University of Massachusetts Amherst ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst

Travel and Tourism Research Association: Advancing Tourism Research Globally

2009 ttra International Conference

Benefit Segmentation of US Pleasure Travellers to Hawaii

Dr. HS Chris Choi School of Hospitality and Tourism Management University of Guelph

Dr Jueun Cho School of Hospitality and Tourism Management University of Guelph

Dr. Marion Joppe School of Hospitality and Tourism Management University of Guelph

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umass.edu/ttra

Choi, Dr. HS Chris; Cho, Dr Jueun; and Joppe, Dr. Marion, "Benefit Segmentation of US Pleasure Travellers to Hawaii" (2016). *Travel* and Tourism Research Association: Advancing Tourism Research Globally. 7. https://scholarworks.umass.edu/ttra/2009/Illustrated_Papers/7

This is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in Travel and Tourism Research Association: Advancing Tourism Research Globally by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact scholarworks@libraryumass.edu.

Benefit Segmentation of US Pleasure Travellers to Hawaii

HS Chris Choi, PhD School of Hospitality and Tourism Management University of Guelph Guelph, Ontario, CANADA

Jueun Cho, PhD School of Hospitality and Tourism Management University of Guelph Guelph, Ontario, CANADA

Marion Joppe, PhD Professor and Research Chair in Tourism School of Hospitality and Tourism Management University of Guelph Guelph, Ontario, CANADA

ABSTRACT

This study delineates the benefits sought by US pleasure travellers to Hawaii by using a factorcluster market segmentation technique. Based on four benefit factors (knowledge/learning; relaxation; family/social bonding; and pleasure experience), cluster analysis was used to identify similar respondents based on the benefits that they sought. This study identified three distinct segments: active travellers, relaxation seekers, and traditional tourists. The following variables were shown to be statistically significant among the three segments: age; gender; education; occupation; marital status; ethnicity; household size; information sources; Web site visit behaviour; destination selection factors; appealing states; travel frequency to Hawaii; and activities enjoyed. The study discusses the distinctive and common characteristics of the three identified segments.

INTRODUCTION

Since Smith (1956) introduced market segmentation, it has received much attention in research circles and has become a valuable marketing tool for practitioners and a popular topic for academic researchers (Wyner, 2002). The major objective of market segmentation is to subdivide consumer markets into specific and distinctive sub-segments and help marketers to understand consumers' needs and wants. Thus marketers can develop marketing actions that meet the needs and desires of targeted market segments (Lewis & Chamber, 2000).

Due to the practical importance of market segmentation in marketing, it has received greater attention from tourism researchers and practitioners (Anderson & Langmeyer, 1982; Hsieh, O'Leary & Morrison, 1992; Jang, Morrison & O'Leary, 2002; Shoemaker, 1994; Woodside & Jacobs, 1985). Numerous segmentation bases have been used to segment markets in the tourism and marketing literature: demographics (Reid & Reid, 1997); pyschographics (Silverberg, Backman, & Backman, 1996; Ziff, 1971); benefits (Haley, 1968, Jang, Morrison & O'Leary, 2002); usage (Bonn, Furr, & Susskind, 1999; Twedt, 1964); lifestyle (Plummer, 1974); loyalty (Grover & Srinivasan, 1989; Petrick & Sirakaya, 2004); image (Baloglu & McCleary, 1999; Sirgy, 1982); and activities (Hsieh, O'Leary, & Morrison, 1992). Despite the varying popularity of these bases, many authors (Haley, 1968; Jang, Morrison & O'Leary, 2002; Silverberg, Backman & Backman, 1996; Ziff, 1971) found that the benefit sought represents a frequently used psychographic variable and a viable means of determining travel market segments. This study selected benefits sought as a psychological trait in order to segment the US traveller market to Hawaii. Thus, the purpose of this study is to segment US travellers to Hawaii using a factor-cluster market segmentation procedure and to profile the identified segments by testing statistical differences with the socio-demographic variables; travel behaviour patterns; and attitudes.

