

Pins is not Required for Spindle Orientation in the *Drosophila* Wing Disc

Dan T. Bergstralh¹, Holly E. Lovegrove¹, Izabela Kujawiak¹, Nicole S. Dawney¹,
Jinwei Zhu², Samantha Cooper¹, Rongguang Zhang², Daniel St Johnston¹

¹The Gurdon Institute and the Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge,
Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1QN, United Kingdom

²National Center for Protein Science Shanghai, Shanghai Institute of Biological
Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200031, China

Correspondence: d.stjohnston@gurdon.cam.ac.uk

Key Words:

Spindle orientation, *Drosophila*, Mud/NuMA, Pins/LGN, Epithelia

Summary Statement:

The *Drosophila* protein Mud is required to orient mitotic spindles. We show that in one tissue, it has an unexpected ability to function without its typical partner protein, Pins.

Abstract:

In animal cells, mitotic spindles are oriented by the dynein/dynactin motor complex, which exerts a pulling force on astral microtubules. Dynein/dynactin localization depends on Mud/NUMA, which is typically recruited to the cortex by Pins/LGN. In *Drosophila* neuroblasts, the Inscuteable/Baz/Par-6/aPKC complex recruits Pins apically to induce vertical spindle orientation, whereas in epithelial cells, Dlg recruits Pins laterally to orient the spindle horizontally. Here we investigate division orientation in the *Drosophila* imaginal wing disc epithelium. Live imaging reveals that spindle angles vary widely during prometaphase and metaphase, and therefore do not reliably predict division orientation. This finding prompted us to re-examine mutants that have been reported to disrupt division orientation in this tissue. Loss of Mud/NUMA misorients divisions, but Inscuteable expression and *aPKC*, *dlg* and *pins* mutants have no effect. Furthermore, Mud localizes to the apical-lateral cortex of the wing epithelium independently of both Pins and cell cycle stage. Thus, Pins is not required in the wing disc because there are parallel mechanisms for Mud localization and hence spindle orientation, making it a more robust system than other epithelia.

Introduction

Although spindle orientation has been extensively examined in asymmetrically-dividing cells, less attention has been given to orientation in symmetrically-dividing epithelia. As the tissue develops, most epithelial cell divisions are oriented perpendicular to the plane of the tissue so that both daughter cells lie within the epithelial layer (McCaffrey and Macara, 2011). The orientation of division is determined by the orientation of the mitotic spindle. This orientation depends on a conserved pathway that includes Pins (Partner of Inscuteable, GPR1/2 in *C. elegans*, LGN in vertebrates), which anchors Mud (Mushroom body defect, Lin-5 in *C. elegans*, NuMA in vertebrates) to the cortex. This pathway is thought to work in every mitotic cell type and organism.

To ensure that new cells are born within the plane of the tissue, mitotic spindles must be oriented orthogonally, along the plane. This means that the spindle orienting machinery must be lateral at mitosis to pull the two spindle poles into alignment. To date, studies into the regulation of this localization have focused on Pins/LGN. Work in Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cells and in the *Drosophila* imaginal disc has suggested that lateral localization of Pins/LGN is regulated by atypical Protein Kinase C (aPKC), which excludes it from the apical cortex (Guilgur et al., 2012; Hao et al., 2010). However, this is not the case in the *Drosophila* follicle epithelium or chick neuroepithelium, in which spindle-orientation is aPKC-independent (Bergstralh et al., 2013b; Peyre et al., 2011). In these two tissues, the position of the spindle-orienting machinery is determined by the lateral polarity factor Discs large (Dlg), which provides positional information by interacting directly with Pins/LGN (Bergstralh et al., 2013b; Saadaoui et al., 2014). This interaction is mediated by the C-terminal Guanylate Kinase (GUK) domain in Dlg, which binds a phosphorylated sequence in Pins/LGN (Johnston et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2011). Binding is thought to be temporally restricted to mitosis by Lgl, which binds the GUK domain in interphase and is released at mitosis upon phosphorylation by Aurora A/B (Bell et al., 2015; Carvalho et al., 2015). In agreement with this, Lgl variants that cannot be phosphorylated by Aurora A/B remain cortical in mitosis (Bell et al., 2015; Carvalho et al., 2015).

In the current study, we undertook to determine the kinetics of epithelial cell spindle orientation in the *Drosophila* imaginal discs. These measurements led to the unexpected finding that unlike other well-characterized epithelia, spindle-orientation in this tissue proceeds through a Pins-independent mechanism.

Results

Spindle angles in the disc vary widely

We used fluorescent-tagged Centrosomin and Tubulin to follow spindle orientation and cell division in the pouch region of live 3rd larval instar wing imaginal discs. Mitotic angles were determined by drawing a line between the two centrosomes and measuring the angle of this line relative to the tissue plane. These angles are labeled as α_z in the figures. Since this method allowed us to track angles prior to spindle formation, we began our measurements one minute before nuclear envelope breakdown (NEBD) and continued until the appearance of the midbody, which marked the first minute of telophase (Figures 1A,B and Movie).

We next compared angles at different phases of mitosis (Figure 1C). Since the time between spindle formation and anaphase varied between divisions, we normalized this period and divided it into quintiles. This resulted in sample sizes and times (mean $n = 50$, mean duration = 2.3 minutes) comparable to the period between NEBD and the appearance of a complete spindle ($n = 50$, mean duration = 2.3 minutes) and to the period of anaphase ($n = 39$, mean duration = 1.9 minutes). Centrosome angles are close to random in the first period (the start of prometaphase) and become oriented an average of 6.9 minutes later (the third quintile). From this point onwards, the distribution of angles is not statistically significant between groups. Thus, spindles are oriented in the disc roughly halfway between NEBD and anaphase.

Our finding that initial spindle angles are nearly random prompted us to investigate the process of spindle orientation. The starting orientation of the mitotic spindle is anticipated by the positions of the two centrosomes at NEBD. To determine how these positions are established, we followed centrosome duplication and movement over time using Abnormal spindles-GFP, which marks centrosomes throughout the cell cycle. We found that the behavior of centrosomes in the wing disc is consistent with previous observations in vertebrate pseudostratified epithelia (Spear and Erickson, 2012; Strzyz et al., 2015; Tsai et al., 2010). During interphase, the centrosome is localized at the apical cell surface. As the nucleus undergoes apically-

directed interkinetic nuclear migration, the centrosome moves towards the nucleus and divides (Figure 1D). Although the centrosomes sometimes migrate to equivalent apical-basal positions on either side of the nucleus, in other cases one centrosome remains apical while the other moves basally to the opposite side of the nucleus. This orientation of the centrosomes often persists until the spindle is formed. We considered whether the temporal variability between mitoses could be explained by the time it takes for these vertical spindles to orient, but did not find a correlation between initial spindle angle and division time.

