

University of Nebraska - Lincoln
DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

University of Nebraska Press -- Sample Books and
Chapters

University of Nebraska Press

2016

Intersectionality

Anna Carastathis

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/unpresssamples>

Carastathis, Anna, "Intersectionality" (2016). *University of Nebraska Press -- Sample Books and Chapters*. 336.
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/unpresssamples/336>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the University of Nebraska Press at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Nebraska Press -- Sample Books and Chapters by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

INTERSECTIONALITY

[Buy the Book](#)

INTERSEC

EXPANDING FRONTIERS

INTERDISCIPLINARY APPROACHES TO STUDIES
OF WOMEN, GENDER, AND SEXUALITY

Series Editors:

KAREN J. LEONG
ANDREA SMITH

[Buy the Book](#)

TIONALITY

Origins, Contestations, Horizons

ANNA CARASTATHIS

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA PRESS | LINCOLN & LONDON

[Buy the Book](#)

© 2016 by the Board of Regents of the University of Nebraska

Earlier portions of chapter 1 originally appeared as “The Concept of Intersectionality in Feminist Theory” in *Philosophy Compass* 9, no. 5 (2014): 304–13. An earlier version of chapter 2 appeared as “Basements and Intersections” in *Hypatia: A Journal of Feminist Philosophy* 28, no. 4 (2013): 698–715. Part of chapter 3 was originally published as “Reinvigorating Intersectionality as a Provisional Concept” in *Why Race and Gender Still Matter: An Intersectional Approach*, edited by Namita Goswami, Maeve O’Donovan, and Lisa Yount (London: Pickering & Chatto, 2014), 59–70, used by permission from Taylor & Francis. A portion of chapter 5 originally appeared as “Identity Categories as Potential Coalitions” in *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, special issue: “Intersectionality: Theorizing Power, Empowering Theory,” edited by Kimberlé Williams Crenshaw, Sumi Cho, and Leslie McCall, 38, no. 4 (2013): 941–65.

All rights reserved

Manufactured in the United States of America ∞

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Carastathis, Anna, 1981–

Title: Intersectionality: origins, contestations, horizons / Anna Carastathis.

Description: Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 2016.

| Series: Expanding frontiers: interdisciplinary approaches to studies of women, gender, and sexuality | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2016010867 (print)

LCCN 2016034740 (ebook)

ISBN 9780803285552 (hardback: alk. paper)

ISBN 9780803296626 (epub)

ISBN 9780803296633 (mobi)

ISBN 9780803296640 (pdf)

Subjects: LCSH: Feminist theory. | Women’s studies. |

Women, Black. | African Americans—Race identity. |

BISAC: SOCIAL SCIENCE / Feminism & Feminist Theory. |

SOCIAL SCIENCE / Ethnic Studies / General.

Classification: LCC HQ1190 .C374 2016 (print) |

LCC HQ1190 (ebook) | DDC 305.4201—dc23

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2016010867>

Set in Garamond Premier Pro by Rachel Gould.

To my sister, Katerina
and to my yiayia, Katerina

CONTENTS

Preface	ix
Acknowledgments	xvii
Introduction	i
1. Intersectionality, Black Feminist Thought, and Women-of-Color Organizing	15
2. Basements and Intersections	69
3. Intersectionality as a Provisional Concept	103
4. Critical Engagements with Intersectionality	125
5. Identities as Coalitions	163
6. Intersectionality and Decolonial Feminism	199
Conclusion	233
References	241
Index	263

PREFACE

At its core, this is a book about reading and listening. At times, as I wrote it, I was not sure I wanted to advance an argument to the extent it required me to shift from reading and listening to writing and speaking, and in a sense—since the argument centrally concerns the politics of interpretation and representation—to speaking for others. But since I have now done that, I want to preface what I have written with a story about the “locus of enunciation” of its author. The Cherokee-Greek writer Thomas King has said that “the truth about stories is that that’s all we are.” I tell this story with trepidation, vulnerability and apprehension, knowing that stories are “dangerous” as much as they are “wondrous”; we can become “chained” to them, and they cannot be called back; once told, they are “loose in the world” (King 2003).

