

HANDBOOK FOR THE POST-EARTHQUAKE SAFETY EVALUATION OF BRIDGES AND ROADS

Prepared for the Indiana Department of Transportation, INDOT

by

Julio A. RAMIREZ, Robert J. FROSCH, Mete A. SOZEN, A. Murat TURK

School of Civil Engineering, Purdue University under the JTRP Contract No. 2377

March 2000

DISCLAIMER

While the information presented in this handbook is believed to be correct, authors and the sponsoring agencies assume no responsibility for its accuracy or for the opinions expressed herein. The material presented in this publication should not be used or relied upon for any specific application without competent examination and verification of its accuracy, suitability, and applicability by qualified professionals. Users of information from this publication assume all liability arising from such use.

PREFACE

In 1999, the Indiana Department of Transportation contracted, through the Joint Transportation Research Program at the School of Civil Engineering in Purdue University, with Professor's Julio A. Ramirez, Robert J. Frosch and Mete A. Sozen to develop a training program for postearthquake safety evaluation of highway bridges.

Professor's Julio A. Ramirez, Robert. J. Frosch, Mete A. Sozen, and Dr. A. Murat Turk, postdoctoral research associate, prepared this manual and an accompanying training video that was produced by the Center of Instructional Services of Purdue University. Overall view and guidance for the project was provided by B. Rinard, W. Dittelberger and J. Thompson of the Indiana Department of Transportation.

The principal investigators gratefully acknowledge the participation of Prof. Marc Eberhard from University of Washington, Seattle in the preparation of this material.

Bridge damage examples and pictures were reproduced from; EQIIS Image Database, Earthquake Engineering Research Center (EERC, University of California at Berkeley), Kandilli Observatory and Earthquake Research Institute (KOERI, Bogazici University, Istanbul), National Center for Research on Earthquake Engineering, Taiwan.

vi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
DISCLAIMER
PREFACE
TABLE OF CONTENTS
LIST OF FIGURES
1. INTRODUCTION
1.1. Object and Scope 1
1.2. Level 1 Inspection
1.3. Level 2 Inspection
2. EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING PRINCIPLES
2.1. Earthquakes7
2.2. The Structure of the Earth
2.3. Seismic Waves
2.4. Bridge Behavior under Earthquake Excitation14
3. SEISMICITY OF INDIANA
3.1. General
3.2. Earthquake History of Indiana
4. INDIANA BRIDGE STRUCTURES 25
5. POSSIBLE TYPES OF BRIDGE AND ROADWAY DAMAGE
5.1. General
5.2. Classification of Damage
5.3. Level 1 Examples of Bridge and Roadway Damage
5.4. Level 2 Behavior of Bridges under Earthquake Excitation

6. POST-EARTHQUAKE	SAFETY	EVALUATION	PRACTICE	FOR	HIGHWAY
BRIDGES					55
6.1. Level 1 Inspection				•••••	55
6.2. Level 2 Inspection					
6.3. Suggested Tools for the	Evaluation	Procedure			63
6.3.1. Suggested tools to	perform Le	evel 1 inspection			63
6.3.2 Suggested tools to	perform a	detailed evaluation	for Level 2		64
6.4. Bridge Closing Procedu	re				66
7. TEMPORARY REPAIR	RS AND LO	ONG TERM MON	ITORING TEC	CHNIQU	U ES 67
7.1. Temporary Repairs					67
7.1.1. Transition Repair					68
7.1.2. Shoring					69
7.2. Long Term Monitoring					74
7.2.1. Deflections					74
7.2.2. Cracks					75
7.2.3. Strain					76
8. EVALUATION EXAMI	PLES				79
8.1. Level 1 Examples				•••••	79
8.1.1. Example 1					79
8.1.2. Example 2					85
8.1.3. Example 3					
8.2. Level 2 Examples					90
8.2.1. Example 1					90
8.2.2. Example 2				•••••	94
9. REFERENCES					101
APPENDIX					103

LIST OF FIGURES

Page
FIGURE 1.1 Flow-chart of Post-Earthquake Response Assessment5
FIGURE 2.1 View of the layers of the Earth (20)10
FIGURE 2.2. The thickness of the layers of the Earth (20)10
FIGURE 2.3 The map of the plates on the Earth (21)
FIGURE 2.4 The cross section that illustrates the main types of plate boundaries (22)11
FIGURE 2.5 The illustration of the seismic waves (24)12
FIGURE 2.6 The illustration the main types of faults (23)13
FIGURE 2.7 A typical near source acceleration record taken after Duzce Earthquake (25)13
FIGURE 2.8 The occurrence of the earthquake and traveling of the waves to the bridge site (1)15
FIGURE 2.9 Typical longitudinal earthquake loading and deflected shape of a bridge (1)15
FIGURE 2.10 Typical lateral earthquake loading and deflected shape of a bridge (1)16
FIGURE 3.1 The view of the liquefaction mechanism and the map of Southern Indiana regions
where ancient sand blows have been found due to prehistoric earthquakes (28)
FIGURE 3.2 Approximate Epicenters Powerful Earthquakes since 1811-1812 (3)19
FIGURE 3.3. The Fault Map of State of Indiana (4)20
FIGURE 3.4 The peak acceleration map with 10% probability of exceedance in 50 years. (5)24
FIGURE 3.5. Estimated MM intensity map for 6.5 magnitude earthquake in NMFZ (6)24
FIGURE 5.1 View of different structural parts of a typical highway bridge
FIGURE 5.2. Damage classification tables for bridges
FIGURE 5.3 Collapse of roadway due to slope failure after Duzce EQ 1999 (10)35
FIGURE 5.4 Collapse of roadway due to fault rupture after Izmit EQ 1999 (10)36
FIGURE 5.5 Failure of a prestressed concrete box beam bridge after Izmit EQ 1999 (10)36
FIGURE 5.6. Collapse of deck and piers after Taiwan Earthquake 1999 (15)36
FIGURE 5.7. Failure of a monolithic RC girder bridge after Loma Prieta EQ 1989 (11)36
FIGURE 5.8 Collapse of RC girder bridge after Loma Prieta EQ 1989 (11)36
FIGURE 5.9 Collapse of bridge deck after Northridge 1994 (11)
FIGURE 5.10 Collapse of steel deck bridge after Kobe 1995 Earthquake (12)37
FIGURE 5.11 Excessive longitudinal movement of the bridge deck (15)37

FIGURE 5.12 The excessive transversal movement of bridge after Izmit EQ (10)
FIGURE 5.13 Excessive longitudinal movement of steel box girder bridge (11)
FIGURE 5.14 Excessive differential settlement of the backfill (1)
FIGURE 5.15 Lateral movement of prestressed RC box girders (10)
FIGURE 5.16 Longitudinal movement of RC box girders after Duzce EQ 1999 (10)38
FIGURE 5.17 Vertical offset between decks after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)
FIGURE 5.18 Excessive movement of expansion joints after Taiwan EQ 1999 (15)39
FIGURE 5.19 The expansion of the joints Taiwan EQ 1999 (15)
FIGURE 5.20 The expansion of the joints after Loma Prieta Earthquake 1989 (11)39
FIGURE 5.21 Vertical and horizontal offset on a bridge after Northridge EQ. 1994 (11)39
FIGURE 5.22 Settlement of Bridge (26)40
FIGURE 5.23 Column failure (11)40
FIGURE 5.24 Failure of RC e column (11)40
FIGURE 5.25 Failure of the bottom of the RC bridge column (11)40
FIGURE 5.26 Failed RC bridge column (11)41
FIGURE 5.27 View of damaged RC bridge pier after Kobe Earthquake 1995 (13)41
FIGURE 5.28 Heavy damage in RC bridge piers after Kobe Earthquake 1995 (14)41
FIGURE 5.29 Shear crack in bents after Northridge Earthquake 1994 (11)41
FIGURE 5.30. Shear key failure of a bridge after Northridge Earthquake 1994 (11)41
FIGURE 5.31 Buckling of steel girders (11)
FIGURE 5.32 Movement of an abutment after Northridge Earthquake 1994 (11)42
FIGURE 5.33 Separation of abutment (11)42
FIGURE 5.34 Transversal movement of abutment (11)42
FIGURE 5.35 Pounding damage at abutment (11)42
FIGURE 5.36 Failure of two anchor bolts for a girder after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)43
FIGURE 5.37 Failure of an elastomeric bearing due to longitudinal movement of girder (10)43
FIGURE 5.38 Failure of elastomeric bearing and cracking of girder beam (10)
FIGURE 5.39 View of a failed elastomeric bearing pad after Izmit EQ 1999 (10)43
FIGURE 5.40 Spalling near location of anchor bolts after Northridge Earthquake 1994 (11)43
FIGURE 5.41 Separation of soil at column base of a pier after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)44
FIGURE 5.42 Separation of column from the surrounding soil after Northridge EQ1994 (11)44

FIGURE 5.43 Disturbed soil at the base of column after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)44
FIGURE 5.44 Barrier cracking after Northridge Earthquake 1994 (11)44
FIGURE 5.45 Minor damage on the deck of a bridge after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)45
FIGURE 5.46 Curb separation after Northridge Earthquake 1994 (11)45
FIGURE 5.47 Collapse of asphalt pavement due to washout after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)45
FIGURE 5.48 Surface damage to highway pavement after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)45
FIGURE 5.49 Settlement damage on approaches after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)45
FIGURE 5.50 The cracking of pavement due to pounding and settlement the bridge (15)46
FIGURE 5.51 Transversal movement of bridge deck after Taiwan EQ (15)46
FIGURE 5.52 View of RC bridge deck spalling after Taiwan EQ 1999 (11)46
FIGURE 5.53 Bearing movement and concrete spalling on the pier (11)46
FIGURE 5.54 Tilted rocker bearings (9)47
FIGURE 5.55 Shift of bearings after collapse (11)47
FIGURE 5.56 Bearing movement (11)47
FIGURE 5.57 Elastomeric bearing movement and spalling of girder concrete (10)47
FIGURE 5.58 Sliding of elastomeric bearing (10)47
FIGURE 5.59 Yield at pin support (in red color) (11)48
FIGURE 5.60 Buckling of web near lower flange and crack in pedestal (11)48
FIGURE 5.61 Local buckling of beam web near haunch (11)48
FIGURE 5.62 Damage at the bottom of the RC collector beam (11)48
FIGURE 5.63 Buckling in the girder due to pounding (11)
FIGURE 5.64 Steel box girder movement and collapse of bearings (11)
FIGURE 5.65 Heavy damage in RC box girder bridge (15)
FIGURE 5.66 Yielding at bolted connector beam (11)49
FIGURE 5.67 Twisted steel braces (11)50
FIGURE 5.68 Shear cracks at the RC bridge girder near support (26)50
FIGURE 5.69 Abutment slumping after Taiwan EQ 1999 (26)50
FIGURE 5.70 Large cracks at abutment wing wall and slope (26)50
FIGURE 5.71 Separation of the RC superstructure and the abutment (11)50
FIGURE 5.72 Pounding of steel girder to the abutment (11)

FIGURE 5.73 Concrete spalling and cracking due to pounding of RC box girder after Izmit EQ
1999 (10)
FIGURE 5.74 Compression failure on the top of RC bridge pier after Taiwan EQ 1999 (15)51
FIGURE 5.75 Separation of the superstructure and the abutment (11)51
FIGURE 5.76 Heavily damaged RC bridge pier (15)51
FIGURE 5.77 Ground crack extending diagonally down slope under bridge (11)52
FIGURE 5.78 Retaining wall failure after Taiwan EQ 1999 (26)
FIGURE 5.79 Settlement around RC bridge pier (11)52
FIGURE 5.80 Spalling of concrete at the top of the pile for abutment after excavation (11)52
FIGURE 5.81 Sand boils and ground cracks after Kobe EQ 1995 (11)52
FIGURE 5.82 10 cm gap between ground and RC bridge pier (26)
FIGURE 5.83 Ejected sand and lateral spreading around RC bridge pier (11)53
FIGURE 5.84 Soil failure due to the fault movement through RC bridge piers after Duzce EQ
1999 (10)
FIGURE 5.85 Buckled seismic restrainers (11)53
FIGURE 6.1 Level 1 inspection form
FIGURE 6.2 Level 1 inspection scheme
FIGURE 6.3 Level 2 inspection form
FIGURE 6.4 Road closure sign
FIGURE 7.1 Different bridge damage cases that steel plates can be used (11)68
FIGURE 7.2 Different bridge damage cases that fill can be used (15,11)
FIGURE 7.3 Temporary shoring of bridge after Taiwan Earthquake 1999 (11)70
FIGURE 7.4 Another view of temporary shoring after Taiwan Earthquake 1999 (11)70
FIGURE 7.5 View of temporary shoring to maintain access after Kobe Earthquake 1995 (27)71
FIGURE 7.6 View of temporary shoring to prevent total collapse of bridge (27)71
FIGURE 7.7 View of temporary shoring to prevent total collapse of steel bridge (27)71
FIGURE 7.8 View of temporary shoring to prevent total collapse of steel bridge (27)72
FIGURE 7.9 View of temporary shoring to support RC bridge (15)72
FIGURE 7.10 View of temporary shoring to prevent total collapse of bridge (27)72
FIGURE 7.11 View of temporary support to prevent total collapse of steel bridge (27)73
FIGURE 7.12 View of temporary support to prevent total collapse of steel girder bridge (15)73

