

Utility Coordination on LPA Project

Natalie Parks, Utility & Railroad Coordinator

Eric Farny, Project Manager

March 10, 2015



What to Expect

- Interactive ask questions as we go
- General background information
 - utility coordination process
 - who is involved
 - importance
- Real world examples and success stories

What's the Big Deal?

- Utility relocation is a costly part of a project
- Successful utility coordination minimizes
 - relocation efforts
 - surprises
 - delays
 - Bottom line **COSTS**



Why?

- Minimal INDOT involvement
- Majority of work falls on the Designer
- Understand
 - basics of the coordination process
 - changes to the process that effect the bottom line
 - responsibilities of ERC



Answering the Questions

- Why this process?
- What is the process?
- Who is involved?
- What is the expected time line?
- What about reimbursements?
- Why post-letting services?
- What deliverables should I expect?
- How does this affect my bottom line?



Why this process?

- Constant communication
- Brings the utility on as a **partner**
- Looks at risks and constructability
- Reduces unknowns in the field
- "Cradle to Grave"
- "Everyone knows where everyone goes"

What's the Process

- 105 IAC 13 Process
 - Initial Notice
 - Verification Plans
 - Preliminary Plans
 - Preliminary Final Plans
 - Utility Coordination Certification
 - Post-Letting Follow Up
- Agreements



Section 1 – Initiation Phase

- Obtain all pertinent project information
- Prepare risk evaluations

Section 2 – Research Phase

- Determine facilities potentially involved
- Prepare spreadsheet



Section 3 – Initial Notice

- Send letters w/ aerial to each utility
- Request copies of maps, easement documents
- Record responses
- Contact via phone all utilities
- Review risk evaluation report



Section 4 – Verification Phase

- Send letters and plans to each utility
- Record responses
- Coordinate with Project Manager to update plans
- Revise Plans
- Begin looking at R/W, Constructability issues
- Begin looking at reimbursement situations
- Hold Early Utility Coordination meeting



- Section 5 Conflict Analysis
 - Send preliminary plans to each utility
 - Conduct internal conflict analysis review
 - Record and Review responses from utilities
 - Review R/W needs
 - Review design alternatives
 - Discuss need for potholing/additional survey
 - Conduct Preliminary Field Check Meeting
 - Review risk evaluation report



Section 6 – Work Plans Phase

- Send preliminary final plans to each utility
- Record responses
- Conduct quality control review of each utility's plans
- Comprehensive review of all utility relocation plans
- Prepare overall utility relocation plan
- Prepare Gantt chart of all relocation plans
- Constructability review of relocation plans
- Review Risk Analysis report
- Assist in determining project time set
- Hold Utility Coordination review meeting with utilities



- Section 7 Agreement Phase
 - Review basis for reimbursement
 - Prepare agreements and exhibits
 - Coordinate execution of agreements
 - Coordinate funding with INDOT District

Section 8 – Utility Construction Phase

- Prepare and send Notice of Plan Approval
- Prepare and send Notice to Proceed
- Attend final field check meeting, if held
- Review risk analysis report
- Constructability Review
- Attend Pre-Con
- Stay on-point through construction
- Complete Buy America Certification

Who is involved in this process?

- 1. Certified Utility Coordinator
- 2. Project Manager
- 3. Utilities
- 4. LPA ERC
- 5. INDOT

1. Certified Utility Coordinators

- Why use a certified coordinator?
 - Trained by INDOT (Certified)
 - Understands
 - importance
 - impacts
 - the process and paradigm
 - Experience





1. Certified Utility Coordinators

- What to expect
 - Develop utility coordination deliverables
 - Works directly with utilities
 - Works directly with design team
 - Analyzes risks
 - Review of information provided
 - Provides ongoing coordination until completion

2. Project Manager

- Main point of contact
- Works with utility coordinator
 - project budget
 - right-of-way limits
 - schedule
- Reviews proposed design changes
- Communicates significant issues

3. Utilities

- Are **NOT** the enemy
- Provides facility information
- Attends utility coordination meetings
- Reviews project plans
- Offers suggestions to alleviate relocation

