1	8/21/2016- Revised			
2				
3	Temperature-robust neural function from activity dependent ion channel regulation			
4				
5	Timothy O'Leary ¹ and Eve Marder ²			
6				
7	Affiliations			
8	1. Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Trumpington St, Cambridge CB2			
9	1PZ, United Kingdom			
10	2. Volen Center for Complex Systems, Brandeis University, Waltham MA 02454, USA			
11				
12	Email addresses			
13				
14	timothy.oleary@eng.cam.ac.uk			
15	marder@brandeis.edu			
16				
17	Highlights			
18	 Neural activity is generically highly temperature sensitive 			
19	Neurons achieve temperature robustness with highly variable conductance densities			
20	• Feedback regulation shapes variability to permit temperature robust neural activity			
21	Robustness to global perturbations constrains cellular regulation mechanisms			
22				
23	eTOC summary			
24	All biochemical processes, including neuronal activity, are temperature sensitive. Yet many			
25	animal species experience large temperature fluctuations. O'Leary and Marder show how a			
26	simple regulatory control mechanism can ensure temperature robust neural activity by			
27	balancing expression of multiple, temperature dependent ion channel types.			
28				
29				
30				

31 Summary

32 Many species of cold-blooded animals experience substantial and rapid fluctuations in body 33 temperature. Because biological processes are differentially temperature-dependent, it is 34 difficult to understand how physiological processes in such animals can be temperature-robust. [1-8]. Experiments have shown that core neural circuits such as the pyloric circuit of the crab 35 36 Stomatogastric Ganglion (STG) exhibit robust neural activity in spite of large (20 °C) temperature 37 fluctuations [3, 5, 7, 8]. This robustness is surprising because the temperature dependencies of 38 ionic currents in the STG are not tuned [7]. This is apparently paradoxical because: a) each 39 neuron has many different kinds of ion channels with different temperature dependencies $(Q_{10}s)$ 40 that interact in a highly nonlinear way to produce firing patterns; b) across animals there is substantial variability in conductance densities that nonetheless produce almost identical firing 41 properties. The high variability in conductance densities in these neurons [9, 10] appears to 42 43 contradict the possibility that robustness is achieved through precise tuning of key temperature-44 dependent processes. In this paper we develop a theoretical explanation for how temperature robustness can emerge from a simple regulatory control mechanism that is compatible with 45 46 highly variable conductance densities [11-13]. The resulting model suggests a general 47 mechanism for how nervous systems and excitable tissues can exploit degenerate relationships 48 among temperature-sensitive processes to achieve robust function.

49

50 Results

51 Temperature sensitivity of physiological processes such voltage-dependent ion channel 52 gating are described by an approximate, empirical measure, the Q₁₀, defined as the fold-change 53 per 10 °C from some reference temperature:

$$\frac{R_T}{R_{\rm ref}} = Q_{10}^{(T-T_{\rm ref})/10} \quad (1)$$

Here R_T is the rate (or magnitude) of the process at temperature T and R_{ref} is the reference value at temperature T_{ref} . A Q₁₀ of 1.0 therefore means that a process is temperatureindependent. Experimentally, Q₁₀s for single-channel conductance tend to lie in the range of 1.2-1.5. On the other hand, Q₁₀s for ion channel gating or inactivation are typically in the range 2.0 – 4.0 [14], meaning that the rate of channel opening, for example, can speed up more than twofold per 10 °C increase. 60 Activity in single neurons and circuits results from the interaction of many nonlinear 61 voltage-gated conductances, and is therefore generically very sensitive to changes in kinetic 62 properties of conductances [4]. This is evident in warm-blooded homeotherms such as humans, 63 where changes in brain temperature of only a few degrees can result in seizures, loss of 64 consciousness or death. Figure 1A illustrates temperature sensitivity in model pacemaker 65 neurons that have been assigned random Q_{10} s over a realistic range (2 - 4). Each neuron has the 66 same set of 8 conductances with fixed densities. At the reference temperature (10 °C) the 67 neurons show identical bursting activity (green traces in Figure 1A). However, this activity is 68 severely disrupted as temperature is varied from 5-25 $^{\circ}$ C, with each different assignment of Q_{10} s 69 causing qualitatively different changes. In contrast, the biological data reproduced in Figure 1B 70 shows temperature robust pacemaker activity in isolated PD cells of the STG [3]. Notably, the 71 duty cycle of these neurons (the percentage of time the neuron is firing during a burst cycle), 72 which is important for coordinating relative muscle contraction timing, is tightly preserved even 73 though the cycle frequency increases with temperature. Pacemaker duty cycle robustness, in 74 concert with synaptic and intrinsic mechanisms of the follower cells [7], allows temperature 75 compensation of phase relationships in the wider circuit.

