 15 Week 16	<b>S</b> 1 8 15 22	M 2 9 16 23	API T 3 10 17 24	RIL 2 W 4 11 18 25	012 T 5 12 19 26	F 6 13 20 27	S 7 14 21 28		

MARCH 2012										
S	Μ	Т	¥	Т	F	S				
				1	2	3				
4	5	6	7	8	9	10				
11	12	13	14	15	16	17				
18	19	20	21	22	23	24				
25	26	27	28	29	30	31				

#### Northbound US 31 in Kokomo





MARCH 2012										
S	N	Н	¥	Т	ш	S				
				1	2	З				
4	5	6	7	8	9	10				
11	12	13	14	15	16	17				
18	19	20	21	22	23	24				
25	26	27	28	29	30	31				





MARCH 2012										
S	Μ	Г	W	Г	F	S				
				1	2	3				
4	5	6	7	8	9	10				
11	12	13	14	15	16	17				
18	19	20	21	22	23	24				
25	26	27	28	29	30	31				

Retiming Week

<b>APRIL 2012</b>									
S	Μ	Т	W	Т	F	S			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14			
15	16	17	18	19	20	21			
22	23	24	25	26	27	28			
29	30								

S	Μ	Т	W	Т	F	S
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	31

**MARCH 2012** 



	APRIL 2012									
S	Μ	Т	W	Т	F	S				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7				
8	9	10	11	12	13	14				
15	16	17	18	19	20	21				
22	23	24	25	26	27	28				
29	30									

# 1 Minute Reduction

### Arterial Retiming Analysis Kokomo, Indiana







Week 16

### **Probe Data Analysis** Creating performance measures from large datasets



# TRAVEL TIME ASSESSMENT PROCESS

Kokomo Signal Retiming





US-31 Kokomo SB

US-31 Kokomo NB

# Selected Corridor will appear in map

	✓ July 2013 Sun Mon Tue Wed Thu Fri							
Sun								
30	1	2	3	4	5	6		
7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
14	15	16	17	18	19	20		
21	22	23	24	25	26	27		
28	29	30	31	1	2	3		
4	5	6	7	8	9	10		

-		Ju	►					
Sun	Mon Tue Wed Thu Fri							
30	1	2	3	4	5	6		
7	8	9	10	11	12	13		
14	15	16	17	18	19	20		
21	22	23	24	25	26	27		
28	29	30	31	1	2	3		
4	5	6	7	8	9	10		





































Construction
## Arterial Retiming Cost – Benefit Analysis (weekly)





