

1	Ice-shelf fracture due to viscoelastic-flexure stress induced by fill/drain cycles of
2	supraglacial lakes

- Alison F. Banwell¹ and Douglas R. MacAyeal²
- ¹ Scott Polar Research Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.

² Department of Geophysical Sciences, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA.

afb39@cam.ac.uk

7 Abstract

3

4

5 6

8 Using a previously-derived treatment of viscoelastic flexure of floating ice shelves, we 9 simulate multiple years of evolution of a single, axisymmetric supraglacial lake when it is subjected to annual fill/drain cycles. Our viscoelastic treatment follows the assumptions of 10 the well-known thin-beam and thin-plate analysis but, crucially, also covers power-law creep 11 12 rheology. As the ice-shelf surface does not completely return to its un-flexed position after a 1-year fill/drain cycle, the lake basin deepens with each successive cycle. This deepening 13 14 process is significantly amplified when lake-bottom ablation is taken into account. We 15 evaluate the time-scale over which a typical lake reaches a sufficient depth such that ice-shelf 16 fracture can occur well beyond the lake itself in response to lake filling/drainage. We show 17 that, although this is unlikely during one fill/drain cycle, fracture is possible after multiple 18 years assuming surface meltwater availability is unlimited. This extended zone of potential 19 fracture implies that flexural stresses in response to a single lake filling/drainage event can 20 cause neighbouring lakes to drain, which, in turn, can cause lakes farther afield to drain. Such self-stimulating behaviour may have accounted for the sudden, widespread appearance of a 21 22 fracture system that drove the Larsen B Ice Shelf to break-up in 2002.

23 Keywords

24 Ice-shelf instability, viscoelasticity, melt ponds, hydrofracture, Antarctica

25 Introduction

26 Surface topographic undulations on ice shelves may fill to become meltwater features 27 (mainly lakes and crevasses, although we refer to both forms as 'meltwater lakes' hereafter) 28 during the melt season (Glasser & Scambos 2008; MacAveal & Sergienko 2013; Luckman et 29 al. 2014). They are thought to form as a result of grounding-line flexure (Walker et al. 2013), 30 basal crevassing and channeling (Luckman et al. 2012; McGrath et al. 2012; Le Brocq et al. 31 2013), bending at the ice front resulting in surface fractures parallel to the ice front (Scambos 32 et al. 2009), or as a result of incomplete rebound to the previous un-flexed state after 33 lake/crevasse drainage (MacAyeal et al. in press). Supraglacial meltwater lakes on ice 34 shelves are therefore fundamentally different from lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet because 35 they are not controlled by bedrock topography, but are instead able to move with ice flow

- 36 (Sergienko 2013; Banwell *et al.* 2014).
- 37 Although treatments of ice-shelf flexure using pure elastic (Sergienko, 2010; Sayag &
- 38 Worster 2011; Banwell et al. 2013) or viscous (Collins & McCrae 1985; Ribe 2003;
- 39 LaBarbera & MacAyeal 2011; Borstad et al. 2012) rheology are common in glaciology,
- 40 viscoelasticity, especially that which uses Glen's flow-law (i.e. the popular non-linear creep

41 law in glaciology), is rarely used. If the time-scale of the phenomena of interest is short, an 42 elastic flexure treatment is justified, whereas if the time-scale is very long, a viscous flexure 43 treatment is acceptable. However, as meltwater lakes on ice shelves are thought to fill very 44 slowly over the course of one or more melt seasons, but then drain very quickly on the order 45 of a few hours (Banwell *et al.* 2013; MacAyeal & Sergienko 2013; MacAyeal *et al.* in press), 46 it is necessary to consider the middle ground of time scales, and this necessitates application

47 of a viscoelastic treatment of ice-shelf flexure.

Our interest in the viscoelastic flexural response of ice shelves to supraglacial lake filling and 48 49 drainage is strongly motivated by a specific hypothesis that explains a process which likely 50 contributed to the dramatic break-up of the Larsen B Ice Shelf in 2002 (Scambos et al. 2003; Shepherd et al. 2003). During the melt season leading up to the ice-shelf's collapse, many 51 52 supraglacial meltwater lakes were observed to fill (or to remain filled after a previous year's 53 melt season), and then suddenly drain during the days immediately prior to the initiation of ice-shelf break-up (Glasser & Scambos 2008). While meltwater-driven 'hydrofracture', the 54 55 process by which water-filled surface crevasses propagate downwards (van der Veen 1998), is certainly a process that contributed to the break-up (Scambos et al. 2000, 2009; van den 56 57 Broeke 2005), Banwell et al. (2013) have proposed an additional, more macroscopic process 58 that is associated with the filling and draining of supraglacial lakes. Specifically, the temporal 59 change of the gravitational load of a supraglacial lake during filling or draining creates 60 flexure stresses in the ice shelf capable of inducing both surface and basal fractures, both 61 locally and at a distance beyond the lake boundary. This macroscopic behaviour of ice-shelf flexure supports additional meltwater-driven hydrofracture by seeding the ice shelf with a 62 63 greater density of surface and basal fractures. This behaviour also potentially offers an 64 explanation of why fracture spacing was sufficiently small (Burton et al. 2012) to support 65 large-scale capsize-driven breakup of the Larsen B Ice Shelf (MacAyeal et al. 2003; Banwell et al. 2013). 66

67 Under a purely elastic treatment of ice-shelf flexure (as used by Banwell et al. (2013) to 68 model the break-up of the Larsen B Ice Shelf), the filling of a supraglacial lake produces a 69 flexure stress only when it contains meltwater; when it drains, the flexure stress reduces to zero. However, if a viscoelastic treatment is applied (MacAyeal et al. in press), flexure 70 71 stresses that are initially equal to the equivalent pure-elastic stress, decay over time after a 72 supraglacial lake fills. This is because the lake load is supported more and more by the 73 buoyancy of the seawater on the deflected ice-shelf bottom until, eventually, hydrostatic 74 equilibrium may be reached. However, if the lake catastrophically drains before this time, 75 hydrostatic rebound followed by gradual viscoelastic relaxation occurs. Therefore, if drainage 76 does occur, the resultant lake basin at the beginning of the following melt season is likely to 77 be deeper than it was in the previous year. This is both a result of i) incomplete viscoelastic 78 rebound (as excess buoyancy is reduced) to the initial un-flexed ice-shelf surface position 79 after a sudden lake drainage event (MacAyeal et al. in press); and ii) enhanced lake-bottom 80 ablation as lake water has a lower albedo compared to the surrounding bare ice (Tedesco et 81 al. 2012).

