Theor Appl Genet (2004) 108:1602–1613 DOI 10.1007/s00122-004-1587-y

ORIGINAL PAPER

V. Acheré · P. Faivre-Rampant · S. Jeandroz · G. Besnard · T. Markussen · A. Aragones · M. Fladung · E. Ritter · J.-M. Favre

A full saturated linkage map of *Picea abies* including AFLP, SSR, ESTP, 5S rDNA and morphological markers

Received: 30 June 2003 / Accepted: 19 December 2003 / Published online: 27 February 2004 © Springer-Verlag 2004

Abstract Based on an F_1 progeny of 73 individuals, two parental maps were constructed according to the double pseudo-test cross strategy. The paternal map contained 16 linkage groups for a total genetic length of 1,792 cM. The maternal map covered 1,920 cM, and consisted of 12 linkage groups. These parental maps were then integrated using 66 intercross markers. The resulting consensus map covered 2,035 cM and included 755 markers (661 AFLPs, 74 SSRs, 18 ESTPs, the 5S rDNA and the early cone formation trait) on 12 linkage groups, reflecting the haploid number of chromosomes of Picea abies. The average spacing between two adjacent markers was 2.6 cM. The presence of 39 of the SSR and/or ESTP markers from this consensus map on other published maps of different *Picea* and *Pinus* species allowed us to establish partial linkage group homologies across three P. abies maps (up to five common markers per linkage group). This first saturated linkage map of *P. abies* could be therefore used as a support for developing comparative genome mapping in conifers.

Communicated by O. Savolainen

V. Acheré · P. Faivre-Rampant · S. Jeandroz · G. Besnard · J.-M. Favre () UMR INRA/UHP 1136, Tree-Microbe Interactions, Faculté des Sciences, Université Nancy I, BP 239, 54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France e-mail: Jean-Michel.Favre@scbiol.u-nancy.fr Tel.: +33-383-684229

T. Markussen · M. Fladung

BFH, Federal Research Centre for Forestry and Forest Products, Institute for Forest Genetics and Forest Tree Breeding, Sieker Landstrasse 2, 22927 Grosshansdorf, Germany

A. Aragones · E. Ritter Instituto Vasco de Investigacion y Desarrollo Agrario, NEIKER, Apartado 46, 01080 Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain

Introduction

Recently, molecular marker technologies, especially those based on the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), have been increasingly used in a variety of applications, including population genetics, QTL (quantitative trait loci) detection and marker-assisted-selection. These markers have also been used to construct linkage maps, i.e. genome representations in which loci involved in the control of traits and functions can be integrated and positioned. Genetic mapping can be therefore considered complementary to the ongoing research programmes based on ESTs (expressed sequence tags) and functional genomics. Moreover, using appropriate anchor points, linkage maps of related species can be aligned (comparative mapping) providing relevant information for understanding genomic organisation and evolution.

Norway spruce [*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.] is one of the major conifer species of Europe, where it plays an important role in the forest ecosystems of continental plains and medium-height mountains. It covers a wide natural distribution area, stretching from the western Alps to the Ural and from Scandinavia to Greece. In addition, due to its commercial importance and adaptability, it has been massively used for reforestation and is presently considered naturalised in large areas of Western Europe (Belgium, Germany, central France) and North America (south-eastern Canada and north-eastern USA).

Several incomplete genetic maps of Norway spruce consisting of 17–29 linkage groups have been constructed using RAPD (random amplified polymorphic DNA), AFLP (amplified fragment length polymorphism) and SSR (single sequence repeat, microsatellite) markers (Binelli and Bucci 1994; Bucci et al. 1997; Paglia et al. 1998; Skov and Wellendorf 1998; Troggio et al. 2001). All exceed the haploid chromosome number of the species (n=12) and were established from segregation analysis in the haploid maternal tissue of seeds (megagametophyte). Using this megagametophyte approach allows the avoidance of problems in genotype determination due to marker dominance, but also has disadvan-

tages such as the low quantity of extracted DNA and restriction to maternal effects in QTL detection (Plomion et al. 1997; Chagné et al. 2002). Another partial linkage map, established with AFLP, SSR and ESTP markers, can be consulted on the web site http://www.pierroton.inra.fr/genetics/Picea/.

In the present study, we report the construction of the first saturated linkage map in *Picea abies*. We used the double pseudo-test cross strategy consisting of the construction of two parental linkage maps which were then integrated into a consensus map (Ritter et al. 1990; Grattapaglia and Sederoff 1994). As in most conifer species, the nuclear genome of *P. abies* is very large (37.2 pg/2C, Siljak-Yakovlev et al. 2002) and contains a high proportion of repetitive sequences, hampering the construction of complete genetic maps. For the building of parental maps, we chose therefore a set of AFLP, SSR and ESTP markers expected to ensure good genome coverage and provide anchor points for genome comparisons.

The AFLP method (Vos et al. 1995) yields large numbers of markers well adapted to genome coverage. This type of marker has been shown to be appropriate to saturate genetic maps in species with large genomes such as *Pinus pinaster* (Chagné et al. 2002; Ritter et al. 2002) or *P. taeda* (Remington et al. 1999). However, despite some exceptions, they usually behave as dominant markers and this hinders genotype determination in sporophytic tissues.

Microsatellite markers are considered useful for the construction of high-density maps due to their high polymorphism levels, their co-dominant character, their abundance and wide distribution over the genome. In addition, SSR markers generally display good transferability from one species to another within the same genus (Rajora et al. 2001; Hodgetts et al. 2001; Shepherd et al. 2002) and can be thus used as convenient anchor points in the construction of intraspecific and interspecific consensus maps.

ESTP (expressed sequence tag polymorphism) markers are generated by PCR-amplification with primers designed from cDNA sequences. They usually display less polymorphism than SSRs or AFLPs and their analysis often requires time-consuming experimental approaches such as SSCP (single strand conformation polymorphism) or DGGE (denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis) analysis. However, they have proven to be transferable between species (Perry and Bousquet 1998a; Brown et al. 2001) and, to some extent, between genera (Brown et al. 2001).

Additionally, as a useful complement to assign linkage groups to chromosomes, the nuclear 5S ribosomal DNA which has been shown to be located at a single locus on the long arm of the large metacentric chromosome pair 2 (Lubaretz et al. 1996), was included in this analysis.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

The mapping population consisted of 73 F_1 individuals of an outbred full-sib family. The female parent (TH787F) was an *acrocona* mutant characterised by a dwarf phenotype and early cone formation on main shoots and branches (Fladung et al. 1999). The male parent (Sire5) exhibited the *aurea* character (yellow flushing shoots). The controlled cross was made in 1995 in the experimental field of the Institute for Forest Genetics and Forest Tree Breeding, Grosshansdorf, Germany.

AFLP procedure

Total genomic DNA was isolated from fresh needles using the protocol of Dumolin et al. (1995). Restriction digests and ligation were performed by using the AFLP Core Reagent Kit (Invitrogen, Life Technologies) with 125 ng of DNA. Preamplification was carried out with standard EcoRI (E) and MseI (M) adaptors with one, two or three additional nucleotides in a 50 μ l reaction volume containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 50 mM KCl, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.4 mM of each primer, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.75 U Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) and 3 μ l DNA ligation mixture diluted 10fold. For selective amplification, 46 primer-enzyme combinations with three to five selective nucleotides were tested in total (Table 1). The reaction mixture (20 μ l) was prepared as described above for preamplification except that 5 μ l of a 1:5 dilution of the preamplification mixture was used as template with 0.16 mM IRD 800labelled EcoRI primer (MWG) and 0.5 mM standard MseI primer. Thermal cycling conditions for preamplification and selective amplification were as described by Remington et al. (1999). AFLP fragments were resolved on denaturing gels composed of 8% Long Ranger acrylamide (TEBU), 7 M urea and 1× TBE buffer (134 mM Tris, 45 mM boric acid, 2.5 mM EDTA). Electrophoresis was carried out on a Li-Cor automated sequencer (model 4000 L) using 1× TBE running buffer, with run parameters of 1,500 V, 35 mA, 31.5 W, 50°C plate temperature. Polymorphic fragments were scored visually directly on the TIFF image files. Fragment sizes were estimated using the GeneImageIR software v 3.0.

