# University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

# Papers in Natural Resources

Natural Resources, School of

2015

# The uncertain climate footprint of wetlands under human pressure

Ana Maria Roxana Petrescu European Commission, Joint Research Center, Institute for Environment and Sustainability

Annalea Lohila Atmospheric Composition Research, Finnish Meteorological Institute

Juha-Pekka Tuovinen Atmospheric Composition Research, Finnish Meteorological Institute

Dennis D. Baldocchi University of California - Berkeley, baldocchi@berkeley.edu

Ankur R. Desai University of Wisconsin-Madison, desai@aos.wisc.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/natrespapers Part of the <u>Natural Resources and Conservation Commons</u>, <u>Natural Resources Management and</u> <u>Policy Commons</u>, and the <u>Other Environmental Sciences Commons</u>

Roxana Petrescu, Ana Maria; Lohila, Annalea; Tuovinen, Juha-Pekka; Baldocchi, Dennis D.; Desai, Ankur R.; Roulet, Nigel T.; Vesala, Timo; Dolman, Albertus Johannes; Oechel, Walter C.; Marcolla, Barbara; Friborg, Thomas; Rinne, Janne; Hatala Matthes, Jaclyn; Merbold, Lutz; Meijide, Ana; Kiely, Gerard; Sottocornola, Matteo; Sachs, Torsten; Zona, Donatella; Varlagin, Andrej; Lai, Derrick Y.F.; Veenendaal, Elmar; Parmentier, Frans-Jan W.; Skiba, Ute; Land, Magnus; Hensen, Arjan; van Huissteden, Jacobus; Flanagan, Lawrence B.; Shurpali, Narasinha J.; Grünwald, Thomas; Humphreys, Elyn R.; Jackowicz-Korczynski, Marcin; Aurela, Mika A.; Laurila, Tuomas; Grüning, Carsten; Corradi, Chiara A.R.; Schrier-Uijl, Arina P.; Christensen, Torben R.; Tamstorf, Mikkel P.; Mastepanov, Mikhail; Martikainen, Pertti J.; Verma, Shashi; Bernhofer, Christian; and Cescatti, Alessandro, "The uncertain climate footprint of wetlands under human pressure" (2015). *Papers in Natural Resources*. 592. http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/natrespapers/592

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Natural Resources, School of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Papers in Natural Resources by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# Authors

Ana Maria Roxana Petrescu, Annalea Lohila, Juha-Pekka Tuovinen, Dennis D. Baldocchi, Ankur R. Desai, Nigel T. Roulet, Timo Vesala, Albertus Johannes Dolman, Walter C. Oechel, Barbara Marcolla, Thomas Friborg, Janne Rinne, Jaclyn Hatala Matthes, Lutz Merbold, Ana Meijide, Gerard Kiely, Matteo Sottocornola, Torsten Sachs, Donatella Zona, Andrej Varlagin, Derrick Y.F. Lai, Elmar Veenendaal, Frans-Jan W. Parmentier, Ute Skiba, Magnus Land, Arjan Hensen, Jacobus van Huissteden, Lawrence B. Flanagan, Narasinha J. Shurpali, Thomas Grünwald, Elyn R. Humphreys, Marcin Jackowicz-Korczynski, Mika A. Aurela, Tuomas Laurila, Carsten Grüning, Chiara A.R. Corradi, Arina P. Schrier-Uijl, Torben R. Christensen, Mikkel P. Tamstorf, Mikhail Mastepanov, Pertti J. Martikainen, Shashi Verma, Christian Bernhofer, and Alessandro Cescatti



# The uncertain climate footprint of wetlands under human pressure

Ana Maria Roxana Petrescu<sup>a</sup>, Annalea Lohila<sup>b</sup>, Juha-Pekka Tuovinen<sup>b</sup>, Dennis D. Baldocchi<sup>c</sup>, Ankur R. Desai<sup>d</sup>, Nigel T. Roulet<sup>e</sup>, Timo Vesala<sup>f,g</sup>, Albertus Johannes Dolman<sup>h</sup>, Walter C. Oechel<sup>i</sup>, Barbara Marcolla<sup>j</sup>, Thomas Friborg<sup>k</sup>, Janne Rinne<sup>b,f,l</sup>, Jaclyn Hatala Matthes<sup>c,1</sup>, Lutz Merbold<sup>m</sup>, Ana Meijide<sup>a,2</sup>, Gerard Kiely<sup>n</sup>, Matteo Sottocornola<sup>n,3</sup>, Torsten Sachs<sup>o</sup>, Donatella Zona<sup>i,p</sup>, Andrej Varlagin<sup>q</sup>, Derrick Y. F. Lai<sup>r</sup>, Elmar Veenendaal<sup>s</sup>, Frans-Jan W. Parmentier<sup>t,u</sup>, Ute Skiba<sup>v</sup>, Magnus Lund<sup>t,u</sup>, Arjan Hensen<sup>w</sup>, Jacobus van Huissteden<sup>h</sup>, Lawrence B. Flanagan<sup>x</sup>, Narasinha J. Shurpali<sup>y</sup>, Thomas Grünwald<sup>z</sup>, Elyn R. Humphreys<sup>aa</sup>, Marcin Jackowicz-Korczyński<sup>t</sup>, Mika A. Aurela<sup>b</sup>, Tuomas Laurila<sup>b</sup>, Carsten Grüning<sup>a</sup>, Chiara A. R. Corradi<sup>bb</sup>, Arina P. Schrier-Uijl<sup>s</sup>, Torben R. Christensen<sup>t,u</sup>, Mikkel P. Tamstorf<sup>u</sup>, Mikhail Mastepanov<sup>t,u</sup>, Pertti J. Martikainen<sup>y</sup>, Shashi B. Verma<sup>cc</sup>, Christian Bernhofer<sup>z</sup>, and Alessandro Cescatti<sup>a,4</sup>

<sup>a</sup>European Commission, Joint Research Center, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Ispra (VA) 21027, Italy; <sup>b</sup>Atmospheric Composition Research, Finnish Meteorological Institute, FI-00101 Helsinki, Finland; <sup>c</sup>Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720; <sup>d</sup>Atmospheric & Oceanic Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706; <sup>e</sup>Department of Geography & the Global Environmental and Climate Change Research Centre, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 2K6, Canada; Departments of <sup>f</sup>Physics and <sup>g</sup>Forest Global Environmental and Climate Change Research Centre, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 2K6, Canada; Departments of 'Physics and <sup>9</sup>Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, FiN-00014 Helsinki, Finland; <sup>h</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Earth and Climate Cluster, VU University Amsterdam, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands; <sup>i</sup>Global Change Research Group, Department of Biology, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA 92182; <sup>i</sup>Sustainable Agro-ecosystems and Bioresources Department, Fondazione Edmund Mach, 1-38010 S. Michele all'Adige (TN), Italy; <sup>k</sup>CENter for PERMafrost, Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen,1350 K Copenhagen, Demark; <sup>b</sup>Department of Geosciences and Geography, University of Helsinki, FIN-00014 Helsinki, Finland; <sup>m</sup>Department of Environmental Systems Science, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland; <sup>n</sup>Civil and Environmental Engineering Department and Environmental Research Institute, University College Cork, Carly, Jackson Marchage Merchage (Core). Cork, Ireland; °Helmholtz Centre Potsdam (GFZ) (Geoforschungszentrum) German Research Centre for Geosciences, Department of Inorganic and Isotope Geochemistry, 14473 Potsdam, Germany; <sup>P</sup>Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, United Kingdom; <sup>q</sup>A. N. Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow 119071, Russia; <sup>r</sup>Department of Geography and Resource Management, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China; SNature Conservation and Plant Ecology Group, Wageningen University, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands; <sup>t</sup>Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, SE-223 62 Lund, Arctic Research Centre, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark; <sup>v</sup>Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bush Sweden; Estate, Penicuik EH26 0QB, United Kingdom; "Energy Research Centre of the Netherlands (Energieonderzoek Centrum Nederland), Environmental Research, 1755 ZG Petten, The Netherlands; \*Department of Biological Sciences, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, AB T1K 3M4, Canada; <sup>y</sup>Department of Environmental Science, University of Eastern Finland, FIN-70211 Kuopio, Finland; <sup>z</sup>Institute of Hydrology and Meteorology, Chair of Meteorology, Technische Universität Dresden, D-01062 Dresden, Germany; <sup>aa</sup>Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON K1S 5B6, Canada; <sup>bb</sup>Laboratory of Forest Ecology, Department of Forest, Environment, and Resources, University of Tuscia of Viterbo, 01100 Viterbo, Italy; and <sup>cc</sup>School of Natural Resources, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68583

Edited by William H. Schlesinger, Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Millbrook, NY, and approved February 9, 2015 (received for review August 23, 2014)

Significant climate risks are associated with a positive carbon-temperature feedback in northern latitude carbon-rich ecosystems, making an accurate analysis of human impacts on the net greenhouse gas balance of wetlands a priority. Here, we provide a coherent assessment of the climate footprint of a network of wetland sites based on simultaneous and guasi-continuous ecosystem observations of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. Experimental areas are located both in natural and in managed wetlands and cover a wide range of climatic regions, ecosystem types, and management practices. Based on direct observations we predict that sustained CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in natural ecosystems are in the long term (i.e., several centuries) typically offset by CO2 uptake, although with large spatiotemporal variability. Using a space-for-time analogy across ecological and climatic gradients, we represent the chronosequence from natural to managed conditions to quantify the "cost" of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions for the benefit of net carbon sequestration. With a sustained pulseresponse radiative forcing model, we found a significant increase in atmospheric forcing due to land management, in particular for wetland converted to cropland. Our results quantify the role of human activities on the climate footprint of northern wetlands and call for development of active mitigation strategies for managed wetlands and new guidelines of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) accounting for both sustained CH<sub>4</sub> emissions and cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> exchange.

wetland conversion | methane | radiative forcing | carbon dioxide

CH<sub>4</sub>, contributing to about 30% of the global CH<sub>4</sub> total emissions (3), and are presumed to be a primary driver of interannual variations in the atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> growth rate (4, 5). Meanwhile, peatlands, the main subclass of wetland ecosystems, cover 3% of the Earth's surface and are known to store large quantities of carbon (about 500  $\pm$  100 Gt C) (6, 7).

The controversial climate footprint of wetlands is due to the difference in atmospheric lifetimes and the generally opposite directions of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  exchanges, which leads to an uncertain sign of the net radiative budget. Wetlands in fact have a great

For their ability to simultaneously sequester  $CO_2$  and emit  $CH_4$ , wetlands are unique ecosystems that may potentially generate large negative climate feedbacks over centuries to millennia (1) and positive feedbacks over years to several centuries (2). Wetlands are among the major biogenic sources of

Author contributions: A.M.R.P. and A.C. designed research and led the discussions; A.M.R.P., A.L., J.-P.T., and A.C. performed research and the RF analysis; A.M.R.P., A.L., J.-P.T., B.M., and A.C. analyzed data; A.M.R.P., A.L., J.-P.T., D.D.B., A.R.D., N.T.R., T.V., A.J.D., W.C.O., B.M., T.F., J.R., J.H.M., L.M., A.M., G.K., M.S., T.S., D.Z., A.V., D.Y.F.L., E.V., F.-J.W.P., U.S., M.L., A.H., J.V.H., L.B.F., N.J.S., T.G., E.R.H., M.J.-K., M.A.A., T.L., C.G., C.A.R.C., A.P.S.-U., T.R.C., M.P.T., M.M., P.J.M., S.B.V., C.B., and A.C. wrote the paper; and A.L., D.D.B., A.R.D., N.T.R, T.V., A.J.D., W.C.O., T.F., J.R., J.H.M., L.M., A.M., G.K., M.S., T.S., D.Z., A.V., D.Y.F.L., E.V., F.-J.W.P., U.S., M.L., A.H., J.V.H., L.B.F., N.J.S., T.G., E.R.H., M.J.-K., M.A.A., T.L., C.G., C.A.R.C., A.P.S.-U., T.R.C., M.P.T., M.M., P.J.M., S.B.V., and C.B. are data providers.

The authors declare no conflict of interest

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Present address: Department of Geography, Dartmouth College, 6017 Fairchild Hall, Hanover, NH 03755.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Present address: Bioclimatology Group, Georg-August-University Göttingen, Büsgenweg 2, 37077 Göttingen, Germany.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Present address: Department of Chemical and Life Sciences, Waterford Institute of Technology, Waterford, Ireland.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: alessandro.cescatti@jrc.ec. europa.eu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1416267112/-/DCSupplemental.

#### Significance

Wetlands are unique ecosystems because they are in general sinks for carbon dioxide and sources of methane. Their climate footprint therefore depends on the relative sign and magnitude of the land-atmosphere exchange of these two major greenhouse gases. This work presents a synthesis of simultaneous measurements of carbon dioxide and methane fluxes to assess the radiative forcing of natural wetlands converted to agricultural or forested land. The net climate impact of wetlands is strongly dependent on whether they are natural or managed. Here we show that the conversion of natural wetlands produces a significant increase of the atmospheric radiative forcing. The findings suggest that management plans for these complex ecosystems should carefully account for the potential biogeochemical effects on climate.

potential to preserve the carbon sequestration capacity because near water-logged conditions reduce or inhibit microbial respiration, promoting meanwhile  $CH_4$  production that may partially or completely counteract carbon uptake. Potential variations of the  $CO_2/CH_4$  stoichiometry in wetlands exposed to climate and landuse change require the development of mitigation-oriented management strategies to avoid large climatic impacts.

