

# Regulation of Meristem Morphogenesis by Cell Wall Synthases in *Arabidopsis*

Weibing Yang<sup>1</sup>, Christoph Schuster<sup>1</sup>, Cherie T Beahan<sup>2</sup>, Varodom Charoensawan<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Alexis Peaucelle<sup>1,5</sup>, Antony Bacic<sup>2</sup>, Monika S Doblin<sup>2\*</sup>, Raymond Wightman<sup>1\*</sup>, Elliot M. Meyerowitz<sup>1,6\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sainsbury Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Bateman Street, Cambridge, CB2 1LR. U.K.

<sup>2</sup>ARC Centre of Excellence in Plant Cell Walls, School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010. Australia.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

<sup>4</sup>Integrative Computational BioScience (ICBS) Center, Mahidol University, Nakhon Pathom 73170, Thailand

<sup>5</sup>Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin, UMR1318, Institut National pour la Recherche Agronomique-AgroParisTech, Saclay Plant Science, Route De St-Cyr, Versailles 78026, France

<sup>6</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Division of Biology and Biological Engineering, 156-29 California Institute of Technology, Pasadena. CA 91125. U.S.A.

\*Correspondence to:

[meyerow@caltech.edu](mailto:meyerow@caltech.edu)

[msdoblin@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:msdoblin@unimelb.edu.au)

[raymond.wightman@slcu.cam.ac.uk](mailto:raymond.wightman@slcu.cam.ac.uk)

## SUMMARY

The cell walls of the shoot apical meristem (SAM), containing the stem cell niche that gives rise to the above-ground tissues, are crucially involved in regulating differentiation. It is currently unknown how these walls are built and refined or their role, if any, in influencing meristem developmental dynamics. We have combined polysaccharide linkage analysis, immuno-labelling and transcriptome profiling of the SAM to provide a spatio-temporal plan of the walls of this dynamic structure. We find that meristematic cells express only a core subset of 152 genes encoding cell wall glycosyltransferases (GTs). Systemic localization of all these GT mRNAs by *in situ* hybridization reveals members with either enrichment in or specificity to apical subdomains such as emerging flower primordia, and a large class with high expression in dividing cells. The highly localized and coordinated expression of GTs in the SAM suggests distinct wall properties of meristematic cells, and specific differences between newly forming walls and their mature descendants. Functional analysis demonstrates that a subset of *CSLD* genes is essential for proper meristem maintenance, confirming the key role of walls in developmental pathways.

## INTRODUCTION

Plant biomass, our only renewable bioresource, is largely composed of cell walls. The primary plant cell wall is a complex composite made up almost entirely of polysaccharides (90-95%) with some (glyco)proteins (5-10%) [1–4]. It serves to provide strength and mechanical support to plant tissues and provides resistance to the high turgor pressure inside each cell. Local reinforcement coupled with wall loosening, achieved by rapid remodeling, permits not only growth, but also the generation of a variety of cell shapes, ranging from the cylindrical cells of the epidermis and endodermis of the root to more complex shapes such as those of the leaf epidermal pavement cells [5]. All growing cells contain a primary wall and further specialization is observed in certain cell types during tissue differentiation [1, 6].

The shoot apical meristem (SAM) is a dome-shaped structure that contains the above-ground stem cell pool; slowly proliferating cells that are found at the top of the dome within a region termed the central zone (CZ). The progeny of these cells are gradually displaced to the peripheral zone (PZ) where cells grow and divide at a higher rate [7]. Auxin maxima within the PZ determine the sites of either flower or leaf primordial initiation, characterized by maximal rates of cell expansion [8, 9]. It has been proposed that the dome shape structure is maintained through a feedback loop whereby the stress patterns, dictated by tissue geometry, influence the organization of the cytoskeleton and reinforcements of the cell wall [10]. This loop affects auxin movements around the SAM via changes in the polarity of the PIN1 auxin transporter [11]. Differences in cell wall rigidity appear to demarcate the different functional domains [12] with the CZ being three-fold stiffer (having a higher elastic modulus) than faster-growing cells at the flanks of the SAM [13, 14]. Thus, local differences exist in walls and these result from, and contribute to, developmental dynamics.

The primary wall of most flowering plants consists typically of cellulose, non-cellulosic polysaccharides, pectins and glycoproteins/proteoglycans. While cellulose is an unsubstituted homo-polymer of glucose (Glc), most polysaccharides have backbone substitutions ranging from a small number of sugar residues (e.g. xyloglucan, XG) to very complex branching patterns observed in some pectic polysaccharides, for example arabinogalactan (AG), rhamnogalacturonan (RG), and proteoglycans (AGPs). Both glycan backbone chain elongation and substitutions are carried out by polysaccharide synthases/ glycosyltransferase (GT) enzymes, classified into families and subfamilies based on phylogenetic similarities. *Arabidopsis thaliana* possesses in the order of 560 GTs, and many of these are expected to be involved in cell wall synthesis (CaZy, <http://www.cazy.org/>).

Despite the central role played by the cell wall in the SAM and subsequent development, very little information exists as to its composition and that of the early developing organs [14–18]. Here we have combined data from polysaccharide linkage analysis, steady-state mRNA quantification and localization, and polysaccharide immuno-labelling, to build up a comprehensive picture of the construction of the SAM primary walls and their cognate biosynthetic GTs. We find that meristematic cell walls are constructed by a reduced subset of GTs with spatially and temporally regulated expression patterns. The data suggest a distinction between new walls formed at cell division and pre-existing walls and suggests a key role by a GT of the *CSLD* gene family, required for proper growth and maintenance of cell number in the SAM.

## **RESULTS**

### **Isolating Shoot Meristematic Cells for Polysaccharide and Expression Profiling**

To reveal the structure and synthesis of stem cell walls, we tried to harvest pure meristematic cells from *Arabidopsis* shoot apex. Due to small meristem size, it would require around  $10^5$  dissected SAMs to generate the 4 mg fresh weight required for reliable polysaccharide linkage analysis. We thus looked to the enlarged, stem-cell enriched SAMs of the *clavata3 (clv3)* mutant [19] (Figure S1). Meristems were carefully dissected from 60 *clv3-2* shoot apices, and young flowers were also included in our analysis to represent a mixed sample of meristematic, highly proliferative and developing tissues (Figures 1A-1C) [20]. The samples were subjected to cell wall linkage analysis and RNA sequencing (Figure 1B). To evaluate the quality of these dissected samples (i.e. no contamination of developed shoot tissues in the meristematic cells), we performed quantitative RT-PCR to check genes with specific expression pattern in different tissues. Consistently, *APUM10* and *WUS*, two SAM-enriched genes, showed high expression in the SAM sample, and floral organ specific genes, *AP1* and *AG*, expressed highly in the flower sample. By contrast, the transcripts of genes involved in secondary cell wall biosynthesis and vascular formation, including *CESA7*, *COBL4*, *TED6* and *SND2*, could be barely detected in the meristematic tissues (Figure 1D).

### **Composition and Spatial Organization of Cell Wall Components**

The alcohol insoluble residue (AIR) from samples were analyzed to determine their polysaccharide composition by monosaccharide linkage analyses based upon *a priori* knowledge of the relative proportions of these linkages in a particular polysaccharide [21]. Most of the monosaccharides identified previously from leaf could also be detected in both meristem and flower, although the relative composition was different (Figure 2A). Polysaccharide calculations show the walls of meristematic cells to be composed of, in order of decreasing abundance, cellulose, pectin and non-cellulosic polysaccharides (Figure 2B). A marked increase in the amount of homogalacturonan (HG), composed of

linear chains of galacturonic acid (GalA) residues, was found in SAM compared to flowers (11.3 vs 4.8%), while type I AG (3.3 vs 5.3%) and cellulose (29.4 vs 37.1%) exhibited decreases in the SAM. Compared to leaf, both the SAM and young floral tissue contained at least 3-fold less RG-I, a 2- to 6- fold reduction in HG and an approximate 6-fold increase in pectic arabinan. Total pectin content is reduced in SAM and flowers compared to the level in leaves. Cellulose levels in the leaf (29.5%) were found to be nearly identical to the SAM (29.4%). Overall the data show some marked differences in cell wall pectic and non-cellulosic composition between SAM and its derivatives, the flower and leaf.