STUDY METHOD

This study utilized the Travel Activity and Motivation Survey (TAMS) data collected in the United States between January and June 2006. The study was commissioned by the Ontario Ministry of Tourism with the cooperation and support of eight provincial and territorial ministries and agencies responsible for tourism as well as the Canadian Tourism Commission, Parks Canada, Canadian Heritage and the Atlantic Tourism Partnership.

This survey data was collected by mail with an established U.S. postal panel. Of the surveys sent, 60,649 were returned (71.3% overall response rates). A data subset of 2,069 travellers who visited Hawaii was extracted and used for this study. K-mean cluster analysis, factor analysis and discriminant analysis were employed to segment the data set. Chi-square and ANOVA tests were used to identify the distinctive and common characteristics of the three segments.

DATA ANALYSIS

A varimax rotated principal component analysis was used on 15 Likert-type benefit scale items to extract general domains of destination choice. A cut-off point of 0.4 was used to include items in interpretation of a factor and three benefit items did not load on any factor, overall reflecting the homogeneity of items. Accordingly, four factors explained 56.4% of the variance in the original data set. Factors were named based on common characteristics of the items they included. Table 1 displays the domain descriptions, items, factor loadings and Eigenvalues.

The K-means cluster analysis algorithm was used to segment groups of respondents. With examination of the dendogram from a hierarchical cluster analysis, a three-cluster solution was found to be more meaningful. The results of the means difference to determine the importance of each of the factors for US travellers to Hawaii indicated that the four factors were statistically significantly different from each other. Identified clusters were labelled as *Active Travellers*, *Relaxation Seekers*, and *Traditional Tourists*.

Factor	Factor Loadings	Eigenvalue	Variance Explained (%)	Grand Mean (Std. Dev.)
Knowledge/Learning				
To gain knowledge of history, other cultures or other places	.767			
To stimulate your mind/be intellectually challenged	.752	3.030	23.31	2.12(0.44)
To enrich your respective on life	.751	5.050	25.51	2.12(0.44)
To see or do something new and different	.610			
To be challenged physically/to feel physically energized	.491			
Relaxation				
To relax and relieve stress	.781			
To get a break from your day-to-day environment	.717	1.940	14.92	2.40(0.39)
To have a life with no fixed schedule	.655			(0.00)
To seek solitude and isolation	.498			
Family/Social Bonding				
To keep family ties alive	.798	1.313	10.10	2.01(0.59)
To renew personal connections with friends	.795	1.010	10110	2.01(0.00)
Pleasure Experience				
To create lasting memories To have stories to share back at home	.679 .558	1.042	8.02	2.16(0.51)

Table 1. Results of Factor Analysis for Benefits Sought

1) The items were measured using a 3-point Likert type scale (1 = of no importance, 2=somewhat important & 3 = highly important).

2) Principal component analysis was employed with varimax rotation using 15 benefit sought scale items.

3) Total variance explained: 56.35%

4) KMO Measure of Sampling Adequacy: 0.764

5) Bartlett's Test of Sphericity: Chi-Square = 5474.41, p<0.0001

Variable	Knowledge/	Relaxation	Family/	Pleasure
Cluster	Learning	(Claraterion	Social	1 loadaro
Cluster 1: Active travellers (n = 885)	2.35	2.69	2.22	2.18
Cluster 2: Relaxation Seekers (n = 990)	1.90	2.43	1.84	2.28
Cluster 3: Traditional Tourists (n = 565)	2.13	1.88	1.97	1.95
Total (<i>n</i> = 2440)				
ANOVA Statistics				
<i>F</i> value	302.11	1974.01	105.48 <.0001	82.01
p > F	<.0001	<.0001	105.40 \.0001	<.0001

Table 2. Characteristics of the Cluster and Results of ANOVAs

The study used discriminant analysis to check groupings and the three group discriminant analysis indicated the presence of two significant functions (Eigen-values 1.993 and 1.404, respectively), which recovered 99.2% of the classification accurately.

