Virulence 5:1, 161–169; January 1, 2014; © 2014 Landes Bioscience

Invasive candidiasis as a cause of sepsis in the critically ill patient

Julie Delaloye and Thierry Calandra*

Infectious Diseases Service; Department of Medicine; Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois and University of Lausanne; Lausanne, Switzerland

Keywords: Candida albicans, sepsis, candidiasis, echinocandin, risk factors

Invasive fungal infections are an increasingly frequent etiology of sepsis in critically ill patients causing substantial morbidity and mortality. Candida species are by far the predominant agent of fungal sepsis accounting for 10% to 15% of health-care associated infections, about 5% of all cases of severe sepsis and septic shock and are the fourth most common bloodstream isolates in the United States. One-third of all episodes of candidemia occur in the intensive care setting. Early diagnosis of invasive candidiasis is critical in order to initiate antifungal agents promptly. Delay in the administration of appropriate therapy increases mortality. Unfortunately, risk factors, clinical and radiological manifestations are quite unspecific and conventional culture methods are suboptimal. Non-culture based methods (such as mannan, anti-mannan, β-d-glucan, and polymerase chain reaction) have emerged but remain investigational or require additional testing in the ICU setting. Few prophylactic or pre-emptive studies have been performed in critically ill patients. They tended to be underpowered and their clinical usefulness remains to be established under most circumstances. The antifungal armamentarium has expanded considerably with the advent of lipid formulations of amphotericin B, the newest triazoles and the echinocandins. Clinical trials have shown that the triazoles and echinocandins are efficacious and well tolerated antifungal therapies. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of invasive candidiasis have been published by the European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases and the Infectious Diseases Society of North America.

Introduction

Fungi can induce a broad spectrum of host responses that result in colonization, infection, sepsis, hypersensitivity disorders, or toxic reactions. Fungal infections can be classified into superficial or deep-seated infections and grouped as opportunistic mycoses (such as candidiasis, cryptococcosis, and aspergillosis) occurring in immunocompromised hosts or as endemic mycoses (such as histoplasmosis, blastomycosis, coccidioidomycosis, and penicilliosis) caused by geographically restricted fungi infecting otherwise immunocompetent hosts. The gamut of immunocompromised host is rapidly expanding and also includes debilitated and critically ill medical, surgical and intensive care unit (ICU) patients with prolonged hospital stays.

As a consequence of the rapidly growing population of immunocompromised patients, the spectrum of opportunistic invasive fungal infections has increased considerably. Among yeasts and molds, *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species are the most frequent nosocomial fungal pathogens including in the critical care setting.¹⁻³ Fungi such as *Cryptocococcus, Pneumocystis jirovecii, Penicillium marneffei, Zygomycetes, Fusarium,* and *Scedosporium* may also cause severe infections in HIV-infected patients, onco-hematological and transplants patients, and in patients treated with corticosteroids, disease-modifying drugs, or monoclonal antibodies directed against immune mediators. Given the scope of this special issue of *Virulence*, this review will focus on invasive candidiasis as a cause of sepsis in critically ill and ICU patients.

Epidemiology

Approximately 15% of health-care associated infections are caused by fungi. Candida accounts for 70-90% of all invasive fungal infections and Aspergillus for 10-20%. Recent epidemiological studies have shown that invasive mycoses increased as a cause of life-threatening infections in critically ill and ICU patients. In a one-day, prospective, point prevalence study (EPIC II) performed in 2007 which included 7087 infected ICU patients from 75 countries, Candida was the third most common pathogen with an infection rate of 17%.⁴ According to a survey of the Fungal Infection Network of Switzerland, a third of all episodes of Candida bloodstream infections (BSI) occur in patients admitted to the ICU.1 The incidence of candidemia (ranging between 2 and 6.7 per 1000 admissions) is 5- to 10-fold higher in the ICU than in medical or surgical wards. In the United States, Candida is at present the third or fourth most commonly isolated microorganism in blood cultures accounting for 8–10% of BSI. The situation is quite different in Europe since Candida is usually between the 6th and 10th positions of blood isolates accounting for only 2-3% of BSI.5

Microbiological data collected in recent randomized clinical trials of adjunctive therapies for severe sepsis or septic shock indicated that fungi