IAC-16-C.2.2.3

Verification Testing of the Gossamer-1 Deployment Demonstrator

Patric Seefeldt[a]*, Tom Spröwitz[b], Jan-Thimo Grundmann[c]

[a] *Department of Mechanics and Thermal Systems, DLR Institute of Space Systems, Robert-Hooke-Str. 7, 28359 Bremen, Germany, patric.seefeldt@dlr.de*
[b] *Department of Mechanics and Thermal Systems, DLR Institute of Space Systems, Robert-Hooke-Str. 7, 28359 Bremen, Germany, tom.sproewitz@dlr.de*
[c] *Department of Systems Engineering and Project Office, DLR Institute of Space Systems, Robert-Hooke-Str. 7, 28359 Bremen, Germany, jan.grundmann@dlr.de*
* Corresponding Author

Abstract

Gossamer structures for innovative space applications, such as solar sails, require a technology that allows their controlled and thereby safe deployment. Before employing such technology for a dedicated science mission, it is necessary, to demonstrate its reliability with a Technology Readiness Level of six or higher.

The aim of the presented work is to provide a reliable technology that enables the controlled deployment and verification of its functionality with various laboratory tests to qualify the hardware for a first demonstration in low Earth orbit. The development was made in the Gossamer-1 project of the German Aerospace Center.

This presentation provides an overview of the Gossamer-1 hardware development. The design is based on a crossed boom configuration with triangular sail segments. Employing engineering models, all aspects of the deployment were tested under ambient environment. Several components were also subjected to environmental qualification testing.

An innovative stowing and deployment strategy for a controlled deployment and the required mechanisms are described. The tests conducted provide insight into the deployment process and allow a mechanical characterization of this process, in particular the measurement of the deployment forces.

Deployment on system level could partially be demonstrated to be robust and controllable. The deployment technology is on Technology Readiness Level four approaching level five, with a qualification model for environmental testing currently being built.

Keywords: deployment systems, deployable structures, deployable membranes, verification testing, mechanisms, solar sailing

Acronyms/Abbreviations

BSDU	Boom Sail Deployment Unit
BSFR	Boom Sail Fixation Ring
BSI	Boom Sail Interface
CFRP	Carbon Fiber Reinforced Plastic
CSCU	Central Spacecraft Unit
DLR	German Aerospace Center
ERM	Ejection and Release Mechanism
EQM	Engineering Qualification Model
ESA	European Space Agency
FDIR	Fault Detection, Isolation and Recovery
JAXA	Japan Aerospace and Exploration Agency
JPL	Jet Propulsion Laboratory
LEO	Low Earth Orbit
NASA	Nat. Aeronautics & Space Administration
PCB	Printed Circuit Board
TRL	Technology Readiness Level

1. Introduction

In the last years, the DLR (German Aerospace Center) has pursued the development of a scalable deployment technology for gossamer spacecraft structures, suitable for autonomous and controlled deployment. A summary of those developments is given in this paper. While a focus was on solar sails and thin-film photovoltaics, the aim of the development is to provide a scalable technology for deployable membrane structures for various space applications. The development was made within DLR's Gossamer-1 project. It was initiated with the goal of developing the required deployment technology and its demonstration in LEO (Low Earth Orbit) by means of a scaled demonstrator. An artist's rendering of this demonstrator is provided in Figure 1.

The development of solar sail technology in Europe and specifically at the DLR goes back to the 1990s when the first solar sail breadboards were tested using a 20 m x 20 m sail in a joint DLR, NASA/JPL and ESA project, followed by several development projects like

ODISSEE [1] and GEOSAIL [2]. The ground demonstration is presented by Leipold in [3] and the study activities are summarized in [4]

Gossamer-1 employs the knowledge gained from these projects and reuses the previously developed CFRP (Carbonfiber Reinforced Plastic) booms, as well as state of the art aluminum coated polyimide foil. With respect to the deployment and the evolved mechanisms, it was recognized that previous strategies had disadvantages with respect to controlling and automatizing the deployment. In addition, previous projects aimed for the realization of a complete solar sail mission with a scientific payload. This increased mission complexity and cost. Ultimately, those earlier projects were not able to realize such a mission. In consequence, a step-wise development purely focusing on the deployment technology was then pursued starting with Gossamer-1. It is a low cost technology demonstrator as part of an intended three-step scalable technology development covering membranes, booms, photovoltaics and their corresponding mechanisms. Scalable means that Gossamer-1 is a 5 m x 5 m technology demonstrator using technology that is suited to build Gossamer-2 with 25 m x 25 m and Gossamer-3 with 50 m x 50 m.


