#### **Accepted Manuscript**

On the stability of homogeneous steady states of a chemotaxis system with logistic growth term

M.A.J. Chaplain, J.I. Tello

 PII:
 S0893-9659(15)00342-0

 DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2015.12.001

 Reference:
 AML 4897

To appear in: *Applied Mathematics Letters* 

Received date: 2 October 2015 Revised date: 2 December 2015 Accepted date: 3 December 2015



Please cite this article as: M.A.J. Chaplain, J.I. Tello, On the stability of homogeneous steady states of a chemotaxis system with logistic growth term, *Appl. Math. Lett.* (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2015.12.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# On the stability of homogeneous steady states of a chemotaxis system with logistic growth term

M.A.J. Chaplain<sup>1</sup> and J.I. Tello<sup>2</sup>

1. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Mathematical Institute. University of St Andrews, St Andrews KY16 9SS Scotland, U.K.

2. Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, 28031 Madrid, Spain.

#### Abstract

We consider a nonlinear PDEs system of Parabolic-Elliptic type with chemotactic terms. The system models the movement of a population "n" towards a higher concentration of a chemical "c" in a bounded domain  $\Omega$ .

We consider constant chemotactic sensitivity  $\chi$  and an elliptic equation to describe the distribution of the chemical

$$n_t - d_n \Delta n = -\chi \operatorname{div}(n \nabla c) + \mu n(1-n),$$
  
$$-d_c \Delta c + c = h(n)$$

for a monotone increasing and lipschitz function h.

We study the asymptotic behavior of solutions under the assumption of  $2\chi |h'| < \mu$ . As a result of the asymptotic stability we obtain the uniqueness of the strictly positive steady states.

Keywords: Chemotaxis, Stability, Steady state, lower and upper solutions.

# 1 Introduction

Mathematical models of chemotaxis were introduced by Keller and Segel [1] in order to model the movement and aggregation of amoebae responding to a chemical stimulus. In the last four decades, chemotactic terms have been used to model different types of biological phenomena, as angiogenesis, morphogenesis, immune system response etc. The specific model we are studying, features a coupled system of two PDEs: a parabolic equation with a logistic growth term modeling the density of a population n,

(1.1) 
$$n_t - d_n \Delta n = -\chi \operatorname{div}(n\nabla c) + \mu n(1-n) \quad \text{in } x \in \Omega, \ t \in (0,T),$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>The second author is partially supported by Ministry of partially supported by the research projects MTM2013-42907-P, Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain.

where  $d_n$ ,  $\chi$  and  $\mu$  are positive constants and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain with regular boundary  $\partial \Omega$ . An elliptic equation describes the concentration of a chemical substance c, which acts as the chemoattractant:

(1.2) 
$$-d_c\Delta c = h(n) - c \qquad \text{in } x \in \Omega$$

with initial datum and boundary conditions

(1.3) 
$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \quad \text{on } x \in \partial\Omega, \ t \in (0,T).$$

(1.4) 
$$n(0) = n_0 \quad \text{on } x \in \Omega,$$

(1.5) 
$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \quad \text{on } x \in \partial \Omega.$$

Solutions to (1.1)-(1.5) which are biologically meaningful must satisfy

$$(1.6) n \ge 0, c \ge 0.$$

The function h represents the production of the chemical substance by the living organisms, which, depending on the process, can take different forms. In the literature the function h has different representations:

• h(n) = n, see Jäger and Luckhaus [3] and Tello and Winkler [7]

(1.7) 
$$h(n) = s \frac{n}{\beta + n}$$

where c satisfies a parabolic equation (see Orme and Chaplain [4])

• A polynomial function  $h(n) = n^p$ .

Myerscough *et al.* [5] study numerically the steady states of (1.1)-(1.5) and (1.7) focusing on the role of boundary conditions. In [5] the authors found non-constant steady states for a range of boundary conditions including (1.3) (1.5). The parameters studied in [5] are not considered in Theorem 1.2.