The current and future contribution of wetlands to the global greenhouse gas (GHG) budget is still uncertain because of our limited knowledge of the combined and synergistic response of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> land-atmosphere exchange to environmental variability (8, 9) and land-use change (e.g., wetland restoration, drainage for forestry, agriculture, or peat mining) (9, 10). Fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> from natural wetlands show large spatiotemporal variations (11, 12), arising from environmental interactions controlling the production, transport, consumption, and release of  $CH_4$  (13, 14) as well as the dynamic balance between photosynthetic and respiratory processes that regulate the net accumulation of carbon in biomass and soil. Environmental factors such as variations in air and soil temperature, water table, and substrate availability for methanogenesis lead to a high spatial and temporal variation of  $CH_4$  emissions (15–17). The magnitude of emissions is also controlled by the balance between CH<sub>4</sub> production and oxidation rates and by transport pathways: diffusion (18), ebullition (19), and aerenchyma transport (20).

Climate change influences the GHG balance of wetlands through thawing of the near-surface permafrost (21, 22) and thaw lakes (23), increased nitrogen availability due to accelerated decomposition of organic matter (24), and modification of the water tables with consequent shifts in  $CH_4$  emissions (1, 25). A review of carbon budgets of global peatlands concluded that these ecosystems may remain a small but persistent sink that builds a large C pool, reducing the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> burden, whereas the stimulation of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions induced by climate warming may be locally tempered or enhanced by drying or wetting (26). The climate footprint of wetlands can also be affected by anthropogenic activities such as the conversion of natural ecosystems to agricultural or forested land (10, 27). Draining peatlands for forestry may lead to a C loss and reduced  $CH_4$  emissions (10, 26), whereas land use for agriculture typically reduces the  $CH_4$  emissions and increases  $N_2O$  emissions (26).

Several studies have analyzed the impact of northern peatlands on the Earth's radiative budget either by computing the radiative forcing (RF) of sustained  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  fluxes (2) or by multiplying the annual ecosystem exchange of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  with the global warming potentials of the two gases (28–30). However, although this latter approach is useful for comparison, its appropriateness in computing the actual RF has been questioned (31–33). An alternative approach for assessing the impact of peatland draining/drying on the RF has been applied by driving an atmospheric composition and RF model with pre- and postdrainage measured fluxes of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and  $N_2O$  (34).

Here, we ask, what is the climate cost of  $CH_4$  emissions compared with the benefit of net carbon sequestration? We assessed this question, using data from a network of wetland observational sites where direct and quasi-continuous  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  chamber and eddy covariance measurements are performed. Using the space for time analogy, flux observations at sites with contrasting land cover are combined with a sustained pulse–response model to predict the potential future RF of natural wetlands converted to agricultural or forested land.

### **Results and Discussion**

As the land-atmosphere fluxes of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  in wetlands can be opposite in sign and very different in magnitude, their net impact on the climate system is difficult to assess and predict. In particular,  $CH_4$  emissions from wetlands are continuous and thus add a positive term to the radiative balance (31) that can be partially or totally offset by a sustained carbon sequestration (35). The availability of consistent and simultaneous measurements of ecosystem  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes provides an opportunity to address these issues, using direct observations collected at 29 both natural and managed wetlands located in the Northern Hemisphere (Fig. 1*A*). Details on site locations, climate, vegetation type, measurement techniques, and yearly/seasonal GHG budgets are reported in *SI Text, Site Analysis* and *SI Text, Measurement Techniques and Gap-Filling Methods* (Tables S1–S5).

The trade-off between CH<sub>4</sub> net emission and CO<sub>2</sub> net sequestration in wetlands is evident in Fig. 1B, where most sites are sources of CH<sub>4</sub> (positive ecosystem fluxes) and CO<sub>2</sub> sinks (negative values of net ecosystem exchange, NEE). Given that CH<sub>4</sub> has a relatively short lifetime in the atmosphere ( $\sim 10$  y) compared to CO<sub>2</sub>, the radiative balance of these two gases depends on the timeframe of the analysis. As an example of this dependence, the two red-blue equilibrium lines in Fig. 1B represent the ratio of sustained CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes that would result in a zero net cumulative radiative balance over 20 y and 100 y. The lines were simulated with a sustained pulse-response model (27) and used in this study also to calculate the RF of management options. The model generates the following flux ratios: -31.3 g and -19.2 g  $CO_2$ -C·m<sup>-2</sup>·y<sup>-1</sup> per gram CH<sub>4</sub>-C·m<sup>-2</sup>·y<sup>-1</sup> for 20 y and 100 y, respectively. This implies that a continuous emission of 1 g  $CH_4$ - $C \cdot m^{-2} \cdot y^{-1}$  and uptake of 31.3 g  $CO_2$ - $C \cdot m^{-2} \cdot y^{-1}$  would have a positive cumulative RF (warming) for the first 20 y and a negative cumulative RF (cooling) after that. Sites that fall on the right side of the equilibrium lines have a positive radiative budget and those on the left side have a negative radiative budget for the specified 20-y or 100-y timeframe (Fig. 1B). Under the current climate, 59% of arctic and boreal sites' and 60% of temperate sites' observations have a positive radiative balance compared with both 20-y and 100-y equilibrium lines. All but one of the forested wetlands [arctic/boreal (AB)5, AB7, temperate (T)9, and T11] currently have a negative net radiative balance owing to their considerable CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and relatively low CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1). Sites located between the two lines have a positive or negative radiative budget, depending on the time span of the analysis (e.g., AB9, AB4, and T8, Fig. 1B).

Changes in the water level in wetlands substantially alter the ratio of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  fluxes. Recent warming and drying in the Arctic has led to increased  $CO_2$  losses from the soil, in some cases switching arctic regions from a long-term carbon sink to a carbon source (36). In other cases, the drying of arctic and boreal wetlands reduces  $CH_4$  emission without generating larger  $CO_2$  emissions, owing to the compensation between accelerated decomposition of organic matter and an increase in net primary productivity (NPP) (37–39). As an example of management impacts, data show that the  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  emissions of the site AB3a dropped toward a near zero net radiative budget one year



**Fig. 1.** (*A*) Global distribution of the 29 measurement sites involved in the present analysis. Triangles represent sites with annual budgets (Y) and circles represent sites with growing season budgets (S). Site IDs and description are reported in *SI Text, Site Analysis* and Tables S1 and S2. (*B*) CH<sub>4</sub> vs. CO<sub>2</sub> flux (in grams  $C \cdot m^{-2} \cdot y^{-1}$ ) for arctic/boreal and temperate wetlands relative to the modeled RF equilibrium lines. The two blue-red equilibrium lines represent the ratio of sustained CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (grams CO<sub>2</sub>- $C \cdot m^{-2} \cdot y^{-1}$ ) per gram CH<sub>4</sub>- $C \cdot m^{-2} \cdot y^{-1}$ ) that would result in a zero cumulative RF over the period indicated for the line (20 y and 100 y). The slope of the line depends on the constant CO<sub>2</sub> uptake rate that would be needed for compensating the positive RF of a unit CH<sub>4</sub> emission at a fixed changing time. The arrow pointing down (AB3a to AB3b) indicates the carbon flux change at the specific site after a drainage experiment.

after drainage, whereas sites that were drained a long time ago, such as AB6 and AB7, have large carbon uptake rates (Fig. 1).

Different responses of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  budgets at drained temperate wetlands compared with boreal or arctic wetlands mainly occur due to management activities. At these sites draining for agricultural use suppresses  $CH_4$  emissions and enhances  $CO_2$  efflux owing to accelerated peat degradation, exploitation through grazing, and carbon export (T2, T10, and T14). Conversely, rewetted former agricultural areas or restored wetlands typically emit  $CH_4$  (T13) at a rate that in the short term is not offset by the  $CO_2$  sink (T4). Although most of the studied temperate wetlands have a positive radiative budget, natural forested wetlands show significant carbon uptake driven by high rates of photosynthesis that offsets ecosystem respiration (T9 and T11). The long-term  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  balance of these ecosystems thus ultimately depends on the fate of the carbon stored in the trees.

At temperate latitudes, it is interesting to note that the two rice paddies (T3 and T7) that in general are known as major contributors to atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> (5% of the total emissions and about 10% of the anthropogenic emissions) (3) are also characterized by large CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. However, the net GHG budget of this crop is further complicated by significant carbon imports (fertilization) and exports (harvest and dissolved organic carbon). Based on site observations, carbon losses due to harvest account for 67% and 70% of net ecosystem exchange at T3 (40) and T7, respectively, so that the net GHG balance from these ecosystems is strongly influenced by the carbon exports.

To quantify the effect of ecosystem management on the net climate impact of multiple GHG fluxes, we applied an analytical approach based on the concept of radiative forcing. RF is a widely used metric in climate change research to quantify the magnitude of an externally imposed perturbation to the incoming long-wave radiative component of the Earth's atmospheric energy budget (41). Two types of human perturbations were considered: the conversion of natural wetlands to agricultural land and the conversion of natural forested wetlands to managed forested wetlands. Natural wetlands with full annual GHG budget were used as reference and paired in all possible combinations to managed sites (*SI Text, Radiative Forcing Calculations* and Table S6). Based on the difference between natural and perturbed ecosystems, we calculated the net RF due to CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes for 100 y, using a sustained pulseresponse model (27) (*SI Text, Radiative Forcing Calculations*). The contribution of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes to the RF was accounted for only in agricultural sites (AB6, AB14a,b, T10, and T14) where significant emissions of this GHG can be observed (3).

Losses of carbon due to harvest and natural disturbances (e.g., mainly fires, wind throw, and pests) were also taken into account in the RF calculation, either in the form of annual harvest (for agricultural land) or after each rotation for wood harvest, and assumed every 100 y for natural disturbances in forested wetlands (42-44). It was assumed that all of the removed biomass was emitted into the atmosphere as CO<sub>2</sub> during the same year. The results of the RF simulations (Fig. 2) are thus dependent on the ecosystem and management type. Results show that at all timescales the net effect of GHG emissions in arctic and boreal natural wetlands converted into agricultural sites (Fig. 2A) is a large positive RF, whereas the conversion of drained wetlands into energy crops (AB6) results in a minor negative RF for the 100-y simulations. The temperate wetlands (Fig. 2B) that were converted into agriculture sites showed, in general, a positive RF with a large spread among sites induced by management intensity [e.g., intensive (T10) vs. extensive (T14) grazing]. Given that the carbon balance of forest ecosystems largely depends on the fraction of harvested biomass, we carried out an uncertainty analysis by perturbing the harvest rate of the accumulated NPP according to two Gaussian distributions for natural (50  $\pm$  10%, observed harvest rate at AB7) and managed  $(67 \pm 10\%)$  (45) sites, respectively (SI Text, Radiative Forcing Calculations). To evaluate the uncertainty generated by our assumptions, NPP was estimated with two alternative methodologies: (i) applying average ratios of NPP/gross primary productivity derived from the partitioning of the observed NEE (46), based on a recent meta-analysis (NPP/GPP = 0.39 and 0.49 for boreal and temperate forests, respectively) (47), and (ii) summing the observed NEE to the soil respiration rates



**Fig. 2.** Trends of radiative forcing (RF, period 2000–2100) for paired sites and ecosystem types. (*A* and *B*) Net RF for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O in natural wetlands converted to agricultural land. (*C* and *D*) Net RF for the conversion of natural forested wetland to managed forests (AB5 $\rightarrow$ AB7 and T9 $\rightarrow$ T11). For each of the two pairs an uncertainty analysis on the effect of the harvest rate is presented. (*E* and *F*) Cumulative RF of individual gases at 20 y and 100 y for all site pairs, with their net RF (circles  $\pm$  SD). The forcing units refer to the mean global impact of 1 m<sup>2</sup> of wetland area (*SI Text, Radiative Forcing Calculations*). Site IDs can be found in *SI Text, Site Analysis* and Tables S1 and S2.

reported in the *IPCC Wetland Supplement* for natural and managed wetlands (48).

Results for the boreal site pair (AB5 $\rightarrow$ AB7) show that the confidence intervals cross the *x* axis and therefore the ultimate sign of the RF depends on the harvest rate. In addition, with both methods used for the calculation of NPP, at average harvest rates the RF is not statistically different from zero (Fig. 2*C*). In contrast, for the temperate site pair (T9 $\rightarrow$ T11) RF is positive, independently of the management intensity and of the applied methodology (Fig. 2*D*). Our analysis demonstrates that, to assess the RF of wetland management, both CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes and the

concomitant changes in  $CO_2$  emissions have to be accounted for. This is especially true at the decadal timescales for boreal wetlands converted to forest or agricultural land (Fig. 2 *E* and *F*).

# Conclusions

The recent availability of simultaneous and continuous ecosystem observations of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  fluxes in wetlands provides fundamental insights into the climate footprint of these ecosystems to support the development of sustainable mitigation strategies based on ecosystem management. Careful accounting of both  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes (and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes where significant) is essential for an

accurate calculation of the climate impact of wetlands. We also stress the importance of direct and quasi-continuous chamber or eddy covariance flux measurements over annual timescales for the observation of ecosystem responses to environmental drivers and management (e.g., flooding, drainage, and land use change) that may be missed with intermittent manual chamber measurements.