76 Together with other studies [7, 15] the extreme sensitivity of the models in Figure 1A 77 shows that temperature robust behavior is not expected for ion channel Q₁₀s selected from a 78 biologically realistic range. Therefore, some tuning of either the Q₁₀s or the channel densities 79 must occur in temperature-robust biological systems. It is conceivable that ion channel Q₁₀s can 80 be tuned on an evolutionary timescale or on short timescales as a result of protein modification. On the other hand, channel densities are known to be under regulatory control [11-13] and 81 82 biological data show that conductance expression is highly variable in neurons, including the 83 pacemaker cells of the STG (Figure 1C). This is consistent with theoretical studies that show 84 there are many possible combinations of neuronal parameters consistent with a given type of 85 activity [16-20], suggesting that neurons can somehow find entire families of temperature 86 robust combinations of channel densities.

87

88 Temperature robustness via channel density regulation

Consider a physiological property, *P*, of a neuron – this could be spike frequency, burst
duty cycle or any other relevant property. Temperature robustness of *P* arises when the
derivative of *P* with respect to temperature, *T*, is close to zero over some temperature range:

$$\frac{dP}{dT}\approx 0$$

- 92 For convenience we restrict attention to a single compartment neuron model with fixed
- 93 capacitance. In this case, all physiological properties depend on the dynamics of the ionic
- 94 currents in the cell. We can thus write the temperature dependence of *P* in terms of the
- 95 temperature-dependence of each current, I_i , using the chain rule [2, 21, 22]:

$$\frac{dP}{dT} = \sum_{i} \frac{dP}{dI_i} \frac{dI_i}{dT}$$

- 96 The contribution of each current, I_i , to P is weighted by the corresponding channel density, \bar{g}_i .
- 97 Thus,

$$\frac{dP}{dT} = \sum_{i} \bar{g}_{i} \frac{dP}{dx_{i}} \frac{dx_{i}}{dT}$$
(2)

98 where x_i is the unit current due to each channel type (so that $I_i = \bar{g}_i x_i$.) Informally, this 99 relationship can be summarized as:

temperature dependence of property $P = \sum_{\text{all currents}} (\begin{array}{c} \text{expression level of} \\ \text{current } i \end{array}) \times (\begin{array}{c} \text{change in } P \text{ due to temperature} \\ \text{dependence of current } i \end{array})$

100 Within some range of the \bar{g}_i , each current affects membrane potential dynamics to either 101 increase or decrease property P (or it has no effect, in which case it is irrelevant). Therefore, the 102 dP/dx_i terms in (2) are either positive or negative. The dx_i/dT terms depend only on the Q₁₀ s 103 corresponding to current i, which are always positive and monotonic. Re-writing equation (2) 104 and setting dP/dT = 0, gives:

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \bar{g}_i \left| \frac{dP}{dx_i} \right| \frac{dx_i}{dT} - \sum_{i=n-k+1}^n \bar{g}_i \left| \frac{dP}{dx_i} \right| \frac{dx_i}{dT}$$
(3)

