# Author's Accepted Manuscript

The role of the Boudouard and water-gas shift reactions in the methanation of CO or  $CO_2$  over  $ni/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst

Jin Yang Lim, J. McGregor, A.J. Sederman, J.S. Dennis



PII:S0009-2509(16)30338-4DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2016.06.042Reference:CES13022

To appear in: Chemical Engineering Science

Received date:7 April 2016Revised date:14 June 2016Accepted date:18 June 2016

Cite this article as: Jin Yang Lim, J. McGregor, A.J. Sederman and J.S. Dennis. The role of the Boudouard and water-gas shift reactions in the methanation of CC or  $CO_2$  over  $ni/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, *Chemical Engineering Science* http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2016.06.042

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted fo publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version o the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain

# The Role of the Boudouard and Water-gas Shift Reactions in the Methanation of CO or $CO_2$ over Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalyst

Jin Yang Lim<sup>1</sup>, J. McGregor<sup>2</sup>, A. J. Sederman<sup>1</sup>, J. S. Dennis<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3RA, United Kingdom.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of Sheffield, Mappin Street, Sheffield S1 3JD, United Kingdom.

#### Abstract

The Boudouard and the water-gas shift reactions were studied at different temperatures between 453 and 490 K over a Ni/y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in a Carberry batch reactor using various mixtures of CO, H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. The activity of the Boudouard reaction was found to be low, compared to the water-gas shift reaction, and diminished over time, suggesting that the temperature was too low for significant activity after an initiation period of CO adsorption. Furthermore, the rate of the Boudouard reaction has been reported to decrease in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub>. The water-gas shift reaction was found to be the main reaction responsible for the production of CO<sub>2</sub> in a mixture of CO, H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O in the batch reactor. The ratio of the total amount of CO consumed to the total amount of CO<sub>2</sub> produced showed that the catalyst was also active towards hydrogenation, where the rate of the hydrogenation reaction was very much faster than the water-gas shift reaction. The resulting ratio of  $p_{\rm H_2}$  to  $p_{\rm CO}$  was found to be extremely low, probably leading to the production of long-chain hydrocarbons. The stoichiometry of the overall reaction was such that for every mole of mole of CO<sub>2</sub> produced, 1.5 mole of CO was consumed in the batch reactor. Kinetic studies were performed in the batch reactor. An Eley-Rideal mechanism was found to provide a good agreement with the experimental results over a wide range of partial pressures of steam and CO.

# 1. Introduction

The purpose of the research described in this paper was to ascertain the role of the watergas shift and Boudouard reactions in consuming CO, in situations where the primary focus was on methanating either CO or CO<sub>2</sub> over Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst at temperatures below ~490 K. Our previous work (Lim *et al.* 2016a) has shown that the methanation of CO<sub>2</sub> over a reduced 12 wt% Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst is extremely selective towards the production of CH<sub>4</sub>. Using the same catalyst, the methanation of CO in batch, compared with the methanation of CO<sub>2</sub>, is less selective towards CH<sub>4</sub> with some CO<sub>2</sub> being produced (Lim *et al.* 2012b). The objective of the present paper is to determine the origin of the production of the CO<sub>2</sub>. That aside, the water-gas shift and Boudouard reactions are important side reactions in many catalytic processes and therefore understanding them is of some importance.

The two most likely reactions for the production of CO<sub>2</sub>, in the presence of CO, are

$$CO_{(g)} + H_2O_{(g)} \rightleftharpoons CO_{2(g)} + H_{2(g)}$$

$$(1)$$

$$2CO_{(g)} \rightleftharpoons C_{(s)} + CO_{2(g)}$$

$$(2)$$

Reactions (1) and (2) are, respectively, the water-gas shift and Boudouard reactions, with  $K_{P,WGS}$  and  $K_{P,BD}$  being the respective equilibrium constants. A number of researchers have examined these reactions. For example, Xu and Froment (1989) observed that the reverse water-gas shift reaction was active at temperatures of 573 – 673 K over a Ni/MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst. Li *et al.* (2000) studied the reaction of CO and H<sub>2</sub>O over the range 448 – 573 K at atmospheric pressure. They also found the forward water-gas shift reaction to be significant when the catalyst was nickel supported on cerium oxide.

However, several investigations (Tøttrup, 1976; Rostrup-Nielsen and Trimm, 1977; Gardner and Bartholomew, 1981) have found nickel-based catalysts also to be active in the Boudouard reaction, especially in the absence of H<sub>2</sub>O. Tøttrup (1976) studied Reaction (2) over reduced 9.9 wt% Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> using a thermogravimetric analyser (TGA). Experiments were performed at temperatures 553 - 633 K at atmospheric pressure. The rate of reaction was determined from the change in mass of the nickel catalyst, as a result of the accumulation of carbon on its surface. Tottrup (1976) noticed an induction period, during which there was a slow initial increase in the mass of the sample, followed by a more rapid, constant increase. This was also observed by Gardner and Bartholomew (1981) who also studied the Boudouard reaction using a TGA at temperatures of 573 - 748 K at atmospheric pressure. Gardner and

Bartholomew (1981) found no increase in the mass of the sample for the first 2 hours, followed by an increase in the rate of deposition of carbon until a constant rate was observed. The induction period was attributed to the dissociative adsorption of CO and the formation of the first 2-3 monolayers of carbon. It was proposed that the formation of the first few layers formed additional surface area on which more carbon could form. This was supported by Rostrup-Nielsen (1972), who observed, using an electron microscope, carbon whiskers on nickel catalyst which had been exposed to CO at 773 K. The rate of accumulation of carbon on the surface of nickel catalysts was found by Gardner and Bartholomew (1981) and Tøttrup (1976) to be a function of the partial pressure of CO,  $H_2$  and  $H_2O$ . Both investigations discovered that the rate of accumulation of carbon increased with the partial pressure of CO and  $H_2$  and  $H_2O$ .

Although the foregoing is primarily concerned with kinetics, it is worth examining Reactions (1) and (2) from a thermodynamic standpoint. Here, a closed vessel containing initial mole fractions of CO and H<sub>2</sub>O,  $x_{CO,0} = 0.5$  and  $x_{H,O,0} = 0.5$  was considered and the overall thermodynamics explored by evaluating the equilibrium composition at which the Gibb's free energy of the system was at its minimum. Figure 1 illustrates the equilibrium composition of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> for different temperatures at 1 bar based on the thermodynamic properties of the gases (Lemmon et al., 2014). The composition of carbon is expressed as an equivalent gas-phase composition. It is clear that at temperatures below 600 K, the formation of carbon is thermodynamically favourable, even in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O as a result of the Boudouard reaction. However, at 600 - 800 K, the water-gas shift appears to be more dominant, evident from the increase in H<sub>2</sub> and decrease of C. In the present paper, all experiments were performed at temperatures below 500 K, so that the sum of  $x_{C,eq}$  and  $x_{CO_2,eq}$ was essentially the maximum value allowed by the stoichiometry of the active reactions. In general, Figure 1 suggests that the formation of  $CO_2$  is favourable at the temperatures considered. Therefore, it is impossible to determine the significance of these reactions under CO methanation conditions based on thermodynamic arguments alone.

