

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Wildlife Damage Management Technical Series

U.S. Department of Agriculture: Animal and Plant
Health Inspection Service

10-2016

Vultures


Michael L. Avery

USDA APHIS National Wildlife Research Center, Gainesville, FL, michael.l.avery@aphis.usda.gov

Martin S. Lowney

US Department of Agriculture, martin.s.lowney@aphis.usda.gov

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/nwrcwdmts>

 Part of the [Behavior and Ethology Commons](#), [Biodiversity Commons](#), [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#), [Other Ecology and Evolutionary Biology Commons](#), [Population Biology Commons](#), and the [Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecology Commons](#)

Avery, Michael L. and Lowney, Martin S., "Vultures" (2016). *Wildlife Damage Management Technical Series*. 5.
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/nwrcwdmts/5>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Wildlife Damage Management Technical Series by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Vultures

Michael L. Avery
Supervisory Research Wildlife Biologist
USDA-APHIS-Wildlife Services
National Wildlife Research Center
Gainesville, Florida

Martin Lowney
State Director
USDA-APHIS-Wildlife Services
Lakewood, Colorado



Figure 1. Black vultures (*Coragyps atratus*) are very social with an extended period of parent-offspring interaction.

Human-Wildlife Conflicts

Quick Links

Human-Wildlife Conflicts	1
Damage Identification	3
Management Methods	3
Economics	8
Species Overview	9
Legal Status	13
Glossary & Key Words	14
Resources	15
Appendix	17

Black and turkey vultures cause problems in several ways. The most common problems associated with vultures are structural damage, loss of aesthetic value and property use related to offensive odors and appearance, depredation to livestock and pets, and air traffic safety.

Management of these diverse problems often can be addressed by targeting the source of the birds causing the problem, namely the roost where the birds spend the night. Often the roost itself is the problem, such as when birds roost on a

communication tower and foul the equipment with their feces or when they roost in a residential area. There, droppings and regurgitations create odors and their presence is perceived as a threat by the homeowners. Several methods are available for roost dispersal. As in many other situations, roost dispersal might best be accomplished through the integrated use of more than one damage management method.

The details of the situation will dictate which management approach is the most



Figure 2. Livestock producers must be vigilant because black vultures are known to kill and injure vulnerable animals, especially newborns and those giving birth.

appropriate, and experience has shown that best results are obtained if the source roost can be dispersed.

Livestock and Pets

Livestock losses to black vultures are a major concern for many producers. Black vulture depredation of livestock involves killing or injuring animals that are sick, weak, or otherwise unable to defend themselves.

This usually involves newborn calves, piglets or lambs and the associated heifers, sows, and ewes (Figure 2). Black vultures are opportunistic and readily recognize and take advantage of vulnerable animals. Because black vultures are social, the feeding behavior of one or two birds usually attracts many more and they can easily overwhelm the affected prey animal.

At a cattle ranch in central Florida, both species of vultures focused their activities in pastures where active calving was occurring. The vultures were frequently observed feeding on afterbirth as well as fresh droppings from calves.

Structures

Property damage, especially from black vultures, includes tearing and removing window caulking, screen enclosures, roof shingles, vinyl seat covers from boats and tractors,

windshield wipers and door seals on cars, and plastic flowers at cemeteries (Figure 3).

Droppings of turkey and black vultures create nuisance conditions, especially when the birds loaf on roofs of houses, office buildings, communication towers, and electrical transmission structures (Figure 4). The accumulation of droppings on electrical transmission towers causes arcing and power outages.

Human Health and Safety

Vultures pose hazards to aircraft, especially when landfills, roosts, or other congregating sites are located near approaching or departing flight paths (Figure 5).

The Federal Aviation Administration considers putrescible waste landfills within 10,000 feet of an airport with jet aircraft incompatible with aircraft operations because these landfills are attractive to birds that are hazardous for aviation.

In addition, vultures can cause human health and safety problems by contaminating water sources with their droppings. Contamination has occurred when coliform bacteria from droppings entered water towers or springs from which residences drew water.



Figure 3. Damage by black vultures to structures, vehicles and other property is a common occurrence.

Nuisance Problems

Citizens frequently have health concerns because of the accumulation of droppings from roosts and loafing areas near their homes (Figure 6). Many people consider vultures a nuisance because of the white-wash effect their droppings leave on trees and structures at roost sites, the ammonia odor emanating from roost sites, and a general feeling of doom when vultures congregate nearby.

