

**Evaluation of the Effectiveness of a
Prescription Drug Abuse Prevention Curriculum for College Students**

A Senior Project

Presented to the Faculty of the Kinesiology Department
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Bachelor of Science Degree

by

Danielle M. Colangelo & Alexis K. Sepulveda

June 2016

Author Note

This research was supported by the College of Science and Math Summer Research Program.

Correspondence concerning this paper should be addressed to Danielle Colangelo, Alexis

Sepulveda or Dr. Kris Jankovitz, Kinesiology Department, California Polytechnic State

University, San Luis Obispo, CA 93410. Contact: dcolange@calpoly.edu, aksepulv@calpoly.edu

or kjankovi@calpoly.edu

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 1

Table of Contents

Abstract	3
Chapter 1: Introduction	5
Research Question	6
Hypothesis	6
Participants	6
Delimitations	6
Limitations	6
Definition of Terms	7
Chapter 2: Review of Literature	8
Chapter 3: Methods and Procedures	13
Design	13
Subjects and Sampling Procedures	13
Instrumentation	14
Intervention	16
Data Collection Procedures	17
Analysis Procedures	17
Chapter 4: Analysis of Data	18
Chapter 5: Summary and Conclusions	23
References	24
Appendices	

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 2

Appendix A: Recruitment Pitch	27
Appendix B: Control and Treatment Group Pre-treatment Questionnaire and Data Dictionary	31
Appendix C: Control and Control Group Post-treatment Questionnaire and Data Dictionary.....	39
Appendix D: Intervention	53
Appendix E: Statistical Tests	54
Appendix F: Research Poster	57

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 3

Abstract

The recreational use of prescription drugs has been increasingly common in university communities (Office of National Drug Control Policy, 2015). Students may abuse prescription drugs to get high, study longer or sleep. A survey conducted by Kinesiology students used snowball sampling to assess the number of Cal Poly students recreationally using prescription drugs and their knowledge of prescription drug abuse (Colangelo & Sepulveda, 2015). The assessment showed 30.7% of students had abused prescription drugs in the last six months. There was an increase in abuse between college freshmen (19.2%), college sophomores (41.4%) and juniors (44.3%) (Colangelo & Sepulveda, 2015). This prevalence indicates an intervention may be useful. A non-equivalent control group design was used to assess the effectiveness of a prescription drug abuse prevention curriculum for college students. Students enrolled in general education health courses during the Fall of 2015 participated in the study. The treatment group (n=88) received a classroom-based intervention designed to include information specific to prescription drug abuse. The control group (n=64) received the traditional intervention covering general information about drug abuse. The purpose of the study was to determine which intervention was most effective in influencing knowledge, perceptions of risk, and self-efficacy to prevent prescription drug abuse. Both groups were administered a pre-test and a post-test questionnaire online. The ANCOVA showed a significant positive association of the treatment intervention for: Knowledge of Prescription Drug Abuse and Misuse (Knowledge) ($p=0.001$) and Self-Efficacy for Preventing Prescription Drug Abuse and Misuse (Self-Efficacy) ($p<0.001$).

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 4

However, there was no significant association between treatment post-test scores for Perceived Risk of Prescription Drug Abuse and Misuse (Risk) ($p=0.224$).

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 5

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

The rise in the recreational use of prescription drugs has exponentially increased over the last decade. A priority population for this growth in use is college students. Many students may not understand the repercussions of using prescription drugs without a proper prescription from a health care professional (National Institute on Drug Abuse, 2010). A needs assessment was created in order to survey Cal Poly students about the college campus climate on the recreational use of prescription drugs. A needs assessment is done in order to find the problematic areas in a target population (McKenzie, J. F., Neiger, B. L., & Thackeray, R. (2009). From this needs assessment survey we have found students lacked knowledge in the difference between use, misuse and abuse of prescription drugs. Their knowledge on the legalities of prescription drug use was also ranked low. It was found that students did not know that although the drug may be legal, if you are not using it for its intended purposes, then it is considered illegal. Students did not understand how detrimental it is to mix prescription drugs with other prescription drugs, or even alcohol. From this needs assessment it was decided there needed to be a call to action. The aim of this study was to create an effective intervention to deliver to a general college health class in order to increase knowledge of prescription drugs as well as the legalities of possessing using and selling, while also increasing students' self-efficacy to avoid peer pressure.

Research Question

Which intervention is more effective in increasing knowledge of prescription drug abuse and misuse, perception of risk, and self-efficacy of resisting recreational prescription drug use?

Hypotheses

The theory based classroom intervention would be more successful for increasing knowledge, perception of risk and self-efficacy.

Participants

The participants in this study were self-selected into a general health education class at a medium sized public university in California.

Delimitations

Participants under 18 were excluded from the experiment. Only the data from participants who completed both the pre-test and post-test questionnaires were included.

Limitations

One limitation of this study is that attendance on the day of the intervention was self-reported on the post-test questionnaire. There was no way to verify that participants attended the day the treatment and control interventions were delivered. Subjects that did not answer all of the questions in the section, incorrectly typed their ID code, or failed to type in their ID code were excluded from data analysis. Additionally the survey platform

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 7

used, SurveyMonkey, was unable to download and separate student answers for some of the questions provided.

Definition of Terms

Prescription drugs are drugs prescribed by a doctor.

Recreational use (of prescription drugs) refers to the use of prescription drugs for a reason other than for which it was prescribed.

Misuse (of prescription drugs) denotes the use of prescription drugs in a manner other than it was prescribed; for example, taking a prescription drug intranasally instead of orally as prescribed.

Abuse (of prescription drugs) refers to the use of prescription drugs for a reason other than it was prescribed; for example, taking a prescription drug to get high instead of relieving pain.

Chapter 2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Current trends in the use of prescription drugs for recreational purposes by college students have become a cause for concern on university and college campuses nationwide. Prescription drug abuse is when an individual takes a medication that was prescribed for someone else or takes their own prescription in a way not intended by a doctor or for a different reason—like to get high (National Institute on Drug Abuse [NIDA], 2015). Any pharmaceutical that may induce psychoactive effects of any kind can be abused. Effects that may occur include relaxation, sedation, intoxication, euphoria, increased energy, and hallucinations (Reeves, Ladner, Perry, Burke & Laizer, 2015). According to the Center for Lawful Access and Abuse Deterrence, “Nearly 9 out of 10 poisoning deaths are caused by drugs—both illicit and prescribed. (Centers for Lawful Access and Abuse Deterrence [CLAAD], 2013). In 2009, there were nearly 4.6 million drug related emergency department (ED) visits of which about one half (49.8 percent, or 2.3 million) were attributed to adverse reactions to pharmaceuticals and almost one half (45.1 percent, or 2.1 million) were attributed to drug misuse or abuse (CLAAD, 2013). While students at Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo appear to abuse prescription drugs at a rate less than the national average (Healthy Minds Survey, 2014), an intervention would be useful to protect the health of those students who do misuse or abuse prescription drugs. A national sample reported that the most common source of prescription stimulants for college students was a friend with a legitimate prescription (≥ 73.9 percent annually) (CLAAD, 2013). The nonmedical use of prescription stimulants is more common among college students than high school

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 9

students. Studies have found that 4.1 percent to 10.8 percent of college students reported using prescription stimulants for nonmedical purposes during the past year (CLAAD, 2013). After marijuana and alcohol, prescription drugs are the most commonly abused substances by Americans age 14 and older (NIDA, 2015). It is clear the recreational use of prescription drugs represents a high risk behavior that should be monitored further and intervention efforts are needed to curb this form of drug use (McCabe, Knight, Teter & Wechsler, 2005).

