

Tobas, A.; Armstrong, B.; Gasparrini, A. (2016) [Accepted Manuscript] Investigating Uncertainty in the Minimum Mortality Temperature: Methods and Application to 52 Spanish Cities. Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass). ISSN 1044-3983 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.00000000000567 (In Press)

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/3515735/

DOI: 10.1097/EDE.000000000000567

Usage Guidelines

 $Please \ refer \ to \ usage \ guidelines \ at \ http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html \ or \ alternatively \ contact \ researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.$

Available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/

Brief Report

INVESTIGATING UNCERTAINTY IN THE MINIMUM MORTALITY TEMPERATURE: METHODS AND APPLICATION TO 52 SPANISH CITIES.

Aurelio Tobías (1), Ben Armstrong (2), Antonio Gasparrini (2)

- 1. Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research, Spanish Council for Scientific Research, Barcelona, Spain
- 2. London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK.

Corresponding author:

Dr Ben Armstrong,

London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine,

Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT, UK

ben.armstrong@lshtm.ac.uk

Running head (up to 50 characters):

Investigating minimum mortality temperature.

Sources of financial support:

AT was supported by the Ministry of Education of the Spanish Government (Salvador Madariaga's grant PRX12/00515), BA by the National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Environmental Change and Health, and AG by the UK Medical Research Council (grant ID: MR/M022625/1).

ABSTRACT

Background: The minimum mortality temperature from J or U- shaped curves varies across cities with different climates. This variation informs on adaptation, but ability to characterize it is limited by the absence of a method to describe uncertainty in estimated minimum mortality temperatures.

Methods: We propose an approximate parametric bootstrap estimator of confidence interval (CI) and standard error (SE) for the minimum mortality temperature from a temperature-mortality shape estimated by splines.

Results: The coverage of the estimated CIs was close to nominal value in the datasets simulated, though SEs were slightly high. Applying the method to 52 Spanish provincial capital cities showed larger minimum mortality temperatures in hotter cities, rising almost exactly at the same rate as annual mean temperature.

Conclusions: The method proposed for computing CIs and SEs for minimums from spline curves allows comparing minimum mortality temperatures in different cities and investigating associations of them with climate properly allowing for estimation uncertainty.

INTRODUCTION

The temperature-mortality relationship has been described as a J or U- shaped curve, with a temperature at which risk of mortality is at a minimum (1). Minimum mortality temperature is found at different temperature levels, varying greatly across countries and regions possibly due to acclimatization (2,3). The value of the minimum mortality temperature and in particular how it varies across places with different climates (4) and over time (5) has been investigated, as this is relevant to adaptation to climate. However, such comparison of minimum mortality temperatures, formal or informal, has been limited by the absence of a method to describe uncertainty in estimation of minimum mortality temperature. Temperature-mortality curves frequently do not identify clearly where within the long bottom of the J or U- shape the minimum mortality temperature is, suggesting poor precision of an estimate.

This paper presents a method to obtain an estimate, confidence interval (CI) and standard error (SE) for the minimum mortality temperature based on the temperature-mortality shape estimated by splines, and in particular distributed lag non-linear models. We apply this method to investigate the patterns of minimum mortality temperature in the 52 provincial capital cities in Spain and explore the climatic determinants of variation in the city-specific minimum mortality temperature.

METHODS

Temperature-mortality relationship

We collected daily counts of all-natural cause mortality (International Classification of Diseases-9th revision: 1–799) and daily mean temperature for the 52 provincial capital cities in Spain for the study period 1990–2010 (data provided by the Spain National Institute of Statistics and the Spain National Meteorology Agency, respectively). For each city, we applied a standard time-series quasi-Poisson regression, including a natural cubic spline of time with 10 degrees of freedom per year to control for seasonal and long-term trends and an indicator of day of the week. We modelled the association with temperature using distributed lag non-linear models (6,7). This class of models can describe the complex nonlinear and lagged dependencies typically found for temperature and mortality through the combination of two functions that define the conventional exposureresponse relationship and the additional lag-response relationship, respectively. The latter is applied to model the delay between the exposure occurrence and the associated increase in risk. We specifically modelled the exposure-response curves with a natural cubic spline with three internal knots placed at the 10th, 75th and 90th centiles of location-specific temperature distributions, because the temperature-mortality association is typically more curved towards the tails, in particular the right tail for heat, than across the milder more central range, and the lag-response curve with a natural cubic with three internal knots placed at equally spaced values in the log scale (8). The lag period is extended to 21 days in order to capture the long delay in the effects of cold and account for short-term harvesting (8). These modelling choices were tested in further sensitivity analyses.

