

# Food Structure

---

Volume 6 | Number 2

Article 11

---

1987

## The Effects of Polysorbate 80 on the Fat Emulsion in Ice Cream Mix: Evidence from Transmission Electron Microscopy Studies

H. D. Goff

M. Liboff

W. K. Jordan

J. E. Kinsella

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/foodmicrostructure>

 Part of the [Food Science Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Goff, H. D.; Liboff, M.; Jordan, W. K.; and Kinsella, J. E. (1987) "The Effects of Polysorbate 80 on the Fat Emulsion in Ice Cream Mix: Evidence from Transmission Electron Microscopy Studies," *Food Structure*: Vol. 6 : No. 2 , Article 11.

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/foodmicrostructure/vol6/iss2/11>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Western Dairy Center at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Food Structure by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@usu.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@usu.edu).



THE EFFECTS OF POLYSORBATE 80 ON THE FAT EMULSION IN ICE CREAM  
MIX : EVIDENCE FROM TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY STUDIES

H. D. Goff\*, M. Liboff, W. K. Jordan, and J. E. Kinsella

Institute of Food Science, Stocking Hall  
Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

**Abstract**

Emulsifiers are used in ice cream to produce a dry, smooth textured product with desirable melting properties. They function by promoting a partial destabilization of the fat emulsion. Polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate is used very commonly in the ice cream industry for this purpose. The objective of this research was to examine by transmission electron microscopy the differences in the fat globules in typical ice cream mix emulsions prepared with and without 0.08% polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate.

Ice cream mix was combined 3 : 1 with a 2% solution of ultralow gelling temperature agarose at 20°C, fixed with 4% glutaraldehyde, postfixed with 1% OsO<sub>4</sub> in imidazole/phosphate buffer, embedded in Spurr resin and thin-sectioned for viewing. The emulsifier reduced the number of casein micelles adsorbed to the fat globules as determined by both TEM and quantification of membrane protein with Kjeldahl analyses. The fat/serum interfacial tension was also significantly reduced by the presence of emulsifier in the mix. The data suggest that emulsifiers promote fat destabilization through reduction of membrane protein, based on their ability to reduce the fat serum interfacial tension. In the presence of crystallized fat, the emulsion then becomes less stable to shear forces during the whipping and freezing of ice cream.

**Introduction**

Emulsifiers, such as mono- and di-glycerides or polyoxyethylene sorbitan esters, are used in ice cream to improve the whipping quality of the mix, to produce a drier ice cream with smoother body and texture, and to achieve good drawing qualities at the freezer (Arbuckle, 1976). These effects result from a destabilization of the fat emulsion (Keeney, 1958; Berger, 1976) as occurs during the whipping of heavy cream (Schmidt and van Hooydonk, 1980; Brooker et al., 1986) in which chains and clusters of partially ruptured fat globules form a network of fat that envelops and stabilizes the air cells.

During ice cream manufacture, the whipping and concomitant freezing process imposes large shear forces on the mix. The combination of ice crystallization and shear is responsible for destabilization of the milkfat globules (Lin and Leeder, 1974; Goff and Jordan, 1986). The magnitude of destabilization facilitated by an emulsifier is related to its hydrophilic/lipophilic balance (HLB) (Govin and Leeder, 1971), and/or to the interfacial tension between the serum and lipid phases in the presence of the emulsifier (Walstra, 1983). In a protein stabilized emulsion such as a dairy emulsion, the addition of surfactant prior to homogenization reduces the amount of protein adsorbed per surface area of fat (Oortwijn et al., 1977; Oortwijn and Walstra, 1979, 1982; Barford and Krog, 1987).

Alsafar and Wood (1966) used transmission electron microscopy to examine the fat dispersion in ice cream. They reported that the surface layer of fat globules appeared darker and thicker without an emulsifier than with emulsifier. Berger and White (1971), using freeze etching techniques, reported the presence of a granular coating, considered to be casein subunits, on the outside of the fat globules. They stated that the strength of the fat globule membrane is the overriding factor in predicting fat destabilization. It was suggested that the membrane is only weakly bound and is easily stripped off to expose a crystalline lipid shell composed largely of the high-melting glycerides (HMG). Liquid fat escaping from these ruptured HMG shells thus becomes the cementing agent in fat destabilization during freezing (Berger and White, 1976). However, this liquid core/solid shell model has been challenged (Walstra and van Beresteyn, 1975; Walstra, 1983).

Polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate (Polysorbate 80 or Tween 80) is the agent most commonly used by the ice cream industry for destabilization of the fat emulsion (Thomas, 1981; Keeney, 1982). This research project was initiated to elucidate the mechanism of emulsifier action in promoting fat destabilization during the manufacture of ice cream. The objective of this study was to examine the microstructural differences in ice cream mix emulsions prepared both in the presence and absence of polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate.

---

Initial paper received July 27, 1987  
Manuscript received October 19, 1987  
Direct inquiries to H.D. Goff  
Telephone number: 519 824 4120

---

**Key Words:** agarose, casein micelles, emulsifiers, emulsion, fat destabilization, ice cream, milk fat globules, Polysorbate 80, transmission electron microscope, Tween 80

\*Address for correspondence:  
H. D. Goff, Dept. of Food Science, University of Guelph, Guelph,  
Ontario, Canada, N1G 2W1. Phone No. (519) 824-4120

### Materials and Methods

#### Ice cream mix preparation

Four replicates of ice cream mixes with the following compositions were prepared: 10% milkfat, 11% milk solids-not-fat (msnf), 10% sucrose, and 5% corn syrup solids; and 10% milkfat, 11% msnf, 10% sucrose, 5% corn syrup solids, and 0.08% polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate (Tween 80, ICI Americas Inc., Wilmington, DE). Fresh cream, skim milk, and nonfat dry milk were used as the sources of milk solids. The 8 kg mixes were blended, pasteurized at 74°C for 30 min, homogenized at 17.2 MPa (2500 psig), 3.4 MPa (500 psig) second stage (Manton Gaulin 75E Homogenizer), cooled to 5°C, and aged 24 h, as in conventional ice cream manufacturing procedures.

#### Fat destabilization analysis

One two-litre aliquot of each replicate was frozen in a Taylor batch freezer to -5°C and held in the freezer for a total of 15 min of agitation. Samples (40mL) were removed from the barrel every 2.5 min and analyzed for the degree of fat destabilization by turbidimetry. Samples were diluted 1:500 with distilled water and absorbance was measured at 540nm. Percent fat destabilized was calculated as  $(A_{mix}/A_{ice\ cream}) \times 100\%$  (Keeney, 1958).

#### Interfacial tension

The interfacial tension was measured with a duNuoy ring apparatus (Fisher Surface Tensiometer) by placing the ring in water or in aqueous solutions of 11% milk solids-not-fat in a jacketed beaker at 70°C, with and without 0.08% polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate, layering the solution with anhydrous milkfat at 70°C, aging 10 min while maintaining constant temperature, and then drawing the ring from the one phase into the other.

#### Transmission electron microscopy

The two ice cream mix emulsions, after aging, were warmed to 15°C and combined with a 2% solution of ultralow gelling temperature agarose (SeaPrep agarose, FMC Marine Colloids Div.) at a rate of 3 parts sample to one part agarose. The agarose solution had been previously heated and cooled to 20°C. The ice cream mix and agarose combination was allowed to solidify at 4°C overnight. Pieces of the mix were then cut and fixed in 4% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) overnight. After several rinses in phosphate buffer, the samples were postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1M phosphate/imidazole buffer (1:1 v/v; pH 7.0) overnight (Angermuller and Fahimi, 1982; Kalab, 1985). Following several buffer rinses, the samples were dehydrated in successive ethanol concentrations, embedded in Spurr resin molds, and held at 70°C overnight. Samples were then thin-sectioned (Sorvall MT-2 Ultra-microtome), placed on carbon-coated Formvar copper grids, and viewed using a Philips EM-300 Transmission Electron Microscope.

#### Fat globule measurement

Cross section diameters of all of the fat globules in at least 40 different random fields from each treatment were measured from the micrographs. A total of 911 globule sections were measured and grouped in 0.5 µm categories. The number of discrete protein particles adhering to each of the globules was also counted. The average diameter of the globule cross sections was determined as was the average number of adsorbed protein particles per globule as a function of globule diameter.