I was born in 1981, the same year Cherríe Moraga and Gloria Anzaldúa first published *This Bridge Called My Back: Writings by Radical Women of Color*, across an ocean, on a different continent, probably in a different world. A decade later I would fly above that ocean and arrive on northeastern Turtle Island an “immigrant.” Still another decade would pass. In my early twenties, attending graduate school, I would come across this book for the first time in a university library (it would be none the worse for wear) in an attempt to educate myself with respect to women-of-color feminisms in an academic context where, to my disappointment and frustration, they were institutionally, disciplinarily, and phenomenologically underrepresented. Becoming absorbed in its

pages, while still standing between the stacks, sweating in my winter parka (it would be winter in Montreal, Kanien'kehá:ka-Mohawk territory), I would come across a passage. As my eyes passed over its lines, I would let out an audible gasp. It would be the first (and last) time I saw my ethnicity mentioned within a women-of-color feminist text. This was not an act of self-representation; neither was it, straightforwardly, an inclusionary gesture in the category. But it was a fleeting moment of visibility. In an essay titled "I Paid Very Hard for My Immigrant Ignorance," which instantly captured my interest, Mirtha Quintanales writes with a lot of empathy and nuance about her Greek friend, a woman who does not quite fit, a queer figure whose ambivalent, ambiguous racialization—white by U.S. Census standards, yet "loud," "aggressive," and "very Greek identified"—renders her an outsider to both hegemonic and minoritized racial groups. Quintanales reports: "The Greek woman's many attempts to 'connect with Third World lesbians and Women of Color' . . . have been met with outright rejection," rejection she faces "in white, mainstream lesbian/feminist circles as well. Clearly she does not fit there either" (1983, 150–51). I would slam the book shut, and then quickly open it again, reading and rereading these lines with astonishment, incredulity, confusion, and a strange mixture of pleasure and pain—the pleasure of recognition, the pain that this recognition is one of rejection. I would never speak about it with anyone.

I would write a dissertation on intersectionality, questioning its efficacy as a multi-axial theory of oppression. The next decade, which brings me into the present, would be one of more relocations and dislocations, which entailed a great many opportunities to learn about what had become my object of study even if I would feel unable to avow it, at least aloud, as my subjective experience. This was a decade during which my NAFTA-facilitated crossing of the Canadian-U.S. border would transform me into a nonresident alien, who would be granted the privilege to teach philosophy and women's, gender, and sexuality studies at the "Other" state university in Los Angeles. Since my "object" is critical race feminisms and postcolonial, anticolonial, and decolonial thought, I would have many conversations about intersectionality, primarily with interlocutors who were positioned as my "students"—even though I was

keenly aware they were surely teaching me as much as, if not more than, I was them—as well as with colleagues and friends who would either look upon me as a three-headed monster or as amusingly naive when I would question the self-evidence of the racialized perceptions and ideologies that, somehow, always seemed ready to hand. I would learn to distrust the most “innocent” of encounters, which I found myself constantly plumbing for undercurrents and blatant statements of racism, homophobia, misogyny. I would learn about the history and reconstitution of slavery in and through the prison-industrial complex; about the U.S. version of genocidal settler colonialism (no “worse” than the Canadian version that I had already encountered but to which I could never become “accustomed”); about internally colonized groups constructed as “minorities” on their own ancestral lands, even when they numerically predominate; about the criminalization of migration and the struggles to survive of people rendered “illegal”; about the naturalization of permanent war and the state of terror it inflicted on racialized religious groups within the national territory and abroad; about the solipsistic, assimilative arrogations of whiteness, and the ambitions of some to be absorbed within it. I would begin to “deal with” my own queerness, both sexual and “racial.” I think about the figure of the “Greek woman” in Quintanales’s text. I do not experience rejection from women of color, but neither do I experience belonging. I feel I do not belong. I feel I do not have the right to belong. I begin to question my desire to belong, to distrust my thinking about my own identity; could the experience of “ambivalence” and “ambiguity” be just another instance of white evasion of responsibility? From what enunciatory location can I speak authentically and responsibly, and in what language? What am I doing on this continent? When I think of who and where I am, the words “dislocation,” “displacement,” and “dispossession” weigh on my mind. I start to feel that my very presence on these appropriated lands is untenable. I start to remember the first days after my arrival in a small city in northern Ontario, traditional territory of the Anishinaabe-Ojibwe people. I remember us silently sitting on the monkey bars with Thelma, a Native kid and an immigrant kid, the Anglo/white students spitting hatred at us, and feeling then, and now, that I should not want to belong