Furthermore, most of the previous investigations of the Boudouard reaction available in the literature have been performed at temperatures above 550 K; significantly higher than the temperatures of interest in the present work. The investigations by Li *et al.* (2000) suggested that the water-gas shift reaction was active at temperatures as low as 448 K over a nickel catalyst supported on cerium oxide. However, they did not explore the kinetics of the reaction above atmospheric pressure. The objectives of the research described in this paper were

therefore (i) to determine whether the  $Ni/Al_2O_3$  catalyst is active in either Reactions (1) or (2), or both, and (ii) to study the kinetics of the reactions of interest as a function of different partial pressures of products and reactants, to arrive at a representative rate expression.



Figure 1. The equilibrium composition of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and surface carbon, with an initial composition of  $x_{CO,0} = 0.5$  and  $x_{H_{2}O,0} = 0.5$ , at different temperatures. The total pressure was 1 bar.

# 2. Experimental

A reduced 12 wt% Ni catalyst was prepared by the incipient wetness impregnation of pellets of  $\gamma$ -alumina (Saint Gobain – SA 62125, 3 mm dia. spheres) using Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O as the precursor salt (Sigma-Aldrich). Details of the synthesis and characterisation have been described in detail by Lim *et al.* (2016a,b). Information on the surface area and pore size distribution of the catalysts is given in section 1 of the Supplementary Information. A Carberry, spinning basket reactor was used to study the behaviour of the catalyst in the presence of CO, H<sub>2</sub>O or both. Gas cylinders of (i) 25 vol% CO<sub>2</sub>, 4 vol% Ar, H<sub>2</sub> balance (±1% precision, BOC), (ii) CO (99.5%, BOC), (iii) pure H<sub>2</sub> (99.99%, Air Liquide) and (iv) N<sub>2</sub> (99.998%, Air Liquide) were connected to the reactor. For a typical experiment, 5.0 g of fresh 12wt% Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was loaded into the basket of the reactor and the catalyst reduced at 523 K in a flow of 100 ml/min H<sub>2</sub> (at room temperature and pressure) for 12 hours (Lim *et al.* 2016a) before the catalyst was exposed to the other reactive gases, such as CO, H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. Full details of the apparatus and method are given in section 2 of the Supplementary Information.

reactor was limited to 523 K, thus trading off temperature for time. Full details of the impact of this period of reduction on the structure and properties of the catalyst are given by Lim *et al.* (2016b).

#### 2.1 Catalyst deactivation

As noted by Lim *et al.* (2016a,b), no significant deactivation occurred after repeated runs of CO<sub>2</sub> methanation on the same batch of catalyst. Similarly, after its exposure to a mixture of CO, H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>, the catalyst did not undergo significant deactivation. Since the present work investigates the water-gas shift and Boudouard reactions, the gases involved in these reactions are similar to those explored in the replicate experiments described in Lim *et al.* (2016a,b). Therefore, it was inferred that no significant deactivation should occur in the reactions performed in the present work. As a precaution, the same batch of catalyst was used for no more than 10 experiments before being replaced by a fresh batch. CO<sub>2</sub> methanation, performed at the reference condition of  $p_{CO_{2,0}} = 2.4$  bar, T = 463 K, was carried out as the final experiment on a batch of catalyst. The results of this final experiment were compared with those presented by Lim *et al.* (2016a) to verify that no deactivation had occurred over the duration of the previous experiments.

#### 2.2 Heat and mass transfer

The enthalpy of the Boudouard reaction at 298 K is  $\Delta H_{298 \text{ K}} = -172 \text{ kJ/mol}$  whereas the water-gas shift reaction is only mildly exothermic, with  $\Delta H_{298 \text{ K}} = -41 \text{ kJ/mol}$ . Whilst the enthalpy of the Boudouard reaction is similar to that of CO<sub>2</sub> methanation, the rates of reactions observed in the experiments described in the present paper were significantly lower than those observed with the methanation of CO<sub>2</sub> (Lim *et al.* 2016a). Thus, the rate of production of CO<sub>2</sub> in the Carberry reactor with an initial condition of  $p_{CO,0} = 2$  bar and  $p_{H_2O,0} = 1.8$  bar at 463 K was  $8 \times 10^{-5}$  mol s<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>, less than a tenth of the rate of the production of CH<sub>4</sub> used in the analysis for heat and mass transfer by Lim *et al.* (2016a), who showed that for the methanation of CO<sub>2</sub> both heat and mass transfer effects both within, and external to, the particle were very small. Therefore, the effects of heat and mass transfer, from the bulk phase of the reactor volume to the surface of the catalyst and within the pores of the catalyst pellet, can be assumed to be negligible in the measurements of the rate of reaction presented in this work.

Since the water-gas shift reaction has an enthalpy of reaction significantly smaller than that of  $CO_2$  methanation, there should be no influence of temperature gradients in kinetic measurements of the water-gas shift reaction.

# **3. Results**

#### 3.1 Behaviour of the reactions in a batch reactor

In order to study the Boudouard reaction, a mixture of 1.5 bar of Ar and 4 bar of CO was introduced into the evacuated Carberry reactor at 463 K. Small samples of gas were removed from the reactor volume for analysis by GC, as described by Lim *et al.* (2016a). The production of  $CO_2$  could only originate from the reaction of CO with the nickel to form surface carbon and gaseous  $CO_2$ , *i.e.* the Boudouard reaction. Figure 2 illustrates the production of  $CO_2$  over time.



Figure 2. The partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> versus time for an initial condition of  $p_{CO,0} = 4$  bar and  $p_{Ar,0} = 1.5$  bar. At t = 17520 s, 1.0 bar of H<sub>2</sub> was introduced into the reactor. T = 463 K and  $m_{cat} = 5.0$  g. The solid and open symbols represent  $p_{CO_2}$  before and after, respectively, the introduction of H<sub>2</sub>.