Divisions orient along the plane of the tissue

We observed a wide variability in spindle angles during the period between nuclear envelope breakdown and anaphase, with 58% of spindles having an angle of $>30^\circ$ when they first form and 50% of spindles exceeding this angle for the first half of this period. To determine whether this variability was an artifact of live imaging *ex vivo*, we quantified spindle angles in five wing discs from three dissections. The cumulative distribution of these angles agreed with measurements made in live tissue (Supplementary Figure 1A).

The distribution of angles varied between discs, and one fixed disc showed a significantly different mean spindle angle from the cumulative average (Supplementary Figure 1A). This reveals that fixed-tissue measurements are very sensitive to the proportion of early spindles in the sample, which can give misleading results. Phospho-histone 3, a standard marker for mitotic cells, cannot be used to exclude these spindles since it appears before the spindle has formed (Supplementary Figure 1B). Another potential confounding factor is that one of the centrosomes in an early mitotic cell often lies closer to a centrosome within the same Z-plane in an adjacent cell than it does to the other centrosome in the same cell, making it difficult to reliably assign spindle angles in the absence of a membrane marker (Supplementary Figure 1C).

Because of the variability in spindle orientation during metaphase, we restricted our subsequent analysis of division orientation in the wing disc to measurements of post-metaphase cells with separating chromosomes. In agreement with our live imaging

measurements, all but one of the angles we measured in fixed tissue was $<30^\circ$ (Supplementary Figure 1A). As a positive control for division misorientation, we examined wing discs mutant for the canonical spindle orientation gene *mud* (Figures 2A,B,C) (Bell et al., 2015; Kraut et al., 1996; Nakajima et al., 2013; Wodarz et al., 2000; Wodarz et al., 1999). As expected, the distribution of division angles in these discs differed significantly from control, confirming that Mud is an essential component of the spindle orientation machinery in these cells (Bergstralh et al., 2013b; Nakajima et al., 2013; Saadaoui et al., 2014).

The orientation of division does not require aPKC

In MDCK cell cysts, as in most epithelial tissues examined to date, the polarity kinase aPKC localizes along the apical cell cortex, where it has been proposed to play a key role in spindle orientation by phosphorylating LGN (vertebrate Partner of Inscuteable / Pins) to exclude it from the apical region (Hao et al., 2010). The same mechanism has been implicated in spindle orientation in the wing imaginal disc (Guilgur et al., 2012). A drawback to this model is that aPKC is not apical in this tissue, but is instead concentrated at the uppermost part of the lateral cortex, suggesting that it is not in the appropriate position to regulate spindle orientation by excluding Pins (Georgiou et al., 2008; Guilgur et al., 2012). One possibility is that aPKC moves apically during mitosis. However, we observed that aPKC spreads down the lateral cortex at mitosis but remains absent from the apical cortex (Figure 2D). Similar observations have been made in the pupal notum, which derives from the same imaginal disc as the wing (Rosa et al., 2015).

These observations prompted us to re-examine the role of aPKC in the wing disc. Clones of the genetic null allele *aPKC*^{K06403} do not survive, but wing discs can be isolated from larvae transheterozygous for *aPKC*^{K06403} and the temperature sensitive allele *aPKC*^{TS} (Guilgur et al., 2012; Rolls et al., 2003). Earlier work suggested that spindles are misoriented in these discs at 25°C and higher temperatures (Guilgur et al., 2012). However, the distribution of division angles at anaphase and telophase was normal in discs isolated from these larvae at both 25°C and 29°C (Figure 2C). Division orientation is also normal in wing discs transheterozygous for *aPKC*^{K06403}

and the “kinase-dead” allele *aPKC^{PSU141}* (Figure 2C) (Kim et al., 2009). Consistent with reported results using the *aPKC^{TS}* allele, extensive apoptotic cell death was observed at the basal surface of these discs (not shown), indicating that aPKC function was compromised (Guilgur et al., 2012). These findings show that aPKC does not regulate spindle orientation in the imaginal wing disc, and are consistent with previous studies in the chick neuroepithelium and the *Drosophila* notum and follicular epithelium (Bergstralh et al., 2013b; Peyre et al., 2011; Rosa et al., 2015). They contrast however with work performed in the zebrafish retinal neuroepithelium, since morpholinos targeting *aPKC λ ζ* promote division misorientation in that tissue (Cui et al., 2007; Strzyz et al., 2015).

Ectopically-expressed Inscuteable does not reorient divisions in the wing disc

In neuroblasts, apically-localized Inscuteable recruits the spindle orienting machinery to the apical cortex. This provides a pulling force that draws one spindle pole proximal to the apical cortex, thereby aligning the mitotic spindle along the apical-basal axis (reviewed in (Bergstralh et al., 2013a)). In the *Drosophila* embryonic ectoderm, optic lobe neuroepithelium, and follicular epithelium, ectopic expression of Inscuteable performs the same function, resulting in cell divisions that are reoriented by approximately 90° relative to the plane of the tissue (Bergstralh et al., 2015; Egger et al., 2007; Kraut et al., 1996). We therefore examined whether Inscuteable also reorients divisions in the wing imaginal disc. Surprisingly, all measured divisions in Inscuteable-expressing wing discs were aligned within 30° of the plane of the epithelium, showing no detectable difference from wild-type (Figure 2C). We explored the possibility that the failure of Inscuteable to reorient divisions in the wing disc, as it does in other *Drosophila* epithelia, could be attributed to aPKC.

In neuroblasts, Inscuteable is recruited to the apical cortex by aPKC. Conversely, Inscuteable is itself required for the apical localization of aPKC (Wodarz et al., 2000). We found that this cooperative localization also occurs when Inscuteable is ectopically-expressed in the follicular epithelium. In wild type mitotic follicle cells, aPKC loses its apical enrichment, spreading out around the cortex (Bergstralh et al., 2013b; Morais-de-Sá and Sunkel, 2013). In mitotic follicle cells expressing

Inscuteable, aPKC remains enriched at the apical cortex, although some aPKC also spreads laterally (Figure 2E). Thus, Inscuteable and aPKC are mutually required to localize apically in this epithelial cell type during mitosis.

This raises the question of whether the same mechanism works in the wing disc, in which aPKC is lateral rather than apical at interphase. We used hedgehog-Gal4 to drive Inscuteable expression in the posterior compartment of the wing pouch. During mitosis, Inscuteable is not apical, as it is in the follicle epithelium, but instead localizes at the top of the lateral cortex (Figure 2F). Neither the localization of aPKC nor of its partner protein Bazooka differs from the wild type (Figure 2G and Supplementary Figure 2). Taken together, these results indicate that Inscuteable can stabilize, but not localize, aPKC at the apical cortex of an epithelial cell. They also provide one possible explanation for why Inscuteable does not reorient spindles in the wing disc; Inscuteable cannot facilitate pulling of just one spindle pole proximal to the apical cortex, since it is not localised apically but in a lateral belt.