Here we have split the currents according to whether dP/dx_i is positive or negative. For a large number, n, of different conductances with a mixture of positive and negative contributions (1 < k < n), condition (3) is easily satisfied at a single temperature by solving for \bar{g}_i . If, in addition, the dP/dx_i terms are sufficiently smooth, P will be approximately temperature invariant over an extended temperature range. Most importantly, if (3) is satisfied for one set of conductance

- 110 densities, $\{\bar{q}_i\}$, then linearly scaled densities $\{\alpha \bar{q}_i\}$ also satisfy (3), where α is a scaling factor.
- 111 This shows that a single temperature robust solution can extend to entire families of
- temperature-robust solutions with linearly correlated conductance densities.

113 Intuitively, the above argument says that temperature robustness is achieved when the 114 temperature dependencies of multiple processes that negatively and positively affect P 115 approximately cancel. This approximate cancelling has been called 'antagonistic balance' [2, 22]. 116 The important point to take from equation (2) is that the weighting of each contribution to overall temperature dependence is controlled by conductance density, equivalently, the 117 118 expression levels of channel proteins in a biological neuron. Clearly, non-permissive situations 119 can exist, for example if a property depends on only one gating variable of a temperature 120 sensitive conductance.

- 121 Equation (3) says that if a property is influenced positively and negatively by multiple
- 122 temperature-sensitive currents, then temperature robustness can be achieved by controlling
- 123 conductance densities alone. Furthermore, whenever such solutions exist, linearly correlated
- temperature-robust sets of conductances will also exist. In neurons that express many types of
- 125 conductance, there will generally be many positive and negative contributions to a given
- 126 property, making equation (3) easier to satisfy. Together, this shows that regulation that gives
- 127 linearly correlated conductances can be sufficient for temperature robustness.

128 Existence of temperature robust channel density configurations in models with mismatched Q₁₀s

- 129 We examined the temperature robustness of duty cycle (fraction of cycle period that the neuron
- is active) in model bursting pacemaker neurons. Duty cycle is important for coordinating
- 131 rhythms in central pattern generating circuits, such as in the pyloric circuit of the STG.
- 132 Moreover, temperature robustness of this property is far from trivial to achieve, as Figure 1A
- illustrates.
- 134 To provide an initial set of candidate models, we randomly sampled conductance densities as
- 135 well as Q₁₀s in a single compartment conductance based model (Figure 2A). For each sample, all
- 136 of the voltage-dependent gating variables as well as the unitary conductances and calcium
- 137 dynamics were assigned random Q_{10} values over a realistic range. $Q_{10}s$ for each gating variable
- 138 were randomised uniformly in the range ($1 < Q_{10} < 4$) and unitary conductances in the range ($1 < Q_{10} < 4$)

139 Q₁₀ < 1.5). As expected, most (94%) of the 116,400 models we sampled failed to maintain
140 bursting activity over a temperature range (5-25 °C).

However, among the 7013 models that did maintain bursting activity across temperature, 560 141 142 (0.5%) of the models maintained duty cycle within a 5% range. The distribution of duty cycle variation in all models over 5-25 °C is shown in Figure 2B, along with the distribution of variation 143 144 in cycle period. Notably, period is less temperature-robust than duty cycle in these models. Biologically, most neurons and neural circuits, including those found in the pyloric circuit exhibit 145 increases in frequency of bursting or spiking as temperature increases [6-8]. Interestingly, the 146 147 distribution in duty cycle total variation peaks at 10.5%, very close to the biologically observed 148 value of 13% in isolated pacemaker neurons of the crab pyloric rhythm [3]. Thus, in a neuron 149 with only 8 conductances it is relatively easy to find combinations of Q₁₀s and conductance 150 densities that are temperature robust.