FIGURE 7.13 View of temporary support to prevent total collapse of steel girder bridge (11)73
FIGURE 7.14 Deflection measurement
FIGURE 7.15. Crack comparator (CTL, Inc.)76
FIGURE 7.16. Crack monitor (Avonguard)76
FIGURE 7.17. Portable strain indicator (Measurements Group, Inc.)77
FIGURE 8.1 View of bridge superstructure after the earthquake (11)
FIGURE 8.2 More damage to the bridge superstructure (11)
FIGURE 8.3 Different views of bridge superstructure (11)
FIGURE 8.4 The Level 1 form, Bridge Example 1, Steps 1 and 281
FIGURE 8.5 Damage to one of the bridge piers (11)
FIGURE 8.6 The Level 1 Form, Bridge Example 1, Step 382
FIGURE 8.7 Damage to the bridge bearings (11)
FIGURE 8.8 The level 1 Form, Bridge Example 1, Step 4
FIGURE 8.9 View of substructure and soil of the bridge (11)
FIGURE 8.10 Completed Level 1 Inspection Form for Example Bridge 185
FIGURE 8.11 View of the Parkfield Highway Bridge after the earthquake (11)85
FIGURE 8.12 View of the damaged bridge components (11)
FIGURE 8.13 Completed Level 1 form for Example Bridge 287
FIGURE 8.14 Superstructure damage of the third example bridge after earthquake (11)
FIGURE 8.15 Substructure damage of the bridge (11)
FIGURE 8.16 Completed Level 1 Inspection form for bridge example 390
FIGURE 8.17 Different views from the superstructure of the bridge (11)91
FIGURE 8.18 Different views of damage from the damaged bridge (11)92
FIGURE 8.19 Completed Level 1 form for the example bridge (53-1620D)93
FIGURE 8.20 Completed Level 2 Inspection Form, Bridge Example 1 (53-1620D)94
FIGURE 8.21 Different views of the superstructure of the second example bridge (11)95
FIGURE 8.22 Different views of the superstructure of the bridge (11)96
FIGURE 8.23 Different views of the superstructure of the bridge (11)97
FIGURE 8.24 Different views of the second example bridge (11)
FIGURE 8.25 Completed Level 2 Inspection Form, Bridge Example 2
FIGURE 8.26 Completed Level 2 Inspection Form, Bridge Example 2100

FIGURE A.1	Types of Road Closure sign and dimensions	108
FIGURE A.2	The pictures of Road Closure sign, bridge signpost and emergency cabinet	108
FIGURE A.3	The map of primary routes in Vincennes District	109

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Object and Scope

It is acknowledged that the most damaging earthquake within the state took place on September 27, 1909 near the Illinois border between Vincennes and Terre Haute. Both nonstructural and structural damage occurred to the buildings in this area, and it was felt strongly in the southwest of Indiana including Indianapolis. Other significant earthquakes have been felt in the state with epicenters occurring in the southwestern corner. Indiana has also experienced damage from earthquakes originating in neighboring states.

Unfortunately, due to the long recurrence interval of strong earthquakes in Mid-America, a large inventory of structures has accumulated without explicit consideration of seismic resistance. Highway bridges are a significant component of this inventory. The seismic vulnerability of highway bridges constructed within the state, especially in southwestern portion of Indiana, presents a problem of serious consequences.

The seismic history of the region, and the classification of the Southwestern portion of Indiana as AASHTO Seismic Performance Zone 2, has resulted in an increased awareness regarding the need to be prepared against the potential threat presented by earthquakes. As one of the first steps in the development of seismic policy for the state, the Indiana Department of Transportation has decided to prepare highway personnel for the post-earthquake safety evaluation of bridges. Since the highway system is an essential component of the lifelines to a community following an earthquake disaster, it is important to quickly assess its safety and functionality, and provide temporary retrofits to quickly restore transportation routes. A post-earthquake bridge inspection plan with properly trained personnel is a key component of the disaster response plan to restore quickly the transportation routes in order to permit the access of relief and reconstruction assistance.

The main purpose of this handbook is to provide INDOT personnel of various backgrounds with a rapid and effective methodology for the post-earthquake safety inspection of bridges and roads in Indiana. This methodology is intended to promote and maintain the uniformity of the inspection as much as possible while assessing and rating bridge and road damage. It is likely that the first personnel to be dispatched or that will reach damaged structures will not be engineers. Furthermore, depending on the extent of the damage that may occur, it is possible that there will not be an adequate number of experienced engineers to survey every structure.

This handbook contains the material necessary for a systematic safety evaluation of bridge structures and roads for a wide range of INDOT personnel. In the handbook, the necessary material is arranged according to two inspection levels. Level 1 inspection consists of the rapid visual evaluation of the bridges and roads in the affected area to establish obviously unsafe structures and roads. The Level 1 section of the handbook is intended for INDOT personnel with a broad range of backgrounds. Level 2 inspection consists of a more in-depth safety evaluation of bridges and roads, as well as temporary repair and long-term monitoring techniques. This segment is designed specifically for INDOT engineers. The Level 2 inspection team will be expected to make a more detailed structural and geotechnical post-earthquake condition assessment of the bridge. The inspection team may choose to reduce the speed of incoming vehicles as they approach the bridge, to restrict access only to emergency vehicles, or to close the bridge entirely to traffic. The team may also consider, where appropriate, if temporary shoring or other strengthening and long term monitoring measures are required.

The organization and the management of the post-earthquake inspections are under the jurisdiction of INDOT, unless declared a State Disaster by the Governor and taken over by SEMA, and it is outside the scope of this handbook

1.2. Level 1 Inspection

The main objective of the Level 1 Inspection section is to prepare INDOT personnel with a wide range of backgrounds for the visual safety inspection of highway bridges and roads immediately following an earthquake. The purpose of the Level 1 inspection is to restrict the traffic on unsafe bridges (Red Tag) and roads, to identify those that are safe (Green Tag), and to indicate those in need of further evaluation (Yellow Tag). The information gathered also will be used to develop rough estimates of the extent of the damage. This information will be available to prioritize the work of Level 2 teams. Level 1 inspection is deemed appropriate for all bridges and roads in the affected area immediately after the earthquake. The Level 1 inspection consists of aerial view and/or drive through. Appropriate actions should follow the inspection. Bridges deemed unsafe must be red tagged and closed to traffic. Roads that cannot be traversed must be identified. Finally, the geographical extent of the damage should be identified.

The outline of the Level 1 components of the handbook are:

- □ Brief description of the seismology of Indiana
- □ Illustration of typical Indiana bridges
- Examples of collapsed bridges and damaged roads
- □ Preparations necessary for Level 1 inspection
- □ Teams
- Description of bridge closing procedures
- □ Suggested equipment and inspection form
- □ Review/Assignments

1.3. Level 2 Inspection

The top priority of the Level 2 inspection should be the inspection of all the yellow tagged bridges and roads identified during the Level 1 inspection. In addition to closing unsafe bridges and identifying routes that cannot be traversed, the Level 2 inspection team will make a more detailed assessment of the bridges in the affected area. The assessment should include

geotechnical and structural aspects. Teams must contain INDOT personnel under the supervision of an experienced INDOT engineer. The main objective of the material related to the Level 2 inspection in this handbook is to prepare the team members to make a proper structural and geotechnical assessment of the condition of bridges following an earthquake. These teams can further refine the conclusions about the Level 1 inspection yellow tagged bridges, restrict their use for only emergency vehicles, or open the bridge to traffic. At the same time, after completing the inspection of Yellow tagged bridges, Level 2 teams should inspect the Red tagged bridges in critical routes to determine if they may be put back into operation with in-house repairs. This inspection team will also provide recommendations for short-term repair and whether it should be conducted in house or a consultant is needed. It will also indicate if shoring and monitoring of the damaged bridges is needed. This inspection will be conducted using ground transportation.

The following is an outline of the items in this handbook pertaining to the Level 2 inspection:

- Examples of damage to typical Indiana bridges
- □ Preparations necessary for Level 2 bridge assessment
- □ Teams
- □ Necessary equipment and inspection form
- **D** Techniques for temporary repair and long-term monitoring techniques
- □ Review/Assignments



FIGURE 1.1 Flow-chart of Post-Earthquake Response Assessment

2. EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING PRINCIPLES

2.1. Earthquakes

An earthquake is the movement of the ground (vibration, distortion, sliding) or Earth's surface associated with a release of energy in the Earth's crust. This energy is generated by a sudden movement of segments of the crust, by a volcanic eruption, or by manmade explosions. The movement of the crust of the earth causes most of powerful earthquakes. The crust may first bend and then, when the stress exceeds the strength of the rocks, rupture and settle to a new position. In the process of rupture, vibrations called "seismic waves" are generated. These waves travel outward from the source of the earthquake along the surface and through the Earth at varying speeds depending on the material through which they move.

2.2. The Structure of the Earth

The idealized view of the earth, shows it like an egg with three different layers, it is helpful to the people those who are interested in earthquake effects (Figure 2.1). These main three layers have very different physical and chemical properties. The earth has a crust (shell), a mantle (egg white) and a core (the yolk). The outer layer (shell), which averages about 70 kilometers in thickness, consists of about a dozen large, irregularly shaped plates that slide over, under and past each other on top of the partly molten inner layer (Figure 2.2). Most earthquakes occur at the boundary zones where the plates meet. Plate boundaries are spreading zones, transform faults and subduction zones (Figure 2.3). Along spreading zones or ridges, molten rock rises, pushing two plates apart and adding new material at their edges. Most spreading zones are found on the bottom of oceans such as North American and Eurasian plates that are spreading apart along the Mid-Atlantic ridge. Ridges usually have earthquakes at shallow depths (within 30 kilometers of the surface). Transform faults are found where plates slide past one another. An excellent example of a transform-fault plate boundary is the San Andreas Fault, along the coast of California and northwestern Mexico. Earthquakes at transform faults tend to occur at shallow

depths and form fairly straight linear patterns. Finally, subduction zones (trenches) are found where one plate overrides, or subducts, another, pushing it downward into the mantle where it melts. An example of a subduction-zone plate boundary is found along the northwest coast of the United States, western Canada, and southern Alaska and the Aleutian Islands. Subduction zones are characterized by deep-ocean trenches, shallow to deep earthquakes, and mountain ranges containing active volcanoes (Figure 2.4).

Earthquakes can also occur within plates, although plate-boundary earthquakes are much more common. Less than 10 percent of all earthquakes occur within plate interiors. As plates continue to move and plate boundaries change over geologic time, weakened boundary regions become part of the interiors of the plates. These zones of weakness within the continents can cause earthquakes in response to stresses that originate at the edges of the plate or in the deeper crust. The New Madrid earthquakes of 1811-1812 and the 1886 Charleston earthquake occurred within the North American plate

Earthquakes are produced by sudden slip and rupture along the faults. A fault can be defined as a roughly planar fracture in the Earth's crust along which slip, the relative movement of the two sides has occurred. Faults can be active which means they currently hold the potential for producing earthquakes or inactive which means they already have slipped once and produced earthquakes but they are now "frozen" solid. If the tectonic environment of an area changes, however, inactive faults can sometimes be reactivated.

In terms of size, faults can be anywhere from less than a meter to over a thousand kilometers in length, with a width of a similar scale. The depth of very large faults is constrained by the thickness of that portion of the crust and lithosphere in which brittle fracture can occur. In southern California, this depth is roughly 15 to 25 kilometers. The kind of faults seismologists study are generally at least a square kilometer in area, and typically more than a 100 km² in area. Faults of this size or greater can rupture violently enough to produce significant earthquakes. There are approximately 200 faults in southern California that are considered major faults. Three basic types of faults can be identified based on the relative movement. These are normal faults, reverse faults and strike-slip faults. These fault types are illustrated in Figure 2.6.

2.3. Seismic Waves

After rupture of the fault, seismic waves or vibrations are generated. These waves are divided into two main categories: Body waves (longitudinal P waves and transversal S waves), Surface waves (Rayleigh Waves and Love waves). The first kind of body wave is the P wave (primary wave). This is the fastest type of seismic wave. The P wave is able to move through solid rock and fluids, like water or the liquid layers of the earth. Depending on the stiffness and the medium, these waves travel at speeds in the range 3-8 km/sec. It pushes and pulls the rock it moves through just like sound waves push and pull the air. The people only feel the bump and rattle of these waves. The second type of body wave is the S wave (secondary wave), which is the second wave people can feel in an earthquake. An S wave is slower than a P wave and can only move through solid rock. This wave moves rock up and down, or side-to-side. Typically, the speed of the S-wave is 1-4 km/sec.

The first type of surface wave is called a Love wave; it's the fastest surface wave and moves the ground from side-to-side. The other kind of surface wave is the Rayleigh wave. A Rayleigh wave rolls along the ground just like a wave rolls across a lake or an ocean. Because it rolls, it moves the ground up and down, and side-to-side in the same direction that the wave is moving. Most of the shaking felt from an earthquake is due to the Rayleigh wave, which can be much larger than the other waves. Figure 2.5 gives a visual interpretation of these waves.

In a major earthquake, people near the epicenter can feel, and sometimes see, the earth move strongly. People further away may feel the motion too, although less strongly. The movement travels away from the epicenter, spreading out in waves and becoming smaller and weaker as they travel. Seismometers are the main devices to detect and record these motions even when they are far too small for humans to feel. In addition to seismometers, accelerometers are utilized to measure the acceleration of the ground. Figure 2.7 shows a typical ground acceleration record produced by the Duzce Earthquake (time vs. acceleration) plotted in three different directions, transversal, lateral and vertical.



