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# GALOIS ACTION ON SPECIAL THETA VALUES 

PALOMA BENGOECHEA


#### Abstract

For a primitive Dirichlet character $\chi$ of conductor $N$ set $\theta_{\chi}(\tau)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n^{\epsilon} \chi(n) e^{\pi i n^{2} \tau / N}$ (where $\epsilon=0$ for even $\chi, \epsilon=1$ for odd $\chi)$ the associated theta series. Its value at its point of symmetry under the modular transformation $\tau \mapsto-1 / \tau$ is related by $\theta_{\chi}(i)=W(\chi) \theta_{\bar{\chi}}(i)$ to the root number of the L-series of $\chi$ and hence can be used to calculate the latter quickly if it does not vanish. Using Shimura's reciprocity law, we calculate the Galois action on these special values of theta functions with odd $N$ normalised by the Dedekind eta function. As a consequence, we prove some experimental results of Cohen and Zagier and we deduce a partial result on the non-vanishing of these special theta values with prime $N$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\chi$ be a primitive Dirichlet character with conductor $N$ and order $m$. The theta series associated to the character $\chi$ is defined on the upper halfplane $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\chi}(\tau)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n^{\epsilon} \chi(n) q^{n^{2} / 2 N} \quad(q=\underline{e}(\tau), \tau \in \mathcal{H}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ equals 0 if $\chi$ is even, or 1 if $\chi$ is odd. (Here and in the sequel we use the notation $\underline{e}(x)=e^{2 i \pi x}$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$.) The theta series satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\bar{\chi}}(-1 / \tau)=W(\chi)(\tau / i)^{1 / 2+\epsilon} \theta_{\chi}(\tau) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W(\chi)$ is the algebraic number of module 1 called root number, defined in an explicit way as the normalized Gauss sum associated to $\chi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\chi)=G(\chi) / \sqrt{N}, \quad G(\chi)=\sum_{n \bmod N} \chi(n) \underline{e}(n / N) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (2) one deduces the analytic continuation and the functional equation of the $L$-series $L(s, \chi)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi(n) n^{-s}$. For a given value $s$, we cannot compute $L(s, \chi)$ with a big precision directly from its definition because it is very slowly convergent or even not convergent at all. However, we can use its approximative functional equation which arises from truncating the series; in this case, we can compute $L(s, \chi)$ in $O(\sqrt{N})$ time if the value

[^0]of $W(\chi)$ is known. From definition (3), we compute $W(\chi)$ in $O(N)$ steps. In fact we can do better: considering equation (2) with the value $\tau=i$, we deduce the identity
$$
W(\chi)=\frac{\theta_{\bar{\chi}}(i)}{\theta_{\chi}(i)},
$$
from which we compute $W(\chi)$ in $O(\sqrt{N})$ steps when $\theta_{\chi}(i) \neq 0$. A natural question arises then: does $\theta_{\chi}(i)$ vanish for any $\chi$ ?

Louboutin proved in [Lou99] that there exists a constant $c>0$ such that, for every prime $p$, at least $c p / \log p$ of the $(p-1) / 2$ values $\theta_{\chi}(i)$, where $\chi$ is odd with prime conductor $p$, do not vanish. Cohen and Zagier described explicit computational results in [CZ13] showing that $\theta_{\chi}(i) \neq 0$ for the first 500 millions of characters $\chi$ with $N \leq 52100$, except for exactly (up to complex conjugation) two even characters with respective conductor 300 and 600 .

Moreover, they defined the functions

$$
A_{\chi}(\tau)=\frac{\theta_{\chi}(\tau / N)}{\eta(\tau / N)^{1+2 \epsilon}}, \quad B_{\chi}(\tau)=\left|A_{\chi}(\tau)\right|^{2}=A_{\chi}(\tau) A_{\bar{\chi}}(\tau)
$$

where $\eta$ is the Dedekind's eta function defined by

$$
\eta(\tau)=q^{1 / 24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)
$$

and studied the algebraic numbers $A_{\chi}(i p)$ and $B_{\chi}(i p)$ when $p$ is prime and it is the conductor of $\chi$. Indeed, since the functions above are modular functions, they are algebraic on the points of complex multiplication. Because of the algebraicity, the numbers $B_{\chi}(i N)$ are much easier to study than the values $\theta_{\chi}(i)$. Also is the product of the numbers $B_{\chi}(i N)$ for all characters $\chi$ with fixed conductor $N$ and fixed order $m$ (up to complex conjugation). We denote these products by

$$
\mathcal{N}(N, m)=\prod_{\substack{\operatorname{order}(\chi)=m \\ \chi \approx \chi}} B_{\chi}(i N) .
$$

Cohen and Zagier speculated that the values $\mathcal{N}(p, m)^{2}$ always belong to $\mathbb{Q}(i, j(i p))$. Moreover, if we denote by $\mathcal{N}(p, m)^{d}$ the smallest power of $\mathcal{N}(p, m)$ belonging to $\mathbb{Q}(i, j(i p))$, then the experimental results led Cohen and Zagier to conjecture that, for the special case of the trivial character, $d=1$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $d=2$ if $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$; for Legendre's character ( $m=2$ ), it seems that $d=1$.

