

Purdue University Purdue e-Pubs

School of Engineering Education Graduate Student
Series

School of Engineering Education

2013

Engineering Childhood: Knowledge Transmission Through Parenting

Brianna L. Dorie

Purdue University - Main Campus, bdorie@purdue.edu

Monica Cardella

Purdue University

Follow this and additional works at: <http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/enegs>



Part of the [Engineering Education Commons](#)

Dorie, Brianna L. and Cardella, Monica, "Engineering Childhood: Knowledge Transmission Through Parenting" (2013). *School of Engineering Education Graduate Student Series*. Paper 50.
<http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/enegs/50>

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.



Engineering Childhood: Knowledge Transmission Through Parenting

Ms. Brianna L Dorie, Purdue University, West Lafayette

Dorie is a doctoral candidate in Engineering Education at Purdue University. Her research focuses upon how young children engage in and learn about engineering in informal environments, especially through the use of media.

Dr. Monica E Cardella, Purdue University, West Lafayette

Dr. Monica Cardella is an assistant professor of Engineering Education at Purdue University. She is also the director of Informal Learning Environments Research for the Institute for P-12 Engineering Learning and Research (INSPIRE). She conducts research on undergraduate engineering students' design and mathematical thinking in formal and informal contexts in addition to research on how children develop engineering thinking in informal learning environments.

Engineering Childhood: Knowledge Transmission Through Parenting

Parents are one locus of control in the education and development of their children, not only at formal ventures, but also in the home¹⁻⁴. Parents are the ones whom typically purchase toys, read books, take children to museums, and interact with their child on a daily basis. Background knowledge of a particular subject, such as science or engineering, has the ability to affect how they interact with their children potentially impacting what main concepts the children will learn¹. However, many adults and children alike have a minimal understanding of engineering⁵.

Several empirical studies have shown that parents play a significant role in the occupational aspiration and career goal development of their children⁶⁻⁸ and that a child's interest is significantly impacted by the parent's viewpoint^{9,10}. Magnuson and Starr (2000) asserted that preschoolers' knowledge about occupations and perceptions about the world of work are shaped by the degree to which their parents expose or teach them about different occupations¹¹. In addition, Bandura et al. (2001) found that parents' own beliefs and aspirations were important factors in children's career aspirations⁷. In recent reviews regarding children's career development^{12,13}, parents were highlighted as crucial and important figures in developing occupational awareness in their children.

Numerous studies¹⁴⁻¹⁶ have found that college students and young adults cite parents as an important influence on their choice of career. Yet parents may be unaware of the influence they have on the career development and vocational choice of their children. Oftentimes, children have more understanding of the parents' occupations than other occupations¹⁷. Parents, who have an engineering background, often have children who follow in their footsteps – a correlation that is particularly strong for girls who enter engineering¹⁸. This occupational inheritance phenomenon has also been observed in the medical community^{19,20}, with lawyer families²¹, politics²² and even in NASCAR²³. These studies suggest that parent's own deeply held beliefs from their own personal experience are transmitted to their children through parenting action^{24,25}. Thus engineering parents may pass on engineering-related knowledge, interests and aspirations to their progeny.

Though parents have been identified as an important factor in developing occupational knowledge, the process of how they transmit their knowledge, attitudes and behaviors about occupations has received little attention.²⁶ Previously, this data was used to explore the concept of intergenerational knowledge transfer through social structural and cultural analysis of a single case study.²⁷ They found that during the engineering education process, parents taught children what they perceived as engineering knowledge that is subjectively based on the parent's other forms of knowledge learned in the past. The purpose of this study is to determine what engineering parents are doing to educate their children about engineering, so that we can use this knowledge to inform the development of engineering activities for all students.

Methods

Interviews of 24 self-identified parents with engineering backgrounds were analyzed to capture a variety of approaches that parents have taken in order to shape their children's exposure to engineering. Participants included practitioners from industry (n = 8), engineering faculty (n = 14), and students (n = 2), from twenty different engineering disciplines. The open-ended interviews included information about parents' background, interactions with children that led to engineering learning (content, strategies and reactions), parenting ideology, and parent's own understanding of engineering. The data was open and axially coded for general themes. This paper focuses on what the parents stated that they did with their children to learn about engineering.

Results

Though parents were invited to participate in the study if they taught engineering to their children, a majority (88%) stated that they don't do such explicitly.

"We've made comments in passing or in conversation, but we haven't really had an explicit conversation yet about what it means to be an engineer."

"We didn't really make a conscious effort to do that [teach engineering]."

Instead the parents mentioned that they wanted their children exposed to broader concepts such as science and technology. They didn't necessarily want to limit their child's learning to engineering concepts.

"I wouldn't say [I've exposed him] engineering directly, but more via science and technology."

"I wasn't trying to teach them just engineering concepts; I want them to be exposed to everything. So I wasn't specifically teaching them engineering, but I think it is important for them to know about it because I am an engineer."

Also several of the parents mentioned that they are encouraging "fundamentals" for future engineering learning. Examples include problem solving, rational thinking, creativity, curiosity dealing with consequences/failure and basic physics/math skills.