FIGURE 2.1 View of the layers of the Earth (20)



FIGURE 2.2. The thickness of the layers of the Earth (20)



FIGURE 2.3 The map of the plates on the Earth (21)



FIGURE 2.4 The cross section that illustrates the main types of plate boundaries (22)



FIGURE 2.5 The illustration of the seismic waves (24)



FIGURE 2.6 The illustration the main types of faults (23)



FIGURE 2.7 A typical near source acceleration record taken after Duzce Earthquake (25)

2.4. Bridge Behavior under Earthquake Excitation

The travel of the seismic waves from source to the bridge site and typical ground acceleration and displacement diagrams are illustrated in Figure 2.8. The behavior and performance of bridge structure under earthquake excitation is mainly influenced by proximity of the bridge to the fault and bridge site conditions. These factors affect the intensity of ground shaking and deformation of the bridge structure directly. In addition to the external effects, the performance of the bridge is influenced by structural configuration, materials utilized, connections between different elements of the bridge structure and fixity of the foundations. The bridge structures can be assumed to be shaken in longitudinal and transversal directions. Although this simplification does not reflect the real behavior, it may help to understand the response of the bridges against earthquakes. Figure 2.9 shows the behavior of a two span monolithic highway bridge under longitudinal earthquake loading. The illustrated end connections such as roller supports and fixed support are extensively applied in highway bridge structures. During an earthquake, due to the shaking, inertial forces are created on the bridge structure depending the nature of the ground motion and the structural characteristics of the bridge. These inertial forces are represented as horizontal force on the structure with the corresponding deformation demand. The bridge should be able to resist these inertial forces and deformation demand in order to keep its structural integrity. Otherwise, local or entire collapses may occur. In Figure 2.9, pier (mid-column) of the bridge is resisting the longitudinal movement while the superstructure is taking the longitudinal deformation demand and dissipating it through the gaps between superstructure and abutments. Similarly, in the lateral direction the bridge has to resist earthquake force. As it can be seen from the Figure 2.10, the bridge structure behaves as a simple beam in the lateral direction. Abutments resist the lateral movement of the superstructure.

The illustrated bridge example shows a typical flexible bridge structure. The major source of this flexibility is the connection/interface details between the bridge elements. This flexibility brings the advantage of the construction of economically feasible bridge structures. But at the same time it increases the seismic vulnerability of the bridge. In the past, a number of bridge collapses have occurred due to the lack of behavior based design. With the help of the lessons taken form

past earthquake damages, bridges are constructed appropriately but a number of bridges currently under use need modifications for earthquake resistance.



FIGURE 2.8 The occurrence of the earthquake and traveling of the waves to the bridge site (1)



FIGURE 2.9 Typical longitudinal earthquake loading and deflected shape of a bridge (1)



FIGURE 2.10 Typical lateral earthquake loading and deflected shape of a bridge (1)

3. SEISMICITY OF INDIANA

3.1. General

There has been increasing awareness about seismic hazard in the Midwest region of United States due to the records of past earthquakes and the evidence of prehistoric earthquake activity. Since 1875, Indiana has experienced at least 40 earthquakes that reportedly were felt by residents. Recent studies have shown evidences of the occurrence of at least 6 major earthquakes with epicenters in Indiana during the last 12,000 years with the help of the surveys of hundreds of ancient sand blows (See Ref. 28). According to the results of these studies, an earthquake that had a magnitude of more than 7.5 occurred about 6,000 years ago in the Wabash River Valley near the Indiana-Illinois border. Numerous prehistoric earthquakes of magnitude 6 to 7 have occurred in Southern Indiana and Illinois although the last two centuries earthquakes with epicenters in Indiana have been relatively minor events. Geologic evidence of these earthquakes is in the form of soil liquefaction that induced intrusions of sand and gravel in river sediments. These types of formations have been discovered at more than 100 widespread sites in the Wabash River Valley and along its tributaries (Figure 3.1). These intrusions permit the use of geologic, archaeological, and engineering techniques to determine when the earthquakes occurred, as well as their epicenters and approximate magnitudes.

The liquefaction occurs when violent shaking during strong earthquakes causes; loose, clean, uniformly graded and saturated underground soil layers (sands, gravels and non-plastic silts) to behave like a fluid under pressure (Figure 3.1). Occasionally, the pressure forces the liquefied soil to move up through cracks in the overlying soil and flow out over the surface. Sand blow is a good example of this situation (Figure 5.81). After the sand blow formed, generally it was covered by layers of silt deposited during floods. When liquefaction occurs, the strength and bearing capacity of soil decreases. The effects of liquefaction can range from massive landslides to small sumps or spread of soil. Bridge sites particularly crossing over water can have tendency to produce liquefaction by considering their general hydrologic and geologic conditions such as

the existence of intense fluvial and alluvial deposits. Concurrently, the susceptibility of a soil layer to liquefaction does not mean that the liquefaction will occur in a given earthquake.

The earthquakes that occurred since 1811-1812 in and near the State can be seen on a Midwest U.S. map (Figure 3.2). This figures shows that southern portion of State has an important earthquake potential. In Figure 3.3, the mapped and assumed faults through the State are shown. Beside the in-state faults, an earthquake that may happen on the New Madrid Fault, which is one of the important earthquake zones throughout the U.S, may affect the State. Because of the active portion of the New Madrid Fault Zone is covered by thick alluvial deposit layers, there is no clear surface evidence that indicates the present fault movement. However, micro-earthquake records allows the marking out of the active portions of the fault zone.

As an in-state fault zone, Wabash Valley fault zone lies in the southwestern part of the Indiana (Figure 3.3). Three earthquakes of $4.5 \le m_b \le 5.1$ and five of $5.2 \le m_b \le 5.8$ have occurred in this zone. The most important one occurred on November 9, 1968 with a magnitude of 5.5 (m_b). A possible strong earthquake that will occur in New Madrid Seismic zone has a strong damage potential in most parts of Indiana. The probability for such an earthquake of magnitude 6.0 or greater is given as significant in the near future, 90% chance by the year 2040. An earthquake with a magnitude equal to that of the 1811- 1812 quakes could result in great loss of life and property damage in the billions of dollars. Common belief between scientists is that the region could be overdue for a large earthquake. Only intense research, preparation and public awareness may be able to prevent such losses.



FIGURE 3.1 The view of the liquefaction mechanism and the map of Southern Indiana regions where ancient sand blows have been found due to prehistoric earthquakes (28)



FIGURE 3.2 Approximate Epicenters Powerful Earthquakes since 1811-1812 (3)



FIGURE 3.3. The Fault Map of State of Indiana (4)

3.2. Earthquake History of Indiana

The great New Madrid earthquakes of 1811 and 1812 have strongly affected Indiana, particularly the southwestern part, but there is little information available from these earlier times other than personal observations. The New Madrid Seismic Zone lies within the central Mississippi River Valley, extending from northeast Arkansas, through southeast Missouri, western Tennessee, western Kentucky to southern Illinois. (Figure 3.2) Between 1811-1812, 4 catastrophic earthquakes occurred during three month period with estimated magnitudes greater than 7 on Richer Scale.
On the basis of the damaged area (600,000 km²), the area of perceptibility (5 million km²) and the complex geological and topographical changes ranked these earthquakes of 1811-1812 as the largest in the United States. The area of strong shaking associated with these shocks is two to three times larger than that of the 1964 Alaska Earthquake and 10 times larger than that of the 1906 San Francisco Earthquake. The New Madrid seismic zone is named after of the town of New Madrid, Missouri that was the closest settlement to epicenters of 1811-1812 earthquakes. At that time, St. Louis and other major cities in US were lightly settled. These series of earthquakes were felt throughout US and Canada.

The magnitudes of the 1811-1812 New Madrid earthquakes varied considerably. The first and second earthquakes occurred in Arkansas (December 16, 1811 - two big shocks on the same day $m_b=7.2$, Ms= 8.6 and MM=VII-VIII) and the third and fourth in Missouri (January 23, 1812 $m_b=$ 7.1, Ms= 8.4 and February 7, 1812 m_p=7.3 and Ms=8.7). The first earthquake caused only slight damage to man-made structures, mainly because of the sparse population in the epicentral area. The extent of the area that experienced damaging earth motion (MM intensity greater than or equal to VII) is estimated to be 600,000 km². However, shaking that was strong enough to alarm the general population (MM intensity greater than or equal to V) occurred over an area of 2.5 million km². Although the motion during the first shock was violent at New Madrid, Missouri, it was not as heavy and destructive as that caused by two aftershocks about 6 hours later. Only one life was lost in falling buildings at New Madrid, but chimneys tumbled and houses were thrown down as far distant as Cincinnati, St. Louis and in many locations in Kentucky, Missouri, and Tennessee. The intensity at the epicenter of this earthquake is thought to be at the MM intensity X-XI level. The heavy damage imposed on the land by these devastating earthquakes led Congress to pass in 1815 the first disaster relief act providing the landowners of destroyed ground with an equal amount of land in unaffected regions.

In 1812 (January 23), almost a month later than the previous ground shaking, a third big shock hit the region. The epicenter of this shock was at New Madrid, Missouri. Finally, the fourth and largest earthquake of the 1811-1812 series occurred on February 7, 1812. Several destructive shocks followed the main shock on February 7, the last of which equaled or surpassed the magnitude of any previous event. The town of New Madrid was destroyed. In the city of St.

Louis, many houses were damaged severely and their dimneys were thrown down. The affected area was characterized by general ground warping, ejections, fissuring, severe landslides, and caving of stream banks.

In 1876, twin shocks were felt fifteen minutes apart each other over an area of 60,000 mi². A shock in 1887 centered near Vincennes was felt over 75,000 mi² and shock damaged property and frightened people in church at Evansville.

Another damaging earthquake originating in Indiana occurred on April 29, 1899 and rated intensity as MM VI-VII on the Modified Mercalli Scale. It was strongest at Jeffersonville and Shelbyville; at Vincennes, chimneys were thrown down and walls cracked. It was felt over an area of 40,000 mi².

The most destructive Indiana earthquake occurred on September 27, 1909 near the Illinois border between Vincennes and Terre Haute. Some chimneys toppled, several building walls were cracked, and light connections were severely damaged. It was felt in Indianapolis, Oakland City and over an area of 30,000 mi² including the southwestern half of Indiana, all of Illinois and parts of Iowa, Kentucky, Missouri, Arkansas, and probably in parts of Kansas. In Terre Haute, two chimneys were toppled and plasters were cracked. At Covington, north of Terre Haute in Fountain County, a few chimneys were downed and windows were broken. The intensity of the earthquake was rated as MM VII on the Modified Mercalli Scale.

On March 2, 1937, a shock centering near Anna, Ohio, threw objects from shelves at Fort Wayne and some plaster fell. Plaster was also cracked at Indianapolis. Six days later, another shock originating at Anna brought pictures crashing down and cracked plaster in Fort Wayne and was strongly felt in Lafayette.

On November 7, 1958, an earthquake originating near Mt. Carmel, Illinois, caused plaster to fall at Fort Branch. Roaring and whistling noises were heard at Central City and the residents of Evansville thought there had been an explosion or plane crash. It was felt over 33,000 mi² of Illinois, Indiana, Missouri, and Kentucky.

The earthquakes originating in neighboring states have caused considerable damage in Indiana. One of the worst occurred on November 9, 1968 and centered near Dale in southern Illinois. The shock, a magnitude 5.5 (Mb), was felt over 580,000 mi² and other states including all of Indiana. Intensity VII was reported from Cynthiana where chimneys were cracked, twisted, and toppled. Property damage in the area consisted mainly of fallen bricks from chimneys, broken windows, toppled television aerials, and cracked or fallen plaster. In the epicentral area, near Dale, Hamilton County, MM intensity VII was characterized by downed chimneys, cracked foundations, overturned tombstones, and scattered instances of collapsed parapets. Most buildings that sustained damage to chimneys were 30 to 50 years old. About 10 kilometers west of Dale, near Tuckers Corners, a concrete and brick cistern collapsed. A large amount of masonry damage occurred at the City Building at Henderson, Kentucky, 80 kilometers eastsoutheast of the epicenter. Moderate damage to chimneys and walls occurred in several towns in south-central Illinois, southwest Indiana, and northwest Kentucky. The earthquake had been felt over all or parts of 23 States: from southeast Minnesota to central Alabama and Georgia and from western North Carolina to central Kansas. People had also felt it in multistory buildings in Boston, Massachusetts and southern Ontario, Canada.

More recently, Indiana was shaken in 1987 by a quake centered near Olney, Illinois, just west of Vincennes.

In Figure 3.4, the peak acceleration rates as percent gravity (g) are shown with 10% probability of exceedance in 50 years (3). Similarly, estimated MM intensity curves of a 6.5 magnitude earthquake in New Madrid Fault can be seen from Figure 3.5.



FIGURE 3.4 The peak acceleration map with 10% probability of exceedance in 50 years. (5)



FIGURE 3.5. Estimated MM intensity map for 6.5 magnitude earthquake in NMFZ (6)

4. INDIANA BRIDGE STRUCTURES

In this chapter, typical examples of highway bridges located in the Vincennes district of Indiana, which is considered to be in the area of seismic risk, are shown (9). They are classified according to their structural properties (8). In addition, the types of bearings are also illustrated.

ARCHES:



Reinforced Concrete Arch



RC Arch Open Spandrel



□ Precast Concrete Arch Underfill



SLABS:

□ Metal Pipe Arch



□ Reinforced Concrete Slab Underfill



□ Multi-Plate Arch



Continuous Reinforced Concrete Slab





□ Precast Concrete Slab Underfill



Steel Girder





GIRDERS:

Reinforced Concrete Girder





□ Steel Box Girder



□ Riveted Plate Girder

BEAMS:

 Prestressed Concrete Box Beam-Spread Boxes





Prestressed Concrete I-Beam



• Continuous Prestressed Concrete I-Beam



TRUSSES:

□ Steel Pony Truss



□ Steel Through Truss







• Composite Continuous Steel Beam



□ Continuous Steel Tied Arch-Truss



□ Contact



□ Rocker Bearing

BEARINGS:

□ Integral





12 51

Elastomeric Bearing



PIPELINES:







RESTRAINER:





5. POSSIBLE TYPES OF BRIDGE AND ROADWAY DAMAGE

5.1. General

Highway bridges have a structural combination of superstructure, substructure and support bearings. Superstructure consists of all the structural parts of the bridges that make the horizontal span like slab, beams, girders or truss members. Substructures consist of structural parts of the bridges that provide the support to the horizontal span like abutments, piers and columns. Bearings are placed between the superstructure and substructure. Figure 5.1 shows all the key components of a typical highway bridge.



FIGURE 5.1 View of different structural parts of a typical highway bridge

5.2. Classification of Damage

The Level 1 Inspection can be summarized as follows:

- Green Tag Safe for Traffic
- Yellow Tag Require Level 2 Evaluation (or quickly repairable)
- Red Tag
 Unsafe for traffic (must be closed)

More detailed damage classification tables are given in the Figure 5.2 by considering the different components of highway bridges.

