

Serveur Académique Lausannois **SERVAL** serval.unil.ch

Author Manuscript

Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication

This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Published in final edited form as:

Title: Association between non-medical prescription drug use and personality traits among young Swiss men.

Authors: N'Goran AA, Baggio S, Deline S, Studer J, Mohler-Kuo M, Daeppen JB, Gmel G

Journal: Psychiatry and clinical neurosciences

Year: 2015 Apr

Issue: 69

Volume: 4

Pages: 228-37

DOI: 10.1111/pcn.12231

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.

1 **Association between Non-Medical Prescription Drug Use and Personality traits among**
2 **young Swiss men.**

3 Running title: **Association NMPDU and personality traits**

4 Alexandra A. N’Goran, M.D., M.P.H. ^a, Stéphanie Baggio, Ph.D. ^a, Stéphane Deline, Ph.D.
5 ^a, Joseph Studer, Ph.D. ^a, Meichun Mohler-Kuo, Sc.D. ^c, Jean-Bernard Daeppen, M.D. ^a,
6 Gerhard Gmel, Ph.D. ^{a, b, c, d}

7 ^a Alcohol Treatment Centre, Lausanne University Hospital CHUV, Av. Beaumont 21 bis,
8 Pavillon 2, CH-1011 Lausanne, Switzerland.

9 ^b Addiction Switzerland, Case postale 870, CH-1001 Lausanne, Switzerland.

10 ^c Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, 250 College St, Toronto, Ontario, M5T 1R8,
11 Canada.

12 ^d University of the West of England, Frenchay Campus, Coldharbour Lane, Bristol BS16
13 1QY, United Kingdom.

14 ^e Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Zurich, Hirschengraben 84, CH-
15 8001 Zurich, Switzerland.

16 **Corresponding author and guarantor** Alexandra A. N’Goran M.D, M.P.H. Alcohol
17 Treatment Centre, Lausanne University Hospital CHUV, Av. Beaumont 21 bis, Pavillon 2,
18 CH-1011 Lausanne, Switzerland, Adjua-Alexandra.NGoran@chuv.ch; Tel:+41 21 314 33
19 42; Fax: +41 21 314 05 62

20 **Word count: 4005 Number of tables: 2**

21 **Field to which the manuscript is being submitted:** psychopharmacology and

22 neuropharmacology

23

24 **Abstract** (245 words)

25 **Aim** To investigate the relationships between six classes of non-medical prescription drug
26 use (NMPDU) and five personality traits.

27 **Methods** Representative baseline data on 5,777 Swiss men around 20 years old were taken
28 from the Cohort Study on Substance Use Risk Factors.

29 NMPDU of opioid analgesics, sedatives/sleeping pills, anxiolytics, antidepressants, beta-
30 blockers and stimulants over the previous 12 months was measured. Personality was
31 assessed using the brief sensation seeking scale; attention-deficit hyperactivity (ADH) using
32 the adult attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder self-report scale; and aggression/hostility,
33 anxiety/neuroticism and sociability using the Zuckerman-Kuhlmann personality
34 questionnaire.

35 Logistic regression models for each personality trait were fitted, as were seven multiple
36 logistic regression models predicting each NMPDU adjusting for all personality traits and
37 covariates.

38 **Results** Around 10.7% of participants reported NMPDU in the last 12 months, with opioid
39 analgesics most prevalent (6.7%), then sedatives/sleeping pills (3.0%), anxiolytics (2.7%),
40 and stimulants (1.9%). Sensation seeking (SS), ADH, aggressivity/hostility, and
41 anxiety/neuroticism (but not sociability) were significantly positively associated with at
42 least one drug class (OR varied between 1.24 [1.04-1.48] and 1.86 [1.47-2.35]).

43 Aggression/hostility, anxiety/neuroticism and ADH were significantly and positively related
44 to almost all NMPDU.

45 Sociability was inversely related to NMPDU of sedatives/sleeping pills and anxiolytics (OR
46 0.70 [0.51-0.96] and 0.64 [0.46-0.90], respectively).

47 SS was only related to stimulant use (OR=1.74 [1.14-2.65]).

48 **Conclusion:** People with higher scores for ADH, aggression/hostility and
49 anxiety/neuroticism are at higher risk of NMPDU. Sociability appeared to protect from
50 NMPDU of sedatives/sleeping pills and anxiolytics.

51 **Key words:** NMPDU, personality traits, young men, Switzerland.

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93

INTRODUCTION

Prescription drugs such as opioid analgesics, sedatives, anxiolytics and stimulants are essential pharmacological agents in the treatment of acute and chronic pain, insomnia, anxiety, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and other psychiatric disorders.¹ However, management of these medicines is complicated by their potential for abuse or dependence^{1,2}. Similarly, non-medical prescription drug use (NMPDU) can occur and may even outnumber illicit drug use, e.g. because NMPD may be easier available and people may – often wrongly - assume that their use is not illegal. For example NMPDU is positively associated with prescribing patterns^{3,4}, which can be misperceived as a legal dispensation of these drugs.