To reveal the spatial organization of cell wall structure in the SAM, we took advantage of immunohistochemistry using different antibodies or recombinant fluorescent proteins that recognize individual wall components. Consistent with cellulose being the major polysaccharide, CBM3a that binds crystalline cellulose, was found to label all walls within the SAM. Labeling of walls across the apex by CBM3a was not uniform, suggesting either differences in the organization of wall polymers (thereby affecting accessibility of the probe to cellulose), variation in the degrees of cellulose crystallinity or absolute abundance (Figure 2E). Similarly inhomogeneous labeling was observed for JIM7 (HG), which might reflect some variation in the degree of HG methyl-esterification (Figure 2F). Detection of pectic  $\alpha$ -1,5-arabinan by LM13 resulted in a complex pattern of labeled walls in both SAM and floral tissues (Figure 2G), and a very intense signal was seen in the epidermal cells of older developing tissues (Figure 2H). Labeling of  $\beta$ -1,4-galactan by LM5 was found predominantly in primordia and the outer whorl of young developing flowers (Figure 2I), correlating closely with the double amount of 1,4-Gal in flowers compared to the SAM from linkage analysis (5.1 vs 2.7 %, Table S1). Both heteroxylan (HX) and xyloglucan (XG) existed in all walls of meristematic cells, as revealed by LM28 and LM24 labeling (Figures 2J and 2K). However, the signals could

be observed only after pectolyase treatment, suggesting that these polysaccharides are masked by pectin. Although most of the epitopes exhibited similar organization patterns in *clv3* compared to wild-type, XG seems to show distinct patterns, with a higher signal in the L1 layer cells (Figure 2L). This implies a secondary effect of an enlarged central zone upon either XG synthesis or organization. In addition, we found that PDM, a mannan antibody that recognizes heteromannans (glucomannans and galactomannans), only labelled certain regions of cells that oriented anticlinally in upper cell layers but were situated either anticlinally or periclinally in the lower cell layers (Figures 2M and 2N), reminiscent of the newly formed cross walls arising after cell division events.

### **Analysis of the Transcriptome Reveals Differential Expression of a Subset of GT Genes in the SAM**

With this insight into the cell wall composition of the SAM and young flowers, we could then examine the specific differential expression patterns of GTs in these tissues, since these enzymes determine, to a large extent, which wall polysaccharides and glycoproteins are being made. We performed RNA sequencing using the highly purified SAM tissues and young flowers that were equal to those used for linkage analysis. A third sample consisting of pooled total RNA from several plant tissues was also analyzed for comparison. Comparison of the RNA levels from our purified meristematic cells with previously published cell-type expression profiling data revealed high spatial sensitivity to detect genes expressed within particular tissues (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures). For example, *APUM10/PUMLIO10*, a gene that is only expressed in the *CLV3* domain, was detected exclusively in the SAM samples (Figure S2A). Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of differentially expressed genes revealed cell wall categories to be under-represented in the SAM and flower (Figure S2B). Further analysis found

differences in GT transcript abundance between SAM, flower and the whole plant samples (Figure S2C), suggesting that meristematic cells employ only a subset of GT enzymes to build the wall. For example, in the GT8 subfamily of enzymes that give rise to GlcA substitution of xylan [22–24], only *GUX3* expression could be detected in SAM and flower. Among the 10 *FUT* genes responsible for XG and AGI synthesis, only three exhibited considerable expression in meristematic tissues. This contraction also extends to the protein backbones of proteoglycans, including those that make up AGPs and extensins, where only a subset of mRNAs are present in the SAM (Table S2). A literature search allowed the assignment of more gene families or subfamilies that encode cell wall-related GTs to polysaccharide linkages. A total of 152 SAM-expressed GTs (Transcripts Per Million, TPM value >1) were mapped to their respective polysaccharides/glycoproteins (Table S2).

Consistent with cellulose being the major polysaccharide, the core primary wall cellulose synthase subunits of the GT2-CESA family, encoded by *CESA1* and *CESA3*, are highly co-expressed (TPM values >100 for all samples), as observed in all primary wall tissues, and are found together with other *CESA* mRNAs (*CESA2*, *CESA5*, and *CESA6*) that encode proteins that provide the 3<sup>rd</sup> component of the active complex [25] with both *CESA5* and *CESA6* exhibiting higher mRNA levels in flowers.

The pectin backbone (HG and RGI) (Figure 2B), is synthesized by GalATs, encoded by the GT8-GAUT subfamily [26], the transcripts of which are widely represented in the SAM. The proposed HG core complex is encoded by *GAUT7* and *GAUT1* and these are both expressed (Table S2) consistent with their products forming a core biosynthetic complex [27]. Despite the low quantities of RGI in both SAM and flower compared to leaf, expression of some potential RGI-associated GTs (*GATL3*, 5, 6, 8, and 9) were found at higher levels than for the pooled samples (Table S2). The absence of *XGD1*



mRNA in the floral sample suggests that flowers either do not contain xylogalacturonan or that it is made by the product of the related *EMB175* gene.

XG biosynthesis has only one confirmed GT2 family member, namely *CSLC4*, responsible for backbone (1,4-Glc) synthesis [28]. Furthermore, all the genes encoding enzymes that make up the recently identified XG multi-protein complex; *CSLC4*, *XXT2*, *XXT5*, *MUR3* [29] and *XLT2* [30] are expressed in the SAM at levels comparable with the pooled sample (Table S2). *CSLC6* displays the highest expression of all *CSLC* subfamily members in the SAM; however, a function in XG assembly has not yet been demonstrated. The GT34 family contains seven members, of which data supports five genes encoding XG xylosyltransferases (*XXT1-5*). There is no evidence that the remaining two, designated *GTL6* and *GTL7* encode proteins with this type of GT activity [31]. *GTL6* is one of the most highly expressed GT genes in the SAM (mean TPM = 210; Table S2). The gene product exhibits similarity to galacto(gluco)mannan galactosyltransferases (GMGTs) in other plants [32]. Indeed, recent data demonstrate that the gene, renamed *MUCI10*, encodes a GMGT involved in seed mucilage formation [33]. We have therefore assigned these two GMGTs to mannan as the enzymes that add the terminal Gal residue onto the 4-Man backbone giving rise to the 1,4,6-Man residue that most likely represents substituted heteromannan. The backbone is synthesized by members of the CSLA family where *CSLA2* transcripts are the most abundant (Table S2). *CSLA7*, essential for embryonic development [34], and *CSLA10*, exhibit higher expression in the flower compared to other tissues (Table S2).

For HX, a proportion detected in the floral linkage analysis will be expected to come from the vascular-derived secondary walls, however, it is not expected that there would be the same in the SAM preparations since mRNA transcripts from known genes involved in xylem and secondary wall formation have been shown either to be absent or at barely detectable levels (Figures 1D and S2A). While the key genes encoding the

enzymes for 1,4-Xyl backbone synthesis have been shown to be *IRX9*, *IRX10* and *IRX14* [35, 36], transcriptome data suggests apical enrichment of the corresponding paralog in each case (*IRX9L*, *IRX10L* and *IRX14L*; Table S2). Of the secondary wall-associated members, only *IRX10* and *IRX14* are expressed in the SAM. Also, F8H rather than FRA8 is the likely GT involved in making the reducing-end sequence of the xylan chain.

While the presence of a GT transcript is expected to correlate with its cognate polysaccharide, within the complex cell wall composite we might expect to see spatio-temporal correlation between different polysaccharides reflected in the expression of associated GTs. Using collections of publicly available microarray expression data, a pairwise correlation coefficient network [37] was constructed from SAM GT transcripts higher than 10 TPM (Figure S2D). At the center of the network are the *CESA1*, 3, and 6 genes that have a pairwise Pearson coefficient  $r > 0.9$ , consistent with the encoded proteins forming a primary wall cellulose synthase complex (CSC) found in diverse tissue types [25]. Figure S2D (boxed) shows a sub-network that comprises genes with transcripts that peak in the young floral samples and where the core is occupied by *CSLC8*. Together with *CSLC5*, this may represent construction of a XG although no function has yet been assigned to the encoded GTs at present. Of the other floral network genes, *GATL5* is known to be involved in RGI formation [38], which may extend to *GATL3*; *CSLD5* has been attributed to cellulose synthesis, although there is a report suggesting a role in mannan biosynthesis [39–41]; *GSL12* is a callose synthase [42]; and *GALT31a* (and possibly AT5G41460) assemble AGPs [43].

