

# Does the European Marriage Pattern Explain Economic Growth?

Tracy Dennison California Institute of Technology Division of the Humanities and Social Sciences MC 101-40 Pasadena, CA 91125 U. S. A. tkd@hss.caltech.edu Sheilagh Ogilvie University of Cambridge Faculty of Economics Sidgwick Avenue Cambridge CB3 9DD United Kingdom

sco2@econ.cam.ac.uk

*Acknowledgements:* Our particular thanks go to André Carus, Markus Cerman, Paul David, Jeremy Edwards, Tim Guinnane, Phil Hoffman, Lionel Kesztenbaum, Alexander Klein, Paul Rhode, Jean-Laurent Rosenthal, Steve Ruggles, Richard Smith, two anonymous referees, and participants at the Caltech social science history workshop, the Berkeley economic history seminar, and the All-UC Economic History Conference, for their stimulating comments on earlier versions of this paper. We are very grateful to Jeremy Edwards for his advice on the statistical analysis. We would also like to express our gratitude to the many scholars in the field of historical demography who have generously provided data references which have enriched and improved this work. We dedicate this article to the memory of Peter Laslett and Richard Wall, inspiring teachers, generous scholars, and unforgotten friends.

# Does the European Marriage Pattern

# Explain Economic Growth?

This paper scrutinizes the recently postulated link between the European Marriage Pattern (EMP) and economic success. Multivariate analysis of 4,705 demographic observations, covering women's marriage age, female lifetime celibacy, and household complexity in 39 European countries, shows that the most extreme manifestations of the EMP were associated with economic stagnation rather than growth. There is no evidence that the EMP improved economic performance by empowering women, increasing human capital investment, adjusting population to economic trends, or sustaining beneficial cultural norms. European economic success was not caused by the EMP and its sources must therefore be sought in other factors.

# Introduction

Historical demography has attracted much attention in recent years, as economists have begun to incorporate demographic behavior into theories of long-run growth (Guinnane 2012; Galor 2011; Acemoglu 2009). Several recent contributions to this literature focus on household formation patterns, arguing that the explanation for western economic success was the European Marriage Pattern (henceforth EMP), a demographic system involving late marriage for women (above 23-24 years), high proportions never marrying (above c. 10-15 percent), and predominantly nuclear families (above c. 80 percent).<sup>1</sup> The EMP was originally put forward by John Hajnal (1965, 1982, 1983) not as a cause of economic success, but as an empirical regularity – a demographic pattern that could be observed across

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On these quantitative indicators, see Hajnal 1965, p. 102-3, 108; Hajnal 1982, p. 482; Hajnal 1983, p. 69. Fauve-Chamoux 2001, pp. 224-5, suggests that the boundaries should be set at the somewhat higher female age at first marriage of 25 and at 8-14 percent female lifetime celibacy.

Europe west of an imaginary line from St Petersburg to Trieste. Between the 1960s and the 1990s, scholars occasionally speculated about links between the EMP and economic growth (Landes 1969; Todd 1983; Laslett 1988; Solar 1995), but the vast bulk of research on the EMP was empirical, investigating its prevalence, functioning, and social context. A number of recent works, however, argue that the EMP played a major causal role in European economic growth (Greif 2006; Greif and Tabellini 2010; De Moor and Van Zanden 2010; Foreman-Peck 2011; Voigtländer and Voth 2006, 2013).

Proponents of this argument hold that the EMP was crucial for the "Great Divergence" between Europe and the rest of the world, particularly China (Greif 2006; Greif and Tabellini 2010; De Moor and Van Zanden 2010; Voigtländer and Voth 2006). They also argue that the EMP can explain the "Little Divergence" between northwest Europe and the rest of the continent after the Black Death (De Moor and Van Zanden 2010; Voigtländer and Voth 2006, 2013; Foreman-Peck 2011). Some contend that the EMP can be found in its most "pure" or "extreme" manifestation in England and the Low Countries in the early modern period, where it is supposed to have been central to these societies' successful economic growth and, in the case of England, early industrialization (De Moor and Van Zanden 2010, p. 4; Voigtländer and Voth 2006, pp. 323, 348). As we discuss in later sections of this article, the different proponents of this view emphasize different (though often overlapping) causal mechanisms, variously arguing that the EMP benefited economic growth by improving women's position, increasing human capital investment, adjusting population growth to economic trends, sustaining beneficial cultural norms, or fostering corporative institutions. But all contend that the EMP played a central role in European economic growth in the centuries before and during industrialization.

These are strong claims and, if true, would have far-reaching implications for growth theories and policy interventions. It is therefore important to establish whether these arguments can be justified. This paper presents the results of a quantitative analysis of over four thousand observations on demographic behaviour, covering marriage age, lifetime celibacy, and family complexity, in more than thirty European societies between 1500 and 1900, drawn from 375 publications in historical demography. We use these data, together with research on the interaction between demography and the economy across early modern Europe, to investigate recent claims that the EMP was the key to economic growth. Our findings cast serious doubt on the idea that this demographic system can be used

to explain European economic success. Rather, they indicate strongly that the causes of historical economic growth must be sought in other factors.

The paper begins, in Section 2, by presenting our large data set drawn from the historical demography literature, which we use in Section 3 to investigate whether the most "pure" or "extreme" manifestations of the EMP were indeed found in those European societies where economic growth was rapid and industrialization was early. Section 4 turns to the first of several mechanisms by which the EMP is supposed to have caused economic growth, by guaranteeing women a high economic status. A second causal mechanism is explored in Section 5, which assesses the relationship between the EMP, human capital investment, and early modern growth. Section 6 examines the argument that the EMP encouraged faster economic growth in England (or Europe) by ensuring better demographic responsiveness to economic conditions. Section 7 investigates the idea that the EMP was associated with distinctively European cultural beliefs that contributed to European economic success. Section 8 draws together the implications of our findings for understanding the demographic and institutional bases for long-term economic growth.

### A Data Set on European Historical Demography

Fortunately, there is abundant evidence on demographic behavior across pre-modern Europe. From 365 research studies in European historical demography (listed in the online appendix), we have compiled a data set of 4,705 observations of demographic behavior and family forms. As Table 1 shows, these data comprise 2,622 observations of female age at first marriage, 1,172 observations of female lifetime celibacy, and 911 observations of the kin complexity of households, covering 39 European countries between the early sixteenth and the late nineteenth century. Our data set is thus an order of magnitude larger than the most sizable previous compilations: the 83 household complexity rates for 11 European societies presented by Peter Laslett (1977); the 52 household complexity rates for 12 European societies published by Brian Bradley and Franklin Mendels (1978); the 139 female marriage ages for 7 western European societies assembled by Michael Flinn (1981); or the 71 female celibacy rates for 3 eastern-central European societies compiled by Markus Cerman (2001). The size

and comprehensive coverage of our data set provide a robust basis for assessing recent theories about the EMP.

These recent theories largely focus on cross-cultural rather than chronological differences after the Black Death.<sup>2</sup> Our multivariate analyses, by contrast, use century dummies to ensure that apparent country differences are not merely reflecting biased availability of data for different societies at different periods. Studies in historical demography report their findings for different time-periods depending on survival of archival sources, hypotheses to be tested, and analytical convenience. Without access to the underlying data, our compilation could not impose a standardized periodization. Some observations in the data set thus refer to individual years, others to single decades, quarter-centuries, or centuries, and still others to irregular periods determined by documentary survival or other factors. For all research studies used, all observations for all periods reported were included in the data set, regardless of the length of the periods. In the multivariate analysis below, we discuss broad changes over time for Europe as a whole, though space constraints in this article preclude detailed discussion of the separate chronological trajectories of different demographic indicators in different countries.

Before the nineteenth century, national-level statistics are rare, so our data are drawn from studies carried out at different levels of aggregation, with only 11 percent of observations at the level of entire countries, 26 percent at the level of regions (provinces, administrative districts, feudal estates, clusters of settlements for which archival sources survive), and 53 percent at the level of individual communities (cities, towns, villages, hamlets).<sup>3</sup> Observations referring to particular social strata (wealthy, middling, poor), occupational groups (sharecroppers, merchants, craftsmen, factory workers), religious confessions (Protestants, Catholics, Orthodox Christians), or places of origin (migrants, natives, migrants' spouses) were also included in the database, comprising about 10 percent of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Most contributions to this new literature date the emergence of the EMP to the Black Death; the exceptions are Greif 2006, pp. 308-19, and Greif and Tabellini 2010, pp. 137-8, who hold that a nuclear-family-based pattern began to benefit European growth as early as the ninth century.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Regional studies cannot always be clearly distinguished from community studies: for instance, when an entire administrative district or feudal estate contained only a few hundred inhabitants scattered in small hamlets, each with only a few households, it is arguably more appropriate to treat the entire unit as a "community".

observations. All data were coded for unit of observation so that the multivariate analyses could control for potential biases.

Documentary sources and hence data availability in historical demography also differ considerably between cities, towns and villages. Urban centers were better (and differently) documented than villages, even though villagers made up a large majority of European populations throughout most of the period under analysis. To control for biases that might be imparted by such differences in documentation, all data were coded for whether they referred to large cities (about 8 percent of observations), small towns (11 percent), villages (53 percent), or mixed populations of villagers and townspeople (27 percent).

A survey such as ours must take into account potential publication bias: the possibility that the form in which research findings are disseminated is correlated with their content. Unpublished studies may be less reliable because they have not been able to achieve publication, but may also be more representative since their results have not been censored by an established consensus. Different types of published study may reflect differing degrees and types of peer review. To control for the possibility that published studies are not representative of all valid studies undertaken, or that type of publication may cause other forms of sample selection bias, we coded all data according to whether they were presented as the original research of the author in a journal article (48 percent of our observations), a monograph (18 percent), a volume chapter (7 percent), an unpublished working paper or dissertation (under 3 percent), or alternatively were reported as another author's finding in a secondary source (25 percent of observations); we regarded it as important to include data from secondary sources so as to overcome any selection bias towards national languages and literatures with which we were more familiar.

We also took account of the potential for differing sources and methods to bias historical demographic results (for important reflections on this issue, see Ruggles 1999). For age at first marriage, each observation was coded according to whether it was calculated by applying the method of family reconstitution to registers of vital events (which was the case with 47 percent of observations), by using Hajnal's Singulate Mean Age at Marriage (SMAM) method on census-type listings (19 percent), by other recorded sources and methods such as ages reported in marriage licenses or marriage

contracts (11 percent), or by unreported sources and methods (which was the case for 22 percent of observations, mainly those from secondary studies). For female lifetime celibacy, each observation was coded according to whether it was calculated from marital status at death using burial registers (33 percent of observations), marital status in post-reproductive age-groups using census-type listings (60 percent), other recorded sources and methods such as biographical details in court records or probate inventories (less than 5 percent), or not reported at all (just over 2 percent of observations, mainly from secondary studies).

In this article, we use these data to explore recent claims that the most successful early modern economies, England and the Netherlands, had the most pure or extreme manifestation of the EMP, since this empirical assertion is widely adduced as demonstrating the causal effect of the EMP on economic growth. We list the research studies on which the data set is based in an online appendix, to facilitate further consultation by other scholars.

# Multivariate Analysis of European Marriage Patterns

If the EMP was responsible for economic growth, one would expect to find it in rich and rapidly growing economies and not in poor and slowly growing ones. This is precisely the argument advanced by the recent literature, which claims that the EMP was a distinctive characteristic of the Netherlands, the miracle economy of Europe up to c. 1670, and England, which grew rapidly after c. 1700 and experienced the first Industrial Revolution after c. 1780. According to De Moor and Van Zanden (2010, p. 4), for instance, the EMP emerged "in the North Sea area – in England and the Low Countries in particular – and it was … the long-term dynamism of this structure which helps to explain the long-term success of this region in the world economy of the early modern period." In this account, the "core area" of the EMP consisted of Flanders, the coastal Netherlands, and eastern England, while a less "pure" manifestation of the pattern was found in the wider North Sea area. Voigtländer and Voth (2006, pp. 323, 348) adopt the even more restrictive view that "England practiced an extreme form of the 'European marriage pattern'"; this, they claim, created the "low-pressure" demographic conditions for England's economic superiority compared to China, southern and eastern Europe, and even France.

England and the Netherlands certainly displayed early and rapid economic growth by European standards. Macroeconomic estimates for Europe before 1800 have many recognized weaknesses, and lack the precision, coverage, and degree of disaggregation required to attach them to observations in our data set. However, the series compiled by Angus Maddison is widely used as a basis for rough comparisons across national units (see the data and documentation at

http://www.ggdc.net/maddison/maddison-project/home.htm). Figure 1 shows the Maddison estimates of per capita GDP in a number of northwest European societies during the 350 years after 1500, the period during which the EMP is supposed to have played a causal role in economic growth. Per capita GDP in England and the Netherlands clearly surpassed that in the other countries shown in Figure 1, and indeed all the other countries for which Maddison provides estimates between 1500 and 1850. Future research studies will certainly improve these estimates in detail, but seem unlikely to cast doubt on English and Dutch economic primacy; indeed, recent revisions increase the lead of the Netherlands over other European economies before 1820 (Bolt and Van Zanden 2013). Without question, England and the Netherlands had the most successful economies in Europe throughout the early modern period.

But did they manifest a more "pure" or "extreme" form of the EMP? Hardly. Table 2 presents a multivariate analysis of the 2,622 observations of female age at first marriage for the 39 European societies in our data set. It covers the four centuries between c. 1500 and c. 1900, the period during which, it is claimed, the exceptional strength of the EMP in England and the Netherlands played a causal role in their economic success. The regression confirms the importance of controlling for time in cross-cultural analyses of demographic behavior, since it reveals a significant rise in marriage age between the sixteenth and the nineteenth century.<sup>4</sup>

The regression also confirms the importance of controlling for characteristics of the underlying research studies. Hypothesis testing on the results in Table 2 reveals that community- and group-level studies reported significantly (though only slightly) higher marriage ages than national or regional ones, and that big cities reported higher marriage ages than small towns but lower ones than villages. Reassuringly, however, unpublished findings on female marriage age were not significantly different from published ones, journal articles were no different from other publications, and family

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Throughout this paper, "significant" means the null hypothesis is rejected at the 0.05 level or above.

reconstitutions of vital registers did not yield marriage ages significantly different from applying Hajnal's SMAM method to censuses.

Controlling for all these study characteristics, there were indeed significant differences in marriage age across countries. These differences present a more complex picture than any of the "lines" or "zones" which Hajnal or other researchers have hitherto been in a position to map out. Although the country differences in Table 2 are broadly consistent with Hajnal's proposition of earlier female marriage, on average, in eastern and southern than in western and northern Europe, they also reveal societies with late (sometimes extremely late) female marriage in Slavic Europe (such as Slovenia and Bohemia), societies with early marriage in the zone west of the Hajnal line (such as parts of France), and highly significant differences inside countries (for example, between northern, central and southern regions of France, Spain, and Italy).

Most seriously for the recent literature on the EMP, the results in Table 2 decisively refute the idea that economically successful England and the Low Countries had the most "pure" or "extreme" form of the EMP. Table 2 lists European countries in descending order of compliance with the EMP according to women's marriage age, with England as the omitted category. Thus, for instance, the coefficient for Denmark shows that its female age at first marriage was 2.36 years higher than England's, controlling for time-period, unit of observation, settlement size, publication type, and sources and methods used; and that this difference compared to England is significant at above the 0.01 level. As Table 2 shows, female age at first marriage in England was significantly lower than that of 11 countries and was not significantly different from 4 others, demonstrating that its marriage pattern was moderate rather than "extreme" by European standards. All 11 countries whose female marriage age significantly exceeded England's industrialized later than England, and all but the Netherlands had slower economic growth throughout the early modern period. The Netherlands, with rapid economic growth at least until 1670 and high per capita incomes for much longer, had female marriage age significantly lower than much poorer Denmark or Sweden, and not significantly different from slowgrowing Iceland, Norway, Slovenia, Austria, Switzerland, Scotland, Germany, or the Baltic countries. Among the 39 European societies listed in Table 2, some 15 complied with a strict definition of the EMP in the sense of having female marriage age over c. 25 years (Fauve-Chamoux 2001, pp. 224-5).

Among these countries, the extremes of late female marriage age are dominated by Scandinavia, Scotland, and central and eastern-central Europe (Switzerland, Germany, Austria, Slovenia), not by England or the Netherlands.

The analysis of female lifetime celibacy in Table 3 likewise finds that England and the Netherlands displayed a moderate rather than an extreme manifestation of the EMP. Again, the results demonstrate the importance of controlling for change over time, since celibacy was significantly lower in the seventeenth century than during any other part of the early modern period. Few study characteristics significantly affected the findings, though observations where date was approximated (fortunately less than 5 percent of the total) or which were derived from secondary sources (21 percent of the total) had significantly lower celibacy rates, while those giving no methods or sources had significantly higher ones.

Controlling for study characteristics and time-period, we find differences across European societies which are statistically significant, but not consistent either with Hajnal's original division of Europe into discrete "zones" or with claims in the new literature that the most extreme values of female lifetime celibacy and thus the strongest manifestation of the EMP were to be found in the most economically successful societies. Counter to Hajnal's conjecture, high female lifetime celibacy (over c. 10-15 percent) can be observed not just in western Europe but also in several societies in the supposedly universally-marrying Mediterranean (Malta, Portugal, Spain) and Slavic Europe (Bohemia). There were also significant differences in female celibacy inside particular countries (for example, Spain and France). Most seriously for the recent literature on the EMP, Table 3 shows that female lifetime celibacy in England was significantly lower than that of 11 European countries and not significantly different from 15 others. Again, England's marriage pattern was moderate rather than "extreme" by European standards. All 11 countries with significantly higher female celibacy industrialized later than England, as did the 15 from which its celibacy rate did not significantly differ. Female celibacy in the Netherlands was lower than in 12 European societies and not significantly different from at least as many others. Among the 37 European societies analyzed in Table 3, the extremes of female celibacy are found not in England and the Netherlands but in Scandinavia, Scotland, and central and eastern-central Europe (Austria, Switzerland, Bohemia), as well as two Latin countries, Portugal and Malta.

The recent literature also portrays a third feature of the EMP – the predominance of nuclear families – as explaining Europe's economic success compared to the rest of the world. According to one version of this view, by the late medieval period, "[1]arge kinship groups remained only on Europe's social and geographical margins (e.g., Scotland)" (Greif and Tabellini 2010, p. 137). Other variants argue that it was specifically the "North Sea area" (the Low Countries and England) where an unusually "pure" form of the EMP caused an extreme prevalence of neolocal marriages and nuclear families, which in turn generated corporative welfare and insurance institutions that benefited economic growth (De Moor and Van Zanden 2010, pp. 23-5).

The first view, according to which extended families and large kinship organizations were absent from Europe after the medieval period except on the social and geographical periphery, is decisively refuted by our 911 observations of household complexity covering 34 European societies. The unconditional averages in Table 1 already show that complex households containing kin outside the nuclear family were quite uncommon (below c. 20 percent of the total) in a wide variety of early modern societies, including southern Spain, Greece, Bohemia, Denmark, Germany, northern France, and England, but quite widespread (above c. 40 percent of the total) in societies as various as central France, Finland, the Baltic countries, Hungary and Russia. The multivariate analysis in Table 4 confirms this extremely wide range of variation, even controlling for time-period and study characteristics. The societies on the margins of Europe were not the ones in which high kin complexity survived into the early modern period: geographically peripheral Scandinavia, Bohemia, Greece and southern Spain had unusually low levels of kin complexity by European standards, and peripheral Scotland had very moderate kin complexity. Northern Italy, by contrast, was a major player in European economic growth in the late medieval period, with estimated per capita GDP higher than England's in 1600 and still almost equal to England's in 1700; at the same time, it had one of the highest levels of household kin complexity in Europe (as shown in Table 4), as well as early female marriage and low celibacy (as shown in Tables 2-3).<sup>5</sup> Counter to the recent literature, therefore, complex-family households survived in a considerable number of European societies after the medieval period, including in core regions such

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Per capita GDP measured in 1990\$ was 1,100 in Italy and 974 in England in 1600; it was 1,100 in Italy and 1,144 in England in 1700. See http://www.ggdc.net/maddison/Historical Statistics/ vertical-file 02-2010.xls.

as central France and prosperous northern Italy. Conversely, low kin complexity could also be observed across early modern Europe in a wide variety of societies, many of them characterized by low per capita GDP, slow economic growth, and late industrialization.

