Magnetotransport in p-type Ge quantum well narrow wire arrays

P. J. Newton^{1*}, J. Llandro¹, R. Mansell¹, S. N. Holmes², C. Morrison³, J. Foronda³, M. Myronov³, D. R. Leadley³ and C. H. W. Barnes¹

¹Department of Physics, Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, J. J. Thomson Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, United Kingdom ²Toshiba Research Europe Limited, Cambridge Research Laboratory, 208 Cambridge Science Park, Milton Road, CB4 0GZ, United Kingdom ³Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom

Abstract

We report magnetotransport measurements of a SiGe heterostructure containing a 20 nm p-Ge quantum well with a mobility of 800,000 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹. By dry etching arrays of wires with widths between 1.0 μ m and 3.0 μ m we were able to measure the lateral depletion thickness, built-in potential and the phase coherence length of the quantum well. Fourier analysis does not show any Rashba related spin-splitting despite clearly defined Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations being observed up to a filling factor of v = 22. Exchange-enhanced spin-splitting is observed for filling factors below v = 9. An analysis of boundary scattering effects indicates lateral depletion of the hole gas by 0.5±0.1 µm from the etched germanium surface. The built-in potential is found to be 0.25±0.04 eV, presenting an energy barrier for lateral transport greater than the hole confinement energy. A large phase coherence length of 3.5±0.5 µm is obtained in these wires at 1.7 K.

*Corresponding author. Electronic mail: pjn32@cam.ac.uk

Investigations of spin transport in germanium have shown that it outperforms other material choices for future spintronic technologies.¹⁻⁸ In the more extensively studied III-V semiconductors, the spin relaxation time is restricted by the hyperfine interaction⁹ and spin-orbit coupling,¹⁰ leading to inherent limitations for these materials. In Ge these limitations are overcome, owing to the zero nuclear spin of its most abundant isotopes and the inversion symmetry of the Ge crystal suppressing the Dyakonov-Perel spin relaxation mechanism.¹¹⁻¹³ In addition, hole transport in Ge has the added advantage that hole wavefunctions vanish at the nucleus, further supressing the hyperfine interaction.¹⁴ For the production of high-quality high-electron mobility transistors (HEMTs) and non-local spin injection and detection devices, SiGe heterostructures are also expected to offer advantages over bulk Si and Ge epilayers, owing to increased mobility^{12,15,16} and quantum confinement effects.² Ideally spintronic devices would use SiGe heterostructures containing p-Ge quantum wells to fully utilise all of these advantages. However, many high-mobility heterostructures have shown significant parallel conduction through the SiGe buffer layers and the boron doped supply layer, masking the transport through the p-Ge quantum well.^{17,18} In order to produce high-quality spintronic devices, controlled tunnel injection and a method of controlling spin in the quantum well channel, such as the Rashba effect,^{18,19} are required.^{2,5} Knowledge of parameters such as the interfacial injection barrier thickness and phase coherence length are also necessary for the design of spintronic devices.

In this Letter we dry etch arrays of narrow wires containing a single p-Ge quantum well to determine the depletion thickness, built-in potential and phase coherence length of the quantum well. We also solve the problem of parallel conduction and observe the spin-split Shubnikov-de Haas effect in such heterostructures at 1.7 K. We show that the spin-splitting is of exchange-enhanced Zeeman²⁰ in origin and find no evidence for the Rashba effect in this heterostructure.

A schematic diagram of the structure used in this work is shown in Fig. 1. Hall bars (with 75 µm width) showed a mobility of $\mu = 0.79 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ and a p-type carrier density of $p = 2.1 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the dark and $\mu = 0.80 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ with $p = 2.3 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the dark after illumination (DAL). These devices displayed significant parallel conduction.

To eliminate this parallel conduction, optical lithography was used to define wires with widths between 1.0 and 3.0 μ m which were dry etched through the quantum well, to a depth of 0.6 μ m, with CF₄ plasma. This etch depth is sufficient to electrically isolate the wires at low temperature. Aluminium was thermally evaporated and annealed for eight minutes at a temperature of 250 °C in order to form ohmic contacts to the p-Ge quantum well. Ohmic behaviour was observed down to the lowest measurement temperature of 1.7 K. The wires were 1000 μ m long with effective conducting widths varying from 0.3 to 1.8 μ m. Arrays of between 60 and 100 wires were connected at the Al ohmic contacts and measured in parallel. This prevented the magnetoresistance signal being dominated by mesoscopic conductance fluctuations²¹ or by intrinsic or processing-related defects.

Magnetotransport measurements were carried out in a perpendicular magnetic field up to 8 T at 1.7 K with either ac currents of ~1 nA per wire or dc currents in an ac modulation field of 6 mT at 33 Hz.²² Analogue dR_{xx}/dB and d^2R_{xx}/dB^2 signals were measured using field modulation, enhancing the signal-to-noise ratio over the numerical derivative by a factor of approximately 100. For DAL measurements, *in*- *situ* illumination was from a red light emitting diode close to the device. A persistent enhancement of the hole density was always observed after illumination.

In Fig. 2, the magnetoresistance is shown for the 2 μ m width wires in the dark (Fig. 2a) and DAL (Fig. 2b). The carrier density is $p = 1.9 \times 10^{11}$ cm⁻² in the dark and is $p = 2.1 \times 10^{11}$ cm⁻² in DAL. The Landau level filling factors (ν) are indicated for the Shubnikov-de Haas minima to $\nu = 10$, with spin-splitting at odd filling factors up to $\nu = 5$. In wide Hall bar devices, parallel conduction through the supply layer and buffer layers distorts the quantum Hall plateaux in resistivity ρ_{xy} , 'inverting' the ρ_{xx} resistivity minima in the Shubnikov-de Haas effect,¹⁷ however these effects are not seen in these narrow wire devices. There is a peak in the resistance at a field of ~ 0.03 T. This peak is not sharp enough to be due to weak anti-localization²³ but can instead be attributed to a boundary scattering effect.^{24,25} This is observed in the non-depleted wires for all wire widths and can be used to estimate the conducting width (W_{eff}) of the wire. If the boundary scattering field is at B_{BS} , the effective wire width is given by equation (1) for a wire with hole density p,

$$W_{eff} = 0.55 \frac{\hbar\sqrt{2\pi p}}{eB_{BS}}.$$
(1)

These 2 μ m wires therefore have an effective wire width of $W_{\text{eff}} = 1.1 \,\mu\text{m}$.

Fig. 3a shows the analogue $-d^2R_{xx}/dB^2$ signal of the wires with $W_{eff} = 1.1 \ \mu m$. This structure can be observed to a much higher filling factor; clear oscillations up to v = 22 can be identified in this case. A plot of filling factor against inverse magnetic field $(1/B_{min})$ is shown in Fig. 3b. The linear fit to this plot is 8.03 x $(1/B_{min})$. At filling factor v = 11, a spin-splitting is visible. The system is spin-split at filling factors less than v = 9. These data show a strictly linear behaviour, since there is no one-dimensional subband magnetic depopulation in these narrow wires.²⁶ The number of

one-dimensional subbands N_s can be deduced from the value of W_{eff} given by equation (1). We find $N_s = \hbar p/eB_{\text{BS}} \approx 10$ in the wire where $W_{\text{eff}} = 0.3 \,\mu\text{m.}^{27}$

Fig. 4a shows the analogue dR_{xx}/dB signal in an array of only 25 W_{eff} = 1.1 µm wide wires. Reproducible conductance fluctuations are seen in the shaded area, up to v = 30. The two traces are from field sweeps in opposite directions, confirming the reproducibility of this structure that is quasi-periodic in field. This structure was also observed when measuring arrays of more wires but was not observed in the (less sensitive) analogue R_{xx} signal.

The fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the low field dR_{xx}/dB signal is shown in Fig. 4b in the magnetic field domain to 1.1 T, avoiding the structure in the shaded area where conductance fluctuations can be seen. The main peak (at ~ 4 T) corresponds to the fundamental field $B_f = hp/2e$, with spin-degeneracy (g_s) = 2 and a carrier density of p~ $2x10^{11}$ cm⁻², which is the same as that obtained from the gradient of Fig. 3b. The peak at ~ 8 T arises from spin-splitting. The peak close to zero frequency in the FFT is due to the background variation in dR_{xx}/dB (see Fig. 4a).

We do not observe a weak anti-localization peak close to zero applied field in any of the wire array structures. Beating in the amplitude of the Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations characteristic of spin-splitting caused by the Rashba effect is also not observed. There is no beating of the oscillatory structure in dR_{xx}/dB or FFT peak splitting in these wires (Fig. 4) that is characteristic of the Rashba effect.²⁸ The presence of two regimes in plots of v against 1/B_{min} (see Fig. 3b), spin-split and nonspin-split, can be attributed to the onset of exchange-enhanced Zeeman splitting. This is characteristic of the magnetic field induced 'Stoner transition' seen in the GaAs two-dimensional system²⁹ rather than a Rashba spin-orbit interaction. Observations of the cubic Rashba effect have been reported in samples with a carrier density of p = $6x10^{11}$ cm⁻² and $p = 1.5x10^{12}$ cm⁻².^{18,19} At the lower carrier density here, Zeeman splitting dominates the low magnetic field spin-splitting. The compressive strain in the layers may be increasing the structural asymmetry in the band structure. This strain is certainly higher in the devices measured in Ref. 19, owing to the Si_{0.5}Ge_{0.5} barrier material providing a 2.1% strain in the p-Ge quantum well. In the wires measured in this work the Ge-rich Si_{0.2}Ge_{0.8} barrier results in a reduced strain of 0.65% in the p-Ge quantum well.¹⁵ This reduced strain and lower carrier density could possibly minimise any Rashba effect. Further strain engineering in these SiGe heterostructures could therefore potentially lead to a controllable Rashba effect.

Fig. 5 shows the measured carrier density as a function of effective wire width in the dark and DAL. In the narrowest device measured, the wire is depleted in the dark and conduction is solely through the supply and buffer layers. Each wire has a very large impedance of ~ 6 M Ω . In DAL a carrier density of $p = 2.2 \times 10^{11}$ cm⁻² was measured. The boundary scattering peak²⁴ occurred at a magnetic field of 0.16 T and indicates an effective wire width of 0.3 µm. The persistent conductivity in DAL is approximately independent of wire width. Secondary Ion Mass Spectroscopy measurements³⁰ show that the background boron doping is less than 10¹⁷ cm⁻³ in the buffer layer. This freezes out at low temperature, leaving just the doping supply layer (see Fig. 1) as the source of charge. The parallel conduction measured in wide Hall bars is depleted in the case of each of the arrays of narrow wires in the dark and DAL. The carrier density in the wires before illumination is consistently lower than in the wide Hall bar devices for all wire widths, owing to edge depletion.

The depletion thickness (x_b) of the wires was estimated by comparing the effective wire width with the physical width determined by atomic force microscopy (AFM). The measured depletion thickness is between 0.37 and 0.62 µm and is weakly

dependent on the physical wire width. The median value of the calculated depletion thickness ($x_b = 0.49 \ \mu m$) at the dry etched Ge surface is shown for comparison in Fig. 5. The surface built-in potential (eV_{bi}) can estimated from Gauss's law using equation (2),

$$eV_{bi} = \frac{ep_b x_b^2}{2\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r} \tag{2}$$

where $\varepsilon_r = 15.8$ is the relative permittivity of Ge. The charge density, p_b , is the bulk equivalent of the measured sheet density (p) in a wire of width W_{eff} . In each of the wires the surface potential is $V_{bi} = 0.25 \pm 0.04$ eV. In a spintronic device, this would represent the tunnel barrier for lateral spin injection from a ferromagnetic metal³¹ into the strained p-Ge quantum well at the etched Ge surface. The role of interface states in determining the dominance of spin accumulation over spin-injection is therefore an important consideration⁵ for design of spintronic devices in p-Ge two-dimensional hole gases (2DHG).

The phase coherence length in the wires is calculated from the dephasing time, τ_{ϕ} , in a quasi-one-dimensional system³² with,

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\phi}} = \frac{\pi (kT)^2}{2\hbar E_F} \ln\left(\frac{E_F}{kT}\right) + \left(\frac{kT}{\sqrt{D}W_{eff}P_0\hbar^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$
(3)

where *T* is the measurement temperature, $E_{\rm F}$ is the Fermi energy, *D* is the diffusion constant and $P_{\rm o}$ is the density of states in the valence band of the Ge quantum well. The hole effective mass used to determine $P_{\rm o}$ has been measured to be $0.063m_{\rm e}$ in similar Ge quantum well structures,³³ where $m_{\rm e}$ is the free electron mass. Using equation (3), the phase coherence length is calculated to be $3.5\pm0.5 \ \mu m$ at 1.7 K over the range of effective wire widths from 0.3 μm to 1.8 μm . This phase coherence length is larger than typical quantum dot structures used for qubit manipulation³⁴ and therefore offers possible applications for these p-Ge 2DHGs in future quantum technologies.

In conclusion, we have etched arrays of 1.0 µm to 3.0 µm width wires, each containing single a single high mobility ($\mu = 800,000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$) p-Ge quantum well, and have removed the previously observed parallel conduction through buffer and supply layers. These samples have a carrier density of $p = 2 \times 10^{11}$ cm⁻² and we observe clear Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations up to a filling factor of v = 22 at 1.7 K. We do not observe any spin-splitting characteristic of the cubic Rashba effect recently seen in higher carrier density p-Ge and attribute spin-splitting of the Subnikov-de Haas oscillations up to v = 9 to the exchange-enhanced Zeeman effect. In these wires, the lateral depletion thickness is 0.5 ± 0.1 µm at the dry etched Ge surface. The built-in potential is 0.25±0.04 eV, presenting an energy barrier for lateral spin-injection into a spintronic device that is greater than the hole confinement energy at the p-Ge surface. We calculated a large phase coherence length in the wires of 3.5 ± 0.5 µm. The attainment of these parameters in p-Ge quantum wells, as well as the supressed hyperfine interaction, indicates that this material has applications in spintronic devices. Our results are consistent with the main source of spin-relaxation in the Ge wires being the Elliot-Yafet mechanism,³⁵ where the spin-lifetime is longer for higher mobilities.

Acknowledgements

This work programme is funded by the EPSRC funded "Spintronic device physics in Si/Ge heterostructures" EP/J003263/1 and EP/J003638/1 projects and a platform grant, EP/J001074/1.

REFERENCES

¹C. Shen, T. Trypiniotis, K. Y. Lee, S. N. Holmes, R. Mansell, M. Husain, V. Shah, H. Kurebayashi, I. Farrer, C. H. deGroot, D. R. Leadley, G. Bell, E. H. C. Parker, T. Whall, D. A. Ritchie and C. H. W. Barnes, Appl. Phys. Lett. 97, 162104 (2010)

²Y. Zhou, W. Han, L.-T. Chang, F. Xiu, M. Wang, M. Oehme, I. A. Fischer, J. Schulze, R. K. Kawakami, and K. L. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 84, 125323 (2011)

³Y. Hu, H. O. H. Churchill, D. J. Reilly, Jie Xiang, C. M. Lieber, and C. M. Marcus, Nature Nanotechnology 2, 622 (2007)

⁴H. Saito, S. Watanabe, Y. Mineno, S. Sharma, R. Jansen, S. Yuasa, K. Ando, Solid State Comm. 151, 1159 (2011)

⁵A. Jain, J.-C. Rojas-Sanchez, M. Cubukcu, J. Peiro, J. C. Le Breton, E. Prestat, C. Vergnaud, L. Louahadj, C. Portemont, C. Ducruet, V. Baltz, A. Barski, P. Bayle-Guillemaud, L. Vila, J.-P. Attané, E. Augendre, G. Desfonds, S. Gambarelli, H. Jaffrès, J.-M. George, and M. Jamet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 106603 (2012)

⁶F. Pezzoli, F. Bottegoni, D. Trivedi, F. Ciccacci, A. Giorgioni, P. Li, S. Cecchi, E. Grilli, Y. Song, M. Guzzi, H. Dery, and G. Isella, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 156603 (2012)

⁷C. Payette, K. Wang, P. J. Koppinen, Y. Dovzhenko, J. C. Sturm, and J. R. Petta, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 043508 (2010)

⁸D. J. Paul, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 19, R75 (2004)

⁹J. R. Petta, A. C. Johnson, J. M. Taylor, E. A. Laird, A. Yacoby, M. D. Lukin, C. M. Marcus, M. P. Hanson, and A. C. Gossard, Science 309, 2180 (2005)

¹⁰M. Cardona, N. E. Christensen, and G. Fasol, Phys. Rev. B 38, 1806 (1988)

¹¹E. Yablonovitch, H. W. Jiang, H. Kosaka, H. D. Robinson, D. S. Rao, and T. Szkopek, Proc IEEE 91, 761 (2003)

¹²E. J. Loren, J. Rioux, C. Lange, J. E. Sipe, H. M. van Driel, and A. L. Smirl Phys. Rev. B 84, 214307 (2011)

¹³C. Guite, V. Venkataraman, Appl. Phys. Lett. 101, 252404 (2010)

¹⁴M. H. Kolodrubetz, and J. R. Petta, Science 325, 42 (2009)

¹⁵A. Dobbie, M. Myronov, R. J. Morris, A. H. A. Hassan, M. J. Prest, V. A. Shah, E. H. C. Parker, T. E. Whall, and D. R. Leadley, Appl. Phys. Lett. 101, 172108 (2012)

¹⁶O.A. Mironov, A. H. A. Hassan, R. J. H. Morris, A. Dobbie, M. Uhlarz, D. Chrastina, J. P. Hague, S. Kiatgamolchai, R. Beanland, S. Gabani, I. B. Berkutov, M. Helm, O. Drachenko, M. Myronov, and D. R. Leadley, Thin Solid Films 557, 329 (2014)

¹⁷C. Morrison, M. Myronov, J. Foronda, C. Casteleiro, J. E. Halpin, S. D. Rhead and D. R. Leadley, Silicon-Germanium Technology and Devices meeting 7th ISTDM 105-106 (2014)

¹⁸C. Morrison, P. Wiśniewski, S. D. Rhead, J. Foronda, D. R. Leadley, and M. Myronov, App. Phys. Lett., 105, 182401 (2014)

¹⁹R. Moriya, K. Sawano, Y. Hoshi, S. Masubuchi, Y. Shiraki, A. Wild, C. Neumann, G. Abstreiter, D. Bougeard, T. Koga and T. Machida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 086601 (2014)

²⁰T. Ando, and Y. Uemura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 37, 1044 (1974)

²¹C. P. Umbach, S. Washburn, R. B. Laibowitz, and R. A. Webb, Phys. Rev. B 30, 4048(R) (1984)

²²D. Shoenberg, *Magnetic Oscillations in Metals*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984)

²³B. Grbić, r. Leturcq, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, D. Reuter, and A. D. Wiek, Phys. Rev. B 77, 125312 (2008)

²⁴T. J. Thornton, M. L. Roukes, Axel Scherer and B. P. Van de Gaag, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 2128 (1989)

²⁵E. Ditlefsen, and J. Lothe, Philosophic Magazine 14, 759 (1966)

²⁶K. –F. Berggren, and D. J. Newson, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 1 327 (1986)

²⁷H. Akera, and T. Ando, Phys. Rev. B 43, 11676 (1991)

²⁸G. Engels, J. Lange, T. Schäpers, and H. Lüth, Phys. Rev. B 55, R1958 (1997)

²⁹B. A. Piot, D. K. Maude, M. Henini, Z. R. Wasilewski, K. J. Friedland, R. Hey, K. H. Ploog, A. I. Toropov, R. Airey and G. Hill, Phys. Rev. B 72, 245325 (2005)

³⁰A. H. A. Hassan, O. A. Mironov, A. Dobbie, J. H. Morris, J. E. Halpin, V. A. Shah, M. Myronov, D. R. Leadley, IEEE 33 ISCEN Conference, 51 (2013)

³¹C. W. Burrows, A. Dobbie, M. Myronov, T. P. Hase, S. B. Wilkins, M. Walker, J. J. Mudd, I. Maskery, M. Lees, C. F. McConville, D. R. Leadley and G. R. Bell, Cryst. Growth Des. 13, 4923 (2013)

³²K. K. Choi, D. C. Tsui, K. Alavi, Phys. Rev. B 36, 7751 (1987)

³³O. A. Mironov, A. H. A. Hassan, M. Uhlarz, S. Kiatgamolchai, A. Dobbie, R. J. H. Morris, J. E. Halpin, S. D. Rhead, P. Allred, M. Myronov, S. Gabani, I. B. Berkutov, and D. R. Leadley, Phys. Status Solidi C11, 61 (2014)

³⁴J. R. Petta, A. C. Johnson, J. M. Taylor, E. A. Laird, A. Yacoby, M. D. Lukin, C. M. Marcus, M. P. Hanson, and A. C. Gossard, Science 309 2180 (2005)

³⁵I. Zutic, J. Fabian, S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 323 (2004)

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. A schematic diagram of the strained Ge quantum well structure. The Boron doping density is 2.4×10^{18} cm⁻³ in the 20 nm thick doped region and 5×10^{18} cm⁻³ in the δ -doped region.

Figure 2. Shubnikov-deHaas effect in (a) the dark and (b) DAL in the 2 μ m wide wires where $W_{\text{eff}} = 1.1 \,\mu$ m. Inset: the boundary scattering peak of these wires.

Figure 3. (a) $-d^2 R_{xx}/dB^2$ of an array of wires where $W_{eff} = 1.1 \ \mu m$ at $p = 1.9 \times 10^{11} \ cm^{-2}$ in the dark with filling factors indicated.(b) Filling factor against $1/B_{min}$ for the Shubnikov-de Haas minima positions in R_{xx} .

Figure 4. (a) low magnetic field structure in dR_{xx}/dB from a wire array where $W_{eff} = 1.1 \mu m$. An increasing and a decreasing field sweep are shown for comparison. (b) an FFT from the magnetic field domain 0.2 to 1.1 T.

Figure 5. The hole density from the Shubnikov-de Haas effect as a function of effective wire width in the dark and DAL. The average depletion thickness (x_b) is indicated.

FIGURES

Figure 1

Si cap	2 nm
$Si_{0.2}Ge_{0.8}$	30 nm
B doped Si _{0.2} Ge _{0.8}	20 nm δB doping
Si _{0.2} Ge _{0.8} spacer	20 nm
Ge quantum well	20 nm
$Si_{0.2}Ge_{0.8}$ spacer	100 nm
Si _{0.2} Ge _{0.8} buffer	
relaxed Ge	2 µm
Si (001) substrate	

Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5