The Dlg/Pins/Lgl pathway does not regulate division orientation in the wing disc

In the *Drosophila* follicle epithelium and the chick neuroepithelium, Dlg determines the positions of the spindle poles by recruiting Pins to the lateral cortex during mitosis (Bergstralh et al., 2013b; Saadaoui et al., 2014). Previous work identified a spindle orientation defect in wing pouches after Dlg protein was knocked down using UAS-Dlg-shRNA (TRiP.HMS00024) driven by nubbin-Gal4. In our hands, division angles could not be reliably measured in discs from these larvae grown at the standard temperature (25°C), since the tissue was severely disorganized, as expected from the *dlg* mutant phenotype (Supplementary Figure 3A) (Gateff, 1978; Nakajima et al., 2013). We could reduce, but not eliminate, disorganization by allowing the tissue to first develop at 18°C to decrease the efficiency of the GAL4 system, then transferring the larvae to 25°C for the last 24 hours. Despite loss of Dlg protein (as measured by immunostaining), misoriented divisions were not observed in the more organized regions of these discs (Figures 3A,B). This result suggests that the spindle phenotype observed in *dlg* knockdown discs may be due to a loss of epithelial polarity and organization rather than a direct effect on spindle orientation *per se*. Furthermore, although Dlg has been proposed to act cooperatively with Scribble to orient spindles

in the disc, divisions were also oriented normally in UAS-Scribble-shRNA (TRiP.HMS01490) wing discs (Figure 3C and Supplementary Figure 3B).

The role of Lgl in division orientation in the disc is also unclear, since previous work suggests that Lgl is unlikely to protect the Dlg GUK domain from binding to Pins in this tissue. Firstly, the affinity of Dlg for Pins/LGN ($K_D = 0.33\mu\text{M}$) is over thirty times greater than its affinity for Lgl ($K_D = 10.2\mu\text{M}$) (Zhu et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2014). Thus, Pins would be expected to simply outcompete Lgl for binding. Secondly, Dlg is restricted to the top (apical) region of the lateral cortex in the wing disc, whereas Lgl extends further down (Figure 3D). This suggests that cortical localization of Lgl does not require direct interaction with Dlg, although it does not rule out the possibility that Dlg is required to localize Lgl where they overlap.

These observations prompted us to examine the Dlg/Pins/Lgl pathway directly. In the follicle epithelium, *dlg¹⁸* is thought to disrupt Pins/LGN binding without affecting the essential role that Dlg plays in apical-basal polarity (Bergstralh et al., 2013b). This allele is a nonsense mutation that removes the last 43 residues, comprising roughly a third of the GUK domain (Woods et al., 1996). This amino acid sequence is highly conserved, suggesting functional importance, but does not include the residues that contact phosphorylated binding partners directly, leaving open the possibility that the truncation does not prevent binding (Supplementary Figure 3C). We tested this possibility *in vitro* using vertebrate Dlg4 and LGN (vertebrate Pins). The purified GUK domain lacking the C-terminal 43 residues is unable to bind phosphorylated LGN/Pins, its high-affinity target, confirming that the mutation inactivates the phosphoprotein binding activity of the GUK domain (Supplementary Figure 3D).

We generated *dlg¹⁸/dlg¹⁸* mitotic clones in the wing disc and observed that division angles within the clones fell within 30° of the plane of the epithelium, as in wild-type. (Figures 3B,D) (Bergstralh et al., 2013b). We also found normal localization of Lgl in *dlg¹⁸/dlg¹⁸* clones, confirming that localization does not require an interaction between single-phosphorylated Lgl and the Dlg GUK domain (Supplementary Figure 3E). To test whether the removal of Lgl from the cortex is necessary for correct division orientation in the disc, we expressed Lgl-ASA (S656A, S664A), a variant of the

protein that remains cortical during mitosis because it cannot be phosphorylated by the Aurora kinases (Bell et al., 2015; Carvalho et al., 2015). When this construct was expressed in clones of the null allele *lgl⁴* using the MARCM technique, division angles did not differ from wild type (Figures 3B,F). Division orientation was also normal in *lgl⁴/lgl³³⁴* cells expressing Lgl-ASA (Supplementary Figure 3F). Thus, the removal of Lgl from the cortex is not required for correctly aligned divisions in the wing disc. Taken together, these results demonstrate that the Dlg/Pins/Lgl pathway is not required for spindle orientation in this tissue.

Spindle orientation in the wing disc does not require Pins

Pins/LGN is required to orient spindles in every mitotic cell type examined so far, with the exception of the pupal notum (David et al., 2005). However, we have shown that Dlg, Lgl, aPKC, and Inscuteable, which are all proposed to exert their spindle-orienting effects through Pins, are not required for division orientation in the wing disc. This raises the question of whether Pins itself is necessary. In agreement with earlier work, we confirmed that Pins is cortically enriched in dividing cells (Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure 4A). To test its functional importance, we generated clones mutant for *pins^{p62}*, a 2112bp deletion that removes the translation start site (Le Borgne and Schweisguth, 2003; Yu et al., 2000). As measured by immunostaining, there is no detectable Pins protein in *pins^{p62}* mutant clones, confirming that it is a null allele (Figure 4A). The *pins^{p62}* allele randomizes spindle orientation and misorients divisions in the follicle epithelium (Supplementary Figure 4B) (Bergstralh et al., 2013b). By contrast, division orientation is normal in *pins^{p62}/pins^{p62}* mutant wing disc cells (Figures 4B,C). Thus, the wing imaginal disc epithelium is the first example of a tissue that does not require Pins/LGN to orient spindles.

When Dlg is knocked-down in the chick neuroepithelium, spindle angles are randomized during metaphase but largely normal at anaphase, suggesting the existence of a correction pathway (Saadaoui et al., 2014). Although Pins is not necessary for spindle orientation in the wing disc, it might still play a redundant role that is compensated for by an anaphase correction mechanism. To address this possibility, we examined spindle orientation throughout mitosis by making time lapse movies of divisions in wing discs trans-heterozygous for *pins^{p62}* and the strong allele

*pins*¹⁹³, a 2658bp deletion (Parmentier et al., 2000) (Figure 4C). As we did for the wild type, we normalized progression through mitosis and examined the distribution of centrosome angles at different stages (Figure 4D). In all of these periods, the distribution of spindle angles in *pins*^{p62}/*pins*¹⁹³ mutant discs showed no significant deviation from the wild type (Figure 4E and Supplementary Figure 4C). The duration of spindle formation and orientation (appearance of the spindle to anaphase) in *pins*^{p62}/*pins*¹⁹³ discs is slightly, but not significantly, longer than the wild type (control = 12.6 ± 3.3 minutes, *pins* mutant = 15.5 ± 4.3 minutes). Thus, Pins is not required for spindle orientation in the wing disc. This experiment also addresses the possibility that aPKC, Inscuteable, Dlg, and Lgl spindles undergo correction, since all four factors are thought to mediate spindle orientation through Pins.