#### Quantification of membrane protein

Total protein in the fresh ice cream mix was determined by Kjeldahl nitrogen. Mix samples were then centrifuged at 25,000g, 20°C, 30 min, the centrifuge tubes were then frozen, the fat layer was removed, and the serum was thawed, mixed, and reanalyzed for Kjeldahl nitrogen. Adsorbed protein was calculated as the difference between total protein and serum protein (Oortwijn and Walstra, 1979).

### Results and Discussion

The differences observed in the degree of fat destabilization when the two ice cream mixes were concomitantly whipped and frozen into the ice cream state are illustrated in Figure 1. The addition of Tween 80 to the mix prior to homogenization




Figure 1. The changes as a result of emulsifier addition to the percent of fat destabilized during the period of time the ice cream mix was held in the barrel of the batch freezer.

Table 1. Comparison of the creaming rates of the two ice cream mix emulsions when both have sat quiescently for 10 days. Butterfat determined by Mojonnier ether extraction.

	No Emulsifier	Tween 80 (0.08%)
Butterfat (%) In Mix	10.00	10.00
Butterfat (%) Top of		
100ml cylinder, 10 days	11.25 ± 0.43	11.28 ± 0.43
Butterfat (%) Bottom of		
100ml cylinder, 10 days	9.47 ± 0.11	9.46 ± 0.11
n = 4		

clearly produced an emulsion which was less stable to the applied shear forces than an emulsion stabilized solely by the milk proteins. Fat destabilization also manifested itself in dryness, smoothness, and meltdown of the two ice creams when the ice cream mixes were frozen.

Both mixes, however, were equally stable following homogenization when not subjected to the freezing process (Table 1).

The interfacial tension between the aqueous phase and anhydrous butteroil was taken as representative of the interfacial tension at the surface of the globule in an emulsion. While the absolute numbers may be different due to the greatly different surface to volume ratio, the relative trends can be observed. The interfacial tension at the aqueous phase/oil surface was reduced by the milk proteins and was also reduced by the emulsifier (Table 2). This decrease in interfacial tension correlated with the increase in fat destabilization in the presence of the emulsifier. A good correlation has been shown between the destabilizing power of several emulsifiers and the serum/liquid interfacial tension in the presence of the emulsifier and has been reported elsewhere (Goff et al., 1987).

In examining electron micrographs of the two emulsions, differences in the number of casein micelles adhering to the fat globules were observed. In the absence of the emulsifier, more casein micelles adsorbed to the fat globules (Figure 2, Figure 4)






Figure 2. Transmission electron micrograph of the ice cream mix emulsion in the absence of emulsifier. F=fat globule, C=casein micelle, A=agarose matrix, arrow points to fat crystal within the globule. Bar=1  $\mu$ m.

Figure 3. Transmission electron micrograph of the ice cream mix emulsion in the presence of 0.08% Tween 80. F=fat globule, arrow points to fat crystal within the globule. Bar=1  $\mu$ m.

Figure 4. Side-by-side comparison of fat globules from the two ice cream mixes. 4a has no emulsifier. 4b contains 0.08% Tween 80. F=fat globule, C=casein micelle. Bar=1  $\mu$ m.

than when the emulsifier was included in the mix (Figure 3, Figure 4). This difference in micellar adsorption was quantified by counting number of micelles adsorbed per globule in random micrographs from each emulsion. The statistical analyses performed demonstrated a significant difference ( $p < 0.01$ ) between emulsions; nearly twice as many micelles were adsorbed to the fat globules in the mix without emulsifier than in the mix containing Tween 80 (Table 3).

Adsorbed protein was also determined by Kjeldahl analysis as described above. A small portion of the fat was found to remain in the serum after centrifugation as was found by Oortwijn and Walstra (1979). The results indicated that 15.9% of the total mix protein was adsorbed to the fat globule in the absence of emulsifier whereas only 7.8% was adsorbed to the fat globule in the

Table 2. Interfacial tension between anhydrous butteroil and an aqueous phase with or without 10% skim milk powder and with or without Tween 80 as determined by a duNuoy ring at 70°C.

	INTERFACIAL TENSION Anhydrous butteroil vs. water (dynes/cm)	INTERFACIAL TENSION Anhydrous butteroil vs. 10% skim pdr. (dynes/cm)
No Emulsifier	8.26	5.05
Polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate (Tween 80)	2.53 a	2.24 a

n = 4  
a not significant at  $p < 0.05$

Table 3. Summary of the number of micelles adhering to fat globules in the presence and absence of Tween 80 as a function of the globule section diameter. Mean section diameters of the two emulsions are also shown.