Our data on the kin complexity of European households also refute the second claim in the recent literature, namely that the nuclear family component of the EMP took its purest manifestation in economically successful England and the Low Countries. Among the 34 societies compared in Table 4, there was a large group of 15 whose levels of household complexity were not significantly different from one another. This group included England, the Netherlands and Belgium, but also encompassed southern Spain, Denmark, Germany, northern France, Bohemia, Switzerland, Norway, Austria, Sweden, Greece, Iceland, Portugal and Scotland. These findings, based on over nine hundred observations of kin complexity over a period of four centuries, do not support the idea that the distinctive economic success enjoyed by England and the Netherlands can be ascribed to their having a particularly "pure" manifestation of the predominance of nuclear families under the EMP.

Table 4 also reaffirms the importance of examining change over time, not just differences among countries. Hypothesis testing of the coefficients on the century variables shows that controlling for other variables in the regression, European household complexity rose significantly between the seventeenth and the eighteenth century, and rose again, with marginal statistical significance, between the eighteenth and the nineteenth. Contrary to the idea that a more accentuated compliance with the EMP was associated with growth of the economy, the 34 European societies represented in Table 4 were moving further away from extreme compliance with the EMP as their economies grew across the early modern period.

Table 5 presents the results of a Borda Ranking of the 33 European societies which appear in all three of Tables 2-4. Societies are ranked according to the three EMP criteria – female marriage age, female celibacy, and household complexity – based on the coefficients on the relevant country variables in Tables 2-4.<sup>6</sup> The first finding to emerge from Table 5 is that strong manifestations of the three components of the EMP were not invariably associated with one another. The Spearman correlation coefficients between the marriage age rank and the two other ranks were 0.61, and only 0.45 between

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> On Borda ranking of countries according to development indicators, see Dasgupta 1993, pp. 108-16.

the celibacy and the household structure rank. No country topped the ranking on more than one criterion out of the three.

The combined Borda ranking in column 6 provides a clear confirmation that the economic success stories, England and the Netherlands, had moderate rather than extreme demographic patterns. Among the 33 societies in Table 5, England lay about one-quarter of the way down the ranking, and the Netherlands two-fifths of the way. Although the imperfect correlation among the three demographic benchmarks militates against unambiguous categorizations, the first 15 societies in the Table 5 ranking would be generally accepted as ones in which the EMP prevailed. Among these 15 societies manifesting the EMP, England lay squarely in the middle, sharing 8th position with Bohemia, a poor and slow-growing eastern-central European economy which was subject to the "second serfdom" until the late eighteenth century.<sup>7</sup> The Netherlands, whose economic success exceeded that of all other European countries save England, lay at rank 14, and thus towards the bottom of the 15 societies in Table 5 that manifested the EMP. Whatever definition of "pure" or "extreme" one adopts for the EMP, England and the Netherlands do not meet it. The extreme manifestations of the EMP were found in the German-speaking lands and Scandinavia, which experienced slow early modern growth and relatively late industrialization.

The historical demographic data, then, fail to support central empirical claims advanced in the recent EMP literature. Counter to the idea that the EMP explains the "Great Divergence" between Europe and the rest of the world, late marriage, high celibacy, and nuclear families were not universal within "Europe." In core areas, including central France and northern Italy, women married early and universally, and extended families were widespread. In industrializing England during its fastest economic growth, demographic patterns moved further away from the EMP as marriage ages fell and household complexity rose (Anderson 1971; Wrigley and Schofield 1981). Late marriage, high celibacy, and nuclear families are therefore not plausible explanations for the Great Divergence. Nor do the data

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> On pre-Emancipation Bohemia, see Ogilvie 2001; Klein and Ogilvie 2013. After serf emancipation in 1781, the Bohemian economy grew much faster, by 1820 attaining estimated per capital GDP of 1990\$849, less than half that of England, and only just over the estimated 1990\$819 for Sweden, the poorest country in Figure 1; see http://www.ggdc.net/maddison/Historical\_Statistics/ vertical-file\_02-2010.xls.

support using the EMP to explain English and Dutch economic primacy. Late marriage, high celibacy, and low kin complexity characterized huge swathes of Europe, extending even to societies under the second serfdom. Far from being extreme cases of the EMP, England and the Netherlands manifested moderate demographic patterns. The extremes were found in Scandinavian and central European economies which were much poorer and grew more slowly. Early modern Europe, it appears, had a number of different marriage patterns. The empirical regularity of late marriage, high celibacy, and low kin complexity which Hajnal called the EMP was not monolithic, but rather was subject to many gradations along its different components in different societies. Moreover, this demographic pattern was compatible with a wide range of economic and institutional outcomes.

We turn now to the causal mechanisms through which the EMP is supposed to have bolstered economic development. Examining these mechanisms can help us better understand why scholars have posited a causal relationship between the EMP and economic success. Moreover, the qualitative evidence and other analytical approaches used to construct these causal accounts may reveal better empirical support for them. Furthermore, investigating the causal mechanisms involved can shed light on the endogeneity problems raised by the EMP literature, making clearer the ways in which demographic decisions are taken simultaneously with other economic and social choices.

#### Women's Position

A first causal mechanism adduced in the recent literature places women's economic position at center stage. England and the Netherlands grew more rapidly, it is argued, because their strong versions of the EMP weakened patriarchal authority over daughters, reduced son preference, improved women's property rights, encouraged female labor force participation, empowered widows, and created spousal equality, all of which fuelled economic growth (De Moor and Van Zanden 2010). In other variants, women's superior position under the EMP made fertility responsive to economic signals, ensuring capital accumulation which in turn caused growth (Voigtlander and Voth 2006, 2013). In still other versions, women's superior position under the EMP increased human capital investment and fuelled subsequent growth (Foreman-Peck 2011).

This raises the issue of endogeneity. On the one hand, the EMP is supposed to have created a better economic position for women. But on the other, greater female autonomy is supposed to have given rise to the EMP. Moreover, both marriage patterns and women's position are ascribed to underlying factors such as cultural attitudes, the Black Death, and pastoral agriculture. Among these, only the Black Death can be regarded as a plausibly exogenous factor. Yet even this assumption is weakened by the fact that the occurrence of plague was influenced by underlying demographic and economic conditions, including urbanization, agricultural performance, nutritional status, warfare, and long-distance trade (Brenner 1976, 1982; Pamuk 2007). Furthermore, the causal influence of the Black Death in the context of the EMP is questionable, since the epidemic raged throughout Europe and the Near East, but was followed by very different patterns of marriage, gender relations, and economic growth in different societies, which can in turn be traced back to pre-existing social and institutional differences (Brenner 1976, 1982; Pamuk 2007). The evident endogeneity of the different variables limits the scope of these claims to the merely descriptive assertion that the EMP was associated with a higher status for women, with concomitant economic benefits.

Moreover, even this assertion is at odds with the evidence. The women's history literature suggests that women had a relatively good economic position in some societies with the EMP and a comparatively bad one in others. England and the Netherlands are certainly regarded as having endowed women with a favorable economic position compared to other European societies (for overviews of a vast literature, see Laurence 1994; Prior 1994; Dekker 1998; De Vries and Van der Woude 1997, pp. 598-601; Ogilvie 2003, pp. 344-51). But England and the Netherlands were also distinctive in their per capita incomes (as Figure 1 shows) and many other respects: their factor prices, resource endowments, geopolitical position, trade participation, parliaments, legal systems, financial arrangements, and early liberalization of manorial, communal and corporative institutions, have all been adduced as causes of their early economic success (for recent contributions, see Allen 2009; Mokyr 2009; McCloskey 2010; De Vries and Van der Woude 1997; Van Zanden and Van Leeuwen 2012). The long-running discussion about what caused English and Dutch distinctiveness, whether in economic growth or gender issues, cannot be simplified away by invoking a feature such as the EMP which, as we have seen, England and

the Netherlands shared with many other societies in western, nordic, central, and eastern-central Europe whose economies grew slowly and industrialized late.

Outside these two precociously advanced market economies, women had a much worse economic position. In Germany, Scandinavia, France and many other regions, as historians of crafts and commerce have found, the EMP prevailed but women's participation in many occupations was significantly restricted by guilds of craftsmen, retailers and merchants (Manninen 1984; Wiesner 1986, 1989, 2000; Collins 1989; Coffin 1994; Ogilvie 1997, 2003, 2004, 2010; Hafter 2007; Lanza 2007). Yet these are the precise corporative institutions which some of the new literature regards as a beneficial offshoot of the EMP (Greif 2006; De Moor and Van Zanden 2010). In many regions of Switzerland, Germany, and France, as local studies indicate, the EMP prevailed but women's work, wages, property rights, and in some cases even their consumption choices, were restricted by local communities – again, by corporative institutions (Ogilvie 1997, 2003, 2004, 2010; Dürr 1995; Ryter 1997; Hafter 2007; Ulbrich 1999). Among servants and laborers, the female-male wage ratio lay between 0.6 and 0.7 in early modern England and the Netherlands, but was as low as 0.4 in regions of Germany where wageceilings and employment restrictions were enforced against women workers – again, by guilds and local communities (Ogilvie 2003, 2004; Van Zanden 2011). In the Netherlands, self-employed spinners earned competitive piece-rates high enough to attract even male workers (Van Nederveen Meerkerk 2010), but in the German territory of Württemberg, where an extreme form of the EMP prevailed (Guinnane and Ogilvie 2014), guilds allied with community institutions to cap spinners' rates, pushing them to the subsistence margins; among men, only the handicapped worked as spinners (Ogilvie 1997, 2003, 2004). In Bohemia, where the EMP was as "pure" as in England, female household headship was low, girls could not inherit, and serf communes collaborated with landlords to harass economically independent women (Ogilvie and Edwards 2000).

Whether women enjoyed economic autonomy under any demographic system depended on the balance of power among other institutions. Strong guilds that excluded women from formal training and employment existed both in northern Italy (in the absence of the EMP) and in Germany (in its presence). Weaker guilds imposing looser constraints on women's work existed both in eastern Europe (in the absence of the EMP) and in England and the Netherlands (in its presence) (Ogilvie 2003). Village

communities that limited female autonomy were strong in both Russia (outside the EMP) and Germany or Bohemia (where the EMP prevailed) (Ogilvie 1997, 2003, 2004, 2010; Dennison and Ogilvie 2007; Dennison 2011). Corporative institutions played a central role in constraining women's economic activities, but show no systematic relationship with the EMP, counter to the recent literature. Where such institutions were strong, the mere prevalence of the EMP did not guarantee female autonomy.

There were also societies where the EMP did *not* prevail, but indicators of female autonomy reached similar levels to those where it did. The female household headship rate, for instance, is one of the few available quantitative indicators of female autonomy in pre-modern societies. Female headship of 10-15 percent was typical of early modern western Europe, where it was often quantitatively associated with other measures of women's economic autonomy (Ogilvie and Edwards 2000; Van den Heuvel and Ogilvie 2013). But non-EMP societies could also have high female headship, together with large numbers of female laborers and servants, as shown by parts of nineteenth-century Russia (Dennison 2011, pp. 78-9, 160-71). As such findings indicate, women supported households and participated in the labor force under many different demographic systems. What mattered for female autonomy and any resulting economic benefits was not solely marriage or household patterns, but what kinds of work women were allowed to do and what wages they were allowed to earn. These in turn were strongly influenced by non-familial institutions – communes, guilds, manorial systems, the church, the state – which regulated women's economic options.

European women's economic position fluctuated significantly across time, even while the EMP remained relatively stable. In agriculture, for instance, changes in technology, farm size, labor demand, and rural institutions reduced Dutch and English women's wages between the sixteenth and the eighteenth century – precisely the period when the EMP is supposed to have fuelled Dutch and English economic success (Snell 1981; Burnette 2008; Langdon 2010; Van Zanden 2011). In industry and commerce, guilds intensified restrictions on women's activities between the late Middle Ages and the eighteenth century in many European societies; the EMP provided no protection against this intensification, which depended rather on the balance of power between guilds and other institutions in different societies (Wiesner 1989, 2000; Bennett 1993; Ogilvie 2003, 2004, 2010; Van Nederveen Meerkerk 2006, 2010; Van den Heuvel 2007; Ogilvie *et al.* 2011).

Available evidence does not support the idea that women's status was determined exogenously by the household formation system, whether the EMP or any other. Rather, women decided when and whether to marry jointly with their other economic options. These options were strongly influenced by non-familial institutions constraining female labor force participation, earnings, property rights, market access, consumption, and legal autonomy.<sup>8</sup> Such institutions were often manipulated in favor of male insiders, but to differing degrees in different societies – regardless of whether the EMP prevailed. Female empowerment indeed typically benefits economic development. But there is little evidence that female empowerment in early modern Europe was primarily influenced by the marriage system rather than by wider social and institutional constraints.

# Human Capital Investment

Human capital investment is a second mechanism by which the EMP is supposed to have caused European economic growth. In one version, the EMP led to more schooling, apprenticeship, servant training, literacy, numeracy, and gender parity in education; in turn, "the comparatively high investment in human capital formation in the North Sea area in this period formed the necessary basis for the rapid growth of its economy in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries" (De Moor and Van Zanden 2010, p. 23 ). Another variant argues that *nineteenth*-century western European economic growth is explained by the emergence of the EMP after the Black Death, 600 years earlier: "the lower time cost and general price of investing in 'child quality' of better informed mothers stimulated investment in human capital, which in turn eventually raised outputs and incomes" (Foreman-Peck 2011, p. 293). Still other versions contend that Europe developed faster than China after the ninth century because the European nuclear family fostered corporative institutions such as guilds, cities, and universities, which created and diffused knowledge (Greif 2006; Greif and Tabellini 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> For a more general analysis of the role played by non-familial institutions in influencing women's economic position, see Ogilvie and Edwards 2000; Ogilvie 2003, esp. ch. 7; Ogilvie 2004.

A first issue raised by these arguments concerns the logic behind families' investment in education. Parents will *invest* in their offspring's education (as opposed to buying it as a consumption good) if such investment promises a positive return. This incentive can work in two ways. First, parents may expect to share returns from their offspring's education via transfers from the offspring in adulthood. But this runs counter to a basic feature of the EMP, that net intergenerational wealth flow runs from parents to children: offspring leave home early, form independent households on marriage, often emigrate, and seldom remit earnings (Caldwell 1976). A family system with these characteristics creates *disincentives* to invest in offspring's human capital since parents cannot expect to share the returns.

Second, altruism may motivate parents to invest in their offspring's education: the offspring's expected future well-being increases parents' own well-being. But this incentive depends on whether occupations requiring education and training are open to offspring. Parents will invest in daughters' education (as opposed to buying it as a consumption good) if females are allowed to do work that requires skills, instead of being institutionally excluded from such occupations. Even to motivate sons' education, skilled occupations must be open to all qualified entrants. But access to skilled occupations in preindustrial Europe did not depend on the marriage system, whether the EMP or any other. Rather, it depended on institutions regulating labor markets: craft guilds, merchant associations, urban privileges, village communities, serfdom. As discussed earlier, women were institutionally permitted to practise skilled occupations (such as crafts and commerce) only in some societies with the EMP, specifically the Netherlands and England, and even there guilds often restricted female work (Van den Heuvel 2007; Van Nederveen Meerkerk 2010; Van den Heuvel and Ogilvie 2013). In other EMP societies, such as Germany, Scandinavia, and France, guilds excluded many females (and "outsider" males) from skilled crafts and trades. This reduced incentives to *invest* in daughters' education, although better-off parents still purchased it as a *consumption* good. The EMP by itself cannot have been crucial in creating incentives for female education since the EMP existed, as we have seen, both in societies where skilled occupations were comparatively open to women and in those where coercive institutions excluded them more thoroughly. What decided whether women learned vocational skills were barriers to entry imposed by corporative institutions seeking economic rents for insiders by restricting low-cost competitors.

A deeper issue is the endogeneity of all the variables. On the one hand, the EMP is supposed to have *caused* high human capital investment: this is central to the argument that the EMP contributed to growth. But on the other, the rewards provided by high English and Dutch wages are supposed to have motivated workers to invest in skills, thereby increasing marriage ages and celibacy rates. Underlying variables – European culture, the Black Death, pastoral specialization – are also adduced as causes of both the EMP and human capital investment. Once again, the Black Death emerges as the only arguably exogenous variable, and yet both its exogeneity and its causal influence on the EMP seem doubtful given its divergent occurrence and impact in different societies (Brenner 1976, 1982; Pamuk 2007). The endogeneity of all variables again reduces the scope of the claims simply to the descriptive assertion that the EMP was associated with higher human capital investment, which in turn caused economic growth.

But the descriptive assertion itself is problematic. Table 6 presents human capital indicators for eighteenth- and nineteenth-century Europe. These show that education levels varied hugely across EMP societies. This is not surprising, since the family was not the only, or the main, institution affecting education. Schooling, literacy and numeracy were strongly influenced by other institutions: market, church, state, community, guild. This wider institutional framework varied substantially across EMP societies. In some, such as Germany and Scandinavia, the church allied with the state and local communities to enforce compulsory schooling, leading to the high literacy and enrolment levels shown in Table 6. In other EMP societies, such as England, these institutional pressures were weaker, resulting in much lower schooling and literacy. Numeracy was typically learned informally in response to market demand, explaining why England, with its mediocre enrolment and literacy rates, had numeracy similar to more institutionally regulated societies such as Germany or Scandinavia.

Nor is it clear that human capital investment caused European economic growth before and during industrialization. As Figure 1 shows, England experienced rapid economic growth in the early modern period and industrialized before any other society. Yet schooling and literacy stagnated there during the "long eighteenth century" and were not high by European standards until well into the nineteenth. Economic historians who differ on other explanatory issues concur that education played hardly any role in English industrialization (Mokyr 2009; Allen 2009; McCloskey 2010). In 1800, literacy for both sexes in England was lower than in the German states of Hesse and Saxony, the

Netherlands, and northern France, much slower-growing economies; male literacy was lower in England than in Scotland (Reis 2005, Table 8.2). In 1830-50, school enrolment was lower in England than in the Netherlands, Belgium, France, Prussia, Norway, or Scotland, all much slower-growing economies (Lindert 2004, Table 5.1). In numeracy, England's relative disadvantage was less pronounced, but in 1750 it lay below that in Denmark, Protestant Germany, and even Poland; in 1800 it was still lower than that of many poorer and slower-growing economies, including Austria, Belgium, Denmark, France, the Netherlands, Norway, and Switzerland (A'Hearn *et al.* 2009, Table 4).

As these figures show, many European societies with high educational levels had slow economic growth. The Netherlands had high enrolment, literacy, and numeracy, but after the end of its seventeenth-century Golden Age its economy stagnated (as Figure 1 illustrates), and it industrialized late.<sup>9</sup> German territories had higher enrolment and literacy than England or the Low Countries, but stagnated throughout the early modern period and did not industrialize until after c. 1840. A similar pattern is found in Scandinavia, with high enrolment and literacy, but slow growth and late industrialization (Skovgaard-Peterson 1990; Johansson 2009).