Point of minimum temperature mortality

Identifying a minimum mortality temperature from any estimated function associating temperature to mortality is straightforward. One merely needs to scan through the function to find the value of t that minimises it. Finding a SE and CI is not so simple. We propose the following algorithm, which can be described as an approximate parametric bootstrap estimate:

- Simulate a large number (we used N=10,000) of parametric bootstrap splines from the estimated spline coefficients and their covariance matrix.
- 2. For each simulated spline, identify the minimum mortality temperature.
- From the N estimated minimum mortality temperature of the simulated bootstrap splines, use the 2.5th and 97.5th centiles and the standard deviation to estimate the 95% CI and SE respectively.

Detailed algorithm to find SE and CI for the minimum mortality temperature of the temperature-mortality spline and R code for the estimation process, jointly with examples of use and simulations are given in the eAppendix 1.

The estimates and SEs obtained by the above procedure were used to describe the distribution of the 52 minimum mortality temperatures and explore their association with the cities' climates, summarised by the mean annual temperature, allowing for the estimation precision using random effects meta-analysis and meta-regression, estimating the between-city component of variance by the method of moments (9).

RESULTS

We first tested the coverage of the CI and bias in the standard error estimated from this method by applying to splines simulated from an underlying association with known minimum mortality temperature. Coverage of the 95% CI (from 1000 simulations) was 96.4%. Mean of estimated SEs were higher (+41%) but median just slightly lower (-4%) than the standard deviation of minimum mortality temperatures in the datasets simulated. Estimates did not change when using a negative binomial rather than a Poisson distribution (eAppendix 1 table).

The city-specific temperature-mortality curves are shown in eAppendix 2. Most cities exhibited J or U- shapes, showing evidence of increased mortality at temperatures higher and lower than the minimum mortality temperatures. However, we noticed that in some smaller cities the minimum mortality temperatures was at or close to one of the imprecisely estimated tails of the curve. To avoid likely spuriously high or low estimated minimum mortality temperatures the point estimate was therefore constrained to the 1st-99th centile range, though we allowed the bootstrap minimum mortality temperatures and hence CIs fall outside that range.

Figure 1 shows the curves for six cities selected to illustrate issues in estimating minimum mortality temperature and its CI. The top three cities of Figure 1 are typical in having convex (broadly U- shaped) temperature-mortality curves, though for all except Barcelona the CIs widths suggest considerable uncertainty in the minimum mortality temperature. Also the uncertainty is notably asymmetric. The long flat bottom of the curve for Madrid suggests the possibility of minimum mortality temperature range, a possible extension of the minimum mortality temperature concept. Each of the bottom three cities illustrates the main problems that can occur in smaller cities. In Tenerife and Caceres the unconstrained estimated minimum mortality temperatures are at the minimum and maximum of their temperature ranges respectively, though each city also has a local more central minimum, which is the estimate of minimum mortality temperature if the minimum mortality temperature is constrained to be in the 1st-99th centile range. But again the CI widths suggest considerable uncertainty in the minimum mortality temperature, especially in Caceres where includes the entire range of temperature. In Teruel the temperature-mortality curve is monotonic increasing, so the1st-99th centile constraint merely increases the minimum mortality temperature estimate from the minimum to the 1st centile. The eAppendix 3 shows unconstrained and constrained estimates of minimum mortality temperatures for the cities for which the constraint was applies, and also for three others that would be constrained if 5^h-95th or 10th-90th centile range constraints had been adopted.