Concerning the numbers $A_{\chi}(i p)$, Cohen and Zagier observed that the degree drastically decreases for some powers. If we denote by $\zeta_{m}$ the $m$-th root of unity $e^{2 \pi i m}$ and $\sigma_{s}$ the element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(i, j(i p), \zeta_{m}\right) / \mathbb{Q}(i, j(i p))\right.$ sending $\zeta_{m}$ to $\zeta_{m}^{s}$, they speculated $A_{\chi}(i p)^{k} \in \mathbb{Q}\left(j(i p), \zeta_{m}\right)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_{\chi^{s}}(i p)^{k}=\sigma_{s}\left(A_{\chi}(i p)^{k}\right)$ for all $s \in(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{*}$.

We are able to calculate the Galois action on these algebraic numbers and, using class field theory and Shimura's reciprocity law, we prove Cohen
and Zagier's experimental results mentioned above and the generalizations to odd conductors. Concerning the non-vanishing of the special theta values, we prove $\theta_{\chi}(i) \neq 0$ for all non-quadratic $\chi$ with prime and "big" conductor $p=2 l+1$, where $l$ is also prime (so $l$ is a Sophie Germain prime).

## 2. Modularity

Throughout the paper we denote by $\chi$ a primitive character with odd conductor $N$ and order $m$.

In this section we explicit the action of the group $\Gamma_{\theta} \cap \Gamma_{0}(N)$ on $\theta_{\chi}(\tau)$. We can decompose the theta series in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\chi}(\tau)=\sum_{h \bmod N} \chi(h) \theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $\chi(h)$ are $m$-th roots of unity and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau)=\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \equiv h(\bmod N)}} n^{\epsilon} q^{n^{2} / 2 N} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the group

$$
\Gamma_{\theta}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}):\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { or }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad(\bmod 2)\right\} .
$$

In order to compute the action of $\Gamma_{\theta} \cap \Gamma_{0}(N)$ on the functions $\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau)$, we use Proposition 10.4 in [Iwa97], namely

Proposition 2.1 (Iwaniec). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}(-1 / \tau)=(i / N)^{1 / 2}(-\tau)^{1 / 2+\epsilon} \sum_{l \bmod N} \underline{e}(h l / N) \theta_{N, l}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.2. For $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{\theta} \cap \Gamma_{0}(N)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}(\gamma(\tau))=\underline{e}\left(\frac{a^{2} b d h^{2}}{2 N}\right) v(\gamma, N)(c \tau+d)^{1 / 2+\epsilon} \theta_{N, a h}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
v(\gamma, N)= \begin{cases}\zeta_{8}^{b N}\left(\frac{d}{|b N|}\right) & \text { if } d \text { is even }  \tag{8}\\ \zeta_{8}^{d-1}\left(\frac{-b N}{d}\right) & \text { if } d \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

where (:) is the Kronecker symbol.
Proof. First we suppose $d>0$. We write

$$
\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b & -a \\
d & -c
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $d \gamma^{\prime}(\tau)=b-\frac{1}{d \tau-c}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(\tau)\right)=\sum_{n \equiv h} n_{(\bmod N)} n^{\epsilon} \underline{e}\left(\frac{n^{2}}{2 N}\left(\frac{b}{d}-\frac{1}{d(d \tau-c)}\right)\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $\underline{e}\left(b n^{2} / 2 d N\right)$ only depends on $n(\bmod d N)$. Indeed, if $b \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, then this assertion is obvious. Otherwise, $d \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and for $n=k d N+r$ with $1 \leq r \leq d N, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $n^{2} \equiv r^{2}(\bmod 2 d N)$.

Hence we can split the sum (9) into classes modulo $d N$ :

$$
\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(\tau)\right)=\sum_{\substack{m \bmod d N \\ m \equiv h \\(\bmod N)}} \underline{e}\left(\frac{b m^{2}}{2 d N}\right) \sum_{n \equiv m}^{(\bmod d N)} n^{\epsilon} \underline{e}\left(\frac{n^{2}}{2 d N} \frac{-1}{d \tau-c}\right) .
$$

The second sum is the theta function associated (in the sense (5)) to the conductor $d N$ and residual class $m(\bmod d N)$ evaluated on $\frac{-1}{d \tau-c}$. By applying Proposition 2.1 to this sum, we obtain

$$
\left(\frac{i}{d N}\right)^{1 / 2}(c-d \tau)^{1 / 2+\epsilon} \sum_{l \bmod d N} \underline{e}\left(\frac{l m}{d N}\right) \sum_{n \equiv l} \sum_{(\bmod d N)} n^{\epsilon} \underline{e}\left(\frac{n^{2}}{2 d N}(d \tau-c)\right) .
$$