The net GHG budget of these ecosystems is spatially and temporally variable in sign and magnitude due to the generally opposite direction of  $CH_4$  (emission) and  $CO_2$  (uptake) exchange and, therefore, can be easily altered by both natural and anthropogenic perturbations (*SI Text, Site Analysis* and Table S3). Management and land use conversions in particular play a critical role in determining the future GHG balance of these ecosystems. Our results prove that management intensity strongly influences the net climate footprint of wetlands and in particular the conversion of natural ecosystems to agricultural land ultimately leads to strong positive RF. These considerations suggest that future releases of GHG inventories based on IPCC guidelines for wetlands should indeed address the relationship between the fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, the management intensity, and the land use/land cover change on the net GHG balance as well as on the RF of these complex ecosystems.

#### **Materials and Methods**

This study is based on measurements of net ecosystem exchange of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  trace gas exchange performed with eddy covariance and/or chamber methods (*SI Text, Site Analysis* and Tables S1 and S2). Most of the included study sites are part of FLUXNET, an international network of sites where energy and GHG fluxes are continuously monitored with a standardized methodology (49). The RF due to wetlands management was calculated for  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and, where significant (agricultural sites AB6, AB14a,b, T10, and T14), N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes, using a sustained pulse–response model (27). Annual concentration pulses were derived from the flux differences between pristine wetlands, taken as reference, and wetlands converted to either cropland or forests.

Natural-managed site pairs were defined for all possible combinations of similar ecosystem types with available annual  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  budgets within each climatic or management-related category (arctic/boreal or temperate regions, cropland or forest; *SI Text, Radiative Forcing Calculations* and Table S6). These site pairs were selected to represent plausible and representative wetland conversions, and thus part of the sites were excluded from this analysis (e.g., rice fields). In the simple pulse–response RF model used here the perturbations to the tropospheric concentrations of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and  $N_2O$ 

- 1. Gorham E (1991) Northern peatlands: Role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to climatic warming. *Ecol Appl* 1(2):182–195.
- Frolking S, Roulet NT (2007) Holocene radiative forcing impact of northern peatland carbon accumulation and methane emissions. *Glob Change Biol* 13:1079–1088.
- Ciais P, et al. (2013) Carbon and other biogeochemical cycles. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, eds Stocker TF, et al. (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK), pp 505–510.
- Bousquet P, et al. (2006) Contribution of anthropogenic and natural sources to atmospheric methane variability. Nature 443(7110):439–443.
- Nisbet EG, Dlugokencky EJ, Bousquet P (2014) Atmospheric science. Methane on the rise—again. Science 343(6170):493–495.
- Limpens J, et al. (2008) Peatlands and the carbon cycle: From local processes to global implications – a synthesis. *Biogeosciences* 5:1475–1491.
- Yu ZC (2012) Northern peatland carbon stocks and dynamics: A review. *Biogeosciences* 9:4071–4085.
- 8. Sturtevant C, Oechel WC (2013) Spatial variation in landscape-level  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes from arctic coastal tundra: Influence from vegetation, wetness, and the thaw lake cycle. *Glob Change Biol* 19(9):2853–2866.
- 9. Zona D, et al. (2009) Methane fluxes during the initiation of a large-scale water table manipulation experiment in the Alaskan arctic tundra. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 23(2):GB2013.
- Minkkinen K, Korhonen R, Savolainen I, Laine J (2002) Carbon balance and radiative forcing of Finnish peatlands 1900-2100 – the impact of forestry drainage. *Glob Change Biol* 8:785–799.
- Drösler M, Freibauer A, Christensen TR, Friborg T (2008) Observation and status of peatland greenhouse gas emission in Europe. *The Continental-Scale Greenhouse Gas Balance of Europe, Ecological Studies*, eds Dolman H, Valentini R, Freibauer A (Springer, New York), Vol 203, pp 237–255.
- Harazono Y, et al. (2006) Temporal and spatial differences of methane flux at arctic tundra in Alaska. *Memoirs of National Institute of Polar Research* 59(Special Issue): 79–95.
- Matthews E (2000) Wetlands. Atmospheric Methane: Its Role in the Global Environment, ed Khalil MAK (Springer, Berlin), pp 202–233.

were derived by integrating the effect of a series of consecutive annual mass pulses that correspond to the mean annual balances of these gases (27) (5/ *Text, Radiative Forcing Calculations*). Different radiative efficiencies and atmospheric residence times of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O were taken into account, as well as the annual variation of their background concentrations. RF was calculated for a 100-y period starting from 2000, assuming that the background concentrations increase as in the A2 scenario of the Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES). The RF methodology is described in detail in *SI Text, Radiative Forcing Calculations*. The data reported in this paper are tabulated in *SI Text* and part is archived in the FLUXNET database and/or published in peer-review articles as shown in *SI Text* references.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors gratefully acknowledge support from JRC-IES-H07 ClimEcos project (995) and FP7 ICE-ARC (603887-2). Data collection and analysis were supported by the following grants: National Science Foundation (NSF) Project DEB-0845166 (T11); Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the Canadian Foundation for Climate and Atmospheric Sciences Grants 313372 (AB9) and 246386-01 (AB5 and T1); Early Career Scheme, Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China, Project CUHK 458913 (T1); NSF Proposal 1204263 (AB12); Irish Environmental Protection Agency's STRIVE (Science, Research, Technology and Innovation for the Environment) pro-gramme (project CELTICFLUX; 2001-CD-C2-M1) and the European Union (EU) 6th Framework Project CarboEurope-IP (505572), NitroEurope-IP (017841) (T7), and 017841/2 (T14); Helmholtz Association [Helmholtz Young Investigators Group, Grant VH-NG-821, and the Helmholtz Climate Initiative "Regional Climate Change" (Regionale Klimaänderungen REKLIM)] (AB4); the Nordic Centre of Excellence, DEFROST (Impact of a changing cryosphere - Depicting ecosystem-climate feedbacks from permafrost, snow and ice), under the Nordic Top-Level Research Initiative, Academy of Finland Centre of Excellence program (Project 1118615) and the Academy of Finland ICOS (Integrated Carbon Observation Systems) Projects (263149, 281255, and 281250) (AB7, AB8, and AB14a,b); Greenland Ecosystem Monitoring Programme; the Danish Energy Agency and the Nordic Center of Excellence DEFROST (AB1b and AB13); the Nordic Center of Excellence DEFROST and EU-GREENCYCLES (512464) (AB11) and the Swedish Research Councils FORMAS (T15); Dutch-Russian Scientific Cooperation Grant 047.017.037 (Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek NWO); Darwin Center Grant 142.16.3051 and Terrestrial Carbon Observation System TCOS-Siberia (EVK2-CT-2001-00131) (AB2); TCOS-Siberia European Union Project 2002–2004 (EU Project N EVK2-2001-00143) (AB3); NSF Grant ATM-9006327 (T6); The Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation (Tekes); University of Eastern Finland Grant 70008/08 (AB6); CarboEurope-IP (GOCE-CT-2003-505572); Dutch National Research Programme Climate Changes Spatial Planning (ME2 project) and the province of North Holland (T10); Russian Science Foundation, Grant 14-27-00065 (T12); and Academy of Finland (125238) (AB10).

- Vourlitis GL, Oechel WC (1997) The role of northern ecosystems in the global methane budget. *Global Change and Arctic Terrestrial Ecosystem*, Ecological Studies, eds Oechel WC, et al. (Springer, New York), Vol 124, pp 266–289.
- Moore TR, Knowles R (1990) CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from fen, bog and swamp peatlands in Quebec. *Biogeochemistry* 11:45–61.
- Whalen SC, Reeburgh WS (1992) Interannual variations in tundra CH<sub>4</sub> emissions: A four-year time series at fixed sites. Global Biogeochem Cycles 6(2):139–159.
- Dise NB (1993) Methane emissions from Minnesota peatlands: Spatial and seasonal variability. Global Biogeochem Cycles 7(1):123–142.
- 18. Kip N, et al. (2010) Global prevalence of methane oxidation by symbiotic bacteria in peat-moss ecosystems. *Nat Geosci* 3:617–621.
- Kellner E, Waddington JM, Price JC (2005) Dynamics of biogenic gas bubbles in peat: Potential effects on water storage and peat deformation. *Water Resour Res* 41:W08417.
- Öquist MG, Svensson BH (2001) Vascular plants as regulators of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from subarctic mire ecosystem. J Geophys Res 107(D21):4580.
- Lawrence DM, Slater A, Romanovsky VE, Nicolsky DJ (2008) Sensitivity of a model projection of near-surface permafrost degradation to soil column depth and representation of soil organic matter. J Geophys Res Earth Surface 113(F2):000883.
- 22. Lupascu M, et al. (2014) High Arctic wetting reduces permafrost carbon feedbacks to climate warming. *Nature Climate Change* 4:51–55.
- Anthony KM, et al. (2014) A shift of thermokarst lakes from carbon sources to sinks during the Holocene epoch. *Nature* 511(7510):452–456.
- Keuper F, et al. (2012) A frozen feast: Thawing permafrost increases plant-available nitrogen in subarctic peatlands. *Glob Change Biol* 18:1998–2007.
- Nykänen H, Alm J, Silvola J, Tolonen JK, Martikainen PJ (1998) Methane fluxes on boreal peatlands of different fertility and the effect of long term experimental lowering of the water table on flux rates. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 12(1):53–69.
- Frolking S, et al. (2011) Peatlands in the Earth's 21<sup>st</sup> century climate system. *Environ* Rev 19:371–396.
- 27. Lohila A, et al. (2010) Forestation of boreal peatlands: Impacts of changing albedo and greenhouse gas fluxes on radiative forcing. J Geophys Res 115:G04011.
- Roulet NT (2000) Peatlands, carbon storage, greenhouse gases, and the Kyoto protocol: Prospects and significance for Canada. Wetlands 20(4):605–615.

- Whiting GJ, Chanton JP (2001) Greenhouse carbon balance of wetlands: Methane emission versus carbon sequestration. *Tellus* 53B:521–528.
- Friborg T, et al. (2003) Siberian wetlands: Where a sink is a source. Geophys Res Lett 30(21):2129–2132.
- Frolking S, Roulet NT, Fuglestvedt J (2006) How northern peatlands influence the Earth's radiative budget: Sustained methane emission versus sustained carbon sequestration. J Geophys Res 111:G01008.
- 32. Neubauer SC (2014) On the challenges of modeling the net radiative forcing of wetlands: Reconsidering Mitsch et al. (2013). *Landscape Ecol.* 29:571–577.
- Bridgham S, Moore T, Richardson C, Roulet NT (2014) Errors in greenhouse forcing and soil carbon sequestration estimates in freshwater wetlands: A comment on Mitsch et al. (2013). Landscape Ecol 29(6):1–5.
- Laine J, Minkkinen K (1996) Effect of forest drainage on the carbon balance of a mire: A case study. Scand J For Res 11:307–312.
- Tarnocai C, et al. (2009) Soil organic carbon pools in the northern circumpolar permafrost region. Global Biogeochem Cycles 23(2):GB2023.
- 36. Oechel WC, et al. (1993) Recent change of arctic tundra ecosystems from a net carbon sink to a source. *Nature* 361:520–526.
- Sulman BN, Desai AR, Cook BD, Saliendra N, Mackay DS (2009) Contrasting carbon dioxide fluxes between a drying shrub wetland in Northern Wisconsin, USA, and nearby forests. *Biogeosciences* 6:1115–1126.
- Flanagan LB, Syed KH (2011) Stimulation of both photosynthesis and respiration in response to warmer and drier conditions in a boreal peatland ecosystem. Glob Change Biol 17:2271–2287.
- 39. Merbold L, et al. (2009) Artificial drainage and associated carbon fluxes ( $CO_2/CH_4$ ) in a tundra ecosystem. Glob Change Biol 15:2599–2614.

- Hatala JA, et al. (2012) Greenhouse gas (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O) fluxes from drained and flooded agricultural peatlands in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Agric Ecosyst Environ 150:1–18.
- Ramaswamy V, et al. (2001) Radiative forcing of climate change. Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, eds Houghton JT, et al. (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK), pp 349–416.
- Vanderwel MC, Coomes DA, Purves DW (2013) Quantifying variation in forest disturbance, and its effects on aboveground biomass dynamics, across the eastern United States. *Glob Change Biol* 19(5):1504–1517.
- Peters BE, Wythers KR, Bradford JB, Reich PB (2013) Influence of disturbance on temperate forest productivity. *Ecosystems* 16(1):95–110.
- Krankina ON, et al. (2005) Effects of climate, disturbance and species on forest biomass across Russia. Can J For Res 35:2281–2293.
- 45. Callesen I, Østergaard H (2008) Energy efficiency of biomass production in managed versus natural temperate forest and grassland ecosystems. 16th IFOAM Organic World Congress, Modena, Italy, June 16–20. Available at orgprints.org/view/ projects/conference.html.
- Reichstein M, et al. (2005) On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: Review and improved algo- rithm. *Glob Change Biol* 11:1424–1439.
- Tang J, et al. (2014) Steeper declines in forest photosynthesis than respiration explain age-driven decreases in forest growth. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111(24):8856–8860.
- IPCC (2014) 2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands, eds Hiraishi T, et al. (IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland).
- Baldocchi DD, et al. (2001b) FLUXNET: A new tool to study the temporal and spatial variability of ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide, water vapor and energy flux densities. *Bull Am Meteorol Soc* 82:2415–2434.

