1	Associations among moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, indices of cognitive control, and
2	academic achievement in preadolescents
3	Dominika M. Pindus, PhD, ^{1, 2} , Eric S. Drollette, BS ³ , Mark R. Scudder, BS ³ ,
4	Naiman A. Khan, PhD, RD ³ , Lauren B. Raine, BS ³ , Lauren B. Sherar, PhD ^{2, 4} ,
5	Dale W Esliger, PhD ^{2, 4} , Arthur F. Kramer, PhD ⁵ , and Charles H. Hillman, PhD ³
6	¹ Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB1 8RN, UK
7	² National Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine, School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences,
8	Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU
9	³ Department of Kinesiology and Community Health, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 906
10	South Goodwin Avenue, Urbana, 61801 IL, USA
11	⁴ The NIHR Leicester-Loughborough Diet, Lifestyle and Physical Activity Biomedical Research Unit
12	⁵ The Beckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology, University of Illinois at Urbana-
13	Champaign, 317 Freer Hall, 405 N. Mathews Avenue, Urbana, 61801 IL, USA
14	Corresponding author: Dominika Pindus, ¹ Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University
15	of Cambridge, Strangeways Research Laboratory, 2 Worts' Causeway, Cambridge, CB1 8RN, UK; E-
16	mail: D.M.Pindus@medschl.cam.ac.uk; Telephone: +44 (0) 1223 748696
17	Key words: Accelerometry, aerobic fitness, inhibitory control, working memory, standardized
18	academic tests, children. Short title: MVPA, cognitive control and academic achievement
19	Sources of funding: This study was funded in part by a grant from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver
20	National Institute of Child Health and Human Development HD069381 to Charles Hillman and Arthur
21	Kramer, which also funded the work of Bonnie Hemrick and Jeanine Bensken. Lauren Sherar and
22	Dale Esliger were funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Diet, Lifestyle &
23	Physical Activity Biomedical Research Unit, University Hospitals of Leicester; the National Institute
24	for Health Research Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care – East
25	Midlands (NIHR CLAHRC – EM); Lauren Raine was funded by the National Institute for Agriculture
26	under the Illinois Transdisciplinary Obesity Prevention Program grant (2011-67001-30101); the Hatch

- 27 Project #ILLU-971-358. The manuscript formed a part of a PhD research by Dominika Pindus funded
- 28 by the School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University. No industry relations
- 29 were noted for Bonnie Hemrick or Jeanine Bensken. *Conflict of interests:* Neither authors nor
- 30 individuals listed in the acknowledgements report any potential, perceived or real conflict of
- 31 interests in relation to this manuscript.

32

Abstract

33 **Objective**: To assess whether preadolescents' objectively measured moderate-to-vigorous physical 34 activity (MVPA) is associated with cognitive control and academic achievement, independent of 35 aerobic fitness. Little evidence exists on how child's daily MVPA (a behavior) relates to cognitive 36 control and academic achievement once differences in aerobic fitness (a physical state) are 37 accounted for.

38 Study design: A sample of 74 US children (M_{age} = 8.64 years, SD = .58, 46 % girls) were included in 39 the analyses. Daily MVPA (min/day) was measured over 7 days using ActiGraph wGT3X+ 40 accelerometer. Aerobic fitness was measured using a maximal graded exercise test and expressed as 41 maximal oxygen uptake (mL*kg⁻¹*min⁻¹). Inhibitory control was measured with a modified Eriksen 42 flanker task (reaction time and accuracy), and working memory with an Operation Span Task 43 (accuracy scores). Academic achievement (in reading, mathematics and spelling) was expressed as 44 standardized scores on the Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement. The relationships were 45 assessed using hierarchical regression models adjusting for aerobic fitness and other covariates.

46 **Results:** No significant associations were found between MVPA and inhibition, working memory, or 47 academic achievement. Aerobic fitness was positively associated with inhibitory control (p = .02) and 48 spelling (p = .04) but not with other cognitive or academic variables (p's > .05).

49 **Conclusions:** Aerobic fitness, rather than daily MVPA, is positively associated with childhood ability 50 to manage perceptual interference and spelling. Further research into the associations between 51 objectively measured MVPA and cognitive and academic outcomes in children while controlling for 52 important covariates is needed.

	Abbreviations
ADHD	Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder
ANL	All-or-nothing load score on a working memory task
ANU	All-or-nothing unit score on a working memory task
ВМІ	Body mass index
СРМ	Accelerometer counts per minute
HR	Heart rate
IQ	Intelligence quotient
KTEA II	Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement, Second Edition
MET	Metabolic equivalent
MVPA	Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity
OSPAN	Operation Span Task (working memory task)
PCL	Partial Credit Load score on a working memory task
PCU	Partial Credit Unit score on a working memory task
RT	Reaction time
SES	Socio-economic status
VO _{2max}	Maximal oxygen consumption

Physically inactive children may be missing opportunities for optimizing their cognitive and academic potential.¹⁻⁴ That is, increasing children's engagement in regular, structured and sustained MVPA (namely, aerobic exercise) can benefit cognitive functions, which are implicated in self-regulation, goal directed behavior and academic achievement (i.e. cognitive control)^{1, 2} Likewise, regular increases in school physical activity can benefit academic achievement.³⁻⁶ However, little evidence exists on whether children's daily, lifestyle embedded MVPA (i.e. MVPA accumulated throughout the entire day) is related to cognitive control and academic achievement.

61 Extant studies using objective monitoring of physical activity yield equivocal results in relation to both cognitive control and academic achievement. That is, either null⁷⁻⁹ or select positive 62 associations have been observed.¹⁰⁻¹² However, their conclusions remain limited, as these studies did 63 not statistically control for aerobic fitness and/or intelligence quotient (IQ).¹⁰⁻¹² More aerobically fit 64 65 children perform better cognitively (i.e., have greater working memory and can better control distractions)^{13, 14} and academically^{15, 16}, as do those with higher intellectual ability.^{17, 18} In one study, a 66 67 positive relationship between physical activity emerged only after mediation via aerobic fitness had been considered.⁵ Consequently, not accounting for inter-individual differences in these variables 68 69 could occlude or confound the underlying associations.