At t = 20 s, about 0.06 bar of CO<sub>2</sub> was detected, followed by a gradual increase of  $p_{CO_2}$  over time. The rate of change of  $p_{CO_2}$  with time decreased as the reaction proceeded, indicating that the rate of the Boudouard reaction was decreasing. Figure 3 shows that CO

was consumed during this period, at a decreasing rate, suggesting that the Boudouard reaction was active in the presence of CO. It should be noted that a small amount of CH<sub>4</sub>, ~ 0.08 bar, was detected just after the introduction of CO into the evacuated reactor. The small decrease in  $p_{CH_4}$  can be attributed to the removal of contents from the reactor volume for sampling; accordingly,  $p_{CH_4}$  should be treated as a constant for t < 17520 s. This small amount of CH<sub>4</sub> is likely to have been produced from the reaction of CO with residual H<sub>2</sub> on the surface of the catalyst present as a result of the reduction procedure, where the catalyst was reduced in a stream of H<sub>2</sub>. After approximately  $t = 10\ 000$  s, there was negligible decrease in  $p_{CO}$  with time, in line with the small increase in  $p_{CO_2}$  with time. At 17 520s,  $p_{CO_2}$  was approximately 0.15 bar and the total loss of  $p_{CO}$  was about 0.5 bar. According to the stoichiometry of Reaction (2), one mole of CO<sub>2</sub> is produced for every two moles of CO consumed in the reaction. The additional loss of about 0.2 bar of CO is attributable to the irreversible adsorption of CO on the surface of the catalyst during the initial exposure to CO.



Figure 3. The partial pressure of CO, H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> versus time for an initial condition of  $p_{CO,0} = 4$  bar and  $p_{Ar,0} = 1.5$  bar. At t = 17520 s, 1.0 bar of H<sub>2</sub> was introduced into the reactor. T = 463 K and  $m_{cat} = 5.0$  g. The solid and open symbols represent the partial pressures of each species before and after, respectively, the introduction of H<sub>2</sub>.

At t = 17520 s, marked by the vertical dotted line in Figures 2 and 3, 1 bar of H<sub>2</sub> was introduced into the reactor. The subsequent variations of the partial pressures of CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, H<sub>2</sub>

and  $CH_4$  are illustrated by the open symbols in Figures 2 and 3. The rate of production of  $CO_2$  was approximately zero immediately after the introduction of the H<sub>2</sub>. However, after a further 8000 s, more  $CO_2$  was produced until a steady rate of production of  $CO_2$  was achieved. Figure 3 shows that both CO and H<sub>2</sub> were consumed in a monotonic manner, indicating that some reactions between CO and H<sub>2</sub>, *e.g.* 

$$CO + 3H_2 \longrightarrow CH_4 + H_2O \tag{3}$$

and

$$CO + 2H_2 \longrightarrow -(-CH_2 -) - +H_2O, \qquad (4)$$

had occurred. Reaction (3) is CO methanation and (4) represents the production of paraffins heavier than methane. This was evident from the marked increase in  $p_{CH_4}$  immediately after the introduction of the H<sub>2</sub>. It should be noted that after the  $p_{H_2}$  had fallen to zero,  $p_{CO}$ continued to decrease and  $p_{CO_2}$  continued to increase.

The production of CO<sub>2</sub> after the introduction of H<sub>2</sub> was attributed to the water-gas shift Reaction (1), for the following reasons. It has been established, by other researchers (Tøttrup, 1976; Gardner and Bartholomew, 1981), that the presence of H<sub>2</sub> depresses the rate of the Boudouard reaction. This is in agreement with the lack of production of CO<sub>2</sub>, in Figure 2, immediately after H<sub>2</sub> was introduced at t = 17520 s. The initiation of Reactions (3) and (4) led to the production of H<sub>2</sub>O which reacted with CO to form CO<sub>2</sub>. The increasing rate of production of CO<sub>2</sub> after the introduction of H<sub>2</sub> suggests that  $p_{H_2O}$  has a positive order in the rate expression for the water-gas shift reaction. This is confirmed, below, where the rate of the water-gas shift reaction was measured for different  $p_{H_2O}$  and  $p_{CO}$ . It is interesting to note that while ~ 1 bar of H<sub>2</sub> was consumed in Figure 3, only 0.04 bar of CH<sub>4</sub> was produced, far less than expected if CO and H<sub>2</sub> were consumed *via* reaction (3) only. The extremely low ratio of H<sub>2</sub> to CO probably favoured the production of heavy paraffins, which condensed and therefore could not be detected in the gas samples. This was found to be consistent with the observations of Lim *et al.* (2016b), where it was found in the methanation of CO that a low ratio of the partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub> to CO favours the production of heavier hydrocarbons.

Further evidence that the reduced 12 wt% Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was active in the water-gas shift reaction is shown in Figures 4 and 5. In the experiment shown, using the method described in Lim *et al.* (2016a), CO<sub>2</sub> methanation was performed to completion in the Carberry reactor in batch at 483 K to form approximately 0.6 bar of H<sub>2</sub>O and 0.3 bar of CH<sub>4</sub>. The reaction was deemed complete when no further decrease in the total pressure of the batch reactor,

measured by two pressure gauges, was detected for 1800 s. At this point, 4 bar of CO was introduced into the reactor and the gaseous composition of the contents of the reactor was analysed periodically. The instant at which CO was introduced into the reactor was taken as t = 0 s in Figures 4 and 5. In this way, the reaction between H<sub>2</sub>O and CO was studied. Figure 4 shows that  $p_{CO_2}$  increased immediately after the introduction of CO. This is in contrast to the behaviour of  $p_{CO_2}$  in Figure 2, where a delay was observed after the introduction of H<sub>2</sub>. For the experiment illustrated in Figure 4, very little  $H_2$  was present at t = 0s. Hence, the decrease in  $p_{CO}$  with time was postulated to be the result of CO reacting via the water-gas shift reaction to form CO<sub>2</sub>. The rate of reaction, deduced from the rate of change of  $p_{CO_2}$  and  $p_{CO_2}$ with time, decreased as the reaction proceeded. As mentioned previously, this suggests that the rate of the water-gas shift reaction is positively influenced by  $p_{\rm H,0}$ . After  $1 \times 10^5$  s, the rate of reaction appeared to have dropped to approximately zero, suggesting that  $p_{H,O}$  was depleted and confirming that the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O is necessary for the production of CO<sub>2</sub>. The production of CO<sub>2</sub> after  $1 \times 10^5$  s at a low rate can probably be attributed to the Boudouard reaction, which appeared to be significantly slower than the water-gas shift at these conditions.



Figure 4. The partial pressure of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> versus time for an initial condition of  $p_{CO,0} = 4$  bar and  $p_{H_{2O,0}} = 0.6$  bar . T = 483 K and  $m_{cat} = 5.0$  g.



Figure 5. The variation of the partial pressures of  $C_2H_6$ ,  $C_3H_8$  and  $C_4H_{10}$  as a function of the time an initial condition of  $p_{CO,0} = 4$  bar and  $p_{H_2O,0} = 0.6$  bar. T = 483 K and  $m_{cat} = 5.0$  g.