SSR assays

Seventy-eight primer pairs designed for amplification of SSR loci in different Picea species, namely P. abies (Pfeiffer et al. 1997; Scotti et al. 2000, 2002a, 2002b; Besnard et al. 2003), P. glauca (Hodgetts et al. 2001; Rajora et al. 2001; Besnard et al. 2003) and P. rubens (Besnard et al. 2003), were analysed in total. Several of these SSR loci had already been mapped in *P. abies* (Paglia et al. 1998; http://www.pierroton.inra.fr/genetics/Picea/). PCR amplifications were carried out in 25 μ l containing 10 mM TrisHCl (pH 8.4), 50 mM KCl, 0.2 mM of each dNTP and 0.2 mM of each primer (forward primer labelled with IRD 800), 2.5–5 mM MgCl₂, 0.75 U Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) and 50 ng of genomic DNA. Thermal cycling was performed in a BioRad icycler as follows: 4 min initial denaturation at 94°C, 35 cycles consisting of a 45 s denaturation at 94°C, a 45 s annealing at temperatures ranging from 48 to 58°C depending on the primer pair, and a 45 s extension at 72°C, before a 10 min final extension at 72°C. Amplification products were electrophoresed under the same conditions used for the AFLP analysis in 6.5% denaturing polyacrylamide gels. Polymorphism was screened visually and markers were scored as either co-dominant (segregation 1:1:1:1) or dominant (segregations 1:1 or 3:1).

ESTP and 5S rDNA analysis

A set of 54 EST primer pairs derived from cDNA sequences of *Pinus taeda* (Harry et al. 1998; Brown et al. 2001; Temesgen et al.

	Primer:enzyme combinations	Total number of polymorphic bands	Size of fragments (bp)	Markers segregating 1:1	Markers segregating 3:1	Distorted markers (<i>P</i> <0.05)
a1	E.ACA/M.CCGG	31	60-449	25	4	2
a2	E.ACA/M.CCAG	24	55-329	21	1	2
a3	E.ACA/M.CCCG	26	68-466	24	1	1
a4	E.ACA/M.CCGC	25	67-619	23	2	_
a5	E.ACA/M.CCCA	9	120-269	7	-	2
a6	E.ACA/M.CCAC	13	61-231	12	-	1
a7	E.ACA/M.CCTG	37	64-408	28	7	2
a8	E.ACG/M.CCCA	21	60-410	19	1	1
a9	E.ACG/M.CCGT	25	68-440	21	4	-
a10	E.ACG/M.CCGC	28	72-700	24	2	2
a11	E.ACG/M.CCAT	11	55-378	8	2	1
a12	E.ACG/M.CCAA	11	101-254	7	1	3
a13	E.ACG/M.CCAC	37	57-607	30	5	2
a14	E.ACG/M.CCAG	18	66-500	16	1	1
a15	E.ACG/M.CCTC	17	60-303	11	6	_
a16	E.ACG/M.CCTG	17	62-220	13	4	_
a17	E.ACG/M.CCTA	12	83-252	8	1	3
a18	E.ACC/M.CCGT	19	69-255	12	5	2
a19	E.ACC/M.CCTG	6	98-314	6	_	_
a20	E.ACC/M.CCAG	12	61-169	11	1	_
a21	E.ACT/M.CCAG	15	62-333	15	-	_
a22	E.ACT/M.CCCG	34	65-402	29	4	1
a23	E.ACT/M.CCGG	15	86-289	13	2	_
a24	E.ACT/M.CCTG	23	76-462	22	-	1
a25	E.ACT/M.CCGT	14	130-342	14	-	_
a26	E.ACT/M.CCGC	10	60-231	8	1	1
a27	E.ACT/M.CCTC	14	62-323	13	1	_
a28	E.ACT/M.CCTA	17	61-348	15	1	1
a29	E.TGGC/M.CGTC	13	81-277	9	1	3
a30	<i>E.TG</i> GC/ <i>M.CG</i> GT	10	89-335	7	2	1
a31	E.TGGC/M.CGAT	14	90-346	12	2	_
a32	E.TGGC/M.CGCG	8	55-282	7	1	_
a33	E.TGGC/M.CCGG	12	84-318	9	2	1
a34	E.TGGC/M.CCAA	4	86-149	2	_	2
a35	E.TGAC/M.CGAT	12	67-286	12	-	_
a36	<i>E.TGAC/M.CG</i> TC	7	77-211	5	_	2
a37	<i>E.TGAC/M.CG</i> GT	6	80-242	5	1	_
a38	E.AGCT/M.AGCT	23	102-457	18	5	_
a39	E.AGCT/M.AGCG	18	83-392	16	_	2
a40	E.AGCG/M.AAACT	12	105-367	11	1	_
a41	E.AAACT/M.AGCG	23	101-369	20	3	_
a42	E.AAACT/M.AGCT	12	73-278	10	_	2
a43	E.AAACA/M.AGCG	22	63-413	19	1	2
a44	E.AAACA/M.AGCT	17	72-235	16	1	_
a45	E.AAACC/M.AGCG	26	58-402	23	1	2
a46	E.AAACC/M.AGCT	11	87-248	9	1	1
Total		791	55-700	665	79	47

Table 1 The AFLP primer enzyme combinations (PECs) used for the pre-amplification step in italics, the number and size of the polymorphic fragments detected after amplification, and their segregation ratios.

2001), P. pinaster (Plomion et al. 1999; Chagné et al. 2003), P. sylvestris, P. banksiana, Abies grandis, Picea abies (Schubert et al. 2001) and P. mariana (Perry and Bousquet 1998b) were tested. For full details of the primers used see http://www.pierroton.inra.fr/ genetics/pinus/primers.html. PCR amplifications were performed as indicated by the authors. Screening for polymorphisms was first carried out directly after electrophoresis of PCR products on agarose or non-denaturing acrylamide gels (8%). In the absence of detectable length polymorphisms, two other approaches were applied depending on the fragment sizes. When the fragment length was superior to 500 bp, PCR products were digested using a set of ten restriction enzymes with a 4-bp recognition site (TaqI, HpaII, HhaI, NdeII, RsaI, MspI, AluI, HaeIII MboI and HinfI) prior to electrophoresis (PCR-RFLP). When the fragment length was shorter than 500 bp, a SSCP analysis was performed. PCR fragments were resolved on non-denaturing acrylamide gels (8%) in 0.6× TBE buffer at 15°C for 7 h at constant power (20 W) and then visualised by silver staining according to Bodenes et al. (1996). Amplification of the spacer region of the nuclear 5S rDNA repeat units was carried out according to Trontin et al. (1999) using the P1 and P2 "universal" plant primers.