	GREEN TAG	YELLOW TAG	RED TAG
Traffic	damage does not	damage impedes	
Barriers and	impede trainc	lianc	
Railings			
Movement at	1) < 1 n . offset in	1) 1 to 6 in. offset in	> 6 in. offset in
Expansion	borizontal	alignment	horizontal
Joints	alignment	angrimerit	alignment
	2) spalling of	2) local buckling of	5
	concrete cover	steel stringers	
Seats at	< 1 in. reduction in	> 1in. reduction in	unseating
Expansion	seat length	seat length	
Joints			
Bearings		visible damage	
	GREEN TAG	YELLOW TAG	RED TAG
Columns,	1) vertical cracks	1) diagonal cracks	1) bar buckling in
Cross-Beams	in RC beams.	in RC beams,	RC beams,
and Piers		columns and piers.	columns and piers
	2) horizontal	2) loss of concrete	2) local buckling in
	cracks in RC	cover	steel columns
	columns and piers	3) any crack in	
		columns	
Column/		1) any cracks.	
Beam Joints		2) loss of concrete	
		cover	
Footings/ Pile	space between	any other damage	
Caps	columns and	(e.g., cracks,	
	surrounding earth	spalling, rotation)	
	GREEN TAG	YELLOW TAG	RED TAG
Abutments	spalling at	any other damage	
	expansion joint	(e.g., cracks,	
Approach/	< 1 in, settlement	1 to 6 in, settlement	> 6 in, settlement
Abutment			o ini cottonioni
interface			
Roadway	Normal Driving	Reduced Speed, or	Impassible
nouunuy	Conditions	Quickly Repairable	

FIGURE 5.2. Damage classification tables for bridges

5.3. Level 1 Examples of Bridge and Roadway Damage

In this section examples of bridge damage are given. The classification follows the damage classification tables given in previous section. The damage examples are organized in the categories of:

- Bridge Collapse / Bridge Partial
 Collapse / Roadway Closed
- □ Superstructure Damage
- □ Substructure Damage
- Bearing Damage
- □ Soil Problems

<u>Collapse / Partial Collapse / Roadway</u> Closed



FIGURE 5.3 Collapse of roadway due to slope failure after Duzce EQ 1999 (10)



FIGURE 5.4 Collapse of roadway due to fault rupture after Izmit EQ 1999 (10)



FIGURE 5.7. Failure of a monolithic RC girder bridge after Loma Prieta EQ 1989 (11)



FIGURE 5.5 Failure of a prestressed concrete box beam bridge after Izmit EQ 1999 (10)



FIGURE 5.8 Collapse of RC girder bridge after Loma Prieta EQ 1989 (11)



FIGURE 5.6. Collapse of deck and piers after Taiwan Earthquake 1999 (15)



FIGURE 5.9 Collapse of bridge deck after Northridge 1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.10 Collapse of steel deck bridge after Kobe 1995 Earthquake (12)

In the cases shown in the Figure 5.2 to 5.9, there is no chance to permit traffic flow, it's physically impossible. Highway must be closed immediately and barriers should be placed and crisis center should be informed. Walking on or passing under such kind of collapsed bridge can be dangerous. This situation is defined as Red Tag.

Superstructure Damage:

Superstructure damage can be classified as lateral, longitudinal or vertical movement, pounding, buckling, cracking, and failure. The examples shown in Figures 5.11-5.22 are red tagged bridges except for those shown in Figures 5.17 - 5.21 considered yellow tagged examples.



FIGURE 5.11 Excessive longitudinal movement of the bridge deck (15)



FIGURE 5.12 The excessive transversal movement of bridge after Izmit EQ (10)



FIGURE 5.14 Excessive differential settlement of the backfill (1)



FIGURE 5.13 Excessive longitudinal movement of steel box girder bridge (11)



FIGURE 5.15 Lateral movement of prestressed RC box girders (10)



FIGURE 5.16 Longitudinal movement of RC box girders after Duzce EQ 1999 (10)



FIGURE 5.17 Vertical offset between decks after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.19 The expansion of the joints Taiwan EQ 1999 (15)



FIGURE 5.18 Excessive movement of expansion joints after Taiwan EQ 1999 (15)



FIGURE 5.20 The expansion of the joints after Loma Prieta Earthquake 1989 (11)



FIGURE 5.21 Vertical and horizontal offset on a bridge after Northridge EQ. 1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.22 Settlement of Bridge (26)

Substructure Damage:

Substructure damage can be classified as local buckling, shear key damage, settlement, tilting, sliding, rotation, cracking, and failure. The following examples are red tagged bridges except those shown in Figures 5.30 and Figures 5.33- 5.35.



FIGURE 5.23 Column failure (11)



FIGURE 5.24 Failure of RC e column (11)



FIGURE 5.25 Failure of the bottom of the RC bridge column (11)



FIGURE 5.26 Failed RC bridge column (11)



FIGURE 5.28 Heavy damage in RC bridge piers after Kobe Earthquake 1995 (14)



FIGURE 5.29 Shear crack in bents after Northridge Earthquake 1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.27 View of damaged RC bridge pier after Kobe Earthquake 1995 (13)



FIGURE 5.30. Shear key failure of a bridge after Northridge Earthquake 1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.31 Buckling of steel girders (11)



FIGURE 5.34 Transversal movement of abutment (11)



FIGURE 5.32 Movement of an abutment after Northridge Earthquake 1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.35 Pounding damage at abutment (11)



FIGURE 5.33 Separation of abutment (11)

Bearing Damage:

Bearing damages consist of failure, movement of rocker/elastomeric bearings, shearing, pullout or bearing of bolts for contact type of bearings. The examples consist of red tagged bridges except for the case shown in Figure 5.40.



FIGURE 5.38 Failure of elastomeric bearing and cracking of girder beam (10)



FIGURE 5.36 Failure of two anchor bolts for a girder after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.39 View of a failed elastomeric bearing pad after Izmit EQ 1999 (10)



FIGURE 5.37 Failure of an elastomeric bearing due to longitudinal movement of girder (10)



FIGURE 5.40 Spalling near location of anchor bolts after Northridge Earthquake 1994 (11)

Soil Problems:

Slope failures, soil liquefaction, soil fissures, differential settlements can be generalized as soil problems. The following examples can be considered as yellow tagged bridges.



FIGURE 5.41 Separation of soil at column base of a pier after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.42 Separation of column from the surrounding soil after Northridge EQ1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.43 Disturbed soil at the base of column after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)

Secondary Structure Damage:



FIGURE 5.44 Barrier cracking after Northridge Earthquake 1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.45 Minor damage on the deck of a bridge after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.48 Surface damage to highway pavement after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.46 Curb separation after Northridge Earthquake 1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.49 Settlement damage on approaches after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)



FIGURE 5.47 Collapse of asphalt pavement due to washout after Northridge EQ 1994 (11)

5.4 Level 2 Behavior of Bridges under Earthquake Excitation

In this section, bridges that were Yellow tagged during the Level 1 inspection are further illustrated to establish whether they should be Red or Green tag. The damage as shown can be classified into:

- Roadway/Approach Damage
- Deck Damage
- Bearing Damage
- □ Superstructure Damage
- □ Substructure Damage
- □ Geotechnical Damage

Roadway/Approaches Damage:



FIGURE 5.50 The cracking of pavement due to pounding and settlement the bridge (15)

Deck Damage:



FIGURE 5.51 Transversal movement of bridge deck after Taiwan EQ (15)



FIGURE 5.52 View of RC bridge deck spalling after Taiwan EQ 1999 (11)

Bearing Damage:



FIGURE 5.53 Bearing movement and concrete spalling on the pier (11)



FIGURE 5.54 Tilted rocker bearings (9)



FIGURE 5.57 Elastomeric bearing movement and spalling of girder concrete (10)



FIGURE 5.55 Shift of bearings after collapse (11)



FIGURE 5.58 Sliding of elastomeric bearing (10)



FIGURE 5.56 Bearing movement (11)



FIGURE 5.59 Yield at pin support (in red color) (11)

Superstructure Damage:



FIGURE 5.61 Local buckling of beam web near haunch (11)



FIGURE 5.60 Buckling of web near lower flange and crack in pedestal (11)



FIGURE 5.62 Damage at the bottom of the RC collector beam (11)



FIGURE 5.63 Buckling in the girder due to pounding (11)



FIGURE 5.64 Steel box girder movement and collapse of bearings (11)



FIGURE 5.65 Heavy damage in RC box girder bridge (15)



FIGURE 5.66 Yielding at bolted connector beam (11)



FIGURE 5.67 Twisted steel braces (11)



FIGURE 5.70 Large cracks at abutment wing wall and slope (26)



FIGURE 5.68 Shear cracks at the RC bridge girder near support (26)



FIGURE 5.71 Separation of the RC superstructure and the abutment (11)

Substructure Damage:



FIGURE 5.69 Abutment slumping after Taiwan EQ 1999 (26)



FIGURE 5.72 Pounding of steel girder to the abutment (11)



FIGURE 5.73 Concrete spalling and cracking due to pounding of RC box girder after Izmit EQ 1999 (10)



FIGURE 5.75 Separation of the superstructure and the abutment (11)



FIGURE 5.74 Compression failure on the top of RC bridge pier after Taiwan EQ 1999 (15)



FIGURE 5.76 Heavily damaged RC bridge pier (15)

Geotechnical Damage:



FIGURE 5.77 Ground crack extending diagonally down slope under bridge (11)



FIGURE 5.80 Spalling of concrete at the top of the pile for abutment after excavation (11)



FIGURE 5.78 Retaining wall failure after Taiwan EQ 1999 (26)



FIGURE 5.81 Sand boils and ground cracks after Kobe EQ 1995 (11)



FIGURE 5.79 Settlement around RC bridge pier (11)



FIGURE 5.82 10 cm gap between ground and RC bridge pier (26)



FIGURE 5.83 Ejected sand and lateral spreading around RC bridge pier (11)



FIGURE 5.84 Soil failure due to the fault movement through RC bridge piers after Duzce EQ 1999 (10)



FIGURE 5.85 Buckled seismic restrainers (11)

6. POST-EARTHQUAKE SAFETY EVALUATION PRACTICE FOR HIGHWAY BRIDGES

6.1 Level 1 Inspection

The Rapid Assessment Bridge Inspection Form for the INDOT Level 1 teams is shown in Figure 6.1. This form is for multiple bridges, one bridge per line. Each line should be completed at the conclusion of the inspection of each bridge site. If a given bridge is in imminent danger of collapse, the inspection of the bridge shall follow the procedure outlined in this chapter. Assigned unit personnel (normally two people for each route) should pick up their inspection kit at their unit and inspect their pre-assigned primary route reporting back the condition of the roadway and all bridges on that route. Primary routes are the road sections needed for access to critical areas such as cities, hospitals, power stations, communication centers, schools, industries, neighboring states. After primary routes are inspected, the supervisor should determine the secondary routes to be inspected.

The Level 1 Inspection will consist of visual assessment of all bridges on the route. The main goal for this inspection is to be able to make a quick and accurate conclusion about the post earthquake situation of the bridges on the assigned route. The only time the inspectors can interrupt their inspection is when they encounter a life or death situation. It is critical that the inspection get done, so outside help can be requested and routed via open roads. As indicated by the result of the inspection, traffic flow on the bridge should be either controlled or restricted or unrestricted. The results of the inspection will be utilized to develop the inspection schedule of the Level 2 teams. For each bridge that will be examined, the teams should complete the information in a given row, after checking all bridge elements. Finally, they should indicate their decision on the last three columns. If any suspicious situation exists or more detailed information is collected, team members can use the back page of the forms to make detailed explanations. Any major bridge and roadway closure should be reported to the Unit/ Subdistrict/ District immediately. In the previous chapter, common types of damage in bridges similar to those in Indiana were noted. It is recommended to complete a quick walk around the bridge then follow

with a more focused inspection keeping in mind the examples of damage as related to the type bridge surveyed. A suggested general procedure for the Level 1 inspection can be summarized as follows:

- Begin the inspection of the assigned bridges on the previously determined route after collecting the necessary tools for the inspection (See section 6.3 for information on suggested equipments)
- 2. Minor roadway deficiencies should be recorded in the form including pavement damage, earth embankment failure, road obstructions and failure of the traffic control devices. Unit/ Subdistrict/ District should be informed immediately of any road or bridge damage that requires the closing of the roadway to traffic.
- Complete Level 1 Inspection Form. The form is shown in Figure 6.1. It contains columns and rows. Complete one row per bridge inspected. The suggested step-by-step procedure is listed below.
- 4. Upon arrival to the bridge site, review and verify the bridge number.
- 5. Record the arrival time.
- 6. Check the traffic flow on the bridge. Although there may be traffic using the bridge that does not indicate the bridge is safe. Inspect all bridges assuming they may be damaged.
- 7. Approach bridge with caution and never walk immediately upon arrival directly under or over the bridge. Do not cross the bridge without first sighting down the curb/rail line and checking the underside for structural damage.
- Prepare an inspection routine of the different components. Assign inspection tasks. Begin by inspecting approaches and continue in the order listed in the inspection form (see Figure 6.2). Upon starting sub-structure inspection each inspector should go down a different side of the bridge to provide safety by separation and to speed the inspection.
- 9. Discuss observation with the other members of the team and make the evaluation of the condition.
- 10. After completing items 1 through 6 in the form with the comments YES, NO, or DRN (Detailed Review Needed), the team should come to an agreement regarding the condition of the bridge and enter in one of the last three columns of the form as appropriate. If a bridge received at least one YES for the damage types 1 through 5, either a RED tag for
closure, or if a more detailed inspection is needed (Level 2) a YELLOW tag should be entered. In case of no damage, a GREEN tag should be entered.