### **Visualization of GT mRNAs at the Wild Type Shoot Apex Reveals Subdomain Specific Expression of Cell Wall GTs**

Co-expression of different GT family members suggests that the encoded enzymes, and their respective polysaccharides, may contribute to either specific types of walls or developmental stages. We therefore performed systematic RNA *in situ* hybridization assays to examine the expression patterns of the SAM-expressed GTs at single-cell spatial resolution including those transcripts that either show relative increases, reductions or no change between SAM and flower samples. Transcript localization data confirmed that most of the candidate GT-encoded genes exhibit high expression in WT SAM as well as flower primordia. Patterns of expression were found to be similar between WT and *clv3* (Figure S3). Based on the expression pattern, these genes were divided into five categories (Figure 3A; supplemental data set): Type 1 represents a uniform distribution across the apex (e.g. *GSL1*); Type 2 represents apical patchy distribution, suggesting flower primordia-specific enrichment (e.g. *At3g14960*); Type 3 have intense scattered spots (e.g. *CSLD5*); Type 4 represents both spotted and general apical enrichment (e.g. *FUT3*); and Type 5 consists of patterns not classified in the above. Within the Type 5 group, *GATL6* mRNA gives a high signal in the approximate location of vascular initials and in developing outer whorls of the flower, further confirmed by visualizing the protein (Figure S4A-S4C). A summary of the classification of mRNA localization patterns for GT families, together with representative images of all SAM-expressed cell wall GT mRNA hybridizations, are shown in Figure 3B and supplemental data set. Some of these patterns are similar to those reported for non GT-encoding genes in the tomato SAM [44], with *Arabidopsis* Types 3 and 4 exhibiting similarities to the tomato pattern 5, represented by *histone 2A* and suggesting a degree of cell division-linked expression [45]. The majority (74/115) of the *Arabidopsis* GT mRNA patterns are classified as Type 4. There are 14 genes that have a Type 1 pattern. The expression of these genes could also be detected in other tissues (e.g. shoot tissue), suggesting that they might play fundamental roles in building the cell wall.

Indeed, genes grouped into this type include *CESA3* for primary wall cellulose and *CSLC4*, *XXT1*, and *FUT1* that encode XG GTs. This broad expression of GTs is consistent with the broad labeling of the polysaccharides. In contrast to the Type 1 *CESA3* pattern, RNAs for two of the subunits of the 3<sup>rd</sup> polypeptide of the CSC, encoded by either *CESA5* or *CESA6*, gave a distribution pattern (Type 2) confirming up-regulation in floral primordia. For XG, other GTs include *MUR3* (Type 4), *At5g62220* (Type 4) and *XXT2* (Type 2). The RNA for a putative GalT, encoded by *GT15*, while found at low levels across the SAM, appears to be confined to the inner whorls of the developing flower (supplemental data set).

For acidic pectic polysaccharide synthesis, the majority of GAUT family transcripts (*GAUT1,3,4,6,7,9,10,11,13,14,* and 15) have similar expression patterns, in these cases a Type 4 distribution. In contrast, of the five genes that comprise the GT92 family encoding enzymes that specifically make  $\beta$ -1,4-galactan [46], the three shoot-apex expressed genes *GALS1*, *GALS2* and *GALS3* exhibited divergent expression patterns, grouped into Type 2, 3 and 4, respectively (Tables S2 and supplemental data set). The mRNA pattern of *GALS2* and *GALS3*, concentrated at sites of primordial initiation and during floral development (Figure S3A), were largely consistent with the signal observed with the corresponding epitope (Figure 2I).

Some members of the GT31 and GT29 families have been proposed to be involved in the assembly of the  $\beta$ -1,6-Gal residues that provide the core substitutions of the  $\beta$ -1,3-galactan backbone of type II AGs [43, 47]. Of particular interest is *At1g32930* (*GALT31a*) since (i) its mRNA is florally enriched (Table S2), (ii) its patchy expression pattern matches that expected of early forming flower primordia (Figure S4D and supplemental data set,) and (iii) insertion mutants have been found to arrest during embryo development [43]. To confirm whether the patchy expression is indeed confined to regions of organ initiation, we observed a fluorescent reporter of *GALT31a* promoter

activity, together with a YFP plasma membrane reporter for observation of cell boundaries. A top view of a confocal z projection shows the foci of *GALT31a* expression coincide with floral primordia and at sites where primordia would be expected to initiate based on a spiral phyllotactic pattern (Figures S4E and S4F) and confirming that, at least for some genes, the patchy mRNA localization coincides with new flower development.

All transcripts encoding known xylan biosynthetic enzymes have a Type 4 distribution and for the heteromannan backbone synthesis, *CSLA* expression patterns were found to be either of Type 2 or 4 patterns. The Gal substitutions along the backbone appear to be formed through the action of *MUC110/GTL6*. *MUC110* mRNA signal was very high in some cells (supplemental data set), consistent with the relatively high levels of mRNA found in the transcriptome analysis of the SAM. Callose is made by the GT48 (*GSL/CALS*) family members and transcripts were localized in either a Type 1 (*GSL1, 5, 6, and 10*), Type 2 (*GSL8 and 11*) or Type 4 (*GSL3 and 12*) pattern.

### **Expression of GT Genes during Cell Division**

We found the mRNA of the GT2 member *CSLD5* exhibits intense spots (Type 3 pattern) representing single cells against a very low background (Figure 3A). Similar intense spots were also observed for *GALS2* (Figure S3; supplemental data set). To test whether the spotted pattern represents actively dividing cells, we carried out dual labeling of *CSLD5* and *GALS2* mRNA together with the M-phase marker, *CyclinB1;1* mRNA, by using probes labeled with either cyanine5 (Cy5) or fluorescein. *CSLD5* mRNA was found in most of the cells containing *CyclinB1;1* mRNA (Figure 3C; Manders coefficient  $M1=0.295$ ), indicating its expression to be linked to the later portion of the cell cycle. *GALS2* also largely co-expressed with *CyclinB1;1* in flower primordia (Figure 3D; Manders coefficient  $M2=0.115$ ). The enriched expression of *CSLD5* and *GALS2* in

mitotic cells, together with a significant proportion of GT mRNAs showing an intense punctate labeling (i.e. Type 4 pattern), suggest that the expression of a large number of GT genes are up-regulated during cell division, probably contributing to the formation of new cross walls.

### **CSLD Family Genes Function in Stem Cell Maintenance**

Given that *CSLD5* and the other shoot apex-expressed members of the *CSLD* family (*CSLD2*, *CSLD3*) are found at comparatively high levels at the shoot apex (Figures 4A-4D; Table S2), we examined SAMs from double and triple mutant combinations where whole plant phenotypes have suggested developmental defects [40]. We found severe growth retardation of the triple mutant compared to WT (Figures 4E and 4F). The double mutants *csld2 csld5* and *csld3 csld5* and the triple mutant *csld2 csld3 csld5* showed highly similar phenotypes. In our growth conditions the mutant plants (double and triple mutants) produce only one to three flowers (Figure 4F), however, where flowers did form they appeared morphologically normal. For some plants no SAM was readily identifiable, especially in the triple mutant, presumably due to early termination. Confocal imaging revealed a small misshapen SAM in the *csld* mutants that were approximately one quarter of the diameter of WT SAMs with the flowers encompassing a large part of the meristem (compare Figures 4G and 4H). Over a 24-hour period individual cells were segmented and tracked to compare growth rates. For WT, cells of the CZ yield the lowest growth (blue cells in Figure 4I) with increases observed in the PZ (turquoise through yellow and red in Figure 4I). Cells that are part of flower primordia show the highest degree of growth. For *csld* mutant, the SAM (Figure 4J, arrow) had no recognizable growth domains, however, the larger flower had cells achieving maximum growth rates consistent with the growth retardation being exerted at the level of the

SAM, not the developing flowers. We interpret these data to mean that *CSLD* genes are needed for proper cell growth and proliferation in the SAM, that is, maintaining the meristematic stem cell pool, rather than being involved in specifying cell fate.