Linkage analysis

The data set included three different segregation patterns: 1:1 for heterozygous markers in one parent and homozygous or null in the other, 3:1 for dominant markers heterozygous in both parents, 1:1:1:1 for co-dominant multiallelic markers. For each marker, a chi-square test (P<0.05) was used to identify deviations from the expected Mendelian ratios. Linkage analysis was carried out using JoinMap v3.0 software (Van Ooijen and Voorrips 2001) with a minimal LOD of 4.0 and a maximum recombination fraction of 0.3 as the grouping criteria. Recombination rates were converted to

genetic distances in centiMorgans using Kosambi's mapping function (Kosambi 1944). The male and female parental maps were built up based on the 1:1 and 3:1 segregating markers. These maps were then aligned by using intercross markers (segregation ratios 3:1 and 1:1:1:1) and a consensus map integrating all the segregation data was constructed by using the "map integration" function. If the marker order was disturbed when using the integration algorithm, one parental linkage group was fixed as reference prior computing (the "fixed orders" command). Maps were drawn using the Mapchart version 2.0 software (Voorips 2002).

Analysis of marker distribution

Two different methods were used for the calculation of marker distribution on the consensus map. The first consisted of testing whether markers were randomly distributed using a Poisson distribution function $P(x)=e^{-\mu}\mu^x/x!$, where x is the number of markers per 10 cM interval and μ the average marker density in the map. The number of markers in each 10 cM interval was counted and the frequency of each class compared to the expected binomial frequencies by a chi-square test. This method has been previously applied to AFLP markers by Young et al. (1999), Remington et al. (1999), Cervera et al. (2001) and Yin et al. (2003). The second method was to calculate the Pearson correlation coefficient between the number of markers in the linkage groups and the size of the linkage groups as described by Cervera et al. (2001).

Results

AFLP markers

A total of 791 segregating fragments were scored from the 46 primer:enzyme combinations (PEC) analysed (Table 1). The number of polymorphic fragments per PEC ranged from 4 to 37 (17 on average) with sizes between 55 bp to greater than 700 bp. Of these markers, 84% (665) and 9.9% (79) segregated in the 1:1 and 3:1 ratios, respectively. Around 6% (47) showed significant distortion (P<0.05) from the expected Mendelian segregation ratios 1:1 (44) and 1:3 (3). The number of maternal markers (359) was significantly higher than the number of paternal markers (306).

Microsatellite markers

Out of the 78 SSR primer pairs tested, 65 (84%) yielded amplification products and 50 of them (including 14 producing a multilocus amplification pattern) generated a total of 80 polymorphic microsatellite markers. Interestingly, the percentage of polymorphisms was higher among the dinucleotide microsatellites (90%) compared to the trinucleotide microsatellites (36%). Of the 80 SSR markers detected, 27 were heterozygous in the female parent, 15 in the male parent, and 33 from both parents displayed segregation ratios 3:1 or 1:1:1:1. Five SSRs exhibited distorted segregation (P<0.05).

ESTP and 5S rDNA markers

Among the 54 EST primer pairs selected 41 generated amplification products. All primer pairs derived from *Picea* species amplified; however only seven (44%) were polymorphic. Amplification success was lower with the primer pairs derived from Pinus species (68%), but the percentage of polymorphisms reached 48% (12 polymorphic primer pairs). The unique primer pair derived from Abies did not amplify. Out of the 19 ESTP markers obtained, 15 were heterozygous in one parent and four in both (segregation ratios 3:1 or 1:1:1:1). Only one (SB 06) showed a distorted segregation (P < 0.01). For eight markers, polymorphism was based on length variations detected on 2% agarose or 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels and for the other markers, it probably relied on substitutions, which were only revealed after SSCP analysis.

Initially used in Larch species (*Larix decidua, L. kaempferi*), the "universal" plant primers used to amplify 5S rDNA also allowed successful amplification of the nuclear 5S rDNA in our *Picea abies* mapping population. One polymorphic fragment was produced, which was heterozygous in the female parent.

Morphological traits

Among the two morphological traits analysed (early cone formation and *aurea* factor), early cone formation segregated as a dominant marker with a 1:1 ratio, suggesting monogenic inheritance. In contrast, the *aurea* factor could not be mapped.

Parental maps

The female map consisted of 461 markers (389 AFLPs, 60 SSRs, 10 ESTPs, the 5S rDNA and the early cone formation trait) distributed on 12 linkage groups covering 1,920.8 cM with an average distance of 4 cM between two adjacent markers. Linkage groups were composed of 25–62 markers each and their sizes ranged from 127.5 to 209.2 cM (160 cM on average).

The male map included 360 markers (303 AFLPs, 45 SSRs and 12 ESTPs) assigned to 16 linkage groups, which covered 1,792 cM with an average distance of 4.9 cM between two adjacent markers. Linkage group sizes ranged from 94 to 210.9 cM (149.3 cM on average) and the number of markers per linkage group varied between 18 and 51. Both parental maps are shown on the website http://www.neiker.net/UHDfor/.

Consensus map

Homologous linkage groups were identified in the parental maps based on 66 intercross AFLP, SSR or ESTP markers (three to ten intercross markers per paired linkage Fig. 1 Consensus linkage map of Norway spruce. Names of framework markers are indicated on the right of the linkage groups with the fragment size indicated in bp. Genetic distances, in cM, are indicated on the left. AFLP markers are named as in Table 1. SSR markers are designated by the primer pairs in *italics*. ESTP markers (underlined) were denoted according to the locus nomenclature of the Treegenes database (http://dendrome.ucdavis.edu/Tree_Page.htm) with the experiment reference omitted for simplification. For ESTPs developed by Schubert et al. (2001) and Perry and Bousquet (1998b) only the clone names were indicated (PA and SB, respectively) due to incomplete information. Markers showing distorted segregation are labeled with one or two asterisks depending on the level of significance (P < 0.05 or *P*<0.01, respectively) of the χ^2 test



groups). The integrated corresponding data set allowed the construction of a consensus map composed of 755 markers (661 AFLPs, 74 SSRs, 18 ESTPs, the 5S rDNA and the early cone formation) assigned to 12 linkage groups (Fig. 1). The marker orders of the parental maps were not significantly disturbed, except on linkage group 1. The total length of this map was 2,035.2 cM with an average spacing of 2.6 cM between adjacent markers. The size of the linkage groups ranged from 143.1 to 198.9 cM (169.6 cM on average) and the number of markers per linkage group varied between 49 and 89. Thirty-five of the mapped markers showed a distorted segregation. The number of linkage groups of this consensus map corresponded to the haploid chromosome number of the species.

Distribution of markers

Figure 2 shows a comparison between the expected binomial frequencies calculated for an average marker density across the entire map of μ =3.25 and the observed frequency data for each class of marker number per 10 cM interval. No significant deviation was observed (χ^2 =8.94; *df*=9; *P*<0.05) indicating that the AFLP markers were uniformly distributed. The significant Pearson's correlation (*r*=0.53 at the 1% level) observed between the

a34-86** **PAAC13**-214** a3-295 a43-70* 10.6 16.3 43-293-443-70 445-71 44-124 a32-253 a14-417 **EAC6F04**-101 a3-308 a29-277 a13-485 a8-361* a45-233 a425-154 a45-233 a425-154 a45-233 a42-64 a20-147 **SpAG4**-89* a44-202 a34+123 a41-164 a13-249 a52-55 a43-264 a41-264 a13-249 a32-264 a41-264 a43-264 a41-264 a32-264 a41-264 a43-264 a41-264 a43-264 a41-264 a43-264 a43-264 a43-264 a41-264 a43-264 a33-264 a33-26 19 a13-249 a29-262 229-262 SpAC1E8-142/166 a21-62 a38-191 a1-231 a2-736 a2-736 a2-736 a2-736 a38-192 a38-192 a38-192 a38-192 a38-192 a38-192 a38-193 a8-410 a6-61 a15-231 74.2 77.5 79.9 82.2 82.4 82.7 83.8 84.3 84.4 85.2 85.6 a6-61 a15-231 a4-320 a28-209 *EACTC1F7B*-148 a23-125 a26-176 a20-95 86.3 87.1 88.7 88.7 92.7 93.7 96.4 99.7 100.7 a20-95 a14-284 a35-129 a10-395 a45-292 a10-403 a43-413 a22-374 a41-294 a9-266 a9-371 **EAC6E2-121/140** a15-215 101.0 102.3 102.7 103.3 105.0 107.5 108.3 112:3 a15-215 a16-218 a21-279 113.8 120 121 estPpINR-PPA7-a a38-410 a23-215 a26-227 122 123 124 127 127 128 129 130 130 132 132 142 a45-166 a35-279 a27-257 a43-302 a31-280 a11-55 a24-76 a45-304 a27-162 a3-219 a33-86 a25-342 a21-175 a8-275 a8-275 a42-193 a10-401 a9-316 a31-103 a35-103 a25-250 a18-142 143. 149.(150.4 150.4 150.5 154.5 162.6 164.5 167.7 173.9 180.0 192.8