4. LPA ERC

- Provides guidance on overall design
- Authorizes
 - changes that affect budget
 - SUE work
 - utility work-in-contract
- Signs
 - agreements between LPA & Utility
 - Notice of Plan Approval/Notice to Proceed
- Remits payment to a reimbursable utility

4. LPA ERC

- Work with project manager and utility coordinator
 - Risk analysis of involved utilities
 - Critical milestones
 - Target dates
- Determine use of advanced clearing & staking
- Works with design team on practical design

5. INDOT

- Project Manager
 - Oversees overall project
 - Oversees project budget
 - Oversees schedule
- Utility Coordinator
 - Direct involvement when utility is reimbursable
 - Can be involved if there are unresolved issues



| INDOT's new paradigm requires early utility involvement, which enables better cooperation, right- of-way planning, and designs, while fostering partnership between de- signers and utility companies. | DESIGN | UTILITY COORDINATION |
|---|--|--|
| | | INITIAL NOTICE |
| | TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY | » Obtain existing maps » Obtain easement information » Evaluate risks |
| | » Evaluate Risks | |
| | TOPO PLANS | VERIFICATION PLANS |
| | STAGE I PLANS 30% | » Early coordination meeting with utilities |
| | » Revise utility information » Early coordination meeting » Evaluate risks | » Verity existing information » Discuss need for SUE » Evaluate risks » Determine reimbursable utilities |
| PIGHT OF WAY | PRELIMINARY FIELD CHECK | PRELIMINARY PLANS |
| » Establish ROW needs with utilities » Discuss assisting in ROW acquisition | » Preliminary field check meeting with utilities » Review ROW needs » Review design alternatives | » Preliminary field check meeting with utilities » Identify sig conflicts » Evaluate risks » Schedule SUE » Begin 3D analysis |
| | STAGE II PLANS 60%-80% | PRELIMINARY FINAL PLANS |
| NEPA | » Finalize design changes » Final utility constructability review » Final preconstruction utility coordination meeting | » Finalize design changes » Final constructability review » Begin agreements » Final preconstruction utility coordination meeting |
| | STAGE III PLANS 90% | WORK PLAN |
| | » Finalize 3D analysis » Verify conflicts remediated | » Complete overall relocation plan » Gantt chart |
| | TRACINGS | TRACINGS |
| | » Establish time set | » Establish time set |
| | CONSTRUCTION | |
| | » On point throughout utility relocation | |



- Critical points!
 - Project Onset
 - Stage 3
- Develop Schedule in two phases:
 a. Project onset to Preliminary Plans
 b. Stage 3 to Preliminary Final Plans
 - b. Stage 3 to Preliminary Final Plans

- a. Project Onset to Preliminary Plans
 - Utility research
 - Initial Notice (*before* survey begins)
 - Verification Plans approx. 45 days after initial notice
 - Early Coordination Meeting
 - Preliminary Plans 45 days after Verification
 Plans
 - PFC

- Major design and conflict decisions made between Preliminary Plans & Preliminary Final Plans
- R/W set
- Determination of SUE needs
- Determination of Reimbursable utilities

- b. From Stage 3 back to PFP
 - Utility certification is due
 - Send PFP between 6 and 8 months before
 Stage 3
 - Allows for delinquent utility responses
 - Allows for necessary design changes
 - Allows for agreement processing

For a <u>2-year</u> design life... ...utility coordination will take approximately <u>18 months</u>





- Utilities are reimbursable when
 - own an exclusive property interest
 - municipally owned utility on same municipalities right-of-way
- Utilities are not reimbursable if
 - located within public right-of-way
 - in a general utility/drainage easement
 - not in right-of-way owned by the same municipality
 - performing maintenance or an upgrade



- Agreements
 - Reimbursable agreement
 - Work-in-contract Reimbursable agreement
 - Work-in-contract Non-reimbursable agreement
 - Subordination agreement
- Relocation drawings & estimate
- Agreement is between LPA & Utility