We will study the problem (1.1)-(1.5), for a general function h satisfying

$$(1.8)$$
 *h* is locally lipschitz function,

there exists a positive constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that

(1.9) 
$$0 \le h' \le \frac{\mu d_c}{2\chi} (1-\alpha)$$

We also assume that the initial data  $u_0 \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  for some p > N,

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \vec{n}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial \Omega$$

and there exists positive constants  $\underline{n}_0$  and  $\overline{n}_0$  such that

$$(1.10) 0 < \underline{n}_0 \le n_0 \le \overline{n}_0 < \infty.$$

In Section 2 we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** Under assumptions (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10), there exists a unique solution (n, c) to (1.1)-(1.5) and it satisfies

(1.11) 
$$|n-1|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + |c-h(1)|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ when } t \longrightarrow \infty.$$

In Section 3 we shall use Theorem 1.1 to study the steady states of (1.1)-(1.5). The result is enclosed in the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.2** Under assumptions (1.8) and (1.9), the strictly positive steady states of problem (1.1)-(1.5) satisfying (1.6) and (1.7) are

$$n = 1, \quad c = h(1).$$

### 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Expanding the chemotaxis term in (1.1) we obtain

$$n_t - d_n \Delta n = -\chi \nabla n \cdot \nabla c - \chi n \Delta c + \mu n(1 - n)$$
 in  $x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T)$ .

Thanks to (1.2), (1.1)-(1.5) becomes

(2.12) 
$$n_t - d_n \Delta n = -\chi \nabla n \cdot \nabla c + \frac{\chi}{d_c} n(h(n) - c) + \mu n(1 - n) \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T),$$

(2.13) 
$$-d_c \Delta c = h(n) - c \qquad \text{in } x \in \Omega,$$

(2.14)  $\frac{\partial n}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \quad \text{on } x \in \partial\Omega, \ t \in (0,T), \qquad n(0) = n_0 \quad \text{on } x \in \Omega,$ 

(2.15) 
$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 \qquad \text{on } x \in \partial \Omega.$$

We introduce the system of ODEs:

(2.16) 
$$\overline{n}_t = \frac{\chi}{d_c} \overline{n} (h(\overline{n}) - h(\underline{n}) + \frac{\mu d_c}{\chi} (1 - \overline{n})), \qquad \overline{n}(0) = \overline{n}_0 := \max_{x \in \Omega} \{n_0(x)\},$$

(2.17) 
$$\underline{n}_t = \frac{\chi}{d_c} \underline{n}(h(\underline{n}) - h(\overline{n}) + \frac{\mu d_c}{\chi}(1 - \underline{n})), \qquad \underline{n}(0) = \underline{n}_0 := \min_{x \in \Omega} \{n_0(x)\}.$$

Lemma 2.1 Under assumptions (1.8)-(1.10), the solutions to (2.16), (2.17) satisfies

 $0 < \underline{n} \le \overline{n}, \qquad for \quad t > 0$ 

if  $\underline{n}_0 \leq \overline{n}_0$ .

**Proof.** Since h is locally Lipschitz function, using (1.8) and (1.9), we know that there exists a unique solution  $(\underline{n}, \overline{n})$  in  $(-\epsilon, \infty)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since the solution to (2.17) with initial datum  $\underline{n}_0 = 0$  is  $\underline{n} = 0$ , and  $\underline{n}_0 > 0$ , by uniqueness of the problem (2.16)-(2.17) we obtain  $0 < \underline{n}$  for all  $t < \infty$ . Let  $\tilde{n}$  be the solution to the problem

(2.18) 
$$\tilde{n}_t = \mu \tilde{n} (1 - \tilde{n}).$$

Then, taking initial data  $\underline{n}_0 = \overline{n}_0 > 0$ , it results in the fact that  $\underline{n} = \overline{n} = \tilde{n}$  is the unique solution to (2.16) and (2.17). Uniqueness of the problem (2.18) and the inequality  $\underline{n}_0 \leq \overline{n}_0$  prove that  $\underline{n} \leq \overline{n}$  and the proof ends.

**Remark 2.1** Note that, as a consequence of the previous lemma and (1.9),  $\overline{n}$  satisfies  $\overline{n}_t \geq \mu \overline{n}(1-\overline{n})$  and  $\overline{n}_t \leq \mu \overline{n}(1-\frac{1}{2}\overline{n})$ , and then

(2.19) 
$$\min\{\overline{u}_0, 1\} \le \overline{u} \le \max\{\overline{u}_0, 2\}.$$

Lemma 2.2 Under assumptions (1.8), (1.9), the solutions to (2.16), (2.17) satisfies

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \underline{n} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \overline{n} = 1.$$

**Proof:** Multiplying by  $\frac{1}{\overline{n}}$  in (2.16) and by  $\frac{1}{\underline{n}}$  in (2.17), we obtain

(2.20) 
$$\frac{\overline{n}_t}{\overline{n}} = \frac{\chi}{d_c} (h(\overline{n}) - h(\underline{n}) + \frac{\mu d_c}{\chi} (1 - \overline{n})),$$

(2.21) 
$$\frac{\underline{n}_t}{\underline{n}} = \frac{\chi}{d_c} (h(\underline{n}) - h(\overline{n}) + \frac{\mu d_c}{\chi} (1 - \underline{n})).$$