We also determined the cell wall composition, using linkage analysis, of SAM samples of a *csld3+/- csld5* mutant in the *clv3* background. The plants exhibited retarded growth compared to the *clv3* single mutant and were found to contain cell walls with a marked difference in linkage composition (Figure S5A). The relative abundance of every detectable polysaccharide changed, some dramatically increasing such as heteromannan (5-fold) and others decreasing, including HG (6-fold), arabinan (2-fold) and XG (3-fold) (Figure S5B).

## **DISCUSSION**

The primary cell wall plays a fundamental role in plant morphogenesis via modulation of cell shape, mechanical feedback and signaling [5, 10, 12, 13, 48, 49]. Knowing what and how this wall is made, summarized in Figure 5 [50, 51], allows us to better understand plant shoot development. The SAM uses a reduced set of GTs to make its walls compared to the rest of the plant, revealed by detailed analysis of the transcriptome, and localization of the GT mRNAs revealed different categories of expression patterns are present across the SAM and the young flowers. These expression analyses, together with antibody labeling of wall components and linkage analysis have allowed us to probe the composition of cell walls in the SAM.

Consistent with it being the main structural component of primary cell walls, cellulose is the major polysaccharide in meristem tissues and was found at a reduced level in the SAM compared to the young flower. While the core cellulose subunits, encoded by

*CESA1* and *CESA3*, exhibit high expression in both tissues, two of the three genes encoding the third subunit are expressed predominantly in flower primordia.

Pectin is present throughout the primary walls and masks several non-cellulosic polysaccharides, as demonstrated by the requirement for pre-treatment to reveal antibody epitopes. The predominance of pectic arabinan and galactan in specific cell layers or tissues reinforce the idea that both of these polysaccharides are important as part of wall rearrangements during cellular morphogenetic events, likely attributed to their high mobility in the wall and reversible binding to cellulose [52].

For xyloglucan, the action of expansin to release the XG tethers of adjacent cellulose microfibrils has been at the heart of both cellular and tissue scale models of growth and development, especially in organ emergence from the flanks of the SAM [15]. Consistent with XG being present throughout the apical regions, we found the only confirmed gene for backbone synthesis, *CSLC4*, showed uniform expression. The dominance of *MUR3* and several GalT genes, as well as the strong and uniform enrichment of *FUT1* mRNA in the SAM suggest a high degree of galactosylation and fucosylation of XG in meristematic cells (Figure 5).

The xylan synthesis module consists predominantly of GTs encoded by *IRX10L*, *IRX9L* (but not *IRX9*) and *IRX14/14L* for backbone extension, *GUX3* for (methyl)GlcA substitutions which, based on recent evidence [51], may be extended to include an unidentified pentose moiety (Figure 5). The data presented here support the *IRX-LIKE* genes to be associated with primary walls. Given the mostly Type 4 distribution of xylan GT transcripts, much of the deposition may occur during the *de novo* formation of the cross wall during cell division, and be maintained as walls mature, which might be involved in the regulation of spacing between cellulose microfibrils [51].

The localization of heteromannan in a small subset of walls that could only be detected after removal of pectin suggest that mannan is either masked by other



components and modifications as cell walls mature or principally deposited early during cross wall formation and later removed. The high expression of *GTL6* in the SAM, recently characterized as the GMGT *MUC110*, suggests that the heteromannan in the SAM is likely galactosylated to a much higher extent than in other tissues, which might be important for proper cellulose organization [33].

Type II AG represents the glycosidic portion of AGPs that have been implicated in various aspects of plant development [53, 54]. Multiple genes from several AGP-GT families are expressed apically and their transcripts show type 1, 2 and 4 *in situ* patterns. The *GALT31a* mRNA was found to be particularly abundant in floral primordia and this was confirmed through live imaging of a reporter of promoter activity. The *atgalt31a* mutant arrests embryo development [43] and it may have an equally important role in floral organ formation but as the mutant is lethal prior to growth of the meristem, functional analysis will require targeted knockdown of the RNA late in development.

In the SAM, active cell division with intervening growth leads to the formation of leaf and flower primordia while also maintaining a pool of stem cells. Compared to animal cells that divide by forming a constriction, plants build a cell plate at the final step of cytokinesis to separate the two daughter cells. Callose and cellulose have been implicated as the major components of the cell plate, where callose decorates the nascent cross wall and is gradually replaced by cellulose as the wall matures. Callose is synthesized by members of the *GSL/CALS* GT48 family, exhibiting high expression in the SAM and primordia. No *GSL* transcripts, including *GSL6* [55], were found with the Type 3 dotted pattern, suggesting no *GSL* enzymes are exclusive to cell plate formation. Similarly, despite the accumulation of *CESA* proteins on the forming cell plate [56], none of the *CESA* genes displayed enriched expression in dividing cells, indicating fundamental roles for callose and cellulose in *both* cell plate formation and wall building during post-mitotic expansion. For callose this may be limited to surrounding

plasmodesmata as part of the regulatory network controlling symplastic transport [42]. Other components of the developing cell plate and their synthesis remain elusive. Antibody labelling suggests the presence of other matrix polysaccharides [57, 58], including mannan (Figure 2M), in the cell plate. Since cell plate formation is a quite transient process that usually completes in less than 60 minutes, we can speculate that a large set of GTs would be upregulated through controlled transcription and/or protein synthesis to accommodate a burst of GT enzyme activity for *de novo* polysaccharide synthesis during cytokinesis. Consistent with this scenario, a majority of GTs exhibited what we interpret as a cell-cycle pattern (Type 4) and suggests an important role in transcriptional reprogramming of GT genes upon cell cycle entry. The decreased expression of these GTs in non-dividing cells also indicated some transient difference in the composition and/or structure between new cross walls and mature walls, and might also imply distinct wall properties of cells within and outside of cell division. However, it is technically challenging to measure the composition of cell plates due to its transient nature and low abundance in tissues.

The expression of *CSLD5* was strongly linked to cell division patterns at a time when new cross walls are laid down. *CSLD5* is also found to be co-expressed with markers of the root meristem and division zone [59, 60]. Similar mitosis-enriched expression was observed for the rice homolog *OsCSLD4*, suggesting a conserved regulation of *CSLD* expression across different species [61]. The remaining shoot-expressed members, *CSLD2* and *CSLD3*, displayed broader expression patterns across the apex. *csld2* and *csld3* mutants, when combined with *csld5*, produced plants with terminating meristems, apparently unable to maintain the size of the stem cell pool to produce more than a few flowers. It has been proposed that the *CSLD* gene family encodes GTs that make a type of cellulose [39] with *CSLD5* making a less crystalline polysaccharide [62], however, there is also a suggestion they may make mannan [40]. A reduction in the *CSLD*-derived

polysaccharide resulted in large changes in composition of the SAM cell wall (Figure S5) and demonstrates how changes in wall content and/or wall integrity feedback on wall biosynthesis. Based on our data, we postulate that the polysaccharide made by CSLD is important for proper cell proliferation and cell wall integrity in the SAM and is not easily compensated by the presence of other wall glycans.

In summary, we find a limited subset of GTs make the walls in the SAM. Cell wall polysaccharides, and the GTs that make them, can be uniformly distributed or focused to particular regions or cells at a given time. The phenotypes of *csld* mutants demonstrate a clear relationship between the cell wall and the function of the SAM for proper development. The data now allow for a targeted approach for both exploring and manipulating shoot morphogenesis.

## **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

### **Growth and Dissection of *clv3-2* Tissues for RNA-seq and Cell Wall Linkage Analysis**

As wild type meristems were too small to obtain sufficient material for linkage analysis (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures), plants of the *Arabidopsis thaliana clv3-2* mutant, in the *Ler* background [63], were grown under short day (8hr light) regimes for 4 weeks prior to transfer to long days (16 hr). Tissues (2 biological replicates of each) were collected from plants exhibiting an inflorescence stem of at least 8 cm. Enlarged SAMs were collected after careful removal of all floral organs. A razor blade was then used to remove the upper fleshy portion at the centre of the SAM (Figure 1C, upper panel) and immediately frozen in liquid N<sub>2</sub>. Early stage flowers (stage 6-7) at the periphery of the SAM were collected using fine tweezers and immediately frozen (Figure 1C, bottom panel). Tissues for the *clv3-2* whole plant sample for RNA-Seq (known as

the “pooled sample”) consisted of fruit (stage 17), young leaves, old leaves, roots, stem, whole inflorescence and flower (stage 15). The tissues were harvested and stored separately.