If $d \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, then $n^{2} \equiv l^{2}(\bmod 2 d N)$. Otherwise, $c \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. In both situations, $c n^{2} \equiv c l^{2}(\bmod 2 d N)$. Thus
$\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(\tau)\right)=\left(\frac{i}{d N}\right)^{1 / 2}(c-d \tau)^{1 / 2+\epsilon} \sum_{l \bmod d N} \varphi(h, l) \sum_{n \equiv l(\bmod d N)} n^{\epsilon} \underline{e}\left(\frac{n^{2}}{2 N} \tau\right)$,
where

$$
\varphi(h, l)=\sum_{\substack{m \bmod d N \\ m \equiv h(\bmod N)}} e\left(\left(b m^{2}+2 l m-c l^{2}\right) / 2 d N\right) .
$$

We rewrite $\varphi(h, l)$ after changing the variable $m$ by $m+c l$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(h, l) & =\sum_{\substack{m \bmod d N \\
m \equiv h-c l \\
(\bmod N)}} \underline{e}\left(\left(b(m+c l)^{2}+2 l(m+c l)-c l^{2}\right) / 2 d N\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{m \equiv h-c l \\
\bmod d N \\
(\bmod N)}} \underline{e}\left(\left(b m^{2}+2 a d l m+a c d l^{2}\right) / 2 d N\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $a d-b c=1$. In the term $2 a d l m$, we replace $m$ by $h-c l(\bmod N)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(h, l)=\underline{e}\left(2 a h l-a c l^{2} / 2 N\right) \varphi(h-c l, 0) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression makes possible to replace in (10) $l(\bmod d N)$ by $l(\bmod N)$. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(\tau)\right)=\left(\frac{i}{d N}\right)^{1 / 2}(c-d \tau)^{1 / 2+\epsilon} \sum_{l \bmod N} \varphi(h, l) \theta_{N, l}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $\tau$ by $-1 / \tau$ and applying Proposition 2.1 to each $\theta_{N, l}^{(\epsilon)}(-1 / \tau)$, we get

$$
\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}(\gamma(\tau))=\frac{(-1)^{\epsilon}}{d^{1 / 2} N}(c \tau+d)^{1 / 2+\epsilon} \sum_{l \bmod N} \phi(h, l) \theta_{N, l}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau)
$$

where

$$
\phi(h, l)=\sum_{g \bmod N} \varphi(h, g) \underline{e}(g l / N)
$$

Since $c \equiv 0(\bmod N)$ and $a c \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, the formula (11) becomes

$$
\varphi(h, l)=\underline{e}(a h l / N) \varphi(h, 0)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(h, l) & =\varphi(h, 0) \sum_{g \bmod N} \underline{e}(g(a h+l) / N) \\
& = \begin{cases}\varphi(h, 0) N & \text { if } l \equiv-a h \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}(\gamma(\tau)) & =\frac{(-1)^{\epsilon}}{d^{1 / 2}} \varphi(h, 0)(c \tau+d)^{1 / 2+\epsilon} \theta_{N,-a h}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau) \\
& =\frac{\varphi(h, 0)}{d^{1 / 2}}(c \tau+d)^{1 / 2+\epsilon} \theta_{N, a h}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

We still have to calculate

$$
\varphi(h, 0)=\sum_{\substack{m \equiv h}} \underline{e}\left(\frac{b m^{2}}{2 d N}\right) .
$$

Since $a d \equiv 1(\bmod N)$, we can write $m=a d h+n N$ with $1 \leq n \leq d$. Thus we get

$$
\varphi(h, 0)=\underline{e}\left(\frac{a^{2} b d h^{2}}{2 N}\right) S_{b N, d}
$$

where

$$
S_{b N, d}=\sum_{1 \leq n \leq d} \underline{e}\left(\frac{b N n^{2}}{2 d}\right)
$$

is a well known Gauss sum, calculated for example in [Mum83]:

$$
S_{b N, d}= \begin{cases}d^{1 / 2} \zeta_{8}^{b N}\left(\frac{d}{|b N|}\right) & \text { if } d \text { is even } \\ d^{1 / 2} \zeta_{8}^{d-1}\left(\frac{-b N}{d}\right) & \text { if } d \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

Finally we obtain (7) for $d>0$. When $d<0$, we can change $\gamma$ by $-\gamma$ such that the left-hand term of the equality (7) does not vary. It is easily shown that the right-hand term does not vary either, i.e, $v(-\gamma, N) i=v(\gamma, N)$.

