Purdue University Purdue e-Pubs

Timber Reports

Department of Agricultural Communication

1988

1988 Price Report -- 2nd Quarter

William L. Hoover

Ralph W. Gann

Follow this and additional works at: http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/timber

Recommended Citation

 $Hoover, William\ L.\ and\ Gann,\ Ralph\ W.,\ "1988\ Price\ Report --\ 2nd\ Quarter"\ (1988).\ \emph{Timber\ Reports}.\ Paper\ 31.$ http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/timber/31

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.

1988 PRICE REPORT -- 2ND QUARTER

by

William L. Hoover Professor of Forestry Purdue University

and

Ralph W. Gann
State Statistician
U.S.D.A., National Agricultural Statistics Service

The Purdue Cooperative Extension Service in cooperation with the U.S. D.A., National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) has collected and reported price for Indiana forest products annually since at least 1954. This has provided the longest and most detailed price series available in the nation on hardwood products. I assume the decision to collect delivered log prices instead of stumpage prices was based on the importance of prices differences for species and timber quality. It is almost impossible to segregate out these differentials in a stumpage price survey.

The Department of Forestry and Natural Resources (FNR) maintains the lists of mills, and process and distributes the results of the survey. NASS updates, prints and mails out the survey forms. Until about 1980 U.S.D.A. budgeted funds for this purpose for Indiana. When these funds were cut the state statistician agreed to continue participating in the survey if FNR would cover their costs. Although FNR so agreed NASS has never billed FNR. The Indiana office of NASS has covered this cost out of miscellaneous funds, apparently.

In 1982 the Forestry Committee of the Indiana Hardwood Lumberman's Association asked me if the survey could be made quarterly and the results be made available to the public in the form of "stumpage prices." Of course, true stumpage prices weren't available. I agreed to this procedure based on the assumption that a sufficient number of mills would continue to report logging and transportation costs to provide a reliable cost to subtract from the delivered log costs, providing a "pseudo-stumpage price."

Because of a severe decline in the number of mills responding to this survey over the last several years the reliability of the results has become questionable. Many users of the survey have questioned whether or not the results any longer correctly reflect the direction the market is moving, let-alone whether or not the average prices reported are "reasonable." Because of the decline in the reliability of the survey and continued cuts in the resources available in FNR for this activity, the survey will be conducted only once a year. My hope is that by asking for your help only once a year, more of you will see fit to participate.

The State Forester, John Datena, has graciously provided \$1,000 for the last two years to help defray the direct costs of the quarterly survey. This help was most welcome and appreciated. However, professorial and clerical time are the major costs involved, estimated to exceed \$25,000 per year.

Because of the small number of of mills reporting logging and transportation costs I will not be reporting "pseudo-stumpage prices" this quarter. This policy will continue in the future unless there is a significant increase in the mills reporting these costs.

It would be nice if we could go back to the days when someone (Roy Brundage in the 1940's and 1950's) had the time to come out and visit with each of you, learn your business, discuss your help with the price survey, and perhaps help with your marketing problems. The resources available and possible.

The next survey will be made in May of 1989. I hope I can count on your support then and I ask you to encourage your friends in the business to help, also.

W.L. Hoover

W. + 1

Table 1. Prices paid by Indiana mills for delivered sawlogs, May 1988, \$/MBF Doyle.

	Prime Logs						No. 1 Logs					
Species	Ra	inge	No. Re- sponses	Aver Jan/		Price Change	Range		No. Re-	Jar	May	
White Ash	150-	700	16	463/	464	0	150-		20		378	8
Basswood		300			210		100-		15			
Beech		160		The second second	130		80-		10		194	
Cottonwood	100-				119		80-		7		110	
Cherry	350-			496/			250-		17	POTO CONTRACTOR IN CONTRACTOR		1.00
White Elm	90-		7	153/			80-		11		430	
S. Hickory		250	12		177		100-		15		151	2 4
Hard Maple			13		294		100-		16	10 Et al 10	162	
Soft Maple			14		217		100-		17		221	4
White Oak		650	15	424/			180-		20		174	-1
Red Oak	300-		18		543		225-		22		350	9
Black Oak	150-		15	472/			150-				444	3
Tulip Poplar			16	245/					19		359	
Sycamore			10	130/			120-		19		199	
Sweetgum	120-		6	200/					10		125	4
Black Walnut			13	707/			100-		6		123	-15
	500-	1200	13	1017	112	1	300-1	1000	18	580/	601	4-
			No. 2 L	ogs						No. 3	Logs	
White Ash	120-	/00		0104	• • • • •							
Basswood		0.000	20	213/			100-		14		126	5
Beech	80-		15	139/		6	90-		9	111/	122	10
		150	10	117/		- 2	60-		10	114/	112	-2
	50-		7	108/		- 5	60-		6	111/	108	-2
Cherry	150-		16	240/		19	100-	150	10	118/	127	7
	50-		10	119/		1	80-	145	6	113/	114	1
S. Hickory	80-		14	119/	124	4	80-	150	10	109/	114	4
Hard Maple	100-		17	139/		12	80-	180	12	111/		11
Soft Maple	100-		17	131/	137	5	80-	150	12	111/		5
White Oak	120-		21	212/	237	12	80-	250	13	122/		8
Red Oak	120-		22	271/	273	1	100-		18		142	4
	80-		18	214/		11	100-		11	121/		3
Tulip Poplar			20	144/		2	80-		13		117	6
Sycamore	50-	150	10	110/		4	80-		9	112/		5
	50-		6	144/		-25	80-		6		121	12
Black Walnut	150-	600	17	405/		-6	100-		13	198/		-6
										150/	100	-0