- 11. Additional recommendations and observations about the bridge and roadway can be written in the box provided at the bottom of the form.
- 12. If the bridge is given a RED tag requiring barricades, the Unit, Subdistrict, and District should be informed immediately and the disaster closure procedure outlined in Section 6.4 of the handbook should be followed. If the bridge can be traversed, but repairs are needed, place a YELLOW ribbon, if it undamaged use a GREEN ribbon. Attach ribbons to the bridge signpost and write time/date/inspector initials.
- 13. Record time on the form indicating the end of the inspection of the assigned bridges in the space provided at the top of the form.

INDOT RAPID ASSESSMENT BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT (LEVEL I)

Route_____from Intersection_____

Page:____of _____

Date and Local Time:

Post Earthquake Condition of the Bridge (Please write "YES, NO or D	DRN (Detailed Review Needed)" for items 1-6)
---	--

Bridge Number	ZZZZ Roadway Closed	Z Z Z Z Movement, Pounding, Buckling, Cracking, Failure	 3. Substructure Damage 3. Substructure Damage 3. Substructure Subsection 2. Settlement, Tilting, Sliding, Rotation, Cracking, Failure 	ZZX ZZX ZZX Pailure, Movement, Shearing or pullout of bolts	C Soil ProblemsS S Signe Failure, Soil Liquefaction,Fissure, Differential Settlement	ZZX Structure Damage ZO ST Wing walls, Parapets, Pylons	7. Explain Other Problems Observed (Damage in Pipelines or Other Utilities etc.)	RED TAG	YELLOW TAG	GREEN TAG
Roadway Problem	ns Enco	untered ar	nd Comment	ts:						

Name of the Inspector(s)



FIGURE 6.2 Level 1 inspection scheme

6.2. Level 2 Inspection

The bridge inspection form for the INDOT Level 2 teams is shown in Figure 6.3. A separate form should be completed for each bridge inspected. The bridge classification should be clearly indicated at the bottom of the form. Team members can use the back of the page to indicate additional comments. The main goal of the Level 2 inspection is to decide the final situation of the bridges yellow tagged during the Level 1 inspection. After completing the inspection of Yellow tagged bridges, teams re-inspect the Red tagged bridges if in-house repairs can be made. The Level 2 inspection teams consist of two trained and experienced people such as INDOT Construction and Design Project engineers or Project Supervisors. At no time, the two Level 2 inspectors should not go under the bridge at the same time. Because they have to backup each other and aftershocks may occur. It is important to note that the condition of damaged structures

may worsen due to the additional earthquakes, traffic or simply gravity. When assessing the bridges, one should assume that additional earthquakes would occur and consider what effect(s) may have. Sometimes it may be necessary to establish a monitoring plan to detect any changes in the condition of the damaged structures.

General procedure for the Level 2 inspection can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Start the inspection of the assigned bridge after collecting the necessary tools for the inspection.
- 2. Record the arrival and departure times. Complete the necessary information about the bridge, route and date/time. Note the difference between inspection day/time and the day/time of the main shock.
- 3. Examine the data from Level 1 inspection report for the bridge.
- 4. Check the traffic flow through the bridge. This may help to reach a conclusion about the condition of the bridge.
- 5. Prepare inspection plan for the different bridge components and prepare assignments for the inspection.
- 6. Inspect the superstructure and substructure following the sequence given in the Level 2 form.
- 7. Note the observed damage by checking the necessary boxes. Fill out the form shown in Figure 6.3. It contains 6 main damage type definitions for the different elements of the bridge structures and comments and section to make specific recommendations. One form must be used for each bridge inspected.
- 8. Discuss the observations with the members of the team and come to an agreement on the condition.
- 9. The final rating should be written on the bottom of the form.
- 10. If the conclusion is that the bridge/road must be closed, or barricades are required, contact the Unit, Subdistrict and District immediately.
- 11. Note any additional recommendations and conclusions in the box. The backside of the form can be used for additional explanations or sketches.

12. Place appropriate marked ribbon on the bridge sign to inform later inspectors about its condition.

Examples of the damage observed during previous earthquakes are summarized in Chapter 5. During the inspection of the various types of bridge components, care must be taken to make the correct assessment. All the structural elements, connections, supports, bearing elements and soil conditions should be checked.

For the concrete elements, flexural and shear cracks should be examined carefully. It should be considered that spalling of concrete and the exposure of reinforcing bars to open air may complicate the assessment damage resulting from the earthquake. Observed cracks have to be marked with paint and crack path and location should be recorded on a sketch with the note of crack width.

It is important to note that some reinforced concrete elements such as box girders, footings, and piles cannot be readily inspected. If damage of these elements is suspected, access must be gained to inspect them. For example, excavating the soil around the footings, checking pile caps may give better idea for the damage. For the box girder type of elements, opening holes on the cells and confined space entry may be necessary.

For the steel components, inspection of the damage is often not readily apparent such as in the concrete elements. All assemblies, plates, anchor bolts, restrainers, connections, hangers, welds and other details should be carefully inspected. Sheared bolts, buckled or bent members, cracked welds, shifted girders, anything out of order should be noted. For the composite elements, anchor bolts to connect the steel parts to the concrete elements should be checked such as in steel columns connected to abutments and pier caps.

INDOT DETAILED BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT (LEVEL II)

Douter	Deta and L age! Time:
Roule:	Date and Local Time:
DAMACE ODSEDVED.	Blidge Location.
DAWAGE OBSERVED:	
I. ROADWAY/APPROACHES	4. SUPERSTRUCTURE
 Not Operational Roadway Settlement Off Bridge Seat 	Reinforced Concrete Slab □ Flexural Cracks □ Connection Failure □ No Damage □ N/A
 Excessive Transversal Movement No Damage Other (curlein) 	Culverts □ Flexural Cracks □ Shear Cracks □ Local Buckling □ Connection Failure □ Metal Pipes Distortion & Deflection □ No Damage □ N/A
	Steel Truss Members, Floor Beams, Stringers □ Local Buckling □ Upper Chord □ Lower Chord □ Diagonals □ Connection Failure □ No Damage □ N/A
	Concrete Arches □ Flexural Cracks □ Shear Cracks □ Connection Failure □ Spandrel Wall Cracking/Collapse □ No Damage □ N/A
	Steel/Concrete Girders, Beams □ Flexural Cracks □ Shear Cracks □ Connection Failure □ Local Buckling □ No Damage □ N/A
2. DECK	5. SUBSTRUCTURE
 Longitudinal Joints Enlarged Expansion Joints Enlarged Wearing Surface Cracking Wearing Surface Spalling Deck Cracking/Spalling 	Abutments Wall Movement/Rotation Pounding Damage Wing wall Movement Wing wall Separation Backfill Settlement Foundation Movement Abutment Pile Damage Cracking on the Walls No Damage N/A
 ☐ Misalignment of Guard Rails, Curbs, Pavement Lines ☐ No Damage 	 □ Joint Failure □ Moment Failure □ Shear Failure □ Inadequate Splice Failure □ Flexural Cracks □ Shear Cracks □ Local Buckling □ Foundation Failure □ No Damage □ N/A
3. BEARINGS	6. GEOTECHNICAL
 Failure of Bearings (Integral, Contact, Rocker, Elastomeric) Movement of Bearings Shearing or Pullout of Bolts No Damage 	 Slope Failure Settlement Soil Liquefaction Fault Movement Other No Damage N/A
COMMENTS FOR REPAIR AN 1. BARRICADE NEEDED 2. IMMEDIATE SHORE AND BRACE 3. REPAIR 3a. In-House Repair Possible 3b. Outside Contractor Needed 4. EMERGENCY VEHICLE USE ONLY 5. MONITORING UNDER SERVICE NEED 6. OTHER (explain)	ND RECOMMENDATIONS: ED
Oursell Define Free the Defider	

 Overall Rating For the Bridge:

 SAFE (Green Tag):
 ______MORE REVIEW NEEDED (Yellow Tag)
 ______UNSAFE(Red Tag):

 Name of the Inspector(s):
 ______UNSAFE(Red Tag):
 ______UNSAFE(Red Tag):

FIGURE 6.3 Level 2 inspection form

6.3. Suggested tools for the evaluation procedure

6.3.1. Suggested tools to perform Level 1 inspection

- **Radio and cellular phone for communications**
- □ Inspection procedures field guide
- □ Primary and county route maps, state maps
- List of bridges on the routes
- □ Clipboard, pen, pencil
- □ Waterproof marker
- Ribbons in three colors: Red ribbon to close, Yellow ribbon to identify open but repairs or additional inspection needed and Green ribbon to denote undamaged with color wording on ribbon
- □ Rope
- □ Safety vest
- □ Hardhat
- □ Flash light
- "Road Closed" signs, flashers and stands. (See section 6.4)
- □ Shovel
- □ Barrels
- □ Cones
- □ Traffic control paddles
- □ First aid kit
- □ Camera and film
- □ Fire extinguisher
- □ 100 ft tape
- □ Hammer
- **Extra flashlight batteries**
- □ Binoculars
- □ Chain saw

6.3.2 Suggested tools to perform a detailed evaluation for Level 2

The necessary resources for the Level 2 teams are:

- □ Level 1 inspection form data
- □ Bridge inventory book
- □ Primary and county route maps
- **Radio and/or satellite phone for communications**
- □ Water, food, clothes, blankets, tents, shelter and supplies for at least 3 days per person
- □ Inspection Form for each bridge, field book, sketchpad, paper, pencils, clipboard.
- □ 100-foot tape, pocket tape, and ruler.
- **D** Testing hammer or geologist hammer
- □ Inspection mirror and flashlight for inaccessible areas
- □ Keel marker
- □ Camera and film
- Binoculars
- □ Tool belt, boots
- □ Wire brush, shovel, whisk broom
- Pocket knife
- □ Safety harness and lanyard
- □ Scraping toll
- □ Calipers
- □ Ladders
- □ Lead lines
- □ Hand level
- □ Thermometer
- □ Pocket or wrist watch
- Plumb bob
- □ Safety vest
- □ Hard hat
- □ Rope

- □ Axe
- □ Tape recorder and tape
- \Box Tool box
- □ Life jacket
- □ Gloves, PVC coated and leather
- □ Ear plugs
- □ Eye wash
- □ First aid kit
- □ Cones, traffic safety
- □ Fire extinguisher
- □ Sign, flagman's signal

For detailed inspection, following items may be required for the different type of bridges:

- Crack gage or comparator to measure the width of the cracks
- □ Piano wire or some other device for measuring the depth of cracks
- □ Screwdriver
- D Pliers
- □ Wrench
- □ Magnifying glass
- □ Periscope, fluorescent tube light
- □ Hand drill, borer or ship auger
- □ Straight edge
- □ Flagging for marking damaged areas

6.4. Bridge Closing Procedure

INDOT has a formal procedure for the planned closing of a road or bridge that includes preclosure notification to the public (through the media and signs), marking a detour/approach and signing the actual barricade closure. In a major disaster INDOT has a responsibility to take all reasonable actions to notify and protect the public as soon as the need for a road closure is known (see Appendix A, Indot Response Procedure for Major Disasters).

Each unit shall maintain a minimum of one set of "Road Closure" signs (Figure 6.4) with type B flashers and sign supports for each primary disaster route in their unit. Level 1 inspectors shall load one road closure setup (2 signs) onto their truck prior to starting their inspection. If there is a need for closure during inspection, the signs will be put up on each approach and unit/subdistrict/ district immediately notified so that the approach signing, barricading and a detour can be placed in a timely manner by follow up personnel. Once this is done, Level 1 inspectors shall continue the inspection on their primary route using the state and county maps to find a way around the closure. If additional closures are encountered that information is to be relayed back to the unit/subdistrict for assistance. One inspector may have to remain at the closure until relieved if no signing or other traffic control is available (try to use local law enforcement if available



FIGURE 6.4 Road closure sign

7. TEMPORARY REPAIRS AND LONG TERM MONITORING TECHNIQUES

7.1. Temporary Repairs

Following an earthquake, many bridges may be damaged. It is important to identify if temporary repairs can be made in order to provide emergency access or to open a lifeline for the recovery effort. Temporary repairs may open a structure that would otherwise be closed for these purposes. These repairs should be consider, as the name implies, only temporary measures and should not be considered as the final repair or rehabilitation technique.

To identify if a temporary repair is feasible, the first step is to assess the condition of the structure. Several questions should be considered:

- □ How widespread is the damage?
- \Box Is this a safety issue?
- □ What is the cause of damage?
- □ What are the consequences of the damage?
- □ Are there similar problems elsewhere?
- □ Is intervention possible?

In considering these questions, is appropriate to consider the type of damage present. In general there are two types of damage:

- □ Local Damage incurs risks to the users but not to the structure.
- Global Damage incurs risks to the stability of the structure and the safety of the users.

Local damage may lend itself to repair using quick, temporary measures while global damage typically does not easily lend itself to quick repair. However, even global damage may be repaired using temporary measures if this structure is essential to the transportation network.

Once it is determined that a repair may be needed or necessary, it is important to identify the repair strategy or level of intervention required. The following are typical options to consider:

- 1. No Repair/Monitoring or Instrumentation Required
- 2. Partial Repair
- 3. Replace/Redesign Elements
- 4. Replace Structure

Temporary repair is covered under Options 1 and 2. Option 1 may provide an excellent alternative to repair when local damage is present or there is doubt as to the performance of the structure. Monitoring techniques are discussed in the second part of this chapter. Option 2 may be used to repair local damage. The specific repair technique depends on the actual component that is damaged. Options 3 and 4 are considered permanent repair procedures and are not covered by this handbook. These repair techniques will require considerable resources for design and construction.

Temporary repair procedures will be divided into several categories. The repairs listed provide general information regarding the procedures that may be applicable for a given structure.