Table 3. Summary of Discriminant Analysis							
Discriminant Function ^a	Eigenvalue	% of Variance	Canonical Correlation	Wilks' λ	χ^{2}	df	p-value
1	1.993	58.7	0.816	.139	4806.84	8	.0001
2	1.404	41.3	0.764	.416	2136.64	3	.0001

Note: a First 2 canonical discriminant functions were used in the analysis.

In order to profile the three clusters and gain a greater understanding of each cluster's characteristics, chi-square analyses for categorical variables (such as education, income, gender, age, occupation, marital status, ethnicity, cruise experience, information sources, activity participation, and so on), and ANOVA for continuous variables (household size, general attitudes, destination choice factors, appealing items) were used to test the differences among the three clusters

FINDINGS

Three clusters of US visitors to Hawaii were determined in the study. Although all three groups have higher incomes and are better educated than typical US travellers, the following are their distinctive socio-demographic characteristics (Table 4):

Cluster 1: Active Travellers (36.3%): "Active Travellers" are likely to be married, employed and university educated. They predominantly consist of baby boomers and females, earn the highest income of the three segments while maintaining a mid-level household size; at 14.4%, this group has the largest representation of non-Caucasians.

Cluster 2: Relaxation Seekers (40.6%): The "Relaxation Seekers" consist of employed Caucasians that are predominantly married and relatively less affluent than the other two. These travellers are relatively less educated, the youngest group, and have larger households.

Cluster 3: Traditional Tourists (23.2%): The "Traditional Tourists" consist of retired men, Caucasians and highly educated people with somewhat lower incomes. They are also the oldest group and have the largest proportion of divorced/widowed/separated.

Overall, all three groups use the Internet as a major source of information, followed by previous experience, word of mouth, travel agents, and maps. They are very active and experienced cruise tourists. For instance, more than 90% of US travellers to Hawaii had taken an Alaska cruise. When making a decision, they are likely to select a destination easily and quickly. The most popular travel Web sites visited by these groups are airline and hotel Web sites.

Active travellers are likely to book a trip on the Internet, and more frequently visited airline Web sites. When planning a trip, they are likely to search for package deals (Table 5). Destination choice is important, particularly regarding health, safety, mid range accommodation, entertainment, and accessibility by air. Active Travellers are the most proactive information searchers. They are keenest on visiting undiscovered places, will buy products before they come down in price and make decisions quickly and easily, more than either of the other two segments.

	Table 4. Soc	cio-Demograp	hic Characte	ristics			
Variable		Active Travellers (%)	Relaxtion Seekers (%)	Traditional Tourists (%)	Overall (%)	χ2 Statistics	
Education	High school or less	7.6	11.6	10.2	9.8	χ2 = 37.15	
Euucation	Bachelor's degree	62.4	67.2	56.7	63.0	p < 0.001	
	Some graduate/ grad. degrees	30.0	21.2	33.2	27.1	p 0.001	
	Under \$40,000	14.6	17.6	17.2	16.4		
Income	\$40,000 - \$79,999	33.3	33.6	34.8	33.8	χ2 = 5.248	
liicome	\$80,000 - \$124,999	30.7	30.0	28.9	30.0	p =0 .512	
	Over \$125,000	21.4	18.7	19.2	19.8		
Gender	Male	46.6	44.4	54.9	47.5	χ2 = 17.09	
Gender	Female	53.4	55.9	45.1	52.5	р <0 .001 р	
	Gen Y	7.1	9.9	5.3	7.8		
Age	Gen X	36.2	39.2	22.1	34.1	χ2 = 155.94 ρ <0 .000	
C C	Baby Boomers	43.2	37.4	37.5	39.5		
	Traditional Tourists	13.6	13.5	35.0	18.5		
	Full time	59.9	57.3	44.4	55.2		
	Part time	5.2	8.0	6.5	6.7		
Occupation	Self employed	11.9	9.2	9.3	10.2	χ2 =139.56	
·	Retired	11.3	10.1	30.0	15.1	р <0 .001	
	Others	11.9	15.4	9.8	12.8		
	Married	67.5	70.7	65.4	68.3		
Marital status	Never Married	18.3	17.7	15.6	17.4	$\chi^2 = 16.56$	
	Divorced, widowed, separated	14.2	11.6	19.0	14.3	p =0.002	
	Caucasians	85.6	88.6	90.7	88.0	χ2 =8.564	
Ethnicity	Others	14.4	11.4	9.3	12.0	p =0 .014	
Household size	Mean (Std. Dev.)	2.43(1.19) ^b	2.58(1.22)ª	2.23(1.11) ^c	2.45(1.20)	<i>F</i> =15.74 p<0.001	