Table 2. Prices paid by Indiana mills for delivered veneer logs, \$ per MBF, Doyle log scale.

				Prime				Select	
Species	DIB	Range	No. Re- sponses	0	Price	e Range	No. Re-	Average Jan/May	Price Change
White	13-14	700-1000	6	000 / 057					
0ak		1000-1800	1870	900/ 867	-4	400-1000	3	600/ 733	22
		1000-1800	6	1116/1167	5	500-1000	3	820/ 833	2
	21-23	1500-2500		1420/1400	-1	600-1500	4	1133/1075	- 5
		1600-2500	6	1950/1783	- 9	700-1900	4	1300/1250	- 4
		2500-2500	4	2250/2025	-10	800-2000	2	1150/1400	22
	23-up	2300-2300	2	2750/2500	- 9	900- 900	1	900/ 900	0
Red Oak	16-17	700- 900	3	825/ 800	- 3	500- 700	2	650 / 600	32
	18-20	800-1000	4	900/ 875	- 3	600- 800	2	650/ 600	- 8
	21-23	900-1200	3	1033/1033	ő	700- 900	2	700/ 733	5
	24-28	1000-1200	2	1075/1100	2	800- 800		850/ 800	- 6
	29-up	1200-1200	1	1200/1200		1000-1000	1	800/ 800	0
			307	,	·	1000-1000	1	1000/1000	0
Hard	16-20	450- 450	1	715/ 450	-37	400- 400			
Maple	21-up		0	715/	- 37	400- 400	1	675/ 400	-69
Tulip	16-20	250- 250					, and		
Poplar			1	325/ 250	-23	200- 200	1	250/ 200	-25
ropiar	ZI-up	300- 300	1	300/ 300	0	300- 300	1	250/ 300	17
Black	12-13	800-2000	5	1300/1300	0	600-1000			
Walnut	14-15	1100-2000	5	1708/1590	-7	650-1500		1500/ 800	-88
		1500-2500		2166/1940	-10			1363/1163	-17
		2000-4000		2880/2880	0	750-1800		687/1325	-27
		2250-4500		3000/3250		900-2800		300/1925	-19
		3500-3500		4250/3500		1200-3800		850/2267	18
	29-up	4000-4000		4500/4000		1500-1500		250/1500	-50
					-11	1800-1800	1 2	400/1800	-33

Table 3. Capacity utilization in Indiana's forest products industry, 1st-quarter (January-March) 1988.

	No. Units Reporting	Reported Capacity	Reported Production	Percent Capacity Utilization
Sawmills (MBF) Veneer Mills (MSF)	18	10894	11815	108
Logging (MBF)	8	4051	4214	104

Table 4. Custom costs, May 1988.

	No. Units			
	Reporting	Low	High	Average
Carrie - /A Aspen				
Sawing (\$/MBF)	12	100	250	142
Logging (\$/MBF) Hauling:	5	50	80	60
(\$/MBF) Distance	6	30	100	55
	6	40	200	88
(\$/MBF/mile)	6	0.25	1.25	0.79

Table 5. Price of other products, May 1988, f.o.b. mill.

No. Units Reporting	Low	High	Average	
6	120	165	133	
7	8.45	33.40	15.85	
7	2.00	9.75	5.43	
9	3.60	39.00	9.03	
2	5.25	6.50	5.88	
	Reporting 6 7	Reporting Low 6 120 7 8.45 7 2.00 9 3.60	Reporting Low High	