Mud can localize independently of Pins

In the canonical pathway, Mud/NuMA orients spindles by exerting a pulling force on astral microtubules. This mechanism is likely to be conserved in the wing disc, since this tissue requires both Mud and centrosomes to orient divisions (Bell et al., 2015; Nakajima et al., 2013; Poulton et al., 2014). However, we have shown that the cortical anchor Pins is dispensable. We therefore examined the localization of Mud in the wing disc. Surprisingly, we observed that Mud localizes to discrete cortical foci, both during interphase and mitosis (Figure 5A,B). This finding agrees with recently published work (Bosveld et al., 2016). To demonstrate antibody specificity, we used hedgehog-Gal4 to drive expression of UAS-Mud-shRNA (TRiP.HMS01458) in the posterior compartment, which abrogated immunoreactivity (Supplementary Figure 4C).

In the disc, Mud localization is not affected in *pins*^{p62} mutant clones or *aPKC*^{K06403}/*aPKC*^{TS} transheterozygous mutant wing discs (Figure 5C and Supplementary Figure 4E). When viewed along the apical-basal axis, Mud localizes to the apical region of the lateral cortex, where it only partially overlaps with septate junctions (marked by Discs large). These junctions are proximal to spindle poles in this tissue (Nakajima et al., 2013) (Figure 5D). Thus, Mud is in the appropriate

position to orient spindles in the wing disc by a mechanism that is both cell cycle-independent and Pins-independent.

The possibility that Mud can localize without Pins is not without precedent. In cultured vertebrate cells, NuMA/Mud can associate with the membrane independently of LGN/Pins. This depends on the dephosphorylation of NuMA/Mud at a conserved CDK1 target sequence at anaphase (Kiyomitsu and Cheeseman, 2013; Kotak et al., 2013; Seldin et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2014). Given that the relevant CDK1 target sequence is not conserved in *Drosophila* (not shown), and that Mud localizes throughout the cell cycle in the wing disc, this mechanism is unlikely to be at work in this tissue. We also explored the possibility that Mud interacts directly with Dishevelled, as it does in Sensory Organ Precursor (pI) cells (Segalen et al., 2010). However, we did not see defective spindle orientation in *dsh¹/dsh¹* mutant wing discs (Supplementary Figures 4F,G). Thus the nature of the mechanism that localizes Mud in the wing imaginal disc remains to be identified.

Discussion

Pins/LGN (GPR1/2 in nematodes) is required to orient spindles in almost every instance of mitotic spindle orientation in *C. elegans*, *Drosophila*, and vertebrate cells studied to date. This includes the symmetrically-dividing epithelial cells of the *Drosophila* follicle epithelium and chick neuroepithelium, suggesting that Pins/LGN is broadly required in epithelial tissues (Bergstrahl et al., 2013b; Saadaoui et al., 2014). In support of this, we and two other groups have observed cortical localization of Pins in mitotic cells of the *Drosophila* imaginal disc (Dewey et al., 2015; Guilgur et al., 2012). Thus it seems likely that Pins retains its spindle orienting function in this tissue. Surprisingly, when we tested the role of Pins directly, we found that it is dispensable for spindle orientation in this tissue. This probably continues into the pupal notum, which derives from the same tissue as the larval imaginal disc (David et al., 2005).

These observations contrast with other studies, in which both direct evidence (derived from fixed *pins*-mutant tissue) and indirect evidence (derived from fixed tissue lacking the function of putative Pins-regulatory factors) has been used to demonstrate a critical role for Pins in orienting mitotic spindles in the wing disc. This contradiction is explained by a technical consideration. By imaging spindles in live tissue, we determined that mitotic spindle angles in the wing disc can vary widely over time and are not reliable predictors of division orientation until anaphase. Spindle angle measurements are thus sensitive to the stage of mitosis, which was not accounted for in the earlier work. By restricting our measurements to post-metaphase cell division angles, we determined that neither Pins nor its putative regulatory factors are required to orient divisions in the wing disc.

Pins-independent spindle orientation pathways have been described in three other cases, but none of these seem to be related to spindle orientation in the imaginal wing disc. In sensory organ precursor pI cells in the pupal notum, Mud is recruited to one side of the cell by Pins and to the other side by Dishevelled (Segalen et al., 2010). This is not likely to be relevant, since we have shown that Dishevelled is dispensable for horizontal orientation in the imaginal disc epithelium. In asymmetrically-dividing mouse skin progenitors that divide perpendicularly relative to the plane of the tissue,

LGN/Pins cooperates with Inscuteable to orient spindles along the apical-basal axis (Williams et al., 2014). Surprisingly, LGN/Pins is not required for horizontal orientation (Williams et al., 2011). However, this orientation is also independent of NuMA/Mud. In contrast, we and others have shown that spindle orientation in the wing disc requires Mud (Nakajima et al., 2013). As mentioned earlier, vertebrate NuMA also has a Pins-independent activity during anaphase (Kiyomitsu and Cheeseman, 2013; Kotak et al., 2013; Seldin et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2014). This function relies on the dephosphorylation of its C-terminal Cdk1 site, which allows the C-terminal region of the protein to interact with the plasma membrane. However, neither the Cdk1 site nor the C-terminal plasma membrane binding domain are conserved in *Drosophila*, and the cortical localisation of Mud in the wing disc is not cell-cycle regulated.

Nevertheless, our data clearly demonstrate that the cortical localization of Mud in the wing disc does not require Pins. In fact, direct examination revealed that even in the absence of Pins, Mud is enriched in cortical foci throughout the cell cycle. This result explains the finding that Pins is not required to orient spindles, but raises further questions about the mechanism that localises Mud. After submission of our manuscript, another group published that Mud foci in the *Drosophila* notum and wing disc correspond to tricellular junctions (Bosveld et al., 2016). These specialized structures, characterized by distinct protein components including Gliotactin and Anakonda, form in epithelial tissues with mature septate junctions (Byri et al., 2015; Schulte et al., 2006). Since they are located at lateral cell-cell contacts, they fulfill the requirement for the location of the cortical pulling force that drives horizontal spindle orientation in epithelial tissues.