### **RNA-Seq Sample Preparation**

RNA was extracted using the RNEasy plant kit (Qiagen) for each biological replicate according to the manufacturer’s protocol. For the whole plant samples, 80 ng of each sample was subsequently pooled before library making. Libraries were prepared from 500 ng total RNA using the TrueSeq Stranded Total RNA with Ribo-Zero Plant kit (Illumina).

### **Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR (qRT-PCR)**

For qRT-PCR analysis, total RNAs were extracted from dissected meristem, young flowers and shoot tissues using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA (2 µg) was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using oligo(dT) primer and Transcriptor High Fidelity cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche). The cDNA was used as templates for qRT-PCR using LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche) and gene specific primers (Table S3).

### **Sequencing Analysis**

The six RNA-seq libraries were sent for sequencing at the Beijing Genome Institute (BGI, Hong Kong) using one full lane of Illumina HiSeq2000. The raw reads in FastQ format were obtained and analyzed in-house. We first assessed the quality of reads using FastQC (<http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>). Potential adaptor contamination and low quality trailing sequences were removed using Trimmomatic [64]. Preprocessed reads were then mapped to *Ler-0* reference genome

[65] using Tophat [66], and possible optical duplicates from PCR during the library preparation step were then removed using the Picard tools (<http://picard.sourceforge.net>). The relative transcript estimation was carried out using Cufflinks [67] to obtain the fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM) values, and converted to Transcript Per Million (TPM) [68], and also as raw reads using HTSeq [69]. Normalization of read counts, gene ontology analysis and generation of a pairwise correlation coefficient network are described in Supplemental experimental procedures.

### **Polysaccharide Linkage Analysis**

Alcohol insoluble residues (AIRs) were prepared from isolated SAMs and young flowers, and used in the determination of both neutral and acidic monosaccharide linkage composition and polysaccharide composition as previously described [21]. For comparison with *csld*, crosses between *clv3-2* and *csld3 csld5* produced F2 plants where *csld3 csld5 clv3* were not viable beyond early vegetative stage and therefore plants genotyped as *csld3+/- csld5 clv3*, that exhibited retarded growth, were used to generate SAM AIR for linkage analysis.

### **RNA *In Situ* Hybridizations of Wax-Embedded SAM Sections**

To generate gene-specific probes, cDNA fragments corresponding to each GT gene were amplified using gene-specific primers (Table S3) and ligated into the pGEM®-T Easy vector (Promega). The constructs were verified by sequencing and then used as templates for *in vitro* transcription using the DIG RNA Labeling Kit (Roche). Shoot apices of *Arabidopsis* wild type Col-0 or *clv3-9* (a gift from Rüdiger Simon, Heinrich-Heine-

University, Düsseldorf, Germany) were fixed in FAA (formaldehyde, acetic acid, ethanol), embedded in wax and cut into 8 µm sections. The sections were processed as described in (<http://www.its.caltech.edu/~plantlab/protocols/insitu.pdf>). Briefly, after dewaxing, rehydration and dehydration, the sections were hybridized with gene-specific probes, and then incubated with anti-digoxigenin antibody (Roche). The signals were detected by the colour reaction after application of NBT/BCIP (Roche). Sense controls, yielding no hybridization with target mRNA, are shown in Figure S3A. Two-colour fluorescent *in situ* hybridization was used for gene co-expression analysis and the protocol is described, in detail, in Supplementary experimental procedures.

### **Immunohistochemistry of Wall Components**

Wax sections generated for *in situ* hybridizations were used for antibody detection of wall polymers. Sections were mounted on polished slides and dewaxed using xylene followed by rehydration using an ethanol series 100% (v/v), 95%, 70%, 50%, 30%, and 10%. Sections were then incubated in buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH8.2, 0.5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 150 mM NaCl) for 20 minutes, followed by blocking in 0.5% w/v milk powder in buffer. Primary antibody, diluted in buffer, were added to sections and incubated overnight. After washes in buffer, secondary antibody incubations were carried out for 3 hours. Primary antibodies were rabbit PDM anti-mannan (gift from Paul Dupree, University of Cambridge) [70], LM28 rat anti-xylan (gift from Paul Dupree) [71], LM24 rat anti-xyloglucan [72], JIM7 rat anti-homogalacturonan [73], LM13 rat anti-arabinan [74], and LM5 rat anti-galactan (Plantprobes) [75]. Secondary antibodies were anti-rabbit IGG CF488A conjugate (Sigma SAB4600030), anti-rat IGG CF568 conjugate (Sigma SAB4600077) and anti-rat Alexa Fluor 647 (Life Technologies). Crystalline cellulose was detected by CBM3a [76] together with anti-his FITC secondary antibody. For enzyme

treatments, sections were incubated with pectolyase (0.1% w/v, Sigma P5936) in incubation buffer (0.2 M Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.1 M citric acid, pH4.8) prior to primary antibody incubation. Labelled sections were mounted in ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant (Life Technologies) with a coverslip and sealed. Images were taken with a Zeiss LSM700 confocal equipped with a 20x 0.8NA dry objective.

### **Live Cell Imaging of Transgenic Reporter Lines**

Plants were partially dissected to remove overhanging flowers obscuring the SAM. Live cell imaging was carried out on a Zeiss LSM700 confocal microscope equipped with 488nm and 555nm lasers and a 20x NA 1.0 water dipping objective.

### **Observing Cell Boundaries for Segmentation and Growth Analyses in *csld* Mutants**

The *csld2 csld5*, *csld3 csld5* and *csld2 csld3 csld5* mutants were a gift from Henrik Scheller (Berkeley) and their construction have been reported [40]. To view the SAM, large organs were dissected and the apex stained with the dye FM4-64 (Life Technologies, 333 ug ml<sup>-1</sup>) for 3 minutes and then carefully rinsed in water. SAMs were viewed under a Zeiss LSM700 confocal with water dipping objective where z-stacks were obtained and 3D rendered using the confocal software. For growth analyses, the acylated YFP plasma membrane marker (myr-YFP) was transformed in to heterozygous plants and double mutants identified after selection. Whole plants shortly after bolting were transferred to imaging boxes containing 1% w/v agar and 2.2 g l<sup>-1</sup> Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium supplemented with Gamborg B5 vitamins (Duchefa). Confocal

imaging of the YFP reporter was then carried out at two time points separated by 24hrs followed by segmentation and cell indexing using MorphographX [77], where a heat map of relative growth was selected as output upon the 3D image of the 24hr time point.

## **DATA AVAILABILITY**

The sequencing data have been deposited to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database, accession number SRP072228.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION**

WY and RW generated the cell wall preparations and carried out morphological analysis of the *csld* mutants. WY carried out the RNA *in situ* hybridizations with the help of CS and RW. CS prepared the RNA-Seq libraries and GO analysis. CS and VC processed the RNA-Seq data. CTB, AB and MSD carried out the linkage analysis and calculated the polysaccharide composition. AP devised and performed the cell wall immunolabelling protocols. RW and MSD carried out the literature searches, identified meristem glycosyltransferases and amalgamated the chemical and expression data. WY and RW prepared figures. RW, MSD, EM and AB devised the project and wrote the manuscript with contributions from the remaining authors.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors wish to thank Zachary Nimchuck for advice with reporter construction, Arun Sampathkumar for help with 3D visualization of the *GALT31A* reporter and Lisa Willis for help with spherical cap calculations. V.C. is in receipt of a Thailand Research Fund



(TRF) grant for New Researcher (Grant Number TRG5880067), and a Research Supplement grant from Faculty of Science, Mahidol University. CB, MSD and AB acknowledge the support of the ARC Centre of Excellence in Plant Cell Walls, Australia (Grant Number CE110001007). EMM acknowledges support from the Gatsby Charitable Trust through Fellowships GAT3272/C and GAT3273-PR1, the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (through Grant GBMF3406) and the US Department of Energy (through award DE-FG02-99ER13873). AP acknowledges support of the EU Marie-Curie FP7 COFUND People Programme through the award of an AgreeSkills grant no. 267196. RW acknowledges support from the Leverhulme Trust (Grant RPG-2015-285).