151 Which conductance parameters contribute to duty cycle robustness? Figure 2C shows 152 histograms of Q₁₀ values for which temperature robust bursting (top panel) and temperature robust duty cycle (bottom panel) exist. Permissible Q₁₀s for bursting are broadly distributed, 153 154 indicating that individual Q_{10} values are relatively unimportant. Some $Q_{10}s$ (colored red) show detectable deviations from uniform distributions, indicating that bursting is sensitive to the 155 156 corresponding kinetic parameter. These distributions did not alter markedly when we selected 157 parameter sets with robust duty cycles (Fig 2C, lower panel), except for the calcium-dependent 158 potassium conductance, (g_{KCa}) , which favored lower Q_{10} values. Therefore, in this model, many 159 combinations of conductances can offset temperature-dependent deviations in kinetics as 160 expected from the previous analysis (Equation 3). As reported previously [15], there was no 161 obvious correlation among the parameters of robust models (data not shown).

Although many sets of conductance densities give rise to temperature robust duty cycle, these represent a small fraction of densities that give temperature robustness of a bursting rhythm to begin with, which in turn occupy a small volume of all feasible conductance densities. Moreover, it is clear that a smaller fraction still (solutions toward the left-hand region of the shaded region of Figure 2B) have temperature robust period as well as duty cycle. In this sample, only two parameter sets can maintain both properties within 10%. Thus, robustness to one property imposes a strong constraint on the ability to be robust to additional properties.

The fixed conductance densities of the models in Figure 2 allowed us to construct models that regulate their conductances using activity-dependent feedback. We recently showed [11, 12] how a simple model of gene regulation can be coupled to a single, global activity sensor, such as a putative calcium-activated pathway depicted in Figure 3A. Briefly, the expression rates of each gene, m_i , is proportional to the deviation of calcium concentration, [Ca], from an equilibrium value, Ca_{eq}:

$$\frac{dm_i}{dt} = K_i(\operatorname{Ca}_{eq} - [\operatorname{Ca}]) \tag{4}$$

176 The origin of Ca_{eq} is discussed extensively in [11] and arises when one considers the interaction 177 between calcium-dependent processes that interact to control gene expression. Together, Ca_{ea}, 178 and the expression rate constants, K_i , constitute a regulation parameter set for a model, which 179 is assumed to be fixed for a particular cell type [11, 12]. For example, cells with a constitutively 180 repressed channel gene would have a correspondingly low expression rate. We note that these 181 rates are very slow relative to spikes and calcium oscillations, so these equations effectively 182 average out calcium concentration. Channel densities in the model evolve in proportion to the 183 expression levels of the corresponding genes:

$$\frac{d\bar{g}_i}{dt} = A(m_i - \bar{g}_i) \tag{5}$$

184 where A is some constant representing channel turnover rate. From random initial conditions,

the model settles to a steady-state (ss) in which the channel genes, and thus channel density,

are linearly correlated, as can be seen by integrating equations (4-5) and calculating the

187 approximate ratios of the steady state densities, \bar{g}_i^{ss} :

$$\bar{g}_i^{ss} / \bar{g}_j^{ss} \approx K_i / K_j \tag{6}$$

- 188 further analysis in [11, 12] shows further that this model converges.
- 189 Equation (6) provides a way to estimate regulation parameters from fixed models. We used the
- 190 subpopulation of 560 fixed models in Figure 2 that maintained duty cycle within 5% to derive
- 191 initial guesses for the K_i and the average calcium concentration, Ca_{eq} .

192 Equation (6) is approximate due to nonlinearities between steady-state average calcium and

193 conductance density [11]. We thus sampled regulation parameters in a neighborhood and

subjected the resulting self regulating models to temperature perturbations (400 samples for

each of the 560 candidate parameter sets, 235,600 in total). In this sample, models are not only

required to maintain duty cycle within a 5% range over 5-25 °C, they must also, by necessity,

- 197 maintain average calcium concentration as temperature changes. A fraction (<1%; 2098
- 198 parameter sets) satisfied these criteria and generated self-regulating duty cycle-robust neurons.