The city-specific minimum mortality temperatures ranged from -2°C, in a small Northern city (Teruel) up to 26°C in the Southern Mediterranean region (Tarragona and Castellon), with random effects meta-analysis mean of 19.7°C (95% CI=[18.1 to 21.4°C]). In terms of the centile scale minimum mortality temperatures ranged from the 1th to 93th centiles of the city-specific distribution of daily mean temperature (mean 15.5°C, ranged from 10.6 to 21.6°C). Many of the minimum mortality temperatures are estimated imprecisely (mean standard error of 7.2°C), and the overlap of CIs suggests caution in comparing them between individual cities. Estimated underlying heterogeneity as a proportion of total variation is moderate (I²=24%), but there is a discernible pattern of higher thresholds in cities with higher annual mean temperatures (Figure 2). A random effects meta-regression model confirmed this, estimating that the minimum mortality temperature increased on average 1°C for a mean rise of 1°C of the mean annual temperature (b=1.09°C, 95% CI=[0.56 to 1.63°C]), with almost no residual heterogeneity (I²=2.8%). The patterns were broadly robust to alternative model assumptions. The use knots placed at the quartiles provided somewhat larger heterogeneity between-city estimates, while lag periods at 14 and 28 days provided slightly lower and upper pooled estimates, respectively (eAppendix 4).

DISCUSSION

By deriving and applying a method for computing CIs and SEs for minimums from spline curves, we were able to properly compare minimum mortality temperatures in different cities and investigate associations of them with climate allowing for estimation uncertainty, which we found often to be large. The method is applicable to describing uncertainty in any minimum or maximum of a fitted spline curve.

The principle difficulty was handling minimum mortality temperatures apparently at minimum or maximum temperatures, where spline curves are imprecise, mainly in smaller cities. We believe that our somewhat ad-hoc procedure of constraining estimates to exclude temperatures in the extreme tails worked well in this data. However, it would seem sensible to be reviewed in future applications. It is possible for true minimum mortality temperatures to fall at or close to the minimum or maximum observed temperatures, where spline curves are imprecise. Large cities showing empirical minimum mortality temperatures at extreme temperature might give stronger evidence for this than the small cities with extreme minimum mortality temperatures seen in Spain. The use of minimum mortality temperatures from, for example, an empirical Bayes best linear unbiased temperature-mortality curves approach (8) could be a more measured way to combine uncertain evidence from a city with prior expectations, but at the cost of complexity. Using the mean of the bootstrap simulated minimum mortality temperatures (as given in eAppendix 1) or natural cubic splines, which limit curvature of splines at tails (1,10), help reduce problem cases more simply.

The availability of standard errors should make meta-analysis of minimum mortality temperatures more powerful and robust, but given often asymmetric confidence intervals, some caution is needed. Meta-analytic methods making minimum distributional assumptions to estimate the between-study component of variance, such as the DerSimonian and Laird approach (9), may have some advantages in this context.

Applying the method to 52 Spanish cities confirmed the previously observed pattern of higher minimum mortality temperatures in hotter cities (2,3) and was able to quantify this, with minimum mortality temperatures rising almost exactly at the same rate as annual mean temperature (1°C/°C). This suggests that Spanish communities had broadly adapted to their local climate to the same extent. We hope that the method proposed will be useful to clarify the how much this is also true elsewhere and where climate varies over time, as found to some extent in France using similar analyses but without allowing for minimum mortality temperature uncertainty (5).