Damage Identification

Vultures are large, obvious animals that travel in groups. Their presence is indicated by copious amounts of whitish fecal matter giving a characteristic ammonia odor to the surroundings. In open areas, shed feathers and regurgitated pellets also will be obvious (Figure 6). Damage to materials and surfaces is indicated by tears, scratches, and gouges.

Management Methods

Management of vultures is most effective when a variety of control methods is used.

Habitat Modification

Vultures are attracted to roost sites for reasons largely unknown. Altering the vegetation structure of a given roost may affect the thermodynamic properties of the site. Therefore, thinning branches on trees within the roost or removing some trees to open up the roost site could reduce the attractiveness of the site for roosting birds. This method likely will be difficult to apply in most cases, as preservation of trees is frequently an important goal in communities. Furthermore, there are no proven guidelines for how best to thin or modify roost vegetation to discourage vultures. Vultures may repeatedly be attracted to a site due to the abundance of a stable food supply. The proper disposal of dead livestock and removal of other human-made foods may reduce vulture use of some areas.



Figure 4. Vultures frequently loaf or roost on buildings and other structures where accumulations of droppings create nuisance and health concerns.



Figure 5. Vultures represent major safety hazards to civil and military aircraft.



Figure 6. Fecal material from vulture roosting and loafing can render facilities such as playgrounds unsafe and unappealing. Fecal accumulation, feathers, and regurgitated pellets signify presence of a vulture roost.



Figure 7. The Coyote Roller® can be an effective device for preventing vulture perching.

Vultures may use a site for multiple reasons, however, and the removal of a food source might be insufficient to disperse vultures roosting or loafing at a site.

Exclusion

Various techniques have been developed to prevent vultures from perching on window ledges, roofs, and other areas where they are not wanted. Many of the various bird spikes that are commercially available will not deter vultures. In many cases, the birds are able to place their feet in the spaces between the spikes, and they readily bend the longer, more pliable spikes down to create a hospitable perching substrate. The spikes that work best against vultures are short, sharp, tightly spaced, and resistant to bending. Wires suspended above a roof or ledge do not have long-term effectiveness as vultures can avoid such obstacles when they land and either perch beside the wires or directly on top of them.

Coyote Roller® is a commercially available device that can create an unstable perch for vultures. As birds try to land on the devices, the cylinder rotates and the birds spin off. This could be particularly effective on the ridge line of a roof or on a narrow ledge or railing where the availability of perch sites is limited (Figure 7).

Perhaps even more effective is the use of commercially available electric tracks that can be assembled to any desired length. These can be affixed to roofs, chimneys,

ledges, or wherever perching is likely to occur. Solar-powered electric fence chargers are available so access to a power outlet is not necessary. A bird that contacts the track receives an unpleasant, but harmless, shock and leaves the site.

Black vulture depredations to livestock can be minimized by locating lambing, pigging, and calving activities in sheds or buildings, or by using paddocks close to barns or buildings with human activity so that birthing animals can be monitored closely.

Scare Devices

Effigies

In most roost situations, whether in trees or on a structure, birds can be dispersed quickly and efficiently by proper installation of a vulture carcass or effigy. An effigy can be either a taxidermic preparation or an artificial device designed and constructed to look like a dead vulture.

Regardless of the type, proper installation is crucial. Display the carcass or effigy from a high, prominent location so that birds using the roost notice it. Hang the stimulus upside down by its feet, far enough from branches or other points of contact to prevent entanglement. Hire a professional to install the carcass or effigy on a tower



Figure 8. Installation of an effigy is effective for dispersing a vulture roost.

(Figure 8). To suspend a carcass or effigy at a tree roost, use a modified bow and arrow rig to launch the line up and over a branch in a prominent location.

Vulture carcasses and taxidermic vulture effigies have been effective in resolving a variety of roost problems involving property damage, communication towers, crop and livestock protection, and aircraft safety. Generally, vultures that encounter a hanging carcass or taxidermic effigy vacate their roost within 5 days and do not return as long as the stimulus is in place. In some cases, vultures do not return even when the carcass or effigy is removed.

Despite good results, this roost dispersal method is not universally effective. Where vultures occupy mixed-species roosts with wading birds, cormorants, and anhingas, installation of a vulture carcass or taxidermic vulture effigy has been ineffective. It is not clear why vultures in multi-species roosts are unresponsive to the effigy approach. Perhaps the birds sense greater security with the other species present. Whatever the reason, other methods need to be applied to disperse mixed-species roosts.