Fig. 1. Gossamer-1 demonstrator, artist's rendering

Gossamer-1 is based on a crossed boom configuration with four sail segments. At the geometric center of the spacecraft, the booms' crossing point, the CSCU (Central Spacecraft Unit) carries the satellite's main bus system, including all electronics covering command and data handling, power system, as well as ground communications system. Four Boom and Sail Deployment Units (referred to as BSDUs or deployment units) are mounted on the booms, one on each boom. In the stowed configuration, they are mechanically locked

and electrically (power and data) connected to the central unit. For deployment, the deployment units are unlocked and disconnected from the central unit and move outward, thereby simultaneously deploying the booms and the sail segments. During deployment, communication with the central unit is achieved via a wireless on-board communications system, and each having its own power system and on-board computer, as there are no wired connections foreseen in the booms. By this, a controlled and automatized deployment is realized that contrasts to the achievements of other projects like JAXA's IKAROS (see [5]) and NASA's NanoSail-D (see [6]). The deployment process is monitored by analyzing various characteristics and can be stopped and resumed at any time, if required.

The satellite has an estimated mass of about 30 kg and the compact launch configuration shown in Figure 2(a) has a maximum width of approximately 790 mm and a height of 500 mm. In addition, Figure 3 provides an overview of the system components. It was planned to launch the Gossamer-1 satellite as secondary payload in the framework of the EC FP7 Project QB50 [7]. However, due to prioritization of other competing projects, it was not possible to build the complete satellite and as a consequence the launch opportunity with QB50 could not be used. What was achieved was to design a technology demonstration mission and invent a new deployment strategy that meets the above stated goals. Engineering models of all hardware were built and subject to various tests.

(a) Launch Configuration (b) During deployment

(c) Deployed (d) Deployed with jettisoned mechanisms

Fig. 2. Gossamer-1 deployment sequence

The deployment technology is described in the following section. The tests conducted with the engineering models of the deployment technology are presented in Section 3. The tests show the functionality of the Gossamer-1 deployment technology, including mechanisms and electronics.

2. Boom and Sail Technology

In the following paragraphs, the subcomponents and mechanisms enabling the deployment will be described in further detail.

2.1 Booms

Gossamer-1 makes use of light-weight coilable CFRP booms, also referred to as collapsible tube masts, as shown in Figure 4(a). Two booms are configured in a cross-like arrangement with a vertical displacement. The booms are mounted to the CSCU via a Boom-Spacecraft Interface (BSI) as shown in Figure 4(b).


Fig. 3. Gossamer-1 exploded view

DLR previously developed and investigated the technology in precursor projects, e.g. ODISSEE [1] and Geosail [2]. The cross section of the boom was chosen according to the smallest possible dimensions that allow coiling of the boom without reaching critical stress levels in the material and adhesive layers. The length of one full-diagonal boom is 8.6 m. It is determined by the chosen sail size of 5 m x 5 m and the necessary remaining length for the jettisoning of the BSDUs.

During the deployment, the boom cross section is not constant along the boom. The diameter increases in y-direction along the deployed length, starting with the flat configuration at the point where the boom is coiled inside the BSDU (see Figure 4(a)). It takes more than one meter until the boom's cross section in y-direction is

comparable to the deployed configuration and a small distortion of the cross section can be observed along the whole boom length. Due to the reduced geometrical moments of inertia, respectively bending stiffness, the boom section closest to the boom hub at which the boom is uncoiled is most sensitive to mechanical loads and therefore requires a linear guiding.

The booms are made of two CFRP half shells with an omega cross section. The laminate has a thickness of 0.14 mm. Zero degree fiber orientation is defined by the longitudinal axis of the booms. The half shells are bonded together along the flanges. Each BSI employs elastic glass fiber fleece hinges for a flexible mounting of the booms.