References

- 1. Armstrong B. Models for the relationship between ambient temperature and daily mortality. Epidemiol 2006;17:624-631.
- Curriero FC, Heiner KS, Samet JM, Zeger SL, Strug L, Patz JA. Temperature and mortality in 11 cities of the eastern United States. Am J Epidemiol 2002;155:80-87.
- Armstrong BG, Chalabi Z, Fenn B, Hajat S, Kovats S, Milojevic A, Wilkinson P. Association of mortality with high temperatures in a temperate climate: England and Wales. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2011;65:340-345.
- 4. Guo Y, Gasparrini A, Armstrong B, Li S, Tawatsupa B, Tobias A, Lavigne E, de Sousa Zanotti Stagliorio Coelho M, Leone M, Pan X, Tong S, Tian L, Kim H, Hashizume M, Honda Y, Guo YL, Wu CF, Punnasiri K, Yi SM, Michelozzi P, Saldiva PH, Williams G. Global variation in the effects of ambient temperature on mortality: a systematic evaluation. Epidemiol 2014;25:781-789.
- Todd N, Valleron AJ. Space-time covariation of mortality with temperature: a systematic study of deaths in France, 1968–2009. Environ Health Perspect 2015;123:659-664.
- 6. Gasparrini A, Armstrong B, Kenward MG. Distributed lag non-linear models. Stat Med. 2010;29:2224-2234.
- Gasparrini A. Distributed Lag Linear and Non-Linear Models in R: The Package dlnm. J Stat Softw. 2011;43:1-20.
- Gasparrini A, Guo Y, Hashizume M, Lavigne E, Zanobetti A, Schwartz J, Tobias A, Tong S, Rocklöv J, Forsberg B, Leone M, De Sario M, Bell ML, Guo YL, Wu CF, Kan H, Yi SM, de Sousa Zanotti Stagliorio Coelho M, Saldiva PH, Honda Y, Kim H, Armstrong B. Mortality risk attributable to high and low ambient temperature: a multicountry observational study. Lancet 2015; 25: 386: 369-75.
- 9. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986; 7: 177-88.

10. Hastie T, Tibshirani R. Generalized Additive Models, 2nd edition. Chapman & Hall/CRC: London, 1990.

Legend for tables and figures.

Figure 1. Temperature-mortality associations for 6 provincial capital cities in Spain (with 95% CI shaded grey) selected to illustrate issues in estimating minimum mortality temperatures. The top three cities show unconstrained minimum mortality temperatures and the bottom three constrained minimum mortality temperatures to the 1st-99th centile range of mean temperature. Solid vertical lines are minimum mortality temperature and dashed vertical lines (as well as solid bottom-horizontal lines) are its 95% confidence interval. RR=relative risk.

Figure 2. Forest plot for the estimated minimum mortality temperatures, and its corresponding centile, for the 52 provincial capital cities in Spain. Cities are sorted by annual mean temperature, which is indicated in parentheses after the city name. [*] denotes cities with minimum mortality temperature constrained to the 1st-99th centile range of mean temperature. MMT=minimum mortality temperature.

eAppendix 1. Algorithm and R code to find SE and CI for the minimum mortality temperature of the temperature-mortality spline.

eAppendix 2. Temperature-mortality associations for the 52 provincial capital cities in Spain (with 95% CI shaded grey). Dashed vertical lines are unconstrained minimum mortality temperatures. Average daily mortality count is indicated in parentheses after the city name. RR=relative risk.

eAppendix 3. Sensitivity analysis for the cities where unconstrained minimum mortality temperatures are at or close to one of the imprecisely estimated tails of the curve. MMT=minimum mortality temperature. RR=relative risk. I2=index of heterogeneity. eAppendix 4. Sensitivity analysis for distributed lag non-linear modelling choices of knots (at 10th-75th-90th centiles and quartiles) and lag periods (at 14, 21 and 28 days). MMT=minimum mortality temperature. I2=index of heterogeneity. Res. I2=residual heterogeneity.