# **Supporting Information**

# Petrescu et al. 10.1073/pnas.1416267112

SI Text

# Site Analysis

This study is based on measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> (NEE) and CH<sub>4</sub> (plus N<sub>2</sub>O for agricultural sites where significant emissions can be observed) (1) trace gas exchange performed with eddy covariance and/or chamber methods. Most of the included study sites are part of FLUXNET, an international network of sites where energy and greenhouse gas fluxes are continuously monitored with a standardized methodology (2). Fig. S1 presents the CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes, expressed in C units for each of the sites, whose main characteristics are summarized in Tables S1 and S2 and divided into ecosystem types [i.e., arctic/boreal (AB) and temperate (T) wetlands]. Here, negative values indicate carbon uptake, whereas positive values indicate carbon release by the system. The average CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> flux values shown in Table S1 are annual/seasonal (growing season) cumulative sums; existing gaps (hourly to daily, mainly for AB sites) were filled by the different techniques detailed in Tables S4 and S5 to estimate the cumulative seasonal totals.

Combined eddy covariance measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> ecosystem exchange have become more common during the past 5 y. This study represents to our knowledge the first large-scale synthesis of these observations in wetlands. Due to the harsh winter, measurements in the arctic and boreal regions mainly refer to the growing season whereas for temperate regions annual measurements are mostly available. For northern wetlands most of the CH<sub>4</sub> emissions occur during the growing season and are mainly explained by water table level variability (3-5). In a southern boreal Finnish fen, growing season CH<sub>4</sub> emissions accounted for  $\sim 91\%$  of the total annual emissions (6, 7) whereas other studies (8) estimated that winter CH<sub>4</sub> emissions account for 10-22% of the total annual emissions, depending on the ecosystem type (bog and fen, respectively). It was also observed that CH<sub>4</sub> emissions during winter are attributed to physical processes during soil freezing rather than microbial activity (9). Because winter  $CO_2$  emissions can be substantial (10, 11), they cannot be ignored in the GHG budget of a site. Therefore, we use only sites reporting annual budgets for both CO2 and CH4 in the RF analysis. Sites reporting growing season fluxes are shown in Fig. 1 A and B with different symbols (Tables S1–S3).

### **Measurement Techniques and Gap-Filling Methods**

Tables S4 and S5 show site-specific measurement techniques and instrumentation for  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes and site-specific gap-filling methods, respectively.

# **Radiative Forcing Calculations**

The radiative forcing (RF) was calculated for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and, where significant (sites AB6, AB14a,b, T10, and T14), N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes, using a sustained pulse–response model (12). Annual concentration pulses were derived from the flux differences between natural wetlands, taken as reference, and wetlands converted to either agriculture or forestry. Natural-managed site pairs were defined for all possible combinations of similar ecosystem types with available annual CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> budgets within each climatic or management-related category (arctic/boreal or temperate regions; agriculture or forestry). These site pairs were selected to represent plausible and representative wetland conversions, and thus parts of the sites were excluded from this analysis (e.g., rice fields). For each agricultural site, we first determined the annual net ecosystem carbon balance (NECB), as follows:

NECB = -net ecosystem exchange(NEE) + manure-CO<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>4</sub> oxid.) - dissolved organic carbon(DOC) - harvest.

 $CO_2(CH_4 \text{ oxid.})$  represents the  $CO_2$  flux produced from the oxidation of CH<sub>4</sub> in the atmosphere. The carbon removal by harvest was taken into account for all sites by assuming that all of the removed biomass is emitted into the atmosphere as  $CO_2$ during the same year. The carbon import with manure was included for one site (T10). Management-related changes in the DOC fluxes could not be estimated, because DOC data are not available for most of the sites. In general, direct measurements of the DOC loss after peatland drainage are scarce. In the recent IPCC guidelines for greenhouse gas inventories (13), values of ~10 g and 30 g  $C \cdot m^{-2} \cdot y^{-1}$  for boreal and temperate peatlands, respectively, are recommended for the DOC loss due to drainage. Thus, it seems clear that, on average, the DOC loss following from peatland drainage and management is much smaller than the carbon released directly to the atmosphere as CO<sub>2</sub> (on average 400 g  $C m^{-2} y^{-1}$ , Table S6). Hence we assumed that DOC change equals zero. This conservative assumption leads to a slight underestimation of the management-induced RF. For the reference scenarios, we used data from three arctic/boreal sites (AB8, AB10, and AB11) and three temperate sites (T1, T8, and T15). The annual gas balance of these sites was subtracted from the corresponding balances of the managed site (AB6, AB14a, AB14b, T2, T10, and T14). The RF effect of management was estimated separately for CO<sub>2</sub> (NECB), CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O. For  $N_2O$  we used reported fluxes where significant (AB8 $\rightarrow$ AB6, AB8 $\rightarrow$ AB14a, AB8 $\rightarrow$ AB14b, T1 $\rightarrow$ T14, and T15 $\rightarrow$ T14) and, in addition, assumed the N<sub>2</sub>O flux in the natural T8 site to equal zero (in the T8 $\rightarrow$ T10 and T8 $\rightarrow$ T14 site pairs).

For the forest-covered sites (AB5, AB7, T9, and T11), the average annual NECB was estimated from the NEE dynamics over a rotation cycle. As only a few years of measurements for a middle-aged forest are available at each site, it was necessary to prescribe a generic shape for the NEE dynamics that is applied for all sites. The actual NEE profile at a certain site was scaled from this generic function according to the measured NEE. In addition to NEE, heterotrophic soil respiration ( $R_{soil}$ ) was estimated as described below.

The NEE dynamics were assumed to start from NEE =  $R_{soil}$ and decrease linearly for 15 y, after which the maximum (absolute) NEE (NEE<sub>max</sub>) is reached (14, 15). The NEE<sub>max</sub> level is maintained for 60 y, after which the net primary production  $(NPP = -NEE + R_{soil})$  is assumed to decrease (14–16) with a time constant of 300 y (16, 17). For managed sites losses due to harvest were set to  $67 \pm 10\%$  of the accumulated NPP (18), after each rotation period of 70 y and 80 y at T11 and AB7, respectively. Disturbances (fire, windthrow, pest outbreaks, diseases) were simulated at the natural sites AB5 and T9 every 100 y by removing  $50 \pm 10\%$  of the accumulated NPP (19–21). After the harvest, NEE returned to the R<sub>soil</sub> value, whereas after natural disturbance NPP was assumed to decrease by 50% and NEE changed accordingly. In each case, the amount of carbon removed by harvest or disturbance was assumed to be instantaneously released into the atmosphere.

The R<sub>soil</sub> of forest-covered sites was estimated with two alternative methods: (*i*) calculated from the carbon budget of each site and (*ii*) based on the *IPCC Wetland Supplement* (13). In the former method, NPP was assumed to be 39% and 49% of GPP (derived from the partitioning of NEE) at the boreal and temperate sites, respectively (16, 17), and the heterotrophic respiration is calculated as  $R_{soil} = NPP + NEE$ . In the second method,  $R_{soil}$  for the temperate sites was fixed at 950 g CO<sub>2</sub>·m<sup>-2</sup>·y<sup>-1</sup>, whereas the average of the nutrient-poor (91.5 g CO<sub>2</sub>·m<sup>-2</sup>·y<sup>-1</sup>) and nutrient-rich (340.4 g CO<sub>2</sub>·m<sup>-2</sup>·y<sup>-1</sup>) sites was used for the boreal sites (215 g CO<sub>2</sub>·m<sup>-2</sup>·y<sup>-1</sup>) (13). With both methods,  $R_{soil}$ was assumed to remain constant during the whole rotation.

The mean NECB was finally calculated by averaging the resulting C balance over the full rotation of the forest. The mean NECB of the natural sites was subtracted from that of the corresponding managed sites and this difference was then assumed to be fixed from/released to the atmosphere each year. To assess the uncertainty related to our assumptions on the harvest rate, we calculated the RF due to management, using a Gaussian distribution for the fraction of harvested NPP ( $67 \pm 10\%$  and  $50 \pm$ 

- Ciais P, et al. (2013) Carbon and other biogeochemical cycles. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, eds Stocker TF, et al. (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK), pp 505–510.
- Baldocchi DD, et al. (2001b) FLUXNET: A new tool to study the temporal and spatial variability of ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide, water vapor and energy flux densities. Bull Am Meteorol Soc 82:2415–2434.
- Pelletier L, Moore TR, Roulet NT, Garneau M, Beaulieu-Audy V (2007) Methane fluxes from three peatlands in the La Grande Rivière watershed, James Bay lowland, Canada. J Geophys Res 112:G01018.
- Walter BP, Heimann M (2000) A process-based, climate-sensitive model to derive methane emissions from natural wetlands: Application to five wetland sites, sensitivity to model parameters, and climate. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 14:745–765.
- Petrescu AMR, et al. (2010) Modeling regional to global CH<sub>4</sub> emissions of boreal and arctic wetlands. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 24:GB4009.
- Rinne J, et al. (2007) Annual cycle of methane emission from a boreal fen measured by the eddy Covariance technique. *Tellus B Chem Phys Meterol* 59:449–457.
- Melloh RA, Crill PM (1995) Winter methane dynamics beneath ice and in snow in a temperate poor fen. *Hydrol Processes* 9:947–956.
- Saarnio S, Silvola J (1999) Effects of increased CO<sub>2</sub> and N on CH<sub>4</sub> efflux from a boreal mire: A growth chamber experiment. *Oecologia* 119:349–356.
- Whalen SC, Reeburgh WS (1992) Interannual variations I tundra CH<sub>4</sub> emissions: A four-year time series at fixed sites. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 6(2):139–159.
- Belshe EF, Schuur EA, Bolker BM (2013) Tundra ecosystems observed to be CO<sub>2</sub> sources due to differential amplification of the carbon cycle. *Ecol Lett* 16(10):1307–1315.
- Oechel WC, et al. (2000) Acclimation of ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in the Alaskan Arctic in response to decadal climate warming. *Nature* 406(6799):978–981.

10% for the managed and natural forested sites, respectively). From this distribution, the RF of 20 randomly sampled values was calculated for both site pairs and ensemble statistics are shown in Fig. 2 C and D.

In the simple pulse-response RF model used here the perturbations to the tropospheric concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O were derived by integrating the effect of a series of consecutive annual mass pulses that correspond to the times series of annual balances of these gases (22). Different radiative efficiencies and atmospheric residence times of CO2, CH4, and N2O were taken into account, as well as the annual variation of their background concentrations. RF was calculated for a 100-y period starting from 2000, assuming that the background concentrations increase as in the SRES A2 scenario. The RF calculations are based on data of measured mass flux densities  $(g \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1})$  and thus represent the effect of the sustained emission/uptake per square meter of peatland. The resulting RF (W·m<sup>-2</sup> = J·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>) is expressed as the globally averaged energy flux density and thus equals the energy flux per square meter of the Earth's surface. Positive (negative) RF indicates a warming (cooling) impact on climate.

- Lohila A, et al. (2010) Forestation of boreal peatlands: Impacts of changing albedo and greenhouse gas fluxes on radiative forcing. J Geophys Res 115:G04011.
- IPCC 2014, 2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands, eds Hiraishi T, et al. (IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland).
- Goulden ML, et al. (2011) Patterns of NPP, GPP, respiration, and NEP during boreal forest succession. *Glob Change Biol* 17:855–871.
- Amiro BD, et al. (2010) Ecosystem carbon dioxide fluxes after disturbance in forests of North America. J Geophys Res Biogeosci 115:G00K02.
- 16. Tang J, et al. (2014) Steeper declines in forest photosynthesis than respiration explain
- age-driven decreases in forest growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111(24):8856–8860.
  17. Luyssaert S, et al. (2008) Old-growth forests as global carbon sinks. *Nature* 455(7210): 213–215.
- Callesen I, Østergaard H (2008) Energy efficiency of biomass production in managed versus natural temperate forest and grassland ecosystems. 16th IFOAM Organic World Congress, Modena, Italy, June 16–20. Available at orgprints.org/view/projects/conference.html.
- Vanderwel MC, Coomes DA, Purves DW (2013) Quantifying variation in forest disturbance, and its effects on aboveground biomass dynamics, across the eastern United States. *Glob Change Biol* 19(5):1504–1517.
- Peters BE, Wythers KR, Bradford JB, Reich PB (2013) Influence of disturbance on temperate forest productivity. *Ecosystems* 16(1):95–110.
- Krankina ON, et al. (2005) Effects of climate, disturbance and species on forest biomass across Russia. Can J For Res 35:2281–2293.
- Frolking S, Roulet NT, Fuglestvedt J (2006) How northern peatlands influence the Earth's radiative budget: Sustained methane emission versus sustained carbon sequestration. J Geophys Res 111:G01008.



Fig. S1. CO<sub>2</sub> (light colors) and CH<sub>4</sub> (dark colors) fluxes in grams C·m<sup>-2</sup> for each study site. Site IDs can be found in Tables S1 and S2.