70 To address this limitation, we aimed to assess the associations between accelerometer 71 measured daily MVPA, cognitive control and academic achievement in a sample of preadolescent 72 children while controlling for aerobic fitness and IQ. We measured two aspects of cognitive control, 73 which are most consistently related to academic achievement: inhibitory control and working memory.¹⁹ We hypothesized that: 1) greater daily MVPA would be related to better performance on 74 75 measures of cognitive control and standardized tests of academic achievement (reading, 76 mathematics and spelling); 2) cognitive control would mediate the relationship between daily MVPA 77 and academic achievement in reading and mathematics; and 3) aerobic fitness would mediate the 78 relationship between daily MVPA and academic achievement in mathematics as indicated by 79 previous findings.⁵

80 Methods

81 One hundred three children aged 7 to 9 years (48.5% girls; $M_{aae} = 8.66 \pm 0.56$) were recruited 82 from seven schools in East Central Illinois, USA between June and October in 2013 and in 2014. 83 Approximately 1800 children were reached via flyers, mailings and local events, an average of 225 84 responded (12.5%) and of those, 139 (61.8%) qualified for the study and 103 (46%) completed 85 measurements. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of 86 Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Parents provided written consent and children provided written 87 assent. To qualify for the study, the children had to 1) be free of neurological disorders, 2) physical 88 disability, and 3) clinical diagnosis of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD; as disclosed by 89 parents; in addition, legal guardians completed ADHD Rating Scale IV²⁰). In addition, to be included 90 the children had to: 1) have an IQ score > 85 on the Brief Intellectual Ability of the Woodcock-Johnson III Tests of Cognitive Abilities,²¹ and 2) provide \geq 3 days of valid accelerometer data (\geq 10 91 hours of valid accelerometer wear).²² One child with an IQ of 84 (not a statistical outlier) was 92 93 included in the analyses (the exclusion of this child's data did not change the results). After 94 exclusions (<3 valid days of accelerometer wear (n = 10), < 50% accuracy on cognitive tests (n = 13), 95 missing data (n = 6: cognitive variables n = 4, ADHD n = 1, fitness n = 1), data from 74 children (46%) girls, M_{aae} = 8.64 ± .58) were analyzed. Children visited a laboratory on two separate occasions to 96 97 complete neuropsychological and cognitive testing. Accelerometers were issued on one of the 98 testing days, and returned by a parent upon completion of wear.

99 Standing height was measured with a Seca telescopic stadiometer model 220 (Seca, 100 Birmingham, UK) to the nearest millimeter and weight was assessed with a Seca 769 electronic 101 column scale (Seca, Birmnigham, UK) while children were in lightweight clothing and shoes. BMI 102 (weight (kg) * (height (m²))⁻¹) percentiles were calculated based on Centers for Disease Control 103 growth charts.²³

104 Physical activity was measured over seven consecutive days with a triaxial Actigraph 105 accelerometer model wGT3X+ (ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL, USA) worn on the waist at the right

106 anterior axillary line on an elastic, nylon belt. Data were collected at 100 Hz resolution, integrated 107 into 15 s epochs using ActiLife (versions 6.7.1 to 6.10.0; ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL, USA), processed 108 with KineSoft software (version 3.3.76, Loughborough, UK) and screened following the procedures 109 described by Sherar et al²⁴ Non-wear was defined as 60 minutes of consecutive zero counts, allowing for 2 minutes interruptions.²⁵ To exclude the overnight wear, the analyses were limited to data 110 collected between 6am and 11pm. MVPA was defined based on age specific cut points²⁶ (for 8 year 111 old children) using four metabolic equivalents (METs) as a threshold.²⁷ Sedentary time was defined 112 as < 100 CPM.²⁸ 113

114 Maximal oxygen consumption (VO_{2max}) was measured during a graded treadmill test using a 115 computerized indirect calorimetry system (ParvoMedics True Max 2400, Sandy, UT, USA). Averages 116 of VO_{2max} and respiratory exchange ratio (RER) were taken every 20 seconds, while children walked 117 or ran (LifeFitness 92T, Schiller Park, IL, USA). Heart rate (HR) (polar HR monitor; Polar WearLink+31; Polar Electro, Finland) and perceived exertion (children's OMNI scale²⁹) were monitored throughout 118 the test. Relative VO_{2max} (mL*kg⁻¹*min⁻¹) was determined by a plateau in oxygen consumption (> 2 119 $mL^*kg^{-1}*min^{-1}$ despite an increase in workload³⁰) or at least one of the following: 1) a HR \ge 185 beats 120 121 per minute³⁰; 2) a HR plateau³¹; 3) RER $\ge 1.0^{32}$; and/or 4) a score of ≥ 8 on the children's OMNI scale.²⁹ VO_{2max} percentiles were computed based on normative values.³³ 122

Socio-economic status (SES) was calculated using a trichotomous index based on parental reports of: 1) child's participation in free or reduced price lunch program at school, 2) the highest level of education obtained by the mother and father, and 3) the number of parents who work full time.³⁴ Pubertal stage was assessed by parental ratings on a pictorial scale based on photographs of secondary sexual characteristic standards (5 stages^{35, 36}. Stage 1 indicates prepubertal state (no overt signs of secondary sex charactersitics) and stage 5 indicates the full mature state.