NMPDU involves the use of prescription drugs without a prescription or in ways not recommended by a doctor.^{1,5} The motives of misuse are generally self-medication and recreational use (e.g. experimentation, ‘getting high’).⁶ NMPDU is on the rise in the United States (US)^{1,2} and is becoming a growing public health problem.⁷ Several forces are apparently driving increases of NMPDU: marked increases in the numbers of prescriptions; internet access to prescription drugs as an easy new source of supply; and changes in drug formulation and prescribing practices that may lead to a greater risk of diversion and abuse.⁸ In 2007, non-medical prescription opioids were second only to cannabis as the most frequently used illegal drug in the US;⁹ and in 2010, 3.6% of those aged 12 or older were current users of illicit drugs other than cannabis, with the majority of them being non-medical users of psychotherapeutic drugs.^{9,10} Young adults are now misusing prescription drugs at higher rates than all other illegal drugs with the exception of cannabis.⁷

Most research has been undertaken in the US and focused on the most misused drug classes (i.e. opioid analgesics, sedatives/sleeping pills, anxiolytics and stimulants). However, there are only few studies outside the US, particularly in Europe. For this reason, the present

94 study looks at NMPDU among twenty-year-old men in Switzerland, which may serve as a
95 role country for established market economies in Europe.

96
97 A major aim of the present study is to look at personality traits of NMPD users. Personality
98 traits (defined as “individual differences in the tendency to behave, think, and feel in certain
99 consistent ways”¹¹) have been shown to be associated with substance use.^{10, 12} For example,
100 anxiety and sensation seeking (SS) are related to high and problematic substance use
101 behaviors^{13, 14}, and higher levels of SS seem to be associated with higher risks for an early
102 onset of substance use and polysubstance use.¹⁵ Little is known, however, about personality
103 traits of NMPD users? Are NMPD users ‘normal’ individuals who overcome sometimes
104 stressful situations with NMPDU, or do they show a profile that resembles users of other
105 illicit substances? The answer to this question could enable early detection of particularly
106 risky NMPDU.

107 A recent study¹⁶ of the National Epidemiological Survey on Alcohol and Related
108 Conditions (NESARC) indicated a shared, gender invariant liability to NMPDU and other
109 forms of externalizing psychopathology such as other substance use disorders, as well as
110 antisocial behaviors. It is crucial to identify the risk profiles of substance use or abuse.¹²
111 Substance users’ personalities form part of those risk profiles. Knowledge about personality
112 factors can be used to design intervention and prevention strategies.¹⁷ Thus, this study will
113 provide information concerning personality traits and NMPDU.

114
115 The present study also goes beyond earlier studies by looking at drug classes commonly not
116 under study: 1) beta blockers (which may be misused for their anti-tremor and, perhaps to a
117 lesser degree, anti-anxiety effects)¹⁸, and 2) the antidepressants widely used against
118 symptoms of depressive disorders and increasingly to treat anxiety disorders.¹⁹ Moreover,
119 these two substances are among those used by healthy individuals in an attempt to enhance
120 their cognitive function, such as increased concentration and focus for a specific task (or

121 reduce anxiety and fear), particularly by students in connection with exams.^{20, 21} An
122 association with a fear subfactor of internalizing behaviours has been shown for NESARC.¹⁶

123
124 Commonly, research focused only on personality traits that were known to show higher
125 risks for substance use (e.g. SS, anxiety). Personality traits which may have protective
126 effects have rarely been studied. In addition to SS and anxiety/neuroticism, the present study
127 therefore includes sociability and aggression/hostility, which have been associated with
128 substance use in adolescents and young adults.²² It also includes attention
129 deficit/hyperactivity (ADH) because sufferers of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder
130 (ADHD) were significantly more likely to develop disorders involving abuse/dependence
131 for nicotine, alcohol, marijuana, cocaine, and other substances.²³ An aim of the present
132 study therefore is to investigate whether these personality traits not only play a role in heavy
133 legal substance use and illicit drug or polysubstance use of e.g. cannabis, cocaine, heroin,
134 but also in NMPDU.

135
136 The few studies on NMPDU and personality factors have commonly looked at only one
137 personality trait or on personality traits which were expected to have a detrimental impact
138 on substance use. The link between a single personality trait and a single drug does not
139 allow to study whether there is an overarching personality trait related to all non-medical
140 prescription drugs, or whether different personalities choose different drugs. Furthermore,
141 most studies on NMPDU focused on opioid analgesics, stimulants, sedatives and
142 anxiolytics.^{9, 10} and were conducted in the US, where factors such as availability and
143 prescribing practices are different from those in Europe. Thus high and increasing
144 prevalence rates may not be mirrored and be related to more particular or “extreme”
145 personality profiles. The present study therefore aimed to assess the relationships between 5
146 specific personality traits (SS, attention-deficit/hyperactivity (ADH), anxiety/neuroticism,
147 aggression/hostility and sociability) and 6 NMPDU (opioid analgesics, sedatives/sleeping

148 pills, anxiolytics, antidepressants, beta-blockers and stimulants) in Switzerland. We
149 hypothesize that personality traits such as SS, ADH, anxiety/neuroticism,
150 aggression/hostility and sociability would be significantly associated with NMPDU, but also
151 that different personality traits would be related with different NMPDs.
152
153

154

METHODS

155

2.1. Sample

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

Data came from the Cohort Study on Substance Use Risk Factors (C-SURF), a longitudinal study designed to assess the substance use patterns of young Swiss men and the related consequences. Enrolment took place between August 2010 and November 2011 in 3 of 6 national Swiss army recruitment centers, located in Lausanne (French-speaking), Windisch and Mels (German-speaking). These cover 21 of 26 Swiss cantons. Attending army recruitment is compulsory, so virtually all men around 20 years-old (20 ± 1.22) were available for inclusion in the study.