DN AS

										Avg. CO <sub>2</sub>	Avg. CH4	Avg. CH <sub>4</sub> flux, g
Arcti <i>c</i> / boreal	site name, location	Coordinates	Climate	Ecosystem type/characteristics	Vegetation type	Measurement period	cm cm	Mean T, °C	Mean P, mm	tlux, g CO <sub>2</sub> ·m <sup>-2</sup>	tlux, g CH₄·m <sup>−2</sup>	cO <sub>2</sub> eq·m <sup>-</sup> , 100 y
AB1a*	Zackenberg,	74° 30′ N, 21° 00′ W	High arctic	Natural wetland (fen)	E, SA, O	June 2–August 26, 1997	-0.9	3.4	56	–129 (S)	4.0 (S)	100
AB1b*	Greenland Zackenberg, Greenland	74° 30′ N, 21° 00′ W	High arctic	Natural wetland (fen)	E, SA, O	(growing season) June 23–August 25, 2008 and Julv31–October 13.	9-	3.6	62	-112 (S)	16.3 (S)	407.5
*C0V	circle dividentia	3 /00 /17 10 /07	uitore doilu			2009 (growing season)	ر د	с у 1	6		()/ ( (	3 C0
HD2	Nytalyk, Kussia	10 43 N, 141 23 E	підп агсис	(tundra)	ت، ۲, ۲, ۵, C	growing season)	0.2	C.D	34	(c) <del>1</del> 7c-	(c) c.c	C'70
AB3a⁺	Cherskii, Russia	69° 36′ N, 161° 20′ E	High arctic	Natural wetland (tundra)	C, E, B, O	July-October 2002–2004	7.4	-7.8	200	–53 (S)	20 (S)	500
$AB3b^{\dagger}$	Cherskii, Russia			Drained wetland		July–October 2005	-9.5	-7.8	200	8 (S)	0.6 (S)	15
				experiment drained in late 2004								
AB4	Lena Delta, Russia	72° 22′ N, 126° 30′ E	High arctic	Natural wetland	C, SA, O	June 11–September 3, 2006	4	-14.7	137	–126 (S)	1.6 (S)	40.3
AB5	Western peatland	54° 57′ N, 112° 28′ W	Boreal	Treed wetland	т, s, о	zооо Ү, average 2004–2009	-65	2.1	504	-693 (Υ)	3.2 (S)	80
	of FLUXNET– Canada Research Network, Canada		continental	(moderately rich treed fen)								
						S, late May–late September 2007				-796 (S)		
AB6	Linnansuo, Finland	62° 19′ N, 30° 17′ E	Boreal	Drained wetland	Reed	Average 2004–2007	-65	2.1	669	–364 (Υ)	0.4 (Y)	9.3
				(cutaway peatland, drained in 1981)	canary grass							
AB7	Kalevansuo, Finland	60° 39′ N, 24° 21′ E	Boreal	Drained treed wetland (dwarf- shrub pine bog,	Т, Е, Ѕ, В	2005	-40	ъ	658	870 (Υ)	-0.1 (Y)	m I
AB8	Lompolojänkkä,	68° 00′ N, 24° 13′ E	Boreal	Natural wetland	s, sA, O	2006–2008	2	-0.1	569	-117 (γ)	20.3 (Y)	507.5
AB9*	Finland Daring Lake, Canada	64° 52′ N, 111° 34′ W	Low arctic	(mire/seage Ten) Natural wetland (sedde fen tundra)	E,S	July-August 2008	- 2	12	142	–234 (S)	3.2 (S)	80
AB10	Siikaneva, Finland	61° 50′ N, 24° 12′ E	Boreal	Natural wetland (fen)	E, C, S, O	March 2005–February	-15	3.3	713	–188 (Y)	12.6 (S)	350
						2006 (CH₄) and 2005 CO <sub>2</sub>					14 (Y)	
AB11	Stordalen, Sweden	68° 22′ N, 19° 03′ E	High arctic	Natural wetland	C, S, E, O	2006–2007	-12	-0.7	300	–146 (Y)	24.5 (Y)	612.5
AB12*	Barrow, AK	71° 17' N, 156° 35' W	High arctic	Natural wetland	C, E, O	June 12-August 31, 2007	4.3	5.4	14	-125 (S)	1.0 (S)	24.5
AB13*	Nuuk, Greenland	64° 07' N 51° 23' W	High arctic	Natural wetland (fen)	S, E, O	May 14–October 11, 2010	5.8	8.8	397	-130 (S)	6.5 (S)	162.5
AB14a	Jokioinen, Finland	60° 54′ N, 23° 31′ E	Boreal	Drained peatland	Spring barley	October 2000–September 2001	-80	5.9	719	(Y)	-0.05 (γ)	-1.3
AB14b	Jokioinen, Finland	60° 54′ N, 23° 31′ E	Boreal	Drained peatland	Forage	October 2001–September	-80	5.8	502	(γ) 290	-0.03 (γ)	-0.8
,	-			-								i
P, pre mariana	ecipitation; >, seasonal and <i>Larix laricina</i> (AB.	estimates; I, air temperat 5) and <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> (Au	ure; WID, water B6). O, others: Du	table deptn; Y, yearıy esur Jpontia psilosantha (AB1a	hates. b, berui b); Sedges (Al	a spp.; כ, Carex spp.; ב, ברוסחיי 32); Potentilla palustris, Calam	orum spp agrostis :	<i>יחק</i> כ ,כ ;.י sp. (AB3)	agnum s ; Drepan	ocladus rev	<pre>&lt; spp.; 1, tree olvens, Mees</pre>	s spp., rıcea ia triquetra,

Petrescu et al. www.pnas.org/cgi/content/short/1416267112

PNAS PNAS

4 of 14

Aulacomnium turgidum, Dryas octopetala, Astragalus frigidus, Hylocomium splendens, Timmia austriaca (AB4); (shrub) Betula pumila; (herbs) Carex spp., Menyanthes trifoliate, Triglochin maritime; (mosses) Aulocomium palustre, Drepanocladus aduncus, Pleurozium schreberi, Sphagnum spp. (AB5) Ledum palustre, Vaccinium uliginosum, V. vitis-idaea, V. myrtillus, Empetrum nigrum, Calluna vulgaris, Rubus chamaemorus, Pleurozium schreberi, Dicranum polysetum, Aulacomnium palustre, and Polytrichum strictum (AB7); vascular plants, aerenchymatous species (AB8); Eriophorum spp. (75%), Sphagnum (100% ground cover) (AB9); Russow ex C.E.O. Jensen, S. majus (Russow), C. limosa L. (AB10); woody herbaceous (AB11); dupontia (AB13); Calluna vulgaris, Erica tetralix (AB14). \*Seasonal mean T and mean P for the measured period only. If not mentioned otherwise, T, P, and WTD are values referring to the mean seasonal or yearly measurements. <sup>†</sup>Thirty-year long-term mean for temperature records. Negative values refer to water table below surface and positive values refer to above surface.

**PNAS** 

DNAS

Avg. CH <sub>4</sub> flux, g CO <sub>2</sub> eq·m <sup>-2</sup> ,	100 y	350	112.5	127.5	525	650	362.5	725	113	6.8	392.5	24.3	-0.5	1,055
Avg. CH₄ flux, g	CH₄·m <sup>−2</sup>	10.5 (Y)	4.7 (S)	5.1 (S)	21 (Y)	26 (S)	14.5 (Y)	29 (Y)	5.1 (Y)	0.3 (S)	15.7 (Y)	1.04 (Y)	-0.02 (S)	42.2 (Y)
Avg. CO <sub>2</sub> flux, g	CO₂·m <sup>−2</sup>	–301 (Υ)	968 (Y)	(S) 906-	388 (Y)	–396 (S)	72 (S)	-1,346 (Υ)	-239 (Υ)	-1,285 (Υ)	493 (Y)	-292 (Y)	172 (S)	–994 (Υ)
Mean	P, mm	758	282	394	394	105	553	847	2,541	481	863	245	898	797
Mean	T, °C	6.4	15.3	15.2	14.8	14.5	13.6	12.6	10.4	11.1	10.9	5.7	5.1	9.8
WTD,	g	-35	-53.5	-12.2	50.9	-0.2	-1.5	8.6	-3.5	-0.1	-42.5	N/A	-19.1	-2
	Measurement period	Average 2009–2010	April 5-end 2007 Average 2007–2009 and 2010 for CO <sub>2</sub> and January 1– September 25, 2010 for CH <sub>4</sub>	April 4-end 2010	October 14, 2010– October 14, 2011	May 10–September 16, 1999 (growing season)	May 20-October 12, 1991, 1992 for CO <sub>2</sub> 1991 and 1992 for CH <sub>4</sub>	2009–2010 for CO <sub>2</sub> April 9, 2009–end 2010 for CH <sub>4</sub>	Average 2003–2005 and 2008	Average 2010–2013 for CO <sub>2</sub> , April 8– May 23, 2011 for CH <sub>4</sub>	2005, CO <sub>2</sub> Average 2006–2008, CH <sub>4</sub>	Average 2011–2012	April 11–October 15, 2009 March 27–October 27, 2010 April 30–December 24, 2011	2005–2006
Vegetation	type	S, E, O	0	Oryza sativa	0	С, Е, О	C, S	O. sativa	s, 0	F	0	T, O	Т, S	0
	Ecosystem type	Natural wetland (ombrotrophic bog)	Drained wetland (peatland pasture- grazed peat, top layer degraded)	Rice paddy	Restored wetland (at the end of 2010)	Natural wetland	Natural wetland (open ombrotrophic bog)	Rice paddy	(Relatively) Natural wetland (Atlantic blanket bog)	Treed wetland	Drained wetland (peat meadow, drained for agriculture, grazed)	Mixed forest/wetland landscape	Natural forest wetland (spruce forest)	Restored wetland (peat meadow)
	Climate	Temperate continental	Temperate	Temperate	Temperate	Temperate	Temperate continental	Temperate	Temperate maritime	Suboceanic/ Subcontinental/ Temperate	Temperate	Mixed temperate/ boreal	Temperate continental	Temperate
	Coordinates	45° 24′ N, 75° 31′ W	38° 2′ N, 121° 45′ W	38° 6′ N, 121° 39′ W	38° 2′ N, 121° 45′ W	56° 51′ N, 82° 58′ E	47° 32′ N, 93° 28′ W	45° 04′ N, 8° 4′′ E	51° 55′ N, 9° 55′ W	51°53′ N, 14°02′ E	52° 02′ N, 4° 46′ E	45° 57′ N, 90° 16′ W	56° 27′ N, 32° 55′ E	52° 8′ N, 5° 2′ E
Site name,	location	Mer Bleue, Canada	Sherman Island, CA	Twitchell Island, CA	Mayberry Slough, CA	Plotnikovo, Russia	Bog Lake peatland, United States	Castellaro, Italy	Glencar, Ireland	Spreewald, Germany	Oukoop, The Netherlands	Park Falls, WI, WLEF–United States	Fyodorovskoye (Ru-Fyo), Russia	Horstermeer, The Netherlands
	Temperate	11	12	T3	T4	T5	Т6	17	T8	Т9	Т10	T11	T12	T13

PNAS PNAS

Table S2. Main characteristics of the study sites for temperate (T) wetlands

Ŀ.
້ອ
Ċ.
le S
Tab

Avg. CH <sub>4</sub> flux, g CO <sub>2</sub> eq·m <sup>-2</sup> , 100 y	6.7	77
Avg. CH <sub>4</sub> flux, g <sup>1</sup> CH <sub>4</sub> ·m <sup>-2</sup>	0.3 (Y)	4.1 (Y)
Avg. CO <sub>2</sub> flux, g CO <sub>2</sub> ·m <sup>-2</sup>	–267 (Y)	-42 (Y)
Mean P, mm	1,100	692
Mean T, °C	7.4	8.5
WTD, cm	-12.7	0
Measurement period	Average 2007–2010	Average 2007–2009
Vegetation type	S, E, O	S, E, O
Ecosystem type	Acid moorland	(drained 100 y ago) Natural wetland (ombrotrophic bog)
Climate Ecosystem type	Temperate Acid moorland	(drained 100 y ago) Temperate Natural wetland (ombrotrophic bog)
Coordinates Climate Ecosystem type	55° 47' N, 03° 14' W Temperate Acid moorland	(drained 100 y ago) 56° 15' N 13° 33' E Temperate Natural wetland (ombrotrophic bog)
Site name, location Coordinates Climate Ecosystem type	Auchencorth 55° 47' N, 03° 14' W Temperate Acid moorland	Moss, Scotland (drained 100 y ago) Fäjemyr, Sweden 56° 15′ N 13° 33′ E Temperate Natural wetland (ombrotrophic bog)

PNAS PNAS

T, P, and water table depth (WTD) are values referring to the mean seasonal or yearly measurements. S, seasonal estimates, Y, yearly estimates. Negative values refer to water table below surface whereas positive values refer to above surface. B, Betula spp.; C, Carex spp.; F, Eriophorum spp.; S, Shagnum spp.; SA, Salix spp.; T, trees spp., Alnus glutinosa (T9), sugar maple, aspen (T11), spruce (T12). O, Others: Maianthemum trifolium/Ledum groenlandicum, Chamaedaphne calyculata, Kalmia angustifolia, Vaccinium myrtilloides (T1); Lepidium latifolium, Hordeum murinum (T2); Schoenoplectus acutus, Typha latifolia (T4); Menyanthes trifoliate, horse-tail Equisetum fluviatile (T5); Molinia caerulea, Ericaceae, sedges, brown mosses (T8); Lolium perenne, Poa trivialis (T10); alder-willow shrub, ericaceous bogs, and sedge fens (T11); Vaccinium myrtillus and V. vitis idaea (T12); Holcus lanatus, Phalaris arundinacea, Glyceria fluitanus, Equisetum palustre, fluviatile, Phragmites australis, Typha latifolia (T13); Juncacea, Cyperaceae, Calluna vulgaris (T14); C. vulgaris, Erica tetralix (T15). Note that the sites were classified into AB and T wetlands following the expert judgment of each site primary investigator.