Is it interesting to note that, in Figure 4, the total amount of CO consumed, as measured by change in  $p_{\rm CO}$ , exceeded the total amount of CO<sub>2</sub> produced, as measured by change in  $p_{\rm CO_2}$  , thus giving an apparent discrepancy in the carbon balance. Over the duration of the reaction, approximately 1.9 bar of CO was consumed and only about 1.2 bar of CO<sub>2</sub> was produced. Furthermore, if the water-gas shift reaction were the only reaction,  $p_{H_2}$  should have increased since H<sub>2</sub> is a product of the reaction. However, Figure 4 clearly shows little variation in  $p_{H_2}$  over time. It is known that nickel catalysts are active in hydrogenation and methanation reactions with CO, therefore it is postulated here that the H<sub>2</sub> produced from the water-gas shift reaction was immediately consumed in either reaction (3) or (4). This would be true if the rate of these hydrogenation reactions were much faster than that of the watergas shift reaction, which is, in fact, the case, as confirmed by Lim et al. (2016a,b). Figure 4 also shows that no increase of  $p_{CH_4}$  was found over the duration of the experiment. This was probably the result of the extremely low ratio of partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub> to CO, which shifted the selectivity of the reaction towards the production of heavier hydrocarbons, *i.e. via* reaction (4). Some evidence for the production of higher alkanes is illustrated in Figure 5, where the partial pressures of  $C_2H_6$ ,  $C_3H_8$  and  $C_4H_{10}$  were found to increase in a similar trend

to that of  $p_{CO_2}$ ; there was also no further increase in the partial pressures of these species after  $t = 8 \times 10^4$  s when  $p_{H_2O}$  was depleted. It can be seen that the partial pressures of the gaseous hydrocarbons are extremely small and cannot fully account for the discrepancy in the carbon balance. The bulk of the product is expected to be longer-chained hydrocarbons, which were not detected in the analysis of the gas phase.

To sum up, it is postulated that the reactions in Figures 4 and 5 occurred in the following order. The introduction of CO into the batch reactor initiated the water-gas shift reaction to produce  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$ . The rate of the Boudouard reaction was much lower than that of the water-gas shift reaction, especially in the presence of  $H_2O$ . Since the rate of the water-gas shift reaction was lower than that of reaction (4), any available hydrogen produced by the water-gas shift reaction was consumed by reaction (4). Hence, only very small amounts of  $H_2$  were present in the bulk phase of the reactor and the ratio of  $H_2$  to CO remained very small. The low ratio of  $H_2$  to CO led to the low selectivity towards  $CH_4$ . Furthermore, for every 2 moles of  $H_2$  produced by the water-gas shift reaction (4) is the dominant hydrogenation reaction, the overall reaction can be expressed as

$$3CO + H_2O \longrightarrow 2CO_2 + -(-CH_2 -) -.$$
(5)

This explains why more CO was consumed compared to the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> produced, as noted earlier. Furthermore, the total amount of  $p_{\rm H_2O}$  consumed at the end of the reaction, illustrated in Figure 4 and 5, was 0.6 bar, a value consistent with the stoichiometry of reaction (5) since about 1.2 bar of CO<sub>2</sub> was produced during the same period. When  $p_{\rm H_2O}$  was depleted, both reactions (2) and (4) stopped. The small rate of increase of  $p_{\rm CO_2}$  after  $8 \times 10^4$  s might be the result of the Boudouard reaction, which was expected to resume some activity after the depletion of H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. Therefore, it seems reasonable to conclude that the watergas shift reaction is the dominant reaction responsible for the production of CO<sub>2</sub> under typical conditions for CO methanation, where significant  $p_{\rm H_2}$  and  $p_{\rm H_2O}$  are normally present.

#### **3.2 Reaction kinetics**

Since it has been established that the production of  $CO_2$  during CO methanation was the result of the water-gas shift reaction, kinetic measurements of the water-gas shift reaction were undertaken in the Carberry reactor at temperatures between 453 and 498 K. The

following sections describe the effect of  $p_{H_2O}$ ,  $p_{CO}$  and temperature on the rate. It has been established that CO, H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> participate in both reactions (1) and (4). However, the variation of  $p_{CO_2}$  is the result solely of reaction (1), *i.e.* the water-gas shift reaction. Therefore, the rate of the water-gas shift reaction could be calculated from the rate of change of  $p_{CO_2}$  with time.

# **3.2.1 Effect of** $p_{\rm H,O}$

As noted earlier, the effect of  $p_{H_2O}$  on the water-gas shift reaction was studied in the batch reactor by first performing CO<sub>2</sub> methanation to completion before a known amount of CO was introduced. This amount was measured by the difference in the readings of the pressure gauges before and after the introduction of CO. The composition of the final mixture was also confirmed by gas chromatography. The instant at which CO was introduced into the reactor was taken as t = 0 s.

To study the effects of different  $p_{H_2O}$ , various initial partial pressures of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> were introduced and the amount of CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and residual CO<sub>2</sub> remaining in the batch reactor when H<sub>2</sub> had been completely reacted was estimated based on the stoichiometry of CO<sub>2</sub> methanation. For example, with an initial condition of  $p_{CO_2,0} = 1.2$  bar and  $p_{H_2,0} = 3.6$  bar, the composition of the reactor after CO<sub>2</sub> methanation had proceeded to completion was approximately 0.9 bar of CH<sub>4</sub>, 1.8 bar H<sub>2</sub>O and 0.3 bar CO<sub>2</sub>. Lim *et al.* (2016a) found that, in the presence of CO, CO<sub>2</sub> is a spectator molecule and does not participate in any reactions because of the strong affinity of CO for the surface of the catalyst.

Figure 6 illustrates the change of  $p_{CO_2}$ ,  $p_{CO}$ ,  $p_{H_2}$  and  $p_{CH_4}$  with time for different initial partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub>O. The experiments were performed at 463 K and the initial partial pressure of CO,  $p_{CO_0}$ , was 2 bar for all experiments. As noted earlier, there was some residual  $p_{CO_2}$  at t = 0 s arising from CO<sub>2</sub> methanation. For ease of comparison, Figure 6 (a) plots the net change in  $p_{CO_2}$  with time, *i.e.*  $p_{CO_2} - p_{CO_2,0}$  and shows that  $p_{CO_2}$  increased at a faster rate for higher  $p_{H_2O_0}$ : the rate of production of CO<sub>2</sub> increased from 6.1 × 10<sup>-5</sup> mol s<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> to  $1.4 \times 10^{-4}$  mol s<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> when  $p_{H_2O_0}$  was increased from 1.1 bar to 3.6 bar. During the same period,  $p_{CO}$  also decreased by ~ 1.5 times the total decrease in  $p_{CO_2}$ , illustrated in





Figure 6. The partial pressure of (a) CO<sub>2</sub>, (b) CO, (c) H<sub>2</sub> and (d) CH<sub>4</sub> as a function of time for different initial partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub>O,  $p_{H_2O,0}$ . For all experiments,  $p_{CO,0} = 2$  bar, T = 463 K and  $m_{cat} = 5.0$  g.