129 Inhibitory control was assessed with a modified Eriksen flanker task³⁷ which measures ability 130 to suppress distractors and attend to relevant information. Participants were asked to respond as 131 quickly and accurately as possible with a thumb press to the directionality (left or right) of a centrally

132 positioned target fish amid an array of congruous (facing in the same direction) or incongruous 133 (facing the opposite direction) flanker fish.¹ Following 40 practice trials, participants completed two 134 blocks of 84 experimental trials with equiprobable congruency and directionality. The stimuli were 3 135 cm tall yellow fish presented focally (using Neuroscan Stim 2 software, Compumedics, Charlotte, NC) 136 for 250 ms on a blue background with equiprobable inter-stimulus intervals (ISI) of 1600, 1800 or 137 2000 ms. Measures of mean RT, accuracy and two measures of interference control (accuracy and 138 reaction time interference, expressed as the differences between congruent and incongruent values 139 with higher values indicative of poorer performance) were taken. High test re-test reliability (ICC = 140 0.95) and convergent validity (r = 0.48) have been observed using an abbreviated version of the 141 task.³⁸

Working memory was measured with the Operation Span Task (OSPAN).^{39, 40} A trial consisted 142 143 of individual words printed on a computer screen followed by a simple arithmetic problem (e.g., 1 + 144 2 = 3). Participants were instructed to read both aloud, indicate whether an arithmetic problem was 145 correctly solved, and to write down all words in the order of presentation during a recall phase. Four 146 blocks of four sets of trials (set size: 1 to 4 trials) were presented at random (40 trials across 16 sets). 147 Words were presented focally on a computer screen (Neuroscan Stim 2 software, Compumedics, 148 Charlotte, NC) for 1000 ms followed by an ISI of 1100 ms and an arithmetic problem displayed for up to 10 s. Scoring criteria⁴⁰ included scores which did (all-or-nothing credit) and did not require (partial 149 150 credit) correct and sequential recall of all items in a set: 1) all-or-nothing unit score (ANU; the 151 number of sets correct divided by the total number of sets), 2) all-or-nothing load score (ANL; the 152 proportion of the sum of trials correct to the total number of trials), 3) partial credit unit score (PCU; 153 the average of the summed proportions of trials correct to the set size), and 4) partial credit load 154 score (PCL; the proportion of trials correct to the total number of trials). OSPAN tasks have high testre-test reliability ($r = .88^{41}$) and good convergent validity (r's = .40 to .60⁴²; adult data). 155

Academic achievement in reading, mathematics and spelling was assessed with five subtests from the Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement, Second Edition (KTEA II).⁴³ Composite standardized scores (M = 100, SD = 15) for reading (word recognition and reading comprehension) and mathematics (math concepts, applications and computation), and standardized score for spelling subscale were included. KTEA II sub-tests have very high internal consistencies, inter-rater reliabilities and internal validity (r's = .91-.97⁴³).

162 Statistical analyses

163 Independent sample t-tests, analyses of covariance, and chi-square statistics were used to evaluate 164 group differences in demographic, anthropometric, physical activity, cognitive and academic 165 achievement variables, as appropriate. Within participant differences on the flanker task were 166 assessed with Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to inspect 167 bivariate associations, while partial correlations (controlling for wear time) to assess relationships 168 with MVPA. Where appropriate, variables were transformed to comply with normality. The 169 relationships were further inspected with three sets of multiple hierarchical regression models. In 170 minimally adjusted models outcomes were predicted from MVPA adjusting for wear time only. 171 Partially adjusted models were additionally adjusted for covariates (e.g., age, sex, IQ, ADHD ratings, 172 birth weight) if they were significantly related to cognitive and/or academic achievement outcomes 173 in bivariate correlations. Fully adjusted models were adjusted for covariates as per partially adjusted 174 models and additionally for aerobic fitness. All models were assessed for multi-collinearity and 175 normal distribution of error terms. Where appropriate, variables were log or square root 176 transformed to conform to the assumption of normality of distribution. IBM SPSS Statistics version 177 23.0.0.1 was used to conduct all the analyses. The alpha level was set at .05.

178 **Results**

No differences were noted between children who were excluded (n = 29) and those included (n = 74) in the study with regards to demographic (age, sex, ADHD ratings) or anthropometric (height, weight, BMI) variables, pubertal stage, aerobic fitness, percent lower or higher fit, or overweight and obese ($p's \ge .09$). Children included in the analyses did not differ from those excluded in any of the physical activity variables (CPM, time sedentary, light PA or MVPA; $p's \ge .07$). Those included in the analyses had, on average, higher IQ ($M_{incl} = 112$, $M_{excl} = 102$, t(101) = 3.13, p = .002), while those excluded were more likely to come from a lower SES background (OR = 2.89, $\chi^2(1) = 5.66$, p = .02).

187 Descriptive characteristics of participants stratified by sex are presented in table 1. No 188 significant sex differences were noted for age, anthropometric (height, weight, BMI, BMI percentile) 189 ADHD, IQ ($p's \ge .29$) or physical activity variables (CPM, wear time, sedentary time, MVPA; $p's \ge .11$). 190 As expected, boys had higher relative VO_{2max} (t(72) = 2.05, p = .044) but did not differ from girls on 191 VO_{2max} percentile (p = .52). No sex differences were noted for SES, overweight/obese status, or 192 percent of higher and lower fit ($p's \ge .22$). Girls were more likely to be classed as pre-pubertal (OR = 193 3.1, $\chi^2 = 5.64$, p = .18). Boys were more accurate ($M_{\text{boys}} = 83.6\%$) than girls ($M_{\text{girls}} = 77.4\%$, t(72) =194 2.55, p = .01) on the congruent flanker condition. No further sex differences were noted in 195 performance on either cognitive tasks or academic achievement tests ($p's \ge .15$).

196 The majority of participants (n = 64, 86.5%) wore the accelerometer for at least five days, 197 two (2.7%), eight (10.8%), 16 (21.6%), 26 (35.1%) and 22 (29.7%) participants provided data for 3, 4, 198 5, 6 and 7 days, respectively. Average daily wear time was 13.3 hours (6am-11pm table 1). Physical 199 activity was positively and moderately related to aerobic fitness: CPM: r = .37, p = .001, MVPA (log 200 transformed): pr = .37, p = .001. Participants' performance on cognitive tasks and academic 201 achievement tests are summarized in table 2. As expected, participants responded, on average, 202 faster and more accurately on congruent than incongruent trials, mean $RT_{difference}$: Z = 7.22, p < .001, r 203 = 0.59; accuracy_{difference}: Z = -6.02, p < .001, r = .50.

No significant partial correlations between MVPA and either cognitive or academic achievement variables were noted ($p's \ge .13$). Aerobic fitness was negatively related to accuracy interference (r = -.25, p = .03) but not to other cognitive variables or academic achievement ($ps \ge$.11).

