## **Utah State University**

# DigitalCommons@USU

Memorandum

**US/IBP Desert Biome Digital Collection** 

1975

# Classification and Quantification of Invertebrate Activity and the Role of Invertebrates in Nitrogen Processing in Plants, Litter and Soil in Curlew Valley

P. R. Sferra

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/dbiome\_memo



Part of the Earth Sciences Commons, Environmental Sciences Commons, and the Life Sciences

Commons

## **Recommended Citation**

Sferra, P.R. 1975. Classification and Quantification of Invertebrate Activity and the ROle of Invertebrates in Nitrogen Processing in Plants, Litter and Soil in Curlew Valley. U.S. International Biological Program, Desert Biome, Utah State University, Logan, Utah. Reports of 1974 Process, Volume 3: Process Studies, RM 75-24.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the US/IBP Desert Biome Digital Collection at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Memorandum by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



#### 1974 PROGRESS REPORT

# CLASSIFICATION AND QUANTIFICATION OF INVERTEBRATE ACTIVITY AND THE ROLE OF INVERTEBRATES IN NITROGEN PROCESSING IN PLANTS, LITTER AND SOIL IN CURLEW VALLEY

P. R. Sferra College of Mt. St. Joseph on the Ohio

# US/IBP DESERT BIOME RESEARCH MEMORANDUM 75-24

in

**REPORTS OF 1974 PROGRESS Volume 3: Process Studies**Invertebrate Section, pp. 1-6

1974 Proposal No. 2.3.3.6

## Printed 1975

The material contained herein does not constitute publication. It is subject to revision and reinterpretation. The author(s) requests that it not be cited without expressed permission.

Citation format: Author(s). 1975. Title. US/IBP Desert Biome Res. Memo. 75-24. Utah State Univ., Logan. 6 pp.

Utah State University is an equal opportunity/affirmative action employer. All educational programs are available to everyone regardless of race, color, religion, sex, age or national origin.

Ecology Center, Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322

#### ABSTRACT

Insect sampling and identification were the major activities in this year's study, augmenting and correcting the listing begun in 1973. A program of weekly aerial sweep sampling was carried on from April 16 to August 17. Arthropods were collected from prominent plants on the south sagebrush and grass sites in Curlew Valley. Sorting and identification of the insects continued and will continue into 1975. These data indicate heavy species diversity with no significant change from 1973 except for the expected increase in species as identification work continues. There are now 761 species identified and listed. The toilet paper study for assessing termite feeding activity was continued; the year-old rolls being removed for study and replaced with new rolls. A new grid of 100 pretreated rolls was set up in July. These rolls were treated with either 10% glucose solution, 5% glucose solution, 10% ammonium nitrate solution, 5% ammonium nitrate solution or frozen orange juice. Twenty-five untreated rolls served as a control. These treatments were an attempt to determine if nutritional preference or attraction exists among the termites. These results are not available as yet. In August, 200 litter bags containing 3 geach of varied plant material were placed in the field. Some of the plant material was left untreated and the bags were left on the ground surface; others were treated with naptha balls or Dieldren and buried, while still others with Dieldren were left unburied. These bags will be removed after one year and the contents analyzed for total nitrogen. In July, two attempts were made to count scorpions at night by sighting of black light response. These attempts resulted in 13 sightings. These data will be compared with scorpion counts from pit traps in the validation study. An attempt was also made to determine if termites are capable of fixing nitrogen. Using the acetylene reduction method on the live-termite samples, no reduction activity was noted and it was assumed that no nitrogen fixation was occurring. These results, however, are not conclusive because there were too few trials and because the technique may not be sensitive enough.

#### INTRODUCTION

During 1974, insect sampling and identification continued as the major activity of this study. Purposes and intentions as stated in the 1973 progress report (Sferra 1974) remained the same; however, the study of the role of invertebrates in nitrogen processing in the litter did not progress as anticipated. Most of the efforts on this project were devoted to sweep sampling, identification of the insects captured, renewal of the toilet paper rolls on the termite study grids, addition of one new toilet paper grid with treated rolls of toilet paper, tests for nitrogen fixation within the termites found in Curlew Valley and placement of litter bags in a variety of situations on the south sagebrush site.