"We don't do as much engineering, but rather basic physics and we try to do things that are very, very fundamental."

"I believe that [rational thinking] is the way people should think, very fundamentally. That's the way we [engineers] look at things, right?"

“We don't talk about engineering concepts but more fundamental things like ideas of convection and temperature.”

Parents primarily reported helping their children learn about engineering through informal based discussions (spontaneous conversations, queries from children) and interactions with media (books, computers, television, and toys). In addition, hands-on activities, outreach opportunities and educational materials were mentioned (see Table 1).

Table 1. Parental practices to introduce “engineering”.

Informal Discussions	
▪ <i>Work Visits</i>	Take kid to work/lab which generates questions to parents about what they do, also includes work done at home
▪ <i>Real World</i>	Discussions about 9/11, explanation of how things “work”, what happens on a construction site, tours.
▪ <i>Queries</i>	Dinner, car or bedtime conversations, spontaneous questioning from child, parent posing situations or quizzing on knowledge.
Media	
▪ <i>Print</i>	Encyclopedia, science books, newspapers, storybooks, non-fiction books.
▪ <i>Television</i>	Home improvement shows, PBS (Word Girl, Super Why!, Design Squad), Bob the Builder, Star Trek.
▪ <i>Toys</i>	Building (Legos, Tinker Toys, K’nex), mechanical toys, gear kits, train set.
▪ <i>Games/Puzzles</i>	Board games (Blockus, Chess, Crack the Case, Guess Who?), Sudoku, puzzles
▪ <i>Computers</i>	West Point Bridge Designer, educational computer games (math or physics), helping to install programs.
Hands-on Activities	
▪ <i>Building</i>	Paper airplanes, helping around house, crafts, model car, sand castles, and simple construction projects.
▪ <i>Experiment</i>	Chemistry experiments (cleaning pennies, Mentos & Coke), science fair projects, combining materials and noting results.
▪ <i>Tinkering</i>	Taking things (music box, toaster, boxes) apart or put them back together.
Outreach	
▪ <i>Programs</i>	Girl Scouts, afterschool programs, space day/camps.
▪ <i>Designed Environments</i>	Children’s Museum(s), Aquariums, Science Centers.
Education	
▪ <i>Kits</i>	Circuit kits, Lego robotics, and telescopes.
▪ <i>Curricular</i>	Helping with homework, giving extra tasks (i.e. math quizzes, workbooks in summer)

Informal Discussions

A vast majority of the parents (n=96%) mentioned that informal discussions were part of their repertoire for interacting with their child. These conversations allowed the parent to share knowledge and were often initiated by the child asking a question. Some common locations included bedtime discussions, dinner table conversations and talking while in the car. Parents would also point out specific concepts to their child and even quiz them on previous knowledge.

Media

Several different types of media were also used to facilitate the learning of concepts such as the books, Internet, toys/games and computers. Television also has its claims for introducing engineering information. One parent stated that the television series “Star Trek” was his impetus for going into engineering, and another found out that the series was responsible for his daughter studying physics.

Hands-on Activities

Parents cited a range of different hand-on activities that they did with their children, ranging from making paper airplanes to mixing different household products in a mini-chemistry experiment. One parent talked about after visiting a ornamental garden that her child wanted to make a house for the “fairies”. When they went home they gathered some organic materials around the backyard. However, the child had difficulty getting the materials to stay up, so the parent explained that the fairy house needed to have support. This simple interaction allowed the parent to talk about forces and even bring in her personal expertise about engineering.

Outreach

Some parents involved outside sources for introducing concepts to their child such as by visiting museums or going to programs that offered additional expertise.

Education

Some parents expressed the fact that the current school system wasn’t meeting their child’s need for engineering preparation, so they felt the need to take things into their own hands.

“I think the way schools teach math is not demanding enough. So if I don't teach them at home, I feel their talents will get wasted.”

General Observations

Though most parents mentioned the fundamental foundations for learning engineering, they did not often state what exactly children should learn about engineering. In most

cases they were a little hesitant to make a strong connection between engineering and what they did with their child.

One parent stated that his daughter didn't find out what he did as an engineer until she got to college herself, even though he thought that he had discussed his job with her on many occasions (she got a degree in Physics anyway).

Not a single engineering parent mentioned the engineering design process with their children, though one parent did recall how their child had "an innate way of figuring things out, you can see she's kinda got the engineer thought process" and several mentioned that they promoted general problem solving skills.

Discussion

Engineering parents have provided several examples of introducing engineering through different venues. However, the idea of parent-child interaction and conversation were emphasized as a means to teach engineering throughout. This one-on-one time could potentially serve as a source of unknown transfer of engineering occupational knowledge and warrants further research.

As these are activities that engineering parents used to introduce concepts to their children, they provide an insight on how to introduce engineering concepts in the classroom. Many of the parental practices outlined in Table 1 can be translated to classroom practices. For example, inviting an engineer into class or a field trip to engineering workplace not only provides a learning process but also provides a tangible memory. One limitation in the classroom is the fact that the teachers are not as familiar with engineering and may need some additional training to feel comfortable using everyday activities to introduce engineering concepts.