82 In the present study, we apply a treatment of viscoelastic flexure of ice shelves (MacAyeal et 83 *al.* in press) to the problem of the flexural response of an idealized supraglacial lake to annual fill/drain cycles. We hypothesize that, although supraglacial lakes on ice shelves may be too 84 shallow during the first year or two of their existence to cause ice-shelf fracture upon 85 filling/drainage, over multiple years they will deepen and therefore evolve towards conditions 86 87 that allow the possibility of ice-shelf fracture. Our aim is thus to explore the time-scale (i.e. 88 the number of seasonal cycles) on which fill/drain cycles enable a lake to reach a 'critical 89 depth' such that, upon filling or drainage, the resultant stress may initiate fractures that will 90 subsequently propagate upwards/downwards to become through-cutting crevasses.

91 Methods

92 Thin-shelf treatment of viscoelastic ice-shelf flexure

93 We explore the viscoelastic flexure of an ice shelf using a numerical model that has been 94 implemented in COMSOL (version 4.4). The model predicts the vertical displacement of the 95 ice-shelf's neutral plane, in response to a time-dependent surface load, by evaluating the 96 mechanical balance between the load and forces associated with bending moments and the 97 displacement of seawater. As our aim is to simulate multiple years of evolution of an 98 idealized lake on an ice shelf without having to deal with excessive complexity, azimuthal 99 symmetry is assumed, and so we also use polar coordinates r and θ , with the origin, r = 0, 100 placed at the lake centre.

The variable $\eta(r,t)$ describes the vertical displacement of a material surface within the ice 101 102 shelf that is initially a horizontal plane located half-way between the ice-shelf surface and 103 base. As the ice-shelf is loaded by meltwater, and unloaded by drainage and lake-bottom ablation, $\eta(r,t)$ will become increasingly distorted to account for bending moments and 104 105 buoyancy forces necessary to balance the changing surface load. As time elapses, the material 106 surface where $\eta = 0$ will no longer be located halfway between the ice-shelf surface and 107 base. We assume that $\eta \ll H$, where H is the initial ice thickness, and that changes in ice 108 thickness due to spatially non-uniform ablation are also much less than the initial ice 109 thickness.

110 Under the above assumptions, our model uses a 'thin plate' approximation to reduce the full 111 3-D development of viscoelasticity to a much more computationally efficient 2-D form. The 112 model further approximates the elastic and viscous rheological parameters using a constant 113 ice-shelf thickness. (Feedback between changing thickness and changing viscoelastic rheology can be pursued in future studies.) Therefore, the model used in this study is valid 114 115 primarily where deformations are small (i.e. where vertical deflections are much less than 116 several metres). A more complex, full Stokes treatment of the problem is possible following 117 the methods applied in other contexts by Gudmundsson (2011) and by Rosier et al. (2014).

Our approach uses the Maxwell model (Maxwell, 1867) which, represented by a spring and dashpot in series (see MacAyeal *et al.* (in press), their Fig. 1), is useful when elastic deformation and stress are the immediate response to a change in loading and a slow relaxation of the stress accompanied by viscous or creep deformation continues over a long

122 time-scale afterwards (Gudmundsson 2011, Rosier et al. 2014). This thin-shelf treatment of

123 viscoelastic flexure would usually be justified for situations in which the elastic and viscous 124 parameters are homogeneous. However, in reality, the creep deformation of ice, according to 125 Glen's flow law, is a non linear function of stress, whereas the elastic deformation of ice is a 126 linear function of stress. These two functional variations of deformation with stress present 127 an incompatibility between the assumptions commonly used to deal with thin plates and 128 shallow ice shelves. Therefore, following MacAyeal et al. (in press), we assume that stresses 129 relevant to determining the curvature of the plate deformation and bending moments are still linear with the vertical coordinate inside the ice shelf. This allows us to use an effective 130 131 viscosity to approximate the creep deformation in response to the initial elastic stress. A 132 description of the model is provided by MacAyeal et al. (in press) and is summarized in the 133 Supplementary Material.

134 Model domain

135 The ice thickness, *H*, is taken to be 200 m, comparable to the thickness of the Larsen B Ice

136 Shelf immediately prior to its collapse. We apply no-displacement, no-bending moment 137 boundary conditions at r = 5 km; the edge of the ice shelf. We experimented with moving this

boundary conditions at r = 5 km, the edge of the rec sheft. We experimented with moving this boundary out to r = 10 km (e.g. MacAyeal *et al.* in press), but this had only a negligible effect

130 boundary out to 7 - 10 km (e.g. MacAyear *et al.* in press), but this had only a negligible effect

- 139 on results.
- 140 The single idealized lake has a circular basin of radius (R) 500 m, and this cannot change
- 141 throughout the model run. The basin depth (d) is initially 0.5 m at the beginning of the model
- 142 run, chosen to be just less than the average depth of lakes on the Larsen B Ice Shelf in
- 143 February 2000 (mean depth = 0.8 m, mean maximum depth = 1.6 m, Banwell *et al.* 2014),
- 144 two years before it catastrophically broke-up. The lake geometry is shown in Fig. 1.

145 Parameters of the simulation are set at arbitrary values representative of ice-shelf conditions:

146 Young's modulus (E) = 10 GPa, Poisson ratio (μ) = 0.3, the flow-law parameter in Glen's

147 flow law (*n*) = 3, $B = 10^8$ Pa s^{1/3} (corresponding to flow law parameter $A = 10^{-24}$ s⁻¹ Pa⁻³), sea

148 water density (ρ_{sw}) = 1030 kg m⁻³, and gravitational acceleration at sea level (g) = 9.81 m s⁻² 149 (MacAyeal *et al.* in press). These parameters are chosen to provide a demonstration useful for

149 (MacAyear *et al.* in press). These parameters are chosen to provide a demonstration useful for 150 visualizing the consequences of viscoelastic behaviour; analysis of parameter ranges and 151 sensitivities are relegated to a separate study (if and when the ability to compare model 152 results with observation becomes available).

The behaviour of our simulation is driven by mass loads and deficits. Some loads are periodic and are not conservative (i.e. the lake fills and then drains into the ocean below), and some loads represent permanent changes that are accumulated in the system due to ice/snow ablation. Such loads and deficits are specified in a manner designed to represent typical iceshelf conditions.

158 Supraglacial lake fill/drain cycle

159 We subject the idealized lake to a hypothetical fill/drain schedule (non-dimensional units),

160 that applies to one year, and which can be repeated sequentially for numbers of years. This is

161 a piecewise continuous function of time which varies from 0 to 1, and back to 0, in order to

- 162 determine the result of an imposed, non-conservative water source that is available to fill the
- 163 lake, and then released into the ocean below during drainage. The first 100 days of the

- 164 fill/drain schedule are shown in Fig. 2. The value of the fill/drain schedule function varies 165 between 0, denoting that the lake basin is entirely empty, to 1, denoting that the lake basin is 166 has filled to its maximum water depth (which may or may not be equal to the lake basin 167 depth, depending on whether surface meltwater availability is *unlimited* or *limited* – see 168 below for further detail). Thus, the rate of water input to the lake during filling, and removal
- 169 from the lake during drainage, is determined by the volume change (depending on the water
- 170 depth) needed to change the value of the fill/drain schedule function from 0 to 1. We adopt
- 171 this approach for simplicity but make note of the complex surface water movement physics
- that should accompany future study.
- 173 The length of the melt season (i.e. period of time where surface melting, and thus lake filling,
- 174 occurs) is assumed to be 45 days. This assumption is based on the finding by Scambos et *al*.
- 175 (2003) that the average melt season length on the Larsen B Ice Shelf was about 45 days from
- 176 1979 2000, and also by Lepparanta *et al.* (2013) who reported that supraglacial lakes in the
- 177 Dronning Maud Land contain liquid water from around 10 20 December until the end of
- 178 January.