(a) Partially stowed boom with larger cross section compared to Gossamer-1

(b) Boom S/C Interface for two crossed booms with vertical displacement

Fig. 4. Coilable thin shell CFRP booms and interfaces

2.2 Sails

A preliminary material selection was presented by Seefeldt [8]. For the sail membranes, the 7.5 µm thick polyimide foil Upilex-S® covered on both sides with 100 nm vacuum deposited aluminum was chosen. It is delivered on a roll of a width of 1.016 mm. Additionally, samples were coated with silicon oxide on top of the aluminum to increase the infrared emittance which is still under investigation. The sails are manufactured by using 3M® transfer adhesive tape 966. First, five sheets are prepared, one with the photovoltaics. By bonding the edges with the transfer adhesive after folding them over, a reinforcement against cracks is achieved. The adhesive tape is also used to mount interfaces and attach the photovoltaics with its harness. In a final step all segments are bonded together in order to achieve the required sail size.

Within the Geosail precursor project [2], the transfer adhesive was tested for low temperatures down to -142 °C. In addition to that work, a short term duration test in a furnace was conducted, reaching temperatures up to 230 °C. In these tests, the adhesive bonding was loaded with 0.9 N/cm² (shear) and withstood the high temperature. The loading was roughly twice as high as the limit specified in the data sheet. In contrast to the design presented by Seefeldt [8], a rigging is no longer used in the present design. Instead, the interface points are reinforced with a thicker copper coated foil, similar to what is used for flexible PCBs (Printed Circuit

Boards). The connection between those reinforced interfaces and the interface to the boom and the CSCU are made of a 0.45 mm stainless steel ropes.

The stowing strategy is shown in Figure 5. The triangular segments are folded in a zig-zag pattern and coiled onto two spools. The spools are mounted on two neighboring BSDUs (see Figure 2(a)). Folding and coiling the sail this way is a key for controlled deployment. During sail deployment, only the minimal required amount of sail is uncoiled from the sail spools the deployed sail is always under tension. The stowing strategy was subject to intensive testing, presented by Seefeldt [9]. The tests are summarized in Section 3.

Fig. 5. Gossamer-1 sail stowing strategy for one of the four segments

The photovoltaic part of the prototype sail is shown in Figure 6. The thin-film photovoltaics are located at the inner corner, close to the CSCU. These consist of small experimental modules that are bonded to the sails employing the abovementioned transfer adhesive. The modules are electrically contacted to a flexible PCB harness in the middle of the triangle. Note that the modules are currently experimental prototypes that are the subject of ongoing studies.

Fig. 6. Thin-film photovoltaic integrated on the sail foil

2.3 Boom and Sail Deployment Mechanisms

As already mentioned, the deployment is driven by BSDUs that are moving away from the CSCU. Booms and sail segments are thereby deployed at the same time. Figure 7 shows one BSDU (without the sails) during the whole deployment process. The engineering model of the boom deployment mechanism was also presented by Straubel [10].

In the stowed configuration (see Figure 7(a)) launch locks secure the BSDU onto the CSCU which are released prior to deployment. The deployment is driven by a belt which is coiled on the boom hub together with the boom. At the very end of the boom, a small piece of Velcro connects the belt to the boom. The boom and consequently the sails are deployed by pulling-off the belt from the boom hub and thereby uncoiling the boom. As the boom deploys it pushes the BSDU away from the CSCU, which in turn uncoils the sail segments from the sail spools. The transferred shear load is well supported by the Velcro and the compression loads between the coiled layers also prevent premature separation of both Velcro parts by pressing both components together.

(a) Launch Configuration

(b) BSDU is released and deployment started; the belt (green) is coiled by BWM

(c) Sails fully deployed; BSFR is locked by tape spring on boom

(d) BSFR separated and remaining boom is deployed

(e) Belt connected by Velcro is separated from boom

(f) BSDU is jettisoned and drifts away

Fig. 7. BSDU deployment sequence. For clear representation, one BSDU without sail segments is shown

The uncoiling of the belt is driven by the belt winding mechanism (BWM) using an electric motor. To prevent uncontrolled deployment of the booms and sails, e.g. by stored elastic energy, the boom hub and the sail spools have brake mechanisms as described in the following dedicated paragraphs.

To achieve the jettisoning function of the BSDU, the Boom Sail Fixation Ring (BSFR) provides the interface between the outer sail corners and the boom. During sail deployment, the BSFR is attached to the BSDU with the boom running through the ring-like shape of the BSFR. Once the sail is fully deployed (Figure 7(c)), the BSFR is locked to the boom and mechanically separated from

deploying the last centimeters of the booms leaving the BSFR with the mounted sail at the fixation point.