Education levels thus varied greatly among EMP countries in a way that was not correlated with their economic performance in the early modern period. Nonetheless, it might be argued that there must have been some relationship between the three variables because education and income levels were, on average, higher in societies with the EMP than in those with "Mediterranean" or "Slavic" marriage patterns. But southern and eastern Europe differed from north-western Europe not just in their marriage patterns but also in many other economic, social and institutional characteristics which affect both education and growth. Furthermore, as economic theory recognizes, one reason it is difficult to establish that education causes growth is endogeneity: improving education may increase incomes, but rising

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> On Dutch economic stagnation after the Golden Age, see De Vries and Van der Woude 1997; Van Zanden and Van Riel 2004. Van Zanden and Van Leeuwen 2012 present new estimates suggesting that the province of Holland experienced stagnation rather than actual decline between c. 1670 and c. 1800, but their figures refer solely to Holland, by far the most economically successful province. Even for Holland, industry had a near-zero growth rate between 1665 and 1800 and trade contracted at a rate of 0.13 percent p.a. between 1720 and 1800 (Table 4).

incomes increase consumption of education as a normal good. Regardless of the reasons for greater prosperity in northwest Europe, one would expect to see people there consuming (as opposed to investing in) more education.

In many early modern European societies, educational investments were imposed by coercive institutions to serve elite interests rather than being chosen by ordinary people to improve their own or their children's economic productivity. It is therefore unsurprising that no causal relationship between demographic patterns, educational indicators, and economic performance emerges in Europe before the late nineteenth century.

## Demographic Responsiveness to Economic Conditions

A third way the EMP is held to have contributed to economic growth is its restriction of marriage to those who could establish an independent household. This, it is argued, was necessary for ensuring that population growth responded to economic conditions. In parts of the recent EMP literature, such demographic responsiveness to economic trends is regarded as contributing to growth indirectly, via its effect on the two mechanisms already discussed: women's economic status (De Moor and Van Zanden 2011, pp. 18, 27) and human capital investment (Foreman-Peck 2011, pp. 293, 299-301). But other parts of the new literature portray demographic responsiveness as a primary and direct cause of economic growth, by ensuring capital accumulation: population growth slowed when the economy was doing poorly, ensuring that per capita incomes were high enough for capital accumulation to continue, but accelerated when the economy did well, generating more savers whose larger aggregate capital accumulation created positive externalities for growth via technological innovation. According to this view, England's "extreme" form of the EMP gave it two key advantages over other countries in accumulating capital. Before 1700, it is claimed, England started with a "better" demographic regime, resulting in higher initial incomes and larger initial capital externalities. Then after 1700, English population growth responded more sensitively to economic trends, guaranteeing continual capital accumulation with concomitant growth externalities (Voigtländer and Voth 2006).

But how well do these arguments hold up empirically? A first empirical concern is that no factual support is offered for the idea that it was capital accumulation that caused England's economic success. This is merely maintained as a theoretical assumption, without reference to the literature on English economic growth, which does not assign capital accumulation an important role (Mokyr 2009; Allen 2009; McCloskey 2010).

A second issue is the elision between England and Europe. Voigtländer and Voth, for instance, present simulations showing that if England had had high and economically unresponsive population growth (as they assume China's to have been), its economy would have collapsed. This, they contend, "underlines the crucial importance of fertility limitation as part of Europe's unique demographic regime" (Voigtländer and Voth, 2006, p. 346). But "Europe" did not have a unique demographic regime, as Tables 2-4 show. Rather, it had a multiplicity of different regimes. Some of these involved early female marriage, low female celibacy, and high household complexity, similar to what is known of pre-industrial Chinese demography (Lee and Feng 1999; Caldwell 2001). Since the EMP did not prevail all over Europe, any argument concerning possible demographic influences on economic divergence between Europe and China must refer to marriage patterns in a carefully differentiated way.

In tacit acknowledgement that Europe did not have a monolithic demographic regime that distinguished it from China, Voigtländer and Voth assert that the growth benefits of the European demographic regime were limited to England, because it had an "extreme form" of the EMP; nearby France lacked this regime, they claim, which is why its economy fell behind (Voigtländer and Voth 2006, pp. 323, 343-5). But the simulations purporting to demonstrate this conclusion rely on two unsupported assumptions about demographic differences between England and France.

The first is that demographic "starting conditions" differed between the two countries. In England, it is argued, "the demographic regime propped up initial incomes" before 1700, creating greater scope for the capital externality to work; in France, by contrast, these starting conditions were lacking, so the economy grew more slowly (Voigtländer and Voth 2006, pp. 321-2). But no empirical support is provided for the assertion that it was demography that caused incomes to be higher in England than France before 1700, and indeed this seems an open question, given the many other differences between the two countries in factors affecting per capita income. Nor is evidence provided

to support the claimed difference between pre-1700 English and French demography. Northern France manifested the EMP from a very early date (Perrin 1963) and did not differ significantly from England in marriage age, lifetime celibacy or household structure across the entire early modern period (as Tables 2-4 show). Population growth before 1700 was very moderate across all of France (Dupâquier 1997) and Voigtländer and Voth themselves assume a low initial French population growth rate of 0.32 percent p.a. (2006, p. 344). This makes it unlikely that a claimed difference in demographic regime between France and England explains the two countries' gap in per capita income in 1700 which, in the simulations, drives much of the subsequent divergence in the two countries' growth trajectories.

The second demographic assumption driving divergent growth rates in these simulations is that fertility was constant in France but economically elastic in England. French population growth consequently failed to decelerate when the economy flagged and failed to accelerate when the economy flourished, precluding the virtuous growth circle via more capital accumulation that was guaranteed by demographic responsiveness in England (Voigtländer and Voth 2006, p. 345). But this assumption is not consistent with findings in the large literature on demographic responsiveness to economic signals. David Weir (1984) showed that "at no time between 1670 and 1830 were marriages less responsive to economic conditions in France than in England." The gap between French and English growth performance, he concluded, "are not to be found in difference of demographic behavior" (pp. 43-4). In Germany, the elasticity of fertility with respect to economic signals was higher than in England, though slightly lower than in France, throughout the eighteenth century (Guinnane and Ogilvie 2008). In an analysis of nine early modern European economies, the response of fertility to a one-standard-deviation change in grain prices was weaker in England than in societies such as Austria, Sweden, Belgium, and the Netherlands, where economic growth was slower, or in Tuscany, where the EMP did not prevail (Galloway 1988). In China, where the EMP also did not prevail, recent studies show that eighteenthcentury fertility rates responded to changes in grain prices (Wang et al. 2010; Campbell and Lee 2010, pp. 107-11). For England itself, several studies have found that fertility became less responsive to economic signals around 1750, at the precise period that the English economy began to grow faster and

diverge most from other western European economies (Galloway 1988; Nicolini 2007; Crafts and Mills 2009).<sup>10</sup>

Demographic responsiveness to economic conditions thus did not depend solely on the EMP and was attained in some societies in which the EMP did not prevail. It was also less extreme in England than in a number of slower-growing European economies. Therefore it makes little sense to attribute any growth gap between England and other economies to the EMP or the way it may have mediated population responses to economic signals.

## Cultural Norms

Many proponents of the view that the EMP explains economic growth maintain that it was associated with cultural norms that further contributed to economic success. One variant emphasizes putative specificities of English culture: "social and cultural norms limited fertility in early modern England in a way that few other societies did" (Voigtländer and Voth 2006, p. 323). However, these are difficult claims to sustain empirically. The idea that England had distinctive cultural norms is vigorously debated among historians, and no study has presented evidence that English culture gave unusual emphasis to fertility limitation.<sup>11</sup>

An older literature occasionally speculated that economic growth was favored by a Weberian Protestant culture that valued rational control of fertility (Landes 1969). Even that literature, however, was aware that fertility lay below the biological maximum not just in England but across large swathes of pre-modern Europe and indeed in non-European cultures such as Japan (Landes 1969, p. 22 n. 2). With regard to Weber, moreover, historical demographic research long ago demonstrated that the fertility-controlling practices of late marriage and high lifetime celibacy were widespread in Catholic as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Kelly and Ó Gráda 2012 find stronger responsiveness of fertility to economic conditions in early modern England using less aggregative approaches; but this merely suggests that similarly disaggregated approaches would yield amplified elasticities for other pre-industrial societies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> On English cultural distinctiveness, see the lively debate about Macfarlane 1978, relating to "English individualism".

well as Protestant Europe, as shown by the results for Catholic Belgium, Austria, northern France, Bohemia, and Slovenia in Tables 2-3 above, as well as the notably high marriage age and celibacy in German Catholic states such as Bavaria (Guinnane and Ogilvie 2014, pp. 79, 110).

Other variants of the recent literature relate the EMP and its putative economic benefits to cultural norms propagated by medieval Christianity. One account holds that in Europe by the ninth century, "tribal tendencies were gradually undone by the church which, in addition to generalized morality, advanced a marriage dogma that undermined large kinship organizations" (Greif and Tabellini 2010, p. 137). The resulting combination of nuclear families and corporative institutions supposedly fostered additional growth-inducing beliefs and norms, including "the rule of law, the legitimacy of majority rule, respect for minority rights, individualism, and trust among non-kin" (Greif 2006, p. 311). Another variant holds that the EMP and its economic benefits arose from, and helped to sustain, medieval Christian norms of consensual marriage and gender parity. Having once arisen, the EMP then helped sustain these norms, in contrast to less benign cultural norms sustained by non-European marriage patterns in eastern Europe or China (De Moor and Van Zanden 2010, pp. 1, 4-7; Van Zanden 2011, p. 333).

A first problem with these claims is that they provide no evidence on how medieval Christian dogmas were implemented in practice. The relevant literature, by contrast, strongly emphasizes the role played by social institutions other than the family and the church in the widely varying enforcement of medieval ecclesiastical provisions concerning demographic matters. Peter Biller (2001), for instance, points out that lay society shaped religious views on demographic issues very differently in different parts of medieval Europe. Lloyd Bonfield (2001) finds that the medieval church could only implement theologically inspired marriage formation rules by allying with local institutions and interests. Charles Donahue (1983, 2008) observes significant differences across medieval western Europe in enforcement of religious norms about marriage, which he ascribes to differences in legal systems, property rights, and other institutions.

A second problem with linking the EMP to medieval Christian norms – whether of generalized morality, consensual marriage, or fertility control – is that marriage practices and kinship complexity varied enormously across Christian Europe. As Tables 2-4 illustrate, the EMP was not, and did not

become, the prevalent family system in those parts of Europe where the church was strongest. Italy, for instance, was undisputedly and enduringly influenced by the Catholic church whose seat was in Rome, yet many Italian regions had early female marriage, low female celibacy, and high kin complexity. In Spain, church regulation of marriage and sexuality had observable effects on nuptiality and fertility, yet church teachings were compatible with a "European" marriage pattern in some regions of Spain and a "non-European" pattern in others (Pérez Moreda 1997; Reher 1998a, 1998b). The same was true of Portugal, strongly Catholic, but with a mixture of "European" and "non-European" marriage patterns (Sonnino 1997; Michelotto 2011).

The wide variation in demographic behavior within ethnically and linguistically homogeneous regions casts further doubt on the idea that the EMP was associated with the beliefs and values of particular cultures. In southern Europe, historians have identified "two different family systems in the northern and southern regions of Iberia, and no less than three in Italy" (Viazzo 2003, p. 122). In the countryside around Bologna, sharecropping farmers lived in predominantly complex ("non-European") households while their neighbors who were agricultural laborers lived in predominantly nuclear-family ("European") households (Kertzer 2002). Within France, as Tables 2-4 show, marriage age, celibacy, and the balance between nuclear and extended families differed substantially between the south and north of the country. Across Spain, as well, marriage patterns and household structure varied greatly: within the same early modern Catalan community, for instance, household complexity was a "European" 15 percent among landless villagers but a "non-European" 50 percent among large peasant farmers (Kertzer 2002; Reher 1997). Hungary had regions dominated by nuclear families alongside ones where extended families predominated (Andorka and Faragó 1983). In Sweden, communities with only 10 percent complex households existed alongside others with 25 percent (Egherbladh 1989). European Russia, likewise, manifested diverse marriage patterns and family forms across culturally identical communities (Dennison 2011).

It is difficult, therefore, to find empirical support for the notion that the EMP was caused by, or sustained, distinctive cultural norms. There was no systematic relationship between the teachings of the church on the one hand and marriage age, lifetime celibacy, or household complexity on the other. The extent to which the church was able to implement its ideology depended on the institutional characteristics of each European society. Strongly religious European societies included those with early marriage, low celibacy, and extended-family households as well as those with extreme forms of the EMP. The widely variegated distribution of European marriage patterns, shown in Tables 2-4, is not consistent with any notion of a distinctive culture – whether of fertility control, generalized morality, or gender parity – let alone one that accounts for European economic growth.

# Conclusion

The evidence presented in this article implies a new view of the interaction between demographic and economic decisions. That economists and economic historians have turned their attention to demographic behavior is a positive development. But recent attempts to attribute European economic success to the EMP cannot be sustained empirically or theoretically. The EMP did not prevail throughout Europe, or even throughout the core of Europe. The three key components of the EMP were not invariably associated with one another. Where the components of the EMP did coincide in their most "pure" form, economic growth was slower and industrialization later than in societies where the EMP took less extreme manifestations. Conversely, those European economies that grew fastest had moderate demographic patterns and, at least in the case of England, moved further away from the EMP in the century before industrialization and during the Industrial Revolution itself.

Available evidence suggests that whether a society experienced economic growth depended on not on its marriage or family pattern, but on wider characteristics of its economy and institutional framework. In early modern England, the EMP existed within a framework of reasonably wellfunctioning factor markets and relative economic freedom for women; economic growth was usually positive and ultimately spectacular. In the early modern Netherlands, the EMP initially existed in a similar framework of lively factor markets and successful economic growth; but later the economy stagnated and industrialization came late, for reasons that are still vigorously debated. In Germanspeaking central Europe and the Czech lands, the EMP existed in a more coercive framework of interlinked factor markets, mobility restrictions (including, in some areas, serfdom), and corporative barriers to entry (for most women and many men); economic growth remained slow until these

institutional obstacles were removed. In parts of southern Europe, nuclear-family households were formed at marriage, but female marriage age, celibacy and labor force participation were low. In other southern European societies, female marriage age and celibacy were high but women's work was severely constrained by non-familial institutions. In many parts of the Mediterranean region, economic growth was strong before c. 1500 and unimpressive thereafter. Under serfdom in Russia, at least in some regions, female labor force participation was high and substantial proportions of women remained unmarried, but complex households were still widespread; both male and female serfs grappled with daunting institutional constraints; economic growth was slow.

What is needed is a theoretically coherent and empirically satisfactory account of how particular aspects of the EMP were connnected to the wider institutional context, and which demographic and institutional features were responsible for which economic outcomes. We would speculate, based on current scholarship, that the demographic practices highlighted in the EMP were only possible within a wider social framework of strong non-familial institutions that could substitute for familial labor, insurance and welfare services that were unavailable to unmarried individuals and fragile nuclear families. However, it was not inevitable that this wider framework should consist of institutions that *also* benefited the economy, such as well-functioning factor markets or impartial legal systems, instead of those with more ambiguous growth effects such as serfdom, guilds, communities, religious bodies, or absolutist states. Future research, we suggest, must place at the center of analysis the *non-familial* institutions that circumscribed both demographic and economic decisions during European economic development.

## References

- A'Hearn, Brian, Jörg Baten, and Dorothee Crayen. "Quantifying Quantitative Literacy: Age Heaping and the History of Human Capital." *Journal of Economic History* 69, no. 3 (2009): 783-808.
- Acemoglu, Daron. *Introduction to Modern Economic Growth*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2009.
- Allen, Robert C. *The British Industrial Revolution in Global Perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- Anderson, Michael. *Family Structure In Nineteenth-Century Lancashire*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- Andorka, Rudolf and Tamás Faragó. "Pre-Industrial Household Structure in Hungary." In *Family Forms in Historic Europe*, edited by Richard Wall, Jean Robin and Peter Laslett, 281-305. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- Bennett, Judith M. "Women's History: a Study in Continuity and Change." *Women's History Review* 2 (1993): 173-184.
- Biller, Peter. *The Measure of Multitude: Population in Medieval Thought*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001.
- Bolt, Jutta and Jan Luiten van Zanden. "The First Update of the Maddison Project: Re-estimating Growth Before 1820." *Maddison-Project Working Papers* 4 (2013).
- Bonfield, Lloyd. "Developments in European Family Law." In *The History of the European Family, vol. 1: Family Life in Early Modern Times, 1500-1789*, edited by David I. Kertzer and Marzio
  Barbagli, 87-124. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2001.
- Bradley, Brian P. and Franklin F. Mendels. "Can the Hypothesis of a Nuclear Family Organization be Tested Statistically?" *Population Studies* 32, no. 2 (1978): 381-394.
- Brenner, Robert. "Agrarian Class Structure and Economic Development in Pre-Industrial England." Past & Present 70 (1976): 30-75.
  - . "The Agrarian Roots of European Capitalism." Past & Present 97 (1982): 20-112.
- Burnette, Joyce. Gender, Work and Wages in Industrial Revolution Britain. Cambridge: Cambridge

University Press, 2008.

- Caldwell, John C. "Toward a Restatement of Demographic Transition Theory." *Population and Development Review* 2, no. 3/4 (1976): 321-366.
  - . "What Do We Know about Asian Population History? Comparisons of Asian and European Research". In *Asian Population History*, edited by Ts'ui-jung Liu, James Lee, David S. Reher, *et al.*, 3-23. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001.
- Campbell, Cameron and James Z. Lee. "Demographic Impacts of Climatic Fluctuations in Northeast China, 1749-1909". In *Demographic Responses to Economic and Environmental Crisis*, edited by Satomi Kurosu, Tommy Bengtsson and Cameron Campbell, 107-132. Kashiwa: Reitaku University Press, 2010.
- Cerman, M arkus. "Central Europe and the European Marriage Pattern: Marriage Patterns and Family Structure in Central Europe, Sixteenth-Nineteenth Centuries". In *Family History Revisited: Comparative Perspectives*, edited by Richard Wall, Tamara K. Hareven, Josef Ehmer, *et al.*, 282-307. Newark: University of Delaware Press, 2001.
- Coffin, Judith G. "Gender and the Guild Order: the Garment Trades in Eighteenth-Century Paris." *Journal of Economic History* 54, no. 4 (1994): 768-793.
- Collins, James B. "The Economic Role of Women in Seventeenth-Century France." *French Historical Studies* 16, no. 2 (1989): 436-470.
- Crafts, N. F. R. and Terence C. Mills. "From Malthus to Solow: How Did the Malthusian Economy Really Evolve?" *Journal of Macroeconomics* 31, no. 1 (2009): 68-93.

Dasgupta, Partha. An Inquiry into Well-Being and Destitution. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1993.

- De Moor, Tina and Jan Luiten van Zanden. "Girlpower: the European Marriage Pattern and Labour Markets in the North Sea Region in the Late Medieval and Early Modern Period." *Economic History Review* 63, no. 1 (2010): 1-33.
- De Vries, Jan and Ad van der Woude. *The First Modern Economy: Success, Failure, and Perseverance of the Dutch Economy, 1500-1815.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- Dekker, Rudolf M. "Women in the Medieval and Early Modern Netherlands." *Journal of Women's History* 10 (1998): 165-188.