It is unclear why the wing imaginal disc has evolved a Pins-independent mechanism to orient mitoses. One possibility is that this is related to the fact that spindle orientation is essential to maintain cells in the epithelial layer in this tissue. Misplaced daughter cells in the disc undergo apoptosis and are extruded basally, whereas other epithelia can compensate for misaligned divisions by simply reintegrating the misplaced cells (Bergstrahl et al., 2015; Nakajima et al., 2013). These other epithelia have immature septate junctions, and the lateral adhesion proteins that drive reintegration, such as Neuroglian and Fasciculin 2, localize along the entire length of

the lateral cortex. In the wing disc, on the other hand, the lateral adhesion proteins are tightly restricted to the septate junctions in the apical region of the lateral cortex. This means that they are not in the correct position to adhere to cells that have been basally displaced by misoriented divisions and therefore cannot drive their reintegration. The presence of mature septate junctions in the wing disc also means that this tissue has tricellular junctions, unlike the epithelia in which reintegration occurs. We suggest that in order to compensate for its inability to reintegrate misplaced cells, the wing disc has taken advantage of its tricellular junctions to provide a robust backup mechanism for localizing Mud to the lateral cortex, and thus for spindle orientation.

Materials and Methods

***Drosophila* mutants:** The following mutant alleles and transgenic constructs have been described previously: *mud*³ and *mud*⁴ (Yu et al., 2006), *pins*¹⁹³ (Parmentier et al., 2000), *pins*^{p62} (Yu et al., 2000), *dlg*¹⁸ (Woods and Bryant, 1989), *apkc*^{k06403} (Wodarz et al., 2000), *apkc*^{ts} (Guilgur et al., 2012), *lgl*⁴ (Ohshiro et al., 2000), *dsh*¹ (Perrimon and Mahowald, 1987), UAS-Lgl-ASA-GFP (Bell et al., 2015), UAS-Inscuteable (Kraut et al., 1996), nubbin-Gal4 (Thompson and Cohen, 2006), and hedgehog-Gal4 (Tanimoto et al., 2000). *dlg*¹⁸ FRT19A (a gift from Floris Bosveld), and FRT82B *pins*^{p62} were described previously (Bergstralh et al., 2013b). The following background stocks were used to generate mitotic clones, which were induced by heat shock at 37° for multiple periods of two hours: RFP-nls, hsflp, FRT19A and hsflp; FRT40A RFP-nls, and hsflp ; FRT82B RFP-nls. Mosaic Analysis with a Repressible Cell Marker (after the method of Lee and Luo) was carried out using GFP-mCD8 (under control of an actin promoter) as the marker. The background stock was generated by Aram Sayadian. We thank the Transgenic RNAi Project at Harvard Medical School (NIH/NIGMS R01-GM084947) for providing UAS-Dlg-shRNA (HMS00024), UAS-Scrib-shRNA (HMS01490), and UAS-Mud-shRNA (HMS01458).

Fluorescent marker stocks: We used the following fluorescent markers: Dlg::YFP (Bergstralh et al., 2013b), Ubi-Abnormal spindles-GFP (Rujano et al., 2013), Ubi-Cnn-RFP (Basto et al., 2008), Ubi-Cnn-GFP (Conduit et al., 2010), Ubi- α -Tub-RFP (Basto et al., 2008) and Ubi- α -Tub84B-GFP (Rebollo et al., 2004).

Reagents: The following antibodies were used in this study: rabbit anti-Centrosomin (gift from J. Raff)(Lucas and Raff, 2007), rabbit anti-Inscuteable (gift from J. Knoblich)(Kraut et al., 1996), rabbit anti-phospho-H3 (S10) (Cell Signaling, Lot 13), rabbit anti-Bazooka (gift from A. Wodarz)(Wodarz et al., 2000), rabbit anti-Mud (gift from R. Basto)(Rujano et al., 2013), rabbit anti-Pins (gift from F. Matsuzaki)(Izumi et al., 2006), rabbit anti-aPKC and anti-Lgl (Santa Cruz, sc-27509, dN-16, Lot#H3107), mouse anti-Dlg (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, clone 4F3, 6/5/14), mouse FITC-conjugated anti- α -tubulin (Sigma, clone DM1A, Lot#114M4817V). Conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Jackson Immunoresearch. Phalloidin was purchased from Invitrogen and Vectashield with DAPI was purchased

from Vector Labs. Primary and secondary antibodies were used at a concentration of 1:150.

Imaging: Immunofluorescence and fixed cell imaging were performed as previously described (Bergstralh et al., 2013b). Live imaging was performed using a Leica SP5 (63x/1.4 HCX PL Apo CS Oil). Z-stacks of planes spaced 0.5 μ m apart were taken at one-minute intervals. Wing discs were dissected and imaged in 0.8% agarose in Schneider's medium (Sigma) containing with 10 μ g/ml insulin (Sigma). Images were collected with Leica LAS AF and processed (Gaussian blur) using Image J.

Spindle angle measurements: Centrosome angles were calculated using Image J. Angles were determined by drawing a first line connecting the two spindle poles and a second line along the apical surface of the tissue, then measuring the angle between them. These measurements frequently required correction in the XY plane such that both spindle poles were apparent in a single Z-plane. Statistical analyses were performed using Prism (GraphPad). To prevent biased distribution of fixed images, we counted all angles in each wing disc examined. For our analysis of live images, we counted all complete divisions within the one-hour window imaged. Images were analysed by four independent researchers. As described, centrosome angles were measured from the minute preceding NEBD until the first minute of telophase. NEBD was negatively marked by tubulin, which is clearly excluded from the nucleus prior to envelope breakdown. Telophase was marked by the midbody, which is distinguished by its size, morphology, and position.

Test for correlation: We used the Pearson Product-Moment Correlation to test for correlation between initial spindle angle and the duration of mitosis. We obtained an *r* value of 0.2275, which is less than critical value of 0.360 for a sample size of 22 (*df* = 20).

Isothermal Titration Calorimetry measurements: ITC measurement was performed on an ITC200 Micro calorimeter (MicroCal) at 25°C. Protein and peptide samples were dissolved in buffer containing 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, and 1 mM EDTA. The protein concentrations used in the cell (GK mutant) and in the syringe (phospho-LGN peptide) for the experiment were 0.05 and 0.48 mM, respectively. The titration was carried out at time intervals of 2 minutes to ensure that the titration peak returned to the baseline. The titration data was analyzed using the program Origin7.0 (MicroCal).

Acknowledgements: We thank Floris Bosveld and Yohanns Bellaïche for helpful discussions over the course of our study. We thank Jürgen Knoblich, Barry Thompson, Rui Martinho, and their labs for fly stocks. We are grateful to Iwo Kuciński, Joanna Kosalka, Judy Sayers, and the St Johnston lab for technical help and criticism.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Author Contributions: DB and DStJ conceived the project. DB, JZ, and DStJ designed the experiments. DB, HEL, IK, NSD, and SC performed the *Drosophila* experiments. JZ and RZ performed the biochemistry. DB and DStJ wrote the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by a Wellcome Trust Principal Fellowship to DStJ [080007] and by core support from the Wellcome Trust [092096] and Cancer Research UK [A14492]. DTB was supported by a Marie Curie Fellowship and the Wellcome Trust. HEL was supported by a Herchel Smith Studentship.