- Agreement signed by ERC
- Agreement processed by coordinator
 - Sent to utility for signature
 - Sent to LPA for signature
 - Sends executed agreement to INDOT for PO & FMIS approval
 - Sends copy of agreement & NTP to utility

- During Construction the Coordinator
 - Issues NTP
 - Communicates with utility regarding work start and end
 - Issues Work Complete letter
 - Issues Request Final Bill letter

- Invoicing
 - Utility sends LPA an invoice once work is complete
 - <u>Utility should copy coordinator</u>
 - Coordinator reviews invoice and provides feedback to LPA
 - LPA pays Utility
 - LPA requests reimbursement from INDOT
- Buy America Certification



Cradle to Grave

- **<u>REQUIRED</u>** by INDOT design manual
- Coordination <u>starts</u> at project onset
- Coordination <u>ends</u> when last utility has completed relocation efforts





Cradle to Grave

- Includes
 - all pre-design coordination
 - pre-construction meeting
 - on-site meetings, progress meetings
 - in-field conflict resolution
 - final agreement paperwork
- Should be included in initial fee for utility coordination

Deliverables

- Comprehensive list of involved utilities
- Risk analysis report
- Memo describing utility conflicts
- Relocation drawings & work plans from each utility
- Master utility relocation plan
- Gantt chart showing project timeline
Bottom Line

- 1. Partnering with utilities helps to know where everyone is
- 2. Partnering with utilities helps to know where everyone goes
- 3. It avoids conflicts...
- 4. ...which saves time...
- 5. ...which saves money!



Real World Examples



- Fictitious project
- Highlights
 - some major utility issues
 - use of practical design
 - partnering with utilities
 - major steps from cradle to grave

- Existing conditions:
 - 2 lane roadway
 - At grade railroad crossing
 - Drainage via curb and gutter and ditches
 - -40' R/W (20' of centerline)
 - Wetland adjacent to roadway

- Existing Known Utilities
 - Liquid fuel pipeline crossing
 - Natural gas
 - Telephone
 - Overhead transmission and distribution electric
 - Cable
 - Fiber
 - Municipal water and sanitary

- Proposed Design
 - Reconstruction & Widening
 - New curb & gutter throughout
 - New bridge over railroad
 - New pedestrian path & sidewalk

- Project needs
 - Assess best location for new roadway alignment
 - R/W acquisition
 - Utility Coordination
 - Environmental

- Project kick-off meeting
 - Discuss scope
 - Discuss schedule
 - Discuss obstacles
 - Discuss project approach

Initial Notice



- Initial Notice & Research
 - Design Ticket
 - Existing projects
 - Permits
- Send out initial notice with aerial
- Follow up with phone call

Verification Plans



- Determine project schedule
- Determine project deliverables
- Review list of utilities and existing maps
 - Discuss red flags with project manager
 - Reach out to utilities that may have property interest
 - Determine need for SUE

- Verification Plans
 - Review survey plans
 - Compare with utility information
- Hold Early Coordination Utility Meeting
 - Discuss potential major conflicts
 - Discuss reimbursement needs
 - Determine use of SUE
 - Review R/W Needs

Preliminary Plans



- Preliminary Plans
 - Set preliminary alignment
 - Review utility impacts & risk assessment
 - Send plans to utilities



- Preliminary Field Check
 - Conflict points with utilities
 - R/W needs
 - Points for SUE
 - Project goals for working with utilities
 - Practical Design
 - Utility responsibilities
 - Begin RR Coordination



- R/W Acquisition
 - Determined that there is not 40' R/W throughout
 - No curb & gutter = R/W at edge of pavement
 - Discuss utility R/W needs
 - Determine necessity for new and/or replacement easements

- Environmental
 - Wetland extends farther than originally thought
 - R/W impacts to Environmental





- Utility Information
 - Transmission Electric
 - Located on exclusive easement
 - Proposed alignment requires relocation
 - Relocation cost = \$1 million
 - Poles take 52 weeks to order/receive