0.0	/ a20-119
6.4\ \	/ a42-141
9.0\\	/ _/ a39-107
11.2	// estPpINR-ASO1C7-a
14.8	// a1-257
16.2	/, a41-242
17.4	EACTE6-165
	estPhiNR-RN01E06-a
17.9	245 205
20.2	- 445-255
20.7	SpAG11-95
23.8	~ a28-87
25.3/	∖^ a1-436
26.8	∖ a46-131
33.9	🕆 a17-243
38.0	- a40-220
38.7	-16 111
40.0 A	310-111
40.8	`a 7-361
42.6	ຸ`a15-109
47.2	_` a10-408
49.6	A21-227
50.5	SpAC1B8-180/262
55 1	24-305
50.1/H	210 100
50.1*	1. 10-190
59.67	∖\`a8-173
61.0 ⁷ ///	1 a38-287
62.6 <i>///口</i>	a30-122
63.2///	913-133
65.5//H	a8-306
60.0	245 216
70.0	45-510
70.3	(° a13-207
70.4///日	/// ^t a43-317
74.8 ⁷ //	¹ a29-218
79.0 [/] //	¹ a9-106
83.2	a14-208
84.1	243-408
85.3	228-102
00.0	243-112
00.3	
87.3	SpAG2-91/111
90.5///	∭ ^t a22-262
97.7 ¹ ///	17-105*
101.6	Cone formation
105.0 ///H	a22-102
107.2	246 107
	-26 107
110.21	107
112.3	132 ag-132
115.6 <i>4</i>	\∥ ^t a9-307
119.6 ///	SpAG11 -80
120.4 ///	ll estPpINR-PPA8-a
126.2	\\ a35-78
120.2	11-321
129.3	-40.405
138.6	105
142.6	∖`a33-117
145.6	[▲] a39-106
164.2	a7-230
-	

6

number of markers and the size of the linkage groups confirmed this indication. It was therefore concluded that the consensus map did not contain clusters of AFLP markers. For SSRs and, a fortiori, for ESTPs, application of this statistical analysis was not appropriate owing to insufficient number of markers per 10 cM interval (maximum 2). However a visual examination of the consensus map revealed an apparent random distribution of SSRs with at least five SSR markers present in each linkage group. In contrast, the distribution of ESTP markers seemed not to be uniform, ranging from one marker in linkage groups 3, 4, 7 and 8 to three in linkage groups 2, 5, 6 and 9. The 35 mapped markers which showed distorted segregations were mainly located at the end of the linkage groups (see Lg 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 and 11) and occasionally grouped into clusters (Lg 4 and 9).

Comparison with other published maps for conifers

Intraspecific comparison was made with the two other published maps of *Picea abies* including SSR and ESTP markers, i.e. the maps of Paglia et al. (1998) and of the website http://www.pierroton.inra.fr/genetics/Picea/. Table 2 shows that common SSR/ESTP markers were found between these maps and 11 out of the 12 linkage groups of the consensus map (1–5 common markers per linkage group). In general, a good conservation of the marker Fig. 1 (continued)

0.0	7 /	a29-137**	
6.6	11	a21-333	
14.9	. //r	a9-150	C
22.0	$\Delta \parallel$	a22-363	C
24.1		a10-72	4
30.2		a13-391	5
39.61		a3-266*	7
42.7		EATC2C01-131/162	, ,
48.7		a22-338	c 10
51.7		a2-172	12
52.1	N W/M	a13-302	14
53.4		a2-141	15
54.8	/	a6-167	19
57.7		a31-125	22
57.9v	HILL	a29-143	28
61.1		SpAGH1-87/101	28
63.2		a35-124	20
64.3		a7-132	32
65.5 ₁		a13-285	35
67.9	l III.	a1-82	37
69.4		a13-376	39
70.7		a22-388	40
73.1		a33-225	42
73.5		a4-493	44
77.4	III.	a44-204	44
78.0		a4-131	46
80.2	=///.	239-235	40
80.3	⊢///	216-162	49
82.1.		215 160	52
82.0	Η///	a13-100	56
83.1	Ц //.	az=70	58
00.1	\square	60-131 6-4044 02	59
00.5	₩/.	3pAGH1-03	62
00.1	$\Box V$.	EATCAETR 151*	64
01.5	H٧.	EATCIFID-101	65
02.4	\mathbb{H}	a/-140	00
93.4	HT.	244-103	00
97.3	\square	az4-121	69
90.3		pgGB3- 80	73
90.0	$\Box N$	a1-449	78
98.17	H	a11-378	78
101.2	Ц.,	a22-224	81
104.9	ΉN,	a1-354	82
109.2		a2-228	84
111.0 /		a45-203	07
112.5		a41-101	07
112.9		a7-235	92
114.9	HW	a39-88*	97
115.5		a42-233	101.
116.9		a24-165	102.
118.4	HW.	a36-147**	105.
118.8		a11-264	109
123.8		a32-170	113
125.2	<u> </u>	a4-497	117
125.7	N NNI ^r	a22-159	117.
127.9	1 111	a10-235	126.
129.3		a38-247	130
133.5 🖑	▶ • • •	a42-132	135.
134.9 ╢	N N N	estPbnINR-SAM-a	140
135.6	1 1/1	a4-157	144
140.6 🎢		a44-186	146
143.2 ∥	$\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$	a40-340	148
153.0 //	. /h	a28-144	152
154.9 ^J /	μ	a46-140	161
164.5 [/]	1	a40-181	101
			164