199 Figure 3B shows the initial and steady-state conductances of an example self-regulating model

and its corresponding set of assigned Q₁₀ values. Multiple runs of the model generates a

201 population of cells with variable underlying conductances that are linearly correlated [12], as

202 predicted by quation (6). These correlations recapitulate direct measurements of mRNA

expression and conductance densities in identified neurons of the STG [9, 10, 13, 23] (Figure 1C).

204 Figure 3C shows membrane potential traces during acute temperature ramps, for five different 205 neurons indicated in Figure 3B (color coded). Figure 3D quantifies duty cycle robustness with 206 respect to temperature in this population of cells. Scaled membrane potential traces of the top 207 cell in Figure 3C are shown in Figure 3E. The action potential waveform in the scale traces 208 deviates with temperature, indicating temperature induced changes in the gating kinetics of the 209 underlying conductances, which is to be expected given the substantial mismatch among the 210 underlying $Q_{10}s$ (Figure 3B). Nonetheless, this set of regulation parameters, along with the other 211 2098 parameter sets, drives conductance densities toward regions of parameter space where 212 temperature effects are balanced to maintain duty cycle.

213 Discussion

214 While many sets of conductances and Q₁₀s are temperature robust over some range, these 215 represent a very small fraction of a random sampling of parameter space. Indeed, the 216 conductance densities of successful self-regulating models form a very specific slice through parameter space. The general form of the model we present here demonstrates how a simple, 217 218 biologically plausible control rule can allow neurons to land in these spaces of "good solutions" 219 where temperature compensation occurs automatically. The signature of this control rule is 220 found in the tight correlations in channel expression that is seen experimentally in temperature 221 robust neurons. We speculate that over evolutionary timescales, the gene sequences and

222 resulting enzymatic interactions that control gene expression have been shaped to make some 223 organisms, tissues and cells acutely temperature robust by similarly constraining the underlying 224 regulatory balance of multiple temperature-dependent components. Although we have focused 225 on the context of rhythmic neuronal activity that is observed to be robust in crustaceans, the 226 principle of how multiple, degenerate temperature-dependent processes can be co-regulated to 227 ensure robustness likely generalizes. For example, many species of cold-blooded homeotherms 228 need to be robust to acute temperature fluctuations in the nervous system so as to maintain 229 thermal homeostasis through the behaviors they employ that demonstrate their temperature 230 preferences [24]. Even commonly used warm-blooded model organisms, such as rodents, 231 exhibit remarkably robust nervous system function in the face of large temperature fluctuations 232 [25]. What remains an open question is how robustness to one perturbation, in this case 233 temperature, can coexist with robustness to other environmental challenges, each of which will 234 potentially impose a new constraint on the available parameters, and thus on the regulatory 235 mechanisms themselves.

236

237 Experimental Procedures

238 Single compartment pacemaker model neurons were constructed using channel kinetics

239 described in [12]. The models had 7 voltage-dependent conductances: fast sodium (NaV),

transient and slow calcium (CaT, CaS), A-type potassium (KA), calcium-activated potassium

241 (KCa), delayed rectified potassium (Kdr), hyperpolarization-activated mixed cation (Ih) and a

242 leak. Calcium dynamics has a first order decay as described in [12].

243 Temperature dependence was modeled in the time-constants of the channel gating variables,

the maximal conductance and the time-constant of calcium buffering. For example, for a

conductance g with gating variables, m and h, we have standard kinetic equations $g = \bar{g}m^ph^q$;

246 $\tau_m \dot{m} = m_{\infty}(V) - m; \tau_h \dot{h} = h_{\infty}(V) - h$, where \bar{g} is maximal conductance, p, q are gating

247 exponents, τ_x are gating time constants, $x_{\infty}(V)$ are steady-state voltage dependencies and V is

