#### University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

**UCARE** Research Products

UCARE: Undergraduate Creative Activities & Research Experiences

Spring 5-6-2016

#### Establishing Students' Abilities to Reason with Relationships in the Context of Cellular Respiration

Sinan F. Akkoseoglu University of Nebraska-Lincoln, sinanakk@yahoo.com

Joseph Dauer University of Nebraska-Lincoln, joseph.dauer@unl.edu

Heather E. Bergan-Roller University of Nebraska-Lincoln, heather.bergan@unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ucareresearch Part of the <u>Education Commons</u>, and the <u>Life Sciences Commons</u>

Akkoseoglu, Sinan F.; Dauer, Joseph; and Bergan-Roller, Heather E., "Establishing Students' Abilities to Reason with Relationships in the Context of Cellular Respiration" (2016). UCARE Research Products. 81. http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ucareresearch/81

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the UCARE: Undergraduate Creative Activities & Research Experiences at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in UCARE Research Products by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# **Establishing Students' Abilities to Reason with Relationships in the Context of Cellular Respiration**

### Introduction

Recent studies show that adults today lack the critical problem solving skills and knowledge needed for the science, technology, mathematics, and engineering fields<sup>1</sup>. In response, educators, especially those in the life sciences, are being urged to equip students with the skills associated with the scientific inquiry process, which includes a systems thinking approach<sup>2</sup>.

The ability to comprehend simple relationships between or among the system's components is one of eight characteristic abilities in the System Thinking Hierarchical Model (STH) Model<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, it is expected that reasoning with simple relationships is an ability that should be mastered by introductory biological science students.



Figure 1. An example of a simple relationship students should have mastered.

Cellular respiration can be considered a model topic covered in most introductory level classes. This study aims to establish the level at which University of Nebraska-Lincoln students reason with simple relationships in the context of cellular respiration at the levels of glycolysis, Krebs cycle, and electron transport chain. Students are generally taught these 3 processes in order and may have received different levels of emphasis on the processes. These processes are component processes of cellular respiration and each has multiple inputs and outputs. Cellular Respiration contributes to how all living organisms convert food sources such as glucose into usable energy and is a necessary foundation to other biological topics such as biochemistry, therefore it is critical for students to understand how it works to truly know biology.

#### **Research Question**

How do students reason with the three main processes of cellular respiration?

#### Method

#### **Conceptual Models**

During their LIFE 120 laboratory sections, all students were instructed to represent their understanding of cellular respiration through the creation of a conceptual model (Fig 1). Students were given a list of 20 relevant terms. Students were permitted to add components not listed in the component bank.

#### Data Processing

633 student consented to this research (IRB #14466). 18 student models were randomly selected and digitized using CmapTools and processed as text propositions in excel. Classroom observations were used to determine structures and relationships that were inputs and outputs to the 3 processes. An example can be seen in (Fig 2) with O<sub>2</sub> as an output of the electron transport chain. The inputs and outputs for each process were scored with a correctness rubric.

#### Correctness

The correctness rubric used had a scale from 1-3. The score from the scale represented relationships that were:

- 1 Incorrect
- 2 Plausible but too vague
- 3 Scientifically accurate

Sinan Akkoseoglu, Heather Bergan-Roller & Joe Dauer Department of Natural Resources, University of Nebraska-Lincoln



• Students did not include different numbers of input or outputs (p > 0.05) when

- describing glycolysis, Krebs cycle and the electron transport
- Students had about 1 input and more than 1 output per process



Figure 4. Average correctness of relationships connected to Glycolysis, Krebs cycle and, ETC

- Relationships associated with Krebs cycle were significantly lower quality (2.3) than relationships associated with ETC (2.7, p < 0.04)
- Relationships associated with glycolysis were intermediate in quality (2.5)



Inputs			Outputs		
Glycolysis	Krebs Cycle	Electron Transport Chain	Glycolysis	Krebs Cycle	Ele Tra C
ADP	Acetyl CoA	Electrons	ATP	NADH	
ATP		NADH	Pyruvate	FADH <sub>2</sub>	V
Glucose		FADH <sub>2</sub>	NADH	ATP	
				CO <sub>2</sub>	ſ

Results

Table 1. Inputs and outputs that were included by >2 students

- Students included 3-4 different outputs for each process of cellular respiration • Students included 3 inputs for glycolysis and electron transport chain and 1 for Krebs cycle
- The common inputs and output are accurate, but there are missing components (e.g, FAD+ as input for Krebs cycle).
- 61% of students did not include an input or output to glycolysis

# **Conclusions & Discussion**

Although students included only 1 input and output for each process (Fig 3), there are more that exist for each process (Table 1). From the component bank, students should have had between 3-4 inputs and outputs for each process. Still, out of the relationships students included in their conceptual models, they are fairly accurate with the ones related to the electron transport chain being more accurate than the Krebs cycle, and relationships associated with glycolysis intermediate to the other two processes.

All three main processes are essential to the understanding of cellular respiration as a whole. If students are not reasoning with all of the smaller components at a high level, then they need to develop their skills before they can consider the system holistically. A different approach that improves student's system thinking skills should be considered. For example, computational modeling is one approach that has been recently investigated<sup>4</sup>. It can help students better understand the components, relationships, and processes of biological systems like cellular respiration.

# Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Steve Harris and John Osterman for allowing me to observe their classrooms. Nathan Niosco, McKenzie Kjose, Taylor Uhlir, Alena Chisstopolskaia, Shirley Kalaa for their help at lab meetings. This project was funded by a generous grant from the UCARE program at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

#### References

<sup>1</sup>The 2012 joint economic report [http://www.jec.senate.gov/public/?a=Files.Serve&File\_id=5916ebe2-563d-4498- 206 eb2aa644b5d4]

<sup>2</sup>http://visionandchange.org/files/2011/03/VC-Brochure-V6-3.pdf

<sup>3</sup> Assaraf, O. B.-Z., & Orion, N. (2010). System thinking skills at the elementary school level. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching,* 47(5), 540-563.

AAAS. "Vision and Change in Undergraduate Biology Education: A Call to Action," 2011.

<sup>4</sup>Helikar T, Cutucache CE, Dahlquist LM, Herek TA, Larson JJ, Rogers JA (2015) Integrating Interactive Computational Modeling in Biology Curricula. PLoS Comput Biol 11(3): e1004131. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004131