	8	3		
0.0	`		a32-62	
0.3	\searrow	\checkmark	a9-440	
4.9	ςΓ	Τ,	a35-67	
5.7	\rightarrow	\mathbf{k}	a35-135	
7.5	1	+	a39-270	
8.6		\backslash	a22-163	
12.2	\bigwedge	\mathbf{N}	a4-424	
14.5	//		a2-272	
15.5	/}-	$\frac{1}{2}$	a45-146	
19.5	/}-	$\frac{1}{1}$	a15-171	
22.7			a10-277	
28.2	∕₹	\checkmark	a1-266	
28.5	/⊁	-//	a24-462	
32.2	/}-	1	a6-158	
35.5	/た	<i>//</i> =	a4-472	
37.3	///	///	a27-204	
39.2	///_	-////	a9-305	a13-156
40.0	///	-₩///,	a11-64	
42.0	////	104	a4-148	a22-72
44.5	////	- <u>////</u> ,	a44-148	
44.9	////	-////	a25-178	
46.1	////F	<i>]</i> /// /	a44-196	
49.1	////	-////	a3_89	
52.4	////F		a1-145	
56.2	////⊨	-////	a7-267	
58.6	////	MM	a12-254	
59.5	////	MM	estPoiNi	R-RN01G04-a
62.2	////⊨	= ∭	a10-120*	
64.5	₩1=	-1///	a2-111	
65.2	Шŀ	-₩₩	a10-114	
68.8	////	-1001/1	a33-241	
69.2	11111	-1000	EAC6E2	-97
73.4		18H	a44-176	
78.0	////├	1111	a5-162	
78.7	'₩/⊨	_////	a45-402	
81.1	////∟		a1-353	
82.4	1111	NW.	a25-149	
84.4	1111	1111	a13-402	
87.1	1111-	-1\\\\	EAC7F1	0 -115/128
92.0	'III/I-	())))()`	a3-130	
97.3	1111	NW.	a/-400	
101.4	1111	1	-22 281*	*
102.0	∭}	-\\\\	DAAC13	-224/230
100.1	///		a25-299	-224/200
113.9	<i>III</i> //	MI	a22-402	
117.9	///┟	-////	a46-248	
126.5	///⊦	-////	a20-144	
130.9	///L]///	EAC1G5	-221/241
135.9	//F	-///	a8-61	
140.2	///⊱	-////	SpL3AG	1A4 -75/104
144.5	/// /	-////	a26-219	
146.6	//	$\parallel \parallel_{l}$	a24-353	
148.9	11	1/,	a41-287	
152.4	'/	\vee	a22-119	
161.2	1/	\mathcal{N}	a42-217	
164.4	1		a11-367	
172.1	-	᠆᠆	a45-75	

9	
0.0	a43-253
2.8	a39-208
6.6	a12-218
11.0	a12-103
11.4 H	a13-218
13.7	a43-154
15.0	a17-215
16.1	a7-186
19.3	a27-321
21.7	a46-110
25.1	a24-351
26.1	a6-219
29.7	a8-80
32.8	a28-348
34.1	a41-211
34.5	az-201
37.2	216.130
39.4	a10-139 a42-110
40.3	a41-208
41.6	a15-138
43.4	EAC7B09-111
46.1	a31-105
48.6 //	a7-79*
55.3	a42-142*
58.7 //	a23-219
58.9 //	a33-91**
63.8 /	a9-226
75.3	a17-202 a13-326
82.8	a8-63
83.2	a13-288
89.1	a41-369
90.4	a38-303
91.4	a14-128
94.2	EATC1D02A-196/208
96.0	EATC1F7B-174
97.1	estPpINR-COMT-a
99.0	a4-619
105.4	EAC1F4-205/2/2
108.4	a6-217
111.4	a12-145
112.1	a22-254
120.4	a37-94
121.0	a13-437
122.7	a43-63
123.7	<u>SB 29</u>
126.2	a2-329
120.9	a28-181
133.5	<u>SB 06</u> **
139.1	222-319
140.4	a18-175
143.3	EAC7F6-110
144.3	a1-417
147.6	a13-607
147.9	a38-175
149.1	a33-318

content and order was observed although there were some exceptions; for instance an inversion of EAC7F6 and estPtIFG-RN01F06-a in linkage group 6 of our saturated consensus map and linkage group 1 of the website map. Complete content and order conservation of markers was observed between three linkage groups of our saturated map and the website map. Interestingly, some independent linkage groups, for instance linkage groups G and T of the map of Paglia et al. (1998), could be assigned to a unique linkage group (Lg 8) of our consensus map, suggesting that they could be merged. Conversely, ESTP markers estPtIFG-9076-a and estPpINR-RN01G04-a which belonged to two different linkage groups in our

consensus map (Lg 8 and 10) were located on the same linkage group (Lg 11) of the website map. These markers could possibly correspond to paralogous copies of gene families as observed in *Pinus pinaster*, *P. taeda* and *P. sylvestris* by Chagné et al. (2003) and Komulainen et al. (2003).

Possibilities for interspecific and intergeneric comparison within the Pinaceae were comparatively more limited. Seven ESTP markers mapped on our saturated consensus map were also present in other available maps of *Picea* (*P. glauca*) and *Pinus* (*P. pinaster, P. taeda*) species (Table 3). In most cases however, a unique common marker, insufficient to establish homology, was a25-334