Relaxation Seekers also book trips via the Internet and rely on the Internet for information. However, they are passive users of other information sources. When planning a trip, they are similar to Active Travellers. It is interesting to note that they visited hotel Web sites more often than did members of the other two groups when searching for information and are less sensitive to price. Traditional Tourists use all types of travel information when planning a trip, but rely more heavily on travel agents than either of the other segments. They visit DMO websites more frequently than the other two segments.

Members of these three groups selected Hawaii as the most appealing destination state in the US (X=9.28), followed by California (X=7.93), and Florida (X=7.23). More than 40% of US travellers to Hawaii were from the West Coast [California (25.7%), Washington State (7.6%), Colorado (4.1%), and Arizona (5.3%)]. All three segments are keenly interested in destinations that have lots to see and do for adults, which may partly explain their loyalty to Hawaii.

Variable		Active Travellers (%)	Relaxation Seekers (%)	Traditional Tourists (%)	Overall (%)	χ2 Statistics/ <i>F</i> statistics
	Alaska	93.2	94.8	90.3	93.2	$\chi^2 = 11.92$ p = 0.003
	Caribbean	85.4	83.4	81.6	83.7	$\chi^2 = 3.821$ p = 0.148
Cruise Experience	Other cruise	85.2	83.9	76.8	82.7	χ2 =18.64 p < 0.001
	Great Lake cruise	98.4	99.5	99.5	99.1	$\chi^2 = 7.20$ p = 0.027
	Submarine	97.7	98.4	98.4	98.2	$\chi^2 = 1.326$ p = 0.515
	The Internet	91.3	88.3	85.1	88.7	$\chi^2 = 12.84$ p = 002
	Past experience	62.4	58.9	61.5	60.8	$\chi^2 = 2.38$ p = 0.305
	Word of mouth	56.5	51.9	53.6	54.0	$\chi^2 = 3.710$ p = 0.156
	Travel agent	36.9	42.4	44.8	40.9	$\chi^2 = 9.67$ p = 0.008
	Мар	42.4	31.5	44.3	38.4	χ2 =31.79 p < 0.001
Information Source	Auto club	34.9	30.0	36.2	33.2	$\chi^2 = 7.35$ p = 003
	Newspapers/Magazines	34.0	26.8	41.0	32.7	χ2 =31.61 p < 0.001 χ2 =15.35
	Official travel guides	33.9	26.5	34.7	31.1	$\chi^2 = 15.35$ p < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 21.56$
	Travel guide books	34.3	25.5	35.2	30.9	p < 0.001 $\chi^2 = 11.99$
	Visitor info. centre	26.4	20.7	27.8	24.4	p = 002 $\chi^2 = 11.64$
	Travel info. by mail	25.4	20.1	27.2	23.6	p = 003 $\chi 2 = 3.77$
	Airline's Website	72.5	68.3	68.7	70.0	$\chi^2 = 3.77$ p = 0.152 $\chi^2 = 12.51$
	Official DMO Website	46.3	38.9	47.5	43.6	$\chi^2 = 12.31$ p = 0.002 $\chi^2 = 1.33$
Website Visit	Hotel's Website	64.2	66.8	64.6	65.4	$\chi^2 = 1.33$ p = 0.514 $\chi^2 = 5.87$
	Cruise line's Website	22.2	20.9	26.8	22.7	$\chi^2 = 3.87$ p = 0.053 $\chi^2 = 2.584$
	Attraction's Website Prefer to visit undiscovered	36.4	32.7	35.4	34.7	$\chi^2 = 2.384$ p = 0.275
	places Often buy products before	3.27(1.29) ^a	2.56(1.21) ^c	2.92(1.23) ^b	2.90(1.28)	F=73.86** F=6.95*
General Attitudes	they come down in price Make decision quickly &	2.56(1.21) ^a	2.43(1.16) ^{ab}	2.24(1.11) ^b	2.46(1.17)	F=0.95* F=6.56**
	easily	3.27(1.04) ^a	3.10(1.06) ^b	3.24(1.02) ^a	3.20(1.04)	