- Dennison, Tracy. The Institutional Framework of Russian Serfdom. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- Donahue, Charles. "The Canon Law on the Formation of Marriage and Social Practice in the Later Middle Ages." *Journal of Family History* 8, no. 2 (1983): 144-158.
- \_\_\_\_\_. Law, Marriage, and Society in the Later Middle Ages: Arguments about Marriage in Five Courts. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- Dupâquier, Jacques. "La France avant la transition démographique." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, vol. 1: 443-462. Paris: Fayard, 1997.
- Dürr, Renate. Mägde in der Stadt. Das Beispiel Schwäbisch Hall in der Frühen Neuzeit. Frankfurt: Campus, 1995.
- Fauve-Chamoux, Antoinette. "Marriage, Widowhood, and Divorce." In *The History of the European Family, vol. 1: Family Life in Early Modern Times, 1500-1789*, edited by David I. Kertzer and Marzio Barbagli, 221-256. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2001.

Flinn, Michael W. The European Demographic System, 1500-1820. Brighton: Harvester, 1981.

- Foreman-Peck, James. "The Western European Marriage Pattern and Economic Development." *Explorations in Economic History* 48, no. 2 (2011): 292-309.
- Galloway, Patrick R. "Basic Patterns in Annual Variations in Fertility, Nuptiality, Mortality, and Prices in Pre-Industrial Europe." *Population Studies* 42, no. 2 (1988): 275-303.

Galor, Oded. Unified Growth Theory. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2011.

- Goubert, Pierre and Gerald Denault. "Family and Province: a Contribution to the Knowledge of Family Structures in Early Modern France." *Journal of Family History* 2, no. 3 (1977): 179-195.
- Greif, Avner. "Family Structure, Institutions, and Growth: the Origins and Implications of Western Corporations." *American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings* 96, no. 2 (2006): 308-312.
- Greif, Avner, and Guido Tabellini. "Cultural and Institutional Bifurcation: China and Europe Compared." *American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings* 100, no. 2 (2010): 135-140.
- Guinnane, Timothy W. "The Historical Fertility Transition: A Guide for Economists," *Journal of Economic Literature* 49, no. 3 (2011): 589-614.

- Guinnane, Timothy W., and Sheilagh Ogilvie. "Institutions and Demographic Responses to Shocks:
   Württemberg, 1634-1870." Yale University Economic Growth Center Discussion Paper 962 (2008).
- . "A Two-Tiered Demographic System: 'Insiders' and 'Outsiders' in Three Swabian Communities, 1558-1914." *The History of the Family* 19, no. 1 (2014): 77-119.
- Hafter, Daryl M. Women at Work in Pre-Industrial France. University Park, PA: Penn State Press, 2007.
- Hajnal, John. "European Marriage Patterns in Perspective." In *Population in History: Essays in Historical Demography*, edited by David V. Glass and David E. C. Eversley, London: Arnold, 1965: 101-143.
- . "Two Kinds of Preindustrial Household Formation System." *Population and Development Review* 8, no. 3 (1982): 449-494.
- . "Two Kinds of Pre-Industrial Household Formation System." In *Family Forms in Historic Europe*, edited by Richard Wall, Jean Robin and Peter Laslett, 65-104. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- Johansson, Egil. "The History of Literacy in Sweden, in Comparison with Some Other Countries." In Understanding Literacy in Its Historical Contexts, edited by Harvey J. Graff, Alison Mackinnon, Bengt Sandin, et al., 28-59. Lund: Nordic Academic Press, 2009.
- Kelly, Morgan and Cormac Ó Gráda. "The Preventive Check in Medieval and Preindustrial England." Journal of Economic History 72, no. 4 (2012): 1015-1035.
- Kertzer, David I. "Living with Kin." In *The History of the European Family, vol. 1: Family Life in the Long Nineteenth Century, 1789-1913*, edited by David I. Kertzer and Marzio Barbagli, 40-72. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2002.
- Klein, Alexander and Sheilagh Ogilvie. "Occupational Structure in the Czech Lands under the Second Serfdom." *CAGE Online Working Paper Series* 176 (2013).
- Langdon, John. "Women and Workers on Royal Building Sites before the Black Death". Paper presented at the Tenth Anglo-American Seminar on the Medieval Economy and Society, Durham, 2010.

Landes, David S. (1969). The Unbound Prometheus. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Lanza, Janine M. From Wives to Widows in Early Modern Paris: Gender, Economy, and Law. Aldershot: Ashgate, 2007.
- Laslett, Peter. "The European Family and Early Industrialization". In Europe and the Rise of Capitalism, edited by Jean Baechler, John A. Hall and Michael Mann, 234-242. Oxford: Blackwell, 1988.
- Laurence, Anne. "How Free Were English Women in the Seventeenth Century?" In *Women of the Golden Age*, edited by Els Kloek, Nicole Teeuwen and Marijke Huisman, 127-135. . Hilversum: Verloren, 1994.
- Lindert, Peter H. *Growing Public: Social Spending and Economic Growth since the Eighteenth Century*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- Macfarlane, Alan. The Origins of English Individualism. Oxford: Blackwell, 1978.
- Manninen, Merja. "The Opportunities of Independent Life for Women in an Eighteenth-Century Finnish Provincial Town." *Scandinavian Journal of History* 9, no. 2-3 (1984): 149-169.
- McCloskey, Deirdre. *Bourgeois Dignity: Why Economics Can't Explain the Modern World*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2010.
- Mokyr, Joel. *The Enlightened Economy: an Economic History of Britain, 1700-1850.* Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2009.
- Nicolini, Esteban A. "Was Malthus Right? A VAR Analysis of Economic and Demographic
  Interactions in Pre-Industrial England." *European Review of Economic History* 11, no. 1 (2007):
  99-121.
- Ogilvie, Sheilagh. State Corporatism and Proto-Industry: the Württemberg Black Forest, 1580-1797. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
  - . "The Economic World of the Bohemian Serf: Economic Concepts, Preferences and Constraints on the Estate of Friedland, 1583-1692." *Economic History Review* 54 (2001): 430-453.
    - \_\_\_\_. A Bitter Living: Women, Markets, and Social Capital in Early Modern Germany. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003.

. "How Does Social Capital Affect Women? Guilds and Communities in Early Modern Germany." *American Historical Review* 109, no. 2 (2004): 325-359.

- . "Consumption, Social Capital, and the 'Industrious Revolution' in Early Modern Germany." *Journal of Economic History* 70, no. 2 (2010): 287-325.
- Ogilvie, Sheilagh, and J. S. S. Edwards. "Women and the "Second Serfdom": Evidence from Early Modern Bohemia." *Journal of Economic History* 60, no. 4 (2000): 961-994.
- Ogilvie, Sheilagh, Markus Küpker, and Janine Maegraith. "Krämer und ihre Waren im ländlichen Württemberg zwischen 1600 und 1740." *Zeitschrift für Agrargeschichte und Agrarsoziologie* 59, no. 2 (2011): 54-75.
- Pamuk, Şevket. "The Black Death and the Origins of the 'Great Divergence' across Europe, 1300-1600." European Review of Economic History 11, no. 3 (2007): 289-317.
- Pérez Moreda, Vincente. "La péninsule Ibérique: I. La population espagnole à l'époque modern (XVIe-XVIIIe siècle)." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, vol. 1: 463-479. Paris: Fayard, 1997.
- Perrin, Charles-Edmond. "Note sur la population de Villeneuve-St Georges au IXe siècle." *Le Moyen* Âge 69 (1963): 75-86.
- Prior, Mary. "Freedom and Autonomy in England and the Netherlands: Women's Lives and Experience in the Seventeenth Century." In *Women of the Golden Age*, edited by Els Kloek, Nicole Teeuwen and Marijke Huisman, 137-140. Hilversum: Verloren, 1994.
- Reher, David S. Perspectives on the Family in Spain: Past and Present. Oxford: Clarendon, 1997.
  \_\_\_\_\_\_. "Family Ties in Western Europe: Persistent Contrasts." Population and Development Review 24, no. 2 (1998a): 203-234.
- \_\_\_\_\_. "Le Monde ibérique: I. L'Espagne." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, vol. 2: 533-553. Paris: Fayard, 1997.
- Reis, Jaime. "Economic Growth, Human Capital Formation and Consumption in Western Europe before 1800." In *Living Standards in the Past: New Perspectives on Well-Being in Asia and Europe*, edited by Robert C. Allen, Tommy Bengtsson and Martin Dribe, 195-225. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2005.

- Ruggles, Steven. "The Limitations of English Family Reconstitution: English Population History from Family Reconstitution 1580-1837." *Continuity and Change* 14, no. 1 (1999): 105-130.
- Ryter, Annamarie. "Die Geschlechtsvormundschaft in der Schweiz: Das Beispiel der Kantone Basel-Landschaft und Basel-Stadt." In *Frauen in der Geschichte des Rechts: von der frühen Neuzeit bis zur Gegenwart*, edited by Ute Gerhard, 494-508. Munich: Beck, 1997.
- Skovgaard-Petersen, Vagn. "Literacy in the Nordic Countries 1550–1900: a Comparative Study." *Scandinavian Journal of History* 15, no. 1-2 (1990): 1-5.
- Smith, Richard M. "Some Reflections on the Evidence of the Origins of the 'European Marriage Pattern' in England." In *The Sociology of the Family: New Directions for Britain*, edited by Christopher C. Harris, 74-112. Keele: University of Keele, 1979.
- \_\_\_\_\_. "Relative Prices, Forms of Agrarian Labour and Female Marriage Patterns in England, 1350-1800". In *Marriage and Rural Economy: Western Europe since 1400*, edited by Isabelle Devos and Liam Kennedy, 19-48. Brussels: Brepols, 1999.
- Snell, K. D. M. "Agricultural Seasonal Unemployment, the Standard of Living, and Women's Work in the South and East, 1690-1860." *Economic History Review* 34 (1981): 407-437.
- Solar, Peter M. "Poor Relief and English Economic Development before the Industrial Revolution." *Economic History Review* 48, no. 1 (1995): 1-22.
- Sonnino, Eugenio. "L'Italie: II. Le tournant du XVIIe siècle." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, vol. 1, 496-508. Paris: Fayard, 1997.
- Todd, Emmanuel. *The Explanation of Ideology: Family Structures and Social Systems*. Oxford: Blackwell, 1983.
- Ulbrich, Claudia. Shulamit und Margarete: Macht, Geschlecht und Religion in einer ländlichen Gesellschaft des 18. Jahrhunderts. Vienna etc.: Böhlau, 1999.
- Van den Heuvel, Danielle. Women and Entrepreneurship: Female Traders in the Northern Netherlands, c. 1580-1815. Amsterdam: Aksant, 2007.
- Van den Heuvel, Danielle, and Sheilagh Ogilvie. "Retail Development in the Consumer Revolution: The Netherlands, c. 1670–c. 1815." *Explorations in Economic History* 50, no. 1 (2013): 69-87.

Van Nederveen Meerkerk, Elise. De draad in eigen handen. Vrouwen in loonarbeid in de Nederlandse
textielnijverheid, 1581-1810. Amsterdam: Vrije Universiteit, 2006.

- . "Market Wage or Discrimination? The Remuneration of Male and Female Wool Spinners in the Seventeenth-century Dutch Republic." *Economic History Review* 63, no. 1 (2010): 165-186.
- Van Zanden, Jan Luiten. "The Malthusian Intermezzo: Women's Wages and Human Capital Formation between the Late Middle Ages and the Demographic Transition of the 19th Century." *The History of the Family* 16, no. 4 (2011): 331-342.
- Van Zanden, Jan Luiten, and Bas van Leeuwen, "Persistent But Not Consistent: The Growth of National Income in Holland 1347–1807." *Explorations in Economic History* 49, no. 2 (2012): 119-130.
- Viazzo, Pier Paolo. "What's So Special about the Mediterranean? Thirty Years of Research on Household and Family in Italy." *Continuity and Change* 18, no. 1 (2003): 111-137.
- Voigtländer, Nico and Hans-Joachim Voth. "Why England? Demographic Factors, Structural Change and Physical Capital Accumulation during the Industrial Revolution." *Journal of Economic Growth* 11, no. 4 (2006): 319-361.
- . "How the West 'Invented' Fertility Restriction." *American Economic Review*\_103, no. 6 (2013), 2227-2264.
- Wang, Feng, Cameron Campbell and James Z. Lee. "Agency, Hierarchies, and Reproduction in Northeastern China, 1749-1840". In *Prudence and Pressure: Reproduction and Human Agency in Europe and Asia, 1700-1900.*, edited by Noriko O. Tsuya, Feng Wang, George Alter, *et al.*, 287-316. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2010.
- Weir, David R. "Life under Pressure: France and England, 1670-1870." *Journal of Economic History* 44, no. 1 (1984): 27-47.
- Wiesner, Merry E. Working Women in Renaissance Germany. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 1986.
- . "Guilds, Male Bonding and Women's Work in Early Modern Germany." *Gender & History* 1, no. 1 (1989): 125-137.
  - . Women and Gender in Early Modern Europe. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- Wrigley, E. A. "British Population during the 'Long' Eighteenth Century, 1680-1840." In *The Cambridge Economic History of Modern Britain*, edited by Roderick Floud and Paul Johnson,

vol. 1, 57-95. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004.

Wrigley, E. A., and R. S. Schofield. *The Population History of England*, 1541-1871: a Reconstruction.Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1981.



Figure 1: Per Capita GDP and Extremeness of the European Marriage Pattern, 1500-1850

Variable	Female age marriage (	e at first (years)	Female li celibac	ifetime y (%)	Housel complex	Total	
	N	Mean	Ν	Mean	N	Mean	Ν
COUNTRY							
Austria	33	26.8	25	28.0	24	19.2	82
Baltics	8	25.8	20	18.1	65	48.3	93
Belarus	2	18.5	0	-	2	56.5	4
Belgium	197	26.4	17	20.4	6	13.1	220
Bohemia	100	25.2	59	14.4	11	9.4	170
Bulgaria	17	19.1	7	0.6	9	36.1	33
Croatia	1	20.0	1	2.0	5	67.7	7
Denmark	46	27.8	32	11.3	11	14.9	89
England	250	25.2	45	11.3	70	15.7	365
Finland	25	24.8	1	15.0	42	43.2	68
France (all)	93	24.8	86	12.0	0	-	179
France (northern)	192	25.3	137	11.5	27	16.1	356
France (central)	11	23.3	23	10.9	5	44.0	39
France (southern)	46	24.3	73	12.9	30	27.3	149
Germany	486	26.1	103	11.4	28	12.0	617
Greece	14	21.9	7	5.3	13	16.7	34
Hungary	76	20.4	9	4.0	45	51.6	130
Iceland	3	28.1	3	25.9	15	24.2	21
Ireland	74	24.2	53	15.8	16	25.5	143
Italy (all)	5	23.7	5	11.9	0	-	10
Italy (northern)	113	24.1	43	11.8	86	34.4	242
Italy (southern)	134	22.1	38	12.1	87	20.9	259
Malta	2	22.8	5	21.8	0	-	7
Netherlands	213	26.5	16	9.5	37	17.6	266
Norway	22	27.1	23	17.3	21	21.9	66
Poland	19	22.8	12	6.2	46	27.0	77
Portugal	34	25.0	22	22.7	3	26.7	59
Romania	5	20.3	3	2.9	0	-	8
Russia	57	20.0	24	9.3	69	60.6	150
Scotland	42	26.0	103	20.7	6	25.5	151
Serbia	9	19.6	5	1.1	4	44.9	18
Slovakia	3	20.9	0	-	2	40.5	5
Slovenia	9	27.7	1	0.1	8	32.5	18
Spain (all)	8	23.5	10	11.6	0	-	18
Spain (northern)	149	24.2	57	10.0	52	20.3	258
Spain (central)	18	22.9	21	7.2	7	32.1	46
Spain (southern)	16	22.1	22	10.4	5	5.5	43
Sweden	56	26.6	45	12.8	36	21.4	137
Switzerland	30	25.9	15	19.6	16	19.9	61
Ukraine	4	19.6	1	2.0	2	42.8	7
CENTURY							
16th century	48	22.3	16	13.8	19	31.3	83
17th century	257	24.6	94	10.6	61	20.0	412
18th century	970	24.9	464	12.8	363	29.4	1,797
19th century	1,347	25.2	598	14.3	468	31.7	2,413
Date approximate	108	23.9	54	13.2	23	25.2	185
UNIT OF OBSERVATION							
Nation	274	24.9	239	12.9	3	23.9	516
Region	500	24.6	570	13.5	137	34.0	1,207
Community	1,476	25.0	324	13.0	707	29.8	2,507

## Table 1: Descriptive Statistics for Regression Variables

Group	372	25.4	39	17.7	64	23.3	475
RURAL OR URBAN							
City	233	25.1	82	14.7	80	13.5	395
Small town	337	24.7	88	13.6	103	17.3	528
Village/rural	1,499	25.0	311	13.3	690	34.2	2,500
Mixed rural/urban	553	24.9	691	13.2	38	23.1	1,282
PUBLICATION TYPE							
Monograph	652	25.7	135	14.7	67	21.9	854
Journal article	1,145	24.8	702	13.1	405	31.9	2,252
Working paper	53	23.6	41	11.9	20	39.6	114
Volume chapter	132	24.0	42	10.8	146	32.4	320
Secondary source	640	24.8	252	14.0	273	27.1	1,165
SOURCES & METHODS							
AFM reconstitution	1,239	25.3	0	_	0	_	1,239
AFM census	510	23.7	0	_	0	_	510
AFM other	296	25.2	0	_	0	_	296
AFM unknown method	577	25.3	0	_	0	_	577
Celibacy deaths	0	_	387	12.3	0	_	387
Celibacy census	0	_	705	13.9	0	_	705
Celibacy other	0	_	54	12.6	0	_	54
Celibacy unknown method	0	_	26	15.7	0	_	26
TOTAL OBSERVATIONS	2,622	25.0	1,172	13.4	911	30.0	4,705

Household complexity = kin complexity of households (types 4 and 5 in Laslett-Hammel classification). Country designations are those used by the respective research-studies.

Baltics = Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania (hypothesis testing showed no significant demographic differences).

Date approximate = date reported with some degree of approximation (e.g. "mid-eighteenth century").

Nation = unit of observation is an entire country.

Region = unit of observation is province, district, feudal estate, or other sub-national unit.

Community = unit of observation is single city, town, village, hamlet, or other settlement.

Group = unit of observation is sub-group of larger population (stratum, religion, occupation, wealth, etc.). City = settlement(s) with urban status and population over 10,000.

Small town = settlement(s) with urban status and population 2,000-10,000

Village/rural = settlement(s) lacking urban status and/or population under 2,000.

Mixed rural/urban = population combining inhabitants of urban and rural settlements.

Monograph = observation derived from book reporting author's own research findings.

Journal article = observation derived from journal-article reporting author's own research findings. Working paper = observation derived from working paper or unpublished dissertation reporting author's own research findings.

Volume chapter = observation derived from chapter in volume reporting author's own research findings. Secondary source = observation reported in a secondary source by author other than original researcher.

AFM reconstitution = marriage age calculated from registers of vital events using family reconstitution. AFM census = marriage age calculated from census using Hajnal's SMAM method.

AFM other = marriage age calculated using other sources/methods (marriage licenses, inventories, etc.) AFM unknown method = marriage age calculated using unreported sources/methods.

Celibacy deaths = celibacy calculated from burial registers using marital status at death.

Celibacy census = celibacy calculated from censuses using marital status at post-reproductive ages.

Celibacy other = celibacy calculated using other sources/methods (inventories, court records, etc.).