References

- Basto, R., Brunk, K., Vinadogrova, T., Peel, N., Franz, A., Khodjakov, A. and Raff, J. W.** (2008). Centrosome amplification can initiate tumorigenesis in flies. *Cell* **133**, 1032–1042.
- Bell, G. P., Fletcher, G. C., Brain, R. and Thompson, B. J.** (2015). Aurora kinases phosphorylate lgl to induce mitotic spindle orientation in *Drosophila* epithelia. *Curr Biol* **25**, 61–68.
- Bergstrahl, D. T., Haack, T. and St Johnston, D.** (2013a). Epithelial polarity and spindle orientation: intersecting pathways. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* **368**, 20130291.
- Bergstrahl, D. T., Lovegrove, H. E. and St Johnston, D.** (2013b). Discs large links spindle orientation to apical-Basal polarity in *Drosophila* epithelia. *Curr Biol* **23**, 1707–1712.
- Bergstrahl, D. T., Lovegrove, H. E. and St Johnston, D.** (2015). Lateral adhesion drives reintegration of misplaced cells into epithelial monolayers. *Nat Cell Biol* **17**, 1497–1503.
- Bosveld, F., Markova, O., Guirao, B., Martin, C., Wang, Z., Pierre, A., Balakireva, M., Gaugue, I., Ainslie, A., Christophorou, N., et al.** (2016). Epithelial tricellular junctions act as interphase cell shape sensors to orient mitosis. *Nature* **530**, 495–498.
- Byri, S., Misra, T., Syed, Z. A., Bätz, T., Shah, J., Boril, L., Glashauser, J., Aegerter-Wilmsen, T., Matzat, T., Moussian, B., et al.** (2015). The Triple-Repeat Protein Anakonda Controls Epithelial Tricellular Junction Formation in *Drosophila*. *Dev Cell* **33**, 535–548.
- Carvalho, C. A., Moreira, S., Ventura, G., Sunkel, C. E. and Morais-de-Sá, E.** (2015). Aurora A triggers Lgl cortical release during symmetric division to control planar spindle orientation. *Current Biology* **25**, 53–60.
- Conduit, P. T., Brunk, K., Dobbelaere, J., Dix, C. I., Lucas, E. P. and Raff, J. W.** (2010). Centrioles regulate centrosome size by controlling the rate of Cnn incorporation into the PCM. *Curr Biol* **20**, 2178–2186.
- Cui, S., Otten, C., Rohr, S., Abdelilah-Seyfried, S. and Link, B. A.** (2007). Analysis of aPKC λ and aPKC ζ reveals multiple and redundant functions during vertebrate retinogenesis. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **34**, 431–444.
- David, N. B., Martin, C. A., Segalen, M., Rosenfeld, F., Schweisguth, F. and Bellaïche, Y.** (2005). *Drosophila* Ric-8 regulates Galphai cortical localization to promote Galphai-dependent planar orientation of the mitotic spindle during asymmetric cell division. *Nat Cell Biol* **7**, 1083–1090.

- Dewey, E. B., Sanchez, D. and Johnston, C. A.** (2015). Warts Phosphorylates Mud to Promote Pins-Mediated Mitotic Spindle Orientation in *Drosophila*, Independent of Yorkie. *Current Biology* **25**, 2751–2762.
- Egger, B., Boone, J. Q., Stevens, N. R., Brand, A. H. and Doe, C. Q.** (2007). Regulation of spindle orientation and neural stem cell fate in the *Drosophila* optic lobe. *Neural Dev* **2**, 1.
- Gateff, E.** (1978). Malignant neoplasms of genetic origin in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Science* **200**, 1448–1459.
- Georgiou, M., Marinari, E., Burden, J. and Baum, B.** (2008). Cdc42, Par6, and aPKC regulate Arp2/3-mediated endocytosis to control local adherens junction stability. *Current Biology* **18**, 1631–1638.
- Guilgur, L. G., Prudencio, P., Ferreira, T., Pimenta-Marques, A. R. and Martinho, R. G.** (2012). *Drosophila* aPKC is required for mitotic spindle orientation during symmetric division of epithelial cells. *Development* **139**, 503–513.
- Hao, Y., Du, Q., Chen, X., Zheng, Z., Balsbaugh, J. L., Maitra, S., Shabanowitz, J., Hunt, D. F. and Macara, I. G.** (2010). Par3 controls epithelial spindle orientation by aPKC-mediated phosphorylation of apical Pins. *Curr Biol* **20**, 1809–1818.
- Izumi, Y., Ohta, N., Hisata, K., Raabe, T. and Matsuzaki, F.** (2006). *Drosophila* Pins-binding protein Mud regulates spindle-polarity coupling and centrosome organization. *Nat Cell Biol* **8**, 586–593.
- Johnston, C. A., Doe, C. Q. and Prehoda, K. E.** (2012). Structure of an enzyme-derived phosphoprotein recognition domain. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e36014.
- Kim, S., Gailite, I., Moussian, B., Luschnig, S., Goette, M., Fricke, K., Honemann-Capito, M., Grubmüller, H. and Wodarz, A.** (2009). Kinase-activity-independent functions of atypical protein kinase C in *Drosophila*. *J Cell Sci* **122**, 3759–3771.
- Kiyomitsu, T. and Cheeseman, I. M.** (2013). Cortical Dynein and Asymmetric Membrane Elongation Coordinately Position the Spindle in Anaphase. *Cell* **154**, 391–402.
- Kotak, S., Busso, C. and Gönczy, P.** (2013). NuMA phosphorylation by CDK1 couples mitotic progression with cortical dynein function. *EMBO J* **32**, 2517–2529.
- Kraut, R., Chia, W., Jan, L. Y., Jan, Y. N. and Knoblich, J. A.** (1996). Role of inscuteable in orienting asymmetric cell divisions in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **383**, 50–55.
- Le Borgne, R. and Schweisguth, F.** (2003). Unequal segregation of Neuralized biases Notch activation during asymmetric cell division. *Dev Cell* **5**, 139–148.