Many of the issues regarding a form of drug abuse in the Cal Poly community usually involve alcohol and binge drinking; however, an article in Mustang News, suggests that there is a rise in the recreational use of prescription drugs on this campus. In the article “Adderall: The Demands and Dangers On Campus” author Jonathan Chodzko stated, “30% of students abuse Adderall at some point in their college career; Full- time college students are twice as likely to abuse adderall as their peers who aren’t in college.” Students are turning to prescription drugs whether it is used to perform better in school or stay up later. They also report using other drugs to help them sleep after a stressful day or relax during times of tension. The article conveyed that adderall seems to be more sought after than cocaine. Unfortunately, students also are unaware of the side effects of prescription drugs that are not prescribed to them. Mixing prescription drugs with alcohol or other medications they may currently take can be detrimental to their health. For example, “the students who do abuse Adderall are more prone to have high blood pressure, causing risk for heart attack. It can also cause hair and weight loss, anxiety and paranoia” (Chodzko, 2015).

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 10

A previous needs assessment conducted in Winter 2015 by Kinesiology 434 students. The survey asked a few questions on the participants' experience with prescription drugs and knowledge of the legal consequences prescription drug abuse. The survey questions also asked demographic questions such as biological sex, year, college, and housing community to analyze and find trends of prescription drug abuse among subpopulations of the Cal Poly student body. This survey was active for seven days and received 217 responses, 186 of which were complete. The most important findings came from the questions asking about the participants' baseline level of knowledge of the legality of prescription drug abuse. The data showed that nearly 1 in 3 Cal Poly students had abused prescription drugs in the last 6 months, but less than 20% of surveyed students knew the legal consequences for doing so (Colangelo & Sepulveda, 2015). This data showed a huge disparity between knowledge and behavior and we realized that an educational intervention was exactly what Cal Poly needed.

Ultimately, the rise in the use of prescription drugs may be due to the idea that students believe the drugs are safer because they are legal. Most students reported they did not understand the legal consequences of possessing, selling or sharing drugs without a prescription or with those who did not have a prescription. One of the biggest issues are that students are unaware and uneducated. When implementing a curriculum to help students realize the health issues and legalities of illegally possessing, consuming or selling prescription drugs, it may lessen the recreational use.

This study utilized the Health Belief Model, Theory of Planned Behavior, and Self-Efficacy Theory. The Health Belief Model attempts to explain and predict health behaviors

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 11

by focusing on individual attitudes and beliefs (Hochbaum, G., Rosenstock, I., & Kegels, S. (1952). The Theory of Planned Behavior discusses the personal beliefs or perceptions influence behavior (Ajzen, I., 1991). The Self- Efficacy Theory comes from the Social Cognitive Theory and was created by Bandura in 1977. The concept of self-efficacy refers to an individual's confidence in completing a specific task or behavior, that a person will only try what they think they can do. The idea of self-efficacy is a construct in the Health Belief Model, the Theory of Planned Behavior and is utilized in many health based interventions such as smoking cessation and alcohol abstinence (Haukkala, A., Uutela, A., Vartiainen, E., McAlister, A., Knekt, P., 2000) In a study called, “ The Challenge study: theory-based interventions for smoking and weight loss” (C. M. King, A. J. Rothman and R. W. Jeffery, 2001), the researchers are evaluating the the effects of an intervention that focuses on participants' benefits for quitting smoking. The control group receives factual information on quitting cigarette smoking and the treatment group receives information on the benefits of quitting smoking. The study incorporated is the Theory of Planned Behavior. The constructs primarily in this study were attitude and the attitudes that lead to the intention and ultimately the behavior of smoking. Researchers felt that by creating an intervention for the treatment group that relayed the positive and beneficial aspects would reduce the intention of smoking and inturn smoking in general.

Another theory based intervention included the Health Belief Model and Self-Efficacy Theory. This study is called “A theory-based online health behavior intervention for new university students: study protocol (Epton, T., Norman, P., Sheeran, P., Harris, P. R., Webb, T. L., Ciravegna, F. & Petroczi, A., 2013). This study aimed to use an online intervention to change

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 12

college students' beliefs, motivation and confidence in eating healthier and exercising more. The invention asked subjects about their diet and exercise habits, recreational drug use, alcohol use, academic performance and BMI among many other factors. This study found that the incorporation of a theory based intervention was helpful in changing individuals attitudes, beliefs and confidence in making health changes to their daily lives. These theories were used to inform the curriculum design by targeting key motivational factors that aid positive health behaviors.

The purpose of this study is to determine if a theory based drug education curriculum showed significant improvement in students' knowledge of prescription drugs, knowledge of drug law, perception of risk, and self-efficacy when facing opportunity for prescription drug abuse. The current drug education curriculum has been used for several years. Participating students took a pre-test questionnaire before their drug education and took a post-test questionnaire afterwards. Pre-test and post-test scores will be calculated for each of the four testing variables. The hypothesis is that the students will show significant improvement in their scores after the specialized prescription drug education curriculum is given, and the treatment group students will show significant improvement in their scores in contrast to the control group students.

Chapter 3

METHODS AND PROCEDURES

Design

The study used a quasi-experimental non-equivalent control group design. Subjects were students that had enrolled in three different sections of a general education health course, each taught by a different professor, therefore the participants could not be perfectly randomized. One of these three intact groups was randomly assigned as the treatment group. All three groups received a drug education curriculum. The treatment group received the intervention while the control groups received the standard drug education curriculum that had been taught for several years. The intervention was designed to increase knowledge and perception of risk of recreational prescription drug use and increase students' self-efficacy in resisting recreational use. The three dependent variables were scored before and after the groups' respective curriculums were presented using pre- and post-test questionnaires.

Subjects and Sampling Procedures

Subjects were enrolled in separate sections of a general education health course at Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo during the Fall quarter of 2015. Each section was presented a 10-minute pitch describing the research study and the need for participants, eligibility requirements, time-commitment, and instructions on how to participate. The pitch also included the incentive of extra credit in each class section in exchange for participation. Other equally attractive extra credit opportunities were made available to all students to meet the approval of the Institutional Review Board. The students in each section were required to complete pre- and post-treatment

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 14

questionnaires and attend class on the day their drug education curriculum was presented. The questionnaire was preceded by an informed consent form mandatory for participation in the study. Subjects under 18 years of age and subjects that did not give their informed consent were excluded from data collection. Subjects that did not complete all three parts and subjects that did not input their identification code were excluded from data analysis.

Instrumentation

Three questionnaires and one curriculum were developed for this research project. One pre-treatment questionnaire was created for the entire pool of participants (n=152), and two post-treatment questionnaires were created for treatment (n=88) and control groups (n=64). The intervention curriculum was developed using the Health Belief Model (Hochbaum, Rosenstock and Kegels, 1952), Theory of Planned Behavior (Ajzen, I., 1991), and Self-Efficacy Theory (Bandura, 1977) and presented to the treatment group; the control group received a standardized substance abuse curriculum that had been used previously in the course. Data were collected via three questionnaires: one given before the curriculum (pre-treatment instrument) and two given afterwards (post-treatment instruments). The questionnaires were constructed on SurveyMonkey.com. The pre-treatment questionnaire was sent to all participants via HTML link in an email sent by each section's professor. Multiple reminders with the link and instructions were sent out every few days by email as well. The questionnaire was deployed 10/23/16 and closed on 10/30/16, after which the treatment and control groups received a drug education program. The subjects were given at least one week to complete the questionnaires at their leisure; the post-test questionnaires were deployed immediately via e-mail after the groups'

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 15

respective drug education curriculums were presented. The post-test questionnaires were deployed 11/13/16 and closed on 11/20/16.