* Significant at the 0.01 level; ** Significant at the 0.001 level

Active Travellers visited Hawaii more often than did Relaxation Seekers. In addition, they are more likely to be attracted by other major states in US than another other groups. They are most concerned that there be no health risks at the destinations, even though they attach some importance to being at a place that is very different culturally. Relaxation Seekers travelled to

Hawaii less often than did the other two clusters; however, Hawaii is the most appealing state among the top US states, including California, New York and Florida. Safety at the destination is even more of a concern to this segment than it is for the Active Travellers. Traditional Tourists travel to Hawaii even more frequently than Relaxation Seekers and Active Travellers. When selecting a destination, they are less interested in safety/security than are members of the other two groups.

Table 6. Destination Selection Factors & Appealing States					
Factor	Active Travellers (mean/std.dev)	Relaxation Seekers (mean/std.dev)	Traditional Tourists (mean/std.dev)	Overall (mean/std.dev)	
Safety and Health					
No health concern at the destination***	2.39(.67)ª	2.32(.70)ª	2.13(.69) ^b	2.30(.69)	
Safety***	2.75(.47)ª	2.77(.46)ª	2.52(.57) ^b	2.70(.50)	
Familiarity					
Familiar with culture and language***	1.96(.60)ª	1.96(.59)ª	1.79(.58) ^b	1.92(.60)	
Being at a place that is very different culturally***	1.83(.64)ª	1.58(.59) ^b	1.78(.65)ª	1.72(.63)	
Destination having friends or relatives***	1.43(.63)ª	1.31(.57) ^b	1.44(.66)ª	1.38(.62)	
Destination Attributes					
Lots of things to see & do –children***	1.47(.72)ª	1.50(.73)ª	1.30(.57) ^b	1.44(.69)	
Lots of things to see & do – Adults***	2.49(.56) ^a	2.50(.56) ^a	2.34(.59) ^b	2.46(.60)	
Availability of information on the Internet***	2.21(.68)ª	2.19(.70) ^a	1.93(.66) ^b	2.14(.69)	
Shopping opportunities***	1.80(.70)ª	1.86(.68) ^a	1.64(.61) ^b	1.79(.68)	
Accommodation	()		ζ, γ	(
Luxury accommodation***	1.81(.74)ª	1.68(.70) ^b	1.51(.63)⁰	1.69(.71)	
Mid-range accommodation***	2.40(.59) ^a	2.37(.58) ^a	2.19(.55) ^b	2.34(.59)	
Budget accommodation*	1.96(.71) ^a	1.92(.71) ^{ab}	1.87(.67) ^b	1.92(.70)	
Availability of low package deal***	2.04(.67) ^a	2.10(.66) ^a	1.94(.67) ^b	2.04(.67)	
Accessibility			. ,	. ,	
Direct access by air***	2.38(.60)ª	2.36(.61) ^a	2.25(.60) ^b	2.34(.61)	
Appealing state by rank					
Hawaii***	9.34(1.44) ^a	9.38(1.37) ^a	9.00(1.71) ^b	9.28(1.49)	
California	7.96(2.27)	7.98(2.19)	7.77(2.21)	7.93(2.22)	
Florida***	7.23(2.52) ^a	7.47(2.36) ^a	6.78(2.48) ^b	7.23(2.46)	
Colorado***	7.49(2.21) ^a	6.96(2.23) ^b	7.11(2.10) ^b	7.19(2.21)	
Arizona**	7.23(2.32) ^a	6.92(2.40) ^b	6.91(2.33) ^b	7.03(2.36)	
New York**	7.07(2.49) ^a	6.82(2.50) ^{ab}	6.68(2.52) ^b	6.88(2.51)	
Travel frequency to Hawaii for the last 2 yrs	· ·	· ·	· ·	· ·	
1	79.4	81.9	77.4	80.0	
2	13.9	12.5	13.6	13.2	
3+	6.8	5.6	9.0	6.8	

Destination selection items were anchored by 1 is Of no importance and 3 is Highly important.