248 membrane potential. The temperature dependence is modeled as $g = R_q(T)\bar{g}m^ph^q$ and

249 $R_m(T)^{-1}\tau_m \dot{m} = m_\infty(V) - m$, (with the same form for *h*), where *T* is temperature (in Kelvin)

and $R_x(T) = Q_{10,x}^{(T-T_{ref})/10}$. In the case of calcium buffering, the corresponding equation is

251 $R_{Ca}(T)^{-1}\tau_{Ca}[Ca] = 0.94I_{Ca} - [Ca] + 0.05$. The coefficient of 0.94 (in units of μ M nF / pA) is a

- 252 geometric factor converting calcium current to concentration assuming the cell is approximated
- as a cylinder of 50 μm in diameter and 400 μm long and the steady-state value of 0.05 (in μm)

254 corresponds to approximate resting cytosolic calcium concentration [12].

- 255 Models that use calcium dependent channel regulation (Figure 3) are exactly as described
- 256 previously [12]. Regulation parameters were chosen as described in the main text. The
- 257 conductance densities, regulation parameters and Q_{10} values for all simulations are given in
- 258 table S1 in the supplemental data. Duty cycle measurements were made using a spike threshold
- of -10mV. Simulation code is available at https://github.com/marderlab/oleary_marder_2016

260 Acknowledgements

- 261 Funding for this work was provided by a Charles A King Trust Fellowship (T.O.) and NIH grants NS
- 262 081013 and NIH 1P01NS079419 (E.M.)

263 Author contributions

264 T.O. conducted research; T.O. and E.M. wrote the paper.

266	Refer	ences
267		
268	1.	Rajan, K., and Abbott, L.F. (2007). Temperature-compensated chemical
269		reactions. Physical Review E, 75(2), 022902.
270	2.	Hong, C.I., and Tyson, J.J. (1997). A proposal for temperature compensation of
271		the circadian rhythm in Drosophila based on dimerization of the per protein.
272		Chronobiology International 14, 521-529.
273	3.	Rinberg, A., Taylor, A.L., and Marder, E. (2013). The effects of temperature on
274		the stability of a neuronal oscillator. PLoS computational biology 9, e1002857.
275	4.	Robertson, R.M., and Money, T.G. (2012). Temperature and neuronal circuit
276		function: compensation, tuning and tolerance. Curr Opin Neurobiol 22, 724-734.
277	5.	Roemschied, F.A., Eberhard, M.J., Schleimer, J.H., Ronacher, B., and Schreiber, S.
278		(2014). Cell-intrinsic mechanisms of temperature compensation in a grasshopper
279		sensory receptor neuron. Elife <i>3</i> , e02078.
280	6.	Soofi, W., Goeritz, M.L., Kispersky, T.J., Prinz, A.A., Marder, E., and Stein, W.
281		(2014). Phase maintenance in a rhythmic motor pattern during temperature
282		changes in vivo. J Neurophysiol 111, 2603-2613.
283	7.	Tang, L., Goeritz, M., Caplan, J., Taylor, A., Fisek, M., and Marder, E. (2010).
284		Precise temperature compensation of phase in a rhythmic motor pattern. PLoS
285		Biol <i>8,</i> e1000469.
286	8.	Tang, L.S., Taylor, A.L., Rinberg, A., and Marder, E. (2012). Robustness of a
287		rhythmic circuit to short- and long-term temperature changes. J Neurosci 32,
288		10075-10085.
289	9.	Schulz, D.J., Goaillard, J.M., and Marder, E. (2006). Variable channel expression in
290		identified single and electrically coupled neurons in different animals. Nat
291		Neurosci <i>9</i> , 356 - 362.
292	10.	Schulz, D.J., Goaillard, J.M., and Marder, E.E. (2007). Quantitative expression
293		profiling of identified neurons reveals cell-specific constraints on highly variable
294		levels of gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104, 13187-13191.
295	11.	O'Leary, T., Williams, A.H., Caplan, J.S., and Marder, E. (2013). Correlations in ion
296		channel expression emerge from homeostatic tuning rules. Proc Natl Acad Sci
297		USA <i>110</i> , E2645-2654.
298	12.	O'Leary, T., Williams, A.H., Franci, A., and Marder, E. (2014). Cell types, network
299		homeostasis, and pathological compensation from a biologically plausible ion
300		channel expression model. Neuron 82, 809-821.
301	13.	Temporal, S., Lett, K.M., and Schulz, D.J. (2014). Activity-dependent feedback
302		regulates correlated ion channel mRNA levels in single identified motor neurons.
303		Curr Biol 24, 1899-1904.
304	14.	Hille, B. (2001). Ion channels of excitable membranes, 3rd ed. Edition, (Sinauer).
305	15.	Caplan, J.S., Williams, A.H., and Marder, E. (2014). Many parameter sets in a
306		multicompartment model oscillator are robust to temperature perturbations. J
307		Neurosci <i>34,</i> 4963-4975.