We initially assume that there is an *unlimited* availability of surface meltwater during each melt season, meaning that the lake can completely fill to its maximum basin depth (i.e. as determined by ice shelf deflection and lake-bottom ablation). We subsequently investigate the effect of a *limited* availability of surface meltwater such that lakes can only fill to a maximum water depth of 0.8 m. This depth is chosen as it is equal to the mean measured depth of supraglacial lakes on the Larsen B Ice Shelf on 21 February 2000 (before it broke up in March 2002) (Banwell et *al.* 2014).

186 We account for enhanced lake-bottom ablation by assuming a constant rate of 1 cm day⁻¹ 187 throughout the melt season. This rate is significantly lower than observed for supraglacial lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet; measured at 6 cm day⁻¹ (and compared to a 2.5 - 3 cm day⁻¹ 188 ablation rate of bare ice around the lake basin) (Tedesco et al. 2012). This is because 189 190 supraglacial lakes on Antarctic ice shelves predominantly consist of slush with varying 191 proportions of ice crystals, at least in Dronning Maud Land (Lepparanta et al. 2013), and 192 usually have a complete or partial ice-covered surface (Dugan et al. 2013; Lepparanta et al. 193 2013; Banwell et al. 2014). The latter feature reduces albedo to 0.2 for ice < 10 cm (Ishikawa 194 et al. 2002) due to the generally negative surface energy balance. The overall effect of lake-195 bottom ablation is analysed within the Results and Discussion section for the 1-year fill/drain 196 cycle.

- We do not account for mass loss due to ablation of the ice surface surrounding the lake because we assume that the majority of surface-derived meltwater will percolate downwards into the firn and refreeze (Kuipers Munneke *et al.* 2014; Ligtenberg *et al.* 2014). However, any surface melt that does not refreeze (and therefore is lost to the ocean) will likely be cancelled by the relatively low surface mass gain due to snow accumulation in winter, which, for simplicity, we disregard.
- At the end of the prescribed 45-day melt season, we assume that the lake is drained completely of its water during the first 6 hours of day 46 (Fig. 2), and this is represented by the fill/drain schedule function changing from 1 to 0 over a 6-hour period. In addition to the

drainage of the non-conservative meltwater load, lake-bottom ablation means that a fraction of the ice shelf thickness (specifically 45 cm, if lake-bottom ablation = 1 cm day⁻¹) is permanently lost into the ocean as conservative meltwater. This means that, at the point of drainage, the ice shelf is not only losing the load that had become emplaced in the lake during filling, but also the additional load represented by ice originally at the bottom of the lake being melted and lost during the drainage.

212 Various forms of evidence suggest that the assumption that lake drainage is rapid compared 213 to lake filling is appropriate. For example, sediment cores retrieved from beneath both the former Larsen A and Prince Gustav ice shelves record spatially discrete sediment pulses, 214 215 interpreted as the drainage of supraglacial lakes and/or crevasses containing sediment, prior 216 to the ice shelf disintegration event (Gilbert & Domack 2003). Additionally, observations of 217 old shorelines and stranded ice blocks on the George VI Ice Shelf indicate catastrophic 218 decreases in water level, often of the order of ~ 5 m (Reynolds 1981). Although records of 219 precise time-scales of rapid lake drainage events on Antarctic ice shelves are lacking, our 6-220 hour drainage schedule is motivated by observations of rapid supraglacial lake drainage in 221 Greenland (Tedesco et al. 2013).

222 Stress criterion for fracture initiation

223 As our aim is to explore the time-scale on which fill/drain cycles enable a lake to reach a 224 'critical depth', such that, upon filling or drainage, the resultant stress may initiate fractures 225 in the surrounding ice shelf, we need to select a critical stress value for fracture initiation. 226 Albrecht & Levermann (2012) found that modelled fracture density using a von Mises (T_{VM}) 227 stress criterion of 70 kPa compared well with satellite image interpretations of crevasses by 228 Glasser and Scambos (2008, fig. 4) for the Larsen B Ice Shelf. Following the methods of 229 Banwell *et al.* (2013) we also use $T_{VM} = 70$ kPa as our fracture criterion. We do, however, 230 exercise caution when suggesting this value as we are aware that the tensile strength of 231 glacier ice, or even firn, can be much higher than 70 kPa, and the strength of seasonal snow 232 can even reach 70 kPa (Schulson & Duval 2009). However, given the assumption that any ice 233 shelf will likely have many pre-existing weaknesses and starter cracks with an average length 234 and density (i.e. 'damage'), we suggest that this stress criterion for fracture initiation is a 235 reasonable one and use it for the remainder of this study.

236 Simulation set-up

We conduct four simulations to explore the behaviour of the system. First, we analyse the results of a simulation where the idealized lake (Fig. 1) is subjected to a 1-year fill/drain cycle (Fig. 2) under the assumptions that there is an unlimited availability of meltwater on the ice-shelf surface and that lake-bottom ablation does not occur (Simulation 1). We also compare the results of this simulation to one that is identical except that it accounts for lakebottom ablation (Simulation 2).

- 243 We then analyse the results of a 10-year simulation, consisting of annual fill/drain cycles,
- under the assumptions that lake-bottom ablation always occurs, and that there is an unlimited
- 245 availability of surface meltwater (Simulation 3). We also compare the results of this 246 simulation to one that is identical except that it assumes only a limited availability of surface

- meltwater such that lakes can only fill to a maximum water depth (*wd*) of 0.8 m (Simulation4).
- 249 The key variables that we will explore are as follows:

250 Vertical displacement, $\eta(r,t)$ – This is the vertical displacement of the ice shelf from the 251 original neutral surface of the ice shelf. It responds to both bending moments and to changes

in buoyancy. This variable is positive for upward displacement, and negative for downwarddisplacement.

- Lake-bottom ablation, ab(t) This is only active during the melt season, and occurs at a constant rate of 1 cm day⁻¹ over the entire lake bottom. This is set to zero at the beginning of each melt season and is always positive except in Simulation 1, where it is set to zero.
- 257 Net lake-bottom ablation, net_ab(t) This is the accumulative value of ab(t) since t = 0 days 258 of year 1.
- Lake basin depth, d(t) = 0.5 m (initial basin depth) + net_ $ab(t) (\eta(\text{lake sill}) \eta(\text{lake centre}))$
- 260 This is a simplified lake-basin depth as it ignores the actual geometry of the basin caused

by the non-zero η , and instead uses the vertical displacement at two reference points: the lake

- 262 centre, $\eta(r=0)$, and the lake sill, $\eta(r=500 \text{ m})$ (for locations, see Fig. 1). Lake basin depth is
- always positive.