Globule Section Diameter ( $\mu$ m)	No Emulsifier		0.08% Tween 80	
	# Globules	Average # Adsorbed micelles per globule	# Globules	Average # Adsorbed micelles per globule
0.00 - 0.50	256	3.35	305	2.11
0.55 - 1.00	108	4.27	101	1.87
1.05 - 1.50	47	4.47	43	2.46
1.55 - 2.00	14	6.43	22	3.14
>2.00	6	4.67	9	5.33
Total	431	3.82 $\pm$ 0.25	480	2.20 $\pm$ 0.18
		( $\bar{x} = 0.56 \pm 0.04 \mu$ m)		( $\bar{x} = 0.54 \pm 0.05 \mu$ m)

Table 4. Differences in the amount of protein adsorbed to the fat globules in each of the mixes as determined by centrifugal separation and Kjeldahl analyses.

	No Emulsifier	Tween 80 (0.08%)
Mix Protein (%)	4.08 $\pm$ 0.01	4.08 $\pm$ 0.01
Protein in serum (% of mix)	3.43 $\pm$ 0.07	3.76 $\pm$ 0.17
Adsorbed Protein (% of total protein)	15.9	7.84

n = 4

presence of Tween 80 (Table 4). This difference was statistically significant and the 95% confidence intervals are shown in Table 4.

The information gained from measurement of the fat globule diameters on the micrographs is relevant only to the cross-sectional diameters and not to the true diameter of the globule (Table 3). The mean section diameters and size distribution of the sections between the two emulsions, however, were not significantly different. Turbidity or absorbance of the two emulsions was also determined at both 400 nm and 540 nm using two different procedures (Table 5). There was no difference in light scattering between the two emulsions. Thus it appears that the addition of the emulsifier had no significant effect on fat globule size distribution of the two populations. The high homogenizing pressures involved were the overriding factor in size distribution.

Emulsifier action, therefore, may be summarized as follows. The added emulsifiers reduce the interfacial tension between the serum and lipid (fat globule) phases of the mix. It is thus more favourable for the emulsifiers rather than caseins to adsorb to the fat surface at the time of homogenization, as this leads to a lowering of the net free energy of the system. This reduction in the amount of casein, however, produces an emulsion which is less stable to the shear forces applied during ice cream freezing. As a result, the fat emulsion is destabilized to a greater extent in the presence of the emulsifiers. Destabilization leads to the production of a smoother ice cream with good melt resistance due to the enhanced structure of the foam caused by the fat network.

Examination of the electron micrographs revealed crystalline fat within the cross section of the fat globule. The needle-like crystals were distributed evenly and randomly throughout the globule (Figures 2-6) and no evidence of a solid shell around the fat globule was observed; however, a crystalline periphery was slightly evident in a few globules (Figure 5). This structure conforms to that proposed by Walstra (1983); however, concentric crystalline layers of fat at the globule boundary have been shown by freeze etch techniques (Berger, 1976; Buchheim and Precht, 1979; Precht and Buchheim, 1979).

Many of the globules also showed evidence of fat crystals which influenced the shape of the globule (Figure 6). van Boekel and Walstra (1981) have suggested a model for crystallization within a fat globule whereby tangentially oriented crystals protrude from the surface of the globule and are able to pierce the film between two existing droplets upon close approach, thus promoting rupture of the globule membrane and subsequent coalescence. This may partly explain the necessity of a partially crystalline fat to enhance fat destabilization or partial churning

Table 5. Differences in fat globule size distribution of the two ice cream mixes as determined by light scattering techniques (Walstra, 1968).

ICE CREAM MIX (1:500 dilution)	ABSORBANCE (400nm)		ABSORBANCE (540nm)	
	w/ Soln. A (2%)	w/o Soln. A (2%)	w/ Soln. A (2%)	w/o Soln. A (2%)
NO EMULSIFIER	0.83	0.92	0.63	0.70
0.08% TWEEN 80	0.83	0.92	0.63	0.70

n = 4

Soln. A = 0.375% Disodium EDTA  
0.125% Tween 20  
adj. to pH 10 with NaOH






Figure 5. Milkfat globule from an ice cream mix showing the intricate structure of needle-like crystals present in the cross section of the globule. F=fat globule, arrow points to fat crystal. Bar=1 $\mu$ m.