2.3.4 Sail Spools

Each of the four sail quadrants is folded and coiled onto two sail spools, which are mounted on two adjacent BSDUs. When uncoiling the sail segment, the spool with the sail interface rotates around the truss-like structure connected to the BSFR (Figure 9(a)). After the sail segment is uncoiled, the truss-like structure directly mounts the sail through the sail interface to the BSFR (Figure 9(b)). After the separation from the BSFR the BSDU with the sail spools drives further along the booms, thereby separating the sail spool from the truss like structure that is mounting the sail to the BSFR (Figure 9(c)). The patent of Seefeldt and Spietz [13] can be reviewed for details of the mechanism function.

(a) Sail Segment uncoiling

(b) Segment uncoiled with interface to truss structure


(c) Separated truss structure

Fig. 9. Sail separation sequence

During launch, a gear wheel on the sail spool bottom side is used to lock the spool with a corresponding counterpart mounted on the CSCU. A leaf spring engaging the gear adds an oscillating break torque of approximately 0.035 Nm at maximum. This is required during deployment to ensure that the sail does not slip off of the spool. The mechanism allows a complete stop within the deployment process and still has the partly-deployed sail safely mounted.

2.3.5 Launch Locks

During launch, all mechanisms are locked in order to provide a mechanically stable configuration of all parts. An overview of the locking mechanisms employed is provided in Figure 10.

The Boom Hub is locked by a P5 pin puller from TiNi Aerospace, Inc. It is mounted on the outer wall of the BSDU, and the pin is locked into one of the conical holes located around the boom hub gearwheel. In order to simplify the integration, 60 holes allow the hub to be locked in the necessary position. A cone shape for the pin and the holes was chosen in order to avoid clamping of the locked pin (e.g. due to thermal expansion).

Additionally, a form-fitting locking element ensures the locking of each sail spool by engaging its gear and a circumferential groove in the sail spool's lower end (see Figure 10 on the right and Section 2.3.4.


Fig. 10. BSDU Launch Locks

2.4. Deployment Monitoring

An in-orbit demonstration of the deployment technology requires sensors to gather data for the system validation. Therefore, a boom length measurement, a camera system and a measurement of the boom loading using strain gauges was implemented.

2.4.1 BSDU Position Determination

In order to determine the position of the BSDUs during deployment two strips with reflective markers are laminated onto the boom flanges (see Figure 11). The strips are made of a 7.5 µm aluminium coated polyimide film with parts of removed coating forming transparent sections. Using an optoelectronic sensor, it is possible to determine position based on counts of changes in reflectivity. Implementing periodically occurring calibration markers and a slight phase shift in the pattern provides higher robustness of position determination.

2.4.2 Camera System for Visual Documentation

For the Gossamer-1 camera system a VRmagic VRmDC-8 Pro with a resolution of 2056 x 1544 pixels (details see data sheet [14]) with Edmund Optics NT68-672 lenses (data sheet [15]) for wide field and Pentax

H1214-M lenses (data sheet [16]) for telemetric application was selected. It uses a robust standard with a wide selection of different lens systems with different apertures and focal length. The camera housing was redesigned according to the expected mechanical loads.


Fig. 11. Reflective marker on the boom

The camera system consists of 9 cameras. One camera is placed on top of each deployment unit facing inwards and covering the full spacecraft by wide field optics. Three cameras on the central unit facing outwards with wide field optics, thereby covering one sail segment, adjacent booms and deployment units. Two of these are positioned such that stereoscopic viewing is supported. The two remaining cameras on the central unit use telemetric field optics and cover one boom and its deployment unit with a depth of field ranging from roughly the initial unlocking up to the final position.

Image data volume acquired by the camera system is reduced by taking sequences of images rather than videos. The image data volume is decoupled from deployment speed by taking one image per 2.5 cm of deployment distance, as measured along the booms. Image data volume is further limited by taking sequences of images consisting of patterns of a number of low resolution images always followed by one high resolution image. Furthermore, on-board post processing and corresponding processor work load on the on-board computer is avoided as the camera allows resolution settings and definition of Regions of Interest (ROI) via hardware settings.