264 Ice-shelf surface profile, $s(r, t) = \eta(r,t) - d(t)$ – This is the material surface of the ice, 265 accounting for lake-bottom ablation. It acts to closely represent how the ice shelf surface 266 elevation would appear.

Water depth, $wd(t) \le d(t)$ – This is assumed to be equal to or less than the lake basin depth (*d*), depending on whether there is an *unlimited* surface meltwater availability, or a *limited* surface meltwater availability allowing a maximum water depth of 0.8 m.

270 Results and Discussion

- 271 *1-year fill/drain cycle: Vertical displacement*
- 272 Regardless of whether lake-bottom ablation is included in our simulations, there is no vertical 273 displacement (i.e. $\eta(r=0)$ is zero) at t=0, indicating the rest position of the ice shelf (Fig. 274 3a). As the lake reaches full capacity after 45 days of filling, the ice shelf is depressed to just 275 over 0.08 m at the lake centre. If the lake were to remain full, this depression would continue 276 to increase, as the viscoelastic adjustment to the increasing lake load is slow compared to the 277 45-day filling timescale. However, during day 46 of the simulation, the lake is drained 278 during the initial 6 hours. The following analysis describes the ice-shelf's vertical 279 displacement over the remainder of the year, while considering the two assumptions, where: 280 a) lake-bottom ablation does not occur (Simulation 1); and b) lake-bottom ablation does 281 occur (Simulation 2).

If we assume that the ice shelf behaves purely as an elastic medium and that lake-bottom ablation does not occur (Simulation 1), by the end of the 6-hour drainage event the ice shelf would rebound to its initial, un-flexed position held at t = 0. However, as viscoelastic adjustment is able to occur during the 45-day fill period, the ice shelf does not return to its 286 un-flexed position, but instead leaves a depression of ~ 0.05 m at the end of the sixth hour of 287 day 46 (Fig. 3a). This 0.05 m depression on day 46 accounts for both this viscoelastic adjustment plus the immediate elastic response to the excess buoyancy associated with the ice 288 shelf being depressed by 0.05 m at the end of filling. Following complete drainage, the ice 289 290 shelf viscoelastically relaxes toward its initial condition prior to lake filling, as the excess 291 buoyancy is reduced. This happens rapidly at first, and then slows. With the parameters used 292 during this simulation, the ice surface does not return to its initial un-flexed state at the end of 293 a 1-year period, but instead a ~ 0.02 m depression remains at the lake centre.

- 294 If, instead, we assume that the ice shelf behaves as an elastic medium, and that lake-bottom ablation does occur (at a rate of 1 cm day⁻¹, Simulation 2), by the end of the 6-hour drainage 295 event, the ice shelf at the lake centre would rebound to its initial, un-flexed position held at t 296 297 = 0, plus an extra ~ 0.05 m. The additional 0.05 m is due to the immediate elastic response to 298 the excess buoyancy associated with the 0.45 m loss of ice in the lake bottom over the melt 299 season. However, as viscoelastic adjustment occurs during the 45-day fill period, the ice shelf 300 at the lake centre does not rise up as far as this, but instead only rises up by ~ 0.02 m at the end of the sixth hour of day 46 (Fig. 3a). From day 46 until the end of the year, the ice shelf 301 302 viscoelastically relaxes towards and beyond its initial vertical displacement prior to lake 303 filling, as the excess buoyancy is reduced. At the end of year 1, the ice surface at the lake 304 centre is just over 0.14 m higher than it was before. The vertical displacement of the ice shelf 305 during the year (for the assumption that lake-bottom ablation occurs) is also illustrated in Fig. 306 3b as a function of r (i.e. rather than just at the lake centre) for specific days.
- 307 1-year fill/drain cycle: Basin depth

308 For both cases without and with lake-bottom ablation, the basin depth is initially 0.5 m (Fig. 309 3c). With inclusion of lake-bottom ablation, the basin depth increases almost linearly to 0.97 310 m by the end of day 45. However, without lake-bottom ablation, the basin depth increases 311 non-linearly to only ~ 0.52 m by the end of day 45. During the 6 hours of lake drainage, the basin depth initially rapidly decreases (by ~ 0.01 m for both situations), then decreases at a 312 313 slower rate until the end of the year. For the situations with and without lake-bottom ablation, 314 the basin depths at the end of the year are ~ 0.90 and ~ 0.51 m, respectively. Compared to the 315 initial basin depth (0.50 m), the depths have therefore increased by ~ 0.40 m and ~ 0.01 m for 316 the simulations with and without bottom-lake ablation, respectively.

- These results indicate that lake-bottom ablation has a significant effect on increasing lake basin depth. As lake-bottom ablation is a process that almost certainly occurs during the majority of the melt season in reality, at least to some extent, we present hereafter results of simulations that assume that lake-bottom ablation always occurs during the melt season at a rate of 1 cm day⁻¹ (i.e. Simulations 2, 3 and 4).
- 322 1-year fill/drain cycle: Ice-shelf surface profile
- 323 At the beginning of the year (t = 0), before the fill/drain cycle commences, s = 0 m is the
- initial, un-flexed surface, with the 0.5 m deep lake basin superimposed upon this (Fig. 3d).
- After lake filling has finished on day 45, the lake centre reaches its lowest position for the year, s = -1.05 m, while the lake edge (see Fig. 1 for location) reaches its lowest position, s =

327 -1.03 m (Fig. 3d). Simultaneously, the lake sill (see Fig. 1 for location) has been depressed by 328 ~ 0.07 m at r = 500 m. After drainage on day 46, the lake centre and edge both elastically 329 rebound up to $s = \sim -0.93$ m. At this time, a slight up-flexed 'forebulge' around the lake basin 330 is created, and this reaches a maximum elevation of s = 0.03 m (at $r \sim 0.70$ km). From the 331 end of day 46 until day 365, viscoelastic relaxation causes the lake bottom to gradually rise 332 up, until the lake centre ultimately reaches s = -0.76 m, and the lake edge ultimately reaches s 333 = -0.80 m. Meanwhile, the lake sill finally reaches an elevation of s = 0.10 m, and the 334 forebulge that had previously existed further away from the lake sill has now subsided.