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

The study was conducted outside the army context. Questionnaires in French or German (cf <http://www.c-surf.ch/en/30.html>) were sent to the 7,563 private addresses of those who gave written consent to participate. Of these, 5,990 (79.2%) returned a completed baseline questionnaire. Participants ($n = 213$, 3.5%) who omitted variables of interest were excluded (final sample $n = 5,777$). As had been shown in a previous study²⁴, there was a certain amount of non-response bias, but this was often small and went in different directions. For the Francophone sample, for example, there were more alcohol abstainers among non-respondents (11.6%) than respondents (11.2%), but there were more non-smokers (63.4%) among respondents than non-respondents (49.8%), and this was found for cannabis non-users too (respondents, 64.8%; non-respondents, 58.0%)²⁴. For the analysis of non-response bias, a very short five-minute questionnaire containing questions on demography, alcohol, tobacco and cannabis use was administered to all conscripts going through the recruitment procedures. It yielded a response rate of 94%. Unfortunately, the brevity necessary to ensure a high response rate from non-participants in the cohort study meant that no questions about NMPDU were asked in this very short questionnaire. Given the small differences for the others drugs assessed, we did not expect a major non-response bias for NMPDU.

179 2.2. Study protocol

180 The study protocol (Protocol No 15/07) was approved by Lausanne University Medical
181 School's Clinical Research Ethics Committee.

182 2.3. Hypotheses

183 We hypothesized that personality traits such as SS, ADH, anxiety/neuroticism,
184 aggression/hostility and sociability would be significantly associated with NMPDU in
185 general, but not necessarily all traits with all types of NMPDU. We hypothesize that SS will
186 be significantly associated with all NMPDU due to mainly recreational purposes (i.e.
187 experimentation or getting high) for people high on SS. Other personality traits, however,
188 may have differential associations with different types of drugs because of their use for self-
189 medication, e.g. we hypothesize that anxiety/neuroticism is associated with anxiolytics and
190 antidepressants but not with stimulants, whereas stimulants are more often used by people
191 high on ADH.

192

193 2.4. Measurements

194 2.2.1. Non-Medical Prescription Drug Use (NMPDU)

195 NMPDU was described to participants as use of prescription drugs without a prescription or
196 in ways not recommended by a doctor.

197 Frequency of NMPDU over the last 12 months was assessed for 6 drug classes (opioid
198 analgesics, sedatives/sleeping pills, anxiolytics, antidepressants, beta blockers and
199 stimulants). Examples were given for each class: a) sedatives/sleeping pills, e.g.
200 benzodiazepines (Dalmadorm®, Rohypnol®), zopiclone or zolpidem (Imovane®,
201 Stilnox®), chloral hydrate or barbiturates; b) anxiolytics, e.g. benzodiazepines (Valium®,
202 Xanax®, Librax®) or muscle relaxants; c) opioid analgesics excluding aspirin and
203 paracetamol, e.g. codeine (Benylin®), opiates (fentanyl, hydrocode) or buprenorphine
204 (Tamgesic®); d) antidepressants, e.g. Fluoxetine®, Remeron®; e) stimulants, e.g.

205 amphetamine sulfate, atomoxetine or methylphenidate; and f) beta-blockers, e.g.
206 propranolol, atenolol or metoprolol. Respondents answered on an eight-point scale (from
207 ‘never’ to ‘4 times per week or more’). The frequency of NMPDU was dichotomized as
208 use/no use in the past 12 months. NMPDU prevalence was first calculated for the use of (at
209 least) any one class of drug and then separately for each of the 6 classes. These data were
210 the dependent variables.

211 2.2.2. Personality traits

212 1) Sensation seeking (SS) has been defined as a strong need for varied, novel and stimulated
213 experiences, and willingness to take risks for the sake of them²⁵. This study used the brief
214 sensation seeking scale (BSSS)²⁶ – an 8-item measurement of impulsive SS pertaining to the
215 need for excitement, unpredictability and novelty, as well as the tendency to act quickly
216 without thinking. Participants answered on a five-point scale (from 1 ‘strongly disagree’ to
217 5 ‘strongly agree’). A SS global score was calculated by averaging the 8 items. Scores were
218 dichotomized as below the median, or equal to the median of 3.12 or above, and coded
219 ‘low’ or ‘high’, respectively. Cronbach’s α of the present study was 0.81.

220 2) Adult Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity (ADH)

221 ADH was measured like the adult attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (a disorder
222 including symptoms of inattention, impulsivity, hyperactivity and associated impairments in
223 multiple domains of functioning)²⁷, using a 6-item screener version of the attention deficit
224 syndrome adult self-report scale (ASRS).²⁸ Participants answered on a five-point scale (from
225 0 ‘never’ to 4 ‘very often’). Responses were summed to obtain a global score ranging from
226 0 to 24.²⁹ Scores were dichotomized as below the median, or equal the median of 5 or
227 above, and coded ‘low’ and ‘high’, respectively. Our study did not use ADH as a disorder
228 diagnosis, but as a screener of the attention deficit/hyperactivity personality trait.
229 Cronbach’s α of the present study was 0.80.

230 3) Aggression/hostility, anxiety/neuroticism and sociability

231 The 3 personality dimensions of aggression/hostility, anxiety/neuroticism and sociability
232 were measured using the Zuckerman-Kuhlmann personality questionnaire.³⁰ Each
233 dimension was assessed using a 10-item subscale in which participants answered true or
234 false. For each of the 3 personality dimensions, the scale was first scored by summing the
235 items ranging from 0 to 10 for each subscale. Again, for each subscale, scores were
236 dichotomized as below the median, or equal to or above the median, and coded ‘low’ and
237 ‘high’, respectively. The medians were 1 for anxiety/neuroticism, 4 for aggression/hostility
238 and 6 for sociability. Cronbach’s α of the present study were 0.62, 0.70 and 0.65 for
239 aggression/hostility, anxiety/neuroticism and sociability, respectively.