a32-167

a15-303

- a16-133 - a15-132 - a10-335 - a2-139** - a36-111 - a13-250

a7-237

a29-111 a28-140 a10-185

a19-119 a42-153

a24-200

a36-77**

a24-86

a1-62* a2-86

a41-160

a42-119

a26-231* a9-126 a10->700

a38-210

a44-102 a10-215

a21-85

a4-215 a19-98

a3-461

a10->700 a13-332

SpAGG3-134 a40-248 EATC1F7B-104 a38-379

pgGB7-169/170 a5-160

paGB8-254/291 a3-250

PA 0034-202

estPtIFG-9076-a a2-118

SpAGD1-150/159

0.0

9.5 12.1

16.3 18.6 19.9 22.7

27.1 ~ 29.4 ~ 33.5 \

35.4 ° 37.5 °

40.4 \

40.8 42.1 46.0

46.4

48.6

55.9

60.1

64.7 67.8

69.6

73.3 П 75.8 78.1

83.8

84.6 88.3 89.9

97.8

102.4 102.9

108.8

111.0

111.7 112.3 113.5 116.8 121.2 124.3 127.6

132.9

140.3

143.1

0.0

0.9

5.6

8.4

11.1

15.7

191

21.6

33.6

34.8

36.8

41.6

49.0

61.5

63.1 71.3 75.0

76.0 78.3

83.5

87.4

88.2

89.2 89.9

92.1 93.2

96.2 96.3 99.6

100.5

100.9

103.5 104.6 107.5 109.9

111.4 114.1

114.4

118.3

119.0

122.2

125.2

127.8

130.7

131.6 133.3

134.1

136.5

137.5

139.9 140.9

142.1 144.9

147.3 148.5

154.9

156.0

EAC7B09-84 a6-228*

EAC6F04-117/126

UAPgCA91-118

a2-241

a26-79

a45-93

a4-426

a21-159

a7-209 a1-356

a45-332

a9-105

a7-169 a4-160

a13-268 a7-138

a1-215

a17-122

a4-67 a45-237 a11-370 a13-353

a26-161

a27-323 a41-366

a3-160

a1-441

a25-263 a8-122 a4-221

a9-317 a41-364

a43-74 a25-130 a16-208

a16-189

a21-155

a6-203 a37-147 a30-149

a2-155

UAPgCA24-236 a4-607 a15-188 a6-199

EATC1B2-200 a24-299

NACG7-95/114

a17-219 NACG7-204/278

EATC1E3-132 a20-169

a34-149

SpAG4-121

EATC1F7B-117 a10-159

12

0.0	_ /	a27-270
6.5	11	a23-194
12.2	111.	pages-132
19.2	///	azo-137
10.3	₹∭.	a7-00 024 175
25.2	VIII.	a24-175
20.5	-1111	azi-z41
20.5	₩₩.	BAAC10 172
29.1	⊐////.	-22 192
29.9	_\\\\	azz-103
31.0	₩/.	07 114
32.0	₩//	a/-114
42.7	₩/.	a1-129 024 210
45.7	₹//	a24-210
40.2 V	٦//	09 155
51.2	1///	ao-100
51.0	11	a0-301
58.4	₹//	a29-215
61.2	11	230-190
	-[///	a30-211
64.7		a10-107
64.7	_₩//	a28-132
67.0 \\	₩/	a7-102
69.1 \\F	=///	a4-186
	1//	NACB0-205
73.8	V	a40-178
79.0	1/	a2-151
79.2	V	a7-206
80.4	+	a14-195
83.3	⇇	a28-342
84.7	\sum	a38-353
85.4	*,	a24-292
90.4	\sim	a35-190
91.8	\$\`	a14-179
97.1 -//	\mathbb{N}	a3-112
97.7 //E	1/E	a45-69
98.5 <i>1</i> //	Ν,	a1-236
103.7 //	7//	a31-190
105.7 //	-Ж,	a1-132
110.1 ///	١///	a14-203
113.1 //	V/,	a20-108
114.7 //	₹ <i>\\</i> /	a9-96
119.4	⊐₩//`	a13-57
123.4 ////	₩\`	PAAC13-249/282
124.0	-1∭,	a35-118
125.3 ///	_\\\ <i>`</i>	a22-75
126.9 ///	-/ ///	a31-119
129.4 ///	-1 ///	a4-279
135.4	-1111/	EAC6E2-177
139.5		a8-295
141.1	₩₩ [₽]	a6-208
141.6	-₩₩	a13-298
143.7	-###	a44-114
145.4	MM'	a3-218
147.9	100	a1-220
152.6	1ML	EAC7F6-85*
153.0	1 ///	a46-187
157.0	V/II	a18-149
159.4 //	1114	PAAC13-241
161.4 ///	7///	a14-212
167.4 ///	1/1/	a13-111
174.2 ///	111	a18-126
175.8 //	M	a46-87
184.7 ^J //	ſſ	a37-242
190.3 //	ľ	a21-162
190.4 [/]	I	a30-242



found between two linkage groups of the different maps. Only ESTPs estPpINR-AS01C7-a and estPpINRA-AS01H04-a (or estPpINR-PPA8-a), both located on linkage group 6, allowed the establishment of correspondence with linkage group 10 of the P. pinaster map of the website.

Discussion

Marker analysis

Fig. 2 Comparison of observed and expected frequencies of AFLP markers assuming a Poisson distribution function

The present study is the first report of a saturated linkage map for Picea abies. The integration of genetic informa-

Table 2 Microsatellite and ESTP markers of the saturated consensus map also present on the other *Picea abies* maps (Paglia et al. 1998; http://www.pierroton.inra.fr/genetics/Picea/, with indication of the corresponding linkage groups

Microsatellite/ESTP	Linkage groups		
markers	This study	Paglia et al. 1998	Website
SpAC03 ^b	1	_	2
EAC6E09 ^b	1	L	12
EstPpINR-ASO1C03-a ^a	2	-	8
EstPtIFG-8732-a ^a	2	-	8
SpAGC2 ^b	2	С	_
EstPpINR-RS01D10-a ^a	3	-	10
EAC7F8 ^b	3	Н	10
EAC6B01 ^b	3	-	10
EAC7H7 ^b	5	D04	6
EstPtIFG-739-a ^a	5	-	6
EAC1F7 ^b	5	-	6
SpAC1H8 ^b	5	S	6
SpAGC1 ^b	5	D07	6
EAC1D10 ^b	5	D07	_
EAC7F6-165 b	6	-	1
EstPtIFG-RN01F06-a ^a	6	-	1
SpAC1B8 ^b	6	D	1
SpAG2 ^b	6	-	1
EATC2C01 ^b	7	-	15
SpAGH1-87/101 b	7	1	14
EstPbnINR-SAM-a ^a	7	-	20
EstPpINR-RNO1G04-a ^a	8	-	11
EAC6E2-97 ^b	8	G	_
EAC7F10 ^b	8	G	7
EAC1G5 ^b	8	Т	7
SpL3AG1A4 ^b	8	Т	_
EATC1D2 ^b	9	-	3
EstPpINR-COMT-a ^a	9	-	3
EAC1F4 ^b	9	Κ	3
SpAGD1 ^b	10	В	_
EstPtIFG-9076-a ^a	10	_	11
SpAGG3 ^b	10	В	4
EAC7B9-84 ^b	11	Е	_
EATC1B2b	11	-	9
NACG7-204/278 b	11	Е	_
NACB6 ^b	12	F	5

^a ESTP marker

^b Microsatellite marker

tion from two independent parental sources allowed us to build up a consensus genetic map consisting of 12 linkage groups corresponding to the haploid chromosome number of the species. As already pointed out by Remington et al. (1999), Chagné et al. (2002) and Ritter et al. (2002), AFLP technology was confirmed to be an efficient method to saturate genetic maps, especially for species with large genomes as is the case for *P. abies* and for conifers in general. In contrast to several authors (Remington et al. 1999; Young et al. 1999; Cervera et al. 2001; Yin et al. 2003), we did not detect any clustering of AFLP markers in any linkage groups.

Compared to AFLPs, the number of mapped SSR and ESTP markers was insufficient to contribute significantly to the saturation of the map. However, due to their specificity and co-dominance, SSR and ESTP markers were very useful for the integration of the parental maps. Primer availability for the amplification of SSR loci in conifer species still remains low in comparison to major crop species such as maize or rice (maize database, http:// www.maizegdb.org; McCouch et al. 2002). Important efforts are currently in progress to reverse this situation (Plomion, personal communication) and the possibilities for using microsatellites in conifer species will undoubtedly increase in the near future. The SSR primer set used in this study yielded simple amplification patterns and generated a high proportion (around 80%) of single locus SSRs, as expected. The remaining 20% were complex multilocus amplification patterns, probably due to the high proportion of repetitive DNA that is characteristic of conifer genomes (Schmidt et al. 2000; Elsik and Williams 2001) in which microsatellite sequences can be embedded. As already noticed in Norway spruce (Scotti et al. 2002b), hard pines (Shepherd et al. 2002) and also in humans (Chakraborty et al. 1997), trinucleotide microsatellites were revealed to be less polymorphic than dinucleotides. This can be explained by differences in the mutation rate, which is inversely related to the length of repeats (Chakraborty et al. 1997).

In contrast to the SSRs, thousands of ESTs have been sequenced in conifers (http://dendrome.ucdavis.edu/Gen_res.htm) and can be a useful source of markers for genetic studies. However our results indicate that the amplification rate decreases when the primers used are derived from Pinaceae genera other than *Picea* (only 10–20% with *Pinus* primers), confirming that an increased phylogenetic distance reduces the amplification success (Perry and Bousquet 1998a, 1998b; Brown et al. 2001). After the amplification step, the level of polymorphism revealed in the mapping population is mainly dependent

Table 3 ESTP markers of the*Picea abies* saturated consensusmap also present on the other*Picea* and *Pinus* maps pub-lished, with the correspondinglinkage groups indicated. Thelinkage groups are numbered asreported by the authors

ESTP markers	Linkage groups		
	Picea abies map	Other Picea and Pinus maps	
EstPtIF-8732-a	2	8, <i>P. taeda</i> ; Brown et al. 2001	
EstPpINR-PPA7/RSO1G05-a	4	1, P. pinaster; Chagné et al. 2003	
EstPtIFG-739-a	5	6, P. taeda; Brown et al. 2001, Temesgen et al.	
		2001	
EstPpINR-ASO1C7-a	6	10, P. pinaster; Chagné et al. 2003	
EstPpINR-PPA8/AS01H04-a	6	10, P. pinaster; Chagné et al. 2003	
		5, P. pinaster; Ritter et al. 2002	
SB29	9	6 from parent M2, P. glauca, 6 from parent	
		80132, P. glauca; Gosselin et al. 2002	
EstPtIFG-9076-a	10	11, P. taeda; Temesgen et al. 2001	

on the divergence between the parents, but is also strongly influenced by the detection method used. Resorting to methods allowing the detection of point mutations, such as SSCP or DGGE is generally necessary.