2.4.3 Strain Gauges

During deployment, the boom loads are determined by strain gauges attached to the booms near the BSI. Besides general monitoring for an on-orbit characterization of the deployment system, they are also used to detect boom overload, which would trigger an emergency stop and additional FDIR (Fault Detection, Isolation and Recovery). Zander [12] presented preliminary experiments for future in-orbit load and deflection monitoring.

3. Development Testing of Deployment Technology

In order to validate the technology, a series of development as well as qualification tests were performed employing different engineering models. The tests ranged from mechanical characterization of booms, sail manufacturing and sail folding techniques, to characterization and functional testing of individual mechanisms up to a full two-segment system level deployment test under ambient conditions. Qualification testing of one deployment unit with boom and adjacent sail segments is carried out with the qualification model. This also includes all electronic subsystems contained in the deployment unit.

3.1 Sail Testing

Pre-qualification tests were performed with a sail packaged according to Figure 5 and stowed on two sail spool engineering models. The sail was built as mechanically flight representative. Thin film photovoltaics were simulated by suitable mechanically representative dummies made from flexible PCB material. Harnessing on the sail was accomplished using loosely bundled high-quality industrial PTFE-insulated AWG28 litz wire. The wire type used was previously qualified at system level for MASCOT (see [11]). Tests were performed as a representative cycle starting with a shaker test followed by centrifugal acceleration, fast decompression, and finally deployment under ambient conditions (see Deployment Testing of this Section). The tests were also presented by Seefeldt in [9]. Test loads were based on the launcher load envelope.

All tests except the deployment were conducted with a test adapter specially designed for those tests as shown in Figure 12. It has a representative bearing and locking mechanism for the spools included. For final functional verification, the sail package and sail spools were transferred to the deployment test rig as described in Subsection 3.2 The Sail package and sail spools passed the test successfully with no anomalies observed.


Fig. 12. Sail package mounted on test adapter

3.2 Deployment Testing

Laboratory deployment tests were made at different levels of complexity, starting with individual subsystems up to final full functional system level tests. The goal was the verification of:

- the general deployment strategy,
- sail stowing and deployment,
- boom stowing and deployment,
- related force budgets,
- mechanism functionality,
- the electronics involved (position determination, camera system, on-board wireless communications),
- the deployment logic implemented.

Boom stowing and boom deployment was tested in so-called boom-pull out tests with just a single boom and a mechanically functional representative BSDU [17]. No sails or sail deployment simulators were applied (see Subsection 3.2.1).

As the last step of the sail testing, as described in Subsection 3.1, one sail segment was deployed by linear drive units. In a next step this test rig was extended for the deployment of two sail segments with one boom and one BSDU. This test setup enables a system level test including mechanisms, electronics and implemented logic (see Subsection 3.2.2).

3.2.1 BSDU Boom Pull-out Test

To determine a motor and transmission torque budget a deployment force budget needs to be established. As first step, isolated boom pull-out tests were performed. Here only boom and boom deployment related forces and frictions were measured. For this test, the belt is not wound up internally by the belt winding mechanism, but externally by a suitable rope and pulley arrangement including external motor and force sensor as shown in Figure 13. Following the full BSDU configuration test, reduced configurations by gradually taking out other friction sources were measured. This allowed a mathematical separation of contributions from individual friction elements.


Fig. 13. Test set-up for belt force determination [17]

The forces were measured at 5.5 mm/s deployment speed. Although different deployment speeds were tested, 5.5 mm/s is closest to the finally realized speed of 8.4 mm/s (see Subsection 2.3.1). The influence of differing deployment speeds on forces is considered to be negligible. Oscillations caused by the leaf spring of the boom hub brake mechanism as well as imperfections and inhomogeneity of the material were observed. Values vary between 5 to 15 N with an approximate average of about 10 N.

3.2.2 System Level Deployment Test

Sail packaging and deployment was tested in a set-up without booms and BSDU. To deploy one sail segment two linear drives of 4.5 m length at a right angle were used (see Figure 14). The sail spools were mounted on these units with tri-axial force sensors placed between linear drives and sail spools. The deployment was realized be a computer controlled movement of the linear drives. Different deployment speeds and speed profiles could be tested. This test rig was then extended for a system level test with two sail segments and one boom.