Meyer's formula ( [Mey57]) gives, for $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, some functions $\epsilon_{1}(\gamma)$ and $\epsilon_{2}(\gamma)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\gamma(\tau))=\epsilon_{1}(\gamma) \epsilon_{2}(\gamma)(c \tau+d)^{1 / 2} \eta(\tau) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can fix $c>0$ or $c=0$ and $d>0$, changing $\gamma$ by $-\gamma$ if necessary; then $\operatorname{Im}(c \tau+d) \geq 0$ and we chose $\operatorname{Re}(c \tau+d)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0$. If $c>0$, we write $c=2^{r} \cdot c_{0}$ with $c_{0}$ odd. If $c=0$, we write $c_{0}=r=1$. Then we have

$$
\epsilon_{1}(\gamma)=\left(\frac{a}{c_{0}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \epsilon_{2}(\gamma)=\zeta_{24}^{a b+c d\left(1-a^{2}\right)-c a+3 c_{0}(a-1)+r \frac{3}{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right)}
$$

Proposition 2.3. Let $w=\frac{24 N}{(12, N)}$. The functions $\frac{\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau)}{\eta^{1+2 \epsilon}(\tau)}$ are $\Gamma(w)-$ invariant and the functions $\frac{\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau / N)}{\eta^{1+2 \epsilon}(\tau / N)}$ are $\Gamma(w N)$-invariant.

Proof. For $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma(w)$, the multiplicative system $v(\gamma, N)$ in Proposition 2.2 becomes simpler (see [Iwa97] Proposition 10.6): $v(\gamma, N)=$ $\epsilon_{1}(\gamma)$. The same happens with the second Meyer's function: $\epsilon_{2}(\gamma)=1$. Hence the functions $\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau) / \eta^{1+2 \epsilon}(\tau)$ are $\Gamma(w)$-invariant.

Let $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ be an element in $\Gamma(w N)$. We write

$$
\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & N
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & N
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & \frac{b}{N} \\
c N & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

such that $\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma(w)$ and

$$
\frac{\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}(\gamma(\tau) / N)}{\eta^{1+2 \epsilon}(\gamma(\tau) / N)}=\frac{\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(\tau / N)\right)}{\eta^{1+2 \epsilon}\left(\gamma^{\prime}(\tau / N)\right)}=\frac{\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau / N)}{\eta^{1+2 \epsilon}(\tau / N)}
$$

## 3. Shimura's reciprocity law

In this section we follow the interpretation of Shimura's reciprocity law (see [Shi71]) by Gee and Stevenhagen (see [GS98], [Gee00], [Ste00]). Let $K$ be an imaginary quadratic field and $\mathcal{O}$ an order in $K$ with basis $[\alpha, 1]$. The first fundamental theorem of complex multiplication states that the $j$-invariant $j(\alpha)$ is an algebraic integer and $K(j(\alpha))$ is the ring class field $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ of $\mathcal{O}$ (see, for example, [Cox89]). For $M \geq 1$, the field $F_{M}$ of modular functions with level $M$ is defined as the field of meromorphic functions on $\mathcal{H} \cup\{\infty\}$, invariant by $\Gamma(M)$ and whose coefficients in the Fourier expansion in the variable $q^{1 / M}$ belong to the field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{M}\right)$. It follows from the second fundamental theorem of complex multiplication, stated for example in [Cox89] and proved in [Lan87]
and [Fra35], that for a function $f$ belonging to the field $F_{M}$, the value $f(\alpha)$ is an element of the ray class field $H_{M, \mathcal{O}}$ with conductor $M$ over the ring class field $H_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Shimura's reciprocity law gives the action of the $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{M, \mathcal{O}} / H_{\mathcal{O}}\right)$ on $f(\alpha)$ combining Artin's reciprocity law arisen from class field theory, and Galois theory on $F_{M}$. Artin's reciprocity law gives the exact sequence

$$
\mathcal{O}^{*} \longrightarrow(\mathcal{O} / M \mathcal{O})^{*} \xrightarrow{A} \operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{M, \mathcal{O}} / H_{\mathcal{O}}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

where $A$ is the Artin map. The map

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{M} / F_{1}\right) \\
\mu=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \operatorname{det}(\mu)
\end{array}\right) \gamma & \mapsto & \left(\left.\sum c_{k} q^{k / M} \mapsto\left(\sum \sigma_{\operatorname{det}(\mu)}\left(c_{k}\right) q^{k / M}\right)\right|_{0} \gamma\right),
\end{array}
$$

where $\gamma \in \operatorname{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z})$ and $\sigma_{\operatorname{det}(\mu)} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{M}\right)\right)$ sends $\zeta_{M}$ to $\zeta_{M}^{\operatorname{det}(\mu)}$, is surjective. When $D<-4$, its kernel is $\{ \pm 1\}$.