Site ID	Site name, location	Coordinates	Period	g CO₂·m <sup>−2</sup> ·y <sup>−1</sup>	g CH <sub>4</sub> ·m <sup>-2</sup> ·y <sup>-1</sup>	Refs.
Arctic/boreal						
AB2	Kytalyk, Russia	70° 49′ N,	2007	-300.12 ± 100.65*	6.30*	(1)
		147° 29′ E	2008	-324.27*	4.00*	
			2009	-347.33*	2.90*	
AB3a*	Cherskii, Russia	69° 36′ N,	2002	-193.98*	26.6 ± 19.95*	(2)
		161° 20′ E,	2003	54.90*	26.6 ± 14.63*	
			2004	14.64/-40.26*	31.92 ± 25.27*	
			2005	29.28*	0.79 ± 1.59*	
AB5	Western peatland of FLUXNET-Canada	54° 57′ N,	2004	-535		(3)
	Research Network, Canada	112° 28′ W	2005	-986		(4)
			2006	-64		
			2007	-620 (-796*)	3.20*	
			2008	-814		
4.0.0	Line en este Finland	620 40/ N	2009	-561	0.50 0.00	(5)
AB6	Linnansuo, Finland	62° 19' N,	2004	-//3./2	$0.58 \pm 0.28$	(5)
		30° 17' E	2005	-31.84	$0.62 \pm 0.25$	
			2006	- 188.49	$0.16 \pm 0.30$	
	Lompolojänkkä Einland	68° 00' N	2007	-405.55	0.14 ± 0.29	(6)
ADO	сопроюјанкка, гипани	00 00 N, 24º 12/ E	2000	-12	17	(0)
		24 IS E	2007	-125	25	
AR11	Stordalon Swodon	68° 22' N	2008	-210	21	(7)
ABTT	Stordaleri, Swederi	19° 03′ F	2000	-140	29.50	(/)
Temperate		15 05 2	2007		25.50	
T1	Mer Bleue. Canada	45° 24′ N.	2009	-399	11.60	(8)
		75° 31′ W	2010	-201	9.40	(9)
T2	Sherman Island, CA	38° 2′ N.	2007	644.16*	4.90*	(10)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	121° 45′ W	2008	592.92	2.70	( - )
			2009	1,588.44	2.80	
			2010	1,046.76	3.90	
T7	Castellaro, Italy	45° 04′ N,	2009	-1,316.77	37.14	(11)
		8° 43′ E	2010	-1,374.47	21.03	(12)
T8	Glencar, Ireland	51° 55′ N,	2003	-244.48 ± 19.03	5.05 ± 2.12	(13)
		9° 55′ W	2004	-245.95 ± 10.98	4.78 ± 2.12	
			2005	-307.44 ± 17.56	5.98 ± 2.52	
			2008	-156.28 ± 17.2	4.78 ± 2.12	
Т9	Spreewald, Germany	51° 53′ N,	2010	-1,324.92		(14)
		14° 02′ E	2011	-1,555.50		
			2012	-1,291.98	0.30*	
			2013	-966.24		
T10	Oukoop, The Netherlands	52° 02′ N,	2005	493		(15)
		4° 46′ E	2006		19.40	(16)
			2007		14.00	
			2008		13.80	<i></i>
T11	Park Falls, WI, WLEF–United States	45° 57′ N,	1997	22.2688		(17)
		90° 16' W	1998	53.0031		(18)
			1999	81.4158		
			2000	/0.1942		
			2001	137.487		
			2002	71.9555		
			2005	70 5502		
			2004	5 04275		
			2005	98 72/19		
			2000	_94 578		
			2008	-109 696		
			2000	-44 0794		
			2010	41,596		
			2011	-58.3582	1.215	
			2012	-101.423	0.879	
			2013	19.589		
T12	Fyodorovskoye (Ru-Fyo), Russia	56° 27′ N,	2009	-92	-0.01	(19)
		32° 55′ E	2010	436	-0.02	
			2011	172	-0.02	

# Table S3. Annual CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes for the AB and T sites with available data from multiple years

DN AS

S A Z

# Table S3. Cont.

Site ID	Site name, location	Coordinates	Period	$g CO_2 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot y^{-1}$	$g CH_4 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot y^{-1}$	Refs
T13	Horstermeer, The Netherlands	52° 8′ N,	2005	-1,138.26 (±212.28)	41.58 (±27.13)	(20)
		5° 2′ E	2006	-849.12 (±212.28)	42.91 (±28.03)	
T14	Auchencorth Moss, Scotland	55° 47′ N,	2007	-498	0.37	(21)
		03° 14′ W	2008	-300	0.43	
			2009	-145	0.27	
			2010	-125	-0.02	
T15	Fäjemyr, Sweden	56° 15′ N,	2007	-107.7 ± 28.1	5.45	(22)
		13° 33′ E	2008	86.4 ± 29.1	3.05	
			2009	$-106.1 \pm 16.3$	3.85	
		13° 33' E	2008 2009	$86.4 \pm 29.1$ -106.1 ± 16.3	3.05 3.85	

\*The values are for the growing season only.

- 1. Parmentier FJW, et al. (2011) Longer growing seasons do not increase net carbon uptake in the northeastern Siberian tundra. J Geophys Res 116:G04013.
- 2. Merbold L, et al. (2009) Artificial drainage and associated carbon fluxes (CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>) in a tundra ecosystem. Glob Change Biol 15:2599-2614.
- 3. Flanagan LB, Syed KH (2011) Stimulation of both photosynthesis and respiration in response to warmer and drier conditions in a boreal peatland ecosystem. Glob Change Biol 17(7):2271-2287. 4. Long KD, Flanagan LB, Cai T (2010) Diurnal and seasonal variation in methane emissions in a northern Canadian peatland measured by eddy covariance. Glob Change Biol
- 16(9):2420-2435.
- S. Shurpali NJ, et al. (2009) Cultivation of a perennial grass for bioenergy on a boreal organic soil carbon sink or source? GCB Bioenergy 1(1):35–50.
   Aurela M, et al. (2009) Carbon dioxide exchange on a northern boreal fen. Boreal Environ Res 14:699–710.
- 7. Jackowicz-Korczynski M, et al. (2010) Annual cycle of methane emission from a subarctic peatland. J Geophys Res 115:G02009.
- 8. Lai DYF, Roulet NT, Humphreys ER, Moore TR, Dalva M (2012) The effect of atmospheric turbulence and chamber deployment period on autochamber CO2 and CH4 flux measurements in an ombrotrophic peatland. Biogeosciences 9(8):3305-3322.
- 9. Lai DYF, Moore TR, Roulet NT (2014) Spatial and temporal variations of methane flux measured by autochambers in a temperate ombrotrophic peatland. J Geophys Res Biogeosci 119: 864-880.
- 10. Hatala JA, et al. (2012) Greenhouse gas (CO2, CH4, H2O) fluxes from drained and flooded agricultural peatlands in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Agric Ecosyst Environ 150:1–18.
- 11. Meijide A, et al. (2011) Seasonal trends and environmental controls of methane emissions in a rice paddy field in Northern Italy. Biogeosciences 8:3809-3821.
- 12. Meijide A, et al. (2011) Greenhouse gas budget from a Mediterranean rice paddy field. Nitrogen and Global Change: Key-Findings Future-Challenges Conference Proceedings, Session 511, April 11–15, 2011 (Edinburgh, Scotland). Available at www.nitrogen2011.org/abstracts.html. Accessed March 11, 2015.
- 13. Koehler A-K, Sottocornola M, Kiely G (2011) How strong is the current carbon sequestration of an Atlantic blanket bog? Glob Change Biol 17(1):309–319.
- 14. Tiemeyer B, et al. (2013) Klimarelevanz von Mooren und Anmooren in Deutschland: Ergebnisse aus dem Verbundprojekt "Organische Böden in der Emissionsberichterstattung" [Climate impact of peatlands and bogs in Germany: Results from the joint project "Organic soils in emissions reporting"], Thünen Working Paper 15 (Johann Heinrich von Thünen-Institut, Braunschweig, Germany). German.
- 15. Veenendaal EM, et al. (2007) CO2 exchange and carbon balance in two grassland sites on eutrophic drained peat soils. Biogeosciences 4:1027–1040.
- 16. Kroon PS, Vesala T, Grace J (2010) Flux measurements of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O exchanges. Agric For Meteorol 150:745–747.
- 17. Desai AR (2014) Influence and predictive capacity of climate anomalies on daily to decadal extremes in canopy photosynthesis. Photosynth Res 119(1-2):31-47.
- 18. Desai AR, et al. (2015) Landscape-level terrestrial methane flux observed from a very tall tower. Agric For Meteorol 201:61–75.
- 19. Kurbatova J, et al. (2013) Partitioning of ecosystem respiration in a paludified shallow-peat spruce forest in the southern taiga of European Russia. Environ Res Lett 8:045028. 20. Hendriks DMD, van Huissteden J, Dolman AJ, van der Molen MK (2007) The full greenhouse gas balance of an abandoned peat meadow. Biogeosciences 4:411-424.
- 21. Skiba U, et al. (2013) Comparison of soil greenhouse gas fluxes from extensive and intensive grazing in a temperate maritime climate. Biogeosciences 10:1231–1241.
- 22. Lund M, Christensen TR, Lindroth A, Schubert P (2012) Effects of drought conditions on the carbon dioxide dynamics in a temperate peatland. Environ Res Lett 7:045704.

Table S4.	Site-specific measurement	techniques and	l instrumentation	for CO <sub>2</sub> and	d CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes
-----------	---------------------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------

Site ID	Site name	Measurement technique, CO <sub>2</sub> fluxes	- Measurement technique, CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes	Refs.
AB1a	Zackenberg	Eddy covariance (LICOR 6262)	Eddy covariance (TDL)	(1)
AB1b	Zackenberg	Autochambers (PP systems SBA-4)	Autochambers (LGR FMA)	(2)
AB2	Kytalyk	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7500)	Eddy covariance (DLT-100 CH4 analyzer)	(3)
			Flux chambers (INNOVA 1412)	(4)
AB3	Cherskii	Eddy covariance (LICOR 6262, LICOR 7500)	Static soil chambers	(5)
				(6)
AB4	Samoylov, Lena	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7000)	Eddy covariance (Campbell TDL)	(7)
	River Delta	Closed dynamic chambers (INNOVA 1412	Closed dynamic chambers (INNOVA 1412	(8)
		Photoacoustic IR Gas Spectrometer)	Photoacoustic IR gas spectrometer)	(0)
				(9)
				(10)
AB5	Western peatland of	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7000)	Eddy covariance (Campbell Tunable Diode	(11)
	Fluxnet–Canada		laser spectrometer; TGA100A)	(12)
	Research Network			(13)
				(14)
				(15)
				(16)
AB6	Linnansuo	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7500)	Static chambers	(17)
				(18)
4.0.7	<b>K</b> - <b>I</b>		Chattle and an and the sector of the sector of	(19)
AB7	Kalevansuo	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7000)	Static manual chambers and laboratory gas chromatograph	(20)
AB8	Lompolojänkkä	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7000)	Eddy covariance (LGR CH <sub>4</sub> )	(21)
AB9	Daring Lake	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7500)	Static Chambers (manual)	(22)
AB10	Siikaneva	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7000)	Eddy covariance (TDL, TGA-100; Campbell Scientific)	(23)
				(24)
AB11	Stordalen	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7500)	Eddy covariance (TDL Aerodyne Res).	(25)
AB12	Barrow	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7500)	Eddy covariance (DLT-100 CH <sub>4</sub> analyzer; Los Gatos)	(26)
AB13	Nuuk	Autochambers (PP systems SBA-4)	Autochambers (LGR FMA)	(2)
AB14a	Jokioinen	Eddy covariance (LICOR 6262)	Static manual chambers (laboratory	(27)
			gas chromatograph)	(28)
				(29)
AB14b	Jokioinen	Eddy covariance (LICOR 6262)	Static manual chambers (laboratory	(27–29)
<b>T</b> 4	Mar Diana		gas chromatograph)	(20)
11	Mer Bleue	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7000)	Autochambers	(30)
				(31)
T2	Sherman Island	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7500)	LGR TDL (DLT-100 FMA)	(32)
тз	Twitchell Island	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7500)	GR TDL spectrometer (DIT-100 FMA)	(32)
10				(32)
Т4	Mayberry Slough	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7500)	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7700)	(32)
T5	Plotnikovo	Eddy covariance (LICOR 6262)	Eddy covariance (TDL)	(33)
Т6	Bog Lake peatland,	Eddy covariance (TDLS and LICOR 6251)	Eddy covariance (TDLS and LICOR 6251)	(34)
	United States			(35)
Т7	Castellaro	Eddy covariance (LICOR 6262)	Static chambers and eddy covariance	(36)
Т8	Glencar	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7500)	Static chambers and laboratory gas chromatograph	(37–47)
Т9	Spreewald	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7000)	Eddy covariance (Los Gatos FGGA)	
T10	Oukoop	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7500)	Static chambers	(48)
			Eddy covariance (QCL TILDAS_76 aerodyne Instrumental)	(49)

PNAS PNAS

#### Table S4. Cont.

Site ID	Site name	Measurement technique, CO <sub>2</sub> fluxes	Measurement technique, CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes	Refs.
				(50)
T11	Park Falls, WI, WLEF	Eddy covariance (LICOR 6262)	Eddy covariance	(51)
T12	Fyodorovskoye (Ru-Fyo)	Eddy covariance (LICOR 6262)	Static chambers and laboratory gas chromatograph	(52)
T13	Horstermeer	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7500)	Flux chambers (Photo Acoustic Field Gas Monitor INNOVA 1312)	(53)
T14	Auchencorth Moss	Eddy covariance (LICOR 7000)	Static chambers	(54)
T15	Fäjemyr	Eddy covariance (LICOR 6262)	Autochambers (LGR FMA)	(55) (2)

1. Friborg T, Christensen TR, Hansen BU, Nordstroem C, Soegaard H (2000) Trace gas exchange in a high-arctic valley: 2. Landscape CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes measured and modeled using eddy correlation data. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 14(3):715–723.