Celibacy unknown method = celibacy calculated using unreported sources/methods.

### Sources:

365 research studies in historical demography (see text and online appendix).

Variable	Coefficient	Standard Error	
COUNTRY			
Denmark	2.36	0.32 *	**
Iceland	2.07	1.04 *	*
Slovenia	1.66	0.61 *	**
Norway	1.22	0.41 *	**
Sweden	1.21	0.27 *	**
Austria	1.03	0.34 *	**
Belgium	0.79	0.19 *	**
Netherlands	0.74	0.19 *	**
Switzerland	0.72	0.35 *	*
Scotland	0.69	0.33 *	*
Germany	0.65	0.15 *	**
France (northern)	0.03	0.18	
Baltics	-0.01	0.65	
Bohemia	-0.21	0.23	
Portugal	-0.51	0.34	
France (all)	-0.76	0.26 *	**
Finland	-0.90	0.38 *	*
France (central)	-0.95	0.56 *	
Spain (northern)	-1.28	0.20 *	**
France (southern)	-1.29	0.29 *	**
Ireland	-1.44	0.26 *	**
Italy (northern)	-1.48	0.22 *	**
Italy (all)	-2.11	0.81 *	**
Spain (all)	-2.15	0.65 *	**
Spain (central)	-2.39	0.44 **	**
Poland	-2.77	0.44 *	**
Malta	-2.79	1.26 *	*
Italy (southern)	-3.18	0.23 *	**
Spain (southern)	-3.38	0.47 *	**
Greece	-3 65	0.50 *	**
Slovakia	-4 97	1 04 *	**
Hungary	-5.29	0.24 *	**
Romania	-5.56	0.81 *	**
Russia	-5 70	0.29 *	**
Ukraine	-6.00	0.90 *	**
Croatia	-6.00	1 78 *	**
Serbia	-6.22	0.62 *	**
Bulgaria	-6.76	0.46 *	**
Belarus	-6.81	1.29 *	**
CENTURY	0.01	1120	
16th century	-2 65	0.28 *	**
17th century	-0.90	0.13 *	**
18th century	-0.33	0.09 *	**
	0.00	0.00	
Region	0.14	0.20	
Group	0.14	0.20	
Community	0.40	0.23	*
	0.00	0.20	
Small town	-0.52	0.16 *	**
Village/rural	-0.52	0.10	
Mixed rural/urban	0.05	0.14	**
	0.43	0.13	

# Table 2: Female Age at First Marriage: Regression Results (omitted country Is England)

PUBLICATION TYPE		
Monograph	-0.08	0.11
Working paper	0.17	0.28
Volume chapter	-0.04	0.17
Secondary source	-0.12	0.11
SOURCES & METHODS		
AFM census	0.02	0.14
AFM other	-0.02	0.15
AFM unknown method	0.32	0.12 ***
Constant	25.26	0.28 ***

N = 2,622. Adj. R-sq. = 0.5227.

\* significant at the 0.10 level; \*\* significant at the 0.05 level; \*\*\* significant at the 0.01 level. Variable definitions: see Table 1.

Country: omitted category is England. Century: omitted category is 19th.

Unit of observation: omitted category is nation. Rural/urban: omitted category is city.

Publication type: omitted category is journal article.

Sources & methods: omitted category is AFM reconstitution.

Constant is the overall effect of all the omitted categories (England, 19th century, nation, city, journal article, AFM reconstitution).

### Sources:

365 research studies in historical demography (see text and online appendix).

Variable	Coefficient	Standard Error
COUNTRY		
Austria	18.33	1.75 ***
Iceland	14.03	3.76 ***
Portugal	10.48	1.75 ***
Malta	9.51	3.07 ***
Scotland	8.73	1.33 ***
Belgium	7 74	1 88 ***
Switzerland	7 16	2 18 ***
Norway	5 32	1 71 ***
Bohemia	4 92	1.50 ***
Ireland	3.86	1.00 ***
Finland	3.83	6.34
Roltico	3.03	2.06
Dallics	3.03	2.00
	2.70	1.30
Italy (all)	0.68	3.03
nary (southern)	0.13	1.57
Spain (all)	-0.34	2.25
France (southern)	-0.42	1.47
italy (northern)	-0.47	1.54
France (all)	-0.47	1.27
Germany	-0.66	1.31
France (northern)	-1.66	1.34
Denmark	-1.74	2.22
Spain (southern)	-2.21	1.83
Netherlands	-2.24	1.93
France (central)	-2.48	1.84
Russia	-2.53	1.75
Spain (northern)	-2.89	1.46 **
Spain (central)	-5.41	1.86 ***
Poland	-7.00	2.20 ***
Croatia	-7.20	6.45
Ukraine	-7.20	6.45
Greece	-7.31	2.65 ***
Hungary	-8.12	2.45 ***
Romania	-8.30	3.80 **
Serbia	-11 19	3 02 ***
Slovenia	-12 12	6.38 *
Bulgaria	-12.57	2 63 ***
CENTURY	12.01	2.00
16th century	-2 14	1 74
17th century	-1 97	Λ QΩ ***
18th century	- <u>-</u>	0.50
Date Approvimate	-0.00 _2 0/	1 01 **
	-3.04	1.21
	0.26	0.63
Group	U.20 4 74	0.00
Gioup	1.71	
	-0.79	1.17
	<b>a</b> i <b>-</b>	
Small town	-0.15	1.08
Village/rural	-1.74	0.90 *
Mixed rural/urban	-1.77	1.06 *
PUBLICATION TYPE		

# Table 3: Female Lifetime Celibacy: Regression Results (omitted country Is England)

Monograph	-0.73	0.77
Working paper	-0.81	1.79
Volume chapter	-1.41	1.12
Secondary source	-1.79	0.58 ***
SOURCES & METHODS		
Celibacy Census	-0.96	0.72
Celibacy Other	-1.64	1.21
Celibacy Unknown Method	3.97	1.61 **
Constant	15.69	1.66 ***

N = 1,172. Adj. R-sq. = 0.3629.

\* significant at the 0.10 level; \*\* significant at the 0.05 level; \*\*\* significant at the 0.01 level. Variable definitions: see Table 1.

Omitted categories as for Table 2, except for sources & methods, where omitted category is celibacy deaths.

Constant is the overall effect of all the omitted categories (England, 19th century, nation, city, journal article, celibacy deaths).

### Sources:

365 research studies in historical demography (see text and online appendix).

Variable	Coefficient	Standard Error	
COUNTRY			
Spain (southern)	-4.35	6.86	
Belgium	-1.74	6.34	
Denmark	1.28	5.01	
Germany	1.57	3.58	
France (northern)	4.09	3.75	
Bohemia	4.19	5.56	
Netherlands	4.35	3.53	
Switzerland	4.92	4.39	
Norway	5.65	4.15	
Austria	5.77	3.48	*
Sweden	5.93	3.25	*
Greece	6.23	4.69	
Spain (northern)	7.68	3.20	**
Iceland	7.91	4.45	*
Ireland	9.52	4.34	**
Portugal	9.83	8.58	
Scotland	11.20	6.30	*
Poland	11.31	3.43	***
France (southern)	11.94	3.50	***
Italy (southern)	11.96	3.13	***
Slovenia	16.21	5 64	***
Spain (central)	17 24	6.31	***
Italy (northern)	20.98	2.87	***
Bulgaria	23.78	5 41	***
Finland	26.46	3.27	***
France (central)	28.26	7.02	***
Baltics	30.59	2.65	***
Slovakia	31.09	10.47	***
Ukraine	33.95	10.17	***
Hungary	34 76	3 21	***
Serbia	35.98	7 69	***
Russia	43 41	2.83	***
Belarus	48.95	10.95	***
Croatia	48.98	6.86	***
CENTURY	10.00	0.00	
16th century	-4 38	3.61	
17th century	-7.23	2.16	***
18th century	-2 13	1 24	*
	2.15	1.27	
Pegion	-10.82	0.13	
Group	-13.00	0.73	
Community	-12.84	9.23	
	-12.04	9.24	
	2.02	2.24	
Village/rural	2.92 40 57	2.04	***
villaye/Tural Mixed rural/urban	10.07	1.92	
	1.57	3.08	
	0.04	0.44	
	-3.24	2.41	
Vorking paper	-2.51	3.48	
volume cnapter	2.59	2.05	
Secondary source	-1.62	1.51	

# Table 4: Household Complexity: Regression Results (omitted country Is England)

N = 911. Adj. R-sq. = 0.5217. \* significant at the 0.10 level; \*\* significant at the 0.05 level; \*\*\* significant at the 0.01 level. Variable definitions: see Table 1.

Omitted categories as in Table 2. No omitted category for sources and methods.

Constant is the overall effect of all the omitted categories (England, 19th century, nation, city, journal article).

### Sources:

365 research studies in historical demography (see text and online appendix).

Country	Female marriage age rank	Female celibacy rank	Household complexity rank	Combined score	Borda rank
Belgium	7	5	2	14	1
Austria	6	1	11	18	2
Iceland	2	2	15	19	3
Norway	4	7	10	21	4
Denmark	1	19	4	24	5
Switzerland	9	6	9	24	5
Sweden	5	12	12	29	7
Bohemia	15	8	7	30	8
England	13	14	3	30	8
Scotland	10	4	18	32	10
Germany	11	17	5	33	11
France (northern)	12	18	6	36	12
Portugal	16	3	17	36	12
Netherlands	8	21	8	37	14
Ireland	21	9	16	46	15
Spain (southern)	26	20	1	47	16
Baltics	14	11	28	53	17
Finland	17	10	26	53	17
France (southern)	20	15	20	55	19
Slovenia	3	32	22	57	20
Spain (northern)	19	24	14	57	20
Italy (southern)	25	13	21	59	22
Italy (northern)	22	16	24	62	23
France (central)	18	22	27	67	24
Greece	27	29	13	69	25
Poland	24	26	19	69	25
Spain (central)	23	25	23	71	27
Russia	29	23	32	84	28
Ukraine	30	28	29	87	29
Hungary	28	30	30	88	30
Bulgaria	33	33	25	91	31
Croatia	31	27	33	91	31
Serbia	32	31	31	94	33

Table 5:
Borda Ranking of Countries According to Extremeness of European Marriage Pattern

Ranking is based on the coefficients in Tables 2-4; since hypothesis-testing showed that countries do not fall into discrete sets, the value of the estimated coefficient is used, regardless of whether it is statistically significantly different from adjacent coefficients. Ranking covers all countries in the data set for which there are observations on all three measures of the European Marriage Pattern (female marriage age, female lifetime celibacy, and household structure).

#### Sources:

365 research studies in historical demography (see text and online appendix).

Country	Primar	y Enrol	ment	Lite	Literacy Numeracy			v
5		5		1800	1800		-	•
	1830	1840	1850	male	female	1700	1750	1800
England	274	351	498	60	40	93	93	93
Netherlands			541	73	51			98
Belgium	346	526	549	60	37	72		98
Germany: Protestant						87	96	88
Germany: Catholic						68	86	
Germany: Prussia	695	714	730					
Germany: Saxony				80	44			
Germany: Hesse				91	43			
Denmark						90	96	100
France: all	388	513	515	48	27	89	93	96
France: northern				71	44			
Norway	685	671	640				93	96
Poland							94	91
Switzerland						66		98
Austria		367	389			81	86	96
Bohemia						85	85	84
Scotland			592	65	15			
Ireland								77
Italy: all	28		124					
Italy: northern							89	87

Table 6: Human Capital Levels in European Economies Before and During Industrialization

School enrolment: pupils enrolled in primary schools, per 1000 children aged 5-14. Literacy: % of adults who could sign their name. Numeracy: estimates based on age-heaping in census-type listings.

England = England & Wales for primary enrollment; UK for numeracy.

<u>Sources:</u> School enrolment: Lindert, *Growing Public*, pp. 91-2 (Table 5.1). Numeracy: A'Hearn et al., "Quantifying Quantitative Literacy," p. 801 (Table 4). Literacy: Reis, "Economic Growth," p. 203 (Table 8.2).

### **Online** Appendix:

### Sources Used in Compiling Demographic Data Set

- Åkerman, Sune. "A Demographic Study of a Pre-Transitional Society." In *Chance and Change: Social and Economic Studies in Historical Demography in the Baltic Area*, edited by Sune Åkerman, Hans Christian Johansen and David Gaunt, 33-48. Odense: Odense University Press, 1978.
- Alderson, Arthur S., and Stephen K. Sanderson. "Historic European Household Structures and the Capitalist World-Economy." *Journal of Family History* 16, no. 4 (1991): 419-32.
- Alexandrov, V. A. "Typology of the Russian Peasant Family in the Feudal Period." *Soviet Studies in History* 21, no. 2 (1982): 26-62.
- Alter, George. "The European Marriage Pattern as Solution and Problem: Households of the Elderly in Verviers, Belgium, 1831." *The History of the Family* 1, no. 2 (1996): 123-38.
- Anderson, Michael. *Family Structure in Nineteenth-Century Lancashire*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1971.
- ———. "Household Structure and the Industrial Revolution: Mid Nineteenth Century Preston in Comparative Perspective." In *Household and Family in Past Time*, edited by Peter Laslett and Richard Wall, 215-36. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1972.
- Anderson, M. "Les îles britanniques: II. L'écosse." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 337-41. Paris: Fayard, 1998.
- Anderson, Michael, and Donald J. Morse. "High Fertility, High Emigration, Low Nuptiality: Adjustment Processes in Scotland's Demographic Experience, 1861-1914, Part I." *Population Studies* 47, no. 1 (1993): 5-25.
- . "High Fertility, High Emigration, Low Nuptiality: Adjustment Processes in Scotland's
   Demographic Experience, 1861-1914, Part II." *Population Studies* 47, no. 2 (1993): 319-43.
- Andorka, Rudolf. "The Historical Demography of a Proper Hungarian Village: Átány in the Eighteenth

and Nineteenth Centuries." Journal of Family History 19, no. 4 (1994): 311-31.

- ———. "L'Europe centrale: I. La population hongroise du XVIIIe siècle à 1914." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 427-38. Paris: Fayard, 1998.
- Andorka, Rudolf, and Tamás Faragó. "Pre-Industrial Household Structure in Hungary." In *Family Forms in Historic Europe*, edited by Richard Wall, Jean Robin and Peter Laslett, 281-305. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- Armstrong, David. "Birth, Marriage and Death in Elizabethan Cumbria." *Local population studies* 53 (1994): 29-41.
- Armstrong, W. A. "A Note on the Household Structure of Mid-Nineteenth-Century York in Comparative Perspective." In *Household and Family in Past Time*, edited by Peter Laslett and Richard Wall, 205-14. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1972.
- Arru, Angiolina. "Zuwanderung, Heiratsmarkt und die soziale Konstruktion der Stadtbürgerschaft. Rom im 18. und 19. Jahrhundert." In *Historische Familienforschung. Ergebnisse und Kontroversen. Michael Mitterauer zum 60. Geburtstag*, edited by Josef Ehmer, Tamara K. Hareven and Richard Wall, 103-22. Frankfurt a.M. / New York, 1997.
- Avdeev, Alexandre, Alain Blum, and Irina Troitskaia. "Peasant Marriage in Nineteenth-Century Russia." *Population (French Edition)* 59, no. 6 (2004): 721-64.
- Barbagli, Marzio. "Marriage and Family in Italy in the Early Nineteenth Century." In Society and Politics in the Age of the Risorgimento: Essays in Honour of Denis Mack Smith, edited by John Anthony Davis and Paul Ginsborg, 92-127. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- Bardet, Jean-Pierre. "Early Marriage in Pre-Modern France." *The History of the Family* 6, no. 3 (2001): 345-63.
- ———. "Fécondité et natalité." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 317-44. Paris: Fayard, 1997.

Jacques Dupâquier, 287-325. Paris: Fayard, 1998.

- ———. Rouen aux XVIIe et XVIIIe siècles: les mutations d'un espace social. 2 vols. Paris: Société d'édition d'enseignement supérieur, 1983.
- Baten, Jörg, and John E. Murray. "Women's Stature and Marriage Markets in Preindustrial Bavaria." Journal of Family History 23, no. 2 (1998): 124-35.
- Bauer, Rainer Lutz. "Inheritance and Inequality in a Spanish Galician Community, 1840-1935."*Ethnohistory* 34, no. 2 (1987): 171-93.
- Bellingham, Roger. "Age at Marriage in the Late-Eighteenth Century." *Local population studies* 61 (1998): 54-56.
- Benavente, Jaime. "Social Change and Early Fertility Decline in Catalonia." *European Journal of Population / Revue européenne de démographie* 5, no. 3 (1989): 207-34.
- Benedict, Philip. "The Huguenot Population of France, 1600-1685: The Demographic Fate and Customs of a Religious Minority." *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society* NS 81, no. 5 (1991): i-164.
- Bengtsson, Tommy, and Martin Dribe. "Deliberate Control in a Natural Fertility Population: Southern Sweden, 1766-1864." *Demography* 43, no. 4 (2006): 727-46.
- Benigno, Francesco. "The Southern Italian Family in the Early Modern Period: A Discussion of Co-Residential Patterns." *Continuity and change* 4, no. 1 (1989): 165-94.
- Benoit, Bruno. "Trévoux et ses tireurs d'or et d'argent au XVIIIe siècle." *Revue d'histoire moderne et contemporaine* 33, no. 3 (1986): 374-401.
- Beránková, Jana. "Demografický vývoj města Kouřimi v letech 1650–1850." *Historická demografie* 18 (1994): 69-104.
- Bérélowitch, W. "L'Europe orientale: I. La Russie." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 487-505. Paris: Fayard, 1998.

- Berkner, Lutz K. "Inheritance, Land Tenure and Family Structure in Lower Saxony at the End of the Seventeenth Century." In *Paper presented to the Past & Present Conference, May 1974*, 1974.
- . "The Stem Family and the Developmental Cycle of the Peasant Household: An Eighteenth-Century Austrian Example." *American historical review* 77, no. 2 (1972): 398-418.
- Bideau, Alain. "La population de Thoissey au XVIIIe et XIX siècles. étude de démographie historique."
  Bulletin du Centre d'Histoire Economique et Sociale de la Région Lyonnaise 1972, no. 2 (1972):
  23-42.
- Biraben, Jean-Noël. "A Southern French Village. The Inhabitants of Montplaisant in 1644." In *Household* and Family in Past Time, edited by Peter Laslett and Richard Wall, 237-54. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1972.
- Blaikie, Andrew. "Nuclear Hardship or Variant Dependency? Households and the Scottish Poor Law." *Continuity and Change* 17, no. 02 (2002): 253-80.
- Blockmans, W. "Les temps des crises (XIVe et XVe siècles): l'Europe du nord." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 185-200. Paris: Fayard, 1997.
- Bonnain, Rolande. "Household Mind and the Ecology of the Central Pyrenees in the 19th Century:
  Fathers, Sons, and Collective Landed Property." *The History of the Family* 10, no. 3 (2005): 249-70.
- . "Houses, Heirs, and Non-Heirs in the Adour Valley: Social and Geographic Mobility in the Nineteenth Century." *The History of the Family* 1, no. 3 (1996): 273-95.
- Boonstra, O. W. A. De waardij van eene vroege opleiding : een onderzoek naar de implicaties van het alfabetisme op het leven van inwoners van eindhoven en omliggende gemeenten, 1800 1920.
  Wageningen1993.

Borscheid, Peter. "Lebensstandard und Familie. Partnerwahl und Ehezyklus in einer württembergischen

Industriestadt im 19. Jahrhundert." Archiv für Sozialgeschichte 22 (1982): 227-62.