- Lucas, E. P. and Raff, J. W.** (2007). Maintaining the proper connection between the centrioles and the pericentriolar matrix requires *Drosophila* centrosomin. *Journal of Cell Biology* **178**, 725–732.
- McCaffrey, L. M. and Macara, I. G.** (2011). Epithelial organization, cell polarity and tumorigenesis. *Trends Cell Biol* **21**, 727–735.
- Morais-de-Sá, E. and Sunkel, C.** (2013). Adherens junctions determine the apical position of the midbody during follicular epithelial cell division. *EMBO Rep.* **14**, 696–703.
- Nakajima, Y.-I., Meyer, E. J., Kroesen, A., McKinney, S. A. and Gibson, M. C.** (2013). Epithelial junctions maintain tissue architecture by directing planar spindle orientation. *Nature* **500**, 359–362.
- Ohshiro, T., Yagami, T., Zhang, C. and Matsuzaki, F.** (2000). Role of cortical tumour-suppressor proteins in asymmetric division of *Drosophila* neuroblast. *Nature* **408**, 593–596.
- Parmentier, M. L., Woods, D., Greig, S., Phan, P. G., Radovic, A., Bryant, P. and O'Kane, C. J.** (2000). Rapsynoid/partner of inscuteable controls asymmetric division of larval neuroblasts in *Drosophila*. *J. Neurosci.* **20**, RC84.
- Perrimon, N. and Mahowald, A. P.** (1987). Multiple functions of segment polarity genes in *Drosophila*. *Developmental Biology* **119**, 587–600.
- Peyre, E., Jaouen, F., Saadaoui, M., Haren, L., Merdes, A., Durbec, P. and Morin, X.** (2011). A lateral belt of cortical LGN and NuMA guides mitotic spindle movements and planar division in neuroepithelial cells. *J Cell Biol* **193**, 141–154.
- Poulton, J. S., Cunningham, J. C. and Peifer, M.** (2014). Acentrosomal *Drosophila* epithelial cells exhibit abnormal cell division, leading to cell death and compensatory proliferation. *Dev Cell* **30**, 731–745.
- Rebollo, E., Llamazares, S., Reina, J. and Gonzalez, C.** (2004). Contribution of noncentrosomal microtubules to spindle assembly in *Drosophila* spermatocytes. *PLoS Biol* **2**, E8.
- Rolls, M. M., Albertson, R., Shih, H.-P., Lee, C.-Y. and Doe, C. Q.** (2003). *Drosophila* aPKC regulates cell polarity and cell proliferation in neuroblasts and epithelia. *Journal of Cell Biology* **163**, 1089–1098.
- Rosa, A., Vlassaks, E., Pichaud, F. and Baum, B.** (2015). Ect2/Pbl acts via Rho and polarity proteins to direct the assembly of an isotropic actomyosin cortex upon mitotic entry. *Dev Cell* **32**, 604–616.
- Rujano, M. A., Sanchez-Pulido, L., Pennetier, C., le Dez, G. and Basto, R.** (2013). The microcephaly protein Asp regulates neuroepithelium morphogenesis by controlling the spatial distribution of myosin II. *Nat Cell Biol* **15**, 1294–1306.

- Saadaoui, M., Machicoane, M., di Pietro, F., Etoc, F., Echard, A. and Morin, X.** (2014). Dlg1 controls planar spindle orientation in the neuroepithelium through direct interaction with LGN. *J Cell Biol* **206**, 707–717.
- Schulte, J., Charish, K., Que, J., Ravn, S., MacKinnon, C. and Auld, V. J.** (2006). Gliotactin and Discs large form a protein complex at the tricellular junction of polarized epithelial cells in *Drosophila*. *J Cell Sci* **119**, 4391–4401.
- Segalen, M., Johnston, C. A., Martin, C. A., Dumortier, J. G., Prehoda, K. E., David, N. B., Doe, C. Q. and Bellaïche, Y.** (2010). The Fz-Dsh planar cell polarity pathway induces oriented cell division via Mud/NuMA in *Drosophila* and zebrafish. *Dev Cell* **19**, 740–752.
- Seldin, L., Poulson, N. D., Foote, H. P. and Lechler, T.** (2013). NuMA localization, stability, and function in spindle orientation involve 4.1 and Cdk1 interactions. *Mol Biol Cell* **24**, 3651–3662.
- Spear, P. C. and Erickson, C. A.** (2012). Apical movement during interkinetic nuclear migration is a two-step process. *Developmental Biology* **370**, 33–41.
- Strzyz, P. J., Lee, H. O., Sidhaye, J., Weber, I. P., Leung, L. C. and Norden, C.** (2015). Interkinetic nuclear migration is centrosome independent and ensures apical cell division to maintain tissue integrity. *Dev Cell* **32**, 203–219.
- Tanimoto, H., Itoh, S., Dijke, ten, P. and Tabata, T.** (2000). Hedgehog creates a gradient of DPP activity in *Drosophila* wing imaginal discs. *Mol Cell* **5**, 59–71.
- Thompson, B. J. and Cohen, S. M.** (2006). The Hippo pathway regulates the bantam microRNA to control cell proliferation and apoptosis in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **126**, 767–774.
- Tsai, J.-W., Lian, W.-N., Kemal, S., Kriegstein, A. R. and Vallee, R. B.** (2010). Kinesin 3 and cytoplasmic dynein mediate interkinetic nuclear migration in neural stem cells. *Nat Neurosci* **13**, 1463–1471.
- Williams, S. E., Beronja, S., Pasolli, H. A. and Fuchs, E.** (2011). Asymmetric cell divisions promote Notch-dependent epidermal differentiation. *Nature* **470**, 353–358.
- Williams, S. E., Ratliff, L. A., Postiglione, M. P., Knoblich, J. A. and Fuchs, E.** (2014). Par3-mInsc and Gai3 cooperate to promote oriented epidermal cell divisions through LGN. *Nat Cell Biol* **16**, 758–769.
- Wodarz, A., Ramrath, A., Grimm, A. and Knust, E.** (2000). *Drosophila* atypical protein kinase C associates with Bazooka and controls polarity of epithelia and neuroblasts. *Journal of Cell Biology* **150**, 1361–1374.
- Wodarz, A., Ramrath, A., Kuchinke, U. and Knust, E.** (1999). Bazooka provides an apical cue for Inscuteable localization in *Drosophila* neuroblasts. *Nature* **402**, 544–547.

