



Goncalves da silva, H. M., Matthews, J., Wright, M., & Shallcross, D. (2015). Spectral response of atmospheric electric field measurements near AC high voltage power lines. In J. Matthews (Ed.), Electrostatics 2015: 12–16 April 2015, Southampton, UK. [012014] (Journal of Physics: Conference Series; Vol. 646, No. 1). IOP Publishing. DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/646/1/012014

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

License (if available): CC BY

Link to published version (if available): 10.1088/1742-6596/646/1/012014

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via IOP at http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/646/1/012014. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

## **University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research** General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms.html

Home Search Collections Journals

Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Spectral response of atmospheric electric field measurements near AC high voltage power lines

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2015 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 646 012014

(http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/646/1/012014)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 137.222.138.50 This content was downloaded on 23/02/2017 at 09:03

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

You may also be interested in:

Processing Pipeline of Sugarcane Spectral Response to Characterize the Fallen Plants Phenomenon Agustín Solano, Alejandra Kemerer and Alejandro Hadad

Variability in surface atmospheric electric field measurements A J Bennett and R G Harrison

Study on Spectral Response of GaAlAs/GaAs Staircase Band Gap Photodiodes Pakhawat Wisetlakhorn, Supachok Thainoi and Choompol Antarasena

Study on the spectral response of the Schottky photodetector of GaN He Zheng, Kang Yong, Tang Ying-Wen et al.

Improvement of Material Quality of Multijunction Solar Cells by Rapid Thermal Annealing Min-De Yang, Yu-Kai Liu, Ji-Lin Shen et al.

Spectral Response of Photocurrent in Glassy and Crystalline Ag-Rich Ag–As(Ge)–S Compounds Takeshi Kawaguchi and Shigeo Maruno

Count rate linearity and spectral response of the Medipix3RX chip coupled to a 300m silicon sensor under high flux conditions

E Frojdh, R Ballabriga, M Campbell et al.

Effects of polarization and p-type GaN resistivity on the spectral response of InGaN/GaN multiple quantum well solar cells

Yang Jing, Zhao De-Gang, Jiang De-Sheng et al.

Charge transfer inefficiency in the pre- and post-irradiated Swept Charge Device CCD236

P.H. Smith, J.P.D. Gow, P. Pool et al.

# Spectral response of atmospheric electric field measurements near AC high voltage power lines

H G Silva,<sup>1,2</sup> J C Matthews,<sup>1</sup> M D Wright,<sup>1</sup> D E Shallcross<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Atmospheric Chemistry Research Group, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol, BS8 1TS, UK

<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Física, ECT, Instituto de Ciências da Terra, IIFA, Universidade de Évora, Rua Romão Ramalho 59, 7002-554 Évora, Portugal

Email: hugo.silva@bristol.ac.uk

Abstract. To understand the influence of corona ion emission on the atmospheric electrical field, measurements were made near to two AC high voltage power lines. A JCI 131 field-mill recorded the atmospheric electric field over one year. Meteorological measurements were also taken. The data series is divided in four zones (dependent on wind direction): whole zones, Z<sub>0</sub>; zone 1,  $Z_1$ ; zone 2,  $Z_2$ ; zone 3,  $Z_3$ .  $Z_3$  is the least affected by corona ion emission and for that reason it is used as a reference against  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ , which are strongly influenced by this phenomena. Analysis was undertaken for all weather days and dry days only. The Lomb-Scargle strategy developed for unevenly spaced time-series is used to calculate the spectral response of the aforementioned zones. Only frequencies above 1 minute are considered.

#### 1. Introduction

The atmosphere is weakly conducting due to the natural presence of atmospheric air-ions, which are produced by cosmic ray ionisation and ground based radioactive decay (such as Radon) near the ground. Thunderstorm activity moves charge from the ground to the ionosphere, a conducting layer of the atmosphere, which dissipates back to ground through the atmosphere completing the Global Electric Circuit [1]. The difference in potential between the ionosphere and the ground  $(V_1)$  cause a Potential Gradient<sup>1</sup> (PG) that can be measured at the Earth's surface using electric field mills [2].

The Earth's PG can be modified by changes in air conductivity: reduction of air ions caused by increased aerosol concentration [3]; charged clouds overhead [4] and local sources of space charge, such as high voltage power lines (HVPL) [5]. HVPL can produce so-called 'corona ions' when the electric field surrounding the cable is large enough to cause acceleration of ions leading to corona avalanching. On a 50-Hz cable, these ions largely oscillate around the cable, but some can escape in a cross wind, both positive and negative ions can be released into the environment [6].

To measure the effect of HVPL on the electrical environment over a full year, a fixed site monitoring station (FSMS) was set up in South Gloucestershire, UK, to monitor changes in PG. PG was measured at 1 Hz using a JCI 131 electric field meter at 2 m height, and local weather conditions were monitored and recorded as 10 minute averages [5].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PG is defined as  $dV_I/dz$  and the vertical component of atmospheric electric field is related with this variable through  $E_z = -PG$ .