## REFERENCES

1. Doblin, M. S., Pettolino, F., and Bacic, A. (2010). Evans Review : Plant cell walls: the skeleton of the plant world. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 37, 357.
2. Cosgrove, D. J. (2005). Growth of the plant cell wall. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 6, 850–61.
3. Somerville, C., Bauer, S., Brininstool, G., Facette, M., Hamann, T., Milne, J., Osborne, E., Paredez, A., Persson, S., Raab, T., et al. (2004). Toward a systems approach to understanding plant cell walls. *Science* 306, 2206–11.
4. Liepman, A. H., Wightman, R., Geshi, N., Turner, S. R., and Scheller, H. V. (2010). Arabidopsis - A powerful model system for plant cell wall research. *Plant J.* 61, 1107–1121.
5. Szymanski, D. B., and Cosgrove, D. J. (2009). Dynamic coordination of cytoskeletal and cell wall systems during plant cell morphogenesis. *Curr. Biol.* 19,

800–11.

6. North, H. M., Berger, A., Saez-Aguayo, S., and Ralet, M.-C. (2014). Understanding polysaccharide production and properties using seed coat mutants: future perspectives for the exploitation of natural variants. *Ann. Bot.* 114, 1251–63.
7. Kwiatkowska, D., and Dumais, J. (2003). Growth and morphogenesis at the vegetative shoot apex of *Anagallis arvensis* L. *J. Exp. Bot.* 54, 1585–95.
8. Reinhardt, D., Mandel, T., and Kuhlemeier, C. (2000). Auxin regulates the initiation and radial position of plant lateral organs. *Plant Cell* 12, 507–18.
9. Powell, A. E., and Lenhard, M. (2012). Control of organ size in plants. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 360–7.
10. Hamant, O., Heisler, M. G., Jönsson, H., Krupinski, P., Uyttewaal, M., Bokov, P., Corson, F., Sahlin, P., Boudaoud, A., Meyerowitz, E. M., et al. (2008). Developmental patterning by mechanical signals in *Arabidopsis*. *Science* 322, 1650–5.
11. Heisler, M. G., Hamant, O., Krupinski, P., Uyttewaal, M., Ohno, C., Jönsson, H., Traas, J., and Meyerowitz, E. M. (2010). Alignment between PIN1 polarity and microtubule orientation in the shoot apical meristem reveals a tight coupling between morphogenesis and auxin transport. *PLoS Biol.* 8, e1000516.
12. Kierzkowski, D., Nakayama, N., Routier-Kierzkowska, A.-L., Weber, A., Bayer, E., Schorderet, M., Reinhardt, D., Kuhlemeier, C., and Smith, R. S. (2012). Elastic domains regulate growth and organogenesis in the plant shoot apical meristem. *Science* 335, 1096–9.
13. Milani, P., Gholamirad, M., Traas, J., Arnéodo, A., Boudaoud, A., Argoul, F., and Hamant, O. (2011). In vivo analysis of local wall stiffness at the shoot apical

- meristem in *Arabidopsis* using atomic force microscopy. *Plant J.* 67, 1116–23.
14. Peaucelle, A., Braybrook, S. A., Le Guillou, L., Bron, E., Kuhlemeier, C., and Höfte, H. (2011). Pectin-induced changes in cell wall mechanics underlie organ initiation in *Arabidopsis*. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 1720–6.
  15. Fleming, A. J., McQueen-Mason, S., Mandel, T., and Kuhlemeier, C. (1997). Induction of Leaf Primordia by the Cell Wall Protein Expansin. *Science.* 276, 1415–1418.
  16. Peaucelle, A., Louvet, R., Johansen, J. N., Höfte, H., Laufs, P., Pelloux, J., and Mouille, G. (2008). *Arabidopsis* phyllotaxis is controlled by the methyl-esterification status of cell-wall pectins. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 1943–8.
  17. Verica, J. A., and Medford, J. I. (1997). Modified MER15 expression alters cell expansion in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. *Plant Sci.* 125, 201–210.
  18. Priestley, J. H. (1929). Cell growth and cell division in the shoot of the flowering plant. *New Phytol.* 28, 54–81.
  19. Clark, S. E., Running, M. P., and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1995). CLAVATA3 is a specific regulator of shoot and floral meristem development affecting the same processes as CLAVATA1. *Development* 121, 2057–2067.
  20. Smyth, D. R., Bowman, J. L., and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1990). Early flower development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 2, 755–67.
  21. Pettolino, F. A., Walsh, C., Fincher, G. B., and Bacic, A. (2012). Determining the polysaccharide composition of plant cell walls. *Nat. Protoc.* 7, 1590–607.
  22. Bromley, J. R., Busse-Wicher, M., Tryfona, T., Mortimer, J. C., Zhang, Z., Brown, D. M., and Dupree, P. (2013). GUX1 and GUX2 glucuronyltransferases decorate distinct domains of glucuronoxylan with different substitution patterns. *Plant J.* 74,

423–34.

23. Lee, C., Teng, Q., Zhong, R., and Ye, Z.-H. (2012). Arabidopsis GUX proteins are glucuronyltransferases responsible for the addition of glucuronic acid side chains onto xylan. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 53, 1204–16.
24. Mortimer, J. C., Miles, G. P., Brown, D. M., Zhang, Z., Segura, M. P., Weimar, T., Yu, X., Seffen, K. A., Stephens, E., Turner, S. R., et al. (2010). Absence of branches from xylan in Arabidopsis *gux* mutants reveals potential for simplification of lignocellulosic biomass. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 17409–14.
25. Desprez, T., Juraniec, M., Crowell, E. F., Jouy, H., Pochylova, Z., Parcy, F., Höfte, H., Gonneau, M., and Vernhettes, S. (2007). Organization of cellulose synthase complexes involved in primary cell wall synthesis in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 15572–7.
26. Sterling, J. D., Atmodjo, M. A., Inwood, S. E., Kumar Kolli, V. S., Quigley, H. F., Hahn, M. G., and Mohnen, D. (2006). Functional identification of an Arabidopsis pectin biosynthetic homogalacturonan galacturonosyltransferase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 5236–41.
27. Atmodjo, M. A., Sakuragi, Y., Zhu, X., Burrell, A. J., Mohanty, S. S., Atwood, J. A., Orlando, R., Scheller, H. V, and Mohnen, D. (2011). Galacturonosyltransferase (GAUT)1 and GAUT7 are the core of a plant cell wall pectin biosynthetic homogalacturonan:galacturonosyltransferase complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108, 20225–30.
28. Cocuron, J.-C., Lerouxel, O., Drakakaki, G., Alonso, A. P., Liepman, A. H., Keegstra, K., Raikhel, N., and Wilkerson, C. G. (2007). A gene from the cellulose synthase-like C family encodes a beta-1,4 glucan synthase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 8550–5.

29. Chou, Y.-H., Pogorelko, G., Young, Z. T., and Zabortina, O. A. (2015). Protein-protein interactions among xyloglucan-synthesizing enzymes and formation of Golgi-localized multiprotein complexes. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 56, 255–67.
30. Jensen, J. K., Schultink, A., Keegstra, K., Wilkerson, C. G., and Pauly, M. (2012). RNA-Seq analysis of developing nasturtium seeds (*Tropaeolum majus*): identification and characterization of an additional galactosyltransferase involved in xyloglucan biosynthesis. *Mol. Plant* 5, 984–92.
31. Vuttipongchaikij, S., Brocklehurst, D., Steele-King, C., Ashford, D. A., Gomez, L. D., and McQueen-Mason, S. J. (2012). Arabidopsis GT34 family contains five xyloglucan  $\alpha$ -1,6-xylosyltransferases. *New Phytol.* 195, 585–95.
32. Edwards, M. E., Dickson, C. A., Chengappa, S., Sidebottom, C., Gidley, M. J., and Reid, J. S. G. (1999). Molecular characterisation of a membrane-bound galactosyltransferase of plant cell wall matrix polysaccharide biosynthesis. *Plant J.* 19, 691–697.
33. Voiniciuc, C., Schmidt, M. H.-W., Berger, A., Yang, B., Ebert, B., Scheller, H. V., North, H. M., Usadel, B., and Guenl, M. (2015). MUC10 Produces Galactoglucomannan That Maintains Pectin and Cellulose Architecture in Arabidopsis Seed Mucilage. *Plant Physiol.*, pp.15.00851–.
34. Goubet, F., Barton, C. J., Mortimer, J. C., Yu, X., Zhang, Z., Miles, G. P., Richens, J., Liepman, A. H., Seffen, K., and Dupree, P. (2009). Cell wall glucomannan in Arabidopsis is synthesised by CSLA glycosyltransferases, and influences the progression of embryogenesis. *Plant J.* 60, 527–38.
35. Brown, D. M., Goubet, F., Wong, V. W., Goodacre, R., Stephens, E., Dupree, P., and Turner, S. R. (2007). Comparison of five xylan synthesis mutants reveals new insight into the mechanisms of xylan synthesis. *Plant J.* 52, 1154–68.