- Bouman, Annemarie, and Tine De Moor. "The Commercial Household: Servants and Lodgers as Alternatives to Intergenerational Support in Town and Countryside (the Netherlands, 17th Century)." In *Paper presented at the Economic and Social History seminar, University of Utrecht, 21 Mar. 2013*, 2013.
- Bourdieu, Jérôme, and Lionel Kesztenbaum. "'The True Social Molecule'. Industrialization, Paternalism and the Family. Half a Century in Le Creusot (1836–86)." *The History of the Family* (2013 online early): 1-24.
- Bradley, Brian P., and Franklin F. Mendels. "Can the Hypothesis of a Nuclear Family Organization Be Tested Statistically." *Population Studies* 32, no. 2 (1978): 381-94.
- Brettell, Caroline B., and Alida C. Metcalf. "Family Customs in Portugal and Brazil: Transatlantic Parallels." *Continuity and Change* 8, no. 3 (1993): 365-88.
- Brown, Jim. "Becoming Widowed: Rural Widows in Lower Austria, 1788-1848." *The history of the family* 7 (2002): 117-24.
- Brunnbauer, Ulf. "Families and Mountains in the Balkans." *The History of the Family* 7, no. 3 (2002): 327-50.
- Caftanzoglou, Roxanne. "The Household Formation Pattern of a Vlach Mountain Community of Greece: Syrrako 1898-1929." *Journal of Family History* 19, no. 1 (1994): 79-98.
- Čáňová, Eliška. "Population of the Třeboň Dominion." *Historická demografie* 13 (1989): 33-58. . "Studium Historicke Rodiny." *Demografie* 34 (1992): 131-36.
- Čáňová, Eliška, and Pavla Horská. "Obyvatelstvo obce Břevnova v církevních pramenech z let 1651 až 1800." *Acta Universitatis Carolinae Philosophica et Historica* 3 (1972): 81-100.
- Čapo Žmegač, Jasna. "New Evidence and Old Theories: Multiple Family Households in Northern Croatia." *Continuity and Change* 11, no. 03 (1996): 375-98.
- Carbone, Angela. "Tra storia e demografia: Cassano delle Murge e il catasto onciario del 1752." *Archivio Storico Pugliese* 12, no. 1-4 (1999): 135-63.

- Cerman, Markus. "Bohemia after the Thirty Years' War: Some Theses on Population Structure, Marriage and Family." *Journal of Family History* 19, no. 2 (1994): 149-75.
- . "Central Europe and the European Marriage Pattern: Marriage Patterns and Family Structure in Central Europe, Sixteenth-Nineteenth Centuries." In *Family History Revisited: Comparative Perspectives*, edited by R. Wall, T. K. Hareven, J. Ehmer and Markus Cerman, 282-307. Newark: University of Delaware Press, 2001.
- . "Mitteleuropa und die 'europäischen Muster'. Heiratsverhalten und Familienstruktur in Mitteleuropa, 16.-19. Jahrhundert." In *Historische Familienforschung. Ergebnisse und Kontroversen. Michael Mitterauer zum 60. Geburtstag*, edited by Josef Ehmer, T. K. Hareven and R. Wall, 327-46. Frankfurt a.M. / New York, 1997.
- Chacón Jiménéz, Francisco; Recaño Valverde, Joaquín. "Marriage, Work, and Social Reproduction in One Area of Southern Europe at the End of the 18th Century: Lorca (1797)." *The History of the Family* 7, no. 3 (2002): 397-421.
- Chasteland, Jean-Claude, and Roland Pressat. "La nuptialité des générations françaises depuis un siècle." *Population (French Edition)* 17, no. 2 (1962): 215-40.
- Chojnacka, Helena. "Nuptiality Patterns in an Agrarian Society." *Population Studies* 30, no. 2 (1976): 203-26.
- Ciappara, Frans. "Marriage and the Family in a Maltese Parish: St. Mary's (Qrendi) in the Eighteenth Century." *Journal of Family History* 36, no. 1 (2011): 37-51.
- Clarkson, L. A. "The Demography of Carrick-on-Suir, 1799." *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy.* Section C: Archaeology, Celtic Studies, History, Linguistics, Literature 87C (1987): 13-36.
- ———. "Household and Family Structure in Armagh City, 1770." Local population studies 20 (1978): 14-31.

Clausen, Nanna Floor, and Hans Jørgen Marker. "Did the Transformation of Denmark in the 19th Century

Influence the Marriage Pattern and Age of First Marriage?" In *Paper presented at the 9th European Social Science History Conference, Glasgow, 11 Apr. 2012*, 2012.

- Collenteur, Geurt, and Richard Paping. "Age at First Marriage in Eighteenth and Nineteenth-Century Russa and the Netherlands: Tradition or Economic and Social Circumstances?" In *Where the Twain Meet Again: New Results of the Dutch Russian Project on Regional Development 1780-1917*, edited by Pim Kooij and Richard Paping, 147-67. Wageningen: Nederlands Agronomisch Historisch Institut, 2004.
- Collomp, Alain. "From Stem Family to Nuclear Family: Changes in the Coresident Domestic Group in Haute Provence between the End of the Eighteenth and the Middle of the Nineteenth Centuries." *Continuity and Change* 3, no. 01 (1988): 65-81.
- ———. "Ménage et famille: études comparatives sur la dimension et la structure du groupe domestique."
  Annales. Histoire, Sciences Sociales 29, no. 3 (1974): 777-86.
- Cura, Enriqueta Camps I. "Population Turnover and the Family Cycle: The Migration Flows in a Catalan Town During the Nineteenth Century." *Continuity and Change* 7, no. 02 (1992): 225-45.
- Curtis, Daniel R. "A Re-Examination of the 'Model' for Household Structure and Marriage Patterns in Eighteenth-Century Southern Italy." *Working paper* (2013).
- Czap, Peter. "A Large Family: The Peasant's Greatest Wealth': Serf Households in Mishino, Russia,
   1814-1858." In *Family Forms in Historic Europe*, edited by Richard Wall, Jean Robin and Peter
   Laslett, 105-52. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- Czap, P. "The Perennial Multiple Family Household: Michino, Russia, 1782-1858." *Journal of family history* 7, no. 1 (1982): 5-26.
- Da Molin, Giovanna. "Family Forms and Domestic Service in Southern Italy from the Seventeenth to the Nineteenth Centuries." *Journal of Family History* 15, no. 1 (1990): 503-27.

- Dalla-Zuanna, Gianpiero, Matteo Di Tullio, Franca Leverotti, and Fiorenzo Rossi. "Population and Family in Central and Northern Italy at the Dawn of the Modern Age: A Comparison of Fiscal Data from Three Different Areas." *Journal of Family History* 37, no. 3 (2012): 284-302.
- Danhieux, Luc. "The Evolving Household: The Case of Lampernisse, West Flanders." In *Family Forms* in *Historic Europe*, edited by Richard Wall, Jean Robin and Peter Laslett, 409-20. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- Dányi, Dezsö. "Villein Households of the Palóc Population, 1836–1843." *Journal of Family History* 19, no. 4 (1994): 389-408.
- De Langhe, Sofie. "To Thrive, One Must Wive? Subsistence Strategies of Single Women in Eighteenthand Early Nineteenth-Century Rural Flanders." *The History of the Family* 17, no. 2 (2012): 199-219.
- De Vries, Jan. "The Population and Economy of the Preindustrial Netherlands." *Journal of Interdisciplinary History* 15, no. 4 (1985): 661-82.
- Dennison, Tracy. "Household Formation, Institutions, and Economic Development: Evidence from Imperial Russia." *The History of the Family* 16, no. 4 (2011): 456-65.
- ------. "Serfdom and Household Structure in Central Russia: Voshchazhnikovo, 1816-1858." *Continuity and change* 18, no. 3 (2003): 395-429.
- Deprez, Paul. "The Demographic Development of Flanders in the Eighteenth Century." In *Population in History: Essays in Historical Demography*, edited by D. V. Glass and D. E. C. Eversley, 608-30.
  London: Arnold, 1965.
- Derosas, Renzo, Marco Breschi, Alessio Fornasin, Matteo Manfredini, and Cristina Munno. "Between Constraints and Coercion. Marriage and Social Reproduction in Northern and Central Italy, 18th-19th Centuries." *University of Venice Department of Economics Working Papers* 02/WP/2012 (2012).
- Derouet, Bernard. "Nuptiality and Family Reproduction in Male-Inheritance Systems: Reflections on the Example of the Franche-Comté (Seventeenth-Eighteenth Centuries)." *The History of the Family*

1, no. 2 (1996): 139-58.

- ———. "Une démographie sociale différentielle: clés pour un système auto-régulateur des populations rurales d'ancien régime." *Annales. Histoire, Sciences Sociales* 35, no. 1 (1980): 3-41.
- Dertsch, Richard, and Hanna Homan. "Bevölkerungsgeschichte und Bevölkerungsbiologie Von Tiefenbach bei Oberstorf." Zeitschrift des Historischen Vereins für Schwaben und Neuburg 52 (1936): 171-225.
- Djurdjev, Branislav, Tamara Lukic, and Milan Cvetanovic. "Household Composition and the Well–Being of Rural Serbia in the Second Half of the Nineteenth Century." *Journal of Family History* 37, no. 1 (2012): 55-67.
- Drake, Michael. "Malthus on Norway." Population Studies 20, no. 2 (1966): 175-96.

-------. Population and Society in Norway, 1735-1865. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1969.

- Dribe, Martin. "Long-Term Effects of Childbearing on Mortality: Evidence from Pre-Industrial Sweden." *Population Studies* 58, no. 3 (2004): 297-310.
- Dubert, Isidro. "Elderly, Family, and Age Support in Rural Galicia at the End of the Ancien Régime." Journal of Family History 37, no. 2 (2012): 175-96.
- Dubois, Henri. "Les temps des crises (xive et xve siècles): La France, l'Italie, et la péninsule ibérique." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 200-17. Paris: Fayard, 1997.
- Dudáček, Karel, Ludmila Fialová, Pavla Horská, Marie Repásová, and Miloš Sládek. "On Using the 1661-1839 Lists of Subjects of the Třeboň Dominion to Study the Age Structure of the Population." *Historická demografie* 13 (1989): 59-124.
- Dupâquier, Jacques. "La France avant la transition démographique." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 443-62. Paris: Fayard, 1997.
- Dušek, Ladislav, and Ludmila Fialová. "Age Structure of the Population of Česká Kamenice in 1670-1750." *Historická demografie* 13 (1989): 125-60.

Ebeling, Dietrich. "Households and Families between Home Industry and Manufaktur: Case Study of

Burtscheid (Aachen) 1812." The History of the Family 8, no. 1 (2003): 71-83.

- Edvinsson, Sören, Ólöf Garðarsdóttir, and Gunnar Thorvaldsen. "Infant Mortality in the Nordic Countries, 1780–1930." *Continuity and Change* 23, no. 03 (2008): 457-85.
- Egerbladh, Inez. "From Complex to Simple Family Households: Peasant Households in Northern Coastal Sweden 1700-1900." *Journal of Family History* 14, no. 3 (1989): 241-64.
- Ehmer, Josef. Heiratsverhalten, Sozialstruktur und ökonomischer Wandel. England und Mitteleuropa in der Formationsperiode des Kapitalismus. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, 1991.
- ———. "Marriage." In *The History of the European Family, Vol. 2: Family Life in the Long Nineteenth Century, 1789-1913*, edited by David I. Kertzer and Marzio Barbagli, 282-321. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2002.
- Elklit, Jørgen. "Household Structure in Denmark 1769 Ca. 1890." In *Chance and Change: Social and Economic Studies in Historical Demography in the Baltic Area*, edited by Sune Åkerman, Hans Christian Johansen and David Gaunt, 109-21. Odense: Odense University Press, 1978.
- Emigh, Rebecca Jean. "Land Tenure, Household Structure, and Age of Marriage in Fifteenth-Century Tuscany." *Journal of Interdisciplinary History* 27, no. 4 (1997): 613-35.
- Engelen, Theo, and Paul Puschmann. "How Unique Is the Western European Marriage Pattern? A Comparison of Nuptiality in Historical Europe and the Contemporary Arab World." *The History of the Family* 16, no. 4 (2011): 387-400.
- Erdozáin-Azpilicueta, Pilar, and Fernando Mikelarena-Peña. "Labor Power, Social and Economic Differentials, and Adaptive Strategies of Peasant Households in Stem-Family Regions of Spain." *The History of the Family* 3, no. 2 (1998): 155-72.
- Faragó, Tamás. "Different Household Formation Systems in One Country at the End of the Eighteenth Century: Variations on John Hajnal's Thesis." *Demográfia - English Edition* (2003): 104-21.
- ———. "Formen bäuerlicher Haushalts- und Arbeitsorganisation in Ungarn um die Mitte des 18. Jahrhunderts." In *Familienstruktur und Arbeitsorganisation in ländlichen Gesellschaften*, edited by Josef Ehmer and Michael Mitterauer, 103-83. Vienna, 1986.

- ———. "Kinship in Rural Hungary During the Eighteenth Century: The Findings of a Case Study." *The History of the Family* 3, no. 3 (1998): 315-31.
- Fauve-Chamoux, Antoinette. "A Comparative Study of Family Transmission Systems in the Central Pyrenees and Northeastern Japan." *The History of the Family* 10, no. 3 (2005): 231-48.
- ———. "Family Reproduction and Stem-Family System: From Pyrenean Valleys to Norwegian Farms." *The History of the Family* 11, no. 3 (2006): 171-84.
- . "The Importance of Women in an Urban Environment: The Example of the Rheims Household at the Beginning of the Industrial Revolution." In *Family Forms in Historic Europe*, edited by Richard Wall, Jean Robin and Peter Laslett, 475-92. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- ———. "Marriage, Widowhood, and Divorce." In *The History of the European Family, Vol. 1: Family Life in Early Modern Times, 1500-1789*, edited by David I. Kertzer and Marzio Barbagli, 221-56. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2001.
- Fauve-Chamoux, Antoinette, and Richard Wall. "Nuptialité et famille." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 345-68. Paris: Fayard, 1997.
- Fertig, Christine, Volker Lünnemann, and Georg Fertig. "Inheritance, Succession, and Familial Transfer in Rural Westphalia, 1800–1900." *The History of the Family* 10, no. 3 (2005): 309-26.
- Fialová, Ludmila. "Příspěvek k možnostem studia sňatečnosti v Českých zemích za demografické revoluce." *Historická demografie* 9 (1985): 89-122.
- Flinn, Michael, and et al., eds. Scottish Population History: From the Seventeenth Century to the 1930s. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- Flinn, M. W. The European Demographic System, 1500-1820. Brighton: Harvester, 1981.
- Friedrichs, Christopher R. "Jewish Household Structure in an Early Modern Town: The Worms Ghetto Census of 1610." *The History of the Family* 8, no. 4 (2003): 481-93.

- Galt, Anthony H. Far from the Church Bells: Settlement and Society in an Apulian Town. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- Gaskin, Katherine. "Age at First Marriage in Europe before 1850. A Summary of Family Reconstitution Data." *Journal of Family History* 3 (1978): 23-33.
- Gaunt, David. "Household Typology: Problems, Methods, Results." In Chance and Change: Social and Economic Studies in Historical Demography in the Baltic Area, edited by Sune Åkerman, Hans Christian Johansen and David Gaunt, 69-83. Odense: Odense University Press, 1978.
- ———. "Pre-Industrial Economy and Population Structure: The Elements of Variance in Early Modern Sweden." *Scandinavian Journal of History* 2, no. 1-4 (1977): 183-210.
- Gehrmann, Rolf. "German Towns at the Eve of Industrialization: Household Formation and the Part of the Elderly." *The History of the Family* 19, no. 1 (2014): 13-28.
  - . "Heiratsverhalten als historisches Problem." *Historical social research* 28, no. 3 (2003): 8-28.
- Gieysztor, Aleksander. "Russie, Pologne, Pays Baltes: II. La Pologne." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 563-72. Paris: Fayard, 1997.
- Girard, Pierre. "Aperçus de la démographie de Sotteville-Lès-Rouen vers la fin du XVIIIe siècle." *Population (French Edition)* 14, no. 3 (1959): 485-508.
- Goose, Nigel. "Household Size and Structure in Early-Stuart Cambridge." *Social history* 5, no. 3 (1980): 347-85.
- Gritt, A. J. "Mortality Crisis and Household Structure: An Analysis of Parish Registers and the Compton Census, Broughton, Lancashire, 1667-1676." *Local Population Studies* 79 (2007): 38-65.
- Gruber, Siegfried, and Mikołaj Szołtysek. "Stem Families, Joint Families, and the European Pattern: How Much of a Reconsideration Do We Need?" *MPIDR working paper* WP 2011-001 (2011).
- ———. "Stem Families, Joint Families, and the European Pattern: What Kind of a Reconsideration Do We Need?" *Journal of Family History* 37, no. 1 (2012): 105-25.
- Guignet, Philippe. "The Lacemakers of Valenciennes in the Eighteenth Century: An Economic and Social Study of a Group of Female Workers under the Ancien Regime." *Textile history* 10 (1979): 29-

Guinnane, Timothy W. "Re-Thinking the Western European Marriage Pattern: The Decision to Marry in Ireland at the Turn of the Twentieth Century." *Journal of Family History* 16, no. 1 (1991): 47-64. *The Vanishing Irish: Households, Migration, and the Rural Economy in Ireland, 1850 - 1914.*

Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1997.

- Guinnane, Timothy W., and Sheilagh Ogilvie. "A Two-Tiered Demographic System: 'Insiders' and 'Outsiders' in Three Swabian Communities, 1558-1914." *The History of the Family* 19, no. 1 (2014): 77-119.
- Gullickson, G. L. "Proto-Industrialization, Demographic Behavior and the Sexual Division of Labor in Auffay, France, 1750-1850." *Peasant studies* 9 (1982): 106-18.
- ------. Spinners and Weavers of Auffay: Rural Industry and the Sexual Division of Labor in a French Village, 1750-1850. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- Gunnlaugsson, Gísli Ágúst. "Living Arrangements of the Elderly in a Changing Society: The Case of Iceland, 1880–1930." *Continuity and Change* 8, no. 01 (1993): 103-25.
- Gunnlaugsson, Gísli Ágúst, and Ólöf Garðarsdóttir. "Transition into Widowhood: A Life-Course Perspective on the Household Position of Icelandic Widows at the Beginning of the Twentieth Century." *Continuity and Change* 11, no. 03 (1996): 435-58.
- Gutmann, Myron P., and René Leboutte. "Rethinking Protoindustrialization and the Family." *Journal of Interdisciplinary History* 14, no. 3 (1984): 587-607.
- Hajnal, John. "Two Kinds of Pre-Industrial Household Formation System." In *Family Forms in Historic Europe*, edited by Richard Wall, Jean Robin and Peter Laslett, 65-104. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- Hallam, H. E. "Age at First Marriage and Age at Death in the Lincolnshire Fenland, 1252- 1478." *Population Studies* 39, no. 1 (1985): 55-69.
- Hammel, E. A., and Patrick R. Galloway. "Structural and Behavioural Changes in the Short Term Preventive Check in the Northwest Balkans in the 18th and 19th Centuries." *European Journal of*

Population / Revue européenne de Démographie 16, no. 1 (2000): 67-108.