Substracting the above expressions we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt}(Ln\frac{\overline{n}}{\underline{n}}) &= \frac{\chi}{d_c}[2(h(\overline{u}) - h(\underline{n})) + \frac{\mu d_c}{\chi}(\underline{n} - \overline{n})],\\ &\leq \frac{\chi}{d_c}[2\max\{h'\}(\overline{n} - \underline{n}) + \frac{\mu d_c}{\chi}(\underline{n} - \overline{n})], \end{split}$$

and by (1.9), we have the result

(2.22) 
$$\frac{d}{dt}(Ln\frac{\overline{n}}{\underline{n}}) \leq -\alpha(\overline{n}-\underline{n}).$$

Integrating (2.22), and by Lemma 2.1 we obtain  $Ln_{\underline{n}}^{\underline{n}} \leq Ln_{\underline{n}_0}^{\underline{n}_0}$  and then  $Ln\underline{n} \geq Ln\overline{n} - Ln_{\underline{n}_0}^{\underline{n}_0}$  which implies  $\underline{u} \geq \overline{n}_{\underline{n}_0}^{\underline{n}_0}$  and by (2.19) we get

(2.23) 
$$\underline{n} \ge \min\{\overline{n}_0, 1\} \frac{\underline{n}_0}{\overline{n}_0} = \alpha_0 > 0.$$

Thanks to Mean Value Theorem and integrating (2.22), we get

$$Ln\frac{\overline{n}}{\underline{n}} \le e^{-\alpha\alpha_0 t} Ln\frac{\overline{n}_0}{\underline{n}_0}$$

Taking the limit  $t \to \infty$  we obtain

(2.24) 
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} Ln \frac{\overline{n}}{\underline{n}} = 0.$$

By (2.23), (2.19) and Lemma 2.1, thanks to (2.16) and from (2.24) we get

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \underline{n} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \overline{n} = 1$$

Let  $p \in (N, \infty)$  and G be the subset of functions defined by

$$G := \{ n \in L^p(0, T : C^0(\overline{\Omega})), \text{ such that } \underline{n} \le n \le \overline{n} \},\$$

and let  $J: G \to L^p(0, T: C^0(\overline{\Omega}))$  be defined by  $J(\tilde{n}) = n$ , where n is the solution to (2.12), (2.14) for c defined as the solution of the equation

(2.25) 
$$-d_c \Delta c = h(\tilde{n}) - c, \quad \text{in } x \in \Omega,$$

and (2.15).

**Lemma 2.3** J has a fixed point in G.

**Proof.** Applying a maximum principle to (2.13), we obtain

$$\min h(\tilde{n}) \le \min_{x \in \Omega} \{ c(x,t) \} \le c \le \max_{x \in \Omega} \{ c(x,t) \} \le \max h(\tilde{n}),$$

 $\max_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \{c(x,t)\} \le h(\overline{n}),$ 

and by (1.9) we have (2.26)

(2.27) 
$$\min_{x \in \Omega} \{c(x,t)\} \ge h(\underline{n}).$$

Substituting (2.26) and (2.27) into (2.12) we get

$$\frac{\chi}{d_c}n(h(n) - h(\overline{n})) \le n_t - d_n\Delta n + \chi\nabla n \cdot \nabla c + \mu n(1-n) \le \frac{\chi}{d_c}n(h(n) - h(\underline{n})).$$

By construction, we can see that  $\overline{n}$  is a super solution, and  $\underline{n}$  is a lower solution, which implies  $\underline{n} < n < \overline{n}$ . Since  $h(\tilde{n}) \in L^{\infty}((0,T) \times \Omega)$  then,  $\|c\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq k(\overline{u},p)$ for any  $q < \infty$ . Substituting in (2.12) we obtain that  $J(\tilde{u})$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^{p}(0,T:W^{2,p}(\Omega)) \cap W^{1,p}(0,T:L^{p}(\Omega))$  for some p > N (see for instance Quittner-Souplet [6], Remark 48.3, p. 439). Since  $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset C^{0}(\overline{\Omega})$  is a compact embedding we obtain that J(G) is a relatively compact set of  $L^{p}(0,T:C^{0}(\overline{\Omega}))$ . Applying the Schauder fixed point theorem we obtain the desired result.  $\Box$ 

The fixed point of J is a solution to the problem (2.12)-(2.15), and thanks to (1.9) we have uniqueness of solutions. The existence of solutions is obtained for arbitrary  $T < \infty$ . Taking limits, we obtain the existence of solutions in  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying  $\underline{n} \leq n \leq \overline{n}$ .

