

University of Nebraska - Lincoln
DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DBER Speaker Series

Discipline-Based Education Research Group


10-13-2016

Clicker use in introductory biology: Impacts on exam performance

Joanna K. Hubbard

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, jhubbard@truman.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/dberspeakers>

 Part of the [Curriculum and Instruction Commons](#), [Educational Methods Commons](#), [Higher Education Commons](#), and the [Science and Mathematics Education Commons](#)

Hubbard, Joanna K., "Clicker use in introductory biology: Impacts on exam performance" (2016). *DBER Speaker Series*. 102.
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/dberspeakers/102>

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Discipline-Based Education Research Group at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in DBER Speaker Series by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Abstract for DBER Group Discussion on 2016-10-13

Authors and Affiliations:

Joey Hubbard
Postdoctoral Researcher
School of Biological Sciences
University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Title

Clicker use in introductory biology: Impacts on exam performance

Abstract

In-class response systems, or clickers, are useful formative assessment tools that support learning by providing real-time feedback that can be used to correct misconceptions through peer discussion and instructor guidance. Previous research has shown that peer discussion improves conceptual understanding within a class period. In this study, we asked whether the benefits of peer discussion could be detected on a longer time scale. We asked exam questions that were isomorphic to in-class clicker questions and found students that participated in peer discussion scored higher than students that were not in class for the discussion. We also examined the effect of clicker question format. Clicker questions are typically asked in a multiple-choice (MC) format in which students identify one preferred answer; however, this format cannot identify students with mixed conceptions, where they simultaneously hold correct and incorrect ideas. Conversely, multiple-true-false (MTF) questions challenge students to separately evaluate each answer option, and thus have the potential to identify and correct mixed conceptions. We found no effect of clicker question format related to performance on isomorphic exam questions. Overall, clickers have a positive effect on student performance. And while MTF questions do not have additional benefits relative to MC questions, they are an effective formative assessment tool for identifying mixed conceptions among students and offer instructors an alternative method for implementing clicker pedagogy.