- Hammer, Carl I. "Family and Familia in Early-Medieval Bavaria." In *Family Forms in Historic Europe*, edited by R. Wall, J. Robin and P. Laslett, 217-48. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- Head-König, A.-L. "L'Europe centrale: III. La population de la Suisse." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 454-64. Paris: Fayard, 1998.
- Hendrickx, Francois M. M. "From Weavers to Workers: Demographic Implications of an Economic Transformation in Twente (the Netherlands) in the Nineteenth Century." *Continuity and change* 8 (1993): 321-55.
- Hendrickx, F. M. M. "In Order Not to Fall into Poverty": Production and Reproduction in the Transition from Proto-Industry to Factory Industry in Borne and Wierden (the Netherlands), 1800-1900.
  Nijmegen: University of Nijmegen, 1997.
- Henry, Louis. "The Population of France in the Eighteenth Century." In *Population in History: Essays in Historical Demography*, edited by D. V. Glass and D. E. C. Eversley, 434-56. London: Arnold, 1965.
- Henry, Louis, and Jacques Houdaille. "Célibat et age au mariage aux XVIIIe et XIXe siècles en France.II. Age au premier mariage." *Population (French Edition)* 34, no. 2 (1979): 403-42.
- ———. "Célibat et age au mariage aux XVIIIe Et XIXe siècles en France: I. Célibat définitif." Population (French Edition) 33, no. 1 (1978): 43-84.
- Hionidou, Violetta. "Independence and Inter-Dependence: Household Formation Patterns in Eighteenth Century Kythera, Greece." *The History of the Family* 16, no. 3 (2011): 217-34.
- ———. "Nineteenth-Century Urban Greek Households: The Case of Hermoupolis, 1861–1879." Continuity and Change 14, no. 03 (1999): 403-27.
- ———. "Nuptiality Patterns and Household Structure on the Greek Island of Mykonos, 1849-1959." Journal of Family History 20, no. 1 (1995): 67-102.

Hippel, Wolfgang von. "Industrieller Wandel im ländlichen Raum. Untersuchungen im Gebiet des

mittleren Neckar 1850-1914." Archiv für Sozialgeschichte 19 (1979): 43-122.

- Hoch, Steven L. "Serfs in Imperial Russia: Demographic Insights." *Journal of interdisciplinary history* 13, no. 2 (1982): 221-46.
- Hörnung, Elisabeth. "Konfession und demographisches Verhalten: Oberkassel, 1670-1810." *Historical social research* 23, no. 1/2 (1998): 275-98.
- Horská, Pavla. "Historical Models of the Central European Family: Czech and Slovak Examples." Journal of family history 19, no. 2 (1994): 99-106.
- ———. "L'Europe centrale: II. Les populations autrichiennes jusqu'en 1914." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 439-53. Paris: Fayard, 1998.
- Horský, Jan. "Studium rodinných struktur v ranném novověku v Českém prostředí (přehled bádání za uplynulých 10 let)." *Cahiers du CEFRES* 22 (2001): 2-14.
- Horský, Jan, I. Sedláčková, and M. Seligová. "Ein einheitliches "altes demographisches Regime" oder die
  Bindung eines demographischen Verhaltens zu 'Ökotypen'." *Historická demografie* 20 (1996):
  57-91.
- Houdaille, Jacques. "La population de Boulay (Moselle) avant 1850." *Population (French Edition)* 22, no. 6 (1967): 1055-84.
- ———. "La population de Remmesweiler en Sarre aux XVIIIe et XIXe siècles." Population (French Edition) 25, no. 6 (1970): 1183-92.
- -------. "Les mariages à Paris de 1789 à 1803." Population (French Edition) 47, no. 2 (1992): 488-92.
- . "Les Mariages dans un arrondissement populaire de Paris sous le Second Empire." *Population (French Edition)* 32, no. 6 (1977): 1307-10.
- ———. "Nuptialité et fécondité en Flandre et au Brabant du XVIIe au XIXe siècles." Population (French Edition) 32, no. 4/5 (1977): 1004-08.
- . "Paris XIVe vers 1860 (d'après les mariages)." *Population (French Edition)* 40, no. 1 (1985):
  161-65.

- . "Quatre villages du Morvan: 1610 1870." *Population (French Edition)* 42, no. 4/5 (1987): 649 70.
- Houdaille, J. "Quelques résultats sur la démographie de trois villages d'Allemagne de 1750 à 1879." *Population (French Edition)* 25, no. 3 (1970): 649-54.
- Houdaille, Jacques. "Reconstitution des familles de Quimper avant 1792." *Population (French Edition)* 43, no. 3 (1988): 676-82.

- Houdaille, Jacques, and Yves Tugault. "Un village des Cévennes (Les Aires, Hérault) de 1685 à 1910." *Population (French Edition)* 40, no. 1 (1985): 173-77.
- Houston, R. A. "Age at Marriage of Scottish Women, Circa 1660-1770." *Local population studies* 43 (1989): 63-66.
- . "Les îles britanniques: II. La population écossaise jusque'en 1700." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 378-82. Paris: Fayard, 1997.
- Hudson, Pat, and Steve King. "Two Textile Townships, C. 1660-1820: A Comparative Demographic Analysis." *Economic History Review* 53, no. 4 (2000): 706-41.
- Imhof, Arthur. "Structure of Reproduction in a West-German Village 1690-1900." In Chance and Change: Social and Economic Studies in Historical Demography in the Baltic Area, edited by Sune Åkerman, Hans Christian Johansen and David Gaunt, 23-32. Odense: Odense University Press, 1978.

- Imhof, A. E. "Ländliche Familienstrukturen an einem hessischen Beispiel." In *Sozialgeschichte der Familie in der Neuzeit Europas*, edited by Werner Conze, 197-230. Stuttgart, 1976.
- Janssens, Angélique. Family and Social Change: The Household as a Process in an Industrializing Community. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- Johansen, Hans Chr. *Befolkningsudvikling og familiestruktur i det 18. århundrede*, Odense: Odense University Press, 1975.

Imhof, Arthur Erwin. Einführung in die historische Demographie. Munich: Beck, 1977.

Johnson, James H. "The Context of Migration: The Example of Ireland in the Nineteenth Century." *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 15, no. 3 (1990): 259-76.

- Jones, Anne M. "Exploiting a Marginal European Environment: Population Control and Resource Management under the Ancien Régime." *Journal of Family History* 16, no. 4 (1991): 363-79.
- Jones, R. E. "Population and Agrarian Change in an Eighteenth-Century Shropshire Parish." *Local population studies* 1, no. 6-29 (1968).
- Kahk, Johan, Heldur Palli, and Halliki Uibu. "Peasant Family and Household in Estonia in the Eighteenth and the First Half of the Nineteenth Centuries." *Journal of Family History* 7, no. 1 (1982): 76-88.
- Kaschuba, Wolfgang, and Carola Lipp. Dörfliches Überleben. Zur Geschichte materieller und sozialer Reproduktion ländlicher Gesellschaft im 19. und frühen 20. Jahrhundert. Tübingen: Tübinger Vereinigung für Volkskunde, 1982.
- Kaser, Karl. "Serfdom in Eastern Europe." In *The History of the European Family, Vol. 1: Family Life in Early Modern Times, 1500-1789*, edited by David I. Kertzer and Marzio Barbagli, 25-62. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2001.
- Kertzer, David I. "The Joint Family Household Revisited: Demographic Constraints and Household Complexity in the European Past." *Journal of Family History* 14, no. 1 (1989): 1-15.
- ———. "Living with Kin." In *The History of the European Family, Vol. 2: Family Life in the Long Nineteenth Century, 1789-1913*, edited by David I. Kertzer and Marzio Barbagli, 40-72. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2002.
- Kertzer, David I., and Dennis P. Hogan. "Reflections on the European Marriage Pattern: Sharecropping and Proletarianization in Casalecchio, Italy, 1861–1921." *Journal of Family History* 16, no. 1 (1991): 31-45.
- Khera, Sigrid. "Illegitimacy and Mode of Land Inheritance among Austrian Peasants." *Ethnology* 20, no. 4 (1981): 307-23.
- Klep, Paul. "Het huishouden in westelijke Noord-Brabant: structuur en ontwikkeling, 1750-1849." *AAG Bijdragen* 18 (1973): 23-94.

- Klep, Paul M. M. "The Relationship between Parents and Adult Children in the Economic Culture of Rural Netherlands, 1880–1910." *The History of the Family* 9, no. 4 (2004): 385-99.
- Kloke, I. E. "Säuglingssterblichkeit in Deutschland im 18. und 19. Jahrhundert am Beispiel von sechs ländlichen Regionen." Ph.D. dissertation, Free University of Berlin, 1998.
- Knodel, John. "Natural Fertility in Pre-Industrial Germany." *Population Studies* 32, no. 3 (1978): 481-510.
- ———. "Town and Country in Nineteenth-Century Germany: A Review of Urban-Rural Differentials in Demographic Behavior." *Social Science History* 1, no. 3 (1977): 356-82.
- . "Two and a Half Centuries of Demographic History in a Bavarian Village." *Population Studies* 24, no. 3 (1970): 353-76.
- Knodel, John E. Demographic Behavior in the Past: A Study of Fourteen German Village Populations in the Eighteenth and Nineteenth Centuries. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- Kohl, Thomas. *Familie und soziale Schichtung: Zur historischen Demographie Triers 1730 1860*. Stuttgart: Klett-Cotta, 1985.
- Kolle, Herdis. "The Russian Post-Emancipation Household: Two Villages in the Moscow Area." M. A. thesis, University of Bergen, 1995.
- Komis, Kostas. "Demographic Aspects of the Greek Household: The Case of Preveza (18th Century)." *The History of the Family* 9, no. 3 (2004): 287-98.
- Kowaleski, Maryanne. "Appendix: Demographic Tables." In Singlewomen in the European Past, 1250-1800, edited by Judith M. Bennett and Amy M. Froide, 325-44. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1999.
- Kriedte, Peter. Eine Stadt am seidenen Faden. Haushalt, Hausindustrie und soziale Bewegung in Krefeld in der Mitte des 19. Jahrhunderts. Göttingen1991.
- Kuklo, Cezary. "Elderly Women in the Cities of Central Europe in the Eighteenth Century." *The History of the Family* 5, no. 4 (2000): 451-71.

*History* 15, no. 1 (1990): 239-59.

- Kuklo, Cezary, and Malgorzata Kamecka. "Marriage Strategies in Poland: Social and Spatial Differences (16th-18th Centuries)." *Historical social research* 28, no. 3 (2003): 29-43.
- Landsteiner, Erich. "Household, Family, and Economy among Wine-Growing Peasants." *The History of the Family* 4, no. 2 (1999): 113-35.
- Lanzinger, Margareth. "The House as a Demographic Factor? Elements of a Marriage Pattern under the Auspices of Hindrance Policies." *Historical social research* 28, no. 3 (2003): 58-75.
- Laslett, Peter. "Family and Household as Work Group and Kin Group: Areas of Traditional Europe Compared." In *Family Forms in Historic Europe*, edited by Richard Wall, 513-63. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- *———. Family Life and Illicit Love in Earlier Generations.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- ———. "Introduction: The History of the Family." In *Household and Family in Past Time*, edited by Peter Laslett and Richard Wall, 1-90. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1972.
- Leboutte, René. "Family Economy and Household Dynamics the Liegeoise Industrial Area During the Second Half of the Nineteenth Century." *Historical Social Research / Historische Sozialforschung* 23, no. 1/2 (84) (1998): 157-78.
- Lee, W. Robert. *Population Growth, Economic Development and Social Change in Bavaria, 1750-1850*, New York: Arno Press, 1977.
- Lee, William Robert, and Peter Marschalck. "Demographic Change and Industrialization in Germany, 1815–1914." *The History of the Family* 5, no. 4 (2000): 373-90.
- Lehning, James R. The Peasants of Marlhes: Economic Development and Family Organization in Nineteenth-Century France. Chapel Hill1980.
- Liczbińska, Grażyna. "Marriage Patterns among Lutherans from the Parish of Trzebosz in the Second Half of the 19th Century and the Beginning of the 20th Century." *The History of the Family* 17, no. 2 (2012): 236-55.

- Livi Bacci, Massimo. "Fertility and Nuptiality Changes in Spain from the Late 18th to the Early 20th Century: Part 2." *Population Studies* 22, no. 2 (1968): 211-34.
- Loukos, Christos. "Families and Family Structure in a Neo-Hellenic City: Hermoupolis in the Mid-19th Century." *The History of the Family* 9, no. 3 (2004): 317-24.
- Lundh, Christer. "Households and Families in Pre-Industrial Sweden." *Continuity and change* 10, no. 1 (1995): 33-68.
- . "The Social Mobility of Servants in Rural Sweden, 1740–1894." *Continuity and Change* 14, no.
   01 (1999): 57-89.
- ———. "Swedish Marriages: Customers, Legislation and Demography in the Eighteenth and Nineteenth Century." *Lund Papers in Economic History* 88 (2003).
- Lynch, Katherine A. "Marriage Age among French Factory Workers: An Alsatian Example." *The Journal of Interdisciplinary History* 16, no. 3 (1986): 405-29.
- Macherel, Claude. "La traversée du champ matrimonial: un exemple alpin." *Etudes rurales*, no. 73 (1979): 9-40.
- Mager, Wolfgang. "Haushalt und Familie in protoindustrieller Gesellschaft: Spenge (Ravensberg)
  während der ersten Hälfte des 19. Jahrhunderts: Eine Fallstudie." In *Familie zwischen Tradition und Moderne: Studien zur Geschichte der Familie in Deutschland und Frankreich vom 16. bis zum 20. Jahrhundert*, edited by Neithart Bulst, Joseph Goy and Jochen Hoock, 141-81.
  Göttingen, 1981.
- Maisch, Andreas. Notdürftiger Unterhalt und gehörige Schranken: Lebensbedingungen und Lebensstile in württembergischen Dörfern der Frühen Neuzeit. Stuttgart: G. Fischer, 1992.
- Marfany, Julie. "Choices and Constraints: Marriage and Inheritance in Eighteenth- and Early-Nineteenth-Century Catalonia." *Continuity and change* 21, no. 1 (2006): 73-106.
- Marschalk, Peter, and Jacques Dupâquier. "La grande mutation de la population allemande." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 398-426.
  Paris: Fayard, 1998.

- Martin, J. M. "Marriage and Economic Stress in the Felden of Warwickshire During the Eighteenth Century." *Population Studies* 31, no. 3 (1977): 519-35.
- Mathieu, Jon. "From Ecotypes to Sociotypes: Peasant Household and State-Building in the Alps, Sixteenth-Nineteenth Centuries." *The History of the Family* 5, no. 1 (2000): 55-74.
- Matthys, Christa. "Domestic Servants and Diffusion of Fertility Control in Flanders, 1830–1930." *The History of the Family* (2013): 1-25.
- McArdle, Frank. *Altopascio: A Study in Tuscan Rural Society, 1587-1784*, Cambridge Studies in Early Modern History. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1978.
- McCaa, Robert. "Marriageways in Mexico and Spain, 1500–1900." Continuity and Change 9, no. 01 (1994): 11-43.
- McCants, Anne. "The Not-So-Merry Widows of Amsterdam, 1740-1782." *Journal of Family History* 24, no. 4 (1999): 441-67.
- McQuillan, Kevin. "Economic Structure, Religion, and Age at Marriage: Some Evidence from Alsace." Journal of Family History 14, no. 4 (1989): 331-46.
- Medick, Hans. Weben und Überleben in Laichingen 1650-1900. Untersuchungen zur Sozial-, Kultur- und Wirtschaftsgeschichte aus der Perspektive einer lokalen Gesellschaft im frühneuzeitlichen Württemberg. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1996.
- Mendels, Franklin. "Niveau des salaires et âge au mariage en Flandre, XVIIe-XVIIIe siècles." *Annales: économies, sociétés, civilisations* 39, no. 5 (1984): 939-56.
- Micheletto, Beatrice Zucca. "Reconsidering the Southern Europe Model: Dowry, Women's Work and Marriage Patterns in Pre-Industrial Urban Italy (Turin, Second Half of the 18th Century)." *The History of the Family* 16, no. 4 (2011): 354-70.
- Mironov, Boris N. "Family Structure in Russia During 17th through Early 20th Centuries: State of Research." In Paper presented at the conference on "Family Forms in Russian and Ukrainian History in Comparative Perspective", Vienna, 16-18 November 2000., 2000.

Mokyr, Joel, and Cormac Ó Gráda. "New Developments in Irish Population History, 1700-1850."

Economic History Review 37, no. 4 (1984): 473-88.

- Morgan, V., and W. Macafee. "Household and Family Size and Structure in County Antrim in the Mid-19th Century." *Continuity and change* 2, no. 3 (1987): 455-576.
- . "Irish Population in the Pre-Famine Period: Evidence from County Antrim." *Economic History Review* 37, no. 2 (1984): 182-96.
- Moring, Beattrice. "Economic and Ecologic Determinants of Household Organisation in the Northeastern Baltic Region." *Scandinavian Economic history review* 47, no. 3 (1999): 48-68.
- Moring, Beatrice. "Family Strategies, Inheritance Systems and the Care of the Elderly in Historical Perspective Eastern and Western Finland." *Historical social research* 23, no. 1/2 (1998): 67-82.
- . "Geographic and Social Differences in Age at Marriage and Fertility in Finland During the 18th and 19th Centuries." In *Demography, Economy and Welfare*, edited by Christer Lundh, 249-59.
   Lund: Lund University Press, 1995.
- . "Marriage and Social Change in South-Western Finland, 1700-1870." *Continuity and change* 11, no. 01 (1996): 91-113.
- ———. "Nordic Family Patterns and the North-West European Household System." *Continuity and change* 18, no. 1 (2003): 77-109.
- Müller, Rita. "Heiratsalter und Ehehindernisse in Stuttgart-Feuerbach im 19. und frühen 20. Jahrhundert." *Historical social research* 28, no. 3 (2003): 92-109.
- Murayama, Satoshi. "Regional Standardization in the Age at Marriage." *The History of the Family* 6, no. 2 (2001): 303-24.
- Nerdrum, M. "Household Structure in Finström Parish, Åland 1760-62 and 1840-42." In *Chance and Change: Social and Economic Studies in Historical Demography in the Baltic Area*, edited by Sune Åkerman, Hans Christian Johansen and David Gaunt, 136-42. Odense: Odense University Press, 1978.
- Netting, Robert M. Balancing on an Alp: Ecological Change and Continuity in a Swiss Mountain Community. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1981.

- Neven, Muriel. "The Influence of the Wider Kin Group on Individual Life-Course Transitions: Results from the Pays De Herve (Belgium), 1846–1900." *Continuity and Change* 17, no. 03 (2002): 405-35.
- . "Terra Incognita: Migration of the Elderly and the Nuclear Hardship Hypothesis." *The History of the Family* 8, no. 2 (2003): 267-95.
- Nilsson, Fay Lundh, and Mats Olsson. "Female Farming: Persistence and Economic Performance of Swedish Widows from 1730–1860." *The History of the Family* (2012): 1-17.
- Nordin, Gabriella, and Peter Sköld. "True or False? Nineteenth-Century Sápmi Fertility in Qualitative Vs. Demographic Sources." *The History of the Family* 17, no. 2 (2012): 157-77.
- Ó Gráda, Cormac. "Dublin's Demography in the Early Nineteenth Century: Evidence from the Rotunda." *Population Studies* 45, no. 1 (1991): 43-54.
- Ogilvie, Sheilagh. *State Corporatism and Proto-Industry: The Württemberg Black Forest, 1580-1797.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- Ogilvie, Sheilagh, and Markus Cerman. "The Bohemian Census of 1651 and the Position of Inmates." *Histoire Sociale/Social History* 28, no. 56 (1995): 333-46.
- O'Neill, Kevin. Family and Farm in Pre-Famine Ireland: The Parish of Killashandra. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 1984.
- Öri, Péter. "Patterns of Demographic Behaviour in Late 18th Century Hungary." *Demográfia English Edition* (2005): 43-76.
- Öri, Péter, and Levente Pakot. "Patterns of Marriage and Household Structure in 19th Century Hungary." In Paper presented at the 9th European Social Science History Conference Glasgow, 11 Apr. 2012, 2012.
- Oris, Michel. "The Age at Marriage of Migrants During the Industrial Revolution in the Region of
Liège." The History of the Family 5, no. 4 (2000): 391-413.