The first questionnaire, titled “Prescription Drug Prevention Research Survey: Part 1” was given to both the treatment and control groups. The questionnaire asked questions regarding exclusionary criteria, demographics, and the creation of an identification code in order to later match pre- and post-treatment responses while maintaining subject anonymity. The ID code was made by the subjects using the last 3 digits of the subjects’ phone number, the subjects’ mother’s first and last name initials, and the subjects’ shoe size. The questionnaires also included questions about the three dependent variables: Knowledge, Perception of Risk, and Self-Efficacy.

The post-treatment questionnaires, titled “Prescription Drug Prevention Research Survey: Part 2”(Control Intervention) and “Prescription Drug Prevention Research Survey: Part 2” (Treatment Intervention) Class Survey” were sent to the control and treatment groups, respectively via e-mail. The post-treatment instruments included the same questions as the pre-treatment questionnaire, with the exception of many of the demographic questions and the questions asking students to construct their identification code. This was done so as not to make the questionnaires repetitive and due to the fact that the identification code could be used to trace demographical data. The control group received a separate post-treatment questionnaire link from the experimental group in separate emails so as not to cause confusion. The only difference between the two post-treatment questionnaires was that the treatment group’s questionnaire included the question “Did you attend class on Monday, November 2nd? (This was the day the

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 16

Treatment Intervention was implemented.) Your answer will NOT have any effect on you receiving your extra credit.” In contrast, the control group’s post-treatment questionnaire included the question “Did you attend class on the day your professor discussed substance abuse and drug education? Your answer will NOT have any effect on you receiving your extra credit.” This difference of wording was due to the control group professors not having a designated drug education class day, in comparison to the treatment group.

Intervention

The control group received the standard drug education program while the treatment group received the specialized prescription drug abuse education program. The drug education programs were presented to all KINE 250 sections regardless of participation in the study simply because drug education is part of the KINE 250 course. The drug education program and specialized prescription drug abuse education program were presented to the subjects between the deadline for the pre-test instrument and the start of the post-test instrument. Subjects in the control group attended class as usual and received the standard drug education program that had been taught in KINE 250 classes for several years. Subjects in the treatment group received a 90-minute presentation explicitly describing prescription drugs’ use, abuse, and misuse, statistical reasons for abuse and misuse, mental and physical dangers of abuse and misuse, legal consequences of abuse and misuse, and healthy alternative and resources for those participating in prescription drug misuse and abuse. The treatment included brief in-class discussion, the use of the subjects’ cell-phones to anonymously answer lecture questions, and a worksheet completed during the presentation. If subjects did not attend the drug education lecture, the

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 17

subjects' data was excluded from analysis whether or not they were in the treatment or control group. The total participant pool was made up of 152 students, 88 of which were in the treatment group.

Data Collection Procedures

SurveyMonkey.com saves anonymous responses to be later analyzed. It can be downloaded as an Excel file or made into graphs and charts. Data collection was taken through an Excel file, cleaned of excluded participants and faulty responses, and used for data analysis.

Analysis Procedures

An analysis of covariance (with the covariate being the pre-test score) was used to adjust for preexisting differences between the Treatment and Control groups was used to analyze pre- and post-test scores, while adjusting for pre-tests score and look for significant changes. Statistical tests were chosen based on the quasi-experimental non-equivalent design; an analysis of covariate test allowed for the inherent differences between the groups. Frequency tables were constructed to display the demographics of the participants by year, gender, and residence, and to compare the rankings of the most influential reason for recreational prescription drug use between the groups before and after their respective interventions.

Chapter 4

ANALYSIS OF DATA AND RESULTS

An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to determine which intervention had the most influence on the variables of Knowledge, Perception of Risk and Self-Efficacy. The scores on the pre-test questionnaire were used as the covariates to adjust for the pre-existing differences in these intact groups. Demographic characteristics of the participants are reported in Figures 1 and 2 depicting the distribution of freshmen, sophomores, juniors, seniors, females, and males that participated in the study. Freshmen were the largest class reported with a rather even split between males and females; this was ideal considering freshman of both genders were the target population for the intervention. The needs assessment for recreational prescription drug use education reported that upperclassmen were more likely to misuse and abuse prescription drugs, therefore the goal of the experiment was to intervene early in the students' undergraduate career (Colangelo & Sepulveda 2015). The intervention reached its target population regardless of the inability to randomize the subjects or alter the intact groups.

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 19

Figure 1: Class Standings for Treatment and Control Groups




Figure 2: Genders of Treatment and Control Groups





Figure 3 consists of descriptive statistics showing the three most influential reasons for recreational prescription drug use as ranked by the treatment and control groups before and after the respective drug education curriculums. The treatment group showed a distinct increase in ranking for studying as the most influential reason for recreational prescription drug use. The intervention was geared towards college students and may have unintentionally skewed the treatment group's responses. Recreational prescription drug use, however, is a reoccurring issue on college campuses as a study aid and other uses, and may have further adverse effects due to its links to illegal "hard" drugs, such as heroin.

Figure 3:



There was a positive association on the post-test scores for Knowledge and Self-Efficacy with the treatment. The treatment intervention group showed a significant increase in scores for Knowledge (p=0.001), which is displayed in Table 1. The intervention covered the types of prescription drugs and the effects on the human body, including when multiple drug types were used at the same time.

PostTest Know = 6.08 + 0.263 PreTest Know + 1.37 TreatKnow					
Predictor	Coefficient	SE Coefficient	T	P	VIF
Constant	6.0817	8.5412	11.24	0.00	
Pretest KNOWLEDGE	0.26254	0.06242	4.21	0.00	1.004
Treatment KNOWLEDGE	1.3745	0.3986	3.45	.001*	1.004
S = 2.42179 R-Sq = 17.4% R-Sq(adj) = 16.3%					

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 21

There was also a significant positive association for Self-Efficacy ($p=0.000$) as reported in Table 2. The intervention discussed techniques to resist peer pressure, healthy alternatives, and resources for prescription drug addiction.

Table 2: Regression Analysis for Self-Efficacy				
PostTest Self = 5.35 + 0.493 PreTest Self + 2.28 TreatSelf				
Predictor	Coefficient	SE Coefficient	T	P
Constant	5.3491	0.9814	5.45	0.00
Pretest SELF	0.49312	0.06451	7.64	0.00
Treatment SELF	2.2836	0.4145	5.51	0.000
S = 2.52282 R-Sq = 37.1% R-Sq(adj) = 36.3%				

No significant association between the treatment intervention and the variable of Perception of Risk ($p=.0244$), was found. (Table 3). The post-test scores in the treatment group were not significantly higher than those of the control group. The intervention described the links between prescription drug abuse and misuse with acute and chronic physical health problems as well as adverse social and psychological effects, but must be reviewed and modified if it is to increase Perception of Risk; however, fear of prescription drugs and its effects during recreational use is not necessarily indicative of decreased abuse and misuse of prescription drugs. Modification of the intervention may be unnecessary.