240 2.2.3. Covariates

241 Demographic covariates included age, relationship status (coded ‘single’ or ‘other’),
242 educational level (coded ‘primary’, <10 years of schooling; ‘secondary’, 10–12 years;
243 ‘tertiary’, 13 years or more), current living arrangements (coded ‘living with family/couple’
244 or ‘alone/orphanage/foster home/homeless’), and financial independence, i.e. whether
245 respondents were able to provide for themselves financially (coded ‘totally independent’,
246 ‘partially independent’ and ‘totally dependent’).

247 2.2.4. Statistical analysis

248 All analyses were performed using Stata version 12. Analyses include descriptive
249 demographic characteristics, followed by logistic regressions. First, associations between
250 each personality trait and each type of NMPDU, and then with at least any one NMPDU,
251 were presented by crude odds ratios (OR). The same models were repeated adjusting for
252 other socio-demographic variables. Finally, we fitted 7 multiple logistic regression models,
253 predicting each of the NMPDU and adjusting for all personality traits and covariates.

254 With regard to the sample size, we estimated that for a type 1 error of 5% and a power of
255 80%, 4,221 participants would be needed to detect an OR of 1.5. This OR corresponds to a
256 small effect size³¹ under the assumption that the response probability (dependent variable,
257 i.e. NMPDU is around 5%) and the independent variable of interest (i.e. personality traits
258 with a median split) are correlated with control variables by R-squared of 0.20. Thus, our
259 sample size was more than sufficient to test small effect sizes given that the prevalence of
260 the corresponding NMPDU was not too low.

261 For a dependent variable with a prevalence of 1%, only medium effect sizes (OR=2.5³¹) can
262 be tested. Under the same assumptions as above, 3,116 participants would be needed. Pass
263 11 software (PASS 11. NCSS, LLC. Kaysville, Utah, USA. www.ncss.com) was used to
264 calculate sample sizes. Pass 11 uses the algorithm described by Hsieh, Block, and Larsen
265 (1998).³²

266

267

268

RESULTS

269

3.1. Descriptive data

270

Table 1 summarizes the demographic covariate study variables, descriptive data of each personality trait assessed and NMPDU. Mean age was 20 (SD 1.22).

271

272

A total of 51.8% of participants showed high for SS, 57.0% showed high for ADH, 57.9% showed for aggression/hostility, 75.1% showed high for anxiety/neuroticism and 61.8% showed high for sociability.

273

274

275

Of 5,777 participants, 616 (10.7%) reported at least one NMPDU in the last 12 months.

276

More specifically, 389 (6.7%) respondents had used opioid analgesics, 171 (3.0%)

277

sedatives/sleeping pills, 153 (2.7%) anxiolytics, 109 (1.9%) stimulants, 51 (0.9%)

278

antidepressants and 30 (0.5%) beta blockers.

279

280

Insert Table 1 about here

281

3.2. Logistic regression of NMPDU on personality traits

282

Table 2 shows the association between personality trait and NMPDU adjusting for age,

283

relationship status, educational level, current living arrangements and financial

284

independence.

285

Concerning the association between each personality trait and each type of NMPDU, results

286

(part a) showed that ADH, anxiety/neuroticism, aggression/hostility, and SS were

287

significantly positively related with any NMPDU, but these associations varied with

288

different drug classes. Adjustment by covariates did not change effects (data without

289

covariates adjustment not shown).

290 Part b of Table 2 shows the models for each of the NMPDU adjusting of all personality
291 traits and covariates simultaneously. Multiple adjustments of all personality traits did not
292 alter the findings for models taking personality traits separately. ADH, anxiety/neuroticism
293 and aggression/hostility are positively linked to almost each NMPDU (except ADH and
294 anxiety/neuroticism for beta-blockers, and aggression/hostility for antidepressants). Only
295 aggression/hostility was significantly and positively associated with beta blockers. Those
296 who reported high ADH, anxiety/neuroticism and aggression/hostility, had ORs of 1.47
297 [1.22-1.76] to 1.86 [1.47-2.35] of reporting at least one NMPDU. SS was only significantly
298 positively associated with any NMPDU and with NMPDU of stimulants with OR of 1.24
299 [1.04-1.48] and 1.74 [1.14-2.65], respectively. Sociability was significantly negatively
300 associated with sedatives/sleeping pills and anxiolytics, with OR of 0.70 [0.51-0.96] and
301 0.64 [0.46-0.90], respectively.

302 *Insert Table 2 about here*

303

304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329

DISCUSSION

Our results showed a 10.7% prevalence for any NMPDU in the last 12 months (see Section 3.3 above for details), which seems to be lower than those in the US^{10,33} but were comparable to those in Ontario, Canada³⁴ at least as regards of opioid analgesics. Such differences observed in NMPDU rates between the US and our study could be influenced by differences in prescribing practices, drug access and availability, the distinct dynamics of adolescent drug cultures (e.g. as related to varying subcultural norms related to substance use), or potential substitution effects involving alternative (legal or illegal) drugs.

Nevertheless, Swiss prevalence rates are not negligible. For the 12 months prior to our study, the sample's prevalence for alcohol was 92.9%, followed by tobacco (45.7%) and cannabis (30.7%). After cannabis, the next highest prevalence for an illicit drug was ecstasy (3.7%), followed by cocaine (3.2%).³⁵ Our results confirm that NMPDU by males in Switzerland ranks just after alcohol, tobacco and cannabis use. Further, NMPDU of opioid analgesics alone had a higher prevalence than any illicit drug except cannabis. This indicates that NMPDU may become a growing public health concern that needs monitoring not only in the US, but also in Europe. Furthermore, several US studies showed that NMPDU was more prevalent in women than in men. Thus, prevalence rates may be underestimated in the present study which only included men.