### **OBJECTIVES**

As stated in the preceding progress report (Sferra 1974), the rationale for giving more attention to the determination of the kinds and numbers of arthropods on the prominent plants in the south test sites than to the determination of the fate of litter nitrogen as affected by the activities of invertebrates thereon, was based upon time limitations and "because the information gained would provide a sound foundation for study of the litter-processing phenomena" (Sferra 1974, p. 2). Such a foundation will be established when the results of the sweep sampling from this study and the results of the validation program for collection of invertebrates by means of D-Vac, soil sampling, emergence traps and pit traps are combined and evaluated. These efforts have not been completed as yet. In addition, litter nitrogen investigation is lacking valid and reliable methodology. No worthwhile technique has, as yet, emerged from this study.

#### **METHODS**

Sweep sampling techniques, identification methodology and the toilet paper experiment are described in Sferra (1974). During 1974 sweep sampling commenced on April 16 and continued weekly until August 27. A drier spring in 1974 (compared to 1973) resulted in few Descurainia pinnata and Lepidium perfoliatum; thus, no sweep samples were taken on the former and only one sweep sample was taken on the latter. Later in the season, there were too few Salsola kali to warrant sampling. Sweep samples were taken from Agropyron cristatum, Artemisia tridentata, Atriplex confertifolia, Bassia hyssopifolia, Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus, Halogeton glomeratus and Sitanion hystrix when they were abundant enough for sampling. Sorting and identification of the insects continued and corrections were made in the identifications of 1973. Table 1 lists species which have been added to DSCODE A3USE02 (established in 1973) and Table 2 lists species which have been deleted from the same DSCODE. These data are the result of continued identification and corrected identification. DSCODE A3USE02, at this writing, now contains 761 species. Sorting and identification of all samples have not been completed. In addition, work continues on DSCODE A3USE01 which will contain quantitative data.

In July 1974 all rolls of toilet paper on the test grids were replaced with new rolls. Some modifications were made to prevent deterioration of the rolls due to weathering and plant abrasion. The insides of the cores of the new rolls were coated with a clear polyurethane varnish to keep them from unraveling and a piece of 8-mil polyethylene film was wrapped tightly around the outside circumference of each roll and secured with duct tape. The outer wrapper did not

cover the flat end surfaces and was applied to keep the paper from unraveling and to prevent damaging abrasion when plant branches rubbed against the roll due to wind action. Each new roll was placed with one flat end flush with the ground and with adjacent soil scraped against it to seal it off. Of the year-old rolls which were removed from the grids, five contained working termite colonies and were saved for quantitative study.

One new grid was set up in July, adjacent to the grid just off-site in a dead sagebrush area. This grid consists of 100 rolls in a 10 x 10 arrangement with the rolls placed 3 m apart. These rolls were pretreated as follows: 15 rolls, each treated with 100 ml of 10% glucose solution; 15 rolls, each treated with 100 ml 5% glucose solution; 15 rolls, each treated with 100 ml 10% ammonium nitrate solution; 15 rolls, each treated with 100 ml 5% ammonium nitrate solution; 15 rolls, each treated with 100 ml frozen orange juice; and 25 untreated rolls. The treatments were allowed to dry and the 100 rolls were distributed randomly (for treatment) on the grid. Five untreated rolls were placed randomly outside the grid. The treatments were an attempt to determine whether or not some kind of nutritional preference or attraction exists among the termites.