7.1.1. Transition Repair

Roadways and bridges may not provide a smooth riding surface after such an event. Bridge superstructures may be displaced vertically due to bearing damage or settlement of approaches. In addition, roadways may also be damaged producing discontinuities in the riding surface. In these cases, simple solutions often work best. Several temporary repair procedures should be considered:

Steel Plates: Steel plates that connect the riding surface can be used to bridge gaps and vertical offsets in both bridges and roadways (See Figure 7.1).



FIGURE 7.1 Different bridge damage cases that steel plates can be used (11)

Sand or Stone Fill: Another alternative to provide for a transition in riding surfaces is the use of sand fill, stone or hot/cold asphalt mixes. These materials can be used in roadways to bridge gaps as well as vertical offsets. However, in bridges, the most common application is to provide a transition for vertical offsets (Figure 7.2).



FIGURE 7.2 Different bridge damage cases that fill can be used (15,11)

Jacking: The structure may be lifted with the aid of hydraulic jacks in order to reset or replace the bearings. This repair should be considered the most intensive and will require considerable time and resources.

7.1.2. Shoring

The installation of shoring may provide a temporary repair for many structures. There are two primary cases to consider. First, for some bridges, especially essential ones, it may be desirable to open the structure to operation even in the case of fairly severe damage. The main method to achieve this operational level would be to install shoring under the structure to support the loads. Figures 7.3 to 7.13 illustrate the use of shoring to support the superstructure. In many instances, shoring may be used to provide superstructure support for a bridge that has incurred substructure damage.

Shoring may also be used to support a structure that is in danger of collapsing. Shoring can be used to advantage in these situations in order to maintain access to an underlying roadway (Figures 7.5).



FIGURE 7.3 Temporary shoring of bridge after Taiwan Earthquake 1999 (11)



FIGURE 7.4 Another view of temporary shoring after Taiwan Earthquake 1999 (11)



FIGURE 7.5 View of temporary shoring to maintain access after Kobe Earthquake 1995 (27)



FIGURE 7.6 View of temporary shoring to prevent total collapse of bridge (27)



FIGURE 7.7 View of temporary shoring to prevent total collapse of steel bridge (27)



FIGURE 7.8 View of temporary shoring to prevent total collapse of steel bridge (27)



FIGURE 7.9 View of temporary shoring to support RC bridge (15)



FIGURE 7.10 View of temporary shoring to prevent total collapse of bridge (27)



FIGURE 7.11 View of temporary support to prevent total collapse of steel bridge (27)



FIGURE 7.12 View of temporary support to prevent total collapse of steel girder bridge (15)



FIGURE 7.13 View of temporary support to prevent total collapse of steel girder bridge (11)

7.2. Long-Term Monitoring

In many cases, it may be appropriate to monitor the structure rather than conduct a repair. Monitoring may indicate that the structure is not deteriorating beyond its current state. It may also be used to support the assumption that the structure is performing adequately and does not require closure. On the other hand, monitoring may indicate a potential safety problem with the structure and support the need for bridge closure.

The primary measurements that will be of assistance in providing feedback from the structure include deflections, crack widths, and strains. Several simple techniques are presented for each of these measurements. For monitoring a structure following an earthquake, it is important to consider that the simplest measurement method is often the best method.

7.2.1. Deflections

Deflection measurements may be used to determine if the structure is continuing to function without a loss of stiffness. Increasing deflections over time would indicate that the bridge is not adequately performing and is deteriorating. This measurement can provide information regarding whether the structure should remain operational.

Deflections are often one of the most difficult measurements to obtain from a structure. However, a very simple technique illustrated below provides a relatively easy deflection measurement. As shown, a piano wire is stretched across the structure and attached at reference points. In order to measure deflections in the span, it is common for the reference points to be located at the supports. A scale is attached at the point of interest, and deflections can be monitored at this location. This method is inexpensive, does not require significant materials, and is reliable (Figure 7.14).



FIGURE 7.14 Deflection measurement

7.2.2. Cracks

Crack monitoring may be used to determine if damage is continuing to accumulate over time. It may also be used to provide evidence of unstable crack growth that may be an indication of unstable structural damage. There are several simple crack monitoring techniques that may be used:

Plaster Cracks: If it is only required to determine if the cracks are moving, then simply applying plaster or mortar over the cracks is appropriate. Cracking of the plaster indicates that further cracking has occurred.

Crack Comparator: Taking measurement with a crack comparator and recording measurements over time will provide evidence of crack growth (Figure 7.15).

Tape Measure: For some cracks, the widths may be larger than the range provided by the crack comparator. For these cracks, a tape measure may prove suitable.

Avongard: A mechanical movement indicator available from Avongard can be attached to the structure. This indicator provides a direct reading of crack displacement and rotation (Figure 7.16).



FIGURE 7.15. Crack comparator (CTL, Inc.)



FIGURE 7.16. Crack monitor (Avonguard)

7.2.3.Strain

In some instances, it may be helpful to obtain strain measurements. These measurements may provide engineers with information regarding the overall structural performance or performance of specific components that are questionable. The easiest method to obtain strain measurements is by attaching an electrical resistance strain gage. Hand held bridge balancing boxes (Figure 7.17) are available to permit field monitoring without extensive electronic equipment. This

technique would only be applicable for a minimum number of gages at key interest locations. If detailed information over a long-term period is required, gaging of the entire structure may be required. However, extensive gaging lends itself to the use of a computer data acquisition system and complete wiring of the structure.



FIGURE 7.17. Portable strain indicator (Measurements Group, Inc.)

8. EVALUATION EXAMPLES

8.1 Level 1 Examples

8.1.1 Example 1

In this section of the handbook, the Level 1 Bridge Inspection Form is completed based on a series of examples of damaged bridges. In the first example, the highway bridge, Santa Clara River Bridge (Interstate 5, 53-0687, CA) damaged after 1994 Northridge Earthquake, is evaluated (11). The available photos are arranged in the order of a typical inspection routine as described in the Level 1 form.



FIGURE 8.1 View of bridge superstructure after the earthquake (11)



FIGURE 8.2 More damage to the bridge superstructure (11)



FIGURE 8.3 Different views of bridge superstructure (11)

IN Route Din Date and Local Tin Post Earthquake Con	DOT F rection_ me: ndition (APID A	SSESSME from In ge (Please wr	INT BRI tersection	DGE IN n NO or DR1	SPECT	TION REPORT (LEVEL Page: ed Revie w Needed)" for items 1	I) _of	-31	
Bridge Number	l. Collapse / Partial Collapse/ Roadway Closed	 Superstructure Damage Movement, Pounding, Buckling, Cracking, Failure 	 Substructure Damage Shear Key Damage, Local Buckling, Settlement, Tilting, Sliding, Rotation, Cracking, Failure 	 Bearing Damage Failure, Movement, Shearing or pullout of bolts 	 Soil Problems Slope Failure, Soil Liquifaction, Fissure, Differential Settlement 	6. Secondary Structure Damage Wingwalls, Parapets, Pylons	in Other Proh lens Øhserved s in Pipelines or Other Utilities etc.)	AG	DWTAG	N TAG
	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	7. Expla	RED T	VELL	GREE
Bridge No.1	NO	YES								
	5.	3	()		21 23			(4)	2 B	

FIGURE 8.4 The Level 1 form, Bridge Example 1, Steps 1 and 2



FIGURE 8.5 Damage to one of the bridge piers (11)

IN RouteDin Date and Local Tin Post Earthquake Con	DOT F rection_ me: ndition (RAPID A	SSE SSME from In ge (Please wr	INT BRI tersection	DGE IN n	SPECT	TION REPORT I ad Revie w Needed)?	(LEVEL I) Page: of for items 1-6)		-3	
Bridge Number	l. Collap se / Partial Collap se/ Roadway Chsed	 Superstructure Damage Movement, Pounding, Buckling, Cracking, Failure 	 Sub structure Damage She ar Key Damage, Local Buckling, Se tilement, Tilting, Sliding, Rotation, Cracking, Failure 	 Bearing Damage Failure, Movement, Shearing or pullout of bolts 	5. Soil Prohlens Slope Failure, Soil Liquifaction, Fissure, Differential Settlement	6. Secondary Structure Damage Wingwalls, Parapets, Pylons	n Other Problems Observed in Pipelines or Other Utilities etc.)		AG	DW TAG	V TAG
	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	7. Expla (Damage		RED T	VELL (GREE
Bridge No.1	NO	YES	YES		6				8 - 3 9 - 8		

FIGURE 8.6 The Level 1 Form, Bridge Example 1, Step 3



FIGURE 8.7 Damage to the bridge bearings (11)

Date and Local Ti	me: ndition (of the Brid				I (Data il	ad Regiew Needed)" for it		-0	
Bridge Number	1. Collapse / Partial Collapse/ Roadway Closed	 Superstructure Damage Movement, Pounding, Buckling, Cracking, Failure 	3. Substructure Damage She ar Key Damage, Local Buckling, Settlement, Tilting, Sliding, Rotation, Cracking, Failure	4. Bearing Damage Failure , Movement, Shearing or pullout of bolts	 Soil Problems Slope Failure, Soil Liquifiction, Fissure, Differential Settlement 	6. Secondary Structure Damage Wingwalls, Parapets, Pytons	in Other Proh lems Of served in Pipelines or Other Utilities etc.)	UR 1-0)	OW TAG	N TAG
	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	7. Expl	RED	VELL	GREE
Bridge No.1	NO	YES	YES	YES				(a		
					32					

FIGURE 8.8 The level 1 Form, Bridge Example 1, Step 4



FIGURE 8.9 View of substructure and soil of the bridge (11)

IN Danta Di	DOTI	RAPID A	SSESSME	NT BRI	DGE IN	SPECT	TION REPORT (LEVE	L I)		
Date and Local Ti	reculon_ me:		irom in	tersection	n		Page		<u>-</u> 33	
Post Earthquake Co	ndition (of the Brid	ge (Please wr	ite "YES, I	NO or DRI	N (Detaile	ed Review Needed)" for item	s 1-6)		
Bridge Number	1. Collapse/Partial Collapse/ Roadway Closed	 Superstructure Damage Movement, Pounding, Buckling, Cracking, Failure 	 Substructure Damage Sheat Key Damage, Local Buckling, Settlement, Tilting, Sliding, Rotation, Cracking, Failure 	 Bearing Damage Failure, Movement, Shearing or pullout of bolts 	5. Soil Proh lens Slope Failure, Soil Liquífaction, Fissure, Differential Settlement	6. Secondary Structure Damage Wingwalls, Parapets, Pylons	in Other Prohlens Observed 5 in Pipelines or Other Utilities etc.)	AG	W TAG	N TAG
	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	7. Expla (Damag	RED T	VELL	GREE
Bridge No.1	NO	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES				
		1			8			(4	. 8	

FIGURE 8.10 Completed Level 1 Inspection Form for Example Bridge 1

8.1.2 Example 2

The second example is the Parkfield Highway Bridge (Bridge #1309, Parkfield, CA). The bridge was damaged after Parkfield, California Earthquake, June 27-29, 1966. The available pictures are arranged in the order of a typical inspection routine as described in the Level 1 form.



FIGURE 8.11 View of the Parkfield Highway Bridge after the earthquake (11)



FIGURE 8.12 View of the damaged bridge components (11)

B	INDOT I	RAPID A	SSESSME	NT BRI	DGE IN	SPECT	TION REPORT (LEVEL I)			
RouteI	Direction		from In	tersection	n		Page:_1 _of	_ 1 .	-33	
Post Earthquake	Condition	arcn 7, of the Brid	ZUUU 9 ge (Please wr	145 arr ite "YES, 1	NO or DR1	KTICIO M (Detail)	1 HIGNWAY ed Review Needed)" for items 1-6)			
Bridge Number	1. Collapse / Partial Collapse/ Roadway Closed	 Superstructure Damage Movement, Pounding, Buckling, Cracking, Failure 	 Substructure Damage She ar Key Damage, Local Buckling, Settlement, Tilting, Sliding, Rotation, Cracking, Failure 	4. Bearing Damage Failure, Movement, Shearing or pullout of bolts	 Soil Problems Slope Failure, Soil Liquifaction, Fissure, Differential Settlement 	6. Secondary Structure Damage Wingwalls, Parapets, Pylons	in Other Prob lens Observed e in Pipelines or Other Utilities etc.)	.AG	OWTAG	N TAG
	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	7. Expla	RED 1	VELL	GREE
Br. #1309	No	No	Yes	No	No	No			X	
	Sy.							(e)	5 8	

FIGURE 8.13 Completed Level 1 form for Example Bridge 2

8.1.3 Example 3

The Interchange Bridge between I-5 and I-210 (California), was damaged after San Fernando EQ, 1971. The available pictures are arranged in the order of a typical inspection routine as described in the Level 1 form. At the end, particular row in the Level 1 Inspection Form is completed according to the damage scenes of the bridge.



FIGURE 8.14 Superstructure damage of the third example bridge after earthquake (11)



FIGURE 8.15 Substructure damage of the bridge (11)

Route Di	DOT I	RAPID A	SSE SSME		DGE IN	SPECT	TION REPORT (LE)	ÆL I)		
Date and Local Ti	necu off			itersectio:	u		Page	01	<u></u> 33	
Post Earthquake Co	ndition o	of the Brid	ge (Please wr	ite "YES, I	NO or DR1	V (Detaile	ed Review Needed)" for ite	ms 1-6)		
Bridge Number	l. Collapse / Partial Collapse/ Roadway Closed	 Superstructure Damage Movement, Pounding, Buckling, Cracking, Failure 	 Substructure Damage Shear Key Damage, Local Buckling, Settlement, Tilting, Sliding, Rotation, Cracking, Failure 	 Bearing Damage Failure, Movement, Shearing or pullout of bolts 	 Soil Prob lems Slope Failue, Soil Liquifaction, Fissure, Differential Settlement 	6. Secondary Structure Damage Wingwalls, Parapets, Pylons	in Other Proh lens Observed e in Pipelines or Other Utilities etc.)	AG	OWTAG	N TAG
	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	7. Expla	RED 1	VELL	GREE
Int.I5-I210	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	8			
	3	c: 5						(4		

FIGURE 8.16 Completed Level 1 Inspection form for bridge example 3

8.2 Level 2

8.2.1 Example 1

In this section of the handbook, Level 2 Bridge Inspection Form is completed by using a series of examples of damaged bridge photos. As a first example the highway bridge, I5-14 Interchange, CA is chosen. The bridge was damaged after the Northridge Earthquake, 1994 (11). (Figures 8.17-8.18). The available pictures are arranged in the order suggested for a typical Level 2 inspection. The bridge is assumed as yellow tagged after inspection by Level 1 Inspection team, the Level 1 form is shown in Figure 8.19. The completed Level 2 Inspection form is shown following the example illustrations.