Burgos (10.9) Leon (11.1) Soria (11.2) Avila (11.2) Vitoria (11.8) Palencia (*) (11.9) Lugo (12.1) Teocol (*) (12.2)	4.81 (-9.78, 20.70) 20.49 (8.18, 27.90) 19.90 (-7.20, 28.09) 13.60 (-6.30, 28.60)	21.1
Leon (11.1) Soria (11.2) Avila (11.2) Vitoria (11.8) Palencia [*] (11.9) Lugo (12.1) Tencial (12.3)	20.49 (8.18, 27.90) 19.90 (-7.20, 28.09) 13.60 (-6.30, 28.60)	89.9
Soria (11.2) Avila (11.2) Vitoria (11.8) Palencia (*) (11.9) Lugo (12.1) Tencel (*) (12.2)	19.90 (-7.20, 28.09) 13.60 (-6.30, 28.60)	85.0
Avila (11.2) /itoria (11.8) Palencia (*) (11.9) Jugo (12.1) Fernal (*) (12.2)	13.60 (-6.30, 28.60)	03.8
/toria (11.8) Palencia (*) (11.9) Jugo (12.1) (equal (*) (12.2)		62.8
Palencia [*] (11.9) ugo (12.1)	11.79 (-6.39, 30.60)	50.7
ugo (12.1)	12.65 (-6.70, 19.14)	55.9
(C C1) [*] [acad	15.31 (-4.10, 29.09)	70.2
61961 [[[16.6]	-2.09 (-10.89, 3.69)	1.0
alamanca (12.3)	14.02 (-5.09, 29.10)	60.1
legovia (12.4)	5.52 (-6.29, 31.40)	19.0
alladolid (12.9)	21.19 (9.41, 22.38)	83.8
ampiona (13.1)	-0.40 (-5.19, 31.60)	1.0
amora (13.3)	22.99 (-4.30, 30.80)	88.9
Quenca [*] (13.3)	-0.13 (-4.40, 24.99)	1.0
juadalajara (13.3)	21.51 (-3.80, 23.43)	82.1
Viedo (13.3)	20.22 (12.50, 28.38)	93.1
an Sebastian (13.7)	11.81 (-1.69, 18.99)	38.3
ogroño [*] (14)	0.61 (-4.88, 31.50)	1.0
luesca [*] (14.1)	-0.46 (-5.50, 32.00)	1.0
Ibacete (14.4)	12.90 (-10.70, 26.40)	48.1
antander (14.6)	15.80 (10.89, 27.80)	57.3
(bao (14.8)	20.89 (-0.38, 22.53)	87.0
irona (14.8)	23.59 (7.40, 30.40)	88.9
ontevedra (14.8)	20.50 (15.48, 30.40)	87.8
Coruña (15)	18.69 (2.40, 29.00)	79.9
Jurense (15.1)	12.39 (9.28, 31.49)	35.8
fadrid (15.2)	13.09 (11.00, 24.00)	45.0
leida (15.2)	14.40 (-7.60, 30.59)	47.7
aragoza (15.7)	8.19 (6.41, 25.39)	17.7
iranada (15.7)	24.19 (-3.10, 25.61)	82.0
Judad Real (15.8)	24.90 (9.49, 28.01)	81.9
oledo (15.9)	24.61 (-2.00, 26.01)	81.1
larcelona (16.3)	22.71 (20.59, 23.61)	81.0
aceres [*] (16.4)	19.98 (0.10, 34.09)	67.0
Mallorca (16.7)	15.00 (12.00, 32.10)	45.2
aen (17)	15.99 (-3.10, 35.30)	50.0
adajoz (17.2)	23.89 (12.10, 33.89)	78.9
astellon (17.8)		90.9
arragona (17.9)	26.61 (-0.10, 32.39)	89.2
uelva (18.2)	19.80 (3.80, 36.20)	60.1
ordoba (18.3)	22.80 (13.50, 27.00)	69.2
licante (18.4)		82.9
alencia (18.5)	24.91 (16.89, 27.09)	82.1
adiz (18.6)	22.80 (3.90, 24.71)	77.7
talaga (18.7)	20.57 (15.69, 25.41)	62.0
euta [*] (18.9)	19.40 (4.11, 36.10)	55.8
felila (19)	13.89 (3.80, 36.10)	14.9
funcia (19)	25.70 (3.80. 36.08)	90.2
Imeria (19.1)		60.0
evilla (19.5)	19.89 (16.21, 27.49)	54.0
as Palmas (21.3)	23.10 (13.70. 33.40)	69.7
enerife (*) (21.6)	23.50 (13.42, 25.32)	68.8

°C