Figure 6 (c) shows that for  $p_{\rm H_20,0}$  of 1.1 bar and 1.8 bar, there was very little variation in  $p_{\rm H_2}$  after the introduction of CO, suggesting that the rate of consumption of H<sub>2</sub> by reaction (4) is approximately equal to the rate of production of H<sub>2</sub> by the water-gas shift reaction. However, at higher  $p_{\rm H_20,0}$  of 2.5 and 3.6 bar, a small increase in  $p_{\rm H_2}$  was observed, suggesting that the increased rate of the water gas shift reaction is faster than the consumption of H<sub>2</sub> by reaction (4) under these conditions. At 463 K, no increase in  $p_{\rm CH_4}$  with time was observed for all explored  $p_{\rm H_20,0}$ , as illustrated in Figure 6 (d). This shows that the selectivity of the catalyst favoured reaction (4) over reaction (3).

The effect of different values of  $p_{\rm H_{2}O,0}$  was explored for temperatures 453, 463 and 488 K. At 453 K, the general trends of  $p_{CO}$ ,  $p_{H_2}$  and  $p_{CH_4}$  with time were similar to those observed in Figure 6, viz.  $p_{\rm H_2O}$  increases the rate of the water-gas shift reaction and no change in  $p_{\rm H_2}$ and  $p_{CH_c}$  were observed. However, at the higher temperature of 488 K, some variations were observed in the change of  $p_{H_2}$  and  $p_{CH_4}$  with time. Figure 7 illustrates the experimental measurements for different  $p_{\rm H_{2}O,0}$  at 488 K. In general, the rate of production of CO<sub>2</sub> and the corresponding consumption of CO was faster for higher  $p_{H,O,0}$ , as observed at all other temperatures. However, Figure 7 (d) shows that some CH4 was being produced by the catalyst over the duration of the experiment. The value of  $p_{\rm H_2}$  also appeared to increase slightly during the initial phase of the reaction, *i.e.* for t < 2500 s, before dropping, as shown in Figure 7 (c). This behaviour is especially obvious at high  $p_{\rm H_2O,0}$ . A higher  $p_{\rm H_2}$  was produced probably because of a faster initial rate of the water-gas shift reaction at higher  $p_{\rm H,O}$ . As more H<sub>2</sub> was present in the bulk phase of the reactor, the rate of reactions (3) and (4) increased, explaining the subsequent drop in  $p_{\rm H_2}$  after having reached a maximum value. The relationship between the rates of reactions (3) and (4) with  $p_{\rm H_2}$  is confirmed by the findings of Lim et al. (2016b), where the rate of CO methanation was found to be a positively correlated with increases in  $p_{\rm H_2}$ . The increase in  $p_{\rm CH_4}$  seen in Figure 7 (d) at 488 K, but not observed at 463 K, suggests that the catalyst was more selective towards reaction (3) at higher temperatures. Furthermore, since the overall rate of reaction was faster at higher temperatures,  $p_{\rm CO}$  also reached a lower level in 8000 s, which is the typical duration of an experiment. Since the variations in  $p_{H_2}$  were generally small in absolute partial pressures, lower  $p_{CO}$  led to a slightly higher ratio of H<sub>2</sub> to CO, which also favoured the production of CH<sub>4</sub>.



Figure 7. The partial pressure of (a) CO<sub>2</sub>, (b) CO, (c) H<sub>2</sub> and (d) CH<sub>4</sub> as a function of time for different initial partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub>O,  $p_{H_2O,0}$ . For all experiments,  $p_{CO,0} = 2$  bar, T = 488 K and  $m_{cat} = 5.0$  g.

# **3.2.2 Effect of** *p*<sub>CO</sub>

Figure 8 shows the variation of the partial pressures of CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> for different initial partial pressures of CO,  $p_{CO,0}$ , for a fixed initial partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub>O,  $p_{H_{2}O,0}$ , at 463 K. Figure 8 (a) shows that the initial rate of production of CO<sub>2</sub> was largely unaffected by variations in  $p_{CO,0}$  and was found to be approximately  $7.7 \times 10^{-5}$  mol s<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>. Figure 8 (b) shows the net change of the partial pressure of  $p_{CO}$ , *i.e.*  $p_{CO} - p_{CO,0}$ , with time. For  $p_{CO,0} = 0.25$  bar and  $p_{CO,0} = 0.50$  bar,  $p_{CO}$  was depleted after approximately 4000 s and 6000 s respectively. Figure 8 (a) shows that, after these times, no further increase in  $p_{CO_2}$  was observed. It also shows that the rate of reaction changed very abruptly from a constant

rate, before  $p_{CO}$  was depleted, to a rate of zero. The variations of  $p_{H_2}$  and  $p_{CH_4}$  with time are illustrated in Figures 8 (c) and (d). Only small changes in  $p_{H_2}$  were observed before  $p_{CO}$  was depleted and slightly more CH<sub>4</sub> was produced for  $p_{CO,0} = 0.25$  bar compared to  $p_{CO,0} \ge 0.50$  bar. The general behaviour of  $p_{H_2}$  and  $p_{CH_4}$  were largely consistent with observations discussed in Section 3.2.1.



Figure 8. The partial pressure of (a) CO<sub>2</sub>, (b) CO, (c) H<sub>2</sub> and (d) CH<sub>4</sub> as a function of time for different initial partial pressure of CO,  $p_{CO,0}$ . For all experiments,  $p_{H_2O,0} = 1.8$  bar, T = 463 K and  $m_{cat} = 5.0$  g.

#### **3.2.3 Effect of temperature**

Figure 9 shows the partial pressures of CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> as a function of time for different reaction temperatures, with initial conditions of  $p_{H_2O,0} = 1.8$  bar and  $p_{CO,0} = 2$  bar. In general, the rate of production of  $p_{CO_2}$  was faster at higher temperatures,

illustrated in Figure 9 (a), *viz.* the rate of the water-gas shift reaction increased with temperature. The corresponding decrease of  $p_{CO}$  for different temperature is also shown in Figure 9 (b). The change in  $p_{H_2}$ , shown in Figure 9 (c), shows the interaction between the differences in the rate of the water-gas shift reaction and the hydrogenation reactions, such as reactions (3) and (4). This is evident from the presence of a maximum  $p_{H_2}$  for temperatures 463 - 498 K. Figures 9 (d) and (e) show that the rate of production of CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, as measured by their partial pressures, increased with temperature. This suggests that the catalyst is more selective towards the production of lighter alkanes at higher temperatures. However, at higher temperature, the consumption of CO was also faster leading to a higher ratio of  $p_{H_2}$  to  $p_{CO}$ , which also favours the production of light hydrocarbons.



manusch



Figure 9. The partial pressure of (a) CO<sub>2</sub>, (b) CO, (c) H<sub>2</sub>, (d) CH<sub>4</sub> and (e) C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> as a function of time for different reaction temperatures. For all experiments,  $p_{H_2O,0} = 1.8$  bar,  $p_{CO,0} = 2$  bar and

 $m_{\rm cat} = 5.0$  g.