335 1-year fill/drain cycle: Surface stress analysis

- 336 As in Banwell et al. (2013) (their Fig. 1), during lake filling, tensile (both radial and 337 azimuthal, T_{rr} and $T_{\theta\theta}$, respectively) stress exists both at the lower surface of the ice shelf ($\zeta =$ -H/2), below the lake basin, and also on the upper surface of the ice shelf ($\zeta = H/2$), around 338 339 the lake basin, associated with the up-flexed forebulge (Fig. 3e). On day 45, after lake filling, 340 tensile stress is greatest on the lower ice-shelf surface directly under the lake, with T_{rr} reaching 60 kPa at the lake centre (r = 0 m). Here, the von Mises stress, $T_{VM} = \sqrt{(T_{rr}^2 + T_{\theta\theta}^2 - T_{\theta\theta}^2)^2}$ 341 T_{rr} $T_{\theta\theta}$), reaches 64 kPa (Fig. 3f). As this level of stress is just below our 70 kPa fracture 342 criterion, we suggest that fracture initiation during lake filling is unlikely within the lake 343 basin. Outside of the lake basin, an additional zone of high tensile stress exists on the ice-344 345 shelf surface during filling, which is where an up-flexed forebulge would be likely be 346 observed. However, here, the maximum T_{rr} is only 16 kPa at r = 1.6 km (Fig. 3e), and T_{VM} 347 only reaches a maximum of 20 kPa (Fig. 3f) at the same location, thus the 70 kPa fracture 348 criterion is also not reached outside of the lake basin on day 45.
- After drainage on day 46, tensile stress is greatest on the upper ice-shelf surface within the lake basin (Fig. 3e) and reaches a maximum T_{VM} of 90 kPa (Fig. 3f). In the region $r \le 350$ m (which is within the lake basin, $r \le 500$ m), T_{VM} is ≥ 70 kPa, and thus may be large enough to cause ice-shelf fracture. Outside of the lake basin, a zone of low tensile stress exists at the lower ice-shelf surface. However, where T_{rr} only reaches a maximum of 8 kPa (r = 2.3 km), T_{VM} only reaches 14 kPa, and so is much less than the threshold criterion for fracture.
- 355 The above analysis indicates that during the lake's initial year, T_{VM} only approaches or 356 exceeds 70 kPa within an area that is slightly smaller than the footprint of the lake basin, and 357 this only occurs immediately after drainage. Thus, although fracture within the lake basin 358 may cause itself to drain during the 1-year fill/drain cycle, it is not able to promote fracture at 359 a distance from the lake, and therefore does not have the potential to drain other nearby lakes through a 'chain reaction' process (Banwell et al. 2013). However, as the ice-shelf surface 360 361 does not completely return back to its un-flexed position after a lake's 1-year fill/drain cycle, greater meltwater loads will be able to be accommodated during successive fill/drain cycles. 362 363 Therefore, we hypothesize that the surrounding stress field will become higher in magnitude 364 in subsequent years, thus enabling fracture initiation further away from the lake basin. We 365 investigate this idea in the next sections (Simulations 3 and 4).
- 366 10 annual fill/drain cycles: Basin depth

- 367 For the assumption of an unlimited availability of meltwater, the final basin depth during year 368 1 is 0.90 m with maximum d = 1.01 m on day 45 (after filling is complete) (Fig. 4a). During year 5, the final basin depth is 1.90 m with maximum d = 2.31 m on day 45. During year 10, 369 the final basin depth is 2.64 m with maximum d = 3.24 m on day 45. This basin depth is not 370 371 unreasonable given that water depths of up to ~ 5 m were measured from February 2000 372 Landsat imagery (Banwell et al. 2014), acquired 2 years prior to the collapse. As the years 373 progress, the difference between the maximum and end-of-year basin depths within each year 374 (i.e. d = 0.11 m in year 1, and d = 0.60 m in year 10), also increases. This is because the 375 deeper the basin, the larger the volume of water the lake can accommodate, so the greater the 376 difference between the basin depths for the full and drained lake basins.
- 377 For the assumption of a limited availability of meltwater (i.e. maximum d = 0.8 m), the final 378 basin depth during year 1 is 0.90 m with maximum d = 0.99 m on day 45. During year 5, the 379 final basin depth is 1.91 m with maximum d = 2.18 m on day 45, and, during year 10, the 380 final basin depth is 2.67 m with maximum d = 2.30 m on day 45 (Fig. 4a). As for the 381 unlimited meltwater availability assumption, as the years progress, the difference between the maximum and end-of-year basin depths within each year (e.g. equal to 0.08 m in year 1, and 382 383 0.32 m in year 10), also increases; however, this occurs more gradually than in the unlimited 384 meltwater availability simulation. We suggest that the reason that the evolution of in basin 385 depth over the 10 years for two assumptions are similar is related to the strong control that 386 lake-bottom ablation has on basin depth, which occurs at a constant rate, independent of 387 water depth.
- 388 10 annual fill/drain cycles: Ice-shelf surface profile
- 389 At the end of year 1, the lake centre is at s = -0.79 m, the lake edge is at s = -0.81 m, and the 390 lake sill is at s = 0.10 m (Fig. 3d). Over the following nine years of annual fill/drain cycles, 391 this surface profile generally becomes more exaggerated (Fig. 4b). (NB. Although Fig. 4b is 392 for the assumption of unlimited meltwater availability, the plot for the assumption of limited 393 meltwater availability is equivalent, ± 0.02 m). By the end of year 2, the lake centre reaches 394 its lowest position (s = -0.82 m), while the lake edge is ~ 0.15 m lower (s = -0.95 m). By the 395 end of year 4, the lake centre is close to its initial position, whereas the lake edge reaches s =396 -1.05 m; its lowest position over the 10 years. By the end of year 10, the lake centre reaches s = 1.42 m, the lake edge reaches s = -0.70 m, and the lake sill reaches s = 1.70 m (Fig. 4b). An 397 398 empty, uplifted lake basin such as this is often called a 'doline' in analogy to sinkholes in 399 karst terrain (Bindschadler et al. 2002; MacAyeal & Sergienko 2013).
- We also experimented with running the model for a further 10 years, during which time the lake centre continues to rise. By the end of year 11, the centre of the lake actually reached a higher elevation than the lake edge, and by the end of year 20, the centre reached an elevation of s = 4.2 m (whereas the lake edge only reached s = -0.3 m). This indicates that from year 11 until 20, the lake will likely now be in the form of a 'moat' around the (higher) lake centre.
- until 20, the lake will likely now be in the form of a 'moat' around the (higher) lake centre.
 MacAyeal & Sergienko (2013, their Fig. 1) show a photo that may depict such a phenomena.
- 406 10 annual fill/drain cycles: Surface stress analysis

- 407 As our simulations indicate that T_{VM} always reaches its maximum value for the year after
- 408 drainage on day 46, T_{VM} on day 46 in each of the 10 years, under the assumption of unlimited 409 meltwater availability, is plotted (Fig. 4c). As the years progress, the region where $T_{VM} \ge 70$
- 410 kPa increases from $r \le 0.35$ km during year 1, to $r \le 1.1$ km during year 5, and to $r \le 1.8$ km
- 411 during year 10 (Fig. 4c). Additionally, the maximum T_{VM} at the lake centre increases as the
- 412 years increase in number; from $T_{VM} = 90$ kPa during year 1, to $T_{VM} = 180$ kPa during year 5,
- 413 to $T_{VM} = 214$ kPa during year 10.
- 414 Thus, the results indicate that, whereas T_{VM} only approaches or exceeds the fracture criterion 415 of 70 kPa within an area slightly smaller than the footprint of the lake basin for a 1-year fill/drain cycle, after multiple annual fill/drain cycles $T_{VM} \ge 70$ kPa over a much larger region 416 417 that extends beyond the footprint of the lake basin. For example, by the end of year 10, the 418 region $r \le 1.8$ km experiences $T_{VM} \ge 70$ kPa. This means that, although a lake may not be 419 able to promote fracture at a distance during its first fill/drain cycle, it may be able to do so 420 after multiple years, at which time it may cause other surrounding lakes to drain through a 421 chain reaction process (Banwell et al. 2013).
- 422 However, in contrast to the result above, the equivalent plot of the simulation under the 423 assumption of limited meltwater availability (Fig. 4d) suggests that T_{VM} actually approaches 424 or exceeds the fracture criterion, 70 kPa, within a similar region surrounding the lake for each 425 of the 10 years. This region only varies from where $r \le 0.53$ km on day 46 of year 1, to where 426 $r \le 0.61$ km on day 46 of year 10. These results suggest that, if meltwater is limited, it is 427 unlikely that fracture initiation at a distance from the lake basin will occur. Despite this 428 finding, however, it is likely that some lakes will preferentially fill at the expense of others, 429 perhaps due to small-scale ice-shelf topography, and/or meltwater inflow from nearby 430 overflowing lakes. Therefore, even if the majority of lakes are shallow in depth, if at least one 431 is able to fill to a great enough depth, it may be able to initiate fractures capable of draining 432 other lakes.

433 Conclusions

434 We have investigated viscoelastic ice-shelf flexure caused by multiple years of evolution of a 435 single, axisymmetric supraglacial lake on an ice shelf when it is subjected to annual fill/drain 436 cycles. Neither an elastic nor a viscous/creep approach alone would have captured the 437 essential evolution of the flexure process due to: i) the gradual addition of the load (lake 438 filling) resulting in elastic and creep adjustment; and ii) the sudden removal of the load 439 (drainage) resulting in elastic rebound and subsequent gradual viscoelastic relaxation. 440 Although our conclusions are inherently based on the assumption that that the lake is able to drain at the end of each melt season, as previously discussed, multiple sources of evidence 441 442 suggest that rapid lake drainage events on ice shelves are frequent, and often occur annually.

An *a priori* assumption was that as the ice-shelf surface does not completely return back to its un-flexed position after a lake's 1-year fill/drain cycle through viscoelastic relaxation, the lake basin is able to deepen over time. Our results indicate that this deepening process is significantly amplified if lake-bottom ablation is assumed to occur. For example, at the end

447 of the 1-year fill/drain cycle, the lake basin experiencing lake-bottom ablation deepened by

448 40 times more than the amount that the lake basin not experiencing lake-bottom ablation449 deepened.

450 We have evaluated the time-scale over which the typical lake is able to reach a sufficient 451 depth such that ice-shelf fracture at a distance (i.e. out of the lake basin) is likely to occur in 452 response to lake filling/drainage. We have shown that although this is unlikely to happen 453 during the first year of a lake's life, after 10 years fracture is possible within a radius of about 454 2 km from the lake centre. This means that the filling or drainage of one lake may cause 455 surrounding lakes within a radius of a few kilometres to drain through a chain-reaction style 456 process. However this was only true under the assumption that surface meltwater availability 457 was not an overriding limiting factor.

458 Under the assumption of limited meltwater availability, such that lakes could only fill to a 459 maximum depth equal to the average depth of the lakes on the Larsen B Ice Shelf before it broke up in 2002 (0.8 m), multiple years of annual fill/drain cycles increased the magnitude 460 461 of the stress field around the lake by a much smaller amount. For this simulation, the region 462 likely to undergo fracture only increased by < 100 m radially over the 10 years, producing an 463 area with a radius that was only just larger than the footprint of the lake basin. This suggests 464 that the filling or drainage of one lake is unlikely to cause other lakes to drain if meltwater is 465 limited.

466 In summary, our results suggest that changing meltwater features on ice shelves (including 467 lakes and water-filled crevasses) produce macroscopically varying stress fields that may 468 cause damage or fracture within a zone extending several kilometres from the features 469 themselves. This process is in addition to the microscopically varying stress fields associated 470 with surface meltwater's impact on fracture-tip propagation, as proposed by previous studies. 471 We suggest that such large-scale stress fields may be as important for fracture as the 472 microscopically varying stress fields associated with hydrofracture, especially with regards to 473 the possibly of triggering chain-reaction lake drainage, which, in turn, may lead to large-scale 474 ice shelf break-up.

475 Acknowledgements

476 The authors would like to thank Sebastian Rosier and Hilmar Gudmundsson for help 477 implementing a full-Stokes version of the ice-shelf flexure model with viscoelastic rheology used to check results of the simpler model presented here. Alison Banwell acknowledges the 478 479 support of an Antarctic Science International Bursary from Antarctic Science Ltd. and a 480 Bowring Junior Research Fellowship from St Catharine's College, Cambridge. We thank 481 Olga Sergienko for valuable modelling assistance and discussions throughout the research. 482 We are grateful to the editor, Laurie Padman, and to two anonymous reviewers for their 483 valuable comments that helped to improve our initial manuscript.

484 Author contributions

A.F.B and D.R.M developed the concepts and approach of the study. A.F.B. ran the model,
performed the analysis, and wrote the paper. D.R.M. discussed the results and commented on
the manuscript.

488 **References**

- ALBRECHT, T. & LEVERMANN, A. 2012. Fracture field for large-scale ice dynamics, *Journal of Glaciology*, 58(207), 165–176.
- BANWELL, A.F., MACAYEAL, D.R. & SERGIENKO, O.V. 2013. Breakup of the Larsen B Ice
 Shelf triggered by chain reaction drainage of supraglacial lakes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40,
 5872-5876, doi:10.1002/2013GL057694.
- 494 BANWELL, A.F., CABALLERO, M., ARNOLD, N.S., GLASSER, N.F., CATHLES, L.M. &
- 495 MACAYEAL, D.R. 2014. Supraglacial lakes on the Larsen B Ice Shelf, Antarctica, and the Paakitsoq
- 496 Region, Greenland: A comparative study. Annals of Glaciology, 53(66), 1-8,
- 497 doi:10.3189/2013AoG66A049.
- BINDSCHADLER, R., SCAMBOS, T.A., ROTT, H., SKVARKA, P. & VORNBERGER, P. 2002.
 Ice dolines on Larsen Ice Shelf, Antarctica, *Annals of Glaciology* 34, 283–290.
- 500 BORSTAD, C.P., KHAZENDAR, A., LAROUR, E, MORLIGHEM, M., RIGNOT, E., SCHODLOK,
- 501 M.P. & SEROUSSI, H. 2012. A damage mechanics assessment of the Larsen B ice shelf prior to 502 collapse: Toward a physically-based calving law, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39, L18502,
- 503 doi:10.1029/2012GL053317.
- 504 BURTON, J.C., AMUNDSON, J.M., ABBOT, D.S. BOGHOSIAN, A., CATHLES, L.M., CORREA-
- 505 LEGISOS, S.K., DARNELL, K.N., GUTTENBERG, N., HOLLAND, D.M. & MACAYEAL, D.R.
- 506 2012, Laboratory investigations of iceberg capsize dynamics, energy dissipation and tsunamigenesis,
- 507 Journal of Geophysical Research Earth Surface, 117, F01007, doi:10.1029/2011JF002055.
- 508 COLLINS, I. F. & MCCRAE, I. R. 1985. Creep buckling of ice shelves and the formation of pressure
 509 rollers. *Journal of Glaciology*, 31(109), 242-252
- 510 DUGAN, H, OBRYK, M. K. & DORAN, P. T. 2013. Lake ice ablation rates from permanently 511 ice covered Antarctic lakes. *Journal of Glaciology*, 59, 491-498, doi:10.3189/2013JoG12J080
- 512 GILBERT, R. & DOMACK, E. W. 2003, Sedimentary record of disintegrating ice shelves in a 513 warming climate, Antarctic Peninsula, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, 4(4), 1038, 514 doi:10.1029/2002GC000441.
- 515 GLASSER, N. F. & SCAMBOS, T. A. 2008. A structural glaciological analysis of the 2002 Larsen
 516 Ice Shelf collapse, *Journal of Glaciology*, 54(184), 3-16, doi:10.3189/002214308784409017.
- 517 GUDMUNDSSON, G. H., 2011. Ice-stream response to ocean tides and the form of the basal sliding 518 law. *Cryosphere*, 5(1), 259-270, doi:10.5194/tc-5-259-2011.
- ISHIKAWA, N., TAKIZAWA, A., KAWAMURA, T., SHIRASAWA, K., & LEPPARANTA, M.
 2003. Changes in radiation properties and heat balance with sea ice growth in Saroma Lagoon and the
 Gulf of Finland. *In* SQUIRE, V. & LANGHORNE, P., *eds. Ice in the environment*, vol. 3. *Proceedings of the 16th IAHR International Symposium on Ice*, Dunedin, 2–6 December 2002.
 Dunedin: University of Otago, 194–200.
- KUIPERS MUNNEKE, P., LIGTENBERG, S. R. M., VAN DEN BROEKE, M., & VAUGHAN, D.
 G. 2014. Firn air depletion as a precursor of Antarctic ice-shelf Collapse, *Journal of Glaciology*, 60
 (220), 10.3189/2014JoG13J183.
- LABARBERA, C. H. & MACAYEAL, D. R. 2011. Traveling supraglacial lakes on George VI Ice
 Shelf, Antarctica. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38, L24501, doi:10.1029/2011GL049970.
- LE BROCQ, A.M., ROSS, N., GRIGGS, J.A., BINGHAM, R.G., CORR, H.F.J., FERRACCIOLI, F.,
 JENKINS, A., JORDAN, T.A., PAYNE, A.J., RIPPIN, D.M. & SIEGERT, M. J. 2013. Evidence

- from ice shelves for channelized meltwater flow beneath the Antarctic Ice Sheet' *Nature Geoscience*,
 vol. 6, pp. 945-948, doi:10.1038/ngeo1977
- LEPPÄRANTA, M., JÄRVINEN, O. & MATTILA, O. P. 2013. Structure and life cycle of
 supraglacial lakes in Dronning Maud Land. Antarctic Science, 25, pp 457-467.
 doi:10.1017/S0954102012001009.
- LIGTENBERG, S.R.M., KUIPERS MUNNEKE, P., & VAN DEN BROEKE, M.R, 2014, Present
 and future variations in Antarctic firn air content, *The Cryosphere*, 8, 1711-1723, doi:10.5194/tc-81711-2014.
- LUCKMAN, A., JANSEN, D., KULESSA, B., KING, E.C., SAMMONDS, P. & BENN, D.I. 2012.
 Basal crevasses in Larsen C Ice Shelf and implications for their global abundance, *The Cryosphere*, 6, 113-123, doi:10.5194/tc-6-113-2012.
- 542 LUCKMAN, A., ELVIDGE, A.D., JANSEN, D., KULESSA, B., KUIPERS MUNNEKE, P., KING,
- 543 J.C., BARRAND, N.E., 2014. Surface melt and ponding on Larsen C Ice Shelf and the impact of
- 544 Foehn winds. *Antarctic Science*, 26(6) 625-635, doi: 10.1017/S0954102014000339
- 545 MACAYEAL, D.R., SERGIENKO, O.V. & BANWELL, A.F. *In press*, A Model of Viscoelastic ice546 Shelf Flexure. *Journal of Glaciology*.
- 547 MACAYEAL, D.R., SCAMBOS, T.A, HULBE, C.L. & Fahnestock, M.A. 2003. Catastrophic ice-548 shelf break-up by an ice-shelf-fragment-capsize mechanism *Journal of Glaciology*, 49(164), 22–36.
- 549 MACAYEAL, D.R., & SERGIENKO, O.V. 2013. Flexural dynamics of melting ice shelves. *Annals* 550 *of Glaciology*, 54(63), 1-10, doi:10.3189/2013AoG63A256.
- MAXWELL, J.C. 1867. On the dynamical theory of gasses. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London*, 157, 49-88, doi:10.1098/rstl.1987.0004.
- 553 MCGRATH, D., STEFFEN, K., RAJARAM, H., SCAMBOS, T.A., ABDALATI, W. & RIGNOT, E.
- 2012. Basal crevasses on the Larsen C Ice Shelf, Antarctica: Implications for melt-water ponding and
 hydrofracture, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39, L16504, doi:10.1029/2012GL052413.
- 556 REYNOLDS, J.M. 1981. Lakes on George VI Ice Shelf, Antarctica, *Polar Record*, 20(128), 425–432.
- 557 RIBE, N.M., 2003. Periodic folding of viscous sheets. *Physical Review E*, 68, 036305, 558 doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.68.036305.
- ROSIER, S.H.R., GUDMUNDSSON, G.H. & Green, J.A.M. 2014. Insights into ice stream dynamics
 through modeling their response to tidal forcing. *The Cryosphere*, 8 (5). 1763-1775. 10.5194/tc-81763-2014
- SAYAG, R. & WORSTER, M.G. 2011. Elastic response of a grounded ice sheet coupled to a floating
 ice shelf. *Physical Review E*, 84, 036111, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.84.036111.
- SCAMBOS, T.A., HULBE, C., FAHNESTOCK, M. & BOHLANDER, J. 2000. The link between
 climate warming and break-up of ice shelves in the Antarctic Peninsula. *Journal of Glaciology*, 46,
 516–530.
- SCAMBOS, T.A., HULBE, C. & FAHNESTOCK, M. 2003. Climate-induced ice shelf disintegration
 in the Antarctic Peninsula, in Antarctic Peninsula Climate Variability: A Historical and
 Paleoenvironmental Perspective, *Antarctic Research Series*, 79, edited by E. W. Domack et al., pp.
 79–92, American Geophysical Union, Washington, D. C., doi:10.1029/AR079p0079.

- 571 SCAMBOS, T., FRICKER, H. A., LIU, C.C., BOHLANDER, J. FASTOOK, J., SARGENT, A.,
- 572 MASSOM, R. & WU, A.M. 2009. Ice shelf disintegration by plate bending and hydro-fracture: 573 Satellite observations and model results of the 2008 Wilkins ice shelf break-ups. *Earth and Planetary*
- 574 *Science Letters*, 280(1-4): 51-60, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.12.027.
- 575 SCHULSON, E. & DUVAL, P. 2009. Creep and fracture of ice, Cambridge University Press, 576 Cambridge UK.
- 577 SERGIENKO, O.V. 2010. Elastic response of floating glacier ice to impact of long-period ocean
 578 waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 115, F04028, doi:10.1029/2010JF001721.
- 579 SHEPHERD, A., WINGHAM, D., PAYNE, T. & SKVARCA, P. 2003. Larsen ice shelf has 580 progressively thinned, *Science*, 302, 856–859, doi:10.1126/science.1089768.
- 581 TEDESCO, M., LUTHJE, M., STEFFEN, K., STEINER, N., FETTWEISS, X., WILLIS I, BAYOU,
- 582 N., BANWELL, A.F. 2012. Measurement and modeling of ablation of the bottom of supraglacial
- 583lakes in Western Greenland. Geophysical Research Letters, L02502 doi:10.1029/2011GL049882.
- 584 TEDESCO, M., WILLIS, I.C., HOFFMAN, M.J., BANWELL, A.F. ALEXANDER, P. & ARNOLD,
- 585 N.S. 2013, Ice dynamic response to two modes of surface lake drainage on the Greenland ice sheet,
- 586 *Environmental Research Letters*, 8, 034007, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/034007.
- 587 WALKER, R.T., PARIZEK, B.R. ALLEY, R.B. ANANDAKRISHNAN, S., RIVERMAN, K.L. &
- 588 CHRISTIANSON, K. 2013. Ice-shelf tidal flexure and subglacial pressure variations. Earth and
- 589 Planetary Science Letters, 361, 422-428.
- VAN DEN BROEKE, M. 2005. Strong surface melting preceded collapse of Antarctic Peninsula ice
 shelf, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32, L12815, doi:10.1029/2005gl023247.
- VAN DER VEEN, C.J. 1998. Fracture mechanics approach to penetration of surface crevasses on
 glaciers. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, 27, 31-47, doi:10.1016/S0165-232X(97)00022-0.
- 594 Figures
- Fig. 1. Cross-sectional geometry of the idealized supraglacial lake at t = 0 (i.e. before any lake filling occurs). The initial lake basin depth (*d*) is 0.5 m. The 'lake edge' is the point on the lake bottom at r = 500 m, and the 'lake sill' is the point on the ice-shelf surface at r = 500m, directly above the lake edge. ζ is the vertical distance from the central, neutral plane of the ice shelf. No-displacement, no-bending moment boundary conditions at are applied at r = 5600 km.
- Fig. 2. Idealized meltwater fill/drain schedule of the supraglacial lake (only the first 100 days of a 365-day schedule are shown). When the volume fraction equals 1, the lake has filled to its maximum water depth. The maximum water depth is equal to either a) the elevation of the lake sill for the assumption of unlimited meltwater availability; or b) 0.8 m for the assumption of limited meltwater availability. Filling is presumed to take 45 days and drainage is presumed to take 6 hours. For 100 < t < 365 (days), we assume the volume fraction is zero.
- Fig. 3. Results of Simulations 1 and 2 for a 1-year fill/drain cycle (Fig. 2) of the idealized supraglacial lake (Fig. 1). (a) Vertical displacement, η (m), at the lake centre (r = 0) as a function of time, t, assuming that lake-bottom ablation does occur (green line) and that lakebottom ablation does not occur (red line). (b) Vertical displacement, η (m), of the ice shelf, as

- 612 a function of r, assuming that lake-bottom ablation does occur. (c) Basin depth, d (m), as a 613 function of t, assuming that lake-bottom ablation does occur (green line), and that lake-
- bottom ablation does not occur (red line). Although d is actually positive, it is plotted as a
- 615 negative number for visualization purposes. (d) Ice-shelf surface profile (m), as a function of
- 616 r, on various days of the year. The initial basin depth at t = 0 is 0.5 m. (e) Radial (T_{rr} , solid
- 617 lines) and aximuthal ($T_{\theta\theta}$, dotted lines) components of the stress as functions of *r*, at the upper
- 618 ice surface ($\zeta = H/2$) of the ice shelf on various days during the year. The values of T_{rr} and
- 619 $T_{\theta\theta}$ at the lower ice surface ($\zeta = -H/2$) are -1 times that shown here. (f) Von Mises stress, T_{VM} ,
- 620 as a function of *r*, evaluated at both the upper and lower surfaces ($\zeta = \pm H/2$) on various days 621 during the year. The dashed black line indicates the T_{VM} fracture criterion, 70 kPa. The
- 622 shaded region in Figs (b), (d), (e) and (f) shows the lake footprint (r < 500 m).
- 623 Fig. 4. Results of Simulations 3 and 4 for 10 annual fill/drain cycles (Fig. 2) of the idealized 624 supraglacial lake (Fig. 1). (a) Basin depth, d (m), assuming unlimited meltwater availability 625 (blue line), and limited meltwater availability (red line). (b) Ice-shelf surface profile (m) as a function of r, on various days during the 10 years, under the assumption of unlimited 626 627 meltwater availability. NB. The plot for the assumption of limited meltwater availability is 628 the same, ± 0.02 m. (c) Von Mises stress, T_{VM} , as a function of r, evaluated at both the upper 629 and lower surfaces ($\zeta = \pm H/2$) on day 46 of every year (1 - 10), for the assumption of 630 unlimited meltwater availability. (d) Von Mises stress, T_{VM} , as a function of r, evaluated at 631 both the upper and lower surfaces ($\zeta = \pm H/2$) on day 46 of every year (1 - 10), for the 632 assumption of *limited* meltwater availability. The shaded region in Figs (b), (c), and (d) 633 shows the lake footprint (r < 500 m), and the dashed black line in Figs (c) and (d) indicates 634 the T_{VM} fracture criterion, 70 kPa.