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 22

Table 3: Regression Analysis for Perceived Risk					
PostTest Risk = 13.3 + 0.412 PreTest Risk + 0.622 TreatRisk					
Predictor	Coefficient	SE Coefficient	T	P	
Constant	13.299	1.939	6.86	0.00	
Pretest RISK	0.41189	0.07498	5.49	0.00	
Treatment RISK	0.6222	0.5320	1.17	0.244	
S = 3.21140 R-Sq = 16.9% R-Sq(adj) = 15.8%					

The intervention was useful increase Knowledge and Self-Efficacy about prescription drug abuse across multiple class levels and both genders. It can now be used in Cal Poly’s general education health courses with evidence that it is successful.

Chapter 5

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This experiment was created to examine the intervention for effectiveness. The results clearly show that the intervention is successful in increasing knowledge and self-efficacy; however, it did not increase Perception of Risk. The descriptive statistics in Fig. 8 show that the treatment group ranked “to study,” “to have fun and/or party,” and “to fit in” as the top three most influential reasons for recreational prescription drug use. The control group’s top three were “to fit in,” “to get high,” and “to study,” in that order. It is clear that these three reasons for abuse and misuse are consistent among both groups. The curriculum was successful in demonstrating the effectiveness of the Health Belief Model, Self-Efficacy Theory and the Theory of Planned Behavior. The data suggests students would be better at resisting recreational prescription drug use and more knowledgeable about the topic after being exposed to this curriculum.

Future research should examine if either increased knowledge or increased perception of risk has greater effect on college student recreational drug use. Further research should examine if fear-mongering is a successful method of teaching new behaviors, or if knowledge and self-efficacy are more effective. Future interventions should focus on decreasing prescription drug use in order to study, have fun and/or party, and get high, as those are consistently the top three reasons for abuse and misuse. General education health course professors may want to incorporate this new intervention into the lesson plan.

References

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational behavior and human decision processes*, 50(2), 179-211.

Bandura, A. (1977). Self efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological review*, 84 (2), 191.

Center for Lawful Access and Abuse Deterrence. (2013). Abuse-Deterrent Medications.

Retrieved August 22, 2015. <http://claad.org/abuse-deterrent-medications/>

Chapter 1. Strengthen Efforts to Prevent Drug Use in Our Communities. (n.d.). Retrieved June

09, 2016, from

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/ondcp/chapter-strengthen-efforts-to-prevent-drug-use-in-our-communities>

Chodzko, J. (2015). Adderall: The demands and dangers on campus - Mustang News. Retrieved

July 03, 2016, from

<http://mustangnews.net/adderall-the-demands-and-dangers-on-campus/>

Colangelo, D., Sepulveda, A. (2015). Prescribing a solution: needs assessment survey. California

Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo. Survey Monkey.

Epton, T., Norman, P., Sheeran, P., Harris, P. R., Webb, T. L., Ciravegna, F., ... & Petroczi, A.

(2013). A theory-based online health behavior intervention for new university students:

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 25

study protocol. *BMC public health*, 13(1), 107.

Haukkala, A., Uutela, A., Vartiainen, E., McAlister, A., Knekt, P., 2000. Depression and smoking

cessation: the role of motivation and self-efficacy. *Addictive Behaviors* 25, 311–316.

Healthy Minds Network. (2014). The Healthy Minds Study Data Report. Research on

Adolescent and Young Adult Mental Health. University of Michigan.

Hochbaum, G., Rosenstock, I., & Kegels, S. (1952). Health belief model. *United States Public Health Service*.

King, C. M., Rothman, A. J., & Jeffery, R. W. (2002). The Challenge study: theory-based

interventions for smoking and weight loss. *Health Education Research*, 17(5), 522-530.

McCabe, S., Knight J.R., Teter, C.J., & Wechsler, H. (2005). Non-medical use of prescription stimulants among US college students: prevalence and correlates from a national survey.

Addiction, 100(1): 96-106. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15598197>

McKenzie, J. F., Neiger, B. L., & Thackeray, R. (2009). *Planning, implementing, and evaluating health promotion programs: A primer*. San Francisco: Pearson/Benjamin Cummings.

National Institute of Drug Abuse. (n.d.). Drug Facts. Retrieved August 22, 2015.

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 26

<https://teens.drugabuse.gov/drug-facts>

Reeves, R., Ladner M.E., Perry, C.L., Burke, R.S., & Laizer, J.T. (2015). Abuse of medications that theoretically are without abuse potential. *Southern Medical Journal*, 108(3): 151-157. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25772048>

Volkow, N. D. (2010). Prescription Drug Abuse. Retrieved June 09, 2016, from

<https://www.drugabuse.gov/about-nida/legislative-activities/testimony-to-congress/2010/09/prescription-drug-abuse>

Appendices

Appendix A: Recruitment Script



Prescription Drug Abuse Prevention Research

Danielle Colangelo * Lexi Sepulveda * Kinesiology Department * Cal Poly SLO

**senior
project**

- Kinesiology Department
- Dr. Jankovitz
- Prescription drug abuse prevention
- Need participants
- Help us out!
- 40 minutes of your time will get you...

EXTRA CREDIT

Take part 1 survey and extra credit survey #1.

Print end page and submit.

Chill



Print end page and submit before midterm.

Take part 2 survey and extra credit survey #2.

**how do I
make sure
I get the
extra
credit?**

- Click the survey link on 1 of your devices
- Read informed consent page and click "Yes, I volunteer"
- Answer honestly ONCE in one sitting
- Only 20 minutes and it's all confidential
- Opinion-based
- Use what you know
- Click the extra credit link + print
- Repeat for part 2 (MUST DO BOTH PARTS)

why?

- Extra credit
- Super easy
- Karma
- Being part of a research study
- Learn by Doing
- We need you!

Questions?

Contact: aksepulv@calpoly.edu

Appendix B: Control and Treatment Group Pre-treatment Questionnaire

Appendix D: Pre-Intervention Survey Questions

This study takes data from your pre- and post-intervention responses. In order to enable us to match your pre- and post-intervention responses, we need your assistance to create a unique code just for you.

Please input your last 3 digits for your phone number.

For example: 805-756-2981 would be input as 981

Please input your mother's first and last name initials.

For example: Jane Doe would be input as JD

Please input your shoe size.

For example: Size 7 would be input as 7.0; size 10 ½ would be input as 10.5

1. What is your gender?

Male

Female

Transgender

2. What is your age? (if you are under the age of 18 please discontinue this survey)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 or older

3. What is your major? Please write it out e.g. Biology Write-in: _____

4. What year are you in college?

Freshman

Sophomore

Junior

Senior

Graduate/Credential

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 32

5. Do you live on- or off-campus?

On-campus

Off-campus

6. Are you a first generation college student? (In other words, are you the first person in your immediate family to go to college?)

Yes

No

7. How likely are you to participate in Greek Life this coming academic year?

Never

Not Likely

Possibly

Very Likely

Definitely

Don't Know/Not Sure

8. How likely are you to participate in NCAA collegiate athletics this coming academic year?

Never

Not Likely

Possibly

Very Likely

Definitely

Don't Know/Not Sure

9. Have you been prescribed a medication in the last 6 months?

Yes

No

I don't know

I cannot remember

Decline to state

10. Why do you believe that some college students use prescription drugs recreationally? Please rank the following choices from most influential ("4") to least influential ("1").

To relax

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 33

To have fun
To fit in
To party
Other: Write-in

11. Why do you believe that some college students use prescription drugs recreationally? Please rank the following choices from most influential (“4”) to least influential (“1”), just as you did in the previous question.