Several studies^{6,7,9,36} including both men and women suggested that the ranking of NMPDU among other substances may hold for both genders. Therefore, the high prevalence of NMPDU among men compared with other illicit drugs coupled with the absence of women in the present study, for which often even higher prevalence rates of NMPDU (but lower rates for other illicit drugs) were found, may even mean that prevalence rates including both women and men could be closer to that of cannabis. However, clearly the

330 potential for gender differences needs confirmation by further studies in Switzerland
331 including women.

332
333 The study's primary aim was to investigate whether personality traits (i.e. SS, ADH,
334 aggression/hostility, anxiety/neuroticism and sociability) were related to NMPDU.
335 Personality variables are important distal factors with direct and indirect effects on the
336 development of substance use.^{22, 37} Although significance levels were partly reduced in
337 multiple trait comparison, the findings did not substantively change compared with models
338 using only single personality traits. It is therefore possible to look at specific relationships
339 between personality and NMPDU separately. This is important for studies that do not have
340 multiple measures of personality at hand, which was the case with many earlier studies so
341 far.

342
343 Our main findings were that individuals with high ADH, aggression/hostility and
344 anxiety/neuroticism scores were more likely to be using non-medically prescribed opioid
345 analgesics, sedatives/sleeping pills, anxiolytics or stimulants. Their use may therefore be
346 more strongly linked to self-medication because of their anti-anxiety and anxiolytic/sedative
347 effects than to recreational use. For example, pain relief, i.e. self-medication was the most
348 reported motive for NMPDU of opioids in other studies.^{38, 39} Although the present study did
349 not measure motives for use, it indirectly supports the hypothesis of NMPDU being more
350 strongly related to self-medication than solely recreational use (experimentation or 'getting
351 high').^{6, 39} NMPDU had a rather "problematic" personality profile, which is also consistent
352 with the fact that self-medication occurs in a context of self-regulation of vulnerabilities –
353 primarily difficulties in regulating effects, self-esteem, relationships and self-care.³⁸

354
355 Many studies on substance use showed an association between heavy alcohol, tobacco or
356 cannabis use, polysubstance use, and misuse among people high on SS¹⁵. Our results

357 showed that SS is solely associated with NMPDU for stimulants but not with other
358 NMPDU, further strengthening our self-medication hypothesis for the other NMPDs. This is
359 consistent with the finding *Low and Gendaszek*⁴⁰, that individuals with high levels of
360 sensation-seeking were more likely to use stimulants. We assume that stimulants are used
361 by sensation seekers in a more recreational way looking for higher arousal levels, whereas
362 they do not use e.g., sedatives/sleeping pills or opioids analgesics to sedate their arousal, or
363 to self-medicate their anxiety. Sensation seekers are generally interested in novel
364 experiences which may be enhanced by stimulants. Further studies are needed to look more
365 closely into the motives related with the use of different NMPD classes, and particularly
366 among sensation seekers.

367

368 In contrast to other studies that have mostly looked at personality traits associated with
369 higher risks of substance use, the present study found sociability to be negatively associated
370 with NMPDU, specifically to sedatives/sleeping pills and anxiolytics. Due to the cross-
371 sectional nature of this study, no causal conclusions can be drawn. We can only speculate
372 about potential explanations of this negative association, because there are almost no studies
373 on the association between sociability and NMPDU that could further substantiate our
374 interpretation. Studies looking at the link between sociability and substance use other than
375 NMPDU have not yielded consistent findings. Some studies reported positive associations,
376 i.e. higher levels of sociability being associated with higher substance use levels;^{37, 41} others
377 have found that less sociability is related to more substance use.⁴² In these studies, substance
378 use has often been studied as a combination of alcohol, tobacco and cannabis. Therefore,
379 neither could be determined whether associations varied across substances, nor was
380 NMPDU included in these studies. Hampson and colleagues found that higher levels of
381 sociability predicted the intention to use alcohol⁴³, but did not predict the intention to smoke
382 cigarettes.⁴⁴ Alcohol use is more prevalent, and perceived to be a less deviant and more
383 socially acceptable behavior, than using other substances. Although as stated above, we can

384 only speculate about findings due to the lack of research on NMPDU and sociability,
385 NMPDU may be a less socially accepted behavior compared with alcohol use. It is clearly
386 less prevalent than alcohol use or even tobacco use. Thus, particularly people high on
387 sociability may disapprove NMPDU due to being a more deviant and socially unaccepted
388 behavior. As this is only a statistical association, it does not mean that all people with
389 medium or low sociability approve NMPDU use. They are just more likely to use NMPD
390 compare with people high on sociability.

391 This study has several limitations. First, study data are self-reported and do not use an
392 objective criterion such as a urine test to confirm findings. Although such data on risky
393 behaviors and substance use are generally considered valid⁴⁵⁴⁵, self-reported surveys could
394 introduce various forms of bias, including recall bias, pressure to give desirable answers and
395 non-response bias. Therefore, adding more objective measures like a urine test would have
396 been good on the one hand. On the other hand people who want to avoid socially
397 undesirable answers may also not be favorable to provide urine tests. Second, this study
398 comprised only men and cannot therefore be generalized to women, although they are
399 known to misuse prescription drugs too. Third, because the data are cross-sectional,
400 causality cannot be determined. Fourth, we did not analyze the differences between poly- or
401 single-substance users, but only assessed NMPDU differences between 1) NMPDU classes,
402 and 2) any NMPDU across several NMPD classes. Poly- versus single-substance NMPD
403 users may have different personality profiles. Finally, several research questions on motives
404 for NMPDU could not be answered, because they were not measured. Particularly direct
405 questions on whether substances were used for self-medication or just for ‘getting high’
406 were missing. More longitudinal data are needed, such as on whether NMPDU induces
407 anxiety and neuroticism, or *vice versa*. Despite these limitations, this study is among the
408 first to document associations between NMPDU and several personality traits, and this for a
409 country outside the US.