* Significant at the 0.1 level; ** Significant at the 0.05 level; *** Significant at the 0.001 level

All three segments have a keen interest in dining in restaurants that feature local ingredients, and while they are interested in water-based activities, they also have an interest in sightseeing and visiting known historic sites and buildings.

Active Travellers participate in most travel activities and are more likely to attend shows, events, fairs, restaurants and shop than either of the other two segments (Table 7). Relaxation Seekers are the least active group, except for sunbathing, swimming in the ocean, snorkelling, and shopping for clothing and jewellery, and going to shows. Finally, Traditional Tourists are very unique in activity participation and are likely to prefer land-based activities such as visiting nature parks, hiking, wildlife viewing, event activities, shopping in bookstores and antique shops, and traditional attractions.

Table 7. Activity Participation by Rank				
ltem	Active Travellers (%)	Relaxation Seekers (%)	Traditional Tourists (%)	Overall (%)
Water Based Activities	(10)	(10)	(,,,,	
Sunbathing/sitting on beach****	63.8	65.1	53.0	61.8
Swimming in oceans****	60.7	62.6	50.6	59.2
Snorkelling **	47.9	49.2	42.6	47.2
Marine life watching	27.1	23.8	26.1	25.5
Land Based Activities**				
Nature park*	44.3	38.9	42.2	41.6
Hiking - same day excursion****	39.9	26.5	34.0	33.1
Wildlife land based animals****	22.4	15.5	23.4	19.8
Jogging/exercising outdoors****	23.8	14.1	17.4	18.4
Wildflowers/flora viewing***	21.4	14.6	17.7	17.8
Golfing - played occasionally	19.5	16.1	18.2	17.8
Shows, Events, Fairs & Festivals				
Live theatre****	25.0	18.5	31.7	23.9
Firework displays***	26.2	19.7	24.5	23.1
Free outdoor performances****	25.5	15.4	26.5	21.6
Stand-up comedies	18.6	17.9	17.5	18.1
Food/drink festivals***	19.9	14.3	17.7	17.1
Shopping/Browsing				
Clothing, shoes, jewellery****	67.5	67.4	56.5	64.9
Bookstores or music stores****	50.2	38.5	46.7	44.6
Antiques**	29.8	25.2	31.5	28.3
Gourmet foods retail stores****	31.3	22.0	26.7	26.4
Dining				
Restaurant with local ingredients****	77.1	73.3	76.0	75.3
Local outdoor cafes****	55.2	44.5	53.1	50.3
High-end restaurants**	46.4	40.3	44.9	43.6
High-end restaurant international rep.****	38.1	29.5	32.7	33.3
Attractions				
Sightseeing****	52.7	43.8	54.9	49.6
Known historic sites/ buildings****	47.8	41.5	55.5	47.0
Well known natural 'wonders'****	45.3	38.4	48.5	43.2
Other hist.sites/monuments/bldgs****	39.0	36.4	47.4	39.9
Aquariums	33.6	34.0	32.0	33.4
Heritage museum****	32.5	24.7	38.5	30.7
Art galleries****	30.9	21.1	37.0	28.3
Botanical gardens****	30.1	21.4	29.7	26.5

Note: * Significant at the 0.1 level; ** Significant at the 0.05 level; *** Significant at the 0.01 level; **** Significant at the 0.001 level

Implications

Understanding the benefits sought by some of the most loyal segments of visitors to

Hawaii is critically important when trying to position experiences and capturing their attention. While relation as a benefit is ranked highest by the Active Travellers, they also attach the greatest importance to knowledge and learning. Therefore, experiences need to be positioned as "no hassle" and pleasurable learning opportunities. Relaxation Seekers, on the other hand, obviously value relaxation but also pleasure, and hence the focus needs to be much more on dining opportunities, strolling through shops and attractions, and the safety and familiarity of the destination. Finally, the Traditional Tourists prize knowledge and learning, and so the experiences need to highlight the Hawaiian culture and all the opportunities to learn more about it and the islands' history.

