



# Effects of multiple disturbances and stresses on a benthic eelgrass community



CRSNG

NSERC

Antagonistic effect of density

reduction and enrichment on

richness

Fig. 5. Average values (±SE; n=10)

of invertebrates richness (S) for the

interaction of eelgrass density

reduction (Z-) and enrichment (N+)

AGE



Université du Québec à Chicoutimi, 555 boulevard de l'Université, Chicoutimi (Québec) G7H 2B1 stephanie.cimon@ugac.ca : mathieu.cusson@ugac.ca

## **Context and Objectives**

Many ecosystems are facing environmental changes and anthropogenic pressures that may affect communities in terms of both structure and/or function. Disturbances and stresses are commonly co-occurring in nature, however the interaction between them are generally considered as being additive without knowing the true in situ effects. The presence of structuring species may play a major role in the effects that disturbances will have on communities. The inclusion of multiple disturbances and stresses in field experiments in order to assess their potential interactive effects will help disentangle the mechanisms structuring communities following disturbances.

The aim of the study is to measure the response of macrobenthic assemblages facing multiple disturbance and stresses. Specifically a community dominated by a seagrass canopy (Zostera marina) and subjected to density reduction, light reduction and sediment nutrient enrichment was investigated.





Experiment

- Treatments were applied in 1 x 1 m plots and all measures were taken in their centers
- Experiment took place from early July to mid-September 2015
- Shading and enrichment were added two weeks after density reduction
- Shading took place for 19 days

### Variables measured

- Abundances: number of individuals collected with mesh bag (200 µm; 18 cm diameter)
- Community was sampled three times : after 2, 5 and 10 weeks
- Community indices were calculated based on number of individuals / Zostera dry mass
- Zostera density was measured three times : before, at week 5 and at week 10
- Zostera relative growth was evaluated once from week 2 to 5 during shading





Fig. 2. Average values (±SE; n=20) of invertebrates relative abundance (nb. of individuals/DW zostera (q)) (a). Pielou's evenness (J') (b), and Simpson's diversity (1- $\lambda$ ) (c) for eelgrass density reduction treatment 2, 5 and 10 weeks after starting the experiment. Z+ normal density; Z- density reduced.



Fig. 3. Average values ( $\pm$ SE; n=20) of invertebrates relative abundance (a) and Simpson's diversity (1- $\lambda$ ) (b-c) for sediment enrichment (a-b) and shading (c) treatments before (W2), 3 (W5) and 8 (W10) weeks after applying the treatment (dash line). N- no enrichment; N+ enriched; S- natural light; S+ light reduced.

## Multivariate results

Results



Fig. 4. nMDS of estimate 95% region of bootstrap averages (n=150) from replicates (Bray-Curtis; dispersion weighted and square-root transformed) of control and (a) density reduced plots (week 10), (b) enriched plots (week 5), and (c) shaded plots (week 5). Bootstrapping performed in m=10 dimensional nMDS space.

Density reduction affected community structure over the course of the entire experiment (10 weeks). Enrichment and shading individually affected community structure after 3 weeks (week 5) but the effects disappeared by week 10. For details see single effect results in Fig. 2 and 3.



# Conclusions

- · Eelgrass density reduction affected community characteristics through time
- Twenty days were enough to induce a community response to sediment enrichment and shading, though the effects were gone 5 weeks later. This demonstrated a potential of resilience of the eelgrass system.
- Antagonistic effects between treatments were observed on community indices and eelgrass density.
- Our results suggest that eelgrass bed can be resistant to multiple disturbances and stresses as no effect was observed on measured variables from the community and plant when all our treatments were applied.

Our results show the importance of field experiments that include multiple disturbances and stresses and their interactions in order to estimate the impacts on community assemblages and the fact that interactions are not always additive and therefore impossible to predict.

Ne want to thank the people who helped us during field work: C. Valcourt, G. Grosbois, M. Wauthy, S. Pelletier, D. Villeneuve and Y. Valcourt



treatments.

Interaction effects on community indices and eelgrass density

ah

ah

Zostera density reduction compensated the loss in richness by

enrichment and the decreasing in dominance by shading

Expected

additive

- week 5

6

2

n

0.8

0.6 nness

04

0.2

0

10

nsity m²)

Zostera den (x10<sup>2</sup> plant I

n

Б

b

bc

5

Richness (S)