here, that belonging was a trap. I wanted to go home. Almost twenty years later, though, a home place had ceased to exist for me.

After losing my home, I gradually lost my tongue. Unlike the “Greek woman” in Quintanales’s essay, I was never very Greek-identified. Of mixed roots, born to an Irish mother—herself three times an immigrant—and a Greek father, whose parents were Egyptian Greeks, like that poet of diasporic nostalgia and cosmopolitan queerness, Constantine Cavafy, with migratory roots from the islands and mainlands of what became the Greek nation-state. My relationship to “Greekness” was always mediated by a persistent ascription of inauthenticity. I remember, as a child, my elder relatives in Greece observing that I looked “European, not Greek.” Outside Greece, I have heard countless times from acquaintances moments after meeting them that I do not “look Greek”—their perception is confirmed by hearing that my mother is Irish—and they almost always seem to mean it as a compliment. In Greece, I am often perceived as an immigrant, not an emigrant. In the diaspora, Greek identity is overdetermined by religion, nationalism, heteronormativity, and a shared language. As a queer secular anti-nationalist, losing my tongue and the fading of my (sort of Irish, sort of Greek) immigrant accent meant losing the last, tenuous claim I had on this identity. If my experience in the first decade of living in Canada was characterized by estrangement, alienation, and overt cultural racism, by the end of the second I could feel myself ever more assimilated into the Canadian settler state, a process exacerbated by migrating to the United States to work, where “Americans” would seek to define me as “Canadian”; if this is a true description of my naturalized citizenship, it made me cringe as a characterization of my ethnic identity.

Then the “crisis” occurred. Suddenly I am re-remembered as Greek in the diaspora; I am asked my opinion about the profligacy of “my” government, the indolence of “my” compatriots, and the violence of their protest. I feel a need to relearn a lost language in order to read and understand, if from a distance, how austerity capitalism is being resisted in Greece, where historical experiences of war, occupation, dictatorship and imperialism are being reanimated in the present conjuncture. This is a history that my leftist parents had never allowed us to forget; yet,

returning to Greece amid the crisis, I notice how one of its effects is the dispelling of a prevalent forgetting that enabled the rise of “European” aspirations along with the entrenchment of consumer capitalism. Now, as Greece is reminded that Europe is a continental, racial project to which it peripherally belongs, my own sense of belonging and desire to retrace roots in the place of my birth is reignited. I begin to relearn what I have forgotten, and for the first time in nearly a decade (and only the second time since we emigrated), return. Walking in my godmother’s neighborhood on the outskirts of Athens, where asphalt roads have since been laid, I get lost and stop a neighbor to ask for directions. He looks at me suspiciously, calls me an “illegal immigrant,” and sneers that I’d do better to return from whence I came.