This study extends the existing research by using the benefit sought trait to segment US travellers to Hawaii and enabling marketers to develop marketing strategies. Very few studies have segmented a regional market such as Hawaii. This study identified some distinctive differences among the three lucrative segments. The study findings may provide destination management organizations in Hawaii a useful platform from which to adjust or improve current marketing strategies.

References

- Anderson, B., & Langmeyer, L. (1982). The under-50 and over-50 travelers: A profile of similarities and differences. *Journal of Travel Research*, 20(4), 20–24.
- Baloglu, S., & McCleary, K.W. (1999). US international pleasure travelers' images of four Mediterranean destinations: A comparison of visitors and non-visitors. *Journal of Travel Research*, 38(2), 144-152.
- Bonn, M., Furr, H., & Susskind, A. (1999). Predicting a behavioural profile for pleasure travelers on the basis of Internet use segmentation. *Journal of Travel Research*, *37*(4), 333-340.
- Haley, R. (1968). Benefit segmentation: A decision-oriented research tool. *Journal of Marketing*, 32(3), 30–35.
- Haley, R., & Weingarden, P. (1986). Running reliable attitude segmentation studies. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 26, 51-56.
- Hsieh, S., & O'Leary, J. (1993). Communication channels to segment pleasure travelers. *Journal* of Travel and Tourism Marketing, 2(2/3), 57–75.
- Hsieh, S., O'Leary, J., & Morrison, A. (1992). Segmenting the international travel market by activity. *Tourism Management*, 13 (2), 209–223.
- Jang, S., Morrison, A. M., & O'Leary, J. T. (2002). Benefit segmentation of Japanese pleasure travelers to the USA and Canada : Selecting target markets based on the profitability and risk of individual market segments. *Tourism Management*, 23 (4), 367-378.
- Lewis, R., & Chambers, R. E. (2000). *Marketing leadership in hospitality*, (3rd ed.). New York: Van-Nostrand Reinhold.
- Petrick, J., & Sirakaya, E. (2004). Segmenting Cruiser by Loyalty. Annals of Tourism Research, 24(Apr), 472-475.
- Reid, L., & Reid, S. (1997). Traveler geographic origin and market segmentation for small island nations: The Barbados case. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*, 6(3), 5–22.
- Shoemaker, S. (1994). Segmenting the US travel market according to benefits realized. *Journal of Travel Research*, 32(3), 8–17.
- Silverberg, K., Backman, S., & Backman, K. (1996). A preliminary investigation into the psychographics of nature-based travelers to the Southeastern United States. *Journal of*

Travel Research, *35*(2), 19–28.

- Sirgy, M. (1982). Self-concept in consumer behaviour: A critical review. Journal of Consumer Research, 9, 287-300.
- Smith, W. (1956). Product differentiation and market segmentation as alternative marketing strategies. Journal of Marketing, 20, 3-8.
- Twedt, D. (1964). How important to marketing strategy is the heavy user. *Journal of Marketing*, 28, 71-72.
- Wyner, G.A. (2002). Segmentation architecture. Marketing Management, 11(2), 6-7.
- Woodside, A., & Jacobs, L. (1985). Step two in benefit segmentation: Learning the benefits realized by major travel markets. *Journal of Travel Research*, 24 (1), 7–13.
- Ziff, R. (1971). Psychographics of market segmentation. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 11, 3-10.

Contact information

Dr. HS Chris Choi, Associate Professor School of Hospitality and Tourism Management University of Guelph E-Mail: canadatourism@gmail.com Tel: 519)824-4120 ext. 53370