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## 1. Introduction

The following Supporting Information contains an additional table showing total thermal energy summed over three burns (Table S1, see Figure 7 in the manuscript). Each fiber's performance in plot 1-3 is graphed as follows: (a) average temperatures; (b) standard deviation of temperatures; (c) total thermal energy; and (d) maximum temperature vs. distance along fire cable (Figures S1-S9). DTS data from copper fiber in plots $1-3$ is graphed showing (a) maximum temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ recorded every 50.6 cm along fire cable; (b) Google Earth image showing location of burn plot; and (c) maximum temperatures $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ vs. approximate location in plot (Figures S10-S12).

Table S1. Total thermal energy (TTE) summed during burn at specific location along fire cable.

| Location and Sensor | TTE ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ at $55 \mathrm{~m}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | TTE $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ at 100 m | TTE $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ at 123 m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plot 1 |  |  |  |
| Thermocouple | 33025 | 48185 | 46762 |
| DTS fiber coating |  |  |  |
| polyimide | 37164 | 54070 | 37910 |
| copper | 49882 | 58698 | 48614 |
| acrylate | 52221 | 50751 | 38596 |
| Plot 2 |  |  |  |
| Thermocouple | 31287 | 43641 | 48201 |
| DTS fiber coating |  |  |  |
| polyimide | 34935 | 40732 | 43659 |
| copper | 41614 | 51928 | 56611 |
| acrylate | 36872 | 43782 | 56611 |
| Plot 3 |  |  |  |
| Thermocouple | 30829 | 42795 | 47370 |
| DTS fiber coating |  |  |  |
| polyimide | 45121 | 42899 | 76569 |
| copper | 49624 | 45713 | 55365 |
| acrylate | 41650 | 49514 | 40760 |

${ }^{a}$ Total thermal energy (TTE) is equal to the sum of temperatures $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ measured during burn. TTE is normalized to the number of temperatures recorded and length of thermal wave (in seconds); ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Meter mark along fiber-optic cable nearest thermocouple where total thermal energy calculation was measured.


Figure S1. Plot 1 polyimide coated fiber: (a) Average temperature; (b) Standard deviation of temperature; (c) Total thermal energy during burn; and (d) Maximum temperature vs. distance along fire cable.


Figure S2. Plot 1 copper coated fiber: (a) Average temperature; (b) Standard deviation of temperatures; (c) Total thermal energy during burn; and (d) Maximum recorded temperature vs. distance along fire cable.


Figure S3. Plot 1 acrylate coated fiber: (a) Average temperature; (b) Standard deviation of temperatures; (c) Total thermal energy during burn; and (d) Maximum recorded temperature vs. distance along fire cable.


Figure S4. Plot 2 polyimide coated fiber: (a) Average temperature; (b) Standard deviation of temperatures; (c) Total thermal energy during burn; and (d) Maximum recorded temperature vs. distance along fire cable.


Figure S5. Plot 2 copper coated fiber: (a) Average temperature; (b) Standard deviation of temperatures; (c) Total thermal energy during burn; and (d) Maximum recorded temperature vs. distance along fire cable.


Figure S6. Plot 2 acrylate coated fiber: (a) Average temperature; (b) Standard deviation of temperatures; (c) Total thermal energy during burn; and (d) Maximum recorded temperature vs. distance along fire cable.


Figure S7. Plot 3 polyimide coated fiber: (a) Average temperature; (b) Standard deviation of temperatures; (c) Total thermal energy during burn; and (d) Maximum recorded temperature vs. distance along fire cable.


Figure S8. Plot 3 copper coated fiber: (a) Average temperature; (b) Standard deviation of temperatures; (c) Total thermal energy during burn; and (d) Maximum recorded temperature vs. distance along fire cable.


Figure S9. Plot 3 acrylate coated fiber: (a) Average temperature; (b) Standard deviation of temperatures; (c) Total thermal energy during burn; and (d) Maximum recorded temperature vs. distance along fire cable.


Figure S10. DTS data from plot 1 copper coated fiber: (a) Maximum temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ recorded every 50.6 cm along fire cable; (b) Google Earth image showing location of burn plot; and (c) Maximum temperatures $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ vs. approximate location. Thermocouples (triangles) and individual data point locations are approximate. As described in Figure 3, burns began with a back fire (began in SE and burned toward the NE; at $\sim 100 \mathrm{~m}$ and T2), continued with a flank fire (began in the NE and burned toward the W ; at $\sim 123 \mathrm{~m}$ and T 1 ), and concluded with a head fire (began in the NW and burned toward the S; at $\sim 55 \mathrm{~m}$ and T3). Note calibration locations outside the plot to the north, and sections of cable outside the plot not subjected to burning in the northeast and south.


Figure S11. DTS data from plot 2 copper coated fiber: (a) Maximum temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ recorded every 50.6 cm along fire cable; (b) Google Earth image showing location of burn plot; and (c) Maximum temperatures $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ vs. approximate location. Thermocouples (triangles) and individual data point locations are approximate. As described in Figure 3, burns began with a back fire (began in SE and burned toward the NE; at $\sim 100 \mathrm{~m}$ and T2), continued with a flank fire (began in the NE and burned toward the $W$; at $\sim 123 \mathrm{~m}$ and T 1 ), and concluded with a head fire (began in the NW and burned toward the S; at $\sim 55 \mathrm{~m}$ and T3). Note calibration locations outside the plot to the north, and sections of cable outside the plot not subjected to burning in the northeast and south.


Figure S12. DTS data from plot 3 copper coated fiber: (a) Maximum temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ recorded every 50.6 cm along fire cable; (b) Google Earth image showing location of burn plot; and (c) Maximum temperatures $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ vs. approximate location. Thermocouples (triangles) and individual data point locations are approximate. As described in Figure 3, burns began with a back fire (began in SE and burned toward the NE; at $\sim 100 \mathrm{~m}$ and T2), continued with a flank fire (began in the NE and burned toward the W ; at $\sim 123 \mathrm{~m}$ and T 1 ), and concluded with a head fire (began in the NW and burned toward the S; at $\sim 55 \mathrm{~m}$ and T 3 ). Note calibration locations outside the plot to the north, and sections of cable outside the plot not subjected to burning in the northeast and south.