Effigies have been used with mixed success to discourage vulture use of houses and other facilities during the day. Black vultures have been reported to tear effigies when used at daytime loafing sites but are repelled from roost sites where effigies are hung. It is unclear why vultures respond to effigies at roosting locations but occasionally show no dispersal response from daytime loafing sites. Also, effigies have not reliably dispersed vultures from multi-story buildings, or from small animal parks and zoos. At this time, researchers do not understand why effigies are not effective in some of these situations.

Dispersal of vulture roosts near a livestock operation can help reduce the likelihood of depredations. Dispersal is often best accomplished by suspending a vulture carcass or taxidermic effigy in the roost, but other roost dispersal options, such as pyrotechnics, could produce a similar result. Roost dispersal is not always an effective option, however, as vultures are capable of using various roost sites within a given area. Thus, dispersal at one main roost location might cause birds to relocate to alternate roost sites but might not affect their use of livestock production areas.

Important constraints apply to the general use of a vulture carcass or taxidermic effigy. Both species of vultures are protected by federal laws, and it is unlawful to take or possess a live bird or carcass without a permit from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). Permits are not required for effigies made from feathers of domestic fowl. The hanging of a vulture carcass or taxidermic effigy could be distasteful to some members of the public. It might be prudent to contact local conservation or birding groups or local media to explain the use of the carcasses or effigies, so that those hung in areas of high visibility are not mistaken for birds that accidentally became entangled. Prolonged exposure to the weather deteriorates the carcass or effigy.

The development of an effective, durable, readily available artificial effigy is desirable because no permit is needed to use it. To date, the most consistently effective artificial effigy is a decorative vulture statuette made in China and sold on-line (Figure 9).

The likeness is approximately 16.5 inches tall. The body is covered with brown feathers and the head is red. The effigy has been used to disperse vulture roosts from trees, towers, refinery plants, and power plants.



Figure 9. Artificial vulture effigy used for roost dispersal is made in China and can be purchased online.

Lasers

This tool recently became available for wildlife managers and has been effective in dispersing various bird species. Vultures respond well to low-powered red lasers. Field trials at wooded roosts, as well as on buildings and electric transmission line towers, have repeatedly demonstrated that vultures can be moved from the roost by using the laser from about 30 minutes before sunset, or as soon as it is dark enough for the birds to see it, until about 30 minutes after sunset. Once it becomes too dark and the birds are settled in for the night, the laser often will not make them disperse.

Generally, vultures respond immediately to the bright spot of light and either take flight, or run or hop to a new perch. As soon as the first few birds take off from the roost, others follow so that dozens of birds can be dislodged by directly exposing just a few to the laser light.

Lasers are safe, quiet, and effective over distances of several hundred yards, depending on ambient light. Vultures can be dispersed without disruption to people and from well beyond the effective range of pyrotechnics. One downside is that vultures may habituate to lasers after multiple nights of harassment. Birds will vacate a roost for a given night but then return the next night. It is not known how long laser harassment needs to continue for permanent abandonment of a roost. Lasers can cost up to \$2,000.

Pyrotechnics

Vultures can be harassed from a roost by pyrotechnics (screamer-sirens and bird bombs) fired from a 15-mm launcher (starter pistol), shellcrackers shot from a 12-gauge shotgun, electronically generated sounds, or propane cannons. Prior to initiating a harassment program in urban areas, consult state, provincial, county, and local ordinances regarding the location of bird sanctuaries, noise ordinances, and the possession and use of firearms and pyrotechnics. Pyrotechnics, launchers, shellcrackers, propane cannons, and other harassment tools can be purchased readily from a variety of commercial sources. Some pyrotechnics require a federal explosives permit

from the U.S. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms. Commercial vendors can identify pyrotechnics requiring the federal permit prior to purchase.

Other Harassment

Pyrotechnics and other noisemakers often are not permitted in residential areas, zoos, parks, or business sites. Nevertheless, vultures can be effectively harassed using other methods.