When thinking about the place of ethnic “minority” women in women-of-color feminisms, who were assimilated as groups (if not as individuals, given the particularities of phenotypic ascriptions, class immobilities, and linguistic, geographical, generational, and other factors) into whiteness sometime during the mid-twentieth century in the United States and Canada, there is often an assumption that assimilation constitutes privilege, that it is a volitional, unidirectional, permanent, and unproblematic transformation. This is naturalized with reference to dubious claims about the phenotypic proximity of ethnic whites to hegemonic whites—eliding the diversity of embodiments and reproducing a reifying realism about racialized perception. Moreover, Quintanales argues that “the ‘social privileges’ of lighter-than-black-ethnic-minority lesbians in this society are almost totally dependent on our denial of who we are, on our ethnic death” (1983, 153). While skin color “*may* confer on some ethnic minority women the option of being ‘assimilated,’ ‘integrated’ in mainstream American society,” it comes at the price of “having to become ghost-like, identity-less, community-less, totally alienated” (154). She speaks eloquently of being torn between the “primary emergencies [of] race and culture” (154), of fearing that the expansion of the concept of racism—and of “women of color” as its subject—will mean its dilution, and particularly the displacement of historical experiences of enslavement, colonization, and imperialism as ontological anchors of the phenomenology

of racial oppression (153). What is to be done with ethnic minority women who—at least according to late-twentieth- and early-twenty-first-century demographic taxonomies—are perceived as “white” and accorded at least some, if not all, of the privileges of whiteness, and may even be racists (153)?

The contradictions and ambivalences in my experiences of racialization and racism are in part a function of the fact that the process was neither instantaneous nor coherent. My experiences of racialization constitute a diachronic process that involved the imposition of institutionally racist ascriptions (“landed immigrant,” “visible minority,” “naturalized citizen,” “nonresident alien”); it has occasioned intersubjective racializing encounters in different optic economies of “race”—for instance, in the sun-soaked ecology of the traditional territory of the Tongva and Chumash peoples, now colonized by the United States and renamed “Los Angeles,” I am often “mistaken” for Latina. My racialization often makes me “visible but not legible” (Mendieta 2012, 152). This causes consternation in the subject of racialized perception whose intentionality is frustrated. Sometimes it has been Angla/white women who sought to fix my identity, conflating my “white privilege” with their own. Sometimes it has been women of color who have accused me of inauthenticity or pointed out the privilege which the “ability” to assimilate affords me. Usually, I tend to agree with both and only silently disagree. The idiosyncrasies of my experience hardly seem to matter in the grand scheme of a global system of white supremacy, in which my skin color and Canadian/European Union citizenships garner undeniable institutional privileges, and protect me from state violence and premature death. My body relatively easily crosses borders that render other people’s crossings illicit, it enters spaces that are foreclosed to others, it is fed and sheltered and safe from mortars, land mines, drone missiles, stray and aimed bullets. My migratory travels were by airplane; not by boat, by dinghy, or on foot. I think about this as I cross the Aegean sea from an island in “Greek” territory to the mainland of the “Turkish” state; my identity card and a ticket bought with ten euro garners me safe passage over what has become a watery graveyard for thousands of migrants crossing in precarious vessels in the other direction. Given

this necropolitical/biopolitical divide, any ambiguity seems superficial and the binarisms of racialization intractable.

In one of my classes I asked students to read Cherríe Moraga's 1979 essay "La Güera," and I was struck by how many of us identify with her words, how many of us seek a language to "understand the meaning of being and yet not being, of 'merging' and yet remaining utterly alone and in the margins of our society" (Quintanales 1983, 154). Moraga writes:

In this country, lesbianism is a poverty—as is being brown, as is being a woman, as is being just plain poor. The danger lies in ranking the oppressions. *The danger lies in failing to acknowledge the specificity of the oppression.* The danger lies in attempting to deal with oppression purely from a theoretical base. Without an emotional, heartfelt grappling with the source of our own oppression, without naming the enemy within ourselves and outside of us, no authentic, nonhierarchical connection among oppressed groups can take place. (1983, 44–45)

Quintanales warns of a deeper problem of which the figure of the Greek woman is a symptom: "We are still seeing radical differences when they don't exist and not seeing them when they are critical" (1983, 154). Which differences matter, and which are immaterial?