Discontinuities on the line such as dirt or raindrops can enhance the field on the surface of the line and increase the amount of ions produced; hence weather conditions can significantly affect the amount of ions released by the line, with higher and more negative fields being seen in wet conditions [7]. If a predominance of one polarity of ions is observed then the ions carried overhead cause significant regions of space charge that can be observed as fluctuations of electric field at ground level. As the cloud of space charge is affected by local meteorology, the time of day also has an effect on the electric field, with fluctuating fields being more seen during the day [8].

#### 2. Data analysis

Previous analysis of data from the FSMS have looked at 10 minute averages and SD of the PG, and information within the finer structure at 1 minute has not been considered yet. This analysis looks at the data average to 1 minute to investigate the finer structure and spectral content.

As with previous research [5, 7, 8], the analysis is undertaken on four different data sets dependent on wind direction: all data, i.e. whole zones ( $Z_0$ ); zone 1 ( $Z_1$ ) from SSW to WNW, 175 m downwind of 400 kV HVPL; zone 2 ( $Z_2$ ) from ESE to S, 750 m downwind of 275 kV HVPL; zone 3 ( $Z_3$ ) from NW to E, upwind of both HVPL. The last zone,  $Z_3$ , is the least affected by space charge produced by HVPL (as it is upwind the power-line) and is used as a reference for  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ . A full discussion of the sources of aerosol and predominance of a particular weather by wind direction is given elsewhere [5] but it is important to note that stronger winds and more clouds come from the south west (influencing  $Z_1$ ) than other zones and that there is a source of aerosol in the M48 motorway, also to the south west. Winds in  $Z_2$  are the least present and, for that reason, less data is available for this zone and this will have consequences in the results shown below. Data from the FSMS were averaged into 1-minute samples and wind directions attributed accordingly (assuming that the wind add the same direction the 10 minutes before). Data were further divided into all weather and dry days. By dry days we mean days in which no rain was measured in our weather station. This is distinct from the usual 'fair weather days' encountered in studies regarding atmospheric electricity, as charged clouds may still be present.

The daily average was found for each zone to see the daily cycle. As the PG was been divided into 3 zones according to wind direction, and as some data was removed due to equipment failure, each of the sub-set of PG is a non-continuous time series, and therefore frequency analysis using typical Fourier analysis is not possible. The Lomb-Scargle Spectra (LSS) technique was developed for interrupted data sets in astrophysics [9, 10], and has been used in atmospheric electricity [3]. The LSS is similar to the Fourier transform, but it estimates the frequency spectrum based on a least squares fit of the sinusoids; the LSS spectrum converges to Fourier transform spectrum in the limit of evenly spaced observations. We use MATLAB to implement the LSS [11]. The following parameters were used *hifac*=1 (that defines the frequency limit as *hifac* times the average Nyquist frequency), *ofac*=4 (oversampling factor). It is worthwhile to mention that the resulting spectra depends on the amount of data used, for a times-series with many discontinuities the LSS will only have access to lower frequencies as it loses the high frequency resolution. This is the case of  $Z_2$  time-series and for that reason less attention will be given to this zone.

#### 3. Results and discussion

Figure 1a shows the daily average for zones  $Z_1$ ,  $Z_2$  and  $Z_3$  at a 1-minute resolution. These data have previously been presented as 1 hour averages where the negative values of PG overnight in  $Z_1$  were attributed to the typical increase in humidity increasing negative corona production [6]. However, the previous analyses had a much lower temporal resolution.  $Z_2$  shows a very noisy behaviour oscillating from negative to positive, though the noise may be an artefact of lower sample numbers from this wind sector.  $Z_3$ , despite the noise, shows a 24 hour cycle similar to the Carnegie curve, the global background atmospheric electric field [1]. This is consistent with measurements in a typical rural background electric field profile. Figure 1b shows that the spectra for all zones.  $Z_1$  and  $Z_3$  have a peak at the daily cycle consistent with the daily behaviour shown in Figure 1a. There is not a clear signal for the weekly cycle in any of the zones, which is indicative of urban pollution. Nevertheless,  $Z_3$  evidences a small half-day cycle characteristic of pollution from traffic [12] and could be a result of nearby roads. As usual in spectral analysis the *n*-exponent is determined for each zone (above the threshold frequency of 1 day<sup>-1</sup>). This exponent is defined from the asymptotic spectral amplitude, *S*, with the frequency, *f*, usually written as  $S \sim f^{-n}$  [3]. The values found are: ~1.54, ~1.05, ~0.48 and ~1.01 for  $Z_0$ ,  $Z_1$ ,  $Z_2$ , and  $Z_3$ , respectively. These are relatively similar values, as compared with ones for spectra calculated from hourly values [3]. It is observed that the values found for  $Z_1$  (more affected by the HVPL) are similar to  $Z_3$  (least affected by the HVPL). This is an indication that rainy weather masks the impact that HVPL have in the PG spectral response reducing its response to higher frequencies. This becomes clearer when considering the results from dry days below. The spectrum of  $Z_2$  is much smaller than the other two, not because of a physical reason, but because this zone has less observations and the LSS algorithm cannot access lower frequencies, as mentioned above.