Figure 6. Milkfat globule from an ice cream mix. Arrow points to surface distortion of the globule caused by the presence of the internal crystalline structure. F=fat globule. Bar=1 $\mu$ m.

Figure 7. Transmission electron micrograph of an ice cream mix illustrating two fat globules joined together by the adsorption of a casein micelle onto each globule. F=fat globule, arrow points to casein micelle attachment. Bar=1 $\mu$ m.

under the influence of applied shear forces. The perpendicular and tangential crystal orientations observed in many of the fat globules from the two ice cream mixes support this theory. The presence of adsorbed casein micelles, however, would tend to physically block the influence of these crystal protrusions on fat coalescence and destabilization through steric repulsions.

Another observation made from the micrographs was the presence of homogenization clusters formed by the sharing of an adsorbed casein micelle between two fat globules, thus holding them together (Figure 7). Ogden et al. (1976) have proposed this type of structure as one of the possible mechanisms involved in clustering of fat globules post homogenization. The two stage homogenization process involved in the preparation of the mixes for this experiment is intended to reduce the amount of fat globule clustering. Thus, only a few such clusters were seen in all of the micrographs examined.

### Conclusions

In conclusion, a significant difference was observed in the number of casein micelles adhering to the fat globule when the ice cream mix was homogenized in the presence of polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate, more protein being adsorbed in the absence of the emulsifier than in its presence. The total surface area of fat in the emulsion was not affected by the presence or absence of the emulsifier. Crystalline fat within the fat globules exhibited a random distribution throughout the cross section of the globule. Several fat globules exhibited crystals which appeared to influence the peripheral shape of the globule.

### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the Wisconsin Milk Marketing Board for their support of this research. The authors also wish to thank Dr. M. Kalab for helpful suggestions.

### References

- Alsafar TA, Wood FW. (1966). Microscopical Examination of Fat Dispersion of Ice Cream. 17th Int. Dairy Congress. Sect. F-2, 401-407.
- Angermuller S, Fahimi HD. (1982). Imidazole buffered osmium tetroxide: an excellent stain for visualization of lipids in transmission electron microscopy. *Histochemical J.* 14: 823-835.
- Arbuckle WS. (1976). *Ice Cream*. 3rd Edition. AVI Publishing Co., Westport, Conn. pp. 103-105.
- Barford NM, Krog N. (1987). Destabilization and fat crystallization of whippable emulsions (toppings) studied by pulsed NMR. *JAOCS*. 64(1): 112-119.
- Berger KG. (1976). *Ice Cream*. In: *Food Emulsions*. S. Friberg, (ed). Marcel Dekker Inc., New York. p. 141-213.
- Berger KG, White GW. (1971). An electron microscopical investigation of fat destabilization in ice cream. *J. Food Technol.* 6: 285-294.
- Berger KG, White GW. (1976). The Fat Globule Membrane in Ice Cream. *Dairy Industries International*. 41:199-243.
- Brooker BE, Anderson M, Andrews AT. (1986). The development of structure in whipped cream. *Food Microstruc.* 5: 277-285.
- Buchheim W, Precht D. (1979). Electron microscopic study on the crystallization processes in fat globules during the ripening of cream. *Milchwissenschaft*. 34: 657-662.
- Goff HD, Jordan WK. (1986). The action of emulsifiers in promoting fat destabilization during the manufacture of ice cream. *J. Dairy Sci.* 69(Suppl. 1): 58.
- Goff HD, Kinsella JE, Jordan WK. (1987). The role of milk proteins in ice cream emulsion stability. *J. Dairy Sci.* 70(Suppl. 1): 90.
- Govin R, Leeder JG. (1971). Action of emulsifiers in ice cream utilizing the HLB concept. *J. Food Sci.* 36:718-722.
- Kalab M. (1985). Microstructure of dairy foods. 2. Milk products based on fat. *J. Dairy Sci.* 68: 3234-3248.