I try to square my story with Moraga and Anzaldúa's insight that "visibility/invisibility as women of colour forms our radicalism" (1983, xxiv). In this book I discuss a position toward intersectionality that I have developed by reading and listening closely to "plurilogues"—to borrow Shireen Roshanravan's wonderful concept (2014)—staged within (queer) women-of-color feminisms. I understand "women of color" in the coalitional sense it gained in the U.S. context, as a political project, within which I have come to construct my own life trajectory, my affective, ideological, and political commitments, to try to fulfill my "responsibility to my roots" (Moraga 1983, 50), and to dream insurrectionary dreams for future generations. Moraga and Anzaldúa argue that to produce "theory in the flesh" we must struggle to "learn . . . how to live with . . . contradictions," embracing them as the "root of our radicalism" (1983, 5). I situate my scholarship in plurilogue with

women-of-color feminisms, not because I want to territorialize or arrogate the work of those whose experiences I do not share, but because I want to contest the ease with which we deny our connections (Quintanales 1983, 156). I want to express my identity-loneliness, my lack of community, but also my gratitude to Black feminisms, which for over a decade have challenged and inspired me in ways that this book can only begin to express—this as an act of affirming our interconnectedness. I tell this story while fighting the voice in my head that sounds off rejection or ridicule. I humbly join my voice to those of others who have long suggested that social movements need to imagine identity and identification in radically different ways than those made hegemonic by nation-states, which continue to impose systems of racial classification (and to the extent that all maintain citizenship as a fundamental category of non/belonging, arguably *all* do, even if they disavow explicitly racist terms). Can we reorient our perception so that lived understandings of identity, solidarity, and community are not totalized by demographic taxonomies of “race” and ethnicity, gender and gender identity, sexuality, nation, and religion? Can we tell stories about who and where we are that do justice and are accountable to the struggles of people who are not “us”? The argument I advance in this book does not claim originality or exactness—both arrogances of a colonial/positivist epistemic paradigm—but it is motivated by solidarity and seeks to contribute to our collective liberation; its condition of possibility is a sincere and profound desire to read and listen. Countering attempts to fix intersectionality to positivist, essentialist categories, to render it amenable to colonial state projects of differential inclusion, diversity management, and social control, in what follows I argue that “intersectionality” understood as categorial critique functions as a distal horizon, powerfully illuminating the urgent conceptual, political, ethical, and affective work to be done in the “here” and “now.”

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I have been thinking about the issues that have found expression in this book for over a decade, since I first encountered the concept of intersectionality in a feminist philosophy course during my undergraduate years at the University of Alberta, and tried to square it with experiences of multiple marginalizations crosscut by privileges with which I was grappling. That course was taught by Cressida Heyes, who became my mentor and honors thesis supervisor and without whose encouragement I would not have thought it plausible that I pursue graduate studies. Dr. Heyes introduced me to feminist theory as a potentially socially transformative intellectual pursuit, and inspired me, as she did many of her other students before and since, to think wildly, argue sharply, write clearly, and teach kindly. My dissertation supervisor at McGill University, Alia Al-Saji, encouraged my diffuse interests while helping focus them into a thesis. As generous over the years with her friendship as with her patient guidance, Dr. Al-Saji expanded my intellectual horizons by introducing me to phenomenology—an orientation to philosophical thinking grounded in lived experience that forms the constitutive background of the analytical and hermeneutic arguments I attempt in these pages—and by encouraging me to recruit phenomenology toward race-critical ends; I am grateful for our marathon conversations, her sense of humor, and her ongoing support as I negotiate the vicissitudes of academic life. Marguerite Deslauriers and Hasana Sharp, my other two dissertation committee members, gave invaluable advice,

