University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff Publications U.S. Department of Agriculture: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

2016

High Rates of Detection of Clade 2.3.4.4 Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza H5 Viruses in Wild Birds in the Pacific Northwest During the Winter of 2014–15

Hon S. Ip National Wildlife Health Center, US Geological Survey, hip@usgs.gov

Robert J. Dusek U.S. Geological Survey, National Wildlife Health Center, rdusek@usgs.gov

Barbara Bodenstein US Geologic Survey–National Wildlife Health Center

Mia Kim Torchetti U.S. Department of Agriculture National Veterinary Services Laboratories, mia.kim.torchetti@aphis.usda.gov

Paul DeBruyn Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm_usdanwrc

C Part of the Life Sciences Commons

Ip, Hon S.; Dusek, Robert J.; Bodenstein, Barbara; Torchetti, Mia Kim; DeBruyn, Paul; Mansfield, Kristin; Deliberto, Thomas J.; and Sleeman, Jonathan M., "High Rates of Detection of Clade 2.3.4.4 Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza H5 Viruses in Wild Birds in the Pacific Northwest During the Winter of 2014–15" (2016). USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff Publications. 1827. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm_usdanwrc/1827

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

Hon S. Ip, Robert J. Dusek, Barbara Bodenstein, Mia Kim Torchetti, Paul DeBruyn, Kristin Mansfield, Thomas J. Deliberto, and Jonathan M. Sleeman

High Rates of Detection of Clade 2.3.4.4 Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza H5 Viruses in Wild Birds in the Pacific Northwest During the Winter of 2014–15

Hon S. Ip,^{AE} Robert J. Dusek,^A Barbara Bodenstein,^A Mia Kim Torchetti,^B Paul DeBruyn,^C Kristin G. Mansfield,^C Thomas DeLiberto,^D and Jonathan M. Sleeman^A

^AU.S. Geological Survey National Wildlife Health Center, 6006 Schroeder Road, Madison, WI 53711

^BU.S. Department of Agriculture National Veterinary Services Laboratories, 1920 Dayton Avenue, Ames, IA 50010

^CWashington Department of Fish and Wildlife, 2315 N. Discovery Place, Olympia, WA 99216

^DU.S. Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service Wildlife Services National Wildlife Research Center, 4101 LaPorte Avenue, Fort Collins, CO 80521

Received 22 May 2015; Accepted 15 February 2016; Published ahead of print 16 February 2016

SUMMARY. In 2014, clade 2.3.4.4 H5N8 highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) viruses spread across the Republic of Korea and ultimately were reported in China, Japan, Russia, and Europe. Mortality associated with a reassortant HPAI H5N2 virus was detected in poultry farms in western Canada at the end of November. The same strain (with identical genetic structure) was then detected in free-living wild birds that had died prior to December 8, 2014, of unrelated causes in Whatcom County, Washington, U. S. A., in an area contiguous with the index Canadian location. A gyrfalcon (*Falco rusticolus*) that had hunted and fed on an American wigeon (*Anas americana*) on December 6, 2014, in the same area, and died 2 days later, tested positive for the Eurasian-origin HPAI H5N8. Subsequently, an active surveillance program using hunter-harvested waterfowl in Washington and Oregon detected 10 HPAI H5 viruses, of three different subtypes (four H5N2, three H5N8, and three H5N1) with four segments in common (HA, PB2, NP, and MA). In addition, a mortality-based passive surveillance program detected 18 HPAI (14 H5N2 and four H5N8) cases from Idaho, Kansas, Oregon, Minnesota, Montana, Washington, and Wisconsin. Comparatively, mortality-based passive surveillance appears to have detected these HPAI infections at a higher rate than active surveillance during the period following initial introduction into the United States.

RESUMEN. Altas tasas de detección del virus de influenza aviar altamente patógeno H5 clado 2.3.4.4 en aves silvestres en la parte noroeste del Pacífico durante el invierno 2014-15.

En 2014, los virus de influenza aviar altamente patógenos H5N8 clado 2.3.4.4 se diseminaron a través de la República de Corea y posteriormente, se reportaron en China, Japón, Rusia y Europa. Se detectó mortalidad asociada con un virus reacomodado altamente patógeno de influenza aviar H5N2 en granjas avícolas en el oeste de Canadá a finales de noviembre. Se detectó entonces la misma cepa (con estructura genética idéntica) en aves silvestres de vida libre que habían muerto antes del 8 de diciembre del 2014 por causas no relacionadas en el Condado de Whatcom, Washington, en los Estados Unidos, en una zona contigua con la ubicación del caso índice en Canadá. Un halcón gerifalte (*Falco rusticolus*) que había cazado y se había alimentado de un silbón americano (*Anas americana*) el 6 de diciembre del 2014, en la misma zona, y que murió dos días después, resultó positivo a la presencia del virus de alta patogenicidad de origen euroasiático H5N8. Posteriormente, un programa de vigilancia activa basado en el muestreo de aves acuáticas cazadas y recolectadas en Washington y Oregón detectó diez virus de influenza aviar altamente patógena H5 de tres subtipos diferentes (cuatro del subtipo H5N2, tres del subtipo H5N8 y tres subtipo H5N1) con cuatro segmentos en común (HA, PB2, NP, y MA). Además, mediante un programa de vigilancia pasiva basado en el muestreo de aves muertas se detectaron 18 virus de influenza aviar de alta patogenicidad (catorce subtipo H5N2 y cuatro H5N8) en Idaho, Kansas, Oregón, Minnesota, Montana, Washington y Wisconsin. Comparativamente, la vigilancia pasiva basada en la mortalidad parece haber detectado estas infecciones del virus de influenza de alta patogenicidad en un porcentaje mayor en comparación con la vigilancia activa durante este período después de la introducción inicial en los Estados Unidos.

Key words: avian influenza, intercontinental transmission, wild, migratory birds, surveillance strategy, HPAIV, H5Nx

Abbreviations: ASP = active surveillance program; HPAI = highly pathogenic avian influenza; NWHC = U.S. Geological Survey National Wildlife Health Center; PSP = passive surveillance program

Clade 2.3.4.4 H5N8 (hereafter H5N8) highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) viruses first emerged from reassortment with HPAI A/goose/Guangdong/1/1996 H5N1 lineage viruses in China in 2010 (20). Reassortants were detected in China in 2013 (3) and in the Republic of Korea in 2014 (11). In 2014, two additional reassortants (both of the subtype H5N8) were identified in the area around Donglim Reservoir, Gochang Province, Republic of Korea, one of which was associated with significant mortalities in Baikal teal (*Anas formosa*) and with outbreaks at nearby poultry farms (8). Subsequently, the virus spread to over 200 farms in six provinces and was found in eight species of wild birds (8). This same virus was also

detected in Japan in April 2014 (9), and in Liaoning, China, and Sakha (Yakutia), Russia, in September 2014 (12). Beginning in November 2014, the H5N8 virus was detected during poultry outbreaks in Germany, Hungary, Italy, the Netherlands, United Kingdom, Sweden, and again in Japan and South Korea (1,4,5,10,12). The virus was also recovered from apparently healthy wild birds (or their feces) in Germany and the Netherlands, and in sick or dead mallards (*Anas platyrhynchos*), white-naped cranes (*Grus vipio*), and hooded (*Grus monacha*) cranes in Japan (19).

The long-distance spread of H5N8 from Asia into Central Asia and Europe raised the possibility of transmission by migratory birds (18) and elevated this possibility when a reassortant HPAI H5N2 (hereafter H5N2) virus was detected in poultry from two farms in the Fraser

^ECorresponding author. E-mail: hip@usgs.gov

Valley of British Columbia, Canada (14). Increased surveillance was conducted in the United States, initially in the area adjacent to the Fraser Valley (Whatcom County, Washington). A summary of the initial discovery of H5N2 in free-living wild birds that died and the first North American detection of H5N8 from captive raptors that had fed on an American wigeon (*Anas americana*) carcass has already been described (7).

The detection of H5N2/H5N8 in wild birds in Washington and the detection of H5N2 in poultry in adjacent British Columbia raised questions as to the threat posed by the introduction of these HPAI viruses. Immediately following these detections, we initiated an active surveillance program (ASP) consisting of the sampling of hunter-harvested wild birds in northern Oregon and Washington, as well as requested increased submissions of dead birds nationwide for diagnostic evaluation and HPAI testing (especially raptors and waterfowl) to our diagnostic laboratory at the U.S. Geological Survey National Wildlife Health Center (NWHC; passive surveillance program [PSP]). In this study, we report results from these separate surveillance efforts and compare results from the ASP and PSP. We also compare ASP results for H5 positives obtained in 2014–15 with results obtained from previous surveillance conducted in the western United States.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

ASP sampling was performed using opportunistically sampled hunterharvested wild birds in selected areas in the states of Washington and Oregon. Heavily hunted waterfowl areas were selected for sampling. Combined oropharyngeal and cloacal swab samples were collected from ASP birds and submitted for virologic testing (6). All samples were from birds shot between December 22, 2014, and January 11, 2015.

PSP surveillance was conducted using carcasses from avian mortality events submitted to the NWHC from December 1, 2014, to April 30, 2015. All birds were tested for the presence of avian influenza regardless of postmortem findings. Submission criteria included receiving specimens from: mortality events of any size involving waterfowl, other water birds, raptors, or avian scavengers; mortality events involving any avian species with an aggregate total of more than 500 dead birds; mortality events in any avian species that occurred in close proximity to poultry operations; or mortality events associated with captive birds that were imported from countries where HPAI viruses were known to occur. In additional to these, captive birds, particularly raptors, that died following being fed meat obtained from wild hunted birds were also included in the PSP. Cloacal and tracheal swabs were collected from each submitted carcass and tested separately (6).

Samples were tested for avian influenza using the current National Animal Health Laboratory Network procedures. RNA was extracted using the MagMaxTM Viral AI/ND RNA isolation kit (Ambion, Carlsbad, CA) and tested for presence of the influenza A virus matrix gene, and matrix reversetranscriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)–positive samples were tested for H5 according to the methods of Spackman *et al.* (16). H5-positive samples were sent to National Veterinary Services Laboratories in Ames, IA, for confirmation and pathogenicity characterization by sequencing of the protease cleavage site or experimental infection as per World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) guidelines (13).

RESULTS

During the ASP, 1231 hunter-harvested wild bird samples from the states of Washington and Oregon were collected between December 22, 2014, and January 11, 2015. Samples originated from 26 different species, primarily ducks. Mallards (45.2%), American wigeon

Table 1. Species distribution and avian influenza status of ASP samples by species common name (scientific name); species in bold indicate HPAI H5 detected.

American coot 1 1 2 50.0 (Fulica americana) 36 6 179 215 16.7 American wigcon 36 6 179 215 16.7 (Max americana) 2 26 28 7.1 Buffehead 2 26 28 7.1 (Bucephala albeola) Cackling goose 3 30 33 9.1 (Branta butchinsii) Canada goose 0 26 26	Species	M ^A	H5 ^B	H7 ^C	Neg ^D	Total ^E	% MA pos ^F
American wigeon (Anas americana) 36 6 179 215 16.7 Bufflehead 2 26 28 7.1 Gueaphala albeola) 30 33 9.1 Cackling goose 3 30 33 9.1 (Branta hutchinsii) Canada goose 0 26 26 (Branta canadensis) 7 8 12.5 (Aythya valisineria) 7 8 12.5 (Aythya valisineria) 7 8 12.5 (Anas cyanoptera) 7 8 12.5 (Common goldeneye 0 3 3 (Bucephala clangula) 7 8 16 6 (Buceny sergera) 0 16 16 (Larus glaucescens) (Larus glaucescens) (Larus glaucescens) (Larus glaucescens) (Laphodytes cucullatus) (Laphodytes cucullatus) (Laphodytes cucullatus)		1			1	2	50.0
(Anas americana) Bufflehead 2 26 28 7.1 (Bucephala albeola) 3 30 33 9.1 (Branta lutchinsii) 2 26 28 7.1 Cankling goose 3 30 33 9.1 (Branta hutchinsii) 2 26 26 (Branta hutchinsii) 2 26 26 (Branta hutchinsii) 1 7 8 12.5 (Anas canadensis) 2 26 (Branta hutchinsii) Canvasback 1 7 8 12.5 (Aythya valisineria) 0 3 3 Common goldeneye 0 3 3 (Bucephala clangula) 0 1 1 Clavus glaucescens) 0 16 16 - Green-winged teal 8 1 48 56 14.3 (Anas recca) 0 2 2 - - Hooded merganser 0 2 2		36	6		179	215	16.7
(Bucephala albeola) Cackling goose 3 30 33 9.1 (Branua hutchinsit) Canada goose 0 26 26 (Branua canadensis) Canvasback 1 7 8 12.5 (Aythya valisineria) Cinnamon teal 1 3 4 25.0 (Anas cyanoptera) Common goldencye 0 3 3 Common goldencye 0 3 3 (Bucephala clangula) Crow, unspecified 1 2 3 33.3 Gadwall (Anas strepera) 0 16 16 Glaucous-winged gull 0 1 1 (Larus glaucescens) (Larus glaucescens) <							
Cackling goose 3 30 33 9.1 (Branta butchinsii) Canada goose 0 26 26 (Branta canadensis) Canvasback 1 7 8 12.5 (Aythya valisineria) Cinnamon teal 1 3 4 25.0 (Anas cyanoptera) Common goldeneye 0 3 3 Common goldeneye 0 16 16 Glaucous-winged gull 0 1 1 Clarus glaucescens) Green-winged teal 8 1 48 56 14.3 (Anas crecca)	Bufflehead	2			26	28	7.1
(Branta butchinsii) Canada goose 0 26 26 — (Branta canadensis) Canvasback 1 7 8 12.5 (Aythya valisineria) Cinnamon teal 1 3 4 25.0 (Anas cyanoptera) Common goldeneye 0 3 3 — Common goldeneye 0 3 3 — (Bucephala clangula) Common goldeneye 0 3 3 — Crow, unspecified 1 2 3 33.3 Gadwall (Anas strepera) 0 16 16 — Glaucous-winged gull 0 1 1 — (Larus glaucescens) Green-winged teal 8 1 48 56 14.3 (Anas crecca) Hooded merganser 0 2 2 — (Lophodytes cucullatus) Lesser scaup 2 8 10 20.0 (Aythya affinis) Mallard 83 11 474 557 14.9 (Anas acuta) Northern pintail 16 3 119 135 11.9 (Anas acuta)							
(Branta canadensis) Canvasback 1 7 8 12.5 (Aythya valisineria) 1 3 4 25.0 Cinnamon teal 1 3 4 25.0 (Anas cyanoptera) 2 3 3.3		3			30	33	9.1
Canvasback 1 7 8 12.5 (Aythya valisineria) 1 3 4 25.0 Cinnamon teal 1 3 4 25.0 (Anas cyanoptera) 0 3 3 Common goldeneye 0 3 3 (Bucephala clangula) 1 2 3 33.3 Gadwall (Anas strepera) 0 16 16 Glaucous-winged gull 0 1 1 (Larns glaucescens) 6 14.3 (Anas crecca) Hooded merganser 0 2 2 (Lophodytes cucullatus) Lesser scaup 2 8 10 20.0 (Aythya affinis) Mallard 83 11 474 557 14.9 (Anas clupeata) (Podilymbus podiceps) (Podilymbus podiceps) Northern shoveler 13 3 39 52 25.0 (Anas clypeata)	Canada goose	0			26	26	_
(Aythya valisineria)Cinnamon teal13425.0 $(Anas cyanoptera)$ Common goldeneye033 $(Bucephala clangula)$ Crow, unspecified12333.3Gadwall (Anas strepera)01616Glaucous-winged gull011 $(Larus glaucescens)$ Green-winged teal8 1 485614.3 (Anas crecca)Hooded merganser022(Lophodytes cucullatus)Lesser scaup281020.0(Aythya affinis) 831147455714.9 (Anas platyrbynchos)Northern pintail 16311913511.9 (Anas clupeata)11(Podilymbus podiceps)Red-breasted merganser011-Red-breasted merganser011(Mergus serrator)Ruddy duck022-Ruddy duck022(Oxyura jamaicensis)Snow goose01616-Sund scoter033(Melanitta perspicillata)White-winged scoter033-(Muthi sequescore)033(Mas scoter)022(Melanitta perspicillata)White-winged scoter	(Branta canadensis)						
Cinnamon teal 1 3 4 25.0 (Anas cyanoptera) Common goldeneye 0 3 3 (Bucephala clangula) Crow, unspecified 1 2 3 33.3 Gadwall (Anas strepera) 0 16 16 Glaucous-winged gull 0 1 1 (Larus glaucescens) 6 14.3 Green-winged teal 8 1 48 56 14.3 (Anas crecca) <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7</td> <td>8</td> <td>12.5</td>		1			7	8	12.5
(Anas cyanopterd) 0 3 3 $(Bucephala clangula)$ Crow, unspecified 1 2 3 33.3 Gadwall (Anas strepera) 0 16 16 Glaucous-winged gull 0 1 1 $(Larus glaucescens)$ Green-winged teal 8 1 48 56 14.3 $(Anas crecca)$ <td>(Aythya valisineria)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	(Aythya valisineria)						
Common goldeneye 0 3 3 (Bucephala clangula) Crow, unspecified 1 2 3 33.3 Gadwall (Anas strepera) 0 16 16 Glaucous-winged gull 0 1 1 (Larus glaucescens) Green-winged teal 8 1 48 56 14.3 (Anas crecca)		1			3	4	25.0
(Bucephala clangula)2333.3Grow, unspecified12333.3Gadwall (Anas strepera)01616Glaucous-winged gull011(Larus glaucescens) $\mathbf{Green-winged teal}$ 8 1 48 56 14.3 (Mas crecca)Hooded merganser022(Lophodytes cucullatus)81020.0Lesser scaup281020.0(Anas platyrhynaffinis)Mallard8311 474 557 14.9 (Anas platyrhynchos)Northern pintail16311913511.9(Anas cuta)Northern shoveler133395225.0(Anas clypeata)Pine-billed grebe011(Mergus serrator)Redhead033(Mudy duck022(Dxyuna jamaicensis)Sone goose01616(Chen caerulescens)Suff scoter							
Crow, unspecified 1 2 3 33.3 Gadwall (Anas strepera) 0 16 16 Glaucous-winged gull 0 1 1 Glaucous-winged gull 0 1 1 Green-winged teal 8 1 48 56 14.3 (Anas crecca) - - - - Hooded merganser 0 2 2 - (Laphodytes cucullatus) - - - - Lesser scaup 2 8 10 20.0 (Aythya affinis) - - - - Mallard 83 11 474 557 14.9 (Anas platyrhynchos) - - - - - Northern pintail 16 3 119 135 11.9 (Anas clypeata) - - - - - - Pine-billed grebe 0 1 1 - - - - - - -		0			3	3	_
Gadwall (Anas strepera) 0 16 16 — Glaucous-winged gull 0 1 1 — (Larus glaucescens) 8 1 48 56 14.3 (Anas crecca) 8 1 48 56 14.3 (Anas crecca) 2 2 — (Laphodytes cucullatus) Lesser scaup 2 8 10 20.0 (Aythya affinis) 83 11 474 557 14.9 (Anas platyrhynchos) Northern pintail 16 3 119 135 11.9 (Anas acuta) Northern shoveler 13 3 39 52 25.0 (Anas clypeata) 9		1			2	2	22.2
Glaucous-winged gull (Larus glaucescens)011Green-winged teal (Anas crecca)81485614.3Hooded merganser (Lophodytes cucullatus)022Lesser scaup (Aythya affinis)281020.0Mallard (Anas platyrhynchos)831147455714.9Northern pintail (Anas acuta)16311913511.9Northern shoveler (Padilymbus podiceps)133395225.0Red-breasted merganser (Margu serrator)011Redhead 	Crow, unspecified						33.3
(Larus glaucescens)Green-winged teal81485614.3(Anas crecca)122 $-$ Hooded merganser022 $-$ (Lophodytes cucullatus)281020.0Lesser scaup281020.0(Aythya affinis)831147455714.9Mallard831147455714.9(Anas platyrhynchos)Northern pintail16311913511.9(Anas acuta)Northern shoveler133395225.0(Anas clypeata)111Pine-billed grebe011-(Podilymbus podiceps)Red-breasted merganser011Redhead033-Redhead022-(Mergus serrator)Ruddy duck022-Snow goose01616-(Chen caerulescens)Surf scoter033-White-winged scoter033-Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3							_
Green-winged teal81485614.3(Anas crecca)Hooded merganser022 $$ (Lophodytes cucullatus)Lesser scaup281020.0(Aythya affinis)Mallard831147455714.9(Anas platyrhynchos)Northern pintail16311913511.9(Anas acuta)163395225.0(Anas clypeata)11(Podilymbus podiceps)Red-breasted merganser011(Mergus serrator)711Redhead033(Aythya americana)778.9Ruddy duck022(Melanitta perspicillata)722Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3		0			1	1	
(Anas crecca)Hooded merganser022 $-$ (Lophodytes cucullatus)281020.0(Aythya affinis)281020.0(Aythya affinis)831147455714.9(Anas platyrhynchos)011913511.9(Anas acuta)16311913511.9(Anas acuta)133395225.0Northern shoveler133395225.0(Anas clypeata)011Pine-billed grebe011(Mergus serrator)033Redhead033(Aythya americana)022Ruddy duck022(Delanaticensis)Snow goose01616(Chen caerulescens)Surf scoter033White-winged scoter033(Melanitta fusca)Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3		8	1		48	56	143
Hooded merganser (Lophodytes cucullatus)022 $-$ Lesser scaup (Aythya affinis)281020.0Mallard (Anas platyrhynchos)831147455714.9Northern pintail (Anas acuta)16311913511.9Northern shoveler (Anas clypeata)133395225.0Pine-billed grebe (Podilymbus podiceps)011Redhead (Mergus serrator)033Redhead (Aythya americana)022Ruddy duck (Oxyura jamaicensis)022Snow goose (Chen caerulescens)01616Surf scoter (Melanitta fusca)033Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3		0	•		10	50	11.5
(Lophodytes cucullatus)Lesser scaup281020.0(Aythya affinis)831147455714.9(Anas platyrhynchos)Northern pintail16311913511.9(Anas acuta)163395225.0Northern shoveler133395225.0(Anas clypeata)11Pine-billed grebe011(Podilymbus podiceps)811Redhead033(Aythya americana)81458.9Ring-necked duck441458.9(Aythya collaris)8Snow goose01616(Chen caerulescens)Surf scoter033White-winged scoter033(Melanitta fusca)Wood duck (Aix sponsa)167		0			2	2	
Lesser scaup281020.0 $(Aythya affinis)$ Mallard831147455714.9(Anas platyrhynchos)Northern pintail16311913511.9(Anas acuta)133395225.0Northern shoveler133395225.0(Anas clypeata)111Pine-billed grebe011(Podilymbus podiceps)Redhead033Redhead033(Mergus serrator)Ring-necked duck441458.9Ruddy duck022(Oxyura jamaicensis)Snow goose01616Surf scoter033(Melanitta perspicillata)White-winged scoter033Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3		0			2	2	
Mallard831147455714.9(Anas platyrbynchos)Northern pintail16311913511.9(Anas acuta)133395225.0Northern shoveler133395225.0(Anas clypeata)1111Pine-billed grebe011(Podilymbus podiceps)Red-breasted merganser011Redhead033(Mergus serrator)Ring-necked duck441458.9Ring-necked duck441458.9(Aythya americana)Snow goose01616Snow goose01616(Chen caerulescens)Surf scoter022White-winged scoter033(Melanitta fusca)16714.3	Lesser scaup	2			8	10	20.0
(Anas platyrhynchos)Northern pintail16311913511.9(Anas acuta)133395225.0Northern shoveler133395225.0(Anas clypeata)11Pine-billed grebe011-(Podilymbus podiceps)811-Red-breasted merganser011-(Mergus serrator)833-Redhead033-(Aythya americana)844145Ring-necked duck441458.9(Aythya collaris)8Ruddy duck022-(Oxyura jamaicensis)55-Snow goose01616-(Chen caerulescens)33-Surf scoter033-(Melanitta perspicillata)933-Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3		83	11		474	557	14.9
Northern pintail16311913511.9(Anas acuta)Northern shoveler133395225.0(Anas clypeata)Northern shoveler133395225.0Pine-billed grebe011-(Podilymbus podiceps)Northern shoveler11-Red-breasted merganser011-(Mergus serrator)Northern shoveler33-Redhead033(Aythya americana)Northern shoveler022-(May duck022(Oxyura jamaicensis)Snow goose01616-Surf scoter022(Melanitta perspicillata)White-winged scoter033-Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3							
(Anas acuta)Northern shoveler133395225.0(Anas clypeata) 1 1 $-$ (Podilymbus podiceps)Pine-billed grebe011 $-$ (Podilymbus podiceps) 1 1 $-$ Red-breasted merganser011 $-$ (Mergus serrator) 3 3 $-$ Redhead033 $-$ (Aythya americana) 41 458.9(Aythya collaris) 2 2 $-$ Ruddy duck0 2 2 $-$ (Oxyura jamaicensis) 5 5 5 Snow goose01616 $-$ (Chen caerulescens) 3 3 $-$ White-winged scoter0 3 3 $-$ (Melanitta fusca) 4 41 45 Wood duck (Aix sponsa) 1 6 7		16	3		119	135	11.9
(Anas clypeata)Pine-billed grebe011(Podilymbus podiceps)111(Mergus serrator)11Redhead033(Aythya americana)33Ring-necked duck441458.9(Aythya collaris)8Ruddy duck022(Oxyura jamaicensis)Snow goose01616(Chen caerulescens)Surf scoter022(Melanitta perspicillata)Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3	· · ·						
Pine-billed grebe011(Podilymbus podiceps)Red-breasted merganser011(Mergus serrator)8033Redhead033(Aythya americana)841458.9(Aythya collaris)822Ruddy duck022(Oxyura jamaicensis)555Snow goose01616(Chen caerulescens)555Surf scoter022(Melanitta perspicillata)6714.3	Northern shoveler	13		3	39	52	25.0
(Podilymbus podiceps)Red-breasted merganser011(Mergus serrator)833Redhead033(Aythya americana)841458.9(Aythya collaris)841458.9Ruddy duck022(Oxyura jamaicensis)555Snow goose01616(Chen caerulescens)522(Melanitta perspicillata)444White-winged scoter033(Melanitta fusca)4444Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3	(Anas clypeata)						
Red-breasted merganser011(Mergus serrator)033Redhead033(Aythya americana)022(Aythya collaris)022Ruddy duck022(Oxyura jamaicensis)01616Snow goose01616(Chen caerulescens)022Surf scoter033(Melanitta perspicillata)033Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3		0			1	1	—
(Mergus serrator)Redhead033(Aythya americana)33-Ring-necked duck441458.9(Aythya collaris)841458.9Ruddy duck022-(Oxyura jamaicensis)51616-Snow goose01616-(Chen caerulescens)5522Surf scoter022-(Melanitta perspicillata)433-White-winged scoter033-(Melanitta fusca)46714.3							
(Aythya americana)Ring-necked duck441458.9(Aythya collaris)22Ruddy duck022(Oxyura jamaicensis)51616Snow goose01616(Chen caerulescens)522Surf scoter022(Melanitta perspicillata)33White-winged scoter033(Melanitta fusca)16714.3		0			1	1	_
Ring-necked duck441458.9(Aythya collaris)Ruddy duck022(Oxyura jamaicensis)Snow goose01616Snow goose01616(Chen caerulescens)Surf scoter022(Melanitta perspicillata)White-winged scoter033(Melanitta fusca)Vood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3		0			3	3	
(Aythya collaris)Ruddy duck022(Oxyura jamaicensis)Snow goose01616(Chen caerulescens)Surf scoter022(Melanitta perspicillata)White-winged scoter033(Melanitta fusca)33-Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3							
Ruddy duck022(Oxyura jamaicensis)551616Snow goose01616(Chen caerulescens)522Surf scoter022(Melanitta perspicillata)33White-winged scoter033(Melanitta fusca)444Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3		4			41	45	8.9
(Oxyura jamaicensis)Snow goose01616(Chen caerulescens)022(Melanitta perspicillata)033(Melanitta fusca)033Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3		0			2	2	
Snow goose01616(Chen caerulescens)5522Surf scoter022(Melanitta perspicillata)33(Melanitta fusca)444Wood duck (Aix sponsa)167		0			2	2	
(Chen caerulescens)Surf scoter022(Melanitta perspicillata)033White-winged scoter033-(Melanitta fusca)044Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3		0			16	16	
Surf scoter022(Melanitta perspicillata)White-winged scoter033(Melanitta fusca)Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3		0			10	10	
(Melanitta perspicillata)White-winged scoter033(Melanitta fusca)06714.3		0			2	2	
White-winged scoter033—(Melanitta fusca)0001Wood duck (Aix sponsa)16714.3		0			2	2	
(Melanitta fusca) Wood duck (Aix sponsa) 1 6 7 14.3		0			3	3	
Wood duck (Aix sponsa) 1 6 7 14.3		0			5	5	
		1			6	7	143
	Summary	172	21	3	1059	1231	14.0

 ^{A}M = number of matrix RT-PCR positives.

 B H5 = number of H5 RT-PCR positives.

 $^{\rm C}$ H7 = number of H7 RT-PCR positives.

 $^{\rm D}$ Neg = number of matrix RT-PCR negatives.

^ETotal = total number of birds of each species sampled.

^F% MA pos = the percentage of samples positive by matrix RT-PCR.

(17.5%), and northern pintail (*Anas acuta*; 11%) made up 73.7% of the collection (Table 1). The overall prevalence of avian influenza as indicated by matrix RT-PCR was 14.0%, and individual viral prevalence among these three species was 14.9%, 16.7%, and 11.9%, respectively. Twenty-one of 172 matrix-positive samples were also

Table 2. The species distribution and avian influenza status of PSP samples by species common name (scientific name); species in bold indicate species found to be positive for HPAI H5.

Table 2. Continued.

Species	M^A	H5 ^B	Neg ^C	$Total^{D}$	% MA pos ¹
Aleutian Canada goose	0		1	1	
(Branta hutchinsii leucopareia)					
American coot	7		21	28	25.0
(Fulica americana)					
American crow	0		8	8	—
(Corvus brachyrhynchos)					
American robin	0		2	2	_
(Turdus migratorius)					
American white pelican	0		1	1	—
(Pelecanus erythrorhynchos)					
American wigeon	5	2	22	27	18.5
(Anas americana)	2	1	21	24	00
Bald eagle (<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>)	3	1	31	34	8.8
Barn owl (<i>Tyto alba</i>)	0		4	4	_
barred owl (<i>Strix varia</i>)	1		3	4	50.0
Barrow's goldeneye	0		1	1	
(Bucephala islandica)	0		1	1	
Black vulture (<i>Coragyps atratus</i>)	0		4	4	
Bufflehead (<i>Bucephala albeola</i>)	0		3	3	
Cackling goose	0		2	2	_
(Branta hutchinsii)	Ŭ		-	-	
California gull	0		3	3	
(Larus californicus)	-		2	Ľ	
Canada goose	3	2	7	10	30.0
(Branta canadensis)					
Canvasback (<i>Aythya valisineria</i>)	0		3	3	_
assin's auklet	0		7	7	_
(Ptychoramphus aleuticus)					
Common gallinule	0		5	5	_
(Gallinula galeata)					
Common goldeneye	0		8	8	—
(Bucephala clangula)	_				
Common grackle	0		1	1	—
(Quiscalus quiscula)	_		,	,	
Common loon (<i>Gavia immer</i>)	0		4	4	
Common murre (<i>Uria aalge</i>)	0		6	6	
Common poorwill	0		3	3	_
(Phalaenoptilus nuttallii)	4	2	1	10	/n n
Cooper's hawk	4	2	6	10	40.0
(<i>Accipiter cooperii</i>) Crow, unspecified	Δ		1	1	
Double-crested cormorant	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\end{array}$		1 6	1 6	_
(<i>Phalacrocorax auritus</i>)	0		0	0	
Eared grebe (<i>Podiceps nigricollis</i>)	0		2	2	_
Eurasian collared-dove	0		1	1	_
(Streptopelia decaocto)	0		1	T	
European starling	0		1	1	
(Sturnus vulgaris)	Ŭ		-	-	
Ferruginous hawk (Buteo regalis)	0		1	1	
Gadwall (<i>Anas strepera</i>)	0		6	6	_
Glaucous-winged gull	Ő		2	2	
(Larus glaucescens)	-		-	-	
Golden eagle (Aquila chrysaetos)	0		5	5	_
Great horned owl (Bubo	1	1	4	5	20.0
virginianus)				-	
Greater white-fronted goose	0		3	3	
(Anser albifrons)	-		-	-	
Green-winged teal (Anas crecca)	1		1	2	50.0
Gyrfalcon (Falco rusticolus)	2	2	0	2	100.0
Gyrfalcon hybrid	1	1	Õ	1	100.0
Hooded merganser	0		1	1	_
(Lophodytes cucullatus)					

Species	M^{A}	H5 ^B	Neg ^C	$Total^{\mathrm{D}}$	% MA pos ¹
Horned grebe (<i>Podiceps auritus</i>)	0		1	1	_
Lesser scaup (Aythya affinis)	0		11	11	—
Lesser snow goose	0		8	8	_
(Chen caerulescens caerulescens)					
Long-eared owl (Asio otus)	0		4	4	—
Mallard (Anas platyrbynchos)	12	4	82	94	12.8
Marbled murrelet	0		2	2	—
(Brachyramphus marmoratus)					
Mew gull (Larus canus)	0		2	2	—
Mississippi sandhill crane	0		1	1	—
(Grus canadensis pulla)					
Mourning dove	0		2	2	
(Zenaida macroura)			,	_	
Mute swan (<i>Cygnus olor</i>)	1		4	5	20.0
Northern goshawk	0		1	1	
(Accipiter gentilis)		_	. (
Northern pintail (Anas acuta)	2	1	14	16	12.5
Northern shoveler (<i>Anas clypeata</i>)	1	-	3	4	25.0
Peregrine falcon	2	2	1	3	66.7
(Falco peregrinus)	0				
Red-breasted merganser	0		1	1	_
(Mergus serrator)	•	•	0	10	
Red-tailed hawk	3	2	9	12	25.0
(Buteo jamaicensis)				_	
Redhead (<i>Aythya americana</i>)	0		1	1	—
Ring-necked duck	0		3	3	_
(Aythya collaris)	1		1	2	50.0
Ring-necked pheasant	1		1	2	50.0
(Phasianus colchicus)	2		0	11	10.2
Ross's goose (<i>Chen rossii</i>)	2		9	11	18.2
Ruddy duck (<i>Oxyura jamaicensis</i>)	0		14	14	_
Rusty blackbird (<i>Euphagus carolinus</i>)	0		3	3	_
Sandhill crane (Grus canadensis)	1		2	3	33.3
Sharp-shinned hawk (<i>Accipiter striatus</i>)	0		6	6	—
Snow goose (Chen caerulescens)	0		30	30	
Snowy owl (Bubo scandiacus)	1	1	2	3	33.3
Steller's jay (<i>Cyanocitta stelleri</i>)	0		2	2	
Surf scoter	0		1	1	
(Melanitta perspicillata)					
Trumpeter swan	10		38	48	21.3
(Cygnus buccinator)					
Tundra swan (<i>Cygnus</i>	0		8	8	—
columbianus columbianus)	2		2	-	60.0
Varied thrush (<i>Ixoreus naevius</i>)	2		3	5	40.0
Whooping crane	0		2	2	
(Grus americana)	0		2	2	
Wild turkey (<i>Meleagris gallopavo</i>)	0	21	3	3	
Summary	66	21 ositives	464	530	4.0

 ^{A}M = number of matrix RT-PCR positives.

 B H5 = number of H5 RT-PCR positives.

 C Neg = number of matrix RT-PCR negatives.

^DTotal = total number of birds of each noted species sampled.

^E% MA pos = the percentage of samples positive by matrix RT-PCR.

positive for H5 by RT-PCR (12.2%). H5-positive species included the same three species that constituted the majority of the sample but also included one green-winged teal (*Anas crecca*). The prevalence of H5 among matrix-positive samples was: northern pintail, 18.8% (3/16); American wigeon, 16.7% (6/36); mallard, 13.3% (11/83); and green-winged teal, 12.5% (1/8). H7 was detected in just three northern shovelers (*Anas clypeata*). We were only able to characterize

11 of the 21 H5-positive samples. One, from a northern pintail, had a predicted amino-acid sequence at the hemagglutinin protease cleavage site characteristic of low pathogenic avian H5. Ten H5 viruses belonging to HPAI H5 clade 2.3.4.4 were identified and included three H5N8, four H5N2, and three H5N1 (17). Taken together, the ASP identified three different HPAI viruses cocirculating in four species of apparently healthy waterfowl and provided early evidence of the spread of the viruses in Washington and adjacent Oregon beyond Whatcom County, Washington.

The PSP resulted in a total of 536 dead birds submitted to the NWHC for avian influenza testing between December 2014 and April 2015. These submissions represented 72 species from 33 states, and 66 (12.5%) of the carcasses were positive for avian influenza as indicated by matrix RT-PCR (Table 2). Twenty-one of 66 (31.8%) matrix-positive samples were H5 positive, and 18 HPAI H5 viruses were further characterized as H5N8 (n = 4) and H5N2 (n = 14). All were genetically similar to the viruses identified by ASP, and the viruses identified by PSP stemmed from 11 species (Table 2) that originated from seven states, including Idaho, Kansas, Missouri, Minnesota, Montana, Washington, and Wisconsin (data not shown). Consistent with the initial detection of HPAI in gyrfalcons (Falco rusticolus), 7 of 11 species determined to harbor HPAI by PSP were raptors, including a bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus), a Cooper's hawk (Accipiter cooperii), three captive gyrfalcon/ gyrfalcon hybrids, one wild and one captive peregrine falcon (Falco peregrinus), two red-tailed hawks (Buteo jamaicensis), and a snowy owl (Bubo scandiacus). A captive great horned owl (Bubo virginianus), which was a long-time resident in a rehabilitation facility, was also infected and had been fed part of a hunter-shot mallard carcass according to treatment records. In contrast to the lack of clinical signs in Anseriformes, the raptors that were examined all exhibited multifocal hemorrhage and necrosis in multiple tissues, similar to the index gryfalcon (7). Overall, PSP facilitated the first detections of H5Nx in two states, in nine counties, as well as the earliest wild-bird detections of H5Nx in Kansas, Missouri, Minnesota, and Wisconsin.

DISCUSSION

In late 2014, the first-ever introduction of HPAI occurred in North America. Surprisingly, the initial detection in Canada was a reassortant H5N2 virus that retained its ability to be pathogenic to poultry (14). In the United States, the reassortant H5N2 virus and the entirely Eurasian-origin H5N8 virus were detected in birds submitted as part of a national PSP (15). Upon discovery of the introduction of HPAI and the possible role of migratory birds in its introduction and spread, an ASP was conducted in Washington and Oregon, and the PSP was enhanced nationwide. The ASP identified an additional reassortant virus, H5N1, that was cocirculating in wild birds (18). Through initial PSP and ASP, at least four species of apparently healthy waterfowl were found to be infected with H5Nx, providing early evidence of spread beyond the area adjacent to the Canadian affected zone, including into adjacent Oregon.

Since that time, the viruses, especially H5N2, have spread into multiple flyways. The PSP, which covers a broad geographic area and is species neutral, has identified 12 additional H5Nx viruses and provided the first detections of HPAI in two states and in nine counties. Moreover, the PSP highlighted that raptors, as a group, appear to be particularly susceptible to H5Nx, as 11 of 18 of the isolates originated from hawks, eagles, and owls. Overall, raptors accounted for 17.7% (94/530) of the PSP sample but 52.4% (11/21) of the H5 positives;

Table 3. Summary of ASP and PSP RT-PCR results and HPAI virus identification.

Categories	Active ^D	Active ^E (%)	Passive ^F	Passive ^G (%)
M ^A	172	14.0	66	12.5
H5 ^B	21	1.7	21	4.0
HPAI H5 ^C	10	0.8	18	3.4
Total	1231		530	

 ^{A}M = number of matrix RT-PCR positives.

 B H5 = number of H5 RT-PCR positives.

^CHPAI H5 = number of highly pathogenic H5 viruses identified.

^DActive = active surveillance program.

^EActive (%) = proportion of positives in the ASP in the same categories.

 $^{\rm F}$ Passive = passive surveillance program.

^GPassive (%) = proportion of positives in the PSP in the same categories.

all H5 detections in raptors were HPAI. Of note, two Canada geese (*Branta canadensis*) that were reported to have exhibited neurologic signs before death were analyzed through the PSP, and H5N2 was isolated from both of these birds, indicating that Canada goose may be one of the few Anseriformes species that exhibits clinical signs of HPAI infection. In contrast to the PSP, the ASP identified HPAI H5Nx in apparently healthy hunter-harvested waterfowl, providing evidence that wild ducks can survive infection with these viruses. By sampling large numbers of birds, a better understanding of the prevalence of these viruses across the landscape can be achieved. In addition, this strategy also identified a novel reassortant not seen in the PSP.

In contrast to the spread of H5N8 and several reassortants following introduction to North America, the introduction of H5N8 to Europe was associated with very few wild birds (5,19). Sampling of over 4018 wild birds in the Netherlands detected only two examples of H5N8 (19). In contrast, the ASP in Washington and northern Oregon described here revealed an H5 prevalence rate of 1.7% (Table 3). This is a much higher proportion of H5 infection in wild birds when compared to the 2006–07 surveillance program in the Pacific Flyway, in which 0.41% (83/20371) of wild bird samples were H5 positive, all of which were low pathogenic viruses (2). In contrast, the prevalence of HPAI H5Nx viruses as identified by the 2014–15 ASP (0.8%) was approximately double the H5 prevalence rate in the same region in 2006–07.

Finally, we propose that the substantially higher detection rate of HPAI H5 from the PSP (3.4%, n = 18/530) compared to the ASP (0.8%, n = 10/1231) indicates that PSP can facilitate efficient and cost-effective detection of HPAI in wild birds (Table 3). We acknowledge that a successful PSP requires adequate reporting of sick and dead wild birds, and, in the present case, a sampling period that coincided (in hindsight) with active HPAI transmission in wild birds. Furthermore, while the majority of waterfowl do not exhibit overt field signs of HPAI infection, the PSP program suggests that sufficient numbers of susceptible apex predators (i.e., raptors) succumb to infection so as to compensate and facilitate detection. In addition to the utility of the PSP in the timely detection of HPAI in wild birds, national wild-bird morbidity and mortality surveillance provides a geographic- and species-independent strategy for early detection of other disease introduction events where a priori knowledge of species susceptibility may be lacking. However, with either strategy, some information will be missed, and a full understanding of the goals of surveillance along with the strategies to meet those goal should be thoroughly evaluated prior to the start of any program.

REFERENCES

1. Bouwstra, R., R. Heutink, A. Bossers, F. Harders, G. Koch, and A. Elbers. Full-genome sequence of influenza A (H5N8) virus in poultry linked to sequences of strains from Asia, the Netherlands, 2014. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 21:872–874. 2015.

2. Dusek, R. J., J. B. Bortner, T. J. DeLiberto, J. Hoskins, J. C. Franson, B. D. Bales, D. Yparraguirre, S. R. Swafford, and H. S. Ip. Surveillance for high pathogenicity avian influenza virus in wild birds in the Pacific Flyway of the United States, 2006–2007. Avian Dis. 53:222–230. 2009.

3. Fan, S., L. Zhou, D. Wu, X. Gao, E. Pei, T. Wang, Y. Gao, and X. Xia. A novel highly pathogenic H5N8 avian influenza virus isolated from a wild duck in China. Influenza Other Resp. Virus. 8:646–653. 2014.

4. Hanna, A., J. Banks, D. A. Marston, R. J. Ellis, S. M. Brookes, and I. H. Brown. Genetic characterization of highly pathogenic avian influenza (H5N8) virus from domestic ducks, England, November 2014. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 21:879–882. 2015.

5. Harder, T., S. Maurer-Stroh, A. Pohlmann, E. Starick, D. Horeth-Bontgen, K. Albrecht, G. Pannwitz, J. Teifke, V. Gunalan, R. T. Lee, C. Sauter-Louis, T. Homeier, C. Staubach, C. Wolf, G. Strebelow, D. Hoper, C. Grund, F. J. Conraths, T. C. Mettenleiter, and M. Beer. Influenza A (H5N8) virus similar to strain in Korea causing highly pathogenic avian influenza in Germany. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 21:860–863. 2015.

6. Ip, H. S., R. J. Dusek, and D. M. Heisey. The effect of swab sample choice on the detection of avian influenza in apparently healthy wild ducks. Avian Dis. 56:114–119. 2012.

7. Ip, H. S., M. K. Torchetti, R. Crespo, P. Kohrs, P. DeBruyn, K. G. Mansfield, T. Baszler, L. Badcoe, B. Bodenstein, V. Shearn-Bochsler, M. L. Killian, J. C. Pedersen, N. Hines, T. Gidlewski, T. DeLiberto, and J. M. Sleeman. Novel Eurasian highly pathogenic avian influenza A H5 viruses in wild birds, Washington, USA, 2014. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 21:886–890. 2015.

8. Jeong, J., H. M. Kang, E. K. Lee, B. M. Song, Y. K. Kwon, H. R. Kim, K. S. Choi, J. Y. Kim, H. J. Lee, O. K. Moon, W. Jeong, J. Choi, J. H. Baek, Y. S. Joo, Y. H. Park, H. S. Lee, and Y. J. Lee. Highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (H5N8) in domestic poultry and its relationship with migratory birds in South Korea during 2014. Vet. Microbiol. 173: 249–257. 2014.

9. Kanehira, K., Y. Uchida, N. Takemae, H. Hikono, R. Tsunekuni, and T. Saito. Characterization of an H5N8 influenza A virus isolated from chickens during an outbreak of severe avian influenza in Japan in April 2014. Arch. Virol. 160:1629–1643. 2015.

10. Kwon, J. H., D. H. Lee, D. E. Swayne, J. Y. Noh, S. S. Yuk, T. O. Erdene-Ochir, W. T. Hong, J. H. Jeong, S. Jeong, G. B. Gwon, and C. S. Song. Highly pathogenic avian influenza A (H5N8) viruses reintroduced into South Korea by migratory waterfowl, 2014–2015. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 22:507–510. 2016.

11. Lee, Y. J., H. M. Kang, E. K. Lee, B. M. Song, J. Jeong, Y. K. Kwon, H. R. Kim, K. J. Lee, M. S. Hong, I. Jang, K. S. Choi, J. Y. Kim, H. J. Lee, M. S. Kang, O. M. Jeong, J. H. Baek, Y. S. Joo, Y. H. Park, and H. S. Lee. Novel reassortant influenza A(H5N8) viruses, South Korea, 2014. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 20:1087–1089. 2014.

12. [OIE] World Organisation for Animal Health. Weekly disease information [Internet]. 2014. Available from: http://www.oie.int/wahis_2/public/ wahid.php/Diseaseinformation/WI.

13. OIE. Avian influenza. In: Terrestrial manual. OIE, Rome, Italy. pp. 1–23. 2015.

14. Pasick, J., Y. Berhane, T. Joseph, V. Bowes, T. Hisanaga, K. Handel, and S. Alexandersen. Reassortant highly pathogenic influenza A H5N2 virus containing gene segments related to Eurasian H5N8 in British Columbia, Canada, 2014. Sci. Rep. 5:9484. 2015.

15. Sleeman, J. M. NWHC plan for avian influenza surveillance of wild birds. National Wildlife Health Center, Madison, WI, Wildlife Health Bulletin 2012-03. 2012.

16. Spackman, E., D. A. Senne, T. J. Myers, L. L. Bulaga, L. P. Garber, M. L. Perdue, K. Lohman, L. T. Daum, and D. L. Suarez. Development of a real-time reverse transcriptase PCR assay for type A influenza virus and the avian H5 and H7 hemagglutinin subtypes. J. Clin. Microbiol. 40: 3256–3260. 2002.

17. Torchetti, M. K., M. L. Killian, R. J. Dusek, J. C. Pedersen, N. Hines, B. Bodenstein, C. L. White, and H. S. Ip. Novel H5 clade 2.3.4.4 reassortant (H5N1) virus from a green-winged teal in Washington, USA. Genome Announc. 3:e00195-15. 2015.

18. Verhagen, J. H., S. Herfst, and R. A. Fouchier. Infectious disease. How a virus travels the world. Science 347:616–617. 2015.

19. Verhagen, J. H., H. P. van der Jeugd, B. A. Nolet, R. Slaterus, S. P. Kharitonov, P. P. de Vries, O. Vuong, F. Majoor, T. Kuiken, and R. A. Fouchier. Wild bird surveillance around outbreaks of highly pathogenic avian influenza A (H5N8) virus in the Netherlands, 2014, within the context of global flyways. Euro Surveill. 2015;20(12):pii=21069. Article DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES2015.20.12.21069

20. Zhao, K., M. Gu, L. Zhong, Z. Duan, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhu, G. Zhao, M. Zhao, Z. Chen, S. Hu, W. Liu, X. Liu, D. Peng, and X. Liu. Characterization of three H5N5 and one H5N8 highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses in China. Vet. Microbiol. 163:351–357. 2013.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the staff at our respective organizations for their dedication and expert technical assistance in the operation of this project. Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. government.