36. Brown, D. M., Zhang, Z., Stephens, E., Dupree, P., and Turner, S. R. (2009). Characterization of IRX10 and IRX10-like reveals an essential role in glucuronoxylan biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 57, 732–46.
37. De Bodt, S., and Inzé, D. (2013). A guide to CORNET for the construction of coexpression and protein-protein interaction networks. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1011, 327–43.
38. Kong, Y., Zhou, G., Yin, Y., Xu, Y., Pattathil, S., and Hahn, M. G. (2011). Molecular analysis of a family of Arabidopsis genes related to galacturonosyltransferases. *Plant Physiol.* 155, 1791–805.
39. Park, S., Szumlanski, A. L., Gu, F., Guo, F., and Nielsen, E. (2011). A role for CSLD3 during cell-wall synthesis in apical plasma membranes of tip-growing root-hair cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 13, 973–80.
40. Yin, L., Verherbruggen, Y., Oikawa, A., Manisseri, C., Knierim, B., Prak, L., Jensen, J. K., Knox, J. P., Auer, M., Willats, W. G. T., et al. (2011). The cooperative activities of CSLD2, CSLD3, and CSLD5 are required for normal Arabidopsis development. *Mol. Plant* 4, 1024–37.
41. Wang, W., Wang, L., Chen, C., Xiong, G., Tan, X.-Y., Yang, K.-Z., Wang, Z.-C., Zhou, Y., Ye, D., and Chen, L.-Q. (2011). Arabidopsis CSLD1 and CSLD4 are required for cellulose deposition and normal growth of pollen tubes. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62, 5161–77.
42. Vatén, A., Dettmer, J., Wu, S., Stierhof, Y.-D., Miyashima, S., Yadav, S. R., Roberts, C. J., Campilho, A., Bulone, V., Lichtenberger, R., et al. (2011). Callose biosynthesis regulates symplastic trafficking during root development. *Dev. Cell* 21, 1144–55.
43. Geshi, N., Johansen, J. N., Dilokpimol, A., Rolland, A., Belcram, K., Verger, S.,

- Kotake, T., Tsumuraya, Y., Kaneko, S., Tryfona, T., et al. (2013). A galactosyltransferase acting on arabinogalactan protein glycans is essential for embryo development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* 76, 128–37.
44. Fleming, A. J., Mandel, T., Roth, I., and Kuhlemeier, C. (1993). The patterns of gene expression in the tomato shoot apical meristem. *Plant Cell* 5, 297–309.
45. Koning, A. J., Tanimoto, E. Y., Kiehne, K., Rost, T., and Comai, L. (1991). Cell-specific expression of plant histone H2A genes. *Plant Cell* 3, 657–65.
46. Liwanag, A. J. M., Ebert, B., Verherbruggen, Y., Rennie, E. A., Rautengarten, C., Oikawa, A., Andersen, M. C. F., Clausen, M. H., and Scheller, H. V. (2012). Pectin biosynthesis: GAL51 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* is a  $\beta$ -1,4-galactan  $\beta$ -1,4-galactosyltransferase. *Plant Cell* 24, 5024–36.
47. Dilokpimol, A., Poulsen, C. P., Vereb, G., Kaneko, S., Schulz, A., and Geshi, N. (2014). Galactosyltransferases from *Arabidopsis thaliana* in the biosynthesis of type II arabinogalactan: molecular interaction enhances enzyme activity. *BMC Plant Biol.* 14, 90.
48. Sampathkumar, A., Krupinski, P., Wightman, R., Milani, P., Berquand, A., Boudaoud, A., Hamant, O., Jönsson, H., and Meyerowitz, E. M. (2014). Subcellular and supracellular mechanical stress prescribes cytoskeleton behavior in *Arabidopsis* cotyledon pavement cells. *Elife* 3, e01967.
49. Seifert, G. J., and Blaukopf, C. (2010). Irritable walls: the plant extracellular matrix and signaling. *Plant Physiol.* 153, 467–78.
50. Tryfona, T., Theys, T. E., Wagner, T., Stott, K., Keegstra, K., and Dupree, P. (2014). Characterisation of FUT4 and FUT6  $\alpha$ -(1  $\rightarrow$  2)-fucosyltransferases reveals that absence of root arabinogalactan fucosylation increases *Arabidopsis* root growth salt sensitivity. *PLoS One* 9, e93291.

51. Mortimer, J. C., Faria-Blanc, N., Yu, X., Tryfona, T., Sorieul, M., Ng, Y. Z., Zhang, Z., Stott, K., Anders, N., and Dupree, P. (2015). An unusual xylan in Arabidopsis primary cell walls is synthesised by GUX3, IRX9L, IRX10L and IRX14. *Plant J.* 83, 413–426.
52. Lin, D., Lopez-Sanchez, P., and Gidley, M. J. (2016). Interactions of pectins with cellulose during its synthesis in the absence of calcium. *Food Hydrocoll.* 52, 57–68.
53. Majewska-Sawka, A. (2000). The Multiple Roles of Arabinogalactan Proteins in Plant Development. *Plant Physiol.* 122, 3–10.
54. Johnson, K. L., Kibble, N. A. J., Bacic, A., and Schultz, C. J. (2011). A fasciclin-like arabinogalactan-protein (FLA) mutant of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *fla1*, shows defects in shoot regeneration. *PLoS One* 6, e25154.
55. Hong, Z., Delauney, A. J., and Verma, D. P. (2001). A cell plate-specific callose synthase and its interaction with phragmoplastin. *Plant Cell* 13, 755–68.
56. Miart, F., Desprez, T., Biot, E., Morin, H., Belcram, K., Höfte, H., Gonneau, M., and Vernhettes, S. (2014). Spatio-temporal analysis of cellulose synthesis during cell plate formation in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* 77, 71–84.
57. Moore, P. J., and Staehelin, L. A. (1988). Immunogold localization of the cell-wall-matrix polysaccharides rhamnogalacturonan I and xyloglucan during cell expansion and cytokinesis in *Trifolium pratense* L.; implication for secretory pathways. *Planta* 174, 433–45.
58. Northcote, D. H., Davey, R., and Lay, J. (1989). Use of antisera to localize callose, xylan and arabinogalactan in the cell-plate, primary and secondary walls of plant cells. *Planta* 178, 353–66.



59. Brady, S. M., Orlando, D. A., Lee, J.-Y., Wang, J. Y., Koch, J., Dinneny, J. R., Mace, D., Ohler, U., and Benfey, P. N. (2007). A high-resolution root spatiotemporal map reveals dominant expression patterns. *Science* 318, 801–6.
60. Toufighi, K., Brady, S. M., Austin, R., Ly, E., and Provart, N. J. (2005). The Botany Array Resource: e-Northern, Expression Angling, and promoter analyses. *Plant J.* 43, 153–63.
61. Yoshikawa, T., Eiguchi, M., Hibara, K.-I., Ito, J.-I., and Nagato, Y. (2013). Rice slender leaf 1 gene encodes cellulose synthase-like D4 and is specifically expressed in M-phase cells to regulate cell proliferation. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64, 2049–61.
62. Bernal, A. J., Jensen, J. K., Harholt, J., Sørensen, S., Møller, I., Blaukopf, C., Johansen, B., de Lotto, R., Pauly, M., Scheller, H. V., et al. (2007). Disruption of ATCSLD5 results in reduced growth, reduced xylan and homogalacturonan synthase activity and altered xylan occurrence in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* 52, 791–802.
63. Bowman, J. (1994). *Arabidopsis : an atlas of morphology and development* (New York: Springer-Verlag).
64. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114–20.
65. Gan, X., Stegle, O., Behr, J., Steffen, J. G., Drewe, P., Hildebrand, K. L., Lyngsoe, R., Schultheiss, S. J., Osborne, E. J., Sreedharan, V. T., et al. (2011). Multiple reference genomes and transcriptomes for *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* 477, 419–23.
66. Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., and Salzberg, S. L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol.* 10, 25.