Then we have the following diagram, where all the sequences are exact:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathcal{O}^{*} & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O} / M \mathcal{O})^{*} \\
& \downarrow g_{\alpha} & & & \operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{M, \mathcal{O}} / H_{\mathcal{O}}\right) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\{ \pm 1\} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{M} / F_{1}\right) & \longrightarrow & 1 .
\end{array}
$$

The connection map $g_{\alpha}$ sends $x \in(\mathcal{O} / M \mathcal{O})^{*}$ to the matrix corresponding to the multiplication by $x$ with respect to the basis $[\alpha, 1]\left(g_{\alpha}(x)\binom{\alpha}{1}=\binom{x \alpha}{x}\right.$. If $X^{2}+B x+C$ is the irreducible polynomial of $\alpha$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, we can explicitely describe $g_{\alpha}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\alpha}:(\mathcal{O} / M \mathcal{O})^{*} & \longrightarrow
\end{aligned} \begin{gathered}
G L_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z}) \\
x=s \alpha+t
\end{gathered} \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t-B s & -C s \\
s & t
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The map $g_{\alpha}$ gives an action of $(\mathcal{O} / M \mathcal{O})^{*}$ on $F_{M}$ and the reciprocity relation: for $x \in(\mathcal{O} / M \mathcal{O})^{*}$,

$$
(f(\alpha))^{x}=\left(f^{g_{\alpha}\left(x^{-1}\right)}\right)(\alpha)
$$

Moreover, denoting by $F=\bigcup_{M \geq 1} F_{M}$ the modular field, if the extension $F / \mathbb{Q}(f)$ is Galois, then we have the fundamental equivalence:

$$
(f(\alpha))^{x}=f(\alpha) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f^{g_{\alpha}(x)}=f
$$

We denote by

$$
W_{M, \alpha}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t-B s & -C s \\
s & t
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z}) \right\rvert\, t, s \in \mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

the image of $(\mathcal{O} / M \mathcal{O})^{*}$ by $g_{\alpha}$ when $D<-4$. The algebraic number $f(\alpha)$ belongs to $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ if $f$ is invariant by the action of $W_{M, \alpha} /\{ \pm 1\}$.

## 4. Galois action, proofs of the experimental results

Let $\chi$ be a primitive character with odd conductor $N$ and order $m$. By Proposition 2.3 , the functions $\frac{\theta_{N, h}^{(\epsilon)}(\tau)}{\eta^{1+2 \epsilon}(\tau)}$ belong to the field $F_{w}$, where $w=$ $\frac{24}{(12, N)}$. Hence we deduce (see decomposition (4)) that the numbers $A_{\chi}(i N)$ belong to the field $H_{w, \mathcal{O}_{K}}\left(\zeta_{m}\right)$, where $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ is the ring of integers of the field $K=\mathbb{Q}(i)$. In this section we use Shimura's reciprocity law to obtain more accurated statements about the algebraicity of the numbers $A_{\chi}(i N)$ and $B_{\chi}(i N)$.

Let

$$
v=\chi(-1), \quad M=24 m N^{2}
$$

and $n=m$ if $m$ is even and $2 m$ otherwise. We consider the order $\mathcal{O}=\mathbb{Z}[i N]$ in $K=\mathbb{Q}(i)$, and its ring class field $H_{\mathcal{O}}=K(j(i N))$.

By Proposition 2.3, we know that the functions $A_{\chi}(\tau)$ and $B_{\chi}(\tau)$ belong to the field $F_{M}$. Following the notations of section 3,

$$
W_{M, i N}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & -N^{2} s \\
s & t
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z}) \right\rvert\, t, s \in \mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

Proposition 4.1. For $\mu=\left(\begin{array}{cc}t & -N^{2} s \\ s & t\end{array}\right) \in W_{M, i N}$, we have

$$
\left(B_{\chi} \mid \mu\right)(\tau)=(-1)^{\frac{N-v}{2}(t-1)} B_{\chi^{\operatorname{det}(\mu)}}(\tau)
$$

and

$$
\left(A_{\chi} \mid \mu\right)(\tau)^{n}=(-1)^{\frac{(N-v) n}{2}(t-1)} A_{\chi^{\operatorname{det}(\mu)}}(\tau)^{n}
$$