- Woods, D. F. and Bryant, P. J.** (1989). Molecular cloning of the lethal(1)discs large-1 oncogene of *Drosophila*. *Developmental Biology* **134**, 222–235.
- Woods, D. F., Hough, C., Peel, D., Callaini, G. and Bryant, P. J.** (1996). Dlg protein is required for junction structure, cell polarity, and proliferation control in *Drosophila* epithelia. *Journal of Cell Biology* **134**, 1469–1482.
- Yu, F., Morin, X., Cai, Y., Yang, X. and Chia, W.** (2000). Analysis of partner of inscuteable, a novel player of *Drosophila* asymmetric divisions, reveals two distinct steps in inscuteable apical localization. *Cell* **100**, 399–409.
- Yu, J. X., Guan, Z. and Nash, H. A.** (2006). The mushroom body defect gene product is an essential component of the meiosis II spindle apparatus in *Drosophila* oocytes. *Genetics* **173**, 243–253.
- Zheng, Z., Wan, Q., Meixiong, G. and Du, Q.** (2014). Cell cycle-regulated membrane binding of NuMA contributes to efficient anaphase chromosome separation. *Mol Biol Cell* **25**, 606–619.
- Zhu, J., Shang, Y., Wan, Q., Xia, Y., Chen, J., Du, Q. and Zhang, M.** (2014). Phosphorylation-dependent interaction between tumor suppressors Dlg and Lgl. *Cell Res* **24**, 451–463.
- Zhu, J., Shang, Y., Xia, C., Wang, W., Wen, W. and Zhang, M.** (2011). Guanylate kinase domains of the MAGUK family scaffold proteins as specific phospho-protein-binding modules. *EMBO J* **30**, 4986–4997.

Figures




Figure 1: Mitotic spindle angles vary widely in wild type wing discs. **A)** A timecourse showing the development and orientation of the spindle. Top - The timecourse of Z-reconstructed spindles begins one minute before nuclear envelope

breakdown and extends until the appearance of the midbody. Bottom - Phases of mitosis were confirmed in XY. The pictures shown here represent five collapsed planes. Centrosomes were marked with Ubi-Cnn-RFP and tubulin with Ubi- α -Tub84B-GFP. **B)** Centrosome angles examined over time. Each of the 22 mitoses analyzed (4 discs from 4 flies) was plotted such that the final angle is $\geq 0^\circ$. **C)** A comparison of absolute centrosome angles in different phases of mitosis. Anaphase was marked by opposing movement of the centrosomes and by an even distribution of tubulin across the central spindle, in contrast to metaphase where chromosomes exclude tubulin at the center of the spindle. Telophase was marked by the appearance of the midbody. Transition points were all confirmed in XY (as in Figure 1A). The period between the appearance of the spindle and anaphase was normalized as described in the text. Statistical significance was determined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Bars represent the median and the interquartile distances. **D)** Centrosome duplication and movement prior to NEBD. Centrosomes were marked with Ubi-Asp-GFP and tubulin with Ubi- α -Tub-RFP. This represents one of two complete divisions tracked. Scale bars in this figure represent 10 μ M.







Figure 2: Division angle is unaffected by mutation of aPKC or expression of Inscuteable. **A)** Wild type wing disc divisions are oriented along the plane of the tissue (n=46). **B)** A misoriented division in *mud³/mud⁴* mutant tissue (n=21). **C)** The distribution of division angles, measured as the angle between the centrosomes and the plane of the tissue, in various mutant conditions (*aPKC^{TS/null}* 25°, n=27; *aPKC^{TS/null}* 29°, n=23; *aPKC^{PSU141/null}*, n=25; UAS-Inscuteable, n=25). Statistical significance was determined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Bars represent the median and the interquartile distances. **D)** aPKC (in green) extends downward along the cortex in a mitotic wing disc cell. As at interphase, it is excluded from the apical cortex (arrow). **E and E')** In the follicle epithelium, aPKC is normally lost from the apical cortex at mitosis (arrow in E). It is stabilized by ectopic expression of Inscuteable (arrow in E'). **F)** Ectopically expressed Inscuteable, driven by hedgehog-Gal4, localizes to the top of the lateral cortex during both interphase and mitosis in the wing disc. The dashed line indicates the boundary of hh-Gal4 expression. **G)** Ectopic expression of Inscuteable in the wing disc does not affect the localization of aPKC in interphase or mitosis. Boxes are drawn around mitotic cells in D-G. Scale bars in this figure represent 10µM.




Figure 3: Spindle orientation in the imaginal wing disc is independent of Dlg and Lgl. **A)** A wing disc from a nubbin-Gal4 / UAS-Dlg-shRNAi larvae allowed to develop at 18° then transferred to 25°. The organization of the disc is partially maintained. The dashed line illustrates the border between the hinge region and the pouch, in which nubbin-Gal4 is active. A cell dividing along the plane of the tissue is shown in a white box. **B)** The distribution of division angles in various mutant conditions. Statistical significance was determined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Bars represent the median and the interquartile distances. (Dlg-RNAi, n=10; Scribble-RNAi, n=27; *dlg^{18/18}*, n=25; *lgl⁴* Lgl-ASA, n=10) **C)** A normally oriented division in a *scribble* knockdown (nubbin-Gal4 / UAS-Scribble-shRNAi) wing pouch. **D)** Lgl (red) extends further down the lateral cortex than Dlg (green), which is concentrated in the apical region. **E)** Neither tissue organization nor spindle orientation are disrupted in *dlg¹⁸* mutant wing discs. **F)** A normally oriented cell division in an *lgl⁴* clone rescued by expression of Lgl-ASA-GFP. The clone is marked both by expression of mCD8-GFP and Lgl-ASA-GFP. Scale bars in this figure represent 10 μM.




Figure 4: Spindle orientation is Pins-independent in the imaginal wing disc. **A)** In wild type discs, Pins is cortically enriched during mitosis (white box). It is not detectable in *pins^{p62}/pins^{p62}* tissue (marked by the absence of GFP), (yellow box). **B)** A normally-oriented division in a *pins^{p62}/pins^{p62}* mitotic clone. Mutant tissue is marked by the absence of RFP (in green). **C)** Quantification of spindle angles in *pins^{p62}/pins^{p62}* tissue (n = 20). **D)** Centrosome angles in *pins^{p62}/pins^{p193}* mutant wing discs examined over time. Each of the 14 mitoses analyzed was plotted such that the final angle is $\geq 0^\circ$. **E)** A comparison of absolute centrosome angles in different phases of mitosis. The period between the appearance of the spindle and anaphase was normalized as described for Figure 1C. Bars represent the median and the interquartile distances. Scale bars in this figure represent $10\mu\text{M}$.




Figure 5: Mud can localize without Pins. **A)** Mud appears in discrete foci at the interphase cortex. This localization (left panel) is unchanged in *pins^{p62}/pins^{p62}* homozygous clones (marked by the absence of GFP, right panel). **B)** The cortical foci persist during mitosis. Additional cortical foci may be proximal to the centrosomes (marked by Cnn) but cannot be distinguished, since Mud is highly enriched. White arrows point to the foci. **C and C')** Cortical foci of Mud are still present in *pins^{p62}/pins^{p62}* mitotic cells (C – prometaphase, C' – anaphase). White arrows point to the foci. **D)** Mud foci extend along the apical portion of the lateral cortex, overlapping with septate junctions (marked by Dlg). This image shows the X and Z planes. Scale bars in this figure = 5 μ M.ss