308	16.	Golowasch, J., Goldman, M.S., Abbott, L.F., and Marder, E. (2002). Failure of
309		averaging in the construction of a conductance-based neuron model. J
310		Neurophysiol <i>87</i> , 1129-1131.
311	17.	Goldman, M.S., Golowasch, J., Marder, E., and Abbott, L.F. (2001). Global
312		structure, robustness, and modulation of neuronal models. J Neurosci 21, 5229-
313		5238.
314	18.	Taylor, A.L., Goaillard, J.M., and Marder, E. (2009). How multiple conductances
315		determine electrophysiological properties in a multicompartment model. J
316		Neurosci <i>29,</i> 5573-5586.
317	19.	Taylor, A.L., Hickey, T.J., Prinz, A.A., and Marder, E. (2006). Structure and
318		visualization of high-dimensional conductance spaces. J Neurophysiol 96, 891-
319		905.
320	20.	Sobie, E.A. (2009). Parameter sensitivity analysis in electrophysiological models
321		using multivariable regression. Biophysical Journal 96, 1264-1274.
322	21.	Hong, C.I., Conrad, E.D., and Tyson, J.J. (2007). A proposal for robust
323		temperature compensation of circadian rhythms. Proceedings of the National
324		Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104, 1195-1200.
325	22.	Ruoff, P., Rensing, L., Kommedal, R., and Mohsenzadeh, S. (1997). Modeling
326		temperature compensation in chemical and biological oscillators. Chronobiology
327		International 14, 499-510.
328	23.	Goaillard, J.M., Taylor, A.L., Schulz, D.J., and Marder, E. (2009). Functional
329		consequences of animal-to-animal variation in circuit parameters. Nat Neurosci
330		12, 1424-1430.
331	24.	Garrity, P. A., Goodman, M. B., Samuel, A. D., and Sengupta, P. (2010). Running
332		hot and cold: behavioral strategies, neural circuits, and the molecular machinery
333		for thermotaxis in C. elegans and Drosophila. Genes & development, 24(21),
334		2365-2382.
335	25.	Moser, E., Mathiesen, I., & Andersen, P. (1993). Association between brain
336		temperature and dentate field potentials in exploring and swimming rats.
337		Science, 259(5099), 1324-1326.
338		
339		
340		
341		

342 Figure Legends

343

Figure 1: Temperature robust neural activity is non-trivial but observed biologically in neuronswith highly variable conductance expression

346 (A) Three example model neurons with identical conductance densities and randomly assigned 347 Q_{10} s for all kinetic parameters (values and ranges in Supplemental Table S1). Conductance 348 densities were chosen to produce bursting pacemaker activity at the reference temperature (green traces). All models are subjected to an identical acute temperature ramp between 5 and 349 350 10 °C and between 10 and 25 °C (blue traces); temperature ramp is shown on the same 351 timescale (red trace). (B) Example traces of a pharmacologically isolated PD pacemaker cell in 352 the STG, subjected to acute changes in temperature, reproduced from [2]. Scale bar spans -75 to 353 -25 mV (vertical) and 1 second (horizontal). (Right) summary measurements of PD duty cycle as 354 a function of temperature across 12 different preparations [1]. (C) Single-cell ion channel gene expression data from PD pacemaker neurons, reproduced from [9]. Units are mRNA copy 355 356 numbers from single cell real-time PCR, normalized to ribosomal RNA. Blue lines are linear fits 357 where significant correlations were found.