In August 1974, 200 litter bags were placed in several locations under varied conditions on the south sagebrush site. Each bag, a 5 inch x 5 inch envelope of 16-mesh fiberglass screening sewn with Dacron-cotton thread, contained 3 g of dry plant material in six categories: Atriplex leaves, Atriplex light twigs, Atriplex dark twigs, Artemisia leaves, Artemisia plain twigs and Artemisia twigs with bark. Forty bags were buried with no treatment, 50 bags were placed on the ground surface with no treatment, 30 bags were buried with naphtha balls, 65 bags were buried with Dieldrin treatment and 15 bags treated with Dieldrin were placed on the ground surface. All burials were just under the cryptogamic crust with three bags placed in each excavation. Each bag had a cotton cord attached with a numbered Dymo label stapled to the end for identification. Surface bags were tied to Artemisia or Atriplex, depending on the location. Burials were with the label end of the cord just above the surface of the ground. All bags are to be removed from the site after one year, the litter weighed and then analyzed for total nitrogen.

In July 1974, two attempts were made to count scorpions at night by sighting of black light response. Two transects were laid out with upright lath strips spaced 10 m apart for guidance. One transect, a square 100 m on a side, was laid out in the south sagebrush site and the other, an L 100 m on a side, was laid out on the south grass site. The lamp used was a Burgess Safari battery-powered lantern fitted with an 8-inch ultraviolet tube. This had an effective sighting width of approximately 1 m when held at about knee height. The first attempt resulted in no scorpion sightings; however, on the second night at between 10:00 p.m. and 12:00 a.m., the equivalent of twelve 100-m walks along the transects yielded a total of 13 scorpion sightings. These results will be

compared with the scorpion counts from pit traps in the validation study.

Finally, through the cooperation of Mr. Robert Reichert, some attempts were made to determine if the termites active on the south sites are capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen. Using the acetylene reduction method, three samples of 50 live termites each and one large sample of 500 live termites showed no reduction activity in the acetylene atmosphere and it was assumed that no nitrogen fixation was occurring. These results are not considered conclusive, partly because there were not enough trials and because the technique may have been lacking in sensitivity. Some refinement in holding container design is recommended if this is to be repeated.

Table 1. Additions to DSCODE A3USE02. Insects collected from sweeps of aerial parts of plants, 1973 and 1974. Weekly samplings on south sage and grass sites from June 7, 1973. Plants sampled: Agropyron cristatum, Artemisia tridentata, Atriplex confertifolia, Bassia hyssopifolia, Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus, Descurainia pinnata, Halogeton glomeratus, Lepidium perfoliatum, Salsola kali, Sitanion hystrix

Order, Pamily	Genus & Species	
Coleoptera		
Buprestidae	Agrilus gibicollis	
Carabidae	ap #1	
	Technophilus croceicollis	
Chrysomelidae	Paylliodes punctulata	
Cleridae	8p #1	
Coccinellidae	Exochomus septentrionis	
	Hyperaspis sp #1	
	Hyperaspis lateralis	
	Hyperaspis nevadica	
	Scymnus ap #1	
	Scymnus uteanus	
Curculionidae	Cercopeus artemisias	
	Cleonis quadrilineatus	
	Epimechus sp #1	
Meloidae	Epicauta normalis	
	Gnathium sp #1	
Mordellidae	Mordellistena sp #1	
	Mordellistens sp #2	
Phalacridae	Phalacrus sp #1	
Staphylinidae	sp #2	
Tenebrionidae	sp #99	
Diptera		
Agromyzidae	ap #98	
(S) 3	sp #99	
	Melanagromyza sp #99	
Anthomyiidae	ap #98	
	ap #99	
	Hylemya sp #1	
	Schoenomyza sp #1	
Bombyliidae	Conophorus sp #1	
	Conophorus obesulus	