# 4 Theory

## 4.1 Reactor model

ccef

Section 3.1 has established that reaction (4) occurs simultaneously with the water –gas shift reaction, *i.e.* reaction (1). The rate of reaction (4) can be taken to be much faster than reaction (1), evident from the low levels of  $p_{\rm H_2}$  for all experiments. Hence, for every 2 mole of CO<sub>2</sub> produced, 2 mole of CO were consumed in reaction (1) and an additional mole of CO in reaction (4). The transient changes of  $p_{\rm CO}$ ,  $p_{\rm H_2O}$  and  $p_{\rm CO_2}$  in the Carberry reactor were

modelled by the following ordinary differential equations, where the stoichiometry was based on the overall reaction (5) on a basis of 1 mole of  $CO_2$  produced:

$$\frac{dp_{\rm CO}}{dt} = -\frac{1.5m_{cat}RT}{V_{reactor} \times 10^5} r'$$
6

$$\frac{dp_{\rm H_2O}}{dt} = -\frac{0.5m_{cat}RT}{V_{reactor} \times 10^5}r'$$
7

$$\frac{dp_{\rm CO_2}}{dt} = \frac{m_{cat}RT}{V_{reactor} \times 10^5} r'$$
8

where  $p_{CO}$ ,  $p_{H_2O}$  and  $p_{CO_2}$  are the partial pressures of CO, H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> in bar, *r*' represents the rate of reaction(5), taken to be approximately equal that of reaction (1), the water-gas shift reaction, *t* is in seconds,  $m_{cat}$  is the mass of the catalyst in the reactor in grams and  $V_{reactor}$ is the volume of the reactor in m<sup>3</sup>. Equations (6 – 8) were solved using the initial conditions of the experiments at *t* = 0 using the MATLAB solver ode45 and for appropriate *r*', discussed below.

#### 4.2 Kinetic modelling

Two different reaction schemes are presented in this Section. The first scheme, Model I, involves the oxidation of the surface of the catalyst followed by the reduction of the surface, typically known as the redox mechanism (Koryabkina *et al*, 2003; Li *et al*., 2000). It should be noted that this scheme was proposed for copper-based catalysts and very little work has been performed on the water-gas shift reaction on nickel-based catalyst, presumably because it is difficult to study the reaction independently of hydrogenation or methanation reactions. The sequence of elementary steps is outlined in Reactions (9) – (12).

$$H_2O + \theta \rightleftharpoons \theta_O + H_2 \tag{9}$$

$$\operatorname{CO} + \theta \rightleftharpoons \theta_{\operatorname{CO}}$$
 (10)

$$\theta_{\rm CO} + \theta_{\rm O} \to \theta_{\rm CO_2} + \theta \tag{11}$$

$$\theta_{\rm CO_2} \rightleftharpoons {\rm CO_2} + \theta$$
 (12)

A Langmuir-Hinshelwood approach was used to derive a kinetic expression based on the redox mechanism. The active sites for the reaction were assumed to be identical and their

distribution uniform throughout the catalyst pellets. It was assumed that the reaction between surface CO and O, *viz.* reaction (11), is the rate limiting step. By taking the most abundant species on the surface of the catalyst to be adsorbed CO and  $H_2O$ , the following rate expression can be derived:

$$r_{\rm WGS,I} = \frac{a_{\rm I} p_{\rm H_2O} p_{\rm CO}}{\left(1 + b_{\rm I} p_{\rm CO} + c_{\rm I} p_{\rm H_2O}\right)^2}.$$
 (13)

where  $a_{I}$ ,  $b_{I}$  and  $c_{I}$  are kinetic parameters:  $a_{I}$  is a composite of rate and equilibrium constants.

The second model, Model II, assumed that the surface of the catalyst was saturated with adsorbed CO as a result of the strong affinity of CO on the surface of nickel sites (Fujita *et al.* 1993). As a result,  $H_2O$  reacts directly from the gaseous phase with adsorbed CO. This is summarised by reactions (14) and (15).

$$\operatorname{CO} + \theta \underset{k_{-1}}{\overset{k_1}{\longleftrightarrow}} \theta_{\operatorname{CO}}$$
 (14)

$$H_2O + \theta_{CO} \xrightarrow{k_2} CO_2 + H_2 + \theta$$
(15)

The resulting rate expression can be derived:

$$r_{\rm WGS,II} = \frac{a_{\rm II} p_{\rm H_2O} p_{\rm CO}}{\left(1 + b_{\rm II} p_{\rm CO}\right)} \,. \tag{16}$$

#### 4.2.1 Model I

Table 1 shows the kinetic parameters obtained by least-squares minimisation (Lim *et al.* 2016a) of Model I, in conjunction with the reactor model in Section 4.1, with the experimentally-measured profile of the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> with time. Figures 10 and 11 illustrate the goodness of fit between the resulting model, based on Model I, and the experimental results. Figure 10 shows that Model I predicts a general agreement between the modelling and experimental results for initial partial pressures of CO,  $p_{CO,0}$ , <1 bar. However, as  $p_{CO,0}$  was increased from 1 to 2 bar, the model predicted a decrease in rate, which was not observed experimentally. This effect is the result of the squared-term in the denominator of Eq.(13), where the rate is predicted to decrease at high values of  $p_{CO}$ . The agreement between the modelling and experimental results for varying the initial partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub>O,  $p_{H_2O,0}$ , is illustrated in Figure 11. The resulting model predicted that the rate of reaction increases with  $p_{H_2O,0}$ . Although the agreement between the modelling and the

experimental results is good for low  $p_{H_2O,0}$ , the model failed to predict the rates of reactions when  $p_{H_2O,0} = 2.5$  and 3.6 bar. The rate of reaction appeared to approach an asymptote, as the predicted rate of increase in the rate of reaction with  $p_{H_2O}$  diminished towards high  $p_{H_2O,0}$ . Table 1. Kinetic parameters of Model I at 463 K determined by least-squares minimisation.





Figure 10. Comparison of the modelling and experimental results for different initial partial pressures of CO. For all experiments,  $p_{CO,0} = 2$  bar, T = 463 K and  $m_{cat} = 5.0$  g. The solid lines represent the modelling results and the symbols the experimentally-measured  $p_{CO_2}$ .



Figure 11. Comparison of the modelling and experimental results for different initial partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub>O. For all experiments,  $p_{\rm H_2O,0} = 2$  bar, T = 463 K and  $m_{\rm cat} = 5.0$  g. The solid lines represent the modelling results and the symbols the experimentally-measured  $p_{\rm CO_2}$ .

