

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research
Center

U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural
Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska

2016

Mean EPDs Reported by Different Breeds

Larry A. Kuehn

Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research Center, USDA-ARS, Larry.Kuehn@ars.usda.gov

R. Mark Thallman

Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research Center, USDA-ARS, Mark.Thallman@ars.usda.gov

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/hruskareports>

Kuehn, Larry A. and Thallman, R. Mark, "Mean EPDs Reported by Different Breeds" (2016). *Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research Center*. 381.

<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/hruskareports/381>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Agricultural Research Service, Lincoln, Nebraska at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research Center by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

MEAN EPDs REPORTED BY DIFFERENT BREEDS

Larry A. Kuehn and R. Mark Thallman

Roman L. Hruska U.S. Meat Animal Research Center, USDA-ARS, Clay Center, NE 68933

Expected progeny differences (EPDs) have been the primary tool for genetic improvement of beef cattle for over 40 years beginning with evaluations of growth traits. Since that time, EPDs have been added for several other production traits such as calving ease, stayability, carcass merit and conformation. Most recently, several breed associations have derived economic indices from their EPDs to increase profit under different management and breeding systems.

It is useful for producers to compare the EPDs of potential breeding animals with their breed average. The current EPDs from the most recent genetic evaluations of 26 breeds are presented in this report. Mean EPDs for growth traits are shown in Table 1 (26 breeds), for other production traits in Table 2 (20 breeds), and for carcass and composition traits in Table 3 (21 breeds). Several breeds also have EPDs and indices that are unique to their breed; these EPDs are presented in Table 4.

Average EPDs should only be used to determine the genetic merit of an animal relative to its breed average. To compare animals of different breeds, across breed adjustment factors should be added to animals' EPDs for their respective breeds (see Across-breed EPD Tables reported by Kuehn and Thallman in these proceedings).

This list is likely incomplete; evaluations for some breeds are not widely reported. We are aware of recent EPD evaluations for the Blonde d'Aquitaine, North American Piedmontese, American Pinzgauer, and American Waygu breeds but their EPDs do not appear to have been updated in the last year. If you see a breed missing and would like to report the average EPDs for that breed, please contact Larry (Larry.Kuehn@ars.usda.gov) or Mark (Mark.Thallman@ars.usda.gov).

Table 1. Birth year 2014 average EPDs from 2016 evaluations for growth traits

Breed	Birth Weight (lb)	Weaning Weight (lb)	Yearling Weight (lb)	Maternal Milk (lb)	Total Maternal (lb)
Angus	1.3	51	91	23	
Black Hereford	2.8	45.6	78.4	21.9	44.6
Hereford	3.3	48.2	78.2	20.3	44.4
Murray Grey	3.8	24	37	4	16
Red Angus	-1.3	57	88	20	
Red Poll	1.7	15	24	6	
Shorthorn	2.4	55	66	18	46
South Devon	2.3	43.6	81.7	25.1	46.9
Beefmaster	0.6	23	45	9	21
Braford	1.1	12	18	3	9
Brahman	1.8	16	25.6	5.6	
Brangus	1.2	24.4	46.5	9.5	21.7
Red Brangus	1.7	12.1	19.4	5.5	11.6
Santa Gertrudis	0.2	3.8	5.2	0.5	
Senepol	1.1	12	14.9	4.4	9.8
Simbrah	3.7	60.0	81.3	21.9	51.8
American Akaushi	-0.1	24.0	44.4	26.3	38.3
Braunvieh	2.7	44.4	68.0	34.6	56.8
Charolais	0.5	26.7	48.7	8.7	22
Chianina	2.3	43.3	63.1	15.3	37.0
Gelbvieh	0.5	65.6	96.8	26.8	59.7
Limousin	1.3	62.7	92.3	26.8	58.2
Maine-Anjou	1.6	47.2	62.6	19.1	42.8
Salers	1.8	41.0	78.3	19.9	40.4
Simmental	1.9	63.4	92.5	21.6	53.3
Tarentaise	1.3	17.5	30.8	0.7	9.4

Table 2. Birth year 2014 average EPDs from 2016 evaluations for other production traits

Breed	Calving Ease Direct (%)	Calving Ease Maternal (%)	Scrotal Circ. (cm)	Docil. Score	Mature Weight (lb)	Heifer Pregnancy (%)	Stayability (%)
Angus	5	8	0.84	14	31	10.6	
Hereford	1.1	1.3	0.8		87		
Murray Grey	-0.6	-0.1	0.2		55		
Red Angus	5	4				10	11
Shorthorn	5.0	1.0					
South Devon			0.1				
Beefmaster			0.4				
Brahman				0.0			
Brangus	3.8	4.1	0.45				
Simbrah	2.5	6.0		8.7			
American Akaushi	3.2	5.5					
Braunvieh	5.8	0.7	0.02				
Charolais	3.3	3.9	0.75				
Chianina	5.1	-3.2					
Gelbvieh	10.2	6.4				3.7	6.2
Limousin	7.9	6.2	0.66	19.6			16.7
Maine Anjou	7.6	2.4					
Salers	0.2	0.3	0.3	8.7			23.6
Simmental	8.9	9.4		10.7			20.5
Tarentaise	-0.1	0.7					

Table 3. Birth year 2014 average EPDs from 2016 evaluations for carcass and composition traits

Breed	Carcass Wt (lb)	Retail Product (%)	Yield Grade	Carcass			Rump fat (in)	WBSF (lb)
				Marbling Score	Ribeye Area (in ²)	Fat Thickness (in)		
Angus	33.0			0.59	0.53	0.017		
Hereford	61			0.09	0.31	0.003		
Murray Grey	32	0.4		0.0 ^a	0.11 ^a	0.00 ^a	0.00 ^a	
Red Angus	20		-0.01	0.45	0.13	-0.007		
Shorthorn	12.0			0.05	-0.05	-0.03		
South Devon	28.3	0.8		0.4	0.23	0.01		
Beefmaster				-0.10 ^a	-0.16 ^a	-0.01 ^a		
Braford	7			0.01	0.06	0.012		
Brahman	1.4	-0.01		0.00	0.01	0.00		0.02
Brangus				0.02 ^a	0.34 ^a	-0.041 ^a		
Santa Gertrudis	3.3			-0.01	0.04	0.002		
Simbrah	23.5		-0.23	-0.07	0.45	-0.060		-0.05
American Akaushi				0.75 ^a	0.11 ^a	0.057 ^a		
Braunvieh				0.56	0.35	-0.090		
Charolais	16.8			0.04	0.32	0.005		
Chianina	10.9	0.53		0.10	0.32	-0.06		
Gelbvieh	27.6		-0.18	0.09	0.45			
Limousin	26.3		-0.19	-0.01	0.48	-0.040		
Maine-Anjou	9.0	0.37		0.05	0.21	-0.041		
Salers	20.5	0		0.2	0.02	0.00		
Simmental	27.6		-0.33	0.14	0.79	-0.056		-0.33

^aDerived using ultrasound measures and reported on an ultrasound scale (IMF% instead of marbling score)

Table 4. Birth year 2014 average EPDs from 2016 evaluations for other traits unique to individual breeds

Angus	Residual							
	Average Daily Gain (lb)	Mature Height (in)	Yearling Height (in)	Cow Energy Value (\$)	Weaned Calf Value (\$)	Feedlot Value (\$)	Grid Value (\$)	Beef Value (\$)
	0.21	0.4	0.5	-8.36	43.73	42.62	31.51	106.09
Hereford	Baldy Maternal Index (\$)	Brahman Influence Index (\$)	Certified Hereford Beef Index (\$)	Calving Ease Index (\$)	Udder Score	Teat Score		
	17.9	15.7	23.1	15.3	0.98	1.04		
Red Angus	Mature Cow Maintenance (Mcal/mo)							
	0							
Gelbvieh	30-Month Pregnancy	DMI (lb/d)	ADG (lb/d)	RFI (lb/d)	\$ Cow (\$)	Efficiency Profit Index (\$)	Feeder Profit Index (\$)	
	1.1	0.016	0.005	-0.010	61.11	101.81	69.51	
Limousin	Mainstream Terminal Index (\$)		Gestation Length (d)					
	50.04		-2.1					
Simmental	All Purpose Index (\$)	Terminal Index (\$)	Simbrah	All Purpose Index (\$)	Terminal Index (\$)			
	121	67.7		71.3	50.5			
Shorthorn	\$ British Maternal Index							
	\$ Calving Ease	\$ Feedlot						
	17.9	52.68						
Murray Grey	600-d wt (lb)	Gestational Length (d)	Days to Calving (d)					
	53	-0.2	-0.9					