358

Figure 2: Many sets of conductance densities can produce temperature robust neurons with mismatched Q₁₀s.

361 (A) Strategy for sampling temperature-robust combinations of channel densities and Q₁₀s. Both 362 channel densities and Q_{10} s were randomly assigned to 116,400 single compartment models, 363 which were then screened to find temperature robust pacemaking activity by measuring duty 364 cycle and burst period during acute temperature ramps (parameters in Supplemental Table S1). 365 (B) Total variation in cycle period and duty cycle over the temperature range 5 - 25 °C for all 366 7013 models that maintained bursting across temperature. Total variation is defined as the 367 difference between maximum and minimum cycle period/duty cycle across the temperature 368 range. Marginal distributions of period variation and duty cycle variation are shown to the top and right of the plots. Yellow shaded region shows the subset of models that maintained duty 369 370 cycle within 5% over the temperature range. (C) (Top panel) Histograms of Q_{10} s for all channel 371 gating variables and maximal conductances, and for calcium buffering time-constant and Q₁₀. 372 For maximal conductances, the horizontal axis ranges from 1.0 to 1.5. For calcium buffer timeconstant the range is 20-100 ms. For all other Q_{10} histograms the range is 1.0 to 4.0. 373

- 374 Distributions that deviate substantially from the original uniform sampling distribution are
- 375 shaded red (Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic > 0.1.) Conductance abbreviations: NaV = fast sodium,
- 376 CaT = transient calcium, CaS = slow calcium, KA = A-type potassium, KCa = calcium-activated
- 377 potasium, Kdr = delayer rectifier potassium, Ih = hyperpolarization-activated mixed cation
- 378 conductance. (Bottom panel) as for Top panel, but for the subset of 560 models that maintained
- 379 duty cycle within 5%, as depicted in yellow shaded region of (B).
- 380

Figure 3. An example of a self-regulating population of model neurons that establishtemperature-robust sets of conductance densities

- 383 (A) Cartoon of the conductance regulation model used in this paper. Calcium concentration
- 384 directly modulates the expression rates of all conductances densities by altering the rate of
- 385 production of a channel intermediate ('mRNA') on an appropriately slow timescale (orders of
- 386 magnitude slower than fluctuations in calcium due to spikes and membrane potential
- 387 oscillations). (Lower panel) Example traces showing convergence of the model. Scale bar: 50 mV
- 388 (vertical), 500 ms (horizontal). See ref [11] for full model details. (B) (Left panel) Random initial
- 389 conductance densities in 25 model neurons. (Middle panel) Steady-state conductance densities
- in the same 25 model neurons in the left panel following convergence under the control of one
- 391 example parameter set from the 2028 parameter sets that produced temperature-robust self-
- 392 regulating neurons. (Right panel) Q₁₀ values of the conductances in the model neurons in the left
- 393 and middle panels. (C) Acute temperature ramps in five example model neurons selected from
- the steady-state population in (B). (D) Quantification of duty cycle in the five example neurons in
- (C) as a function of temperature. (E) Time-stretched membrane potential traces from the bluemodel neuron in (C).
- 397

398 Supplemental Information

399

400 Table S1. Conductance parameters for simulations

401 Conductance densities (in μ S/nF) and Q10 range for model neurons in Figure 1 and ranges for

402 models sampled in Figure 2.