#### 4.2.2 Model II

In the derivation of Model II,  $b_{II}$  in Eq. (16) represents the equilibrium constant of the adsorption of CO on nickel surfaces. The adsorption of CO has been explored by a number of researchers (Huang and Richardson, 1978; Sehested *et al.*, 2005; Weatherbee and Bartholomew, 1982). Huang and Richardson (1978) performed CO methanation experiments on nickel impregnated into silica-alumina at temperatures 523 – 603 K. Here, Huang and Richardson's (1978) values of  $b_{II}$  were extrapolated to lower temperatures of 453 – 498 K. The variation of  $b_{II}$  with temperature was expressed as

$$b_{\rm II} = 1.13 \times 10^{-3} \exp\left(\frac{42700}{RT}\right) \,{\rm bar}^{-1}.$$
 (17)

With the value of  $b_{\rm II}$  pre-determined, least-squares minimisation was performed to determine the value of  $a_{\rm II}$  at different temperatures using the variation of  $p_{\rm CO_2}$  with time for different initial conditions. The resulting parameters are given in Table 2. Figures 12, 13 and 14 illustrate the agreement between Model II and the experimental results at 453, 463 and 488 K. Figure 15 illustrates the change in  $p_{\rm CO_2}$  with time at different temperatures for  $p_{\rm CO,0} = 2$  bar and  $p_{\rm H_2O,0} = 1.8$  bar. In general, there is excellent agreement between the modelling and the experimental measurements. The apparent activation energy of  $a_{\rm II}$  was estimated to be  $-47 \pm 5$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> using the gradient of straight line fit of the logarithm of  $a_{\rm II}$ against the reciprocal of time. The parameter  $a_{\rm II}$  is a composite of the rate parameter of reaction (15),  $k_2$ , and the equilibrium constant of CO which is  $b_{\rm II}$ . The variation of  $a_{\rm II}$  with temperature is

$$a_{\rm II} = 42.7 \exp\left(-\frac{47200}{RT}\right).$$
 (18)

Therefore,  $k_2$  can be expressed as

$$k_2 = \frac{a_{\rm II}}{b_{\rm II}} = 37.78 \exp\left(-\frac{90000}{RT}\right).$$
 (19)

Table 2. Kinetic parameters of Model II at different temperatures.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT		
T / K	$a_{\rm II}$ / mol bar <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	$b_{ m II}$ / bar <sup>-1</sup>
453	$1.6  imes 10^{-4}$	94
463	$2.0 imes10^{-4}$	74
478	$3.0  imes 10^{-4}$	52
488	$3.7  imes 10^{-4}$	42
498	$5.0  imes 10^{-4}$	34



Figure 12. The experimental measurements and the modelling results of the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> with time for (a) different  $p_{CO,0}$  with  $p_{H_2O,0} = 1.8$  bar and (b) different  $p_{H_2O,0}$  with  $p_{CO,0} = 2$  bar. For all cases, T = 453 K and  $m_{cat} = 5.0$  g.



Figure 13. The experimental measurements and the modelling results of the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> with time for (a) different  $p_{CO,0}$  with  $p_{H_2O,0} = 1.8$  bar and (b) different  $p_{H_2O,0}$  with  $p_{CO,0} = 2$  bar. For all cases, T = 463 K and  $m_{cat} = 5.0$  g.



Figure 14. The experimental measurements and the modelling results of the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> with time for (a) different  $p_{CO,0}$  with  $p_{H_2O,0} = 1.8$  bar and (b) different  $p_{H_2O,0}$  with  $p_{CO,0} = 2$  bar. For all cases, T = 488 K and  $m_{cat} = 5.0$  g.



Figure 15. The comparison of modelling and experimental results for the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> versus time at different reaction temperatures, for  $p_{CO,0} = 2$  bar,  $p_{H_2O,0} = 1.8$  bar and  $m_{cat} = 5.0$  g. The symbols represent the experimental results and the solid lines were evaluated based on Model II.

# **5** Discussion

As noted in Section 3.2, a higher partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub>O increased the rate of the watergas shift reaction over the Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. However, the rate was largely constant for variations in the partial pressure of CO for  $p_{CO} > 0.1$  bar, as illustrated in Figure 8 (a). The transition from a constant rate regime to zero rate occurred very abruptly. Hence, the threshold of  $p_{\rm CO}$  when the transition occurred is only an estimate. There are very few studies of the water-gas shift reaction in the literature performed on nickel-based catalysts at temperatures below 488 K. This might be the result of (i) the low activity of the water-gas shift reaction over nickel based catalysts at low temperatures and (ii) the high selectivity of the catalyst towards hydrogenation reactions normally resulting in the water-gas shift reaction taken merely as a side reaction in an investigation. Li et al. (2000) investigated the water-gas shift reaction from 523 – 573 K using a nickel catalyst supported on a ceria oxide doped with La. Their experiments were performed using an atmospheric-pressure, quartz tubular reactor and explored the partial pressures of CO up to only 0.03 bar. In general, they found a small increase in the rate of reaction which appeared to approach an asymptote. This is consistent with the experimental observations in this paper. However, because the partial pressure of CO used in the batch reactor in the present research was very much higher than those of Li et al. (2000), the direct comparison of the experimental measurements is difficult. Furthermore, there was no indication by Li et al. (2000) whether or not their catalyst was active in hydrogenation reactions.

It is clear that Model I, derived from the redox mechanism, does not describe the experimental observations of the production of CO<sub>2</sub> from the water-gas shift reaction over the Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in a batch reactor. This is not unexpected since the redox mechanism has been shown to describe the kinetics of the water-gas shift reaction on copper-based - rather than nickel-based - catalysts (van Herwijnen and de Jong, 1980; Koryabkina *et al.*, 2003; Li *et al.*, 2000). Studies using Cu have explored the rate of reaction at low partial pressures of CO and H<sub>2</sub>O. For example, Koryabkina *et al.* (2003) studied the water-gas shift reaction over a CuO-ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst over a range of  $p_{H_2O}$  of 0.17 – 0.38 bar and  $p_{CO}$  of 0.06 – 0.20 bar at 463 K and found the rate of reaction increased with  $p_{H_2O}$  and  $p_{CO}$ . The values of

 $p_{\rm H_2O}$  and  $p_{\rm CO}$  used in this paper (up to 3 bar CO and 3.6 bar H<sub>2</sub>O) were an order of magnitude larger than the those studied by Koryabkina *et al.* (2003).