FIGURE 8.17 Different views from the superstructure of the bridge (11)



FIGURE 8.18 Different views of damage from the damaged bridge (11)
Route_15_Dir	IDOT F	RAPID A	SSESSMEfrom In	NT BRI	DGE IN	SPECT	TION REPORT (LEVEL I) Page:_1of	1		
Date and Local Ti	me: 1,	/ <mark>28/9</mark> 4	8:20	am j	Intersta	ate 5-l	Northridge			
Post Earthquake Co	ndition o	of the Brid	ge (Please wr	ite "YES, 1	NO or DR1	V (Detaile	ed Review Needed)" for items 1-6)		-	
Bridge Number	l. Collapse / Partial Collapse/ Roadway Closed	 Superstructure Damage Movement, Pounding, Buckling, Cracking, Failure 	 Sub structure Damage She ar Key Damage, Local Buckling, Settlement, Tilting, Sliding, Rotation, Cracking, Failure 	4. Bearing Danage Failure, Movement, Shearing or pullout of bolts	 Soil Prob lems Slope Failure, Soil Liquifaction, Fissure, Differential Settlement 	6. Secondary Structure Damage Wingwalls, Parapets, Pylons	in Other Prob lens Ob served in Pipelines or Other Utilities etc.)	AG	DW TAG	V TAG
	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	7. Explaid (Damage	RED T	XELL(GREEI
53-1620D	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes			X	
53-1626	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			X	
										_

FIGURE 8.19 Completed Level 1 form for the example bridge (53-1620D)



FIGURE 8.20 Completed Level 2 Inspection Form, Bridge Example 1 (53-1620D)

8.2.2 Example 2

The I5-R216 Interchange Bridge (53-1626,CA) was damaged after the Northridge Earthquake, 1994 (11) (Figures 8.21- 8.24). The completed forms (Level 1 and 2) are shown following the example photos those are arranged in order of a typical Level 2 inspection (Figures 8.25-26).



FIGURE 8.21 Different views of the superstructure of the second example bridge (11)



FIGURE 8.22 Different views of the superstructure of the bridge (11)



FIGURE 8.23 Different views of the superstructure of the bridge (11)



FIGURE 8.24 Different views of the second example bridge (11)

Route_15_Di: Date and Local Ti	DOT Frection	RAPID A $\frac{78/94}{28}$	from In	NT BRI tersection	DGE IN	SPECT	TON REPORT (LEVEL I) Page:_1_of	1	-3	
Post Earthquake Co	ndition of	of the Brid	ge (Please wr	ite "YES, I	NO or DR1	I (Detaile	ed Review Needed)" for items 1-6)			
Bridge Number	1. Collapse/Partial Collapse/ Roadway Closed	 Superstructure Damage Movement, Pounding, Buckling, Cracking, Failure 	 Sub structure Damage She ar Key Damage, Local Buckling, Settlement, Tilting, Sliding, Rotation, Cracking, Failure 	 Bearing Damage Failure, Movement, Shearing or pullout of bolts 	5. Soil Problems Slope Failure, Soil Liquifaction, Fissure, Differential Settlement	6. Secondary Structure Damage Wingwalls, Parapets, Pydons	in Other Prob lens Observed e in Pipelines or Other Utilities etc.)	AG	DW TAG	N TAG
	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	YES NO DRN	7. Expla (Damag	RED T	VELL	GREE
53-1620D	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes			X	
53-1626	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No			X	
L	3. S	a ()	ő.		a 3			94 - 33 1	1 - B	-

FIGURE 8.25 Completed Level 2 Inspection Form, Bridge Example 2

INDOT DET.	AILED BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT (LEVEL II)
Route: 15-R126	Date and Local Time: 1/28/94 4:30 PM
Bridge ID: 53-1626	Bridge Location : INTERSTATE 5 - NORTHRIDGE
DAMAGE OBSERVED: SPALL	ING OF COLUMNS
1. ROADWAY/APPROACHES	4. SUPERSTRUCTURE
Not Operational	Reinforced Concrete Slab
Roadway Settlement	Flexural Cracks Shear Cracks
Off Bridge Seat	Connection Failure No Damage AN/A
Movement	Culverts — Elevural Cracks — Shear Cracks — Local Buckling — Connection Failure
🗖 No Damage	□ Metal Pipes Distortion & Deflection □ No Damage ₩ N/A
💢 Other (explain)	Steel Truss Members, Floor Beams, Stringers
	🗖 Local Buckling 🗖 Upper Chord 🗖 Lower Chord 🗖 Diagonals
	🗆 Connection Failure 🗖 No Damage 🧮 N/A
KUADWAT CKACKING	Concrete Arches — Element Carolica — Steer Carolica — Connection Exitem
	□ Flex trai Cracks □ Shear Cracks □ Connection Failure
	Steel/Concrete Girders. Beams
	🗆 Flexural Cracks 🗆 Shear Cracks 🗖 Connection Failure 🗖 Local Buckling
	🙀 No Damage 🗖 N/A
2	
2. DECK	5. SUBSTRUCTURE
Longitudinal Joints Enlarged	Abutments
Expansion Joints Enlarged	🗮 Wall Movement/Rotation 🗖 Pounding Damage 🗖 Wingwall Movement
Wearing Surface Cracking Wearing Surface Spalling	Wingwall Separation Backfill Settlement Foundation Movement
Deck Cracking/Spalling	
Misalignment of Guard Rails, Curbs,	niers – Loint Failure – Moment Failure – Shear Failure – Inadequate Splice Failure
Pavement Lines	Flexural Cracks - Shear Cracks - Local Buckling - Foundation Failure
📕 14 o D am age	No Damage N/A HINGE SPALLING
3. BEARINGS	6. GEOTECHNICAL
Failure of Bearings	🞽 Slope Failure
(Integral, Contact, Rocker,	🗶 Settlement
Elastomeric)	Soil Liquiraction
Shearing or Pullout of Bolts	Other
🙀 No Damage	No Damage
100700 000100P	
COMMENTS FOR REPAIR AT	ND RECOMMENDATIONS:
1. BARRICADE NEEDED	
2. IMMEDIATE SHORE AND BRACE	
3. REPAIR	
3 a. The House Repair Possible	
4. EMERGENCY VEHICLE USE ONLY	
5. MONITORING UNDER SERVICE N	EEDED
6.OTHER (explain)	
Overall Rating For the Bridge	
Nome of the Inspector (a):	KEVIEW MEEDED (IEllow Tag)UNSAFE(Ked Tag):
JOE IN	SPECTOR

FIGURE 8.26 Completed Level 2 Inspection Form, Bridge Example 2

9. REFERENCES

- Seismic Bridge Design Applications, Federal Highway Administration, Report SA-97-018, 1996
- 2. http://www.eas.slu.edu/Earthquake_Center/newmadrid1975-1995.html
- 3. Atkinson, W., "The Next New Madrid Earthquake, A Survival Guide", Southern Illinois University Press, 1989.
- 4. http://www.indstate.edu/gga/recent/indiana.gif
- 5. http://geohazards.cr.usgs.gov/eq/html/ceusmap.shtml
- 6. http://www.hsv.com/genlintr/newmadrd/
- 7. http://wwwneic.cr.usgs.gov/neis/states/indiana/indiana_history.html
- Inventory of Bridges State Highway System of Indiana 1999-2000, Indiana Department of Transportation
- 9. Indiana Department of Transportation, Vincennes District Picture Archive
- 10. http://www.koeri.boun.edu.tr /earthqk/earthqk.html
- http://www.eerc.berkeley.edu/eqiis.html Northridge Collection, Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California, Berkeley.
- 12. The Great Kansai Earthquake, Asahi Graph, Vol. 3794, Asahi Shimbun, February 1995.
- The Earthquake Damage Research Report of Hyougo Ken Nanbu Zisin at 1995, Kajima Corporation, Vol.1, February 1995.
- 14. The January 17, 1995 Kobe Earthquake, An EQE Summary Report, EQE International, 1995
- Investigation Report on Bridge Damage In JiJi Earthquake on September 21, 1999, National Center for Research on Earthquake Engineering, Taiwan, Roc, November 1999.
- Vejvoda, M.F., "Strengthening of Existing Structures with Post-Tensioning," Concrete International, September 1992.
- 17. ACI Committee 224, "Causes, Evaluation, and Repair of Crack in Concr 224.1R-89
- Saiidi, M., "Current Bridge Seismic Retrofit Practice in the United States," Concrete International, December 1992

- Student Manual to Accompany Training Video on Post-earthquake Safety Evaluation of Bridges State of Washington, Wiss Janey Elstner Associates, Inc., WJE No.942730, 1996
- 20. http://pubs.usgs.gov/gip/earthq3/contents.html
- 21. http://pubs.usgs.gov/gip/earthq3/contents.html
- 22. http://pubs.usgs.gov/gip/earthq1/plate.html
- 23. http://www.geo.mtu.edu/UPSeis/where.html
- 24. http://clgray.simplenet.com/paper/appendb.html
- 25. http://www.deprem.gov.tr
- 26. http://www.structures.ucsd.edu/Taiwaneq/index.html
- 27. The January 17, 1995 Hyogoken-Nanbu (Kobe) Earthquake, Performance of Structures, Lifelines, and Fire Protection Systems, National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST Special Publication 901 (ICSSC TR18), July 1996.
- 28. http://adamite.igs.indiana.edu/indgeol/index.htm

APPENDIX

INDOT VINCENNES DISTRICT RESPONSE PROCEDURES FOR MAJOR DISASTERS

MAJOR DISASTER: A major disaster is defined as any incident that could cause extended closure of our highway system. Examples could be localized incidents like fire, winds, tornado, vehicle accidents and spills or non-localized incidents such as floods, ice storms, blizzards, nuclear incidents or earthquakes (Intensity > 5.0 Magnitude). All INDOT personnel should participate in appropriate disaster training and following a perceived disaster (and phones do not work) report to their designated reporting station or the closest Unit to their home.

DISTRICT RESPONSE: As soon as possible following a major disaster incident, the Vincennes District will open their District Emergency Operations Center (DEOC). Communications will be established between affected subdistricts and central office. The Vincennes Emergency Operations Center will be located in the new District Office building on US 41 just south of Vincennes. The District presently has a 24-hour switchboard attendant. The DEOC will be staffed by select department heads and designated staff.

SUBDISTRICT RESPONSE: As soon as possible following a major disaster, each affected Subdistrict will open their Subdistrict Emergency Operations Center. Communications by phone and radio will be established with all affected Subdistrict units and the Vincennes District. Subdistricts should establish procedures to contact those people needed to perform disaster activities including a system of notification if telephones are not working. The Subdistrict EOC will be staffed by designated Subdistrict personnel with help from the other departments. If a Subdistrict is not operational, an adjacent Subdistrict will take over as the Subdistrict EOC.

UNIT RESPONSE: As soon as possible following a major disaster, each affected Unit will open their facility, establish communications by phone and radio and start Level 1 Inspections of all Unit Primary Routes (Most units have 2 to 3 Primary Routes). Designated personnel from other departments (such as construction) are to be assigned to the closest unit to assist that unit or personnel may be sent between units as the need is identified.

UNIT LEVEL 1 INPECTIONS: Each Unit involved in the disaster will be responsible for the Level 1 Inspection of the Units Primary Routes if the respective disaster warrants. Assigned Unit personnel (normally two people per route) will pick up an Inspection Kit from their disaster cabinet and inspect their assigned primary route reporting back the condition of the roadway and all bridges on that route. Primary routes are road sections needed for access to critical areas such as cities, hospitals, schools, industries, adjacent States. Once primary routes are inspected the supervisor will determine if the secondary routes should be inspected. The Level1 Inspection will be a visual assessment with short stops to inspect all bridges. It is important that Level 1 Inspections should be completed as quickly and accurately as possible so that a quick assessment of the disaster can be made. Only interrupt your Level 1 Inspection to assist with a life-threatening situation.

DURING THE LEVEL 1 INSPECTION:

- Minor roadway deficiencies should be recorded on the Level 1 Inspection Form including pavement damage, earth embankment failures, road obstructions and failure of traffic control devices. Any roadway damage requiring the dosing of the roadway should be relayed back to the Unit / Subdsitrict / District office immediately. Each inspection crew will carry a set of Road Closure Signs to be used in such an event.
- 2. All bridges are to be inspected using the Level 1 Inspection Form with bridges identified. Approach all bridges with caution and never walk directly under a bridge following an earthquake. Do not cross the bridge without first sighting down the curb/rail line and checking the underside for structural damage. Do not cross the bridge if significant problems are observed. Close the bridge by placing Road Closed Signs on each approach and place a Red Ribbon with time, date and your initials on the bridge signpost. Use the provided State/County Map to find a route around closure. If the bridge is passable but repairs are needed place a Yellow Ribbon or place a Green Ribbon if no problems are found. Place ribbons on bridge sign post just under the sign and remember to time/date/initial for possible follow up inspections. Record all observations and proceed to the next structure. Level 1

Inspection Training for unit personnel will be done in house by District personnel using materials provided by Purdue University.

REQUIRED MATERIALS FOR EACH LEVEL 1 INSPECTION CREW: All noted naterials below must be kept in the units Disaster Signal Box located in the yard of each unit.