- Utility Information
 - Liquid Fuel Pipeline
 - Crosses project diagonally
 - Crosses perpendicular to railroad
 - Exclusive easement
 - No work/structures within 25'
 - Cost of lowering/relocation = \$2.5 million





- Utility Information
 - Distribution Electric



- Underbuild on transmission electric poles
- Transfers to own poles
- Exclusive easement includes some poles
- Underbuilds located on poles
- Relocation of underbuild on transmision poles included in reimbursement
- Relocation of poles on easement = \$75,000
- Relocation of poles not on easement = \$150,000



- Utility Information
 - Telephone
 - Underground manholes & conduits
 - Copper and fiber facilities
 - Service lines & pedestals
 - Not on easement
 - Cost to relocate = \$750,000
 - Time to relocate > 180 days
 - Crosses under roadway





- Utility Information
 - Cable
 - Underbuild on electric poles
 - Relocation cost = \$50,000
 - Relocation time = 30 days
 - Fiber
 - Underbuild on electric poles
 - Relocation cost = \$20,000
 - Relocation time = 15 days



- Utility Information
 - Long line Fiber
 - Located on Railroad right-of-way
 - Reimbursable utility
 - Relocation cost = \$10,000
 - Relocation time = 7 days



- Utility Information
 - Natural Gas
 - Parallels roadway along existing south r/w line



- Entirely within roadway right-of-way
- 6" main with services
- Crosses under the railroad
- Relocation cost = \$200,000
- Relocation time = 30 days



- Utility Information
 - Municipal Utilities (Water main)
 - Back of curb on north side
 - Hydrants and valves
 - Older line
 - Relocation costs = \$750,000
 - Relocation time = 3 months

- Utility Information
 - Municipal Utilities (Sanitary)
 - Gravity lines in existing pavement
 - Utility thinks around 10' deep
 - Goes under the railroad



- Utility Information
 - Railroad Utilities
 - Overhead electric line parallels railroad
 - Owned and operated by railroad
 - Fiber communications line parallels roadway
 - All utility conflicts with railroad owned utilities are reimbursable

- Utility Information
 - Conflict analysis review
 - Significant critical points
 - Review critical points with LPA
 - Determine SUE
 - Communicate with utility on depth needs
 - Risk Analysis assessment
 - Schedule assessment



- Utility Information
 - Design Phase
 - Practical Design
 - Work with utilities to find solutions
 - Critical Elements
 - Railroad R/W
 - MSE walls for overhead structure
 - Wetland location/boundaries
 - Electric Easement location/boundaries



Preliminary Final Plans / Work Plans



- Utility Information
 - Preliminary final plans to utilities
 - Work plans received (approx. 2 months to stage 3)

- Work plans
 - Transmission Electric line
 - Relocation costs and times as expected
 - Requested shift of alignment ~5' to avoid completely
 - 52 week lead time on some materials





- Work plans
 - Liquid Fuel line
 - Location of MSE walls requires full relocation
 - Relocation costs = \$5 million
 - include new easement and new pipeline alignment
 - Relocation time exceeds 6 months
 - Suggest spanning line with bridge
 - Materials have 2 month lead time

- Work plans
 - Distribution Electric
 - Due to wetland location & alignment relocation of poles necessary
 - Submitted estimate of \$300,000
 - Claiming prescriptive rights on poles located outside of edge of pavement and easement
 - Will require a replacement easement
 - Crosses over railroad which will require raising the line and a railroad permit



- Work plans
 - Telephone
 - SUE information shows depths are generally okay with a few exceptions
 - Due to location of high points, significant relocation necessary for fiber line
 - Critical vault located at beginning of project; relocating would be 180 days
 - Submitted cost estimate of \$300,000
 - Will require a RR permit if relocating


- Work plans
 - Longline fiber & Railroad Utilities
 - Longline fiber okay based on location of bridge MSE walls outside the RR R/W
 - Railroad fiber line okay
 - Possible clearance issue with overhead RR
 electric lines

- Work plans
 - Natural Gas
 - Relocation unavoidable due to existing depth of line
 - Relocation unavoidable due to MSE walls and fill
 - RR permit required