- Keeney PG. (1982). Development of Frozen Emulsions. *Food Tech.* 35(11): 65-70.
- Keeney PG. (1958). The fat stability problem in ice cream. *Ice Cream Review*. 42(7): 26-28, 42-45.
- Lin PM, Leeder JG. (1974). Mechanism of emulsifier action in an ice cream system. *J. Food Sci.* 39:108-111.
- Ogden LV, Walstra P, Morris HA. (1976). Homogenization induced clustering of fat globules in cream and model systems. *J. Dairy Sci.* 59(10): 1727-1737.
- Oortwijn H, Walstra P. (1982). The membranes of recombined fat globules. 4. Effects on properties of the recombined milks. *Neth. Milk Dairy J.* 36: 279-290.
- Oortwijn H, Walstra P. (1979). The membranes of recombined fat globules. 2. Composition. *Neth. Milk Dairy J.* 33: 134-154.
- Oortwijn H, Walstra P, Mulder H. (1977). The membranes of recombined fat globules. 1. Electron microscopy. *Neth. Milk Dairy J.* 31: 134-147.
- Precht D, Buchheim W. (1979). Electron microscopic studies on the physical structure of spreadable fats. 1. The microstructure of fat globules in butter. *Milchwissenschaft*. 34: 745-749.
- Schmidt DG, van Hooydonk ACM. (1980). A scanning electron microscopical investigation of the whipping of cream. *Scanning Electron Microsc.* 1980; III: 653-658.
- Thomas EL. (1981). Structure and Properties of Ice Cream Emulsions. *Food Tech.* 35(1): 41-48.
- van Boekel MAJS, Walstra P. (1981). Stability of oil-in-water emulsions with crystals in the disperse phase. *Colloids and Surfaces*. 3: 109-118.
- Walstra P. (1983). Physical chemistry of milkfat globules. Chap. 4. In: *Developments in Dairy Chemistry - 2. Lipids*. Fox P.F., (ed). Applied Science Publishers, N.Y. p.119-158.
- Walstra P. (1968). Estimating globule size distribution in oil in water emulsions by spectrorbidimetry. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 27(3): 493-500.
- Walstra P, Oortwijn H. (1982). The membranes of recombined fat globules. 3. Mode of formation. *Neth. Milk Dairy J.* 36: 103-113.
- Walstra P, van Beresteyn ECH. (1975). Crystallization of milkfat in the emulsified state. *Neth. Milk Dairy J.* 29: 35-65.

### Discussion with Reviewers

**B.E. Brooker:** Are any problems encountered when using agarose as a gelling agent? Would you like to say more about the use of this gel?

**Authors:** We followed the protocol recommended by Strausbach et al., 1985 (Strausbach P, Roberson L, Sehgal N. (1985). Embedding of cell suspensions in ultra-low gelling temperature agarose: improved specimen preparation for transmission electron microscopy. *J. Electron Microsc. Technique*. 2: 261-262). They found that 2% agarose solutions were easy to prepare and resulted in a firm gel. Agarose concentrations below 1% did not gel while concentrations above 4% were difficult to prepare. We found 2% agarose solutions to work well for our experiments. One problem that did arise was the formation of bubbles if the solution was allowed to boil during preparation. This could cause difficulties when sectioning. Therefore the agarose preparation was removed from the heat source as soon as the agarose went into solution and before boiling commenced. Some emulsions did not gel firmly when prepared in a 3 part emulsion: 1 part agarose solution but still gave satisfactory TEM results. However, the proportion of agarose to sample could be raised in order to produce a firmer gel if needed.

**B.E. Brooker:** Is it possible, by adding sufficient emulsifier, to displace all protein from the fat-water interface of the fat globules? If so, what can you say about the stability of the resulting globules?

**Authors:** It is known that the excessive use of emulsifier in ice cream manufacture will cause churning to occur very quickly (Keeney, 1958). Excessive surfactant is also used in the isolation of membrane material from washed cream (Mulder H, Walstra P.

(1974). The Milk Fat Globule. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux. Farnham Royal, Bucks., England., p. 117). Desorption of membrane protein is facilitated by this technique. The stability of the resulting emulsion is greatly reduced.

**P. Walstra:** Have you compared the results of Table 3, no emulsifier, with those of Walstra and Oortwin, *Neth. Milk Dairy J.* 36(1982)103-113, Fig. 1? You find a similar decrease in number of micelles attached per  $\mu\text{m}$  circumference of the fat globule with increasing globular diameter.