410 This study found NMPDU prevalence lower than in the US; however, monitoring of
411 NMPDU should be done in Switzerland because, as like the US, NMPDU prevalence rates
412 are above those for all illicit drugs except cannabis and thus are already a significant public
413 health theme. The present study used a wider spectrum of both NMPDU classes and
414 personality traits than most other studies, thus extending prior research on the association of
415 personality on the development of substance use to NMPDU providing more information
416 about NMPDU. The study suggests that NMPDU was on the one hand consistently
417 positively (though not always significantly so) associated with personality traits such as
418 ADHD, a more aggressive or hostile personality, or a more anxious personality. On the and
419 sensation seeking was specifically positively associated with stimulant use and sociability
420 showed even significant negative associations. This study suggests that potentially
421 problematic users could be screened by personality traits. Thus, the present study has
422 important clinical implications and could be used to inform intervention agencies to develop
423 measures for preventing NMPDU in young adults.

424 **Acknowledgements**

425 This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation [FN 33CS30_139467].

426 **Conflict of interest**

427 None.

428

429

REFERENCES

- 430 1. Blanco C, Alderson D, Ogburn E et al. Changes in the prevalence of non-
431 medical prescription drug use and drug use disorders in the United States:
432 1991–1992 and 2001–2002. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2007; **90**: 252–260.
- 433 2. Zacny J, Bigelow G, Compton P et al. College on Problems of Drug
434 Dependence taskforce on prescription opioid non-medical use and abuse:
435 position statement *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2003; **69**: 215-232.
- 436 3. McCabe S, Teter C, Boyd C. Medical use, illicit use, and diversion of
437 abusable prescription drugs. *J Am Coll Health* 2006 **54**: 269-278.
- 438 4. McCabe S, West BT, Wechsler H. Trends and college-level characteristics
439 associated with the nonmedical use of prescription drugs among US college
440 students from 1993 to 2001. *Addiction* 2007 **102**: 455–465.
- 441 5. Huang B, Dawson D, Stinson F et al. Prevalence, correlates, and
442 comorbidity of nonmedical prescription drug use and drug use disorders in
443 the United States: Results of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol
444 and Related Conditions. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2006; **67**: 1062-1073.
- 445 6. Zacny JP, Lichtor SA. Nonmedical use of prescription opioids: motive and
446 ubiquity issues. *The Journal of Pain* 2008; **9**: 473-486.
- 447 7. Kelly B, Wells BE, LeClair A et al. Prevalence and correlates of prescription
448 drug misuse among socially active young adults. *Int J Drug Policy* 2013; **24**:
449 297-303.
- 450 8. Compton WM, Volkow ND. Major increases in opioid analgesic abuse in the
451 United States: Concerns and strategies. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2006; **81**:
452 103–107.
- 453 9. Martins S S, Fenton M C, Keyes K M et al. Mood and anxiety disorders and
454 their association with non-medical prescription opioid use and prescription
455 opioid-use disorder: longitudinal evidence from the National epidemiologic
456 Study on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *PsycholMed* 2012; **42**: 1261-
457 1272.
- 458 10. Zullig K, Divin A. The association between non-medical prescription drug
459 use, depressive symptoms, and suicidality among college students. *Addict*
460 *Behav* 2012; **37**: 890-899.
- 461 11. Caspi A. Personality development across the life course. *Damon, W &*
462 *Eisenberg, N, Handbook of child psychology.* New York, 1998; 311-388.
- 463 12. Malmberg M, Kleinjan M, Vermulst AA et al. Do Substance Use Risk
464 Personality Dimensions Predict the Onset of Substance Use in Early
465 Adolescence? A Variable- and Person-Centered Approach. *J Youth*
466 *Adolesc* 2012; **41**: 1512–1525.
- 467 13. Conrod PJ, Pihl RO, Vassileva J. Differential sensitivity to alcohol
468 reinforcement in groups of men at risk for distinct alcoholism subtypes.
469 *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 1998; **22**: 585-97.
- 470 14. Sher K, Bartholow B, Wood M. Personality and substance use disorders: a
471 prospective study. *Journal Consult Clinical Psychol.* 2000; **68**: 818-829.