Table 3 presents the summary of the results (significance levels for model ANOVAs, model R²'s and standardized parameter estimates for MVPA and fitness, where appropriate; data for

210 covariates not shown) from the minimally (adjusted for wear time), partially (additionally adjusted 211 for covariates) and fully adjusted (additionally adjusted for aerobic fitness) regression models 212 predicting inhibitory control, working memory and academic achievement from MVPA. MVPA was 213 not related to either measures of inhibitory control (accuracy, mean RT or interference on the 214 flanker task; $p's \ge .11$) or working memory (PCU, PCL, ANU or ANL scores on the OSPAN, $p's \ge .52$) 215 regardless of the adjustment for covariates and aerobic fitness (table 3). Similarly, MVPA was not 216 related to academic achievement in either reading, mathematics or spelling in minimally, partially 217 and fully adjusted models (p's \geq .20). Covariates explained significant proportion of variance in 218 models predicting incongruent mean RT and interference accuracy on the flanker task, PCU on the 219 OSPAN and academic achievement in reading, mathematics and spelling as indicated by R² values 220 and significant ANOVAs for partially adjusted models (table 3). Birth weight and ADHD explained 221 12% of variance each in incongruent mean RT and accuracy interference ($p's \le .02$), while IQ and age 222 explained 21% of variance in PCU on the OSPAN task (p = .001). IQ was the strongest predictor of 223 academic achievement, accounting for 20% to 34% of variance (p's < .001). Aerobic fitness emerged 224 as a significant predictor of spelling (p = .04), predicting 4.6% of variance, and showed a trend for 225 accuracy interference (p = .06).

In follow-up regression models, where aerobic fitness was entered as the main predictor, it explained 6.8% of variance in accuracy interference ($\beta = -.26$, t(71) = 2.40, p = .02, F(2, 71) = 7.17, p =.001) after controlling for ADHD scores ($\beta = -.32$, t = 2.99, p < .001) and 4.7% variance in spelling ($\beta =$ -.22, t(71) = 2.12, p = .04, F(2, 71) = 12.8, p < .001), accounting for IQ ($\beta = .48$, t(71) = 4.74, p < .001). Since no significant associations between MVPA and academic achievement variables were noted, mediation analyses were not performed.

232 Discussion

The main finding of the study is that aerobic fitness, but not daily MVPA, is positively related to interference control (ability to control distractions) and spelling in preadolescent children. In contrast to our hypothesis that a positive associations would exist between MVPA and cognitive and

236 academic outcomes, we found no significant relationships between accelerometer measured daily 237 MVPA and measures of cognitive control (inhibitory control and working memory) or academic 238 achievement (reading, mathematics and spelling). Consequently, the hypotheses that cognitive 239 control and fitness mediated relationships between MVPA and academic achievement could not be 240 tested. This study is among the first to investigate the associations between objectively measured 241 MVPA and multiple indices of cognitive control using sensitive computerized tasks and standardized 242 tests of academic achievement while also controlling for directly measured aerobic fitness and other 243 important confounders (IQ, BMI, ADHD, SES and pubertal status).

244 Our results in relation to aerobic fitness align with cross-sectional and experimental findings 245 indicating a positive relationship between aerobic fitness and indices of cognitive control in children.^{1, 2, 14, 44, 45} Specifically, we found a selective relationship between aerobic fitness and 246 children's ability to manage distraction, which is closely related to self-regulation.^{46, 47} In turn, 247 children's ability to self-regulate cognition, behavior and emotions can predict future vocational 248 success, health outcomes⁴⁸ and academic achievement.¹⁹ The findings further align with the 249 250 evidence from RCTs on the positive effects of daily after-school aerobic exercise programs on children's cognitive control.^{1, 2} The improvements on measures of cognitive control coincided with 251 252 increments in aerobic fitness.^{1, 49} Since aerobic fitness is posited as the main mechanism for the effects of chronic exercise on cognitive control,^{50, 51} our findings paired with evidence from the RCTs 253 254 suggest that regular aerobic exercise resulting in fitness improvements is likely needed to benefit 255 cognition, at least with children. Higher aerobic fitness levels may positively affect cognitive performance through its positive effects on cerebral blood flow,^{52, 53} and increases in the levels of 256 257 brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF).⁵⁴ BDNF up-regulates synaptic plasticity, neurogenesis and 258 angiogenesis, which support neural memory formation and learning leading to increased cognitive performance.^{54, 55} Consequently, the increments in aerobic fitness likely contribute to small but 259 consistent positive effect of aerobic exercise on children's cognitive control.^{1, 49} 260

261 Our findings indicate that aerobic fitness is positively related to an applied measure of 262 cognition as assessed with standardized achievement test (i.e. spelling test). These findings align 263 with previous reports of positive associations between aerobic fitness and standardized measures of achievement in spelling in Dutch⁵⁶ and Northern American children⁴⁴ of similar age. In contrast, 264 Lambourne et al⁵ found no associations between aerobic fitness and spelling (assessed with 265 266 standardized test of academic achievement). This difference in findings could be related to the difference in covariates included in the models such as IQ. Lambourne et al⁵ did not control for IQ in 267 268 their models. Therefore, some underlying associations might have been missed due to the inter-269 individual variation in IQ which is strongly related to academic achievement.⁵⁷ In confirmation, when 270 IQ was excluded from our model, the association between aerobic fitness and spelling was no longer 271 observed.