Map length

The length of our consensus map is smaller (2,035 cM)than those of the same species published by Binelli et al. (1994) and Paglia et al. (1998) which reached 3,584 and 2,193 cM respectively, despite a lower number of markers mapped (185 and 447 for these authors, 775 here). These differences in map length can be attributed to the calculation programme used for the construction of each map; Binelli et al. (1994) and Paglia et al. (1998) used MapMaker software, whereas we used JoinMap v3.0. Several authors (Sewell et al. 1999; Vuylsteke et al. 1999; Bradeen et al. 2001; Chagné et al. 2002; Gosselin et al. 2002) who used both software packages on same data sets observed that the maps constructed by MapMaker were longer than those constructed by JoinMap, even when they used the same mapping function (Kosambi). Many differences exist between the JoinMap and MapMaker procedures. In particular, map distances calculated by MapMaker are multipoint maximum-likelihood distances estimated from recombination data for each linkage group, while JoinMap uses a least-squares method to calculate multipoint distances. This is probably a major reason for the observed software effect on map length.

Linkage map and karyotype

Mapping the 5S rDNA to linkage group 2 of the consensus linkage map offered an interesting opportunity to correlate the linkage map and the karyotype. In situ hybridisation studies have been carried out in several Picea species. They reported a unique 5S rDNA locus in P. abies (Lubaretz et al. 1996) as well as in P. glauca and P. sitchensis (Brown and Carlson 1997). This 5S rDNA locus was located on homologous chromosomes in the three species, i.e. chromosome II of the P. abies and chromosome 5 of the P. glauca and P. sitchensis karyotypes (Brown and Carlson 1997). Based on this cytogenetic location of the 5S rDNA locus, it was possible to compare linkage group 2 of our consensus map to chromosome II of the *P. abies* karyotype. Correspondence between a linkage group and an individual chromosome could be thus established. Integration of the 5S rDNA in linkage analyses that will be developed for the other *Picea* species mentioned above, as well as in other conifer species with a unique 5S rDNA locus such as Larix decidua (Lubaretz et al. 1996) or Pseudotsuga menziesii (Amarasinghe and Carlson 1998), would be thus very useful to facilitate extensive comparisons between genetic maps and karyotype.

Comparative genome mapping

To facilitate comparison with other genetic maps, we tested almost all the previously reported SSRs derived from *Picea* species (Pfeiffer et al. 1997; Scotti et al. 2000; 2002a; 2002b; Besnard et al. 2003). This allowed us to map 74 SSR loci, of which 27, located in 11 of the 12 linkage groups of the consensus map, were common to the two other published maps for *P. abies* that included this type of marker (Paglia et al. 1998; http://www.pierroton.inra.fr/genetics/Picea/). Three linkage groups of the web site map http://www.pierroton.inra.fr/genetics/Picea/ even showed a complete conservation of synteny and co-linearity of markers with our consensus map.

SSRs can thus be confirmed to be suitable markers for within-species comparative genome mapping. It is important to notice that among the 74 SSR loci of our consensus map, eight originated from *Picea* species others than *P. abies* (e.g. *P. glauca*: Hodgetts et al. 2001; Rajora et al. 2001; Besnard et al. 2003). These transferable SSRs could provide convenient anchor points for extending map comparison to the interspecific level within the genus *Picea*.

Due to their insufficient number on the consensus map, ESTP loci were not totally useful for comparative mapping. However, in contrast, they displayed good transferability to the other *Picea* species tested so far, and also to several species of genus *Pinus (P. pinaster, P. taeda)*. For this reason, they undoubtedly represent choice markers for further development of comparative genome mapping at the between-species and between-genera levels.

Acknowledgements This work has been carried out within the EU Research Project QLK5-CT1999-01159 "UHD Map of coniferous species" (http://www.neiker.net/UHDfor/). We would like to thank D. Bodecker, M. Wellern, S. Bröcker and J. Hellström for their work in the tree nursery and the field.

References

- Amarasinghe V, Carlson JE (1998) Physical mapping and characterization of 5S rRNA genes in Douglas fir. J Hered 89:495– 500
- Besnard G, Acheré V, Faivre-Rampant P, Favre JM, Jeandroz S (2003) A set of cross-species amplifying microsatellite markers developed from DNA sequence databanks in *Picea* (Pinaceae). Mol Ecol Notes 3:380–383
- Binelli G, Bucci G (1994) A genetic linkage map of *Picea abies* Karst., based on RAPD markers, as a tool in population genetics. Theor Appl Genet 88:283–288
- Bodenes C, Laigret F, Kremer A (1996) Inheritance and molecular variations of PCR-SSCP fragments in pedunculate oak (*Quercus robur* L.). Theor Appl Genet 93:348–354
- Bradeen JM, Staub JE, Wye C, Antonise R, Peleman J (2001) Towards an expanded and integrated linkage map of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Genome 44:111–119
- Brown GR, Carlson JE (1997) Molecular cytogenetics of the genes encoding 18S-5.8S-26S rRNA and 5S rRNA in two species of spruce (*Picea*). Theor Appl Genet 95:1–9
- Brown GR, Kadel EE, Bassoni DL, Kiehne KL, Temesgen B, van Buijtenen JP, Sewell MM, Marshall KA, Neale DB (2001)

Anchored reference loci in Loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) for integrating pine genomics. Genetics 159:799–809

- Bucci G, Kubisiak TL, Nance WL, Menozzi P, Bucci G (1997) A population 'consensus', partial linkage map of *Picea abies* Karst. based on RAPD markers. Theor Appl Genet 95:643–654
- Cervera MT, Storme V, Ivens B, Gusmao J, Liu BH, Hostyn V, Slycken JV, Montagu MV, Boerjan W (2001) Dense genetic linkage maps of three *Populus* species (*Populus deltoides*, *P. nigra* and *P. trichocarpa*) based on AFLP and microsatellite markers. Genetics 158:787–809
- Chagné D, Lalanne C, Madur D, Kumar S, Frigério JM, Krier C, Decroocq S, Savouré A, Bou-Dagher-Kharrat M, Bertocchi E, Brach J, Plomion C (2002) A high density genetic map of maritime pine based on AFLPs. Ann For Sci 59:627–636
- Chagné D, Brown G, Lalanne C, Madur D, Pot D, Neale D, Plomion C (2003) Comparative genome and QTL mapping between maritime and Loblolly pines. Mol Breed 12:185–195
- Chakraborty R, Kimmel M, Stivers DN, Davison LJ, Deaka R (1997) Relative mutation rates at di-, tri-, and tetra-nucleotide microsatellite loci. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:1041–1046
- Dumolin, S, Demesure, B, Petit RJ (1995) Inheritance of chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes in pedunculate oak investigated with an efficient PCR method. Theor Appl Genet 91:1253–1256
- Elsik CG, Williams CG (2001) Families of clustered microsatellites in a conifer genome. Mol Genet Genomics 265:535–542
- Fladung M, Tusch A, Markussen T, Ziegenhagen B (1999) Analysis of morphological mutants in *Picea*. Proceedings of the international congress on the applications of biotechnology to forest genetics, Vitoria-Gasteiz, 22–25 September 1999. pp 167–170
- Gosselin I, Zhou Y, Bousquet J, Isabel N (2002) Megagametophyte-derived linkage maps of white spruce (*Picea glauca*) based on RAPD, SCAR and ESTP markers. Theor Appl Genet 104:987–997
- Grattapaglia D, Sederoff R (1994) Genetic linkage maps of *Eucalyptus grandis* and *Eucalyptus urophylla* using a pseudotest cross: mapping strategy and RAPD markers. Genetics 137:1121–1137
- Harry DE, Temesgen B, Neale DB (1998) Co-dominant PCR-based for *Pinus taeda* developed from mapped cDNA clones. Theor Appl Genet 97:327–336
- Hodgetts RB, Aleksiuk MA, Brown A, Clarke C, Macdonald E, Nadeem S, Khasa D (2001) Development of microsatellite markers for white spruce (*Picea glauca*) and related species. Theor Appl Genet 102:1252–1258
- Komulainen P, Brown GR, Mikkonen M, Karku A, Garcia-Gil MR, O'Malley D, Lee B, Neale DB, Savolainen O (2003) Comparing EST-based genetic maps between *Pinus sylvestris* and *Pinus taeda*. Theor Appl Genet 107:667–678
- Kosambi DD (1944) The estimation of map distances from recombination values. Ann Eugen 12:172–175
- Lubaretz O, Fuchs J, Ahne R, Meister A, Schubert I (1996) Karyotyping of three Pinaceae species via fluorescent in situ hybridization and computer-aided chromosome analysis. Theor Appl Genet 92:411–416
- McCouch SR, Teytelman L, Xu Y, Lobos KB, Clare K, Walton M, Fu B, Maghirang R, Li Z, Xing Y, Zhang Q, Kono I, Yano M, Fjellstrom R, DeClerck G, Schneider D, Cartinhour S, Ware D, Stein L (2002) Development and mapping of 2,240 new SSR markers for rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). DNA Res 9:199–207
- Paglia GP, Olivieri AM, Morgante M (1998) Towards secondgeneration STS (sequence-tagged sites) linkage maps in conifers: a genetic map of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* K.). Mol Gen Genet 258:466–478
- Perry DJ, Bousquet J (1998a) Sequence-tagged-site (STS) markers of arbitrary genes: the utility of black spruce-derived STS primers in other conifers. Theor Appl Genet 97:735–743
- Perry DJ, Bousquet J (1998b) Sequence-tagged-site (STS) markers of arbitrary genes: development, characterization and analysis of linkage in black spruce. Genetics 149:1089–1098