To sleep
To study
To stay awake
To get high
To manage stress
To deal with addiction
To deal with depression or anxiety
Other: Write-in

12. In your opinion, how risky is it to a person’s body to use prescription drugs recreationally?
Not at all Risky
Risky
Extremely Risky
Don’t know/Not Sure

13. When using prescription drugs recreationally, how risky is it to a person’s body to combine prescription drugs and alcohol?
Not at all Risky
Risky
Extremely Risky
Don’t know/Not Sure

14. When using prescription drugs recreationally, how risky is it to a person's body to combine prescription drugs and caffeine?
Not at all Risky
Risky
Extremely Risky
Don’t know/Not Sure

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 34

15. When using prescription drugs recreationally, how risky is it to a person's body to combine more than one prescription drug at a time?

Not at all Risky

Risky

Extremely Risky

Don't know/Not Sure

16. In your opinion, what percent of Cal Poly students use prescription drugs recreationally?

Less than 5%

6-10%

11-20%

21-30%

31-40%

41-50%

51%+

17. In your opinion, how difficult do you think it would be to obtain prescription medication on or around campus without a prescription?

Extremely difficult

Difficult

Neither easy or difficult

Easy

Extremely Easy

18. In your opinion, how likely is it that a student at Cal Poly will experience pressure from others to use prescription drugs recreationally?

Not at all Likely

Likely

Extremely Likely

19. How addictive are Adderall and other ADHD medications?

Not Addictive

Somewhat Addictive

Highly Addictive

I don't know

20. How addictive are Xanax and other anti-anxiety medications?

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 35

Not Addictive
Somewhat Addictive
Highly Addictive
I don't know

21. How addictive are prescription painkillers such as Vicodin or Oxycontin?

Not Addictive
Somewhat Addictive
Highly Addictive
I don't know

22. Which of the following best describes the term “drug use”?

Taking the proper dosage of a medication at the right times in a day or week in which it is prescribed or advised.

Crushing up a pill (that was meant to be taking orally) in order for it to be snorted through your nose.

Your teammate tore their ACL during last season’s game against UCSB. You notice she has been taking at least 5 to 6 painkillers everyday for the last 6 weeks.

23. Which of the following best describes the term “drug abuse”?

Taking the proper dosage of a medication at the right times in a day or week in which it is prescribed or advised.

Crushing up a pill (that was meant to be taking orally) in order for it to be snorted through your nose.

Your teammate tore their ACL during last season’s game against UCSB. You notice she has been taking at least 5 to 6 painkillers everyday for the last 6 weeks.

24. Which of the following best describes the term “drug misuse”?

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 36

Taking the proper dosage of a medication at the right times in a day or week in which it is prescribed or advised.

Crushing up a pill (that was meant to be taking orally) in order for it to be snorted through your nose.

Your teammate tore their ACL during last season's game against UCSB. You notice she has been taking at least 5 to 6 painkillers everyday for the last 6 weeks.

For questions 25-29, please answer to the best of your ability. Please do not look up the answer and please select all that may apply.

25. Kristen's mom leaves her Valium (anti-anxiety medication) on the passenger seat of her car. When Kristen takes her car to the store, she gets pulled over for rolling through a stop sign. What is the penalty to **KRISTEN** for having her mother's prescription medication in the car?

No Penalty

Infraction charge (punishable by fine)

Misdemeanor charge (punishable by jail time)

Felony charge (punishable by prison time)

Other (please specify)

I don't know

26. Mike sold his Zoloft (an anti-depressant medication) to a student, then that student decided to mix the Zoloft with other drugs, causing them to die from complications. What is the penalty to **MIKE** for providing the student his prescription medication?

No Penalty

Infraction charge (punishable by fine)

Misdemeanor charge (punishable by jail time)

Felony charge (punishable by prison time)

Other (please specify)

27. Tom's roommate is struggling studying for a big exam. He shares one of his ADHD pills with his roommate. What is the penalty to **TOM** for providing his prescription medication to his friend?

No Penalty

Infraction charge (punishable by fine)

Misdemeanor charge (punishable by jail time)

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 37

Felony charge (punishable by prison time)

Other (please specify)

28. Kyle was prescribed a painkiller for a knee surgery and kept the leftover pills. He then sold them to John in order to make a profit. What is the penalty to **JOHN** for buying prescription medication from Kyle?

No Penalty

Infraction charge (punishable by fine)

Misdemeanor charge (punishable by jail time)

Felony charge (punishable by prison time)

Other (please specify)

29. Sarah has been studying for 6 hours straight because she took a 25 mg Adderall pill (ADHD medication). She decides she deserves to go out drinking with her friends. How harmful is this for **SARAH's** health?

Very harmful

Moderately harmful

No opinion

Not harmful

I don't know

30. In your opinion, does using prescription drugs in ways other than prescribed (injecting, smoking, orally, anally, intranasally), have greater or lesser effects on the body?

Greater effect

Lesser effect

Equal effect

Depends on the drug type

Unknown

31. If an individual who has a prescription for a drug and takes more than the dosage prescribed, how much of the prescribed amount would you consider abuse?

Twice the prescribed dosage

3 times the prescribed dosage

4 times the prescribed dosage

5 times the prescribed dosage or more

All of the above

None of the Above

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 38

32. How harmful do you believe abusing prescription drugs are to the body?

- Very harmful
- Moderately harmful
- Little to no harm

33. In your opinion, is the recreational use of illegal drugs more, less, or equally harmful to the body than the recreational use of prescription drugs?

- More harmful
- Less harmful
- Equally harmful
- Not harmful at all

34. How confident are you in your ability to explain concepts of prescription drugs to others?

- Not at all confident
- Moderately confident
- Very confident
- Unsure

35. If you were presented with the opportunity to use prescription drugs recreationally, how confident are you in your ability to refuse?

- Not at all confident
- Moderately confident
- Very confident
- Unsure

Appendix C: Control Group Post-treatment Questionnaire

1. To enable us to match your post-test response to your pre-test responses, please input your unique code. It should be made up of the last 3 digits of your phone number, your mother's first and last name initials, and your shoe size.

For example, 981JD7.0

2. Did you attend class on the day of the prescription drug presentation?

3. How likely are you to participate in Greek Life this coming academic year?

Never

Not Likely

Possibly

Very Likely

Definitely

Don't Know/Not Sure

4. Have you been prescribed a medication in the last 6 months?

Yes

No

I don't know

I cannot remember

Decline to state

5. Why do you believe that some college students use prescription drugs recreationally? Please rank the following choices from most influential ("4") to least influential ("1").

To relax

To have fun

To fit in

To party

Other: Write-in

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 40

6. Why do you believe that some college students use prescription drugs recreationally? Please rank the following choices from most influential (“4”) to least influential (“1”), just as you did in the previous question.

To sleep

To study

To stay awake

To get high

To manage stress

To deal with addiction

To deal with depression or anxiety

Other: Write-in

7. In your opinion, how risky is it to a person’s body to use prescription drugs recreationally?

Not at all Risky

Risky

Extremely Risky

Don’t know/Not Sure

8. When using prescription drugs recreationally, how risky is it to a person’s body to combine prescription drugs and alcohol?

Not at all Risky

Risky

Extremely Risky

Don’t know/Not Sure

9. When using prescription drugs recreationally, how risky is it to a person's body to combine prescription drugs and caffeine?

Not at all Risky

Risky

Extremely Risky

Don’t know/Not Sure

10. When using prescription drugs recreationally, how risky is it to a person’s body to combine more than one prescription drug at a time?

Not at all Risky

Risky

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 41

Extremely Risky

Don't know/Not Sure

11. In your opinion, what percent of Cal Poly students use prescription drugs recreationally?

Less than 5%

6-10%

11-20%

21-30%

31-40%

41-50%

51%+

12. In your opinion, how difficult do you think it would be to obtain prescription medication on or around campus without a prescription?