This work will be used to inform a study in which we plan to investigate how parent-child conversations, situated around several activities at a museum, help to develop engineering interest and expertise. Additionally, the findings were used to develop resources for parents.

References

1. Yun, J., Cardella, M., Purzer, S., Hsu, M., & Chae, Y., (2010). "Development of the Parents' Engineering Awareness Survey (PEAS) According to the Knowledge, Attitudes, and Behavior Framework." In the *Proceedings of the 2010 American Society of Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition*, June 2010, Louisville, KY.
2. Catsambis, S. (1995). Gender, race, ethnicity, and science education in the middle grades. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 32(3), 243-257.
3. Fan, X., & Chen, M. (2001). Parental involvement and students' academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 13(1), 1-22.

4. Seyfried, S. F., & Chung, I. J. (2002). Parent involvement as parental monitoring of student motivation and parent expectations predicting later achievement among African American and European American middle school age students. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Diversity in Social Work, 11*(1-2), 109-131.
5. NAE (National Academy of Engineering), (2008). *Changing the conversation: Messages for improving public understanding of engineering*. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press.
6. Auger, R., Blackhurst, A., & Wahl, K. (2005). The development of elementary-aged children's career aspirations and expectations. *Professional School Counseling, 8*(4), 322-329.
7. Bandura, A., Barbaranelli, C., Caprara, G., & Pastorelli, C. (2001). Self Efficacy Beliefs as Shapers of Children's Aspirations and Career Trajectories. *Child Development, 72*(1), 187-206.
8. Bryant, B.K., Zxonkovic, A.M., and Reynolds, P., (2006). Parenting in relation to child and adolescent vocational development. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 69*: 149-175.
9. George, R., & Kaplan, D. (1998). A structural model of parent and teacher influences on science attitudes of eighth graders: Evidence from NELS: 88. *Science Education, 82*(1), 93-109.
10. Szechter, L. E., & Carey, E. J. (2009). Gravitating toward science: Parent child interactions at a gravitational wave observatory. *Science Education, 93*(5), 846-858.
11. Magnuson, C. S., & Starr, M. F. (2000). How early is too early to begin life career planning? The importance of the elementary school years. *Journal of Career Development, 27*: 89-101.
12. Hartung, P. J., Porfeli, E. J., & Vondracek, F. W. (2005). Child vocational development: A review and reconsideration. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 66*(3), 385-419.
13. Watson, M., & McMahon, M., (2005). Children's Career Development: A Research Review from a Learning Perspective. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 67*(2): 119-132.
14. Mau, W. C., & Bikos, L. H. (2000). Educational and vocational aspirations of minority and female students: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Counseling & Development, 78*(2), 186-194.
15. Majoribanks, K. (1991). Relationship of children's ethnicity, gender, and social status to their family environments and school-related outcomes. *The Journal of Social Psychology, 131*(1), 83-91.
16. Wilson, P. M., & Wilson, J. R. (1992). Environmental influences on adolescent educational aspirations: A logistic transform model. *Youth & Society*.
17. Seligman, L, Weinstock, L., and Neil, H.E., (1991). "The career development of 10 year olds." *Elementary School Guidance & Counseling, 25*(3): 172-181.
18. Mannon, S. E., & Schreuders, P. D. (2007). All in the (engineering) family? The family occupational background of men and women engineering students. *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering, 13*(4).
19. Lentz, B.F., & Laband, D.N., (1989). Why so many children of doctors become doctors: Nepotism vs. human capital transfers. *The Journal of Human Resources 24*(3): 396-413.
20. Pionchot, S., Lewis, B.J., Weber, S.M., Rikkers, L.F., & Chen, H., (2008). Are surgical progeny more likely to pursue a surgical career? *Journal of Surgical Research, 147*(2): 253-259.
21. Lentz, B.F., & Laband, D.N., (1992). Self-recruitment in the legal profession. *Journal of Labor Economics, 10*(2): 182-201.
22. Kurtz, D.M., (1989). The political family: a contemporary view. *Sociological Perspectives, 32*(3): 331-352.
23. Groothuis, P.A., and Groothuis, J.D., (2008). Nepotism or family tradition? A study of NASCAR drivers. Holbrook, A., Panozza, L., and E. Prieto (2008). Engineering in Children's Fiction – Not a Good Story? *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education 7*: 723-740.
24. Bryant, B.K., Zxonkovic, A.M., and Reynolds, P., (2006). Parenting in relation to child and adolescent vocational development. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 69*: 149-175.
25. Caspi, A., Wright, B.R.E., Moffitt, T.E. & Silva, P.A., (1998). Early failure in the labor market: childhood and adolescent predictors of unemployment in the transition to adulthood. *American Sociological Review, 63*: 424-451.
26. Wahl, K. H., & Blackhurst, A. (2000). Factors affecting the occupational and educational aspirations of children and adolescents. *Professional School Counseling, 35*: 367-374.
27. Zhang, A., & Cardella, M. (2010, June). Knowledge transmission and engineering teaching. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference of the Learning Sciences-Volume 1* (pp. 1087-1094). International Society of the Learning Sciences.