Proof. Let $\mu=\left(\begin{array}{cc}t & -N^{2} s \\ s & t\end{array}\right)$ be an element in $W_{M, i N}$. We write

$$
\mu=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \operatorname{det}(\mu)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t & -N^{2} s \\
s(\operatorname{det}(\mu))^{-1} & t(\operatorname{det}(\mu))^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The first matrix transforms $B_{\chi}(\tau)$ into $B_{\chi^{\operatorname{det}(\mu)}}(\tau)$. To explicit the action of the second matrix we chose $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ a representant of $\left(\begin{array}{cc}t & -N^{2} s \\ s(\operatorname{det}(\mu))^{-1} & t(\operatorname{det}(\mu))^{-1}\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / M \mathbb{Z})$ with $c>0$, or $c=0$ and $d>0$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \equiv d \operatorname{det}(\mu) \quad(\bmod M), \quad b \equiv-c N^{2} \operatorname{det}(\mu) \quad(\bmod M) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $N$ is odd, we have $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\theta} \cap \Gamma^{0}\left(N^{2}\right)$.
We write

$$
\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{15}\\
0 & N
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & N
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & \frac{b}{N} \\
c N & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

such that $\gamma^{\prime}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.2 and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\chi}(\gamma(\tau))=\frac{\theta_{\chi}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right)\right) \theta_{\bar{\chi}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right)\right)}{\eta\left(\gamma^{\prime}\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right)\right)^{2(1+2 \epsilon)}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Meyer's formula (13) gives

$$
\eta\left(\gamma^{\prime}\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right)\right)^{2}=\epsilon_{1}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2} \epsilon_{2}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2}(c \tau+d) \eta\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right)^{2}
$$

with $\epsilon_{1}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2}=1$ and $\epsilon_{2}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\zeta_{12}^{a \frac{b}{N}+c d N\left(1-a^{2}\right)-a c N+3 c_{0} N(a-1)}$, where $c=2^{r} c_{0}$ with $c_{0}$ odd if $c>0$, and $c_{0}=1$ if $c=0$.

On the other hand, Proposition 2.2 gives the expression for the numerator of (16):

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(\gamma^{\prime}, N\right)^{2}(c \tau+d)^{2+4 \epsilon} \sum_{h_{1}, h_{2} \bmod N} \chi\left(a^{-1} h_{1}\right) \bar{\chi}\left(a^{-1} h_{2}\right) \theta_{N, h_{1}}^{(\epsilon)}\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right) \theta_{N, h_{2}}^{(\epsilon)}\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
v\left(\gamma^{\prime}, N\right)=v(\gamma, 1)= \begin{cases}\zeta_{8}^{b}\left(\frac{d}{|b|}\right) & \text { if } d \text { is even } \\ \zeta_{8}^{d-1}\left(\frac{-b}{d}\right) & \text { if } d \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

Since $\chi\left(t^{-1} h_{1}\right) \bar{\chi}\left(t^{-1} h_{2}\right)=\chi\left(h_{1}\right) \bar{\chi}\left(h_{2}\right)$, the numerator of (16) becomes

$$
\theta_{\chi}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right)\right) \theta_{\bar{\chi}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right)\right)=v(\gamma, 1)^{2}(c \tau+d)^{1+2 \epsilon} \theta_{\chi}\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right) \theta_{\bar{\chi}}\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
B_{\chi}(\gamma(\tau))=\frac{v(\gamma, 1)^{2}}{\epsilon_{2}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2(1+2 \epsilon)}} B_{\chi}(\tau)
$$

We use the congruences (14) to calculate $v(\gamma, 1)^{2} / \epsilon_{2}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2(1+2 \epsilon)}$.
On the one hand, $c d N\left(1-a^{2}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ because either $a^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, either $a \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, in which case $d \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.

On the other hand, $a \frac{b}{N}-a c N \equiv-a c N(1+\operatorname{det}(\mu)) \equiv 0(\bmod 12)$. The first congruence is clear, also is the second modulo 4 . For the second congruence modulo 3 , either $\operatorname{det}(\mu) \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$, either $\operatorname{det}(\mu) \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, in which case $a \equiv d(\bmod 3)$, so $a d-b c=1$ implies $b c \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, and thus $c \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ or $N \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{2}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\zeta_{4}^{3 c d N\left(1-a^{2}\right)+c_{0} N(a-1)} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We distinguish two cases.

1) If $d$ is odd, then, the congruences (14) and the equation $a d-b c=1$ imply that $a d \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, so the exponent of $\zeta_{4}$ in (18) becomes

$$
c_{0} N(a-1) \equiv c_{0} N(d-1) \quad(\bmod 4) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{v(\gamma, 1)^{2}}{\epsilon_{2}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2(1+2 \epsilon)}}=\frac{\zeta_{4}^{d-1}}{\zeta_{4}^{c_{0} N(d-1)(1+2 \epsilon)}}=\zeta_{4}^{(d-1)\left(1-c_{0} N(1+2 \epsilon)\right)}=1 .
$$

2) If $d$ is even, then, because of congruences (14), the exponent of $\zeta_{4}$ in (18) becomes

$$
3 c d N+c N(a-1) \equiv c N(3 d+a-1) \equiv-c N \quad(\bmod 4)
$$

SO

$$
\frac{v(\gamma, 1)^{2}}{\epsilon_{2}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{2(1+2 \epsilon)}}=\frac{\zeta_{4}^{b}}{\zeta_{4}^{-c N(1+2 \epsilon)}}=\zeta_{4}^{c((1+2 \epsilon) N-1)}=(-1)^{\frac{N-v}{2}}
$$

The second equality can be deduced from the congruences $b \equiv c \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $b c \equiv-1(\bmod 4)$.