Section 4.2.2 has shown that Model II, based on an Eley-Rideal mechanism, is in good agreement with the experimental results over a large range of  $p_{\rm H_2O}$  and  $p_{\rm CO}$ . The modelling results also provided evidence that the overall stoichiometry is consistent with reaction (5), illustrated by the final value of  $p_{CO_2}$  when  $p_{CO}$  was depleted in Figures 13(a) and 14(a). If the stoichiometry of the water-gas shift reaction, *i.e.* reaction (1), were used in the reactor model in Section 4.2.2, the final amount of  $p_{CO_2}$  at the end of the batch experiment would be higher because  $p_{\rm CO}$  would have taken longer to be depleted. This provides evidence that hydrogenation reactions were occurring at a rate much faster than the water-gas shift reaction; an observation confirmed by Lim et al. (2016b). It should be noted that Huang and Richardson (1978) determined the equilibrium constant for CO on supported nickel catalyst and this was used in  $b_{II}$  of Model II, based on CO methanation experiments, where the effects of the adsorption of CO plays an important role in CO methanation. Since it has been established that reactions (1) and (4) occur simultaneously, it is reasonable to use the values of  $b_{II}$  determined by Huang and Richardson (1978) in the present study. Furthermore, the variation of  $a_{II}$  was found to obey the Arrhenius relationship with an apparent activation energy of  $42.7 \pm 5$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, in good agreement with the range of apparent activation energies of 30 to 80 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> reported for different catalysts in the literature (Grenoble *et al.*, 1981; Li et al., 2000). In a number of cases in Figures 12 to 15, the model slightly underpredicts the experimental results. One possible reason for this is that we have used Huang and Richardson's (1978) result for values of  $b_{\rm II}$  extrapolated to our lower temperatures of 453 – 498 K. It is unlikely that the catalyst used in the present work was an exact copy of Huang and Richardson's (1978) and so it is probable that  $b_{II}$ , might have actually been slightly different to the value given by Eq. (17). This is a direction for further investigation.

# **6** Conclusions

The Boudouard and water-gas shift reactions were studied over a  $Ni/\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in a Carberry reactor in batch using different mixtures of CO, H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. The rate of the Boudouard reaction was found to be low, compared to the water-gas shift reaction, and diminished over time, suggesting that the temperature was too low for significant activity after an initiation period of CO adsorption. Furthermore, the rate of the Boudouard reaction

26

has been reported to decrease in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub>. The water-gas shift reaction was found to be the main reaction responsible for the production of CO<sub>2</sub> in a mixture of CO, H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O in the batch reactor. The ratio of the total amount of CO consumed to the total amount of CO<sub>2</sub> produced showed that the catalyst was also active in hydrogenation, where the rate of the hydrogenation reaction was very much faster than the water-gas shift reaction. The resulting ratio of  $p_{\rm H_2}$  to  $p_{\rm CO}$  was found to be extremely low, probably leading to the production of long-chain hydrocarbons. The stoichiometry of the overall reaction was such that for every mole of mole of CO<sub>2</sub> produced, 1.5 mole of CO was consumed in the batch reactor.

Kinetic studies were performed on the batch reactor at different temperatures between 453 and 488 K by varying the initial partial pressures of CO and H<sub>2</sub>O in the batch reactor. In general, the rate of reaction was higher at higher  $p_{co}$ . However, there was little variation in the rate of reaction for  $p_{\rm CO} > 0.1$  bar for all temperatures and  $p_{\rm H_2O}$ . Model II, based on an Eley-Rideal mechanism, was found to provide a good agreement with the experimental results over a wide range of  $p_{\rm H,O}$  and  $p_{\rm CO}$ . This mechanism is consistent with the strong ied mo affinity of CO for nickel sites.

#### Acknowledgements

JYL was funded by the Cambridge International Scholarship Scheme. The Cambridge Philosophical Society, the Lundgren Research Award and Corpus Christi College are also gratefully thanked for contributing to the support of his PhD studies. We are grateful for the assistance of Dr A.P.E. York, Johnson Matthey Technology Centre, Sonning Common, Reading RG4 9NH, United Kingdom, for his valuable input to this research.

# **References**

Fujita, S. I., Nakamura, M., Doi, T., Takezawa, N. (1993). Mechanisms of methanation of carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide over nickel/alumina catalysts. Applied Catalysis A: General, 104 (1), 87-100.

Gardner, D. C., Bartholomew, C. H. (1981). Kinetics of carbon deposition during methanation of carbon monoxide. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Product Research and Development*, **20** (1), 80-87.

Grenoble, D. C., Estadt, M. M., Ollis, D. F. (1981). The chemistry and catalysis of the water gas shift reaction: 1. The kinetics over supported metal catalysts. *Journal of Catalysis*, **67** (1), 90-102.

Huang, C. P., & Richardson, J. T. (1978). Alkali promotion of nickel catalysts for carbon monoxide methanation. *Journal of Catalysis*, **51** (1), 1-8.

Lemmon, E. W., McLinden, M. O., Friend, D. G. Thermophysical Properties of Fluid Systems. In NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69; Linstrom, P.J., Mallard, W. G., Eds.; National Institute of Standards and Technology: Gaithersburg MD, 20899. http://webbook.nist.gov. (retrieved 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2014).

Li, Y., Fu, Q., Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, M. (2000). Low-temperature water-gas shift reaction over Cu-and Ni-loaded cerium oxide catalysts. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 27 (3), 179-191.

Lim, J.Y., McGregor, J., Sederman, A.J., York, A.P.E. and Dennis, J.S. (2016a). Kinetic studies of CO<sub>2</sub> methanation using a batch reactor over a Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. *Chemical Engineering Science*, **141**, 28-45.

Lim, J.Y., McGregor, J., Sederman, A.J., York, A.P.E. and Dennis, J.S. (2016b). Kinetic studies of CO methanation using a batch reactor over a Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. *Chemical Engineering Science*, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2016.02.001i.

Rostrup-Nielsen, J., Trimm, D. L. (1977). Mechanisms of carbon formation on nickelcontaining catalysts. *Journal of Catalysis*, **48** (1), 155-165.

Rostrup-Nielsen, J. R. (1972). Equilibria of decomposition reactions of carbon monoxide and methane over nickel catalysts. *Journal of Catalysis*, **27** (3), 343-356.

Sehested, J., Dahl, S., Jacobsen, J., Rostrup-Nielsen, J. R. (2005). Methanation of CO over nickel: Mechanism and kinetics at high H<sub>2</sub>/CO ratios. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, **109** (6), 2432-2438.

Tøttrup, P. B. (1976). Kinetics of decomposition of carbon monoxide on a supported nickel catalyst. *Journal of Catalysis*, **42** (1), 29-36.

Xu, J., Froment, G. F. (1989). Methane steam reforming, methanation and water-gas shift: I. Intrinsic kinetics. *AIChE Journal*, **35** (1), 88-96.

# Highlights

- Both reactions investigated in a spinning-basket reactor operated in batch
- Rate and selectivity determined for 12 wt% Ni/γAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, 453 and 490 K to 16 bar
- Rate of Boudouard reaction much less than that of water-gas-shift (WGS)
- WGS was main reaction responsible for the production of  $CO_2$  in a mixture of CO,  $H_2$  and  $H_2O$  in the reactor
- Eley-Rideal mechanism accounted for the experimental results over a wide range of partial pressures of steam and CO