In our study, accelerometer measured MVPA was not related to cognitive control or 272 273 academic achievement irrespective of aerobic fitness and IQ. Previous studies reported positive associations with some cognitive^{10, 11} and academic^{3, 5, 12} measures and null associations with others⁷, 274 ^{8, 10, 11, 58}. The discrepancy in results may be related to the heterogeneity of cognitive measures, and 275 tested covariates. In contrast to our findings, Booth et al¹² and Syväoja et al¹⁰ found significant 276 277 associations between the time spent in MVPA (accelerometry) and indices of inhibitory control (selective attention, interference¹² and impulsivity¹⁰) in English and Finnish adolescents, respectively. 278 However no relationship was noted for working memory.¹⁰ We found no associations on either 279 280 measures of inhibition or working memory using sensitive computerized tasks. Although these 281 studies are important, as they are amongst the first to report on the associations between objectively measured daily MVPA, cognition,^{10, 12} and academic achievement^{5, 6, 8, 12, 58} in young 282 283 people, their conclusions remain limited, as the relative contributions of aerobic fitness and/or IQ to 284 these relationships could not be assessed. One study which did control for both factors was also limited in its conclusions due to the constraints of the cognitive task.⁷ Our study contributes to these 285 286 previous findings by showing that adjustment for aerobic fitness did not modulate null findings in

287 relation to the associations between MVPA and either cognitive control or academic achievement. 288 Research into the associations between objectively measured MVPA and cognitive control in young 289 people is sparse and it is premature to state whether such a relationship exists, and if so, the nature 290 of the relationship. Therefore, further research into these associations across childhood and 291 adolescence while controlling for important confounders is warranted. Emergent evidence from the 292 RCTs suggests a positive effect of physical activity interventions on academic achievement in schoolaged children.^{3, 4} However, to make specific health and policy recommendations, further research is 293 294 needed into the dose-response relationship between MVPA and both academic achievement and 295 cognition. Such research needs to consider what dose of MVPA (in terms of mode, frequency and 296 duration) is necessary to yield academic benefits and how such a dose may change depending on a 297 child's baseline physical activity.

298 Several limitations of the current study should be recognized. First, the cross-sectional 299 design and precludes causal inferences relative to findings on aerobic fitness and interference 300 control or spelling, which could best be addressed through a randomized controlled trial. Second, it 301 may be suggested that the intensity cut point used in our study was lower than cut points previously 302 used and could have captured light physical activity as well as MVPA. However, when we performed 303 the same analyses with a higher intensity threshold (3,000 CPM), the results also remained 304 unchanged. Further, the majority of children in our study were tested during summer holidays, when 305 the levels of physical activity are higher compared to autumn or winter months.⁵⁹ Thus, the results 306 may not be representative of the school year. Due to well-known limitations of accelerometry, we 307 were unable to capture swimming (i.e., the devices were not waterproof) and cycling may not be 308 accurately quantified (i.e., given that accelerometry is optimized for ambulatory physical activity). 309 Therefore, accelerometry may have underestimated children's daily MVPA given that these activities 310 are more prevalent during the summer months due to organized summer camps and fair weather.

311 Future research should examine the dose-response relationship between MVPA, cognitive 312 control, and academic achievement to ascertain whether aerobic exercise (which aims to increase

- 313 aerobic fitness), bouts of daily MVPA or specific MVPA daily volume are sufficient for cognitive and
- 314 academic benefits to emerge.

315 Acknowledgements

- 316 We thank the participants, their families, and Urbana School District 116 for participating in the
- 317 study. In addition, we thank Bonnie Hemrick and Jeanine Bensken for their assistance in recruiting
- 318 study participants and the numerous undergraduate students and staff who helped with the testing
- of study participants.

320

References

321 [1] Hillman CH, Pontifex MB, Castelli DM, Khan NA, Raine LB, Scudder MR, et al. Effects of the FITKids

322 randomized controlled trial on executive control and brain function. Pediatrics 2014;134:e1063-71.

- 323 [2] Davis CL, Tomporowski PD, McDowell JE, Austin BP, Miller PH, Yanasak NE, et al. Exercise 324 improves executive function and achievement and alters brain activation in overweight children: a
- 325 randomized, controlled trial. Health Psychol 2011;30:91-8.

326 [3] Donnelly JE, Greene JL, Gibson CA, Smith BK, Washburn RA, Sullivan DK, et al. Physical Activity 327 Across the Curriculum (PAAC): a randomized controlled trial to promote physical activity and 328

- diminish overweight and obesity in elementary school children. Prev Med 2009;49:336-41.
- 329 [4] Fedewa AL, Ahn S, Erwin H, Davis MC. A randomized controlled design investigating the effects of
- 330 classroom-based physical activity on children's fluid intelligence and achievement. Sch Psychol Int 331 2015;36:135-53.
- 332 [5] Lambourne K, Hansen DM, Szabo AN, Lee J, Herrmann SD, Donnelly JE. Indirect and direct 333 relations between aerobic fitness, physical activity, and academic achievement in elementary school
- 334 students. Ment Health Phys Act 2013;6:165-71.
- 335 [6] Van Dijk ML, De Groot RH, Savelberg HH, Van Acker F, P.A. K. The association between objectively 336 measured physical activity and academic achievement in Dutch adolescents: findings from the 337 GOALS study. J Sport Exerc Psychol 2014;36:460-73.
- 338 [7] Pindus D, Davis RM, Hillman C, Bandelow S, Hogervorst E, Biddle SH, et al. The relationship of 339 moderate-to-vigorous physical activity to cognitive processing in adolescents: findings from the 340 ALSPAC birth cohort. Psychol Res 2014:1-14.
- 341 [8] Syväoja HJ, Kantomaa MT, Ahonen T, Hakonen H, Kankaanpaa A, Tammelin TH. Physical activity, 342 sedentary behavior, and academic performance in Finnish children. Med Sci Sports Exerc 343 2013;45:2098-104.
- 344 [9] Hansen DM, Herrmann SD, Lambourne K, Lee J, Donnelly JE. Linear/nonlinear relations of activity
- 345 and fitness with children's academic achievement. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2014;46:2279-85.