- ———. "The History of Migration as a Chapter in the History of the European Rural Family: An Overview." *The History of the Family* 8, no. 2 (2003): 187-215.
- Ory, Brett E., and Frans W. A. Van Poppel. "Trends and Risk Factors of Maternal Mortality in Late-Nineteenth-Century Netherlands." *The History of the Family* 18, no. 4 (2013): 481-509.
- Pakot, Levente. "Family Composition and Remarriage in Rural Transylvania, 1838–1910." *Demográfia English Edition* 52, no. 5 (2009): 48-75.
- Pakot, Levente, and Péter Őri. "Marriage Systems and Remarriage in 19th Century Hungary: A Comparative Study." *The History of the Family* 17, no. 2 (2012): 105-24.
- Palli, Heldur. "Estonian Households in the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries." In *Family Forms in Historic Europe*, edited by Richard Wall, 207-16. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- Palli, H. "Parish Registers, Revisions of Land and Souls, Family Reconstitution and Household in Seventeenth and Eighteenth Century Estonia." In *Chance and Change: Social and Economic Studies in Historical Demography in the Baltic Area*, edited by Sune Åkerman, Hans Christian Johansen and David Gaunt, 143-46. Odense: Odense University Press, 1978.
- Paping, Richard, and E. Karel. "The Rural Succession Myth. Occupational Careers and Household Formation of Peasants' and Farmers' Offspring around 1800." *Tijdschrift voor Sociale en Economische Geschiedenis* 8, no. 4 (2011): 44-75.
- Pareja Alonso, Arantza. "The Demography of the Industrialized Province of Biscay in Northern Spain." *The History of the Family* 5, no. 4 (2000): 431-48.
- Pérez García, José Manuel. "Rural Family Life in La Huerta De Valencia During the Eighteenth Century." *Continuity and Change* 7, no. 01 (1992): 71-101.
- Pérez Moreda, Vincente. "La péninsule ibérique: I. La population espagnole à l'époque moderne (XVIe-XVIIIe siècle)." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 463-79. Paris: Fayard, 1997.

Pfister, Ulrich. "Exit, Voice, and Loyalty: Parent-Child Relations in the Proto-Industrial Household

Economy (Zürich, 17th–18th Centuries)." The History of the Family 9, no. 4 (2004): 401-23.

- ———. "Work Roles and Family Structure in Proto-Industrial Zurich." *Journal of interdisciplinary history* 20, no. 1 (1989): 83-105.
- Pinto, G. "L'Italie: I. Le Moyen Age." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 486-96. Paris: Fayard, 1997.
- Plakans, Andrejs. "Age and Family Structures among the Jews of Mitau, Kurland, 1833–1834." *The History of the Family* 8, no. 4 (2003): 545-61.
- Plakans, A. "The Familial Contexts of Early Childhood in Baltic Serf Society." In *Family Forms in Historic Europe*, edited by Richard Wall, Jean Robin and Peter Laslett, 167-207. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- Plakans, Andrejs. "Minority Nationalities in the Russian Baltic Provinces: The 1881 Baltic Census." The History of the Family 10, no. 1 (2005): 7-20.
- ———. "Peasant Farmsteads and Households in the Baltic Littoral, 1797." *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 17, no. 1 (1975): 2-35.
- ———. "Ties of Kinship and Kinship Roles in an Historical Eastern European Peasant Community: A Synchronic Analysis." *Journal of Family History* 7, no. 1 (1982): 52-75.
- Plakans, Andrejs, and Charles Wetherell. "Family and Economy in an Early-Nineteenth-Century Baltic Serf Estate." *Continuity and Change* 7, no. 02 (1992): 199-223.
- Polla, Matti. "Characteristics of the Family System in a Nineteenth-Century Northern-Russian Peasant Community." *Continuity and Change* 19, no. 02 (2004): 215-39.
- ———. "Peasant and Hunter Households in Oulanka, Northern Russia, 1710–1910." *The History of the Family* 8, no. 1 (2003): 163-81.
- . "Peasant Families in Northern Russia: Nineteenth-Century Regional Patterns " *Historical social research* 32, no. 3 (2007): 270-98.

- Poulain, Michel, and Thierry Eggerickx. "Les Pays-Bas: I. La population de la Belgique au XIXe siècle."
  In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 349-60. Paris: Fayard, 1998.
- Praz, Anne-Françoise. "Religion, Masculinity and Fertility Decline." *The History of the Family* 14, no. 1 (2009): 88-106.
- Reher, David S. "Le monde ibérique: i. l'Espagne." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 533-53. Paris: Fayard, 1998.

. "Marriage Patterns in Spain, 1887-1930." Journal of Family History 16, no. 1 (1991): 7-30.

- Reher, David Sven. "Old Issues and New Perspectives: Household and Family within an Urban Context in Nineteenth-Century Spain." *Continuity and Change* 2, no. 01 (1987): 103-43.
- ———. Town and Country in Pre-Industrial Spain: Cuenca, 1550-1870. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- Reinhard, Marcel. "La population française au XVIIe siècle." *Population (French Edition)* 13, no. 4 (1958): 619-30.
- Rettaroli, Rosella. "Age at Marriage in Nineteenth-Century Italy." *Journal of Family History* 15, no. 1 (1990): 409-25.
- Rettinger, Elmar. "Die Bevölkerung im Spiegel der Kirchenbücher." In *Nieder-Olm. Der Raum der Verbandsgemeinde in der Geschichte*, edited by Karl-Heinz Spieß, 307-28. Alzey, 1983.
- Rowland, Robert. "Le monde ibérique: II. Le Portugal de 1800 à 1914: prélude à la transition." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 553-60. Paris: Fayard, 1998.
- Ruggles, Steven. "Reconsidering the Northwest European Family System: Living Arrangements of the Aged in Comparative Historical Perspective." *Population and development review* 35, no. 2 (2009): 249-73.
- Rydén, Göran. "Iron Production and the Household as a Production Unit in Nineteenth-Century Sweden." *Continuity and change* 10, no. 1 (1995): 69-104.

- Sánchez Barricarte, Jesús J. "Changes in Marriage Patterns in the Spanish Province of Navarre from the Eighteenth to the Twentieth Century." *Continuity and Change* 16, no. 01 (2001): 71-93.
- Sánchez-Barricarte, Jesús J. "Developments in Household Patterns in Three Towns in Navarre, Spain, 1786–1986." *The History of the Family* 7, no. 3 (2002): 479-99.
- Santis, Gustavo de, and Massimo Livi Bacci. "Population Reproduction. A Method of Breakdown and Estimation." *Population: An English Selection* 10, no. 2 (1998): 245-66.
- Sarti, Raffaella. "Criados, Servi, Domestiques, Gesinde, Servants: For a Comparative History of
  Domestic Service in Europe (16th-19th Centuries)." *Obreadoiro de Historia Moderna* 16 (2007):
  9-39.
- Schellekens, Jona. "Determinants of Age at First Marriage among Jews in Amsterdam, 1625-1724." Journal of Family History 24, no. 2 (1999): 148-64.
- ———. "Determinants of Marriage Patterns among Farmers and Agricultural Laborers in Two Eighteenth-Century Dutch Villages." *Journal of Family History* 16, no. 2 (1991): 139-55.
- Schlumbohm, Jürgen. Lebensläufe, Familien, Höfe. Die Bauern und Heuerleute des osnabrückischen Kirchspiel Belm in proto-industrieller Zeit, 1650-1860. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1994.
- Schmidtbauer, P. "The Changing Household: Austrian Household Structure from the Seventeenth to the Early Twentieth Century." In *Family Forms in Historic Europe*, edited by Richard Wall, Jean Robin and Peter Laslett, 347-78. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- Schofield, Roger S. "English Marriage Patterns Revisited." *Journal of Family History* 10, no. 1 (1985): 2-20.
- ———. "Family Structure, Demographic Behaviour, and Economic Growth." In *Famine, Disease and the Social Order in Early Modern Society*, edited by J. Walter and Roger Schofield, 279-304.
   Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989.

Schomerus, Heilwig. "Sozialer Wandel und generatives Verhalten. Diskussionsbeitrag zur branchespezifischen Untersuchung generativen Verhaltens." In *Sozialgeschichte der Familie in der Neuzeit Europas*, edited by W. Conze, 173-82. Stuttgart, 1976.

- Schrover, Marlou. "The Demographic Consequences of Changing Employment Opportunities: Women in the Dutch Meierij in the Nineteenth Century." *The History of the Family* 2, no. 4 (1997): 451-80.
- Segalen, Martine. Fifteen Generations of Bretons: Kinship and Society in Lower Brittany, 1720-1980. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- ———. "Mean Age at Marriage and Kinship Networks in a Town under the Influence of the Metropolis: Nanterre 1800–1850." *Journal of Family History* 16, no. 1 (1991): 65-78.
- Sklar, June L. "The Role of Marriage Behaviour in the Demographic Transition: The Case of Eastern Europe around 1900." *Population Studies* 28, no. 2 (1974): 231-47.
- Slicher van Bath, Bernard Hendrik. *Een samenleving onder spanning. Geschiedenis van het platteland in Overijssel*: Assen, 1957.
- Smith, Richard M. "Monogamy, Landed Property and Demographic Regimes in Pre-Industrial Europe: Regional Contrasts and Temporal Stabilities." In *Fertility and Resources*, edited by John Landers and Vernon Reynolds, 164-88: Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- Soliday, Gerald L. "The Jews of Early Modern Marburg, 1640s-1800." *The History of the Family* 8, no. 4 (2003): 495-516.
- Sonnino, Eugenio. "L'italie: II. Le tournant du XVIIe siècle." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 496-508. Paris: Fayard, 1997.
- Sovič, Silvia. "Families and Households of the Poor: The 19th-Century Slovenian Gostači." *The History of the Family* 10, no. 2 (2005): 161-82.

<sup>------. &</sup>quot;Peasant Communities, Local Economies and Household Composition in Nineteenth-Century

Slovenia." Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Essex, 2001.

- Szołtysek, Mikołaj. "Central European Household and Family Systems, and the 'Hajnal–Mitterauer' Line: The Parish of Bujakow (18th–19th Centuries)." *The History of the Family* 12, no. 1 (2007): 19-42.
- . "Rethinking Eastern Europe: Household-Formation Patterns in the Polish-Lithuanian
   Commonwealth and European Family Systems." *Continuity and Change* 23, no. 03 (2008): 389-427.
- Szołtysek, Mikołaj, Siegfried Gruber, Barbara Zuber-Goldstein, and Rembrandt Scholz. "Living Arrangements and Household Formation in an Industrializing Urban Setting: Rostock 1867-1900." *Annales de Démographie Historique* 2011, no. 2 (2011): 233-69.
- Szołtysek, Mikołaj, Barbara Zuber, and Joshua R. Goldstein. "Historical Family Systems and the Great European Divide: The Invention of the Slavic East." *MPIDR working paper* 2009-041 (2009).
- Teibenbacher, Peter. "Natural Population Movement and Marriage Restrictions and Hindrances in Styria in the 17th to 19th Centuries." *The History of the Family* 14, no. 3 (2009): 292-308.
- Terrisse, Michel. "Note à propos des aspects démographiques de la vie provençale au XVIIe siècle." *Provence historique* 39 (1989): 75-102.

Therborn, Göran Between Sex and Power: Family in the World 1900-2000. London: Routledge, 2004.

- Thestrup, P. "Household Structure on the Island of Tåsinge 1678." In *Chance and Change: Social and Economic Studies in Historical Demography in the Baltic Area*, edited by Sune Åkerman, Hans Christian Johansen and David Gaunt, 13-22. Odense: Odense University Press, 1978.
- Todorova, Maria N. "Les Balkans." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 465-86. Paris: Fayard, 1998.
- *Balkan Family Structure and the European Pattern: Demographic Developments in Ottoman Bulgaria.* 2nd, revised edn ed. Budapest: Central European University Press, 2006.

<sup>. &</sup>quot;Un faubourg du Havre: Ingouville." *Population (French Edition)* 16, no. 2 (1961): 283-300.

<sup>------. &</sup>quot;Situating the Family of Ottoman Bulgaria within the European Pattern." The history of the

family 1, no. 4 (1996): 443-59.

- Torrents, Àngels. "Marriage Strategies in Catalonia from the Seventeenth to the Nineteenth Century: A Case Study." *Continuity and Change* 13, no. 03 (1998): 475-96.
- Tranter, N. L. "Population and Social Structure in a Bedfordshire Parish: The Cardington Listing of Inhabitants, 1782." *Population Studies* 21, no. 3 (1967): 261-82.
- Tyson, Robert. "Household Size and Structure in a Scottish Burgh: Old Aberdeen in 1636." *Local population studies* 40 (1988): 46-54.
- Van Bavel, J., and J. Kok. "The Role of Religion in the Dutch Fertility Transition: Starting, Spacing and Stopping in the Heart of the Netherlands, 1845–1945." *Continuity and change* 20, no. 2 (2005): 247-63.
- Van Bavel, J., and Jan Kok. "Social Control and the Intergenerational Transmission of Age at Marriage in Rural Holland, 1850-1940." *Population (English Edition)* 64, no. 2 (2009): 343-60.
- Van de Walle, Etienne. "La nuptialité des françaises avant 1851, d'après l'état civil des décédées." *Population (French Edition)* 32 (1977): 447-65.
- Van der Woude, Ad. "Les Pays-Bas: II. Les Provinces-Unies." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 425-42. Paris: Fayard, 1997.
- Van der Woude, Ad M. "Variations in the Size and Structure of the Household in the United Provinces of the Netherlands in the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries." In *Household and Family in Past Time*, edited by Peter Laslett and Richard Wall, 299-318. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1972.
- Van Poppel, Frans, and Jans Nelissen. "The Proper Age to Marry: Social Norms and Behavior in Nineteenth-Century Netherlands." *The History of the Family* 4, no. 1 (1999): 51-75.
- Van Poppel, Frans W. A. "Les Pays-Bas: II. Les Pays-Bas." In *Histoire des populations de l'Europe*, edited by Jean-Pierre Bardet and Jacques Dupâquier, 361-72. Paris: Fayard, 1998.
- Van Poppel, F. W. A. *Trouwen in Nederland: Een historisch demografische studie van de 19e en vroeg* - 20e eeuw. Wageningen, 1992.

- Vandenbroeke, Christiaan. "Caractéristiques de la nuptialité et de la fécondité en Flandre et en Brabant aux 17e-19e siècles." *Annales de démographie historique* 1977 (1977): 7-20.
- Vanhaute, Eric. "Between Patterns and Processes: Measuring Labor Markets and Family Strategies in Flanders, 1750–1990." *The History of the Family* 2, no. 4 (1997): 527-45.
- Vann, Richard T., and David Eversley. Friends in Life and Death: The British and Irish Quakers in the Demographic Transition, 1650-1900. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- Velková, Alice. Krutá Vrchnost, ubozí poddaní? Proměny venkovské rodiny a společnosti v 18. a první polovině 19. století na příkladu západočeského panství Št'áhlavy, Praha: Historický Ústav, 2009.

Verduin, J. A. Bevolking en bestaan in het oude Drenthe. Assen: Van Gorcum, 1972.

- Viazzo, Paolo. Upland Communities: Environment, Population and Social Structure in the Alps since the Sixteenth Century. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- Viazzo, Pier Paolo. "What's So Special About the Mediterranean? Thirty Years of Research on Household and Family in Italy." *Continuity and change* 18, no. 1 (2003): 111-37.
- Viazzo, Pier Paolo, Marco Aime, and Stefano Allovio. "Crossing the Boundary: Peasants, Shepherds, and Servants in a Western Alpine Community." *The History of the Family* 10, no. 4 (2005): 387-405.
- Viazzo, Pier Paolo, and Dionigi Albera. "The Peasant Family in Northern Italy, 1750-1930: A Reassessment." *Journal of Family History* 15, no. 1 (1990): 461-82.
- Viegas de Andrade, Cristiana. "Marriage Patterns in 19th-Century Vila Do Conde: The Study of an Urban Centre in Northwest Portugal." *The History of the Family* 15, no. 1 (2010): 34-54.
- Wall, Richard. "Characteristics of European Family and Household Systems." *Historical Social Research* / *Historische Sozialforschung* 23, no. 1/2 (84) (1998): 44-66.
- ———. "The Composition of Households in a Population of 6 Men to 10 Women: South-East Bruges in 1814." In *Family Forms in Historic Europe*, edited by Richard Wall, Jean Robin and Peter Laslett, 421-74. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- . "The Family Circumstances of Women Migrating Permanently or Temporarily to Sundsvall in the Nineteenth Century." *Scandinavian Economic history review* 49, no. 3 (2001): 46-61.

. "Zum Wandel der Familienstrukturen im Europa der Neuzeit. Die "Europäische Familie":
 Realität, Mythos, Ideologie?" In *Historische Familienforschung. Ergebnisse Und Kontroversen. Michael Mitterauer Zum 60. Geburtstag*, edited by Josef Ehmer, Tamara K. Hareven and Richard
 Wall, 255-82. Frankfurt a.M. / New York, 1997.

- Waris, Elina. "The Extended Family in the Finnish Karelia. The Family System in Ruokolahti 1750– 1850." *Scandinavian Journal of History* 20, no. 2 (1995): 109-28.
- Weir, David R. "Family Income, Mortality, and Fertility on the Eve of the Demographic Transition: A Case Study of Rosny-Sous-Bois." *Journal of Economic History* 55, no. 1 (1995): 1-26.
- . "Life under Pressure: France and England, 1670-1870." *Journal of economic history* 44, no. 1 (1984): 27-47.
- Willert, Hanne. "Three-Generation Families in 19th Century Rural Denmark." In *Demography, Economy and Welfare*, edited by Christer Lundh, 260-78. Lund: Lund University Press, 1995.
- Willführ, Kai P., and Charlotte Störmer. "Reproductive Behavior of Landless Agricultural Workers, Small Farmers, and the Economic Elite in the Historical Krummhörn Region [East Frisia, Germany, 1720-1870]." MPIDR working paper WP 2013-011 (2013).
- Winberg, Chrster. "Population Growth and Proletarianization: The Transformation of Social Structures in Rural Sweden During the Agrarian Revolution." In *Chance and Change: Social and Economic Studies in Historical Demography in the Baltic Area*, edited by Sune Åkerman, Hans Christian Johansen and David Gaunt, 170-84. Odense: Odense University Press, 1978.
- Wrightson, Keith, and David Levine. *Poverty and Piety in an English Village: Terling*, 1525-1700. London1979.
- Wrigley, E. A., R. Davies, J. Oeppen, and R. S. Schofield. *English Population History from Family Reconstitution*, 1580-1838. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- Wrigley, E. A., and R. S Schofield. *The Population History of England*, 1541-1871: A Reconstruction. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1981.

Zeitlhofer, Hermann. "Die 'Eiserne Ketten' der Heirat. Eine Diskussion des Modells der 'ökonomischen

Nischen' am Beispiel der südböhmischen Pfarre Kapličky, 1640-1840." In *Eheschließungen Im Europa des 18. und 19. Jahrhunderts. Muster und Strategien*, edited by Christophe Duhamelle and Jürgen Schlumbohm, 35-64. Göttingen, 2003.