posed difficult questions, and encouraged me to develop the project in its early phases with analytical acuity and hermeneutic rigor. I am thankful for the financial support I received during my doctoral studies from the Department of Philosophy, the Faculty of Arts, and the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada. Subsequently, I developed earlier versions of certain chapters while a postdoctoral fellow at the Centre de recherche en éthique de l'Université de Montréal under the guidance of Daniel Marc Weinstock, and at the Institute for Gender, Race, Sexuality, and Social Justice of the University of British Columbia supervised by Becki Ross. My colleagues at California State University, Los Angeles, particularly Ann Garry—with whom I had so many productive exchanges about intersectionality and feminism—Talia Mae Bettcher, and Mohammed Abed (in Philosophy), and Benjamin Bateman, Molly Talcott, Dionne Espinoza, and Alejandra Marchevsky (in Women's, Gender, and Sexuality Studies), were wonderful interlocutors, inspiring educators, and supportive friends. The administrator of the Department of Philosophy, Donna Balderrama, infused our workplace with such unparalleled kindness and commitment that she redefined for me what can be humanly possible under such institutional constraints; not to mention that she saved me from certain disaster on too many occasions to count. I am grateful to the Center for Research on Genders and Sexualities at Cal State LA for awarding me a Faculty Research Fellowship, which enabled me to conduct research at San Francisco's Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual and Transgender Historical Society, and to archivists Rebekah Kim, Marjorie Bryer, and Alex Barrows for all their work keeping alive and ensuring our access to the historical memory of LGBTQI movements and communities in that city.

I drew insight and inspiration from the people positioned as my “students” at all of the institutions at which I have been so fortunate to teach; but I truly felt that at Cal State LA I was privileged to meet people who transformed me radically and confirmed all my secret hopes about humanity. I could write an entire book on this subject, about all the things that working with students at that beleaguered public institution taught me about our capacity to struggle, to connect, to create, and to learn together. Without wishing to diminish the collective

process in which we were all together involved, I would like to express my gratitude specifically to certain “students”—scholars in their own right—who generously shared with me their ideas, their interpretations, and their questions in ways that have been deeply affecting. Imran Siddiqui’s love of footnotes and scrupulously close readings easily outdoes my own; his generosity of spirit and intellect humbles me profoundly. I was inspired to research *Somos Hermanas* by Claudia Baltazar, who began working on her master’s thesis focusing on the “third space” feminisms of women of color who had organized in the Bay Area; Claudia’s tragic death interrupted that work and the life of a beautiful, brilliant person that I was honored to know, if all too briefly. I would also like to acknowledge the people who made up the Critical Race Feminisms seminar in spring of 2011, particularly Lisbeth Espinosa, who taught us all a great deal about the vital importance of honoring, integrating, and resisting on the basis of what she powerfully termed “the intersectionalities within”; Victor Morales, who is as resilient in his intellect as he is in his person; and Chogollah Maroufi, whose passion for learning is truly inspiring and is what I imagine makes him such an incredible teacher. The people who constituted my courses on gendered violence and who organized the public forums “Breaking the Chain of Gendered Violence through Education and Empowerment” (in 2010) and “What Is Done in the Dark Must Come to Light: Imagine an End to Gendered Violence” (in 2013) did no less than rock my world. I especially want to thank Bree Lacey, Brandon Edgar, Carlos Douglas, Christyne Taylor, Corinne Love, Diana Perez, Jillian Paul, Leonard López, Lucia Smith-Menzies, and Lysandro Valenzuela. I was honored to share safer space, mutual support, and lots of laughs with the people in *The Queer Connection*, for which student organization I served as faculty adviser; in every sense of these words: you know who you are. I am particularly grateful to the graduate students who did me the honor of asking me to serve as their master’s thesis adviser, and whose work informed my own: Leonard López, who wrote powerfully about “prismatic identities” in his analysis of hate crimes law in relation to the colonality of “intra-racial” homophobic violence; Andres Garza, who pressed me to think phenomenologically about issues of epistemic injustice; and Anthony

Ristow, who got me thinking about the potentials and limitations of Foucault's thought for conceptualizing "racism" (this became the subject of a reading group we organized). I would also like to express my gratitude to those people in "my" classes who pushed my pedagogical limits, challenged my assumptions, and tested, frustrated, or aggrieved me—and perhaps whom I also tested, frustrated, or aggrieved—for engaging me in a sometimes uncomfortable process of dissensus, of conflict and, sometimes, but not always, of resolution with respect to the issues under discussion. Thank-you all for your patience with me as I was—and I hope I always will be—learning how to teach.