- 346 [10] Syväoja HJ, Tammelin TH, Ahonen T, Kankaanpää A, Kantomaa MT. The associations of 347 objectively measured physical activity and sedentary time with cognitive functions in school-aged 348 children. PLoS ONE 2014;9:e103559.
- 349 [11] Booth JN, Tomporowski PD, Boyle JM, Ness AR, Joinson C, Leary SD, et al. Associations between
- 350 executive attention and objectively measured physical activity in adolescence: findings from ALSPAC,
- 351 a UK cohort. Ment Health Phys Act 2013;6:212-9.
- 352 [12] Booth JN, Leary SD, Joinson C, Ness AR, Tomporowski PD, Boyle JM, et al. Associations between
- 353 objectively measured physical activity and academic attainment in adolescents from a UK cohort. Br 354 J Sports Med 2013;48:265-72.
- 355 [13] Scudder MR, Lambourne K, Drollette ES, Herrmann SD, Washburn RA, Donnelly JE, et al. Aerobic
 - 356 capacity and cognitive control in elementary school-age children. Med Sci Sports Exerc 357 2014;46:1025-35.
 - 358 [14] Pontifex MB, Raine LB, Johnson CR, Chaddock L, Voss MW, Cohen NJ, et al. Cardiorespiratory 359 fitness and the flexible modulation of cognitive control in preadolescent children. J Cogn Neurosci 360
- 2011;23:1332-45.
- 361 [15] Van Dusen DP, Kelder SH, Kohl HW, Ranjit N, Perry CL. Associations of physical fitness and 362 academic performance among schoolchildren. J Sch Health 2011;81:733-40.
- 363 [16] Sardinha LB, Marques A, Martins S, Palmeira A, Minderico C. Fitness, fatness, and academic
- 364 performance in seventh-grade elementary school students. BMC Pediatrics 2014;14:1-9.
- 365 [17] Demetriou A, Spanoudis G, Shayer M, van der Ven S, Brydges CR, Kroesbergen E, et al. Relations
- 366 between speed, working memory, and intelligence from preschool to adulthood: structural equation
- 367 modeling of 14 studies. Intelligence 2014;46:107-21.
- 368 [18] Deary IJ, Strand S, Smith P, Fernandes C. Intelligence and educational achievement. Intelligence 369 2007;35:13-21.
- 370 [19] Jacob R, Parkinson J. The potential for school-based interventions that target executive function
- 371 to improve academic achievement: a review. Rev Educ Res 2015;85:512-52.

- 372 [20] DuPaul GJ, Power TJ, Anastopoulos AD, Reid R. ADHD rating scale–IV: checklists, norms, and
- 373 clinical interpretation. New York, NY: The Guilford Press; 1998.
- 374 [21] Woodcock RW, McGrew KS, Mather N. Woodcock-Johnson III Tests of Cognitive Abilities. Rolling
- 375 Meadows, IL: Riverside Publishing; 2001.
- 376 [22] Rich C, Geraci M, Griffiths L, Sera F, Dezateux C, Cortina-Borja M. Quality control methods in
- accelerometer data processing: defining minimum wear time. PLoS ONE 2013;8:e67206.
- 378 [23] Kuczmarski RJ, Ogden CL, Guo SS, Grummer-Strawn LM, Flegal KM, Mei Z, et al. 2000 CDC
- growth charts for the United States: methods and development. National Center for HealthStatistics; 2002.
- [24] Sherar L, Griew P, Esliger D, Cooper A, Ekelund U, Judge K, et al. International children's
 accelerometry database (ICAD): design and methods. BMC Public Health 2011;11:485.
- [25] Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Mâsse LC, Tilert T, McDowell M. Physical activity in the United
 States measured by accelerometer. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2008;40:181-8.
- 385 [26] Trost SG, Pate RR, Sallis JF, Freedson PS, Taylor WC, Dowda M, et al. Age and gender differences
- in objectively measured physical activity in youth. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2002;34:350-5.
- 387 [27] Harrell JS, McMurray RG, Baggett CD, Pennell ML, Pearce PF, Bangdiwala SI. Energy costs of
- 388 physical activities in children and adolescents. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2005;37:329-36.
- 389 [28] Treuth MS, Schmitz K, Catellier DJ, McMurray RG, Murray DM, Almeida MJ, et al. Defining
- 390 accelerometer thresholds for activity intensities in adolescent girls. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2004;36.
- 391 [29] Utter AC, Robertson RJ, Nieman DC, Kang JIE. Children's OMNI scale of perceived exertion:
- 392 walking/running evaluation. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2002;34:139-44.
- 393 [30] American College of Sports Medicine., Whaley MH, Brubaker PH, Otto RM, Armstrong L. ACSM's
- 394 guidelines for exercise testing and prescription. 7th ed. New York, NY: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins;
- **395 2006**.

- 396 [31] Freedson PS, Goodman TL. Measurement of oxygen consumption. In: Rowland TW, ed. Pediatric
- 397 laboratory exercise testing: clinical guidelines. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics Publishers; 1993. p.
- 398 91**-**113.
- 399 [32] Bar-Or O. Pediatric sports medicine for the practitioner: from physiologic principles to clinical
- 400 applications. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1983.
- 401 [33] Shvartz E, Reibold RC. Aerobic fitness norms for males and females aged 6 to 75 years: a review.
- 402 Aviat Space Environ Med 1990;61:3-11.
- 403 [34] Birnbaum AS, Lytle LA, Murray DM, Story M, Perry CL, Boutelle KN. Survey development for
- 404 assessing correlates of young adolescents' eating. Am J Health Behav 2002;26:284–95.
- 405 [35] Tanner JM. Growth at adolescence. 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1962.
- 406 [36] Taylor SJC, Whincup PH, Hindmarsh PC, Lampe F, Odoki K, Cook DG. Performance of a new
- 407 pubertal self-assessment questionnaire: a preliminary study. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2001;15:88–
 408 94.
- 409 [37] Eriksen BA, Eriksen CW. Effects of noise letters upon identification of a target letter in a non-
- 410 search task. Percept Psychophys 1974;16:143–9.
- 411 [38] Weintraub S, Dikmen SS, Heaton RK, Tulsky DS, Zelazo PD, Bauer PJ, et al. Cognition assessment
- 412 using the NIH Toolbox. Neurology 2013;80:S54-S64.
- [39] Turner ML, Engle RW. Is working memory capacity task dependent? J Mem Lang 1989;28:127-54.
- 415 [40] Conway AA, Kane M, Bunting M, Hambrick DZ, Wilhelm O, Engle R. Working memory span tasks:
- 416 a methodological review and user's guide. Psychon Bull Rev 2005;12:769-86.
- 417 [41] Klein K, Fiss WH. The reliability and stability of the Turner and Engle working memory task.
- 418 Behav Res Methods Instrum Comput 1999;31:429-32.
- 419 [42] Kane MJ, Hambrick DZ, Tuholski SW, Wilhelm O, Payne TW, Engle RW. The generality of working
- 420 memory capacity: a latent-variable approach to verbal and visuospatial memory span and reasoning.
- 421 J Exp Psychol Gen 2004;133:189-217.