Table 1, continued

Table 1, continued

Order, Pamily	Genus & Species	Order, Pamily	Genus & Species
		Hymenoptera	
Diptera	in the	Braconidae	Apanteles sp #5
Cecidomyidae	sp #7	Di aconidae	Apanteles sp #7
	sp #97		Bracon sp #1
	ap #98		Bracon sp #2
	ap #99		
Ceratopogonidae	sp #99		Bracon sp #3
	Leptoconops furens		Bracon sp #4
Chironomidae	ap #1		Pracon sp #6
	Chironomus sp #1		Bracon sp #7
Chloropidae	#99		Bracon sp #10
Conopidae	Zodion sp #1		Bracon sp #99
Empididae	Platypalpus sp #1		Bracon gelechiae
Ephydridae	sp #98		Chelonus (Microchelonus) ap #1
	sp #99		Chelonus (Microchelonus) sp #2
Pipunculidae	Pipunculus sp #99	tell	Chelonus (Microchelonus) sp #3
Sarcophagidae	Miltogrammini sp #1		Chorebus sp #1
	Miltogrammini (Tribe) sp #1		Contharoctonus sp #1
	Sepsis sp #1		Cremnons vulgaris
Sepsidae	ASS CONTRACT IN CONTRACT		Dacnusa sp #1
Stratiomyidae	Odontomyia sp #1		Dacnusa sp #2
Syrphidae	Eupeodes volucris		Dacnusa sp #3
Tachinidae	Hyalomya sp #1		3601
	Leucostoma simplex		Dacnusa sp #4
	Peleteria sp #1		Dacnusa sp #99
Tephritidae	Trupanea sp #99		Hormius sp #1
Therevidae	sp #99		Lysaphidus sp #1
Ephemeroptera	sp #1		Lysiphlebus sp #1
Hemiptera			Meteorus leviventris
Lygaeidae	Nysius sp #2		Microctonus sp #1
Miridae	sp #98		Microplitis sp #1
	ap #99		Microplitis brassicae
	Lygus ap #2		Opius sp #1
	Orectoderus obliquus		Opius sp #2
Pentatomidae	Chlorochros sp #1		Opius sp #3
Reduviidae	Zelus socius		Opius sp #5
Homoptera	20140 000140		
	Ambia an #1		Opius sp #6
Aphididae	Aphia sp #1		Orgilis sp #1
	Epimoebaphia ap #1		Orgilis sp #2
	Obtusicauda sp #1		Orgilis ferus
Cicadellidae	sp #97		Tetrasphaeropyx sp #1
	sp #98		Trioxys sp #1
	sp #99	Chrysididae	sp #1
	Aceratagallia sp #1		Hedychridium sp #99
	Ceratagallia sp #1	Cynipidae	sp ≠99
	Empoasca sp #99	Encyrtidae	ap #18
Coccidae	sp #99		sp #93
Delphacidae	sp #2		sp #94
Pulgoridae	ap #1		sp #95
Membracidae	sp #1		вр #96
Psyllidae	Aphalara sp #99		вр #97
			ap #98
Hymenoptera			ap #99
Andrenidae	Andrena scurra	Eulophidae	Emersonopsis #59
Braconidae	mp #97		ap #98
	sp #98		вр #99
	ap #99		
	Adialytus sp #1		Tetrastichus sp #64
	Agathis sp #1		Tetrastichus sp #72
			Tetrastichus sp #98
	Agathis gibbosa		Tetrastichus sp #99

Table 1, continued

Table 2. Deletions from DSCODE A3USE02 (see Table 1, Sferra 1974)