I am grateful to collaborators, editors, anonymous reviewers, conference organizers, and audiences who helped me develop, sharpen, and refine the arguments elaborated in the pages that follow. I would like to thank Jennifer Nash, whose work on intersectionality has inspired and challenged me; together we organized a panel at the National Women's Studies Association's "Difficult Dialogues" conference in 2009. Given that we had titled the panel "Beyond Intersectionality?" I think we were both more than a little apprehensive when we realized that Kimberlé Williams Crenshaw was in the room. I am "Beyond Grateful" to Professor Crenshaw for inviting me to present at UCLA's School of Law Critical Race Studies Symposium, "Intersectionality: Challenging Theory, Reframing Politics, Transforming Movements," in 2010, and for including my article in the special issue of *Signs* that she coedited with Sumi Cho and Leslie McCall. The special issue editors, together with Beth Ribet and *Signs* managing editor Miranda Outman-Kramer, were generous and rigorous with their critical advice, helping me develop the theoretical argument about intersectionality revealing identities as coalitions in relation to social-movement history. It has been a daunting honor to write about a living thinker as brilliant as Professor Crenshaw, whose work has inspired so many to challenge cognitive and affective habits, conceptual schemas, and political ideologies based on solipsism, domination, and exclusion. Encountering Carmen Vázquez, as well as the other activists who brought *Somos Hermanas* to life, in the archive and through their oral histories, writing, lectures, and interviews, renewed my optimism about what is possible when we collectively confront violence in our

lives and enacted in our name in ways that acknowledge and respect the interdependence of personal and social transformation. I would like to thank Namita Goswami, Maeve O'Donovan, and Lisa Yount, editors of *Why Race and Gender Still Matter*, in which an earlier version of chapter 3 appears; Alia Al-Saji, who edited the Feminist Philosophy section of *Philosophy Compass* in which part of chapter 1 appears; Linda Martín Alcoff, Mickaella Perina, and Ofelia Schutte, who honored "Basements and Intersections" with the inaugural *Hypatia* Diversity Essay Prize and offered helpful suggestions for its improvement prior to its publication in that journal; Jen McWeeny, Shireen Roshanravan, and Pedro DiPietro, who helped me develop my reading of María Lugones's account of intersectionality and interlocking and intermeshed oppressions for their anthology *Speaking Face to Face/Hablando Cara a Cara*.

I am very thankful for the interest and faith that Alicia Christensen, acquisitions editor at University of Nebraska Press, showed in this project and for her support throughout the publication process, and to the staff in the Editorial, Design, and Production Department, particularly Assistant Manager Sabrina Stellrecht, as well as copy editor Jonathan Lawrence, for their meticulous and caring attention to the text. The anonymous reviewers who read the manuscript were generous with their criticism and immensely helpful in illuminating possible trajectories of revision; the series editors, Karen J. Leong and Andrea Smith, gave me latitude to choose which of these to pursue. Thanks to all of them, a collection of pages were alchemically transformed into a book.

These pages were written in many different places and owe their existence to many people who inspired, challenged, and cared for me as I wrote and rewrote them. In graduate school, I was surrounded by people whose intellectual curiosity and passions helped form my own: for this, and much more, I am grateful to Anna Feigenbaum, Elaine Brown, Tracey Nicholls, Florentien Verhage, Bryan Smyth, Mohamed Mehdi, Jasmine Rault, Megha Sehdev, Tamara Extian-Babiuk, Cynthia Reed, Spogmai Wassimi, Fatima Seedat, S. J. Brooks, Aziz Choudry, Jennifer Cuffe, and Allison Harrell. Thanks to Raph Beaulieu for needing no invitation to stop by; he was my always-welcome conduit to the outside world when I was in the thick of dissertation writing; and to Angel