Fig. 14. Deployment test of one sail segment alone without booms and BSDU

Fully functional system level tests used two linear drives arranged in a line simulating one full boom diagonal of a Gossamer-1 configuration. One boom and BSDU can be tested at right angles between the linear drives (Figure15). The boom was mounted to a BSI and was deployed by a fully functional BSDU engineering model. The BSDU was supported by a test rig, with air bearings for minimum friction in order to allow free BSDU and boom movement within the sail plane. On each linear drive, a sail spool was mounted on top of a tri-axial force sensor. The BSDU was fully equipped including the sail spools on both sides. This setup allowed for the deployment of one boom and both adjacent sail segments and is referred to as one-boom-two-sail-segment system level test. The BSDU was controlled by the on-board wireless communications with a fully functional CSCU electronics system including EGSE and suitable control interfaces. Acquisition of sensor data as well as BSDU camera images was implemented. The data was transferred via

the on-board wireless communications system from the BSDU to the CSCU.

Fig. 15. Test rig for the deployment of two sail segments with one boom and BSDU

Different phases of the deployment test are shown in Figure 16. The deployment is shown in Figure 16(a), followed by the separation Figure 16(b) and the BSDU jettison in Figure 16(c). Figure 16(d) shows an image acquired by the BSDU on-board camera during a deployment test.

(a) Deployment and acquisition of picture with on board camera

(b) Sail completely deployed, BSDU separation

(c) BSDU jettison

(d) On-board camera picture during deployment

Fig. 16. Gossamer-1 deployment test in progress

The same way as for boom pull-out, the sail spools and sail deployment also introduce forces when pulling off the sail from the sail spool. These forces were measured during deployment by force sensors placed between the linear drive units and the corresponding sail spool mount. Data was recorded as a function of time. Maximum values were observed with 2.2 N. This applies to one sail spool. As one BSDU has to pull off two sail halves, this force must be considered twice in the budget, resulting in 4.4 N.

Similar to the boom pull-out, the sail pull-off measurements also showed a fast oscillation caused by the leaf spring brakes at the sail spools. The oscillations are roughly about 1.3 N. Besides a smaller amount of friction between the tip of the spring and the gear, this mainly represents the deformation of the spring. There

is a general trend of increasing forces during the sail deployment due to two main effects. The diameter of the sail coiled on the spool is decreasing from about 50 mm at the beginning to the spool diameter of 35 mm at the end. This leads to a force increase of about 0.4 N. Additionally, the deployed sail introduces tension forces when deployed in the laboratory under gravitation. The highest forces appear at the point shortly before the sail is completely deployed and when jettisoning the BSDU. At both times the force is about 2.2 N.

3.2.3 Deployment force budget results and motor sizing
Figure 17 shows the measured contributions to the full force budget.

Fig. 17. Composition of the deployment force that is introduced through the BWM

Forces purposely added by the sail spool and boom hub leaf spring brake add up to 5.2 N + 2.6 N = 7.8 N, whereas the major friction related contributions of the boom deployment add up to 6.6 N. In addition, the laboratory sail deployment introduces forces of 1.8 N. This is mainly gravitation related, but also includes an unknown fraction of friction force of the sail spool mechanisms. These forces transform into the required drive torque at the gear's axis through multiplication with the radius of the belt winding mechanism's spool of 20 mm. The torques were multiplied with uncertainty and safety factors according to ECSS-E-ST-01C [18] and sum up to 0.86 Nm.

3. Conclusion
A deployment strategy was developed for a mission that aims to demonstrate a controlled and autonomous deployment in LEO. For this deployment strategy, a bus system as well as the required deployment mechanisms and electronics were developed, and engineering models of the hardware were built.

Deployment on system level was successfully demonstrated to be robust, controllable, and at no time

at risk of entangling. The latter is guaranteed by the folding concept, which ensures that at each stage of deployment, only a minimum amount of the sail is released. The boom, BSI and BSDU proved to be suitable to drive the combined deployment of booms and sails. No negative interference between boom deployment and sail deployment was observed. The functionality of all involved mechanisms was demonstrated. The functionality of the electronics was demonstrated, i.e. wireless control, deployment logic implemented, data acquisition, image acquisition by the on-board camera and a ground segment representative control via the electronics ground support equipment. A more detailed overview about the Gossamer-1 mission, hardware and verification is under review [19].