- Pfeiffer A, Oliveri AM, Morgante M (1997) Identification and characterization of microsatellites in Norway spruce (*Picea abies* K.) Genome 40:411–419
- Plomion C, Costa P, Bahrman N, Frigerio JM (1997) Genetic analysis of needle proteins in maritime pine. Silvae Genet 46:161–165
- Plomion C, Hurme P, Frigerio JM, Ridolfi M, Pot D, Pionneau C, Avila C, Gallardo F, David H, Neutelings G, Campbell M, Canovas FM, Savolainen O, Bodénès C, Kremer A (1999) Developping SSCP markers in two *Pinus* species. Mol Breed 5:21–31
- Rajora OP, Rahman MH, Dayanandan S, Mosseler A (2001) Isolation, characterization, inheritance and linkage of microsatellite DNA markers in white spruce (*Picea glauca*) and their usefulness in other spruce species. Mol Gen Genet 264:871–882
- Remington DL, Whetten RW, Liu BH, O'Malley DM (1999) Construction of an AFLP genetic map with nearly complete genome coverage in *Pinus taeda*. Theor Appl Genet 98:1279– 1292
- Ritter E, Gebhardt C, Salamini F (1990) Estimation of recombination frequencies and construction of RFLP linkage maps in plants from crosses between heterozygous parents. Genetics 224:645–654
- Ritter E, Aragonés A, Markussen T, Acheré V, Espinel S, Fladung M, Wrobel S, Faivre-Rampant, Jeandroz S, Favre JM (2002) Towards construction of an ultra high density linkage map for *Pinus pinaster*. Ann For Sci 59:637–643
- Schmidt A, Doudrick R L, Heslop-Harrison J S, Schmidt T (2000) The contribution of short repeats of low sequence complexity to large conifer genomes. Theor Appl Genet 101:7–14
- Schubert R, Mueller-Starck G, Riegel R (2001) Development of EST-PCR markers and monitoring their intrapopulational genetic variation in *Picea abies* (L.) Karst. Theor Appl Genet 103:1223–1231
- Scotti I, Magni F, Fink R, Powell W, Binelli G, Hedley PE (2000) Microsatellite repeats are not randomly distributed within Norway spruce (*Picea abies* K.) expressed sequences. Genome 43:41–46
- Scotti I, Magni F, Paglia GP, Morgante M (2002a) Trinucleotide microsatellites in Norway spruce (*Picea abies*): their features and the development of molecular markers. Theor Appl Genet 106:40–50
- Scotti I, Paglia GP, Magni F, Morgante M (2002b) Efficient development of dinucleotide microsatellite markers in Norway spruce (*Picea abies* Karst.) through dot-blot selection. Theor Appl Genet 104:1035–1041
- Sewell MM, Sherman BK, Neale DB (1999) A consensus map for Loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.). I. Construction and integration of individual linkage maps from two outbred three-generation pedigrees. Genetics 151:321–330
- Shepherd M, Cross M, Maguire TL, Dieters MJ, Williams CG, Henry RJ (2002) Transpecific microsatellites for hard pines. Theor Appl Genet 104:819–827
- Siljak-Yakoviev S, Cerbah M, Couland J, Stoian V, Brown SC, Zoldos V, Jelenic S, Papes D (2002) Nuclear DNA content, base composition, heterochromatin and rDNA in *Picea omorika* and *Picea abies*. Theor Appl Genet 104:505–512
- Skov E, Wellendorf H (1998) A partial linkage of *Picea abies* Clone V6470 based on recombination of RAPD-markers in haploid megegametophytes. Silvae Genet 47:273–282
- Temesgen B, Brown GR, Harry DE, Kinlaw CS, Sewell MM, Neale DB (2001) Genetic mapping of expressed sequence tag polymorphism (ESTP) markers in Loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.). Theor Appl Genet 102:664–675
- Troggio M, Kubisiak TL, Bucci G, Menozzi P (2001) Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA linkage relationships in different Norway spruce populations. Can J For Res 31:1456–1461
- Trontin JF, Grandemange C, Favre JM (1999) Two highly divergent 5S rDNA unit size classes occur in composite tandem arrays in European larch (*Larix decidua* Mill.) and

Japanese larch [Larix kaempferi (Lamb.) Carr.]. Genome 42:837–848

- Van Ooijen JW, Voorrips RE (2001) JoinMap 3.0, Software for the calculation of genetic linkage maps. Plant Research International, Wageningen, The Netherlands. http://www.plant.wageningen-ur.nl
- Voorrips RE (2002) MapChart: software for the graphical presentation of linkage maps and QTLs. J Hered 93:77–78
- Vos P, Hogers R, Bleeker M, Reijans M, Van de Lee T, Hornes M, Frijters A, Pot J, Peleman J, Kuiper M, Zabeau M (1995) AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprints. Nucleic Acids Res 23:4407–4414
- Vuylsteke M, Mank R, Antonise R, Bastiaans E, Senior ML, Stuber CW, Melchinger AE, Lübberstedt T, Xia XC, Stam P, Zabeau M, Kuiper M (1999) Two high-density AFLP linkage maps of Zea mays L.: analysis of distribution of AFLP markers. Theor Appl Genet 99:921–935
- Yin TM, Wang XR, Andersson B, Lerceteau-Köhler E (2003) Nearly complete genetic maps of *Pinus sylvestris* L. (Scots pine) constructed by AFLP marker analysis in a full-sib family. Theor Appl Genet 106:1075–1083
- Young WP, Schupp JM, Keim P (1999) DNA methylation and AFLP marker distribution in the soybean genome. Theor Appl Genet 99:785–790