**Figure 1.** a) Daily behaviour for 1-minute average data in all weather conditions; b) Lomb-Scargle Spectra for all weather conditions. The grey lines represent the fit to the asymptotic spectral amplitude, *S*.

Figure 2a shows the daily average time series of the three zones but for dry days. The results are similar to those for all weather but exhibit less noise. This indicates that the increase in noise in Figure 1a can be attributed to the increase in PG fluctuations caused on rainy days [7].  $Z_1$  seems to present a clear feature every approximately half-hour. This periodicity appears in the LSS and can be tentatively attributed to the effect of HVPL. Z<sub>2</sub> shows oscillating/noisy negative-positive values from 00:00 until 08:00 returning to a normal behaviour after that. Z<sub>3</sub> shows a daily behaviour similar to the Carnegie curve [1] confirming that this is the zone least affected by the HVPL. However, a peak is observed around 22:00 in this zone that coincides with strong oscillations in Z<sub>1</sub>, this may be due to extreme weather outliers. Figure 2b shows the LSS for dry days. The n-exponent values, in this case, are: ~0.78, ~0.81, ~0.87 and ~1.09 for Z<sub>0</sub>, Z<sub>1</sub>, Z<sub>2</sub>, and Z<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Zones 1 and 2 have similar values and could be an indication of HVPL effect, despite Z<sub>2</sub> having less data. This means that the HVPL would increase the PG response to higher frequencies with a slight tendency to flatten the spectra. This is a consequence of the dispersion of the spectral energy to higher frequencies and could be another result of HVPL activity. It should be noted that the *n*-exponent for  $Z_1$  is reduced to values around ~0.8 while Z<sub>3</sub> shows more or less the same value compared with the spectra in Figure 1b. This could be an indication that rainy days mask the spectral dispersion as mentioned above. Z<sub>1</sub> exhibits an increase in amplitude at a half-hour periodicity that is not present in Z<sub>3</sub> and is suppressed in the all-weather Figure 1b. This could correspond to the features observed in Figure 2a.

IOP Publishing doi:10.1088/1742-6596/646/1/012014



**Figure 2. a)** Daily behaviour for 1-minute average data for dry days only. b) Lomb-Scargle Spectra for dry days only. The grey lines represent the fit to the asymptotic spectral amplitude, *S*.

### 4. Conclusions

The effect of HVPL is studied with 1-minute resolution of PG measured in a FSMS. Several features are seen. Comparison between all weather and dry days only is made. All zones evidence a daily cycle for both conditions. It is seen that rainy days tend to increase noise in all zones and reduce the high frequency response of  $Z_1$ . There is evidence that rain masks the effect of HVPL has in the LSS of  $Z_1$ , while for dry days the presence of the HVPL tend to flatten the spectra promoting the dispersion of spectral energy for higher frequencies. The spectra for  $Z_3$  is almost unaffected by weather conditions and present a half-day periodicity tentatively attributed to traffic pollution. Finally, a clear perturbation is observed in the daily behaviours of  $Z_1$  and  $Z_3$  for dry days only at around 22:00, which may be due to extreme weather outliers.

#### Acknowledgments

HGS acknowledges the support of Science and Technology Foundation (FCT) for the Post-Doctoral fellowship, SFRH/BPD/63880/2009 and the project EAC (PTDC/GEO-FIQ/4178/2012) FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-029197. FSMS data were collected as part of a project funded by Children with Cancer UK, registered charity number 298405.

#### References

- [1] Harrison R G 2013 Surv. Geophys. 34 209–232
- [2] Chubb J N and Butterworth G J 1979 Electrostatics 1979 Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser. 48 85
- [3] Silva H G, Conceição R, Melgão M, Nicoll K, Mendes P B, Tlemçani M, Reis A H and Harrison R G 2014 *Env. Res. Let.* **9** 114025
- [4] Harrison R G 2011 J. Phys: Conf. Ser. 301 012001
- [5] Matthews J C, Ward J P, Keitch P A and Henshaw D L 2010 *Atmos. Environ.* 44 5093
- [6] Wright M D, Buckley A J, Matthews J C, Shallcross D E and Henshaw D L 2014 Atmos. Environ. 95 296-304
- [7] Matthews J C 2012 J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys. 77, 235-240
- [8] Matthews J C 2012 Atmos. Res. 113 68-79
- [9] Lomb N R 1976 Astrophys. Space Sci. 39 447-462
- [10] Scargle J D 1982 Astrophys. J. 263 835
- [11] http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/993-lombscargle-m
- [12] Tchepel O and Borrego C 2010 J. Environ. Monit. 12 544-55