- 422 [43] Kaufman AS, Kaufman NL. Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement, KTEA II. 2 ed. San Antonio,
 423 TX: Pearson; 2004.
- 424 [44] Scudder MR, Lambourne K, Drollette ES, Herrmann S, Washburn R, Donnelly JE, et al. Aerobic
 425 capacity and cognitive control in elementary school-age children. Med Sci Sports Exerc
 426 2014;46:1025-35.
- 427 [45] Moore RD, Wu C-T, Pontifex MB, O'Leary KC, Scudder MR, Raine LB, et al. Aerobic fitness and
 428 intra-individual variability of neurocognition in preadolescent children. Brain Cogn 2013;82:43-57.
- 429 [46] Rueda MR, Posner MI, Rothbart MK. The development of executive attention: contributions to430 the emergence of self-regulation. Dev Neuropsychol 2005;28:573-94.
- [47] Sporer N, Brunstein JC, Glaser C. Attention control as indicator of self-regulation and its
 influence on scholastic achievement: results of a longitudinal analysis. Psychologie in Erziehung und
 Unterricht 2006;53:1-11.
- 434 [48] Moffitt TE, Arseneault L, Belsky D, Dickson N, Hancox RJ, Harrington H, et al. A gradient of 435 childhood self-control predicts health, wealth, and public safety. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 436 2011;108:2693-8.
- 437 [49] Davis CL, Tomporowski PD, Boyle CA, Waller JL, Miller PH, Naglieri JA, et al. Effects of aerobic
 438 exercise on overweight children's cognitive functioning: a randomized controlled trial. Res Q Exerc
 439 Sport 2007;78:510-9.
- 440 [50] Etnier JL. Are we ready to prescribe physical activity to promote cognitive function? Med Sci441 Sports Exerc 2006;38:S29-S30.
- 442 [51] Kramer AF, Hahn S, Cohen NJ, Banich MT, McAuley E, Harrison CR, et al. Ageing, fitness and
 443 neurocognitive function. Nature 1999;400:418-9.
- 444 [52] Brown AD, McMorris CA, Longman RS, Leigh R, Hill MD, Friedenreich CM, et al. Effects of
 445 cardiorespiratory fitness and cerebral blood flow on cognitive outcomes in older women. Neurobiol
 446 Aging 2010;31:2047-57.

[53] Zimmerman B, Sutton BP, Low KA, Fletcher MA, Tan CH, Schneider-Garces N, et al.
Cardiorespiratory fitness mediates the effects of aging on cerebral blood flow. Front Aging Neurosci
2014;6:59.

450 [54] Gomez-Pinilla F. Brain foods: the effects of nutrients on brain function. Nat Rev Neurosci451 2008;9:568-78.

452 [55] Gomez-Pinilla F, Feng C. Molecular mechanisms for the ability of exercise supporting cognitive
453 abilities and coutneracting neurological disorders. In: Boecker H, Hillman CH, Scheef L, Strüder HK,
454 eds. Functional neuroimaging in exercise and sport sciences. New York: Springer; 2012. p. 25-44.
455 [56] van der Niet AG, Hartman E, Smith J, Visscher C. Modeling relationships between physical

456 fitness, executive functioning, and academic achievement in primary school children. Psychol Sport

457 Exerc 2014;15:319-25.

[57] Kaufman SB, Reynolds MR, Liu X, Kaufman AS, McGrew KS. Are cognitive g and academic
achievement g one and the same g? An exploration on the Woodcock–Johnson and Kaufman tests.
Intelligence 2012;40:123-38.

[58] LeBlanc MM, Martin CK, Han H, Newton R, Sothern M, Webber LS, et al. Adiposity and physical
activity are not related to academic achievement in school-aged children. J Dev Behav Pediatr
2012;33:486-94.

464 [59] Kristensen PL, Korsholm L, Møller NC, Wedderkopp N, Andersen LB, Froberg K. Sources of
465 variation in habitual physical activity of children and adolescents: the European Youth Heart Study.
466 Scand J Med Sci Sports 2008;18:298-308.

	Girls (<i>n</i> = 34)		Boys (<i>n</i> = 40)		Combined (N =	= 74)
	M (SD)		M (SD)		M (SD)	
Age (yrs)	8.63 (.56)		8.66 (.60)		8.64 (.58)	
SES Low (<i>n</i> , [%])	8 [23.5]		12 [30.0]		20 [27.0]	
Ethnicity (White <i>n</i> , [%])	25 [73.5]		23 [57.5]		48 [65]	
IQ^1	111.4 (11.2)		111.8 (12.6)		111.6 (11.9)	
Height (cm)	135.2 (6.64)		135.6 (7.17)		135.4 (6.89)	
Weight (kg)	35.0 (11.0)		34.1 (9.02)		34.5 (9.93)	
BMI (kg/m ²)	18.9 (4.54)		18.4 (3.73)		18.6 (4.10)	
OW/OB (<i>n</i> , [%])	12 [35.3]		14 [35.0]		26 [35.1]	
VO_{2max} (mL*kg ⁻¹ *min ⁻¹)	41.4 (8.06)		45.1 (7.64)*		43.4 (8.00)	
VO _{2max} percentile	41.6 (34.1)		36.7 (31.1)		38.9 (32.4)	
	M (SD)	Range	M (SD)	Range	M (SD)	Range
СРМ	549.6 (151.9)	[328.4 - 925.7]	561.7 (167.3)	[285.1 - 996.7]	556.2 (159.4)	[285.1 - 996.7]
Wear time (minutes/day)	790.8(48.8)	[673.6 - 891.7]	809.3 (48.0)	[712.6 - 909.6]	800.8 (48.9)	[673.6 - 909.6
Sedentary (minutes/day)	443.6 (56.0)	[328.7 - 554.2]	460.4 (68.3)	[338.9 - 637.5]	452.7 (63.1)	[328.7 - 637.5
LPA (minutes/day)	262.1 (40.0)	[143.0 - 339.7]	255.7 (34.2)	[200.9 - 346.6]	258.6 (36.8)	[143.0 - 346.6
MVPA (minutes/day)	85.1(26.4)	[46.1 - 137.5]	93.2 (30.6)	[45.0 - 158.7]	89.5 (28.9)	[45.0 – 158.7]