- 472 15. Malmberg M, Overbeek G, Monshouwer K et al. Substance use risk profiles
473 and associations with early substance use in adolescence. *J Behav Med*
474 2010 **33**: 474-485.
- 475 16. Ofrat S, Krueger RF, Eaton NR et al. Nonmedical Prescription Drug Use
476 Comorbidity: Developing a Cohesive Risk Model. *J Psychopathol Behav*
477 *Assess* 2014: 1-9.
- 478 17. Conrod P J CN, Mackie C. Personality-targeted interventions delay the
479 growth of adolescent drinking and binge drinking. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*
480 2008; **49**: 181-190.
- 481 18. Fitch K. Proscribed drugs at the Olympic Games: permitted use and misuse
482 (doping) by athletes. *Clin Med* 2012; **12**: 257–260.
- 483 19. Baldwin DS, Anderson IM, Nutt DJ et al. Evidence-based guidelines for the
484 pharmacological treatment of anxiety disorders: recommendations from the
485 British Association for Psychopharmacology. *J Psychopharmacol* 2005; **19**:
486 567-596.
- 487 20. Maher B. Poll results: look who's doping. *Nature* 2008; **452**: 674-5.
- 488 21. Maier LJ, Liechti ME, Herzig F et al. To Dope or Not to Dope:
489 Neuroenhancement with Prescription Drugs and Drugs of Abuse among
490 Swiss University Students. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e77967.
- 491 22. Hampson SE, Tildesley E, Andrews JA et al. The Relation of Change in
492 Hostility and Sociability During Childhood to Substance Use in Mid
493 Adolescence. *J Res Pers* 2010; **44**: 103-114.
- 494 23. Steinhausen HC, Bisgaard C. Substance use disorders in association with
495 attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, co-morbid mental disorders, and
496 medication in a nationwide sample. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 2013.
- 497 24. Studer J, Baggio S, Mohler-Kuo M et al. Examining non-response bias in
498 substance use research: Are late respondents proxies for non-respondents.
499 *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2013; **132**: 316-23.
- 500 25. Zuckerman M. P-impulsive sensation seeking and its behavioral,
501 psychophysiological and biochemical correlates. *Neuropsychobiology* 1993;
502 **28**: 30-36.
- 503 26. Hoyle RH, Stephenson MT, Palmgreen P et al. Reliability and validity of a
504 brief measure of sensation seeking *Pers Individ Differ* 2002; **32**: 401-414.
- 505 27. Barkley R. Issues in the diagnosis of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder
506 in children. *Brain Dev* 2003; **25**: 77-83.
- 507 28. Kessler RC, Adler L, Ames M et al. The World Health Organization adult
508 ADHD self-report scale (ASRS): a short screening scale for use in the
509 general population. *Psychol Medicine* 2005; **35**: 245–256.
- 510 29. Kessler RC, Adler LA, Gruber MJ et al. Validity of the World Health
511 Organization Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS) Screener in a
512 representative sample of health plan members. *Int J Meth Psych Res* 2007;
513 **16**: 52-65.
- 514 30. Aluja A, Rossier J, Garcia LF et al. A cross-cultural shortened form of the
515 ZKPQ (ZKPQ-50-cc) adapted to English, French, German, and Spanish
516 languages *Pers Individ Differ* 2006; **41**: 619–628.

- 517 31. Rosenthal JA. Qualitative descriptors of strength of association and effect
518 size. *Journal of Social Service Research* 1996; **21**: 37-59.
- 519 32. Hsieh FY, Bloch DA, Larsen MD. A simple method of sample size
520 calculation for linear and logistic regression. *Statistics in medicine* 1998; **17**:
521 1623-1634.
- 522 33. McCabe SE, Teter CJ, Boyd CJ. Medical use, illicit use, and diversion of
523 abusable prescription drugs. *J Am Coll Health* 2010; **54**: 269-278.
- 524 34. Fischer B, Ialomiteanu A, Boak A et al. Prevalence and key covariates of
525 non-medical prescription opioid use among the general secondary student
526 and adult populations in Ontario, Canada. *Drug Alcohol Rev* 2013; **32**: 276–
527 287.
- 528 35. Baggio S, Studer J, Mohler-Kuo M et al. Profiles of drug users in
529 Switzerland and effects of early-onset intensive use of alcohol, tobacco and
530 cannabis on other illicit drug use. *Swiss Med Wkly* 2013; **143**:w13805.
- 531 36. Fischer B, Ialomiteanu A, Boak A et al. Prevalence and key covariates of
532 non-medical prescription opioid use among the general secondary student
533 and adult populations in Ontario, Canada. *Drug and alcohol review* 2013;
534 **32**: 276-287.
- 535 37. Jessor R, Jessor SL. Problem behavior and psychosocial development: A
536 longitudinal study of youth. *New York, NY: Academic Press* 1977.
- 537 38. Khantzian E. The self-medication hypothesis of substance use disorders: a
538 reconsideration and recent applications. *Harvard review of psychiatry* 1997;
539 **4**: 231-244.
- 540 39. Merlo LJ, Singhakant S, Cummings SM et al. Reasons for misuse of
541 prescription medication among physicians undergoing monitoring by a
542 physician health program. *Journal of addiction medicine* 2013; **7**: 349-353.
- 543 40. Low KG, Gendaszek A. Illicit use of psychostimulants among college
544 students: a preliminary study. *Psychology, Health & Medicine* 2002; **7**: 283-
545 287.
- 546 41. Chassin L, Pillow DR, Curran PJ et al. Relation of parental alcoholism to
547 early adolescent substance use: a test of three mediating mechanisms. *J*
548 *Abnorm Psychol* 1993; **102**: 3-19.
- 549 42. Tarter RE, Sambrano S, Dunn MG. Predictor variables by developmental
550 stages: a center for substance abuse prevention multisite study. *Psychol*
551 *Addict Behav* 2002; **16**: S3-10.
- 552 43. Hampson SE, Andrews JA, Barckley M et al. Personality predictors of the
553 development of elementary school children's intentions to drink alcohol: the
554 mediating effects of attitudes and subjective norms. *Psychol Addict Behav*
555 2006; **20**: 288-97.
- 556 44. Hampson SE, Andrews JA, Barckley M. Predictors of the development of
557 elementary-school children's intentions to smoke cigarettes: hostility,
558 prototypes, and subjective norms. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2007b; **9**: 751-60.
- 559 45. Ford T. Practitioner review: How can epidemiology help us plan and deliver
560 effective child and adolescent mental health services? *J Child Psychol*
561 *Psychiatry* 2008 **49**: 900-914.