- 1. The Units A, B, C,.... Primary Route Maps with detour county maps and state maps and inspection procedures (in box).
- 2. Red Ribbon to close, Yellow Ribbon to identify open but repairs needed and Green Ribbon to denote undamaged with color wording on ribbon (in box).
- 3. A tablet with waterproof markers, pen, pencil (in box).
- 4. Load one set (2 signs) of "Road Closed" signs with B flashers and stands.
- 5. Load shovel/barrels/cones/traffic control paddles (from unit) in truck.
- 6. Flashlight, fire extinguisher, hard hats, vests, first aid kit and personal items should go with each radio equipped Level 1 Inspection truck.

LEVEL 2 INSPECTION TEAM: Each unit reporting roadway or bridge damage requiring Closure (red) or Damage (Yellow) will report it back to the Unit/Subdistrict/District who will assign a Level 2 Inspection team to do more in-depth inspection of the damage. The Level 2 Inspection team will be a minimum two-person team made up of at least one trained professional engineer (CE) or experienced project supervisors (EAS). A list of Level 2 trained personnel assigned to each Subdistrict/Unit will be kept on file. Level 2 personnel will be formally trained using materials provided by Purdue University. Unless assigned otherwise each CE & EAS is to report to the closest unit to their home.

LEVEL 3 INSPECTION TEAM: If additional inspection is needed it will be initiated by CO using in-house design personnel or consultants.

COMMUNICATIONS: It is likely to be a major problem in any disaster. INDOT will prepare for the loss of phones and radio towers by setting up a mobile to mobile system by strategically locating 100 watt radio equipped maintenance vehicles to relay to any part of the district. A letter identifying 100-watt vehicles and a map where vehicles are to be located shall be kept in each disaster kit at the Subdistrict. Traffic will make operational a boom truck that will have an antenna capable of working as a temporary tower. All subdistricts will maintain their emergency power generations and wiring will be done at units to allow the connection of a portable generator for emergency power. During any such disaster minimize your use of the radio and phone to only critical information such as road closures.

MAJOR DISASTER PROCEDURES FOR UNITS:

The first person to arrive at the Unit should gain access and then:

- Turn off the incoming gas if the Unit building has been damaged or if gas is smelled (earthquake/tornado). Do not turn on lights prior to checking for leaking gas. The gas valve with wrench is located _______.
 Also, if electricity is damaged, you may want to disconnect the breaker or shut off water if a leak is discovered. Units should label all critical gas and water valves. If no key is available access may have to be gained by cutting/breaking locks.
- 2. Establish communications with the Subdistrict by telephone and radio and start the Unit Communications Log, always have someone assigned to monitor communications. If a phone connection is made with the Sub you may want to leave it open and not hang up to maintain an open line. Each unit shall id vehicles with 100-watt radios and assigns them to relay locations such as the unit and strategic hilltops if towers are down.
- 3. Unlock all doors, locate vehicle keys and start emergency generator, if available and as needed. Extra vehicle keys may need to be stored in the Units Disaster Signal Box if your unit building is likely to be affected (older brick buildings). A complete set of backup vehicle/facility keys should be kept at the Subdistrict.
- 4. As additional personnel report to the Unit, they should immediately start the Units Primary Route Level 1 Inspections unless directed otherwise by the Subdistrict. You should assign two maintenance workers to each primary route. All materials for the inspection should be available in the Disaster Signal Cabinets or unit.
 - A. Sign the route assignment sheet and pick up the primary "A" route kit. Later workers will pick up routes B or C until all routes are being inspected.

- B. Each Inspection Kit will include a primary route map with detour state and county maps and inspection procedure, Red Yellow and Green Ribbon, tablet, waterproof marker, pen and pencil.
- C. Load your radio-equipped truck with 2 road-closed signs, B flashers and stands, shovel, barrels, cones and traffic control paddles.
- D. Vehicle should already contain flashlight, fire extinguisher, hard hats, vests, first aid kit and personal items such as food, water and clothing.
- E. Driver should read instructions and begin the Primary Route Level 1 Inspection.
- F. When the Primary Route Inspection is completed and you have returned to the Unit, sign in on the route assignment sheet and report to your supervisor.
- G. If all routes have been assigned for inspection additional personnel who arrive should prepare equipment for possible use.

DISASTER ROAD/BRIDGE CLOSURE PROCEDURE

INDOT has a formal procedure for the planned closing of a road or bridge that includes preclosure notification to the public (through the media & signs), marking a detour/approach and signing the actual barricade closure. In a major disaster, this procedure will be impossible to follow but INDOT has a responsibility to take all reasonable actions to notify and protect the public as soon as the need for a road closure is known. To that end each unit shall maintain a minimum of one set of "Road closure" signs with type B flashers and sign supports for each primary disaster route in their unit. The Level 1 inspectors shall load one Road Closure setup (2 signs) onto their truck prior to starting their inspection. If the need for a closure is encountered during the inspection, the signs will be put on each approach and the Unit/Subdistrict will be immediately notified by radio so that the approach signing, barricading and a detour can be placed in a timely manner by follow up personnel. Once the sign is placed the Level 1 inspectors shall continue the inspection on their primary route using the state and county maps to find a way around the closure. If additional closures are encountered that information is to be relayed back to the Unit/Subdistrict for assistance. One inspector may have to remain at the closure until relieved if no signing or other traffic control is available (try to use local law enforcement if available). The Sub is complete State Form 1866 to notify other agencies of the emergency

closure. Remember that the Level 1 inspection must be done as quickly and accurately as possible so a determination of the extent of damage can be made and repairs started.



FIGURE A.1 Types of Road Closure sign and dimensions



FIGURE A.2 The pictures of Road Closure sign, bridge signpost and emergency cabinet



FIGURE A.3 The map of primary routes in Vincennes District

EARTHQUAKE PREPAREDNESS

BEFORE

Develop a family earthquake plan. Prepare yourself, your family and your home by completing the activities on this checklist.

• Decide how and where your family will reunite if separated.

• Choose an out-of-state friend or relative that separated family members can call after the quake to report their whereabouts and condition.

• Know the safe spots in each room: under sturdy tables, desks, against inside walls.

• Know the danger spots: windows, mirrors, hanging objects, fireplaces, bookcases, tall and unsecured furniture.

• Conduct practice drills. Physically place yourself in safe locations.

• Learn first aid and CPR (cardiopulmonary resuscitation) from your local Red Cross chapter or other community organizations.

• Keep a list of emergency phone numbers.

• Learn how to shut off gas, water and electricity in the case the lines are damaged. (Safety note: Do not attempt to relight gas pilot)

• Secure water heater and appliances that could move enough to rupture lines.

• Secure heavy furniture, hanging plants, heavy pictures or mirrors.

• Keep flammable or hazardous liquids in cabinets or on lower shelves. Put latches on cabinet doors to keep them closed during shaking.

• Maintain emergency food, water and other supplies, including a flashlight, a portable battery-operated radio, extra batteries, medicines, first aid kit and clothing (for 3 day long).

DURING

If <u>indoors</u>, stay there, take cover under a table, desk, or other sturdy furniture:

• Face away from windows and glass doors.

• Doorways without doors are OK also.

• Lay, kneel, or sit near a structurally sound interior wall or corner away from windows, brick fireplaces, glass walls.

• Protect your head and body from falling or flying objects.

• Remain until shaking stops. Think out your plan of action first, and then move.

• Know exit routes if in commercial building. Take cover, don't move till shaking stops.

If <u>outside</u>, get into an open area away from trees, buildings, walls and power lines:

• Lie down or crouch low to maintain balance.

• Get to best available shelter if there not open area available.

If <u>driving</u>, stop safely as soon as possible. Stay inside until the shaking stops:

• Do not stop under overpasses or bridges.

• Stay below window level in your car.

• Turn off engine.

• Turn on radio. Fellow emergency instructions.

• Stay in vehicle if downed power lines have fallen across it. You are insulated by the tires. Wait for help. You might be able to back away from lines.

• If you have to leave your vehicle, move to open area quickly.

AFTER

Check for injuries. Apply first aid. Do not move seriously injured individuals unless they are in immediate danger.

Do not use the telephone immediately unless there is a serious injury or fire.

• Check utilities (water, gas, electric). If there is damage turn utility off at the source.

• Check for other hazards and control them (fire, chemical spill, toxic fumes and precarious collapse).

• Check building for cracks and damage, including roof, chimneys, and foundation.

• Check food and water supplies.

• Emergency water can be obtained from water heaters, melted ice cubes, canned vegetables, and toilet tanks.

• Never use matches, lighters or candles inside.

• Turn on radio and listen for emergency

broadcasts/announcements, news reports, and instructions. Cooperate with public safety officials.

• Do not use your vehicle unless there is an emergency. Keep the streets clear for emergency vehicles.

• If buildings are suspect, set up your shelter area away from damage.

• Work together with your neighbors for a quicker recovery. Stay calm and lend a hand to others.

• Be prepared for after shocks.

• Plan for evacuation in case events make this necessary. Leave written messages for other family members or searchers.

• Use gloves, wear heavy shoes, have adequate and appropriate clothing available.

• Contact to your work site and report

INDOT RAPID ASSESSMENT BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT (LEVEL I)

Route_____

__ Direction_____from Intersection_____

Page:____of _____

Date and Local Time:

Bridge Jartial Collapse/ Set collapse/ Set Closed Classe/ Set C	 Superstructure Damage Movement, Pounding, Buckling, Cracking, Failure Substructure Damage Shear Key Damage, Local Buckling, Settlement, Tilting, Sliding, Rotation, Cracking, Failure 	uring Damage 2, Movement, Shearing or tt of bolts I Problems Failure. Soil Liquefaction.	Differential Settlement Jary Structure Damage IIs, Parapets, Pylons	tems Observed or Other Utilities etc.)			
	YESYESNONODRNDRN	A Constant of the second secon	A Concernet I A	7. Explain Other Probl (Damage in Pipelines (Damage in Pipelines	RED TAG	YELLOW TAG	GREEN TAG
Roadway Problems Encount	tered and Commen	its:					

INDOT DETAILED BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT (LEVEL II)

Route:	Date and Local Time:					
Bridge ID:	Bridge Location :					
DAMAGE OBSERVED:						
1. ROADWAY/APPROACHES	4. SUPERSTRUCTURE					
 Not Operational Roadway Settlement Off Bridge Seat 	Reinforced Concrete Slab □ Flexural Cracks □ Shear Cracks □ Connection Failure □ No Damage □ N/A					
 Excessive Transversal Movement No Damage Other (explain) 	Culverts □ Flexural Cracks □ Shear Cracks □ Local Buckling □ Connection Failure □ Metal Pipes Distortion & Deflection □ No Damage □ N/A					
	Steel Truss Members, Floor Beams, Stringers □ Local Buckling □ Upper Chord □ Lower Chord □ Diagonals □ Connection Failure □ No Damage □ N/A					
	Concrete Arches □ Flexural Cracks □ Shear Cracks □ Connection Failure □ Spandrel Wall Cracking/Collapse □ No Damage □ N/A					
	Steel/Concrete Girders, Beams □ Flexural Cracks □ Shear Cracks □ Connection Failure □ Local Buckling □ No Damage □ N/A					
2. DECK	5. SUBSTRUCTURE					
 Longitudinal Joints Enlarged Expansion Joints Enlarged Wearing Surface Cracking Wearing Surface Spalling Deck Cracking/Spalling Misalignment of Guard Rails, Curbs, 	Abutments Wall Movement/Rotation Pounding Damage Wing wall Movement Wing wall Separation Backfill Settlement Foundation Movement Abutment Pile Damage Cracking on the Walls No Damage N/A Piers Ioint Failure Moment Failure Shear Failure Inadequate Splice Failure					
Pavement Lines □ No Damage	□ Joint Fanure □ Moment Fanure □ Shear Fanure □ Inadequate Spice Fanure □ Flexural Cracks □ Shear Cracks □ Local Buckling □ Foundation Failure □ No Damage □ N/A					
3. BEARINGS	6. GEOTECHNICAL					
 Failure of Bearings (Integral, Contact, Rocker, Elastomeric) Movement of Bearings Shearing or Pullout of Bolts No Damage 	 Slope Failure Settlement Soil Liquefaction Fault Movement Other No Damage N/A 					
COMMENTS FOR REPAIR AN 1. BARRICADE NEEDED 2. IMMEDIATE SHORE AND BRACE 3. REPAIR 3a. In-House Repair Possible 3b. Outside Contractor Needed 4. EMERGENCY VEHICLE USE ONLY 5. MONITORING UNDER SERVICE NEED 6. OTHER (explain)	ED					

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE WORKSHOP OF POST-EARTHQUAKE SAFETY EVALUATION OF BRIDGES AND ROADS IN THE STATE OF INDIANA

We appreciate your effort in completing all items on this evaluation form. Your comments and constructive criticisms will be carefully studied and will be used to improve the workshop material for future presentations to the other INDOT personnel. Please mark the box that you feel best indicates the quality and effectiveness of the item being evaluated.

THE RATING ABOUT WORKSHOP CONTENT, MATERIAL, PRESENTATION.	NOT APPLICABLE	VERY POOR	POOR	AVERAGE	GOOD	
1. Were the objectives of the workshop clearly defined and accomplished?						
Comments:						
2. Do you believe that subjects were covered adequately in the allocated time?						
Comments:						
3. Did the presentations have the right combination of theory and practice?						
Comments:						
4. Was the use of the handbook in the classroom effective?						
Comments:						
5. Will the workshop material serve as a useful reference for you in the future?						
Comments:						•
6. What is your opinion about the workshop examples and exercises?						
Comments:						
7. Were the instructors able to convey the objectives of the workshop properly?						
Comments:						
8. Were the participants of the workshop given adequate opportunity to ask questions and get the satisfactory answers?						
Comments:						
9. Was the instruction well organized?						
Comments:						•
10. What is your overall assessment about this workshop?						
Comments:		·	·	·		·
11. Do you feel yourself ready to go out and inspect the bridges?						
Comments:						