**Authors:** Our findings do agree with the prediction by Walstra and Oortwin that smaller globules acquire more large particles per unit surface area, although the globule diameters in Table 3 refer only to the cross sections and, since this was not systematically studied by us, there are insufficient observations in the larger size ranges to independently conclude this relation.

**I. Heertje:** Ice cream is made by cooling to about  $-5^{\circ}\text{C}$  while beating in air, and under these conditions coalescence of fat takes place. On the other hand, ice cream emulsions were analyzed for their microstructure by a preparation scheme involving a temperature regime with a lowest temperature of  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . This preparation scheme and these conditions are apparently very well suited to show the interaction between casein and the fat globules. Can these conditions be considered to be representative for the situation at  $-5^{\circ}\text{C}$ , in particular for aspects such as the amount and the orientation of fat crystals and the deformation of fat globules? If not, would it be advisable and possible to perform these types of microstructural observations at this temperature?

**Authors:** During ice cream manufacture, a mix is prepared by processes similar to the one employed by us. This mix is then aged at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  prior to being concomitantly whipped and frozen into the ice cream state. We were interested in determining what microstructure existed prior to freezing such that fat coalescence during freezing was enhanced in the presence of the surfactant. We did not examine samples of frozen ice cream using this technique, although more information regarding the time and temperature dependent fat crystallization process may be gained from such a study.

**I. Heertje:** Differences in fat destabilization were found only when the mixes were subjected to the freezing process. Does this not imply that, apart from the fat crystals, at least ice crystals also may induce coalescence of fat globules, which also, in that case, may be prevented by steric repulsion of the adsorbed casein micelles?

**Authors:** Indeed that is the case. It was reported by us (Goff and Jordan, 1986) that the combination of ice crystallization, air incorporation, and shear forces were all necessary for fat destabilization to occur in ice cream. When the fat globules lack the physical protection of the adsorbed protein layer and are subjected to the conditions of the freezer, coalescence is enhanced. Fat crystallization is also necessary for this destabilization to occur as the fluidity or mobility of the globule is greatly reduced and the potential for interglobule contact through protruding fat crystals as hypothesized by van Boekel and Walstra is enhanced.

**I. Heertje:** Large differences are found in the amount of fat crystals in the different emulsion droplets (compare fig. 5 with 6 and 7). This is in accordance with earlier observations of Walstra (*Neth. Milk Dairy J.* 1967, 21, 166) by light microscopy and Buchheim and Precht (*Milchwissenschaft* 1979, 34, 657-720) by freeze fracture electron microscopy. There is some debate (Walstra, 1983) whether fat globules with birefringent outer layers as viewed by polarized light microscopy consist of small tangentially oriented crystals or of large concentric layers of fat crystals, forming a more or less solid shell. In fig. 5, a concentric arrangement of large crystalline layers is observed. Should this morphology, obtained by a chemical fixation procedure, be considered as independent proof for the existence of this type of arrangement?

Would the authors dare to speculate which type of globules would be more likely to be involved in coalescence: droplets with a strong crystallization with concentric layering as observed in fig. 5, or droplets with a crystal protrusion as indicated in fig. 6?

**Authors:** Figure 5 demonstrates the presence of a crystalline peripheral orientation to some of the more extensively crystallized globules; however, the crystals are also distributed throughout the cross section of the globule in figure 5 and in figs. 2 to 6. There seems to be no evidence to support the presence of a solid shell of crystallized fat and a liquid core; however, this arrangement is still open to debate. This research does not prove or disprove the stability of these crystal arrangements. Precht and Buchheim (1979) found globules with a high melting glyceride shell of 0.1-0.5  $\mu\text{m}$  thickness with crystalline aggregates and liquid fat in the interior to possess the stability necessary to withstand shear forces during buttermaking. However, Walstra (1983) suggested that globules with the birefringent outer layer were the unstable ones. This remains open to debate as well.

**J. M. deMan:** When Kjeldahl nitrogen was determined in the serum, was a correction applied for the volume of fat removed?

**Authors:** Yes. In the calculation of protein adsorbed to the fat globules, non-adsorbed protein was determined as Kjeldahl nitrogen in the serum multiplied by the Kjeldahl factor (6.38) multiplied by the fraction of serum in the mix (0.90). Adsorbed protein was calculated as total protein in the mix subtract non-adsorbed protein.