## Arterial Retiming Cost –Benefit Analysis (monthly)





## Timing Plan 4 (1300 – 1500) Median Monthly Travel Times



## Arterial Retiming Cost – Benefit Analysis using Crowd Sourced Data

### Carbon Savings

	ila	-	Ť		- și											
Segm	lent		H		d rang	Ko Mu Ai	komo nicipa rport		Plan	Median TT Savings (min)	% of Daily Traffic	TT Savings (h)	TTI Travel Time Savings (\$)	CO2 Reduction (tons)	CO2 Reduction (tons) CO2 Em Saving	
								I US 31	Plan 0 (0000 - 0500)	0.79	2.2%	1987.34	\$ 46,941.69	16.77	\$	368.96
22 Kokom					4.5				Plan 1 (0500 - 0900)	1.22	7.2%	9925.88	\$ 234,453.24	83.76	\$	1,842.82
Sec. 2 g			Konn		35	22			Plan 2 (0900 - 1100)	1.83	5.3%	10877.93	\$ 256,941.12	91.80	\$	2,019.58
	2 2 2 2 2					-		P	Plan 3 (1100 – 13 <mark>00)</mark>	1.1	6.7%	8246.25	\$ 194,779.77	69.59	\$	1,530.98
									Plan 4 (1300 – 15 <mark>00)</mark>	0.93	6.6%	6886.14	\$ 162,653.47	58.11	\$	1,278.47
Using 7	ГТ		ra	Ve	<u>-</u> ] .	Tir	me	Sa	vings-19 <mark>00</mark>	1.53	13.5%	23311.22	\$ 550,620.34	196.72	\$	4,327.91
Gien dale.		<b>(26)</b>			(26	0-00	untera		Plan 6 (1900 – 24 <mark>00)</mark>	0.91	7.1%	7319.89	\$ 172,898.62	61.77	\$	1,359.00
Calculat	tio	ns	5:	Ye	ar	٦y	Sa	vin	gs are 🔊	0.58	2.2%	1462.30	\$ 34,540.02	12.34	\$	271.49
		¢ 7		7 N	<b>/</b> i		h		Plan 1 (0500 – 0900)	0.75	7.6%	6420.27	\$ 151,649.25	54.18	\$	1,191.97
						IIIC	ווכ			1.02	5.5%	6316.57	\$ 149,199.92	53.31	\$	1,172.72
									Plan 3 (1100 – 13 <mark>00)</mark>	1.1	7.0%	8627.08	\$ 203,775.18	72.80	\$	1,601.69
	3	IVI	I.	vv	•	۲	5	f	Plan 4 (1300 - 1500)	1.20	.0%	9881.93	\$ 233,415.21	83.39	\$	1,834.66
					1	2	3	No.	Plan 5 (1500 - 1900)	0.69	14.2%	11040.76	\$ 260,787.26	93.17	\$	2,049.81
Before	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		Plan 6 (1900 - 2400)	0.45	7.9%	4016.0	\$ 94,906.91	33.91	\$	745.97
Retiming	11 19	12	13 20	14 21	15 22	16 22	1/ 24		Total		100.0%	116321.6	\$ 2,747,562	981.64	\$	21,596.03
Week 13	10 25	19	20	21	22	20	24 21									
VVEER 13	25	20	27	20	-29	-50	21									

	<b>APRIL 2012</b>								
Retiming	S	Μ	Т	W	Т	F	S		
Week 15	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		
Week 16	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		
Aftor	22	23	24	25	26	27	28		
Retimina	29	30							

W 500 N

631

## Arterial Retiming Cost – Benefit Analysis using Crowd Sourced Data



# Messages

- 1. Web dissemination
- 2. Background/Context/Attribution
- 3. Performance Measure Pyramid
  - Communication
  - Detectors
  - Splits
  - Coordination
- 4. Longitudinal System Monitoring
- 5. Outcome Assessment Telling Our Story
- 6. Performance Measures will not reduce consulting, but I believe their services will evolve

## **Circa 2009 Probe Monitoring Stations in Indiana**



Long Term Installation with Real-Time SQL Based Travel Time Calc Short Term Battery Powered Device (Traffax)..Data post processed Short Term Installation with Real-Time SQL Based Travel Time Calc



Agencies Need Long-Term Documentation of Coordination Strategies

SR37 North Evaluation: 2010, 2013, 2015

#### Saturdays

#### Weekdays

#### (a) (b) 30 30 25 20 P 20 8 15 8 15 10 음 10 Aetore Atter petore after Refore After aetore atter Betore Refore Atter offer 2013 2015 2010 2010 2013 2015 (c) (d) 100% 80% ļμ 80% 60% 60% 40% 40% 20% 20% optore After Before After Alle 2013 2010 2015 2010 2013 2015

Growth in POG, despite significant increase in volumes



Arterial Green Remained Constant

### Saturdays

#### Weekdays



Significant Travel Time (TT) & Travel Time Reliability (TTR) Cost Reductions After Each Retiming



Total of annualized user benefits from 2010, 2013, and 2015 optimizations: **\$4,241,723** 

# Messages

- 1. Web dissemination
- 2. Background/Context/Attribution
- 3. Performance Measure Pyramid
  - Communication
  - Detectors
  - Splits
  - Coordination
- 4. Longitudinal System Monitoring
- 5. Outcome Assessment Telling Our Story
- 6. Performance Measures will not reduce consulting, but I believe their services will evolve

## Changing the System Management Model

**Existing Model:** 



New Model:

