University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Historical Research Bulletins of the Nebraska Agricultural Experiment Station (1913-1993)

Agricultural Research Division of IANR

6-1920

The Value of Commercial Vaccines and Bacterins Against Fowl Cholera

L. Van Es

H. M. Martin

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ardhistrb Part of the <u>Veterinary Infectious Diseases Commons</u>, and the <u>Veterinary Preventive Medicine</u>, <u>Epidemiology</u>, and <u>Public Health Commons</u>

Van Es, L. and Martin, H. M., "The Value of Commercial Vaccines and Bacterins Against Fowl Cholera" (1920). *Historical Research Bulletins of the Nebraska Agricultural Experiment Station (1913-1993)*. 33. http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ardhistrb/33

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Agricultural Research Division of IANR at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska -Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Historical Research Bulletins of the Nebraska Agricultural Experiment Station (1913-1993) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AGRICULTURAL BRANCH LIBRARY BLACKSBURG, VIRGINIA

Research Bulletin 18

3

June, 1920

The Value of Commercial Vaccines and Bacterins Against Fowl Cholera

L. VAN ES AND H. M. MARTIN

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION THE UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA LINCOLN

THE OFFICIAL ROSTER OF THE STATION

THE GOVERNING BOARD

(THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY)

HON. JOHN E. MILLER, President, Lincoln Term expires January, 1921 HON. JOHN R. WEBSTER, Vice-President, Omaha

Term expires January, 1925 HON. EDWARD P. BROWN, Davey.....Term expires January, 1921 HON. PHILIP L. HALL, Lincoln.....Term expires January, 1923 HON. HARRY D. LANDIS, Seward.....Term expires January, 1923 HON. FRANK D. JUDSON, Omaha.....Term expires January, 1925

SAMUEL AVERY, Ph. D., LL. D., Chancellor J. S. DALES, M. Ph., Financial Secretary

THE STATION OFFICERS

E. A. BURNETT, D. Sc., Director W. W. BURR, B. Sc., Vice Director W. H. BROKAW, Director of Extension Service

THE WORKING STAFF

O. W. SJOGREN, B. Sc. in A. E., Agricultural Engineering W. W. BURR, B. Sc., Agronomy T. A. KIESSELBACH, PH. D., Agronomy H. J. GRAMLICH, B. Sc., Animal Husbandry L. VAN ES, M. D., V. S., Animal Pathology and Hygiene *J. H. GAIN, M. D. C., Animal Pathology and Hygiene F. W. UPSON, PH. D., Chemistry

J. H. FRANDSEN, M. S. A., Dairy Husbandry

M. H. SWENK, A. M., Entomology

LAWRENCE BRUNER, B. Sc., Entomology

R. F. HOWARD, A. M., Horticulture

G. A. LOVELAND, A. M., LL. B., Meteorology

GEORGE L. PELTIER, PH. D., Plant Pathology and Physiology

F. E. MUSSEHL, B. Sc., Poultry Husbandry

H. C. FILLEY, A. M., Rural Economics

W. P. SNYDER, M. S., Superintendent Experimental Substation.

North Platte

E. M. BROUSE, B. Sc., Superintendent Experimental Substation, Valentine J. A. HOLDEN, B. Sc., Superintendent Experimental Substation, Mitchell **L. L. ZOOK, B. Sc., Agronomist, North Platte

J. W. CALVIN, B. Sc., Associate in Agricultural Chemistry

W. J. LOEFFEL, B. Sc., Assistant in Animal Husbandry

C. K. SHEDD, B. Sc. in A. E., Assistant in Agricultural Engineering

P. L. GADDIS, A. B., B. Sc., Assistant in Agronomy

F. D. KEIM, B. Sc., Assistant in Agronomy

H. M. MARTIN, V. M. D., Assistant in Animal Pathology and Hygiene

J. W. HENDRICKSON, A. M., Assistant in Dairy Husbandry

JOHN LUITHLY, B. Sc., Assistant in Dairy Husbandry

C. C. WIGGANS, PH. D., Assistant in Horticulture H. O. WERNER, B. Sc., Assistant in Horticulture

K. W. Goss, M. S., Assistant in Plant Pathology and Physiology J. O. RANKIN, A. M., Assistant in Rural Economics

*Resigned

Detailed from Office of Dry Land Agriculture, United States Department of Agriulture, Washington, D. C.

THE VALUE OF COMMERCIAL VACCINES AND BACTERINS AGAINST FOWL CHOLERA

By

L. VAN ES AND H. M. MARTIN

A great prevalence of the disease known as fowl cholera has naturally stimulated an interest in possible means of prevention and especially in those which may bring about a more or less lasting immunity. Attempts at immunizing against this disease date back to the very beginning of the era of modern bacteriology and immunology, and there is no doubt that many investigators succeeded in causing immunity in chickens by one method or other. Apparently, however, it has not yet been possible thru any of those methods to gain a solid footing and general dependability. Vaccines which were favorably reported by some failed utterly in the hands of others, so that on the whole no substantial advantage has been gained.

Vaccines and bacterins are nevertheless constantly urged on poultry owners confronted with disease and the Experiment Station is frequently called upon to give an opinion on their value or to recommend any special preparation.

In order to comply with those demands in an intelligent and impartial manner, we have thought it wise to make some experiments with the various preparations offered by the pharmaceutical trade in the hope that this may enable us to recommend all or any preparation for the relief of our poultry raisers.

A search through the advertising pages of veterinary journals showed that in all six manufacturers offered vaccines and bacterins against fowl cholera for sale and claimed for them immunizing powers sufficient to warrant the expenditure of money on the part of poultry producers. 4 NEBRASKA EXPERIMENT STATION RESEARCH BULLETIN 18

From those various manufacturers we purchased a quantity of their products, treated a given number of fowls with them and then tested the immunity of the latter by means of inoculations with fowl cholera organisms secured from field outbreaks and cultivated in our laboratory.

We hereby submit the details of those experiments in the following tables.

SAMPLE No. 148.

This preparation was sold to us as "Hemorrhagic Septicemia Vaccine (Avian)." The details of the tests of this material are given in Table I.

Chicken No.	Fowl cholera vaccine No. 148 2/3 Quantity 1 c.c.	One loopful culture B. bipolaris avisepticus 2/11 Strain 38 B.	Dates of deaths	Remarks
$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12$	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	2/12 2/14 2/14 2/13 2/23 2/17 2/13 2/15 2/15	All chickens were very sick the day after the virus injection. Very sick. Recovered Very sick. Recovered Very sick. Recovered

TABLE I

NOTE—The fact that an injection was made is indicated by the X. A dash (-) is used to show that no injection took place.

SAMPLE No. 618. A specimen of "Hemorrhagic Septicemia Combined Bacterin (Avian)." The results of the test are contained in Table II.

Chicken	Injec bacterin	tions No. 618	Injections of virus	Injections of virus	Dates	Pomariza	
No.	2/7	2/12	$\frac{100.623}{2/21}$	4/8	deaths	iveinai KS	
1	¹ / ₂ c.c.	$\frac{1}{2}$ c.c.	X	—	3/1	Lame and sick on $2/24$ (Became sick after first virus, Becovered, sickened)	Fow
2	¹ / ₂ c.c.	$\frac{1}{2}$ c.c.	X	X		again after second virus injection. Destroyed 4/12.	ь С
3	1 c.c.	1 c.c.	X		3/4	Very sick on $2/24$	H
4	1 c.c.	1 c.c.	X	<u> </u>	3/2	Sick on 2/24	10
5	1 c.c.	1 c.c.	X		2/28	Very sick on 2/24	E
6	1 c.c.	1 c.c.	X		2'/23		RA
7	1 c.c.	1 c.c.	X		3/13	Very sick on 2/24	
8	1 c.c.	1 c.c.	X	<u> </u>	2/24		4
9	$\frac{1}{2}$ c.c.	1/2 c.c.	x	—	, 	\int This subject became very sick $4/29$ and remained so until it was destroyed $4/12$.	VCCI
10	1 c.c.	1/2 c.c.	X		3/1	Very sick on 2/24	Z
11	1 c.c.	2 c.c.	X		2/26	Very sick on 2/24	ES
12	1 c.c.	2 c.c.	x	X	-/	Sick on $2/24$ and was still very sick when second dose of virus was given. Killed $2/12$.	AN
13	1 c.c.	2 c.c.	x	X		Sick on 2/24. Had not quite recovered when second virus injection was made. Killed 4/12. May have recovered	D B.
14	1 c.c.	2 c.c.	X	2003 <u>- 1</u> 53 - 11	2/27	Sick on $2/24$	6
15	1 c.c.	2 c.c.	X		2/26	Very sick on $2/24$	TH
16	1 c.c.	2 c.c.	X	1999 <u>- 1</u> 999 - 1	3/14	Sick on $2/24$	R
17	1 c.c.	2 c.c.	X		2/29	Sick and lame on 2/24	Z
18	1 c.c.	2 c.c.	X		2/29	Verv sick 2/24	s
19	1 c.c.	2 c.c.	X	· ·	$\frac{1}{3}/2$	Sick and lame $2/24$	
					-/-	(2/24). The autopsy showed large necrotic foci in	
20	1 c.c.	2 c.c.	X		3/30	region of sternum which contained many bipolar	
					5,55	organisms.	
21			X		2/26	Virus control	
22			X		2/26	Virus control	
23			X		2/23	Virus control	01
24			X		2/23	Virus control	

TABLE II

Belonging to this same series are the six fowls of Table III which fifteen days after the last regular bacterin dose received 10 c.c. of the same substance. This was done in order to learn if any anaphylaxis may occur and also because we wished to learn whether or not protection against actual disease may be secured through the use of extra large doses. What happened to those chickens, when called upon to prove their immunity may be found in Table III.

Chiekon	Injectio	n bacterin	No. 618	Injection B. bipolaria	Injection B. bipologia	Data	
Ne	¹ / ₂ c.c.	¹ / ₂ c.c.	10 c.c.	No. 637	No. 637	of	Remarks
190.	2/7	2/12	2/27	0/0	4/0	deaths	
1	x	x	x	X	X		The 10 c.c. bacterin dose caused a transit- ory weakness. The fowl was sick 3/15 but recovered. Sec. virus injection no results
2	x	x	x	X	· · · ·	3/16	Transitory weakness after last bacterin
3 4 5	X X X	X X X	X X X	X X X		$3/14 \\ 3/12 \\ 3/16$	Ditto. Sick 3/10. Ditto. Sick and lame 3/10. Ditto. Sick on 3/10. (Sick and lame on 3/10.
6	X	x	X	X	X		still sick on 4/12. Weakness after 10 c.c. bacterin injection.

TABLE III

0.

SAMPLE No. 630.

A preparation labelled: "Avian Hemorrhagic Septicemia Bacterin." (Table IV.)

Chicken	Injection	s bacterin	No. 630	Injec B. bipolar	etions is No. 637	Dates	Remarks
No.	2/21	2/24	2/27	3/8	4/8	deaths	
$\begin{array}{c} 1 \dots \\ 2 \dots \\ 3 \dots \\ 4 \dots \\ 5 \dots \\ 5 \dots \\ 6 \dots \\ 7 \dots \\ 8 \dots \\ 9 \dots \\ 10 \dots \\ 11 \dots \\ 12 \dots \\ 13 \dots \\ 13 \dots \\ 14 \dots \\ 15 \dots \\ 16 \dots \\ 17 \dots \\ 18 \dots \\ 19 \dots \\ 20 \dots \\ \end{array}$	2 c.c. 2 c.c.	2 c.c. 2	2 c.c. 2 c.e. 2	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X		$\begin{array}{c} 3/10\\ 3/10\\ 3/9\\ 4/12\\ 3/10\\ 4/12\\ 3/9\\ 3/10\\ 3/9\\ 3/10\\ 3/9\\ 3/14\\ 3/12\\ 3/19\\ 3/10\\ 3/9\\ 3/10\\ 3/9\\ 3/11\\ 3/9\\ 3/13\\ \end{array}$	Chicken was still sick when killed. Sick 3/11. Was moribund when killed. Sick 3/11. In very poor condition when killed. Sick on 3/11. Sick on 3/11.

TABLE IV

SAMPLE No. 676.

Sold under the label of: "Avisepticus Bacterin." (Table V.)

Chicken No.	$\frac{1}{3/12}$	njectio erin No 2 c.c. 3/15	$\frac{500}{3/18}$	Injection B. bipolaris No. 673 1 loopful 3/30	Dates of deaths	Remarks
$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \end{array}$	X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X	$ 3/21 \\ 4/3 \\ 4/3 \\ 4/2 \\ 4/1 \\ 4/4 \\ 4/12 $	Sick on 3/31 Sick on 3/31 Sick on 3/31 Sick on 3/31 (Killed Chronic cholera
8 9	X X X	X X X	X X X	X X X	4/12 4/12 4/12	Sick on 3/31 Killed — in good health had not been sick Sick on 3/31. Very sick when killed
$\begin{array}{c} 10 \dots \dots \\ 11 \dots \dots \\ 12 \dots \dots \\ 13 \dots \dots \\ 14 \dots \dots \\ 15 \dots \dots \end{array}$	X X X X X X	X X X X X X X	X X X X X X	X X X X X X X	$4/6 \\ 4/3 \\ 4/1 \\ 4/5 \\ 4/8 \\ 4/12$	Sick on 3/31 Sick on 3/31 Sick on 3/31 Sick on 3/31 Sick on 3/31 ∫ Killed. Sick on 3/31.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	111111111111		 	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	$\begin{array}{c} 4/1\\ 4/1\\ 3/31\\ 4/1\\ 3/31\\ 4/1\\ 4/1\\ 3/31\\ 3/31\\ 4/3\\ 4/1\\ 3/31\end{array}$	Developed chronic cholera Control

TABLE V

SAMPLE No. 682.

A preparation labelled: "Hemorrhagic Septicemia Vaccine (for fowls)." (Table VI.)

Chicken No.	Injections vaccine No. 682 2 c.c. 3/17 3/20 3/23			Injections B. bipolaris No. 637 1 loopful 3/30	Dates of deaths	Remarks		
$\begin{array}{c} \hline 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ - 2 \\$	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX			X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	$\begin{array}{r} 4/1\\ 4/8\\ 4/7\\ 4/4\\ 3/31\\ 3/31\\ 3/31\\ 4/1\\ 3/31\\ 4/11\\ 4/9\\ 3/31\\ 3/31\\ 4/11\\ 4/9\\ 3/31\\ 3/31\\ 3/31\\ 3/31\\ 3/31\\ 3/31\\ 3/31\\ 4/10\\ 4/12\\ \end{array}$	Control Control. Sick on 3/31 Sick on 3/31. Control Control Control Control Control Control Sick on 3/31. Control Sick on 3/31. Control		
27 28 29				X X X	$4/1 \\ 3/31 \\ 3/31$	Control Control Control		

TABLE VI

10 NEBRASKA EXPERIMENT STATION RESEARCH BULLETIN 18

SAMPLE No. 741.

A product sold as: "Fowl Cholera Bacterin." (Table VII.)

Chicken No.	In k No. 4/19	njectio pacteri 741. 4/22	ns n c.c. 4/25	Injections B. bipolaris No. 637 1 loopful 5/7	Dates of deaths	Remarks
1 2 3 5 6 7 9 10		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1/10 1 1/10 1 1 1 1/10 1 1/10	5/18 5/12 5/8 5/18 5/14 5/12 5/11 5/13 5/8 5/13	{ Sick 5/10. Very sick when killed Sick 5/10 Very sick when killed Sick on 5/10
$ \begin{array}{c} 10. \dots \\ 11. \dots \\ 12. \dots \\ 13. \dots \\ 14. \dots \\ \end{array} $		1 	1 1 2 1	1 1/10 1	5/13 5/10 5/8 5/18 5/18	{ Sick 5/10. Very sick when killed { Sick 5/10. Very sick when killed
15 16			1 1	1	5/9 5/18	Sick 5/10. Apparently recovered when killed Necrosis at point of in- oculation. Many organ-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			THEFT	1/10 1/10 1/10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5/9 5/9 5/9 5/9 5/11 5/9 5/9 5/11 5/8 5/9 5/9 5/11 5/8 5/9 5/11 5/8 5/9 5/11 5/8 5/9 5/11 5/8 5/9 5/11 5/8 5/9 5/11 5/8 5/9 5/11 5/8 5/9 5/11 5/8 5/9 5/9 5/11 5/8 5/9 5/9 5/10 5/9 5/10 5/9 5/10 5/9 5/10 5/9 5/9 5/10 5/8 5/9 5/9 5/9 5/9 5/9 5/9 5/9 5/9 5/9 5/8 5/9 5/8 5/9 5/8 5/10 5/10 5/10	Isms present. Control

TABLE VII

Summarizing the results of the preceding series, we find as follows: (Table VIII.)

Number of chickens of	Number of besterin	Results		
which immunity was tested	or vaccine injections	Chickens sick or dead	Chickens immune	
18	1 2	18 25	0	
57	3	56 99	1 1	

TABLE VIII

It is evident from the above that no reliance can be placed on the vaccines and bacterins against fowl cholera, which we are able to find on the market and subject to definite tests. We have no doubt as to the possibility of artificial immunity as an aid to the control of fowl cholera, but as yet we will have to get along with the more non specific means of prevention, even if those are far from a universal efficiency.

(5M)