467 Table 1. Mean (SD) values for participants' demographic, anthropometric, aerobic fitness and physical activity data

468 Note. SES, socio-economic status; IQ, a composite standardized score of intelligence quotient from Woodcock-Johnson III Tests of Cognitive Abilities, Brief Intelligence 469 Assessment²¹; IQ minimum = 84 (n = 1); OW/OB, overweight or obese category defined based on the CDC growth charts²³; CPM, counts per minute; sedentary time < 100

470 CPM; LPA, light physical activity ≥ 100 , < 1638; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity ≥ 1638 CPM; intensity cut points were based on age specific cut points for 471 8 year-olds (using a four METs threshold) developed by Freedson and first published by Trost et al²⁶; sedentary cut point developed by Treuth e al.²⁸

	Mdn (IQR)	Range
Flanker Congruent		
Mean RT (ms)	518.6 (132.8) ^a	[392.5 - 827.0]
Response Accuracy (%)	83.3 (15.5) ^a	[52.4 - 98.8]
Flanker Incongruent		
Mean RT (ms)	571.8 (152.5) ^b	[420.9 - 939.6]
Response Accuracy (%)	72.6 (17.0) ^b	[51.2 - 96.4]
Flanker Interference		
Mean RT (ms)	49.4 (44.5)	[-27.6 - 203.0]
Response Accuracy (%)	8.33 (11.9)	[-10.7 - 34.5]
OSPAN		
Mean RT (ms)	4618.2 (1555.7)	[2093.4 - 7354.4]
Response Accuracy (%)	87.5 (13.1)	[52.5 - 100.0]
PCU	0.60 (0.28)	[.1497]
PCL	0.55 (0.28)	[.1095]
ANU	0.38 (0.25)	[.0688]
ANL	0.25 (0.29)	[.0383]
	M (SD)	Range
Academic Achievement		
Spelling	110.0 (23.0)	[79.0 - 151.0]

Table 2. Performance on flanker task, operation span task (OSPAN) and academic achievement

Reading	118.0 (17.0)	[80.0 - 159.0]
Math	109.0 (22.2)	[82.0 - 150.0]

Note. Superscripts a, b, denote significant within-participant differences across congruent and incongruent conditions (*ps* < .001); OSPAN, Operation Span Task³⁹; PCU, partial-credit unit score; PCL, partial-credit load score; ANL, all-or-nothing load score; ANU, all-or-nothing unit score; Academic achievement was assessed with the Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement, Second Edition (KTEA II⁴³) and expressed as standardized scores with the mean of 100 and an SD of 15.

Table 3. The associations of daily moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) to cognitive control and academic achievement (N = 74; except for PCU, N = 72
and ANU, N = 71)

	Minima	lly adjusted				Partially	adjusted Fully adjusted											
Predictors	Model	Model P	В	95% Cl	Р	Model	Model P	В	95% Cl	Р	Model	Model P	В	95% Cl	Р			
	R ²	ANOVA				R ²	ANOVA				R ²	ANOVA						
Model 1:	.08	.06				.08	.06				.09	.08						
Incon Acc																		
VO _{2max}													.15	14;.57	.24			
MVPA			07	12;.07	.57			07	12;.07	.57			12	15;.05	.33			
Model 2:	.01	.71				.13	.02				.13	.04						
Incon MRT ¹																		
VO _{2max}													06	00;.00	.61			
MVPA			.08	.00;.00	.51			.06	.00;.00	.61			.08	.00;.00	.51			
Model 3:	.02	.48				.14	.02				.18	.01						
Acc																		
Interference																		
VO _{2max}													22 †	48;.01	.06			
MVPA			12	10;.03	.30			18	12;.01	.11			10	10;.04	.43			

¹ Log transformed

Model 4:	.02	.46				.02	.46				.05	.31			
MRT															
Interference ²															
VO _{2max}													18	08;.01	.15
MVPA			13	02;.01	.26			13	02;.01	.26			06	02;.01	.62
Model 5:	.00	.89				.21	.00				.22	.01			
OSPAN PCU ³															
VO_{2max}													13	01;.00	.27
MVPA			05	00;.00	.66			.03	00;.00	.77			.09	00;.00	.48
Model 6:	.02	.53				.07	.18				.07	.27			
OSPAN ANU															
VO_{2max}													07	01;.01	.59
MVPA			08	00;.00	.54			05	00;.00	.66			03	00;.00	.84
Model 7:	.04	.27				.24	.00				.29	.00			
Spelling															
VO_{2max}													23	92;-	.04
														.02	
MVPA			10	19;.08	.41			06	15; 09	.58			.03	11; .14	.78

 ² Square root transformed
 ³ Only PCU and ANU scores were included, as load scores were highly correlated with unit scores.

Model 8:	.04	.22				.38	.00				.39	.00			
Reading															
VO _{2max}													07	49; .24	.50
MVPA			15	19;.04	.20			10	14;.04	.28			08	14; .06	.46
Model 9:	.01	.68				.29	.00				.30	.00			
Mathematics															
VO _{2max}													11	64; .21	.31
MVPA			07	17;.09	.77			03	13;.09	.77			.01	11; .13	.90

Note. Incon Acc, incongruent accuracy on modified Eriksen flanker task³⁷; Incon MRT, incongruent mean reaction time; PCU, partial credit unit score on working memory task (operation span task (OSPAN)³⁹); ANU, all-or-nothing unit score on OSPAN.

Values are model R^{2} 's, p values for model ANOVAs, standardized β and 95% Cl. P values less than .05 are set in bold; † denotes a trend at p = .06.

Analyses were conducted using multiple hierarchical regression models.

Minimally adjusted models were adjusted for accelerometer wear time.

Partially adjusted models were additionally adjusted for: birth weight (Model 2); ADHD (Model 4); age and IQ (Model 5); birth weight and IQ (Model 6); IQ (Models 7-9).

Fully adjusted models were adjusted as in partially adjusted models and additionally for aerobic fitness.