		Sferra 1974)		
Order, Pamily	Genus & Species	Order, Family	Genus & Species	
Hymenoptera		Coleoptera		
Eupelmidae	sp #1	Buprestidae	Agrilus sp #1	
Eurytomidae	Eurytoma sp #99	Chrysomelidae	ap #1	
	Harmoleta sp #1	0111302011440	sp #4	
	Harmoleta sp #2	Curculionidae	sp #4	
	Harmoleta sp #3	Carcarionicae	Lixus sp #1	
Eutrichosommatidae	Eutrichosomma sp #9)	Meloidae	Epicauta sp #1	
Pormicidae	sp #98	Meloldes	Gnathias sp #1	
	sp #99	Mordellidae	ap #1	
	Leptothorax sp #1	MOFIGERIAGE	ap #2	
	Myrmica americana	0	sp #1	
	Tapinoma sessile	Scaphidiidae	Hylomyia sp #1	
Ichneumonidae	ac #98	Anthomyiidae	Schoenomyza sp #1	
10 me amonitae	sp #99	982.5 114.4 Projuga P (2000) 517	Schoenomyza sp #1	
	Anomalon sp #3	Hymenoptera	40	
	Campoplex sp #1	Braconidae	ap #2	
	Cratichneumon sp #1		ap #3	
	Cremastus sp #1		8p #4	
	Cremastus sp #2		ap #5	
	Diadegma sp #1		sp #6	
			sp #7	
	Diasparsis sp #1		sp #8	
	Enicospilus sp #1		sp #9	
	Exetastes dichromus		sp #10	
	Gelis (Winged) sp #1		ap #11	
	Gelis (Winged) sp #2		ap #12	
	Glypta sp #1		80 #13	
	Glypta sp #3		sp #14	
	Netelia sp #1		sp #15	
	Temelucha sp #1		ap #16	
	Temeluchá sp #2		sv #17	
	<u>Vulgichneumon</u> sp #1		Apanteles sp #1	
Mymaridae	sp #99		Chelonus sp #1	
Platygasteridae	Inostemma sp #99		Chelonus sp #2	
Proctotrupidae	sp #2		Chelonus sp #3	
	Proctotrupes sp #1		Meteorus sp #1	
Pteromalidae	sp #55		Microbracon sp #1	
	sp #95		Microbracon sp #2	
	вр #97		Microbracon sp #3	
	ap #98		Microbracon sp #5	
	sp #99		Microbracon sp #6	
	Habrocytus ap #87		Microbracon sp #7	
	Habrocytus sp #99		Microbracon sp #8	
Scelionidae	80 #99		Microplitus plutellae	
	Scelis sp #99		Opius sp #4	
Torymidae	Torymus ap #99		Triaspis sp #1	
Trichogrammatidae	sp #99	Eulophidae	sp #45	
		Data prizade	вр #64	
Lepidoptera			sp #90	
Hesperidae	Hesperia nevadensis		Euderus sp #72	
Lycaenidae	Mitoura siva		Tetrastichus sp #77	
Orthoptera		Pormicidae	so #1	
Acrididae	sp #2	Pormicidae	sp #3	
	Melanoplus sp #2			
	Meanings and 172		Pogonomyrmex sp #1	
Psocoptera	ap #99	Ichneumonidae	ap #1	
Strepsiptera			ap #2	
Halictopnagidae	sp #1		sp #4	
			* sp #5	

Table 2, continued

Order, Family	Genus & Species	
Hymenoptera		
Ichneumsonidae	83 <b>#</b> 6	
	ap #7	
	sp #8	
	sp #9	
	Ichneumon sp #1	
	Ophion sp #1	
	Paniscus ap #1	
Proctotrupidae	ap #1	
Pteromalidae	sp #9	
	ap #23	
	8p #59	
	sp #83	
	Sphegegasterinse	
Lepidoptera	Mitoura siva	
Strepsiptera	30 #1	

#### DISCUSSION

The data of DSCODE A3USE02 continue to indicate a heavy species diversity with 14 orders of Class Hexapoda represented. Order Hymenoptera was represented by 366 species. There were 167 species of Diptera, 60 species each

of Hemiptera and Homoptera and 70 species of Coleoptera. No significant changes, except for an increase in the number of species as identification work continues, appear in the 1974 data regarding representation of species among the orders, dominance of small parasitic Hymenoptera, and distribution of species according to plant type sampled when these data are compared with those of 1973. Not enough of the 1974 samples have been analyzed to determine whether or not the insects of 1973 differed in any way from those of 1974.

#### **EXPECTATIONS**

DSCODE A3USE02 which is taxonomic, and DSCODE A3USE01 which will be taxonomic and quantitative, should be completed in the spring of 1976. At that time there sould also be data on the termite activity in the toilet paper and data on the fate of the plant material in litter bags.

#### LITERATURE CITED

SFERRA, P. R. 1974. Classification and quantification of invertebrate activity and the role of invertebrates in nitrogen processing in plants, litter and soil in Curlew Valley. US/IBP Desert Biome Res. Memo. 74-28. Utah State Univ., Logan. 8 pp.