Extremely difficult

Difficult

Neither easy or difficult

Easy

Extremely Easy

13. In your opinion, how likely is it that a student at Cal Poly will experience pressure from others to use prescription drugs recreationally?

Not at all Likely

Likely

Extremely Likely

14. How addictive are Adderall and other ADHD medications?

Not Addictive

Somewhat Addictive

Highly Addictive

I don't know

15. How addictive are Xanax and other anti-anxiety medications?

Not Addictive

Somewhat Addictive

Highly Addictive

I don't know

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 42

16. How addictive are prescription painkillers such as Vicodin or Oxycontin?

Not Addictive

Somewhat Addictive

Highly Addictive

I don't know

17. Which of the following best describes the term “drug use”?

Taking the proper dosage of a medication at the right times in a day or week in which it is prescribed or advised.

Crushing up a pill (that was meant to be taking orally) in order for it to be snorted through your nose.

Your teammate tore their ACL during last season’s game against UCSB. You notice she has been taking at least 5 to 6 painkillers everyday for the last 6 weeks.

18. Which of the following best describes the term “drug abuse”?

Taking the proper dosage of a medication at the right times in a day or week in which it is prescribed or advised.

Crushing up a pill (that was meant to be taking orally) in order for it to be snorted through your nose.

Your teammate tore their ACL during last season’s game against UCSB. You notice she has been taking at least 5 to 6 painkillers everyday for the last 6 weeks.

19. Which of the following best describes the term “drug misuse”?

Taking the proper dosage of a medication at the right times in a day or week in which it is prescribed or advised.

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 43

Crushing up a pill (that was meant to be taking orally) in order for it to be snorted through your nose.

Your teammate tore their ACL during last season's game against UCSB. You notice she has been taking at least 5 to 6 painkillers everyday for the last 6 weeks.

For questions 20-23, please answer to the best of your ability. Please do not look up the answer and please select all that may apply.

20. Kristen's mom leaves her Valium (anti-anxiety medication) on the passenger seat of her car. When Kristen takes her car to the store, she gets pulled over for rolling through a stop sign. What is the penalty to **KRISTEN** for having her mother's prescription medication in the car?

No Penalty

Infraction charge (punishable by fine)

Misdemeanor charge (punishable by jail time)

Felony charge (punishable by prison time)

Other (please specify)

I don't know

21. Mike sold his Zoloft (an anti-depressant medication) to a student, then that student decided to mix the Zoloft with other drugs, causing them to die from complications. What is the penalty to **MIKE** for providing the student his prescription medication?

No Penalty

Infraction charge (punishable by fine)

Misdemeanor charge (punishable by jail time)

Felony charge (punishable by prison time)

Other (please specify)

22. Tom's roommate is struggling studying for a big exam. He shares one of his ADHD pills with his roommate. What is the penalty to **TOM** for providing his prescription medication to his friend?

No Penalty

Infraction charge (punishable by fine)

Misdemeanor charge (punishable by jail time)

Felony charge (punishable by prison time)

Other (please specify)

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 44

23. Kyle was prescribed a painkiller for a knee surgery and kept the leftover pills. He then sold them to John in order to make a profit. What is the penalty to **JOHN** for buying prescription medication from Kyle?

No Penalty

Infraction charge (punishable by fine)

Misdemeanor charge (punishable by jail time)

Felony charge (punishable by prison time)

Other (please specify)

24. Sarah has been studying for 6 hours straight because she took a 25 mg Adderall pill (ADHD medication). She decides she deserves to go out drinking with her friends. How harmful is this for **SARAH's** health?

Very harmful

Moderately harmful

No opinion

Not harmful

I don't know

25. In your opinion, does using prescription drugs in ways other than prescribed (injecting, smoking, orally, anally, intranasally), have greater or lesser effects on the body?

Greater effect

Lesser effect

Equal effect

Depends on the drug type

Unknown

26. If an individual who has a prescription for a drug and takes more than the dosage prescribed, how much of the prescribed amount would you consider abuse?

Twice the prescribed dosage

3 times the prescribed dosage

4 times the prescribed dosage

5 times the prescribed dosage or more

All of the above

None of the Above

27. How harmful do you believe abusing prescription drugs are to the body?

Very harmful

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 45

Moderately harmful

Little to no harm

28. In your opinion, is the recreational use of illegal drugs more, less, or equally harmful to the body than the recreational use of prescription drugs?

More harmful

Less harmful

Equally harmful

Not harmful at all

29. How confident are you in your ability to explain concepts of prescription drugs to others?

Not at all confident

Moderately confident

Very confident

Unsure

30. If you were presented with the opportunity to use prescription drugs recreationally, how confident are you in your ability to refuse?

Not at all confident

Moderately confident

Very confident

Unsure

Appendix D: Treatment Group Post-treatment Questionnaire

Post-Intervention Survey Questions

1. To enable us to match your post-test response to your pre-test responses, please input your unique code. It should be made up of the last 3 digits of your phone number, your mother's first and last name initials, and your shoe size.

For example, 981JD7.0

2. Did you attend class on the day of the prescription drug presentation?

3. How likely are you to participate in Greek Life this coming academic year?

Never

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 46

Not Likely

Possibly

Very Likely

Definitely

Don't Know/Not Sure

4. Have you been prescribed a medication in the last 6 months?

Yes

No

I don't know

I cannot remember

Decline to state

5. Why do you believe that some college students use prescription drugs recreationally? Please rank the following choices from most influential ("4") to least influential ("1").

To relax

To have fun

To fit in

To party

Other: Write-in

6. Why do you believe that some college students use prescription drugs recreationally? Please rank the following choices from most influential ("4") to least influential ("1"), just as you did in the previous question.

To sleep

To study

To stay awake

To get high

To manage stress

To deal with addiction

To deal with depression or anxiety

Other: Write-in

7. In your opinion, how risky is it to a person's body to use prescription drugs recreationally?

Not at all Risky

Risky

Extremely Risky

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 47

Don't know/Not Sure

8. When using prescription drugs recreationally, how risky is it to a person's body to combine prescription drugs and alcohol?

Not at all Risky

Risky

Extremely Risky

Don't know/Not Sure

9. When using prescription drugs recreationally, how risky is it to a person's body to combine prescription drugs and caffeine?

Not at all Risky

Risky

Extremely Risky

Don't know/Not Sure

10. When using prescription drugs recreationally, how risky is it to a person's body to combine more than one prescription drug at a time?

Not at all Risky

Risky

Extremely Risky

Don't know/Not Sure

11. In your opinion, what percent of Cal Poly students use prescription drugs recreationally?

Less than 5%

6-10%

11-20%

21-30%

31-40%

41-50%

51%+

12. In your opinion, how difficult do you think it would be to obtain prescription medication on or around campus without a prescription?

Extremely difficult

Difficult

Neither easy or difficult

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 48

Easy

Extremely Easy

13. In your opinion, how likely is it that a student at Cal Poly will experience pressure from others to use prescription drugs recreationally?

Not at all Likely

Likely

Extremely Likely

14. How addictive are Adderall and other ADHD medications?

Not Addictive

Somewhat Addictive

Highly Addictive

I don't know

15. How addictive are Xanax and other anti-anxiety medications?

Not Addictive

Somewhat Addictive

Highly Addictive

I don't know

16. How addictive are prescription painkillers such as Vicodin or Oxycontin?

Not Addictive

Somewhat Addictive

Highly Addictive

I don't know

17. Which of the following best describes the term “drug use”?

Taking the proper dosage of a medication at the right times in a day or week in which it is prescribed or advised.