Table 1. Descriptive data (*n* = 5777)

Demographic variables		Independent: Personality traits		Dependent: NMPDU	
Variables	<i>n</i> (%)	Variables	<i>n</i> (%)	Variables	<i>n</i> (%)
Educational level		SS			
Primary (<10 years)	2780 (48.1)	Low	2786 (48.2)	Any drug	616 (10.7)
Secondary (10–12 years)	1530 (26.5)	High	2991 (51.8)	Opioid analgesics	389 (6.7)
Tertiary (≥13 years)	1467 (25.4)			Sedatives/sleeping pills	171 (3)
Relationship status		ADH		Anxiolytics	153 (2.7)
Single	5487 (95)	Low	2485 (43)	Stimulants	109 (1.9)
Other	290 (5)	High	3292 (57)	Antidepressants	51 (0.9)
Current living arrangements		Aggression/hostility		Beta-blockers	30 (0.5)
Family/couple	5313 (92)	Low	2434 (42.1)		
Other (alone/orphanage/foster home/homeless)	464 (8)	High	3343 (57.9)		
		Anxiety/neuroticism			
		Low	1440 (24.9)		
		High	4337 (75.1)		
		Sociability			
		Low	2208 (38.2)		
		High	3569 (61.8)		

ADH, attention deficit–hyperactivity; NMPDU, non-medical prescription drug use; SS, sensation seeking.

Table 2. Associations between personality traits and NMPDU (*n* = 5777)

Personality traits	Associations between each personality trait and each NMPDU						
	Use of any drug	Sleeping pills	Painkillers	Stimulants	Anxiolytics	Antidepressants	Beta-blockers
	AOR [†] (95%CI)	AOR [†] (95%CI)	AOR [†] (95%CI)	AOR [†] (95%CI)	AOR [†] (95%CI)	AOR [†] (95%CI)	AOR [†] (95%CI)
SS	1.34 (1.14–1.60)**	1.23 (0.90–1.67)	1.21 (0.98–1.49)	2.02 (1.34–3.04)**	1.25 (0.90–1.74)	1.04 (0.60–1.82)	0.71 (0.34–1.47)
ADH	1.65 (1.38–1.98)**	2.41 (1.69–3.44)**	1.41 (1.14–1.76)**	2.24 (1.45–3.46)**	2.50 (1.71–3.65)**	2.52 (1.31–4.85)**	1.79 (0.81–3.94)
Aggression/hostility	1.70 (1.42–2.04)**	1.90 (1.35–2.67)**	1.67 (1.33–2.09)**	2.88 (1.80–4.62)**	2.59 (1.76–3.81)**	2.11 (1.12–3.98)*	2.90 (1.18–7.11)*
Anxiety	2.03 (1.61–2.56)**	2.41 (1.52–3.82)**	2.00 (1.49–2.66)**	2.11 (1.22–3.65)**	2.37 (1.46–3.85)**	5.35 (1.66–17.21)**	3.00 (0.91–9.90)
Sociability	0.89 (0.75–1.06)	0.62 (0.46–0.85)**	1.04 (0.84–1.29)	0.86 (0.59–1.27)	0.58 (0.42–0.80)**	0.87 (0.49–1.52)	0.60 (0.29–1.24)
Multiple logistic regression of NMPDU on personality traits modeled simultaneously							
Personality traits	Any NMPDU	Sleeping pills	Painkillers	Stimulants	Anxiolytics	Antidepressants	Beta-blockers
	AOR [‡] (95%CI)	AOR [‡] (95%CI)	AOR [‡] (95%CI)	AOR [‡] (95%CI)	AOR [‡] (95%CI)	AOR [‡] (95%CI)	AOR [‡] (95%CI)
SS	1.24 (1.04–1.48)*	1.10 (0.80–1.52)	1.11 (0.90–1.38)	1.74 (1.14–2.65)**	1.11 (0.79–1.55)	0.88 (0.50–1.55)	0.64 (0.30–1.34)
ADH	1.47 (1.22–1.76)**	2.08 (1.45–3.00)**	1.29 (1.03–.61)*	1.84 (1.18–2.87)**	2.11 (1.44–3.11)**	2.26 (1.16–4.38)*	1.61 (0.72–3.60)
Aggression/hostility	1.54 (1.28–1.85)**	1.70 (1.21–2.40)**	1.54 (1.23–1.93)**	2.48 (1.54–4.00)**	2.32 (1.57–3.43)**	1.88 (0.99–3.56)	2.75 (1.11–6.79)*
Anxiety	1.86 (1.47–2.35)**	1.99 (1.25–3.17)**	1.88 (1.40–2.51)**	1.79 (1.03–3.21)*	1.87 (1.14–3.06)*	4.61 (1.42–14.92)*	2.37 (0.71–7.93)
Sociability	0.96 (0.80–1.14)	0.70 (0.51–0.96)*	1.13 (0.91–1.40)	0.90 (0.61–1.34)	0.64 (0.46–0.90)*	1.06 (0.60–1.88)	0.71 (0.34–1.48)

[†]Adjusted for age, relationship status, educational level, current living arrangements and financial independence, **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01. [‡]Adjusted for age, relationship status, educational level, current living arrangements and financial independence with simultaneous personality traits, **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01. Non-users serve as the reference group. ADH, attention deficit-hyperactivity; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; NMPDU, non-medical prescription drug use; SS, sensation seeking.