- Work plans
 - Municipal Utilities
 - Water line relocation necessary around bridge
 - Hydrants and valves relocated throughout project
 - Sanitary line relocation necessary around bridge
 - RR permits required





- Relocation Plan & Constructability Review
 - Problem 1: Gas line and proposed water line going in the same location; limited R/W availability
 - Problem 2: Overhead lines okay for roadway but could cause an issue with pile driving

- Relocation Plan & Constructability Review
 - Problem 3: telephone line relatively shallow in a few locations
 - Problem 4: cable and fiber companies unresponsive
 - Problem 5: reimbursable utilities exceed expected

- Design Alternatives
 - Realign roadway to avoid transmission lines & easement
 - Re-design cost = \$20,000
 - Cost savings of \$980,000
 - Time savings of 52 weeks (1 year)
 - Lengthen bridge to span over liquid fuel line
 - Spans over RR electric at required clearance
 - Re-design & cost of longer bridge = \$200,000
 - Cost savings of \$4.5 million



- Design Alternatives
 - Telephone
 - Determined the vault could be saved if storm sewer pipe relocated
 - » Re-design of storm sewer would cost \$10,000
 - » Utility willing to pay for re-design?
 - Shallow areas can be encased in concrete
 - Utility willing to allow Contractor to provide concrete encasement
 - » Encasement estimate \$10,000
 - » Utility willing to pay \$10,000



- Design Alternatives
 - Municipal Water & Sanitary
 - Relocations deemed necessary
 - Will be done as a work-in-contract agreement
 - Natural Gas
 - Relocated water line to avoid gas line
 - Gas line must be bored under railroad



- Utility Information
 - Agreements
 - Reimbursable agreement necessary for Electric line relocation within easement
 - Work-in-Contract reimbursable agreement necessary for Water and Sanitary sewer relocation
 - Work-in-Contract non-reimbursable agreement
 necessary for telephone encasement
 - Prescriptive rights claims require Quiet Title filed in court



- Utility Coordination Review Meeting
 - Reviewed time requirements
 - clearing/staking done in advance could save time?
 - R/W includes new easement acquisition for electric
 - Reviewed Construction phasing
 - Quick field trip to determine clearing needs
 - Identified evidence of new fiber installation
 - Identified trees that need cleared in advance of letting so utilities could begin relocation



- Coordination with new fiber line begins
- Issued work plan approval to utilities
 - RR permitting
 - Material ordering

- Final Deliverables
 - Master utility relocation plan distributed
 - "Everyone knows where everyone goes"
 - Gantt chart
 - 30 month construction if Contractor does clearing and staking for utilities
 - Could save up to 6 months if clearing/staking done once R/W is cleared
 - Finalized work plans



Tracings



- LPA agrees to perform clearing & staking
 - Notice to Proceed issued to utilities
 - Gantt chart updated
 - Not all utilities can complete relocation prior to Letting
 - RR Permitting issues
 - Contractor work necessary



- Project letting successful
- Pre-Con Held
 - Utility schedules updated
 - Utility relocation dependent on Contractor discussed and coordinated
- Cradle to grave coordination
 - Coordination continues through relocation complete

Construction



- Construction
 - Locates indicated multiple fiber lines running in railroad right-of-way. RR indicated depths would be sufficient
 - Boring ran into an unknown fiber
 - Sheet piling for bridge close to telephone line

- Construction
 - The gas line was not relocated per plan
 - Guy wires for overhead lines in conflict with proposed curb ramp
 - Ditch construction uncovered sanitary sewer belonging to regional sanitary district

- Construction
 - Notice of Work Complete
 - Notice of Final Bill and Invoice
 - Invoices for reimbursable work received
 - Review shows inaccurate reporting
 - Invoice amount exceeds agreement amount
 - Invoice includes work not included in agreement

Contact Information

Natalie Parks (317)523-7517 nparks@structurepoint.com

Eric Farny (317)547-5580 <u>efarny@structurepoint.com</u>