2. Mastepanov M, et al. (2008) Large tundra methane burst during onset of freezing. Nature 456(7222):628-630.

3. Parmentier FJW, et al. (2011) Longer growing seasons do not increase net carbon uptake in the northeastern Siberian tundra. J Geophys Res 116:G04013.

4. Van Huissteden J, Maximov TC, Dolman AJ (2005) High methane flux from an arctic floodplain (Indigirka lowlands, eastern Siberia). J Geophys Res 110:G02002.

5. Corradi C, Kolle O, Walter K, Zimov SA, Schulze E-D (2005) Carbon dioxide and methane exchange of a north-east Siberian tussock tundra. Glob Change Biol 11(11):1910–1925.

Merbold L, et al. (2009) Artificial drainage and associated carbon fluxes (CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>) in a tundra ecosystem. *Glob Change Biol* 15:2599–2614.
 Sachs T, Wille C, Boike J, Kutzbach L (2008) Environmental controls on ecosystem-scale CH<sub>4</sub> emission from polygonal tundra in the Lena River Delta, Siberia. *J Geophys Res Biogeosci* 113(G3):000505.

8. Sachs T, Giebels M, Boike J, Kutzbach L (2010) Environmental controls on CH<sub>4</sub> emission from polygonal tundra on the microsite scale in the Lena river delta, Siberia. Glob Change Biol 16(11):3096–3110.

9. Zhang Y, et al. (2012) Retrieval of methane profiles from spaceborne hyperspectral infrared observations. J Remote Sens 16(2):232-247.

- 10. Runkle BRK, Sachs T, Wille C, Pfeiffer E-M, Kutzbach L (2013) Bulk partitioning the growing season net ecosystem exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> in Siberian tundra reveals the seasonality of its carbon sequestration strength. *Biogeosciences* 10:1337–1349.
- 11. Flanagan LB, Syed KH (2011) Stimulation of both photosynthesis and respiration in response to warmer and drier conditions in a boreal peatland ecosystem. Glob Change Biol 17:2271– 2287.

12. Long KD, Flanagan LB, Cai T (2010) Diurnal and seasonal variation in methane emissions in a northern Canadian peatland measured by eddy Covariance. Glob Change Biol 16:2420– 2435.

13. Baldocchi DD, Hicks BB, Meyers TP (1988) Measuring biosphere atmosphere exchanges of biologically related gases with micrometeorological methods. Ecology 69:1331–1340.

14. Baldocchi DD (2003) Assessing the eddy covariance technique for evaluating carbon dioxide exchange rates of ecosystems: Past, present and future. Glob Change Biol 9:479-492.

15. Moncrieff JB, et al. (1997) A system to measure surface fluxes of momentum, sensible heat, water vapor and carbon dioxide. J Hydrol (Amst) 188–189:589–611.

16. Aubinet M, et al. (2000) Estimates of the annual net carbon and water exchange of European forests: The EUROFLUX methodology. Adv Ecol Res 30:113–175.

17. Shurpali NJ, et al. (2009) Cultivation of a perennial grass for bioenergy on a boreal organic soil - Carbon sink or source? GCB Bioenergy 1(1):35–50.

18. Hyvönen NP, et al. (2009) Fluxes of nitrous oxide and methane on an abandoned peat extraction site: Effect of reed canary grass cultivation. Bioresour Technol 100(20):4723-4730.

19. Nykänen H, Alm J, Lang K, Silvola J, Martikainen PJ (1995) Emissions of CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> from a virgin fen and a fen drained for grassland in Finland. J Biogeogr 22:351–357.

20. Lohila A, et al. (2011) Greenhouse gas flux measurements in a forestry-drained peatland indicate a large carbon sink. Biogeosciences 8:3203-3218

21. Aurela M, et al. (2009) Carbon dioxide exchange on a northern boreal fen. Boreal Environ Res 14:699-710.

22. Humphreys ER, Lafleur PM (2011) Does earlier snowmelt lead to greater CO2 sequestration in two low arctic tundra ecosystems? Geophys Res Lett 38(9):L09703.

23. Rinne J, et al. (2007) Annual cycle of methane emission from a boreal fen measured by the eddy covariance technique. Tellus B Chem Phys Meterol 59:449-457.

24. Aurela M, et al. (2007) CO<sub>2</sub> balance of a sedge fen in southern Finland – The influence of a drought period. *Tellus* 59B:826–837. 25. Jackowicz-Korczynski M, et al. (2010) Annual cycle of methane emission from a subarctic peatland. *J Geophys Res* 115:G02009.

26. Zona D, et al. (2009) Methane fluxes during the initiation of a large-scale water table manipulation experiment in the Alaskan arctic tundra. Global Biogeochem Cycles 23(2):GB2013.

27. Lohila A, Aurela M, Tuovinen J-P, Laurila T (2004) Annual CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of a peat field growing spring barley or perennial forage grass. J Geophys Res 109:D18116.

Regina K, Syväsalo E, Hannukkala A, Esala M (2004) Fluxes of N<sub>2</sub>O from farmed peat soils in Finland. *Eur J Soil Sci* 55:591–599.
 Regina K, Pihlatie M, Esala M, Alakukku L (2007) Methane fluxes on boreal arable soils. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 119(3–4):346–352.

30. Lai DYF, Roulet NT, Humphreys ER, Moore TR, Dalva M (2012) The effect of atmospheric turbulence and chamber deployment period on autochamber CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> flux measurements in an ombrotrophic peatland. *Biogeosciences* 9(8):3305–3322.

31. Lai DYF, Moore TR, Roulet NT (2014) Spatial and temporal variations of methane flux measured by autochambers in a temperate ombrotrophic peatland. J Geophys Res Biogeosci 119: 864–880.

32. Baldocchi DD, et al. (2012) The challenges of measuring methane fluxes and concentrations over a peatland pasture. Agric For Meteorol 153:177-187.

33. Friborg T, Soegaard H, Christensen TR, Lloyd CR, Panikov NS (2003) Siberian wetlands: Where a sink is a source. Geophys Res Lett 30(21):2129.

34. Shurpali NJ, Verma SB, Clement RJ, Billesbach DP (1993) Seasonal distribution of methane flux in a Minnesota peatland measured by eddy correlation. J Geophys Res 98:20649–20655. 35. Shurpali NJ, Verma SB, Kim J, Arkebauer TJ (1995) Carbon dioxide exchange in a peatland ecosystem. J Geophys Res 100(D7):14319–14326.

36. Meijide A, et al. (2011) Seasonal trends and environmental controls of methane emissions in a rice paddy field in Northern Italy. Biogeosciences 8:3809-3821.

37. Koehler A-K, Murphy K, Kiely G, Sottocornola M (2009) Seasonal variation of DOC concentration and annual loss of DOC from an Atlantic blanket bog in South Western Ireland. Biogeochemistry 95:231–242.

38. Koehler A-K, Sottocornola M, Kiely G (2011) How strong is the current carbon sequestration of an Atlantic blanket bog? Glob Change Biol 17(1):309–319.

39. Sottocornola M, Kiely G (2005) An Atlantic blanket bog is a modest CO<sub>2</sub> sink. *Geophys Res Lett* 32(23):L23804.

40. Sottocornola M, Laine A, Kiely G, Byrne KA, Tuittila E-S (2009) Vegetation and environmental variation in an Atlantic blanket bog in South-western Ireland. Plant Ecol 203(1):69–81.

41. Sottocornola M, Kiely G (2010a) Energy fluxes and evaporation mechanisms in an Atlantic bog in south west Ireland. Water Resour Res 46:W11524.

42. Sottocornola M, Kiely G (2010b) Hydrometeorological controls on the CO2 exchange variation in an Irish blanket bog. Agric For Meteorol 150(2):287–297.

43. Laine AM, et al. (2006) Estimating net ecosystem exchange in a patterned ecosystem: Example from a blanket bog. Agric For Meteorol 138:231-243.

44. Laine AM, Byrne KA, Kiely G, Tuittila E-S (2007a) Patterns in vegetation and CO2 dynamics of a lowland blanket bog along a water level gradient. Ecosystems 10(5):890–905.

45. Laine AM, Wilson D, Kiely G, Byrne KA (2007b) Methane flux dynamics in an Irish lowland blanket bog. Plant Soil 299(1-2):181-193.

Laine AM, Byrne KA, Kiely G, Tuittila E-S (2009) The short term effect of altered water level on carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in a blanket bog. Suoseura 60(3–4):65–83.
 McVeigh P, Sottocornola M, Foley N, Leahy P, Kiely G (2014) Meteorological and functional response partitioning to explain interannual variability of CO<sub>2</sub> exchange at an Irish Atlantic blanket bog. Agric For Meteorol 194:8–19.

48. Veenendaal EM, et al. (2007) CO<sub>2</sub> exchange and carbon balance in two grassland sites on eutrophic drained peat soils. *Biogeosciences* 4:1027–1040.

49. Hensen A, Groot TT, Van den Bulk WCM (2006) Dairy farm emissions, from one square meter to the full farm scale. Agric Ecosyst Environ 112(2-3):146-152.

50. Kroon PS, Vesala T, Grace J (2010) Flux measurements of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O exchanges. Agric For Meteorol 150:745–747.

51. Davis KJ, et al. (2003) The annual cycles of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O exchange over a northern mixed forest as observed from a very tall tower. Glob Change Biol 9:1278–1293.

52. Kurbatova J, Li C, Varlagin A, Xiao X, Vygodskaya N (2008) Modeling carbon dynamics in two adjacent spruce forests with different soil conditions in Russia. *Biogeosciences* 5:969–980. 53. Hendriks DMD, van Huissteden J, Dolman AJ, van der Molen MK (2007) The full greenhouse gas balance of an abandoned peat meadow. *Biogeosciences* 4:411–424.

54. Skiba U, et al. (2013) Comparison of soil greenhouse gas fluxes from extensive and intensive grazing in a temperate maritime climate. Biogeosciences 10:1231-1241.

55. Lund M, Lindroth A, Christensen TR, Stroem L (2007) Annual CO<sub>2</sub> balance of a temperate bog. Tellus B Chem Phys Meterol 59:804-811.

# Table S5. Site-specific gap-filling methods

PNAS PNAS

Site ID	Site name	Gap-filling CO <sub>2</sub>	Gap-filling CH <sub>4</sub>	Refs.
AB1a	Zackenberg	Collatz model and $Q_{10}$ function for Rs	$Q_{10}$ and water table	(1)
AB1b	Zackenberg	Linear interpolation	Linear interpolation	(2)
AB2 AB3a,b	Kytalyk Cherskii	As per Reichstein et al. (4) Temperature response (Reco) and light response (GPP)	— Mean seasonal averaging	(3) (4) (5)
AB4	Samoylov, Lena River Delta	Light response and temperature response as per Runkle et al. (8)	Daily averages, no gap filling	(6) (7)
AB5	Western peatland of FLUXNET–Canada Research Network	_	FCRN standard procedure	(8) (9) (10)
AB6	Linnansuo	As per Reichstein et al. (4)	Linear interpolation	(11) (12)
AB7	Kalevansuo	Temperature response, radiation response	Interpolation between each chamber	(4) (13)
AB8	Lompolojänkkä	Temperature response, radiation response,	Temperature response, averaging	(14)
AB9	Daring Lake	Linear interpolation and empirical modeling	Average, no gap filling	(15) (16)
AB10	Siikaneva	Empirical modeling	Linear interpolation, temperature regression	(17) (18)
AB11	Stordalen	Air temperature and light response	Temperature response	(19) (20) (21)
AB12	Barrow	Linear interpolation	_	(22)
AB13	Nuuk	Linear interpolation	Linear interpolation	(21)
AB14a	Jokioinen	Temperature plus radiation response for the EC data	Linear interpolation for the chamber data	(23) (24)
AB14b	Jokioinen	Temperature plus radiation response for the EC data	Linear interpolation for the chamber data	(23) (24)
T1	Mer Bleue	Nonlinear regression with seasonal adjustment	Linear regression of log10 flux	(25)
т2	Sherman Island	Neural networks	Neural networks	(26) (27)
T3	Twitchell Island	Neural networks	Neural networks	(27)
T4	Mayberry Slough	Neural networks	Neural networks	(27)
Т5	Plotnikovo	Linear regression	Linear regression	(28)
Т6	Bog Lake peatland, United States	See refs. for procedure	Linear integration	(29)
				(30)
T7 T8 T9	Castellaro Glencar Spreewald	Yes, Look-up tables Temperature response, radiation response Temperature response, radiation response	Yes, Look-up tables Nonlinear regression —	(31) (32–42) (4)
T10	Oukoop	Dual-modeling approach	Empirical multivariate regression model	(43) (44) (45) (21)
T11	Park Falls, WI, WLEF	Nighttime moving-window regression	Simple exponential temperature model and linear interpolation to daily NEE	(46)

#### Table S5. Cont.

Site ID	Site name	Gap-filling CO <sub>2</sub>	Gap-filling CH <sub>4</sub>	Refs.
				(47)
T12	Fyodorovskoye (Ru-FYO)	Yes	_	(48) (49)
T13	Horstermeer	Yes	_	(50)
T14	Auchencorth Moss	Yes	_	(21) (51)
T15	Fäjemyr	Neural networks	_	(52)

1. Friborg T, Christensen TR, Hansen BU, Nordstroem C, Soegaard H (2000) Trace gas exchange in a high-arctic valley: 2. Landscape CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes measured and modeled using eddy correlation data. Global Biogeochem Cycles 14(3):715–723.