Beyde for her supportive friendship during that harried time. Jackie Kingston-Campbell's frequent visits and letters and parcels from Novosibirsk taught me to embrace life as an adventure that knows no age. I am grateful to the people at the Simone de Beauvoir Institute, especially Trish Salah, Dolores Chew, Gada Mahrouse, Viviane Namaste, Candis Steenberg, and Deb Lunny, and to the March 8 Committee of Women of Diverse Origins, who taught me so much about coalescing against the violences of racist heteropatriarchy. For their enduring friendships, I thank Ania Dymarz, David McVea, and Adair Rounthwaite. In Los Angeles, I was fortunate to be befriended by Ben Bateman, J. P. Drury, Mohamed Abed, Talia Bettcher, Susan Forrest, Molly Talcott, and Dana Collins. I will always feel profound gratitude to Riel Dupuis-Rossi for sharing part of the journey with me, for raising my consciousness and reminding me where I stand, and with whom, and to Vilma Rossi and Phill Dupuis for welcoming me into their families. Barbara Giuliani and Silvia Burzio made me feel *benvenuta* in Levà. In Mytilene, I shared *rakomelo*, practiced yoga, or talked gender politics with Vivi Papanikola, Vicky Bathrelou, Kiki Kourtoglou, and Ismini Gatou. In Lisbon, I was lucky for the supportive friendships of Lia Viola, Ilaria Bertazzi, and Elisa Scaraggi. For their *filoxenia* I thank Stella Chatzipantsiou in Thessaloniki, as well as Maroula Chatzipantsiou and Eleni Melitou in Palaiookomi. For so many rousing discussions, and so much good advice, I am grateful to my dear friend Litsa Chatzivasileiou. In the singular presence of Kaiti Nalmpanti I feel at home, time and time again; hers has been an embrace that helped me to gather the scattered fragments of a self. When I wandered, quite lost, into her city, Nayrouz Abu Hatoum opened her home to me, nourished me, let me work alongside her, and helped me celebrate as this book came to fruition. Eliza Kolovou has been there for me in the hardest moments, halving sorrows, and in the happiest, doubling joys. Fellow traveler Aila Spathopoulou gives me hope about matters small and large, teaching me to trust "the flow." Serdar Kandil's warmth, gentleness, and generosity won me over from the first moment. The indomitable Farha Najah Hussain teaches me about keeping my heart soft while taking strong stands; her love is a salve and a gift. I am lucky to be able to count on Natalie Kouri-Towe to help me gain

perspective, often knowing me better than I know myself, lovingly setting me back on my path time and time again. Morfeas, Antartissa, and Juanita were tireless companions in the writing process; one could not hope for more affectionate collaborators. Effrosyni Dagdileli sustained and nourished me in all ways through the vagaries of writing; our constant conversation profoundly shaped me. Έφη, σε ευχαριστώ για τις μικρές ζεστές πέτρες από τις αγαπημένες σου παραλίες που έβαλες στην παλάμη μου και για όλες τις λέξεις που καταλάβαμε αντάμα. Κοντά σου έμαθα πως

η γλώσσα
που χρειάζομαι
να μιλήσω
είναι η ίδια
που χρησιμοποιώ
για να αγιζώ . . . (Moraga 1983, 149, my translation)

I owe everything to my parents, my first and best teachers, Margaret Ann Foley Carastathis and Dimitris Carastathis, for their love and support, since my very beginning. Principled and forgiving, generous and exacting, in their own ways, they raised me to honor the desire to be free; through the example of their own resilience they inspire me to find strength enough to keep trying. My grandfather Yiannis Carastathis, who passed away recently, always encouraged in me a love of learning, of languages, and of solitary walks. I felt closer to things faraway thanks to the late-night transatlantic phone calls from my late godmother, Yiorgia Atsa Iordanidou. I appreciate the gentle generosity of my aunt Joan Foley Chalmers, who offered her love and reassurance from afar. I am deeply indebted to my sister, Katerina Carastathis, for always having been there. This book is humbly dedicated to her, not least of all because she taught me to love books. It is also dedicated to the memory of my grandmother Katerina Atsa Karastathi, whose namesake my sister is, who died when I was a child but whose love I have carried with me, and has carried me, all these years. I think of her every time I wash dishes, hold a heavy wooden spoon in my hand, or smell flowers.