67. Trapnell, C., Roberts, A., Goff, L., Pertea, G., Kim, D., Kelley, D. R., Pimentel, H., Salzberg, S. L., Rinn, J. L., and Pachter, L. (2012). Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. *Nat. Protoc.* 7, 562–78.
68. Pachter, L. (2011). Models for transcript quantification from RNA-Seq. ArXiv e-prints 1104.3889.
69. Anders, S., Pyl, P. T., and Huber, W. (2014). HTSeq - A Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 31, 166–9.
70. Handford, M. G., Baldwin, T. C., Goubet, F., Prime, T. A., Miles, J., Yu, X., and Dupree, P. (2003). Localisation and characterisation of cell wall mannan polysaccharides in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Planta* 218, 27–36.
71. Cornuault, V., Buffetto, F., Rydahl, M. G., Marcus, S. E., Torode, T. A., Xue, J., Crépeau, M.-J., Faria-Blanc, N., Willats, W. G. T., Dupree, P., et al. (2015). Monoclonal antibodies indicate low-abundance links between heteroxylan and other glycans of plant cell walls. *Planta* 242, 1321-34.
72. Pedersen, H. L., Fangel, J. U., McCleary, B., Ruzanski, C., Rydahl, M. G., Ralet, M.-C., Farkas, V., von Schantz, L., Marcus, S. E., Andersen, M. C. F., et al. (2012). Versatile high resolution oligosaccharide microarrays for plant glycobiology and cell wall research. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 39429–38.
73. Knox, J. P., Linstead, P. J., King, J., Cooper, C., and Roberts, K. (1990). Pectin esterification is spatially regulated both within cell walls and between developing tissues of root apices. *Planta* 181, 512–21.
74. Verhertbruggen, Y., Marcus, S. E., Haeger, A., Verhoef, R., Schols, H. A., McCleary, B. V, McKee, L., Gilbert, H. J., and Knox, J. P. (2009). Developmental complexity of arabinan polysaccharides and their processing in plant cell walls.

Plant J. 59, 413–25.

75. Jones, L., Seymour, G. B., and Knox, J. P. (1997). Localization of Pectic Galactan in Tomato Cell Walls Using a Monoclonal Antibody Specific to (1[->]4)-[beta]-D-Galactan. *Plant Physiol.* 113, 1405–1412.
76. Blake, A. W., McCartney, L., Flint, J. E., Bolam, D. N., Boraston, A. B., Gilbert, H. J., and Knox, J. P. (2006). Understanding the biological rationale for the diversity of cellulose-directed carbohydrate-binding modules in prokaryotic enzymes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 29321–9.
77. de Reuille, P. B., Robinson, S., and Smith, R. S. (2014). Quantifying cell shape and gene expression in the shoot apical meristem using MorphoGraphX. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1080, 121–34.

## FIGURE LEGENDS

### Figure 1. Dissection of Meristematic Cells for Wall Analysis

(A) Schematic representation of the organization of *Arabidopsis* shoot apex. Meristematic cells (purple) in both shoot apical meristem (SAM) and flower primordia were collected for analysis. CZ, central zone; PZ, peripheral zone.

(B and C) Schematic flowchart displaying the strategy used in this study. The meristematic cells carefully dissected from *c/v3-2* SAM and flower primordia (before Stage 6 according to [20]) (C) were used for cell wall preparation and RNA extraction. Cell wall composition was determined by linkage analysis. Glycosyltransferase (GT) gene expression was identified by RNA sequencing (B).

(D) The expression of genes specific to SAM (*APUM10* and *WUS*), flower (*AP1* and *AG*) and shoot vasculature (*CESA7*, *COBL4*, *TED6* and *SND2*) in dissected shoot apical meristem and young flower. The shoot sample was included for comparison. *Arabidopsis UBIQUITIN10* gene was used as an internal control. Shown are mean values from three replicates, error bars represent standard deviation values. See also Figure S1.

## **Figure 2. Composition and Spatial Distribution of Wall Components in Shoot Apex**

(A) Monosaccharide linkage composition of AIR cell wall preparations.

(B) Calculation of polysaccharide composition based on monosaccharide linkage analysis shown in (A).

(C-N) Immunofluorescence labelling showing the spatial distribution of wall components. The longitudinal sections of *Arabidopsis* shoot apex were incubated with cell wall antibody probes. No primary antibody (anti-rabbit secondary) control is shown in (C) and overexposed control in (D). Scale bar = 25  $\mu\text{m}$ . Scale bars in (C-G) and (J-N) = 5  $\mu\text{m}$ , in (H) = 20  $\mu\text{m}$ , and in (I) = 10  $\mu\text{m}$ . See also Table S1.

## **Figure 3. Localisation of Glycosyltransferase (GT) Gene mRNAs in Shoot Apex by *In Situ* Hybridization**

(A) The expression patterns of glycosyltransferase genes expressed in shoot apex. The GTs were classified into five patterns according to their mRNA distribution. One representative gene for each type was shown in both longitudinal (top panel) and transverse (bottom panel) sections. Scale bar = 50  $\mu\text{m}$  except for Type 4 bottom panel, which is 20  $\mu\text{m}$ .

(B) A sketch showing the expression patterns of GTs and summary of gene number in each GT family that are classified into different expression patterns.

(C, D) Co-expression of GT genes with *CyclinB1;1* that marks dividing cells by dual labelling fluorescent *in situ* hybridization. Scale bar = 20  $\mu$ m. See also Figures S2, S3 and S4, Table S2, and supplemental data set.

#### **Figure 4. CSLDs Are Required for Shoot Apical Meristem Maintenance**

(A) Expression patterns of *CSLD2*, *CSLD3* and *CSLD5* as revealed by *in situ* hybridization. Scale bar = 50  $\mu$ m.

(C-D) Confocal images showing the expression domains of *CSLD2*, *CSLD3* and *CSLD5* in SAM. *CSLD2* and *CSLD3* expression is active in most of the cells, while *CSLD5* is enriched in dividing cells. Scale bars in (C) = 50  $\mu$ m and in (D) = 25  $\mu$ m.

(E and F) Whole plant phenotypes of wild-type (WT, Col-0) and the *csld2 csld3 csld5* triple mutant. A close-up of the *csld2 csld3 csld5* mutant is shown in (F) with the shoot apex boxed. Scale bars in (E) = 1 cm and in (F) = 0.5 mm.

(G and H) 3D renders of confocal z-stacks of wild-type and *csld2 csld5* mutant SAMs. Scale bar = 20  $\mu$ m.

(I and J) A growth heat map of wild-type and *csld2 csld5* mutant SAMs showing relative growth increases per cell over a 24-hour period. In the *csld2 csld5* mutant, the location of the SAM is indicated by an arrow (H and J). Scale bar = 20  $\mu$ m.

See also Figure S5.

#### **Figure 5. Graphical Representation Showing the Different Types of Polysaccharides and Their Linkages Present in the SAM Cell Wall**

Shown are GT family and subfamily assignments. Where only one candidate exists or a transcript is present >10-fold than other members of a GT family, the gene name is given in brackets. Xylan, RGI, XG and AG have diverse backbone substitution/branch patterns and the types of linkages are shown. For type II AG(P), the recently identified

*Arabidopsis* xylosyl-terminal branch is included [50]. For xylan, the recently determined primary wall structure is shown [51]. The HM shown, as an example, is galactoglucomannan. Heteromannan (HM), rhamnogalacturanan I and II (RGI, RGII), type I and II arabinogalactan (AG), xylogalacturanan (XGA), xyloglucan (XG).