Crushing up a pill (that was meant to be taking orally) in order for it to be snorted through your nose.

Your teammate tore their ACL during last season’s game against UCSB. You notice she has been taking at least 5 to 6 painkillers everyday for the last 6 weeks.

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 49

18. Which of the following best describes the term “drug abuse”?

Taking the proper dosage of a medication at the right times in a day or week in which it is prescribed or advised.

Crushing up a pill (that was meant to be taking orally) in order for it to be snorted through your nose.

Your teammate tore their ACL during last season’s game against UCSB. You notice she has been taking at least 5 to 6 painkillers everyday for the last 6 weeks.

19. Which of the following best describes the term “drug misuse”?

Taking the proper dosage of a medication at the right times in a day or week in which it is prescribed or advised.

Crushing up a pill (that was meant to be taking orally) in order for it to be snorted through your nose.

Your teammate tore their ACL during last season’s game against UCSB. You notice she has been taking at least 5 to 6 painkillers everyday for the last 6 weeks.

For questions 20-23, please answer to the best of your ability. Please do not look up the answer and please select all that may apply.

20. Kristen’s mom leaves her Valium (anti-anxiety medication) on the passenger seat of her car. When Kristen takes her car to the store, she gets pulled over for rolling through a stop sign. What is the penalty to **KRISTEN** for having her mother’s prescription medication in the car?

No Penalty

Infraction charge (punishable by fine)

Misdemeanor charge (punishable by jail time)

Felony charge (punishable by prison time)

Other (please specify)

I don’t know

21. Mike sold his Zoloft (an anti-depressant medication) to a student, then that student decided to mix the Zoloft with other drugs, causing them to die from complications. What is the penalty to **MIKE** for providing the student his prescription medication?

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 50

No Penalty

Infraction charge (punishable by fine)

Misdemeanor charge (punishable by jail time)

Felony charge (punishable by prison time)

Other (please specify)

22. Tom's roommate is struggling studying for a big exam. He shares one of his ADHD pills with his roommate. What is the penalty to **TOM** for providing his prescription medication to his friend?

No Penalty

Infraction charge (punishable by fine)

Misdemeanor charge (punishable by jail time)

Felony charge (punishable by prison time)

Other (please specify)

23. Kyle was prescribed a painkiller for a knee surgery and kept the leftover pills. He then sold them to John in order to make a profit. What is the penalty to **JOHN** for buying prescription medication from Kyle?

No Penalty

Infraction charge (punishable by fine)

Misdemeanor charge (punishable by jail time)

Felony charge (punishable by prison time)

Other (please specify)

24. Sarah has been studying for 6 hours straight because she took a 25 mg Adderall pill (ADHD medication). She decides she deserves to go out drinking with her friends. How harmful is this for **SARAH's** health?

Very harmful

Moderately harmful

No opinion

Not harmful

I don't know

25. In your opinion, does using prescription drugs in ways other than prescribed (injecting, smoking, orally, anally, intranasally), have greater or lesser effects on the body?

Greater effect

Lesser effect

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 51

Equal effect

Depends on the drug type

Unknown

26. If an individual who has a prescription for a drug and takes more than the dosage prescribed, how much of the prescribed amount would you consider abuse?

Twice the prescribed dosage

3 times the prescribed dosage

4 times the prescribed dosage

5 times the prescribed dosage or more

All of the above

None of the Above

27. How harmful do you believe abusing prescription drugs are to the body?

Very harmful

Moderately harmful

Little to no harm

28. In your opinion, is the recreational use of illegal drugs more, less, or equally harmful to the body than the recreational use of prescription drugs?

More harmful

Less harmful

Equally harmful

Not harmful at all

29. How confident are you in your ability to explain concepts of prescription drugs to others?

Not at all confident

Moderately confident

Very confident

Unsure

30. If you were presented with the opportunity to use prescription drugs recreationally, how confident are you in your ability to refuse?

Not at all confident

Moderately confident

Very confident

Unsure


EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 52

31. Did you attend class on the day of the Prescription Drug Curriculum was administered?

Yes

No

Appendix D: Intervention



To view entire Prescription Drug Intervention refer to the provided link:

http://prezi.com/n98cmuxgw3zf/?utm_campaign=share&utm_medium=copy

Appendix E: Statistical Tests

Analysis Results

Regression Analysis: PostTest Risk versus PreTest Risk, TreatRisk

The regression equation is


$$\text{PostTest Risk} = 13.3 + 0.412 \text{ PreTest Risk} + 0.622 \text{ TreatRisk}$$

Predictor	Coef	SE Coef	T	P	VIF
Constant	13.299	1.939	6.86	0.000	
PreTest Risk	0.41189	0.07498	5.49	0.000	1.017
TreatRisk	0.6222	0.5320	1.17	0.244	1.017

S = 3.21140 R-Sq = 16.9% R-Sq(adj) = 15.8%

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Regression	2	313.45	156.72	15.20	0.000
Residual Error	149	1536.65	10.31		
Total	151	1850.10			



No significant association between treatment and post-test score in Risk ($p = .244$), when adjusting for pre-test score.

Examine Impact of 90-Minute Curriculum Intervention on Knowledge

Regression Analysis: PostTest Know versus PreTest Know, TreatKnow

The regression equation is

$$\text{PostTest Know} = 6.08 + 0.263 \text{ PreTest Know} + 1.37 \text{ TreatKnow}$$


EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 55

Predictor	Coef	SE Coef	T	P	VIF
Constant	6.0817	0.5412	11.24	0.000	
PreTest Know	0.26254	0.06242	4.21	0.000	1.004
TreatKnow	1.3745	0.3986	3.45	0.001	1.004

S = 2.42179 R-Sq = 17.4% R-Sq(adj) = 16.3%

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Regression	2	184.311	92.156	15.71	0.000
Residual Error	149	873.892	5.865		
Total	151	1058.204			



Significant positive association between treatment and post-test score in Knowledge ($p = .001$), when adjusting for pre-test score.

Examine Impact of 90-Minute Curriculum Intervention on Self-Efficacy

Regression Analysis: PostTest Self versus PreTest Self, TreatSelf

The regression equation is

$$\text{PostTest Self} = 5.35 + 0.493 \text{ PreTest Self} + 2.28 \text{ TreatSelf}$$


Predictor	Coef	SE Coef	T	P	VIF
Constant	5.3491	0.9814	5.45	0.000	
PreTest Self	0.49312	0.06451	7.64	0.000	1.000
TreatSelf	2.2836	0.4145	5.51	0.000	1.000

S = 2.52282 R-Sq = 37.1% R-Sq(adj) = 36.3%

Analysis of Variance

EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 56


Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
Regression	2	559.67	279.83	43.97	0.000
Residual Error	149	948.33	6.36		
Total	151	1507.99			



Significant positive association between treatment and post-test score in Self-Efficacy ($p < .001$), when adjusting for pre-test score.


EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A 57

Appendix H: Research Poster



Evaluation of the Effectiveness of a Prescription Drug Abuse Prevention Curriculum

Danielle Colangelo, Alexis Sepulveda, and Kristine Jankovitz PhD.
Kinesiology Department, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA



Abstract

The recreational use of prescription drugs has been increasingly common in university communities. Students may abuse prescription drugs to get high, study longer or sleep (National Institutes of Health). A survey to assess Cal Poly students recreational use of indicated that students may be at risk for negative consequences (Colangelo & Sepulveda, 2015). The assessment showed 30.7% of students had abused prescription drugs in the last six months and that upperclassmen were more likely to abuse than first and second year students. This data suggests that intervention may be useful. A non-equivalent control group design was used to assess the effectiveness of a prescription drug abuse prevention curriculum for college students. Students enrolled in a general education health course during the Fall of 2015 participated in the study. The treatment group (n=88) received a classroom-based intervention designed to include more information specific to prescription drug abuse. The control group (n=64) received the traditional intervention covering general information about drug abuse. The purpose of the study was to determine which intervention was most effective in influencing knowledge, perceptions of risk, and self-efficacy to prevent prescription drug abuse. Both groups were administered a pre-test and a post-test questionnaire online. The ANCOVA showed a significant positive association of the treatment intervention for: Knowledge of Prescription Drug Abuse (p<0.001) and Self Efficacy for Preventing Prescription Drug Abuse (p<0.000). However, there was no significant association between treatment post-test scores for Perceived Risk of Prescription Drug Abuse (p=0.224).

Figure 1. Class Standings for Treatment and Control Groups





Figure 2. Gender of Treatment and Control Groups



Results

An ANCOVA was used to analyze results. The treatment group showed a significant increase in scores for Knowledge (p<0.001) and Self-Efficacy (p<0.000), but did not have a significant effect on Perception of Risk (p<0.044), as seen in Figure 4. Figure 5 shows the three most influential reasons for recreational prescription drug use as ranked by the treatment and control groups.

Table 1. Regression Analysis for Knowledge

Pre-Test	Coef	SE	Coef	SE	F	p	VIF
Constant	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.0000			
Female	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Upperclass	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Lowerclass	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
ANOVA							
Sum of Squares	9.761378		9.761378				
df	1		1				
F	14.0175		14.0175				
Sig.	0.0001		0.0001				

*Significant positive association between treatment and post-test scores on KNOWLEDGE when adjusting for pre-test scores.

Table 2. Regression Analysis for Perceived Risk

Pre-Test	Coef	SE	Coef	SE	F	p	VIF
Constant	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.0000			
Female	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Upperclass	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Lowerclass	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
ANOVA							
Sum of Squares	0.0000		0.0000				
df	1		1				
F	0.0000		0.0000				
Sig.	0.9582		0.9582				

*Significant positive association between treatment and post-test scores on PERCEIVED RISK when adjusting for pre-test scores.

Table 3. Regression Analysis for Self-Efficacy

Pre-Test	Coef	SE	Coef	SE	F	p	VIF
Constant	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.0000			
Female	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Upperclass	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Lowerclass	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
ANOVA							
Sum of Squares	11.232262		11.232262				
df	1		1				
F	14.0175		14.0175				
Sig.	0.0001		0.0001				

*Significant positive association between treatment and post-test scores on SELF-EFFICACY when adjusting for pre-test scores.

Figure 4. Descriptive Statistics for Post-Test Scores on SELF-EFFICACY when adjusting for pre-test scores.





Figure 5. Descriptive Statistics for Post-Test Scores on KNOWLEDGE when adjusting for pre-test scores.



Methods

Design: This is a quasiexperimental non-equivalent control group design. Three intact groups of students were randomly selected to the treatment or control groups. All groups completed a pre-test questionnaire, were subject to an intervention, and completed a post-test questionnaire. The results were examined in order to determine if a significant difference existed between the treatment and control groups. Knowledge, Perception of Risk, and Self-Efficacy were the dependent variables. A significant increase in scores between pre- and post-test questionnaires in the treatment group demonstrates the effectiveness of the intervention.


Participants: Subjects were self-selected into three separate sections of a general education health course at Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo offered during the Fall quarter of 2015.

Instruments: Data was collected via online questionnaire using SurveyMonkey.com. The intervention was created using Prezi and presented during a 110 minute class period.

Development of Questionnaires: Two questionnaires, a pre-test questionnaire and a post-test questionnaire, were given to both treatment and control groups. The questionnaires were developed to measure the three dependent variables of Knowledge, Perception of Risk, and Self-Efficacy. The pre-test questionnaire asked questions regarding exclusionary criteria, demographics, and the creation of an identification code in order to later match pre- and post-test responses while maintaining subject anonymity. The responses could then be scored to receive an overall score for each dependent variable, and compared to look for significant differences between pre- and post-test scores.

Development of Intervention: The intervention curriculum was developed with 15 goals and learning objectives in mind. The content aimed to address the three dependent variables. This theory-based curriculum used the Health Belief Model (Fig. 4), the Theory of Planned Behavior (Fig. 5), and the Self-Efficacy Theory (Fig. 6) to promote positive behavior change. The curriculum was designed to be interactive through in-class discussions, anonymous voting, and a worksheet, and used multiple forms of media to generate interest.

Figure 3. Curriculum Overview on Prescription Drug Abuse



Goals

The intervention was created with three main goals in mind.

- Increase Knowledge
- Increase Perception of Risk
- Increase Self-Efficacy

College students in particular need to know about the differences between prescription drug use, abuse, and misuse, the legal consequences of illicit prescription drug use, and the intentions of the prescription drug industry. Cal Poly students must be aware of the dangers of recreational prescription drug use, the prevalence of it on campus, and the negative consequences of consistent abuse and misuse on the body, such as substance abuse disorder. Students that receive the intervention should have increased self-efficacy in resisting peer pressure to use prescription drugs recreationally, and increased confidence in the ability to teach others about the risks and repercussions of recreational prescription drug use.

Conclusion & Next Steps

The curriculum appeared to be effective in increasing students' about prescription drug abuse and self-efficacy to resist prescription drug abuse. It did not increase perception of risk. The descriptive statistics in Fig. 8 show that the treatment group ranked "to study," "to have fun and/or party," and "to fit in" as the top three most influential reasons for recreational prescription drug use. The control group's top three were "to fit in," "to get high," and "to study," in that order. It is clear that these three reasons for abuse and misuse are consistent among both groups. The curriculum was successful in demonstrating the effectiveness of the Self-Efficacy Theory and the Theory of Planned Behavior. The data suggests students would be better at resisting recreational prescription drug use and more knowledgeable about the topic after being exposed to this curriculum.

Future research should examine if either increased knowledge or increased perception of risk has greater effect on college student recreational drug use. Further interventions should focus on decreasing prescription drug use in order to study, have fun and/or party, and get high, as those are consistently the top three reasons for abuse and misuse. General education health course professors may want to incorporate this new intervention into the lesson plan.

References

Colangelo, D., & Sepulveda, A. (2015). Prescription drug abuse: A national survey of college students. *Journal of Substance Use, 10*(1), 1-10. Retrieved August 22, 2015, from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15325019.2015.1051111>

National Institutes of Health. (2010). Prescription drug abuse: A national survey of college students. *Journal of Substance Use, 10*(1), 1-10. Retrieved August 22, 2015, from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15325019.2015.1051111>

Health Belief Model. (2015). The Health Belief Model. Retrieved from <http://www.healthbeliefmodel.com/>

Theory of Planned Behavior. (2015). The Theory of Planned Behavior. Retrieved from <http://www.plannedbehavior.com/>

Self-Efficacy Theory. (2015). Self-Efficacy Theory. Retrieved from <http://www.self-efficacy.com/>

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank the students and professors of KINE 250: Healthy Living for their participation, Kristina Wong for her help with Excel, and Professor Sklar for his statistical aid.