Thus

$$
\left(B_{\chi} \mid \mu\right)(\tau)=(-1)^{\frac{N-v}{2}(t-1)} B_{\chi^{\operatorname{det}(\mu)}}(\tau) .
$$

We can explicit the action of $W_{M, i N}$ on $A_{\chi}(\tau)^{n}$ in a similar way. The expression (17) becomes in this case

$$
v\left(\gamma^{\prime}, N\right)^{n}(c \tau+d)^{n / 2+n \epsilon} \sum_{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n} \bmod N} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \chi\left(a^{-1} h_{j}\right) \theta_{N, h_{j}}^{(\epsilon)}\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right)
$$

with $v\left(\gamma^{\prime}, N\right)=v(\gamma, 1)$. Since $\chi\left(a^{-1}\right)^{n}=1$, following the previous notations (15), we have

$$
\theta_{\chi}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right)\right)^{n}=v(\gamma, 1)^{n}(c \tau+d)^{n / 2+n \epsilon} \theta_{\chi}\left(\frac{\tau}{N}\right)^{n}
$$

so

$$
A_{\chi}(\gamma(\tau))^{n}=\frac{v(\gamma, 1)^{n}}{\left(\epsilon_{1}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \epsilon_{2}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right)^{(1+2 \epsilon) n}} A_{\chi}(\tau)^{n}=(-1)^{\frac{(N-v) n}{2}(d-1)} A_{\chi}(\tau)^{n}
$$

and

$$
\left(A_{\chi} \mid \mu\right)(\tau)^{n}=(-1)^{\frac{(N-v) n}{2}(t-1)} A_{\chi^{\operatorname{det}(\mu)}}(\tau)^{n} .
$$

From now on we suppose $N=p>2$ is prime and we denote by $X(p, m)$ the set of characters with conductor $p$ and order $m$ up to complex conjugation. All characters with fixed prime conductor and fixed order have the same parity; as before $v=1$ if they are even and $v=-1$ if they are odd.
Theorem 4.2. The following sets are orbits for the action of the group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{M, \mathcal{O}} / H_{\mathcal{O}}\right)$ on the field $H_{M, \mathcal{O}}$ :
(i) $\left\{B_{\chi}(i p)^{2} \mid \chi \in X(p, m)\right\}$,
(ii) $\left\{B_{\chi}(i p) \mid \chi \in X(p, m)\right\}$ if $p \equiv v(\bmod 4)$,
(ii) $\left\{A_{\chi}(i p)^{2 n}, A_{\bar{\chi}}(i p)^{2 n} \mid \chi \in X(p, m)\right\}$,
(iv) $\left\{A_{\chi}(i p)^{n}, A_{\bar{\chi}}(i p)^{n} \mid \chi \in X(p, m)\right\}$ if $m \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ or $p \equiv v(\bmod 4)$.

The proof follows from the two lemmas below.

Lemma 4.3. Given $\chi \in X(p, m)$, we have

$$
X(p, m)=\left\{\chi^{\sigma}, \bar{\chi}^{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{*}\right\} .
$$

Proof. The inclusion of the right-hand set into $X(p, m)$ is clear. We should see that given $\chi$ and $\chi^{\prime}$ in $X(p, m)$, the character $\chi^{\prime}$ is in the $(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ orbit of $\chi$.

The group $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ is cyclic; let $h$ be a generator. The groups $\operatorname{Im}(\chi)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$ are contained in the group of the m-th roots of unity, which is also cyclic and from which $\chi(h)$ and $\chi^{\prime}(h)$ are generators. We write $\chi^{\prime}(h)=\chi(h)^{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{*}$.

For $h^{\sigma^{\prime}} \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{*}$, we have

$$
\chi^{\prime}\left(h^{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)=\chi^{\prime}(h)^{\sigma^{\prime}}=\chi(h)^{\sigma \sigma^{\prime}}=\chi\left(h^{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)^{\sigma},
$$

so $\chi^{\prime}=\chi^{\sigma}$.