2. Soegaard H, et al. (2000) Trace gas exchange in a high-arctic valley. 3. Integrating and scaling CO<sub>2</sub> luxes from canopy to landscape using lux data, footprint modelling and remote sensing. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 14:725–744.

3. Parmentier FJW, et al. (2011) Longer growing seasons do not increase net carbon uptake in the northeastern Siberian tundra. J Geophys Res 116:G04013.

4. Reichstein M, et al. (2005) On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: Review and improved algorithm. *Glob Change Biol* 11:1424–1439. 5. Merbold L, et al. (2009) Artificial drainage and associated carbon fluxes (CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>) in a tundra ecosystem. *Glob Change Biol* 15:2599–2614.

6. Sachs T, Wille C, Boike J, Kutzbach L (2008) Environmental controls on ecosystem-scale CH<sub>4</sub> emission from polygonal tundra in the Lena River Delta, Siberia. J Geophys Res Biogeosci 113(G3):000505.

7. Sachs T, Giebels M, Boike J, Kutzbach L (2010) Environmental controls on CH<sub>4</sub> emission from polygonal tundra on the microsite scale in the Lena river delta, Siberia. *Glob Change Biol* 16(11):3096–3110.

8. Runkle BRK, Sachs T, Wille C, Pfeiffer E-M, Kutzbach L (2013) Bulk partitioning the growing season net ecosystem exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> in Siberian tundra reveals the seasonality of its carbon sequestration strength. *Biogeosciences* 10:1337–1349.

9. Flanagan LB, Syed KH (2011) Stimulation of both photosynthesis and respiration in response to warmer and drier conditions in a boreal peatland ecosystem. Glob Change Biol 17:2271–2287.

10. Long KD, Flanagan LB, Cai T (2010) Diurnal and seasonal variation in methane emissions in a northern Canadian peatland measured by eddy covariance. Glob Change Biol 16:2420– 2435.

11. Shurpali NJ, et al. (2009) Cultivation of a perennial grass for bioenergy on a boreal organic soil - Carbon sink or source? GCB Bioenergy 1:35–50.

12. Hyvönen NP, et al. (2009) Fluxes of nitrous oxide and methane on an abandoned peat extraction site: Effect of reed canary grass cultivation. *Bioresour Technol* 100(20):4723–4730. 13. Lohila A, et al. (2011) Greenhouse gas flux measurements in a forestry-drained peatland indicate a large carbon sink. *Biogeosciences* 8:3203–3218.

14. Aurela M, et al. (2009) Carbon dioxide exchange on a northern boreal fen. Boreal Environ Res 14:699–710.

15. Lafleur PM, Humphreys ER (2008) Spring warming and carbon dioxide exchange over low arctic tundra in central Canada. Glob Change Biol 14:740–756.

16. Humphreys ER, Lafleur PM (2011) Does earlier snowmelt lead to greater CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration in two low arctic tundra ecosystems? Geophys Res Lett 38:L09703.

17. Rinne J, et al. (2007) Annual cycle of methane emission from a boreal fen measured by the eddy covariance technique. Tellus B Chem Phys Meterol 59:449–457.

18. Aurela M, et al. (2007) CO<sub>2</sub> balance of a sedge fen in southern Finland – The influence of a drought period. Tellus 59B:826–837.

19. Jackowicz-Korczynski M, et al. (2010) Annual cycle of methane emission from a subarctic peatland. J Geophys Res 115:G02009.

20. Christensen TR, et al. (2012) Monitoring the multi-year carbon balance of a subarctic palsa mire with micrometeorological techniques. Ambio 41(Suppl 3):207-217.

21. Falge E, et al. (2001) Gap filling strategies for long term energy flux data sets. Agric For Meteorol 107(1):71-77.

22. Zona D, et al. (2009) Methane fluxes during the initiation of a large-scale water table manipulation experiment in the Alaskan arctic tundra. Global Biogeochem Cycles 23:GB2013.

Lohila A, Aurela M, Tuovinen J-P, Laurila T (2004) Annual CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of a peat field growing spring barley or perennial forage grass. J Geophys Res 109:D18116.
 Regina K, Pihlatie M, Esala M, Alakukku L (2007) Methane fluxes on boreal arable soils. Agric Ecosyst Environ 119(3–4):346–352.

- 25. Lai DYF, Roulet NT, Humphreys ER, Moore TR, Dalva M (2012) The effect of atmospheric turbulence and chamber deployment period on autochamber CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> flux measurements in an ombrotrophic peatland. *Biogeosciences* 9(8):3305–3322.
- 26. Roulet NT, et al. (2007) Contemporary carbon balance and late Holocene carbon accumulation in a northern peatland. Glob Change Biol 13:397-411.

27. Hatala JA, et al. (2012) Greenhouse gas (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O) fluxes from drained and flooded agricultural peatlands in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Agric Ecosyst Environ 150:1–18.

28. Friborg T, Soegaard H, Christensen TR, Lloyd CR, Panikov NS (2003) Siberian wetlands: Where a sink is a source. Geophys Res Lett 30:2129.

29. Shurpali NJ, Verma SB, Clement RJ, Billesbach DP (1993) Seasonal distribution of methane flux in a Minnesota peatland measured by eddy correlation. J Geophys Res 98:20649–20655. 30. Shurpali NJ, Verma SB, Kim J, Arkebauer TJ (1995) Carbon dioxide exchange in a peatland ecosystem. J Geophys Res 100:14319–14326.

31. Meijide A, et al. (2011) Seasonal trends and environmental controls of methane emissions in a rice paddy field in Northern Italy. Biogeosciences 8:3809–3821.

32. Koehler A-K, Murphy K, Kiely G, Sottocornola M (2009) Seasonal variation of DOC concentration and annual loss of DOC from an Atlantic blanket bog in South Western Ireland. Biogeochemistry 95:231–242.

33. Koehler A-K, Sottocornola M, Kiely G (2011) How strong is the current carbon sequestration of an Atlantic blanket bog? Glob Change Biol 17(1):309–319.

34. Sottocornola M, Kiely G (2005) An Atlantic blanket bog is a modest CO2 sink. Geophys Res Lett 32:L23804.

35. Sottocornola M, Laine A, Kiely G, Byrne KA, Tuittila E-S (2009) Vegetation and environmental variation in an Atlantic blanket bog in South-western Ireland. *Plant Ecol* 203(1):69–81. 36. Sottocornola M, Kiely G (2010a) Energy fluxes and evaporation mechanisms in an Atlantic bog in south west Ireland. *Water Resour Res* 46:W11524.

37. Sottocornola M, Kiely G (2010b) Hydrometeorological controls on the CO<sub>2</sub> exchange variation in an Irish blanket bog. Agric For Meteorol 150(2):287–297.

38. Laine AM, et al. (2006) Estimating net ecosystem exchange in a patterned ecosystem: Example from a blanket bog. Agric For Meteorol 138:231-243.

39. Laine AM, Byrne KA, Kiely G, Tuittila E-S (2007a) Patterns in vegetation and CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics of a lowland blanket bog along a water level gradient. Ecosystems 10(5):890–905.

40. Laine AM, Wilson D, Kiely G, Byrne KA (2007b) Methane flux dynamics in an Irish lowland blanket bog. Plant Soil 299(1-2):181-193.

41. Laine AM, Byrne KA, Kiely G, Tuittila E-S (2009) The short term effect of altered water level on carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in a blanket bog. Suoseura 60(3-4):65-83.

42. McVeigh P, Sottocornola M, Foley N, Leahy P, Kiely G (2014) Meteorological and functional response partitioning to explain interannual variability of CO<sub>2</sub> exchange at an Irish Atlantic blanket bog. Agric For Meteorol 194:8–19.

43. Veenendaal EM, et al. (2007) CO<sub>2</sub> exchange and carbon balance in two grassland sites on eutrophic drained peat soils. *Biogeosciences* 4:1027–1040.

44. Hensen A, Groot TT, Van den Bulk WCM (2006) Dairy farm emissions, from one square meter to the full farm scale. Agric Ecosyst Environ 11:146–152.

45. Kroon PS, Vesala T, Grace J (2010) Flux measurements of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O exchanges. Agric For Meteorol 150:745–747.

46. Desai AR, Bolstad PV, Cook BD, Davis KJ, Carey EV (2005) Comparing net ecosystem exchange of carbon dioxide between an old-growth and mature forest in the upper Midwest, USA. Agric For Meteorol 128(1–2):33–55.

47. Desai AR, et al. (2015) Landscape-level terrestrial methane flux observed from a very tall tower. Agric For Meteorol 201:61–75.

48. Davis KJ, et al. (2003) The annual cycles of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O exchange over a northern mixed forest as observed from a very tall tower. Glob Change Biol 9:1278–1293.

49. Kurbatova J, Li C, Varlagin A, Xiao X, Vygodskaya N (2008) Modeling carbon dynamics in two adjacent spruce forests with different soil conditions in Russia. *Biogeosciences* 5:969–980. 50. Hendriks DMD, van Huissteden J, Dolman AJ, van der Molen MK (2007) The full greenhouse gas balance of an abandoned peat meadow. *Biogeosciences* 4:411–424.

- 51. Skiba U, et al. (2013) Comparison of soil greenhouse gas fluxes from extensive and intensive grazing in a temperate maritime climate. Biogeosciences 10:1231–1241.
- 52. Lund M, Lindroth A, Christensen TR, Stroem L (2007) Annual CO<sub>2</sub> balance of a temperate bog. Tellus B Chem Phys Meterol 59:804–811.

# Table S6. Multiple paired sites for radiative forcing calculations

RF runs (1)	Reference site	Site type	Managed site	Site type	$\Delta NECB, M-R$	$\Delta CH_4$ , M–R	$\Delta N_2 O, M-R$
Natural to a	griculture, AB						
1	AB8	Natural fen $\rightarrow$	AB6	Energy crop	214	-19.9	-0.06
2	AB8	Natural fen $\rightarrow$	AB14a	Barley	2,066	-20.4	4.6
3	AB8	Natural fen $\rightarrow$	AB14b	Grass	2,010	-20.3	3.0
4	AB10	Natural fen $\rightarrow$	AB6	Energy crop	300	-13.6	_
5	AB10	Natural fen $\rightarrow$	AB14a	Barley	2,152	-14.1	_
6	AB10	Natural fen $\rightarrow$	AB14b	Grass	2,096	-14.0	_
7	AB11	Natural mire $\rightarrow$	AB6	Energy crop	229	-24.1	_
8	AB11	Natural mire $\rightarrow$	AB14a	Barley	2,081	-24.5	_
9	AB11	Natural mire $\rightarrow$	AB14b	Grass	2,026	-24.5	_
Natural to a	griculture, T						
1	T1	Natural bog $\rightarrow$	T2	Pasture	1,490	-9.8	_
2	T1	Natural bog →	T10	Peat meadow	1,631	5.2	_
3	T1	Natural bog →	T14	Acid moorland	5	10.2	
4	Т8	(Relatively) Natural bog $\rightarrow$	T2	Pasture	1,446	-3.8	_
5	Т8	(Relatively) Natural bog $\rightarrow$	T10	Peat meadow	1,556	11.2	2.4
6	Т8	(Relatively) Natural bog $\rightarrow$	T14	Acid moorland	-40	-4.2	0.003
7	T15	Natural bog $\rightarrow$	T2	Pasture	1,250	-3.4	_
8	T15	Natural bog $\rightarrow$	T10	Peat meadow	1,390	11.6	_
9	T15	Natural bog $\rightarrow$	T14	Acid moorland	-235	-3.8	
Natural fore	sted to managed	forested, AB and T					
1	AB5	Treed fen $\rightarrow$	AB7	Drained wetland pine bog	217* 150 <sup>†</sup>	-3.3	—
2	Т9	Treed wetland $\rightarrow$	T11	Mixed forest/wetland landscape	881* 713 <sup>†</sup>	0.7	—

The difference in fluxes  $\Delta NECB$ ,  $\Delta CH_{4r}$  and  $\Delta N_2O$  between managed (M) and reference (R) sites has been expressed as grams CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, or N<sub>2</sub>O·m<sup>-2</sup>·y<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

\*R<sub>soil</sub> calculated with method *i* (carbon budget at sites).

PNAS PNAS

<sup>†</sup>R<sub>soil</sub> calculated with method *ii* [*IPCC Wetland Supplement* values (2)].

1. Lohila A, et al. (2010) Forestation of boreal peatlands: Impacts of changing albedo and greenhouse gas fluxes on radiative forcing. J Geophys Res 115:G04011. 2. IPCC 2014, 2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands, eds Hiraishi T, et al. (IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland).