

#### Strathprints Institutional Repository

### Macgregor, George and Dunsire, Gordon (2004) CC-interop : a post mortem. University of Strathclyde, Glasgow. ,

This version is available at http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/59961/

**Strathprints** is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<u>http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/</u>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to Strathprints administrator: <a href="mailto:strathprints@strath.ac.uk">strathprints@strath.ac.uk</a>



# CC-interop: A Post Mortem

http://ccinterop.cdlr.strath.ac.uk/

George Macgregor & Gordon Dunsire, Centre for Digital Library Research, Department of Computer & Information Sciences



### Introduction

- George: Introduction / Background and Work Package A
- Gordon: Work Package B (Work Package C) and the Future!!!!

All project reports are available at: <a href="http://ccinterop.cdlr.strath.ac.uk/">http://ccinterop.cdlr.strath.ac.uk/</a>



### What is a Distributed Catalogue?

- Each institution has a database located at the heart of their Library Management System
- This database can be accessed from outside the institution
- Searches can be performed using Z39.50:
  - 'Z': information retrieval protocol
  - A 'broadcast search' can be conducted (involves searching multiple databases / targets simultaneously)
- Software gathers results from the remote databases and presents them to the user

• Search can be a sub-set of databases available (e.g. CAIRNS has 1-19+, InforM25 1-36 in and around London area)



## What is COPAC?

- COPAC = the CURL OPAC
- Institutional databases copied and 'fused together'
- Thus producing a single, mammoth, database
- Weekly data loads
- 26 UK library members, including BL
- Administered by MIMAS, Manchester Computing
- On behalf of the JISC





Remote databases in library systems



### **CC-interop Project**

• **CC-interop** = "COPAC/Clumps Continuing

**Technical Cooperation Project**"

- Funded by JISC *via* the JISC Committee for the Information Environment
- Duration: May 2002 April 2004 (Final Report Submitted to JISC in July 2004)
- Three work packages:
  - WP A M25 Systems Team & MIMAS
  - WP B CDLR [& RIDING]
  - WP C CERLIM & project partners



### WP A

 Thorough technical investigations of cross-searching/linking between different architectures

- •Tasks:
- Comparing how searches are carried out at target database
- Analysis of record retrieval process
- Performance testing
- Detailed technical analysis of 'combined' architecture options



### WP B

Using CAIRNS (CDLR) and RIDING clumps with the SCONE Collection Level Description (CLD) service for:

- Investigating and specifying collection description standards requirements
- Looking at CLD schemas in relation to both the clumps and COPAC
- Looking at the intelligent selection of databases in clumps by CLDs, based on dynamic landscaping
- Working towards guidelines for coping with variations in cataloguing & indexing practices to facilitate interoperability [between the clumps and COPAC]



### WP C

- User Behaviour Study area such as:
  - What do users do when they search large union catalogues?
  - Do they understand what it is they are searching?
  - Do they find what they are looking for?
  - What features would they like to see?
- CERLIM (MMU)
  - 1:1 user sessions at 3 partner sites
    - Pre-search questionnaire
    - Recorded searches of 'local' clump and COPAC (Snag It)
    - Interview immediately after to discuss their experience
  - 3 focus groups of librarians
    - Set of 10 questions about a range of issues
- Report available on the project web site!



### But, to what end?

- To continue work undertaken by previous JISC funded programmes, eLib Phase 3, etc. Component of the Research Libraries Network (RLN)
- UK National Catalogue (formerly known as UKNUC):
  - Still on the JISC agenda
  - Likely to incorporate national, university and large public libraries
  - Likely to be a mix of physical and distributed architectures
- To complement the Serials Union Catalogue
  - SUNCAT project at EDINA



### WP A

#### As mentioned, the primary remit of WPA was to investigate interoperability between union catalogues of distributed and non-distributed architectures

This entailed:

 Investigating whether both models could be connected (i.e. adding a clump to COPAC and vice versa)

• Investigating relevant issues pertaining to searching performance, results issues, landscaping, etc.



### **WP A Method**

- InforM25 Copy (CC25): added as COPAC Z-target
- Deployment of JAFER as middleware: 'Java Access to Electronic Resources' developed at Oxford for JISC 5/99

•Free Open Source software

•Customised for the purposes of CC-interop (Logging facilities augmented, Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformations (XSLT), Concatenations (mini-clump))



# WP A Method (cont.)

COPAC Interface Copy: Enable independent logging, etc.

Results & Display Issues: Detailed analysis of COPAC search result manipulation and display issues. Could they be applied in a distributed environment?



# **Outputs & Results (WP A)**

### Semantic interoperability & index composition

• Technical interoperability relatively 'easy', but limited semantic interoperability

• Disparate cataloguing & indexing practices impairing semantic interoperability (detailed findings & analysis of conclusions outlined in the CAIRNS final report)

• COPAC exploits features peculiar to physical union models (COPAC can enrich indexing, thus potentially improving the retrieval of relevant records)



# **Outputs & Results (WP A) (cont.)**

### **Technical interoperability**

• JAFER meets many of the needs for distributed catalogue services & could be used by the clumps. Further exploitation of JAFER recommended in IE. (JAFER further investigated by CREE (Contextual Resource Evaluation Environment) as we speak...)

• Technically possible to landscape using JAFER as middleware

• Query reconfiguration can be carried out within the middleware to ensure optimal searching of different Z-targets (although this functionality would not be necessary if there was wider adoption of the Bath Profile)



# **Outputs & Results (WP A) (cont.)**

### **Results processing**

• Problems with record matching, de-duplication, consolidation, ranking in most distributed services

• COPAC on-the-fly routines could feasibly be applied to the clumps (such routines would possibly benefit from revision to reflect rapidly changing user behaviour – see WPC, work of CIBER)

- Further testing is needed as the algorithms developed by COPAC would add value to results display
- Transaction time: **Is a trade off is needed?**



# **Outputs & Results (WP A) (cont.)**

### **Response Times**

• 90% of response were received in under 1 second, with some responding in less than 0.125 seconds; Broad & fast times worthy of further investigation

• No servers showed slower response times during what would be consider 'peak' periods of heavy use of the local OPAC (i.e. mid-morning to early evening)

• Generally good performance: response problems the result of non-response and how this is handled by the client software

**Further investigation**: short time-outs & MORE user research; response times & Boolean; quick & dirty Z installations;



Over to Gordon.....