The deployment technology is on TRL four approaching level five, with an engineering qualification model (EQM) for environmental testing currently being tested. The EQM of the deployment unit will be subjected to environmental testing. A sequence of tests will be performed including venting, vibration testing, thermal-vacuum testing and laboratory deployment. Interim results of release and deployment testing can be seen in Figure 18 and Figure 19.

Fig. 18. EQM fully deployed during deployment test preparation

Fig. 19. EQM with sail packages during release testing between CSCU and BSDU

The development of the solar sail specific technology will be stopped after the qualification process on a TRL five. The further development of deployment technologies will focus on solar arrays possibly based on thin-film photovoltaics.

Acknowledgements

References

[1] Leipold, M., et al., *ODISSEE - A proposal for demonstration of a solar sail in earth orbit*, Acta Astronautica, Vol. 45/4, 557-566, 1999.
[2] Agnolon D., *Study overview of a solar sail demonstrator: GEOSAIL*, DLR/ESA, 2008.
[3] Leipold, M, et al., *Solar sail technology development and demonstration*, Acta Astronautica Vol. 52/2, 317-326, 2003.
[4] Leipold, M., Widani C., Groepper P. and others, *The European Solar Sail Deployment Demonstrator*

Mission, Proceedings of the International Astronautical Congress, 2006.

[5] Tsuda Y., et al., *Achievement of IKAROS - Japanese deep space solar sail demonstration mission*, Acta Astronautica, Vol. 82/2, 183-188, 2013.

[6] Johnson, L., Whorton, M., Heaton, A., Pinson, R., Laue, G. and Adams, C., *NanoSail-D: A solar sail demonstration mission*, Acta Astronautica Vol. 68/5, 571-575, 2011.

[7] Thoemel, J., Singarayar, F., Scholz, T. and others, *Status of the QB50 CubeSat Constellation Mission*, Proceedings of the 65th International Astronautical Congress, 2014.

[8] Seefeldt, P., Spietz, P. and Sproewitz, T., *The Preliminary Design of the GOSSAMER-1 Solar Sail Membrane and Manufacturing Strategies*, Advances in Solar Sailing, 133-151, 2014.

[9] Seefeldt, P., Steindorf, L. and Sproewitz, T., *Solar Sail Membrane Testing and Design Considerations*, Proceedings of the European Conference on Spacecraft Structures, Materials and Environmental Testing, 2014.

[10] Straubel, M., Seefeldt, P., Spietz, P. and Hühne C., *The Design and Test of the GOSSAMER-1 Boom Deployment Mechanisms Engineering Model*, Proceedings of the 2nd AIAA Spacecraft Structures Conference, 2015.

[11] Ho, T., Baturkin, V., Grimm, C., Grundmann, J. T., Hobbie, C., Ksenik, E., Lange , C., Sasaki, K., Schlotterer, M., Talapina, M., and others, *MASCOT - The Mobile Asteroid Surface Scout Onboard the Hayabusa2 Mission*, Space Science Reviews, Vol. 1-36, 2016.

[12] Zander, M. E., Sinapius M, and Hühne, C., Preliminary Experiments for an On-Orbit Detection system to Monitor Load and Deflection States of Thin Shell CFRP Booms for the Solar Sail Demonstrator Gossamer-1, Proceedings of the European Conference on Spacecraft Structures, Materials and Environmental Testing, 2014.

[13] Seefeldt P. and Spietz, P., Raumfahrzeug-Membranentfaltungssystem und Verfahren zum Betrieb desselben, Pending Patent 2016

[14] VRmDC-8 PRO (color), VRmagic, 2009.

[15] Techspec Fixed Focal Length Lens Specifications, Edmund Optics, 2015.

[16] For Machine Vision H1214-M, 12 mm 1:1.4, Pentax Optics, 2003.

[17] Straubel, M., Seefeldt, P., Spietz, P. and Hühne C., The Design and Test of the GOSSAMER-1 Boom Deployment Mechanisms Engineering Model, Proceedings of the 2nd AIAA Spacecraft Structures Conference, 2015

[18] ECSS-E-ST-01C, The European Cooperation for Space Standardization, 2009

[19] Seefeldt, P., Spietz, P., Spröwitz, T., Gossamer-1: Mission Concept and Technology for a Controlled Deployment of Gossamer Spacecraft, Submitted to Advances in Space Research, 2016