Lemma 4.4. The following sets equality is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z})^{*}=\left\{ \pm\left(t^{2}+s^{2}\right) \quad(\bmod m):\left(t^{2}+p^{2} s^{2}, 6 m p\right)=1\right\} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ be coprime with $m$. By Dirichlet's Theorem, there exists a prime number $q \neq 3, p$ such that
$q \equiv \begin{cases}u(\bmod 4 m) & \text { if } u \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \\ -u(\bmod 4 m) & \text { if } u \equiv 3(\bmod 4) \\ u+m(\bmod 4 m) & \text { if } u \equiv 0(\bmod 2) \text { and } u+m \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \\ -(u+m)(\bmod 4 m) & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$
In all cases $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and we can write $q=t^{2}+s^{2}$ with $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$
u \equiv \pm\left(t^{2}+s^{2}\right) \quad(\bmod m)
$$

We want to show $\left(t^{2}+p^{2} s^{2}, 6 p m\right)=1$. Since all the expressions above are symmetric in $t$ and $s$, we can suppose $p \nmid t$. Then $\left(t^{2}+p^{2} s^{2}, p\right)=1$. When $p \neq 3$, the integers $s^{2}$ and $p^{2} s^{2}$ are the same modulo 2 , and also modulo 3 , so $t^{2}+p^{2} s^{2} \equiv q(\bmod 6)$. Since $q \neq 2,3,\left(t^{2}+p^{2} s^{2}, 6\right)=1$. (If $p=3$, also $\left(t^{2}+p^{2} s^{2}, 6\right)=1$ because $\left.p \nmid t\right)$. Since $p \equiv 1(\bmod m), t^{2}+p^{2} s^{2} \equiv \pm u$ $(\bmod m)$. We chose $u$ coprime with $m$, so $\left(t^{2}+p^{2} s^{2}, 6 p m\right)=1$. Therefore $\pm\left(t^{2}+s^{2}\right)(\bmod m)$ belongs to the set on the right hand side of (19).

By Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4,

$$
X(p, m)=\left\{\chi^{\operatorname{det}(\mu)} \mid \mu \in W_{M, i p}\right\} .
$$

Then Theorem 4.2 follows from Proposition 4.1.
Corollary 4.5. We have
(i) $\mathcal{N}(p, m)^{2} \in H_{\mathcal{O}}$,
(ii) $\mathcal{N}(p, m) \in H_{\mathcal{O}} \quad$ if $|X(p, m)| \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2) \quad$ or $p \equiv v \quad(\bmod 4)$.

Corollary 4.6. For all $\chi \in X(p, m)$,

$$
\left[H_{\mathcal{O}}\left(B_{\chi}(i p)\right): K\right] \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{|X(p, m)|(p-v)}{2} & \text { if } p \equiv v & (\bmod 4) \\
|X(p, m)|(p+v) & \text { if } p \equiv-v & (\bmod 4)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Denoting by $h(\mathcal{O})$ the class number of $\mathcal{O}=\mathbb{Z}[i p]$,

$$
\left[H_{\mathcal{O}}: K\right]=\left|\operatorname{Gal}\left(H_{\mathcal{O}} / K\right)\right|=|C l(\mathcal{O})|=h(\mathcal{O}) .
$$

Applying the general formula for the class number of an imaginary quadratic order (see [Cox89]), we have

$$
h(\mathcal{O})=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{p-1}{2} & \text { if } p \equiv 1 & (\bmod 4) \\
\frac{p+1}{2} & \text { if } p \equiv 3 & (\bmod 4) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We deduce from the statements (i) and (ii) of Theorem 4.2

$$
\left[H_{\mathcal{O}}\left(B_{\chi}(i p)\right): H_{\mathcal{O}}\right] \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2|X(p, m)| & \text { if } p \equiv-v & (\bmod 4) \\
|X(p, m)| & \text { if } p \equiv v & (\bmod 4)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 4.7. There is a constant $c>0$ such that for all non-quadratic $\chi$ with prime conductor $p=2 l+1$, where $l$ is prime, satisfying $p>c$, we have $\theta_{\chi}(i) \neq 0$.

Proof. Louboutin proved in [Lou99] that there is a constant $c>0$ such that $\theta_{\chi}(i) \neq 0$ for at least $c p / \log (p)$ characters of the $(p-1) / 2$ odd characters with conductor $p$ and of the $(p-1) / 2$ even ones. When $p=2 l+1$, there is one odd character having order $2,(p-3) / 2$ odd characters having order $2 l,(p-1) / 2$ even characters having order $l$ and the trivial (even) character. By Theorem 4.2, if $B_{\chi}(i p) \neq 0$ for some $\chi \in X(p, m)$, then $B_{\chi}(i p) \neq 0$ for all $\chi \in X(p, m)$. Thus $\theta_{\chi}(i) \neq 0$ for all non-quadratic characters with conductor $p$ satisfying $\log (p) / p<c$.

Remark 4.8. For odd but maybe not prime N, Theorem 4.2 does not apply, but we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\chi \in X(N, m)}\left(X-B_{\chi}(i N)^{2}\right) \in H_{\mathcal{O}}[X] . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N|X(N, m)| \equiv \sum_{\chi \in X(N, m)} \chi(-1)(\bmod 4)$, then the square in (20) is not necessary.
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