Helium-filled balloons tethered by Mylar® tape and raised into hardwood trees at roost sites have dispersed vultures in the past. Each roost site was in an urban area where either vultures had habituated to noise harassment or local noise ordinances did not allow harassment with pyrotechnics and other auditory scare devices. There were 40 to 100 vultures at each test site. In each instance, the vultures immediately left the roost area but returned 6 to 12 months later when the balloons and Mylar® tape had fallen from the trees. After balloons were used for 2 years at an Appomattox, Virginia, urban roost, vultures habituated and no longer dispersed. If sufficiently dark, lasers can be applied to move vultures. Even during daylight hours, lasers can be used as long as the birds are able to detect it against the ambient light. Alternatively, paintball guns can be employed with good effect on troublesome vultures. The birds are bothered by the sound of projectiles whizzing past and they do not enjoy being struck by a paintball. Eventually the “pop” sound generated by the compressed gas alone is enough to cause birds to take flight. To minimize the chance of injury, birds should not be shot at close range (less than 10 yards). Follow proper firearm safety measures whenever using paintballs. Whatever technique is used, for best results harass birds as soon as they begin to use the site. It must be persistent so that birds do not have opportunities to return.

Another option for harassment is a motion-activated sprinkler (Figure 10). All that is required is a spigot or other source of pressured water. The sudden onset of a sprinkler triggered by the vultures' movement startles them and tends to keep them from the site. The sound of the sprinkler, sight of the water stream, and unpredictability of the stimulus all combine to cause a deterrent effect. Such

units have been successful against vultures on roofs of houses, on boat docks, and around backyard patios.

Firing .22-caliber or larger rifle ammunition reportedly will disperse loafing vultures from pastures. Pyrotechnics or shotguns also can be used, but their range is not as great. Such harassment often has only a short-term benefit, as vultures will return to the site within a few hours. Do not kill or wound vultures unless you have a Migratory Bird Depredation permit issued by the USFWS.

Guard dogs are another form of harassment that some producers use with success. While we are not aware of any formal evaluation of this method to protect livestock from black vultures, we have talked to livestock producers whose dogs chase vultures. This method seems like a feasible alternative if the dog is properly trained.

To be most effective, harassment must be diligent and constant, and initiated as soon as the problem is recognized. The use of a variety of harassment tools at the same time increases the likelihood of dispersing vultures. To disperse a roost, begin harassment at dusk as the vultures come to roost and continue until dark. Harassment on several consecutive nights may be required to disperse a roost. Normally, it takes 7 to 9 consecutive nights of harassment to disperse a vulture roost when 15 mm and 12-gauge pyrotechnics are used alone or with propane can-



Figure 10. Motion-activated sprinklers are effective for scaring vultures from rooftops, boat docks, and other places with access to a source of pressurized water.

nons. The number of nights needed to disperse a roost can be shortened to 4 to 5 nights when effigies and lasers are used simultaneously with the pyrotechnics. On some occasions, it may be necessary to shoot some vultures to reinforce harassment with pyrotechnics and reduce habituation to the harassment program.

Shooting

Given increasing population trends for both vulture species and their basic life history attributes, selective lethal control is unlikely to affect the overall population. Selective removal of problem vultures, however, could contribute to resolving local conflicts with vultures.

At three Texas industrial plants, each hosting about 200 birds, shooting with .22-caliber rifles was initiated after other methods had failed to resolve vulture problems. Removal of 5, 25 and 45 vultures resulted in abandonment of the sites for 12 months, 4 months, and 10 weeks, respectively.

Additional documentation is needed to support the assertion that removal of a few vultures from a local population increases the efficacy of harassment programs and prevents habituation to harassment. A Migratory Bird Depredation Permit issued by the USFWS is required before vultures can be killed.

Trapping

Vultures are relatively easy to trap, especially with large baited walk-in traps (Figure 11). Turkey vultures seem inhibited from entering traps already holding black vultures.

For specific targeted individual birds, padded-jaw foothold traps can be used. For example, in Virginia and Maryland, vultures were damaging buildings by pulling latex window gaskets from windows, tearing holes in the rubber membrane of the roof, and disturbing building occupants with persistent daily attacks against their images in the glass. After nonlethal methods failed to stop the damage, the vultures were captured with padded-jaw foothold traps placed around a carcass on the rock ballast roof of the multiple story buildings. Trapped vultures were euthanized and damage ceased.



Figure 11. Baited walk-in traps are effective for capturing large numbers of vultures.

Translocation

The benefits of translocating trapped vultures are questionable. In Texas, translocating trapped birds did not reduce problems at industrial facilities where the birds were trapped. Furthermore, complaints increased regarding vultures at the release sites. In Florida, four of eight transmitter-equipped vultures released more than 150 miles from the trap site eventually were tracked to within 10 miles of their original roost, indicating that problems at the original site are likely to persist unless the habitat is modified so the original site is less attractive to vultures. Although translocation is a nonlethal control method, little evidence exists that translocating vultures is an effective management tool.

Disposal

Check your local and state or provincial regulations and USFWS depredation permit regarding carcass disposal.

Economics

Black vultures and turkey vultures are part of the multi-species scavenging guild which provides valuable ecosystem services, including carrion removal, disease suppression, and nutrient recycling. To our knowledge, the

monetary value of these services has not been estimated but no doubt is considerable. The recent decimation of Old World vulture populations has had profound, unanticipated societal impacts, in addition to disruption of wildlife community functions. By contrast, since their recovery from the deleterious impacts of persistent pesticides, populations of New World vultures have increased and expanded.

While the positive economic benefits (i.e. ecosystem services) of the expanding black and turkey vulture populations have not been quantified, there is information pertinent to the negative side of the vulture balance sheet. In the United States, vultures are among the most costly species in terms of damage to military (> \$2.9 million/year) and civil (>\$1.2 million/year) aircraft (Table 1). Only geese and pelicans have greater economic impacts according to U.S. Air Force bird strike data. The monetary impacts are probably underestimates of the actual costs because not every bird-aircraft collision is reported. These data do not reflect the serious potential costs to human health and safety.

While both vulture species have economic impacts to aircraft, the black vulture can also be an economic detriment for many livestock producers. The most recent data available (2010) from the USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) attribute 11,900 cattle and calf deaths valued at \$4.64 million to vultures. NASS estimated the total monetary loss from all cattle/calf predation to be \$98.48 million. Black vulture impacts to livestock extend to pigs, goats, and sheep, but comparable economic data are not available.

Both vulture species cause property damage to vehicles, houses, office buildings, equipment and various other items. Their roosting on cell towers, water towers, buildings, and other tall structures necessitates roost dispersal, cleaning, and repairs. The collective economic impacts of these activities are unknown.

Species	Civil Aircraft (1990-2014)			Military Aircraft (FY1995-FY2014)		
	Number of Collisions	Estimated Cost	Cost/Year	Number of Collisions	Estimated Cost	Cost/Year
Black vulture	127	5,599,881	223,995	350	28,072,183	1,403,609
Turkey vulture	585	12,432,485	497,299	439	31,602,775	1,580,139
Vulture species	308	12,339,858	493,594	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	1,020	30,372,224	1,214,889	789	59,674,958	2,983,748

Table 1. Number of vulture-aircraft collisions and their associated costs involving civil and military aircraft compiled by the Federal Aviation Administration (25 years) and the U.S. Air Force (20 years), respectively. FY denotes the federal fiscal year which runs from October through the following September.

Species Overview

Identification

Two species of vultures are common in North America: the turkey vulture (*Cathartes aura*) and the black vulture (*Coragyps atratus*). These species are in the family Cathartidae. Vultures are sometimes known locally as buzzards.

Physical Description

Turkey vultures are large dark brown birds with 5.7- to 6-foot wing spans and a body mass of 3.5 to 4.4 pounds. Distinguishing characteristics of turkey vultures are a bright red head on adults, the leading edge on the underside of the wing is black while the trailing edge is gray, and a long tail extends well beyond the body when in flight (Figure 12).

In contrast, black vultures have a 4.6- to 5-foot wing span and weigh 3.5 to 4.9 pounds. Adult and juvenile black vultures have a dark grey head (Figure 1), the underside of the wings is dark grey to black with a white area at the end of each wing, and a relatively short tail (Figure 13).

The mode of flight between black and turkey vultures differ due to different wing lengths supporting about the same body mass. Turkey vultures flap their wings a few times

and glide when at low altitudes, whereas black vultures flap frequently, interspersed with brief glides when at low altitudes unless a strong wind blows. At high altitudes both vultures primarily glide when riding thermal wind currents.



Figure 12. Turkey vultures (*Cathartes aura*) are characterized by long, narrow wings, a relatively long tail, and a red head (in adults).



Figure 13. Black vultures have a black head and broad white-tipped wings.

Range

Turkey vultures occur in all of Mexico, most of the U.S., and the southern tier of Canada (Figure 14). Black vultures are common in South America and Central America and occur throughout the southeastern U.S., Texas, Mexico, and parts of Arizona. Their range has continued to expand into New York and the Great Lake States (Figure 15).

The ranges of both species have expanded northward in the past 50 years. Several possible explanations exist for their range expansions and population growth. The pesticide DDT and its derivative DDE adversely affected vulture fecundity. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency prohibited the use of DDT in 1972 due to negative environmental impacts to many animal species, and vulture populations in the U.S. have grown steadily in the last 40 years. The recent gradual, overall warming trend in North America might have contributed to these broad-scale northern range expansions. Also, vultures have benefited from fragmentation of the landscape by humans, which creates mosaics of forested and open areas for roosting, nesting, and foraging. Vultures clearly have adapted and thrived in the presence of human activity.

Northern populations of turkey vultures migrate from summer breeding areas to southern wintering areas. Black vultures are considered locally resident, but they engage in short term local movements in advance of bad weather.

Sign

Nests in structures or abandoned vehicles are found by observing movements of adult birds. Nests on the ground are very shallow scrapes and difficult to find. Roost sites are characterized by a distinctive ammonia-like odor, and by accumulations of droppings, feathers, and regurgitated pellets.

Voice and Sounds

Black vultures make a low-pitched grunt or “woof,” audible at close range, when disturbed.



Figure 14. Turkey vulture distribution in North America.



Figure 15. Black vulture distribution in North America.

Reproduction

Breeding Bird Survey data suggests turkey vulture populations in the U.S. increased at an annual rate of 3.0% from 1999 to 2009. Black vulture population data, mainly from North Carolina, suggest that high rates of survival and fertility, and first breeding at about 5 years of age, combine to produce an annual rate of increase of 10.6%.

It is believed vultures nest annually. Each species normally lays two eggs per clutch. Incubation lasts about 40 days. Adults feed and care for young for 2 to 3 months before fledging. A lengthy period of parental care ensues as the young birds learn to forage.

Nesting

Vultures nest on the ground in thickets, on rock ledges, in abandoned buildings and vehicles, and in caves and hollow logs. In Florida, black vultures nest as early as January. Vultures congregate in single- or mixed-species

communal roosts, especially during late fall through early spring. Roosting is believed to enhance the ability to find food and might reduce risk of predation. Roosts may contain thousands of vultures.

Mortality

Turkey vultures have been reported to live for more than 17 years. The most common cause of illness, injury, or death is trauma from collisions or injuries from foothold traps, principally among juveniles.

Black vultures have been reported to live up to 25 years of age. Predation on eggs and flightless chicks may be common and collisions with power lines and shooting for livestock protection are additional sources of mortality. Analysis of the black vulture population in Virginia indicated that 3,500 birds could be culled annually from the population without adversely affecting its long-term sustainability.

Population Status

Each species shows a widespread, increasing population trend. Using the best available information, the median population estimate for black vultures in Virginia was 91,190 in 2006, but similar estimates are not available for other states.

Habitat

Both species of vultures inhabit mixed farmland, pasture, and wooded areas. For loafing and foraging, black vultures seem attracted to pond, lake, and other water shorelines (Figure 16).

Vultures roost in various types of trees including pine, cypress, palm, and hardwoods, as well as on communication towers, support structures for transmission lines, water tanks, and buildings. Roost trees typically are larger than average surrounding trees. Both vulture species often occur at sanitary landfills and each are known to loaf on office buildings and houses. Black vultures especially are tolerant of human activities and habituate to being in proximity to people.



Figure 16. Black vultures often roost and forage near water.

Behavior

Turkey and black vultures fill important ecological roles as scavengers in the environment. Dead animals may have bacteria or viruses that are pathogenic or zoonotic. When feeding on carcasses, vultures consume and destroy large quantities of bacteria before the bacteria form spores resistant to digestion. It is possible, however, that while some bacteria are destroyed in a vulture's digestive tract, some bacteria could be transmitted on the bird's feathers and feet. Thus, while vultures could reduce the spread of most infections in a locality, they also could introduce infections into new areas. The intestinal micro flora of turkey vultures suggests that these birds are reservoirs of intestinal bacterial pathogens.

Vultures have weak feet for grasping but have strong bills for pulling and tearing flesh. Their eyesight is keen, and their sense of smell is highly developed in turkey vultures, but less so in black vultures. Black vultures rely on eyesight to locate sources of food and fly at higher altitudes than turkey vultures. From that vantage point, they can observe behavior of turkey vultures and other scavengers to learn the location of available food. At a carcass, black vultures are dominant over turkey vultures. Turkey vultures tend to specialize on smaller carcasses, which they can quickly ingest before black vultures have time to displace them.

Food Habits

Turkey and black vultures primarily are scavengers. Their diets include carrion, fish, and invertebrates. Black vultures will kill other animals and tear the animals apart for food, including livestock giving birth and their defenseless offspring. Turkey vultures rarely take live prey. Reports of turkey vultures attacking livestock likely are mistaken identification of black vultures.

Landfills are major feeding sites for turkey and black vultures, where they join crows, gulls, and many other species.

On a smaller scale, black vultures often plunder dumpsters and garbage cans, and they frequent waste transfer stations, zoos, and any place where food scraps are regularly available (Figure 17). Both species are adaptable and capable of exploiting feeding opportunities created by human activity.



Figure 17. Vultures exploit feeding opportunities created by human activity.

Legal Status

Vultures are protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and are managed by the federal government. Vultures may be harassed without federal permits, but can be killed only after obtaining a Migratory Bird Depredation Permit from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. State wildlife agencies may require state permits prior to killing migratory birds.

Acknowledgements

- Figure 1. Photo by Martin Lowney, USDA-APHIS-WS
 Figure 2. Photos by Eric Tillman, USDA-APHIS-WS, NWRC
 Figure 3. Photo by USDA-APHIS-WS
 Figure 4. Photo by John Humphrey, USDA-APHIS-WS, NWRC
 Figure 5. Photos USDA
 Figure 6. Photos by John Humphrey (left) and Eric Tillman (right), USDA-APHIS-WS, NWRC
 Figure 7. Photo by Eric Tillman, USDA-APHIS-WS, NWRC
 Figure 8. Photos by USDA-APHIS-WS
 Figure 9. Photo by USDA-APHIS-WS
 Figure 10. Photo by Eric Tillman, USDA-APHIS-WS, NWRC
 Figure 11. Photo by Eric Tillman, USDA-APHIS-WS, NWRC
 Figure 12. Photos by Eric Tillman, USDA-APHIS-WS, NWRC (left) and The Cornell Lab of Ornithology (right)
 Figure 13. Photo by Michael Avery, USDA-APHIS-WS, NWRC
 Figure 14. Map from Kirk and Mossman, 1998 The Birds of North America Online/The Cornell Lab of Ornithology
 Figure 15. Map from Buckley 1999, The Birds of North America Online/The Cornell Lab of Ornithology
 Figure 16. Photos by John Humphrey, USDA-APHIS-WS, NWRC
 Figure 17. Photo by Michael Avery, USDA-APHIS-WS, NWRC

Glossary

Effigy: A likeness of a vulture. An effigy can be an actual vulture carcass, a carcass that has been taxidermically prepared, or an artificial vulture likeness.

Property Damage: Includes marring, tearing, scratching, defecation and soiling residential and business structures, vehicles, boats, cell towers, signage, playground equipment, etc.

Roost: A site where vultures gather to loaf, rest or spend the night. Vultures typically gather in roosts during all seasons outside the nesting season.

Zoonotic: Disease that can be transmitted from animals to people.

Key Words

Aircraft hazard, black vulture, *Cathartes aura*, *Coragyps atratus*, effigy, laser, livestock damage, property damage, turkey vulture

Disclaimer

Wildlife can threaten the health and safety of you and others in the area. Use of damage prevention and control methods also may pose risks to humans, pets, livestock, other non-target animals, and the environment. Be aware of the risks and take steps to reduce or eliminate those risks.

Some methods mentioned in this document may not be legal, permitted, or appropriate in your area. Read and follow all pesticide label recommendations and local requirements. Check with personnel from your state wildlife agency and local officials to determine if methods are acceptable and allowed.

Mention of any products, trademarks, or brand names does not constitute endorsement, nor does omission constitute criticism.

Citation

Avery, M.L. and M. Lowney. 2016. Vultures. Wildlife Damage Management Technical Series. USDA, APHIS, WS National Wildlife Research Center. Ft. Collins, Colorado. 17p.

Resources

- Avery, M. L. 2004. Trends in North America vulture populations. *Vertebrate Pest Conference* 21:116-121.
- Avery, M. L., J. S. Humphrey, T. S. Daughtery, J. W. Fischer, M. P. Milleson, E. A. Tillman, W. E. Bruce, and W. D. Walter. 2011. Vulture flight behavior and implications for aircraft safety. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 75:1581-1587.
- Avery, M. L., J. S. Humphrey, E. A. Tillman, K. O. Phares, and J. E. Hatcher. 2002. Dispersal of vulture roosts on communication towers. *Journal of Raptor Research* 36:44-49.
- Blackwell, B. F., G. E. Bernhardt, and R. A. Dolbeer. 2002. Lasers as nonlethal avian repellents. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 66:250-258.
- Buckley, Neil J. 1999. Black Vulture (*Coragyps atratus*), *The Birds of North America Online* (A. Poole, Ed.). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America <http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/411> doi:10.2173/bna.411. Accessed 11 November, 2104.
- DeVault T. L., B. D. Reinhart, I. L. Brisbin, Jr., and O. E. Rhodes, Jr. 2005. Flight behavior of black and turkey vultures: implications for reducing bird-aircraft collisions. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 69:601-608.
- Dolbeer, R. A., S. E. wright, J. R. Weller, A. L. Anderson, and M. J. Begier. 2015. Wildlife strikes to civil aircraft in the United States, 1990-2014. FAA, National Wildlife Strike Database, Serial Report Number 21, Washington, DC.
- Humphrey, J. S., E. A. Tillman, and M. L. Avery. 2002. Guidelines for using effigies to disperse nuisance vulture roosts. USDA, Wildlife Services, National Wildlife Research Center, Gainesville, FL. Unpubl. report. http://www.aphis.usda.gov/wildlife_damage/nwrc/research/invasive_wildlife/content/VultureEffigy%20Guidelines-revisedMar2010.pdf. Accessed 11 November, 2104.
- Kirk, David A. and Michael J. Mossman. 1998. Turkey Vulture (*Cathartes aura*), *The Birds of North America Online* (A. Poole, Ed.). Ithaca: Cornell Lab of Ornithology; Retrieved from the Birds of North America Online: <http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/339> doi:10.2173/bna.339 . Accessed 11 November, 2014.
- Lowney, M. S. 1999. Damage by black and turkey vultures in Virginia, 1990-1996. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 27:715-719.
- Markandya, A., Taylor, T., Longo, A., Murty, M. N., Murty, S., and Dhavala, K. 2008. Counting the cost of vulture decline: An appraisal of the human health and other benefits of vultures in India. *Ecological Economics* 67:194-204.
- Runge, M. C., J. R. Sauer, M. L. Avery, B. F. Blackwell, and M. D. Koneff. 2009. Assessing allowable take of migratory birds. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 73:556-565.
- Sauer, J. R., J. E. Hines, J. E. Fallon, K. L. Pardieck, D. J. Ziolkowski, Jr., and W. A. Link. 2014. The North American Breeding Bird Survey, Results and Analysis 1966 - 2012. Version 02.19.2014 [USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center](http://www.fws.gov/patuxent/), Laurel, MD Accessed 11 November, 2104.
- Stager, K. 1964. The role of olfaction in food location by the turkey vulture (*Cathartes aura*). *Los Angeles County Mus. Contrib. Sci.* 81:1-63.
-

Tillman, E. A., J. S. Humphrey and M. L. Avery. 2002. Use of effigies and decoys to reduce vulture damage to property and agriculture. *Vertebrate Pest Conference* 20:123-128.

USAF. 2016. Strike statistics. <http://www.safety.af.mil/Divisions/Aviation-Safety-Division/BASH> (accessed 6 October 2016).

USDA. National Agricultural Statistics Service. 2011. Cattle death loss. <http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/usda/current/CattDeath/CattDeath-05-12-2011.pdf> (accessed 6 October 2016).

Wenny, D. G., T. L. DeVault, M. D. Johnson, D. Kelly, C. H. Sekercioglu, D. F. Tomback, and C. J. Whelan. 2011. The need to quantify ecosystem services provided by birds. *Auk* 128:1-14.

Appendix

Damage Management Methods for Vultures

Type of Control	Available Management Options
Exclusion	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Electric track• Coyote Roller®• Stout anti-perching spikes
Frightening Devices	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Vulture effigies• Hand-held lasers• Pyrotechnics
Habitat Modification	No proven guidelines for how best to thin vegetation or modify roost sites
Repellents	None registered
Toxicants	None registered
Trapping	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Large baited walk-in traps• Padded-jaw foothold traps with 3-inch jaw spread
Shooting	Shooting effective for individual troublesome vultures. Type of firearm and ammunition depends on specific circumstances.