From (2.26), (2.27), we have

(2.28) 
$$h(\underline{n}) \le \min_{x \in \Omega} \{c(x,t)\} \le c \le \max_{x \in \Omega} \{c(x,t)\} \le h(\overline{n}),$$

and taking limits when  $t \to \infty$  we obtain (1.11).

**Corollary 2.1** There exists a unique steady state (n, c) of (1.1)-(1.5) satisfying n > 0, and it is n = 1 and c = h(1).

**Remark 2.2** In the linear case, h(n) = n, assumption (1.9) is satisfied when  $2\chi < \mu d_c$ .

#### 3 Steady states

We now use Theorem 1 to study the steady states of the system (1.1)-(1.5), (1.7). We consider two cases.

**Case 1:**  $\mu = 0$  and  $s\chi < 4\gamma$ . We are looking for the steady states (n, c) to the problem

(3.29) 
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta n = -\operatorname{div}(\chi n \nabla c) & \text{in } x \in \Omega \\ -\Delta c = s \frac{n}{1+n} - \gamma c & \text{in } x \in \Omega \\ \frac{\partial n}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\partial c}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } x \in \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

We introduce the unknown  $u = ne^{\chi c}$ , and substituting in (3.29) u satisfies

(3.30) 
$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(e^{\chi c}\nabla u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Since  $c \ge 0$ , we have u = constant. Substituting in (3.29) results in the equations

(3.31) 
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta c + \gamma c = s \frac{ue^{\chi c}}{1 + ue^{\chi c}} & \text{in } \Omega\\ \frac{\partial c}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Taking  $-\Delta c$  as a test function in (3.31) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} (\Delta c)^2 d\sigma + \int_{\Omega} \left( \gamma - s\chi \frac{u e^{\chi c}}{(1 + u e^{\chi c})^2} \right) |\nabla c|^2 d\sigma \le 0.$$

Since  $\frac{ue^{\chi c}}{(1+ue^{\chi c})^2} \le 1/4$  we have

(3.32) 
$$\int_{\Omega} (\Delta c)^2 d\sigma + (\gamma - \frac{s\chi}{4}) \int_{\Omega} (\nabla c)^2 d\sigma \le 0.$$

If  $\frac{s\chi}{4} < \gamma$  we obtain that c is constant and also n. By mass conservation principle, we obtain

$$n = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} n_0, \qquad c = s \frac{\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} n_0}{(1 + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} n_0)\gamma}$$

Case 2:  $\mu > 0$  and  $n \ge \underline{n} > 0$  for some constant  $\underline{n}$ . Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  for  $N \ge 1$ , then

(3.33) 
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta n = -\nabla \chi(n\nabla c) + \mu n(1-n) & \text{in } x \in \Omega \\ -\Delta c = s \frac{n}{1+n} - c & \text{in } x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial n}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\partial c}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = 0 & \text{in } x \in \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

We study the steady states of the above system under the assumption:

(3.34) there exists a constant  $\underline{n} > 0$  such that  $n \ge \underline{n} > 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

Notice that  $|h'(n)| \leq s$  for  $n \geq 0$  then, thanks to Theorem 1.1 we have that under the assumptions

$$d_c = 1, \qquad 2\chi < \mu$$

the positive steady states satisfying (3.34) are given by

$$n = 1, \qquad c = \frac{s}{2\gamma}.$$

## References

- [1] E.F. KELLER AND L.A. SEGEL, Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability, J. Theor. Biol. 26 (1970), 399-415.
- [2] A. FRIEDMAN AND J.I. TELLO, Stability of solutions of chemotaxis equations in reinforced random walks, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 272 (2002), 138-163.
- [3] W. JÄGER AND S. LUCKHAUS, On explosions of solutions to a system of partial differential equations modeling chemotaxis, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **329** (1992), 819-824.
- [4] M.E. ORME AND M.A.J. CHAPLAIN, A mathematical model of the first steps of tumor-related angiogenesis: Capillary sprout formation and secondary branching, *IMA J. Math. Appl. Med. Biol.* 13 (1996), 73-98.
- [5] M.R. MYERSCOUGH, P.K. MAINI AND J.PAINTER, Pattern formation in a generalized chemotactic model, *Bull. Math. Biol.* **60** (1998), 1-26.
- [6] P. QUITTNER AND P. SOUPLET, Superlinear Parabolic Problems. Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston-Berlin 2007.
- [7] J.I. TELLO, M WINKLER. A chemotaxis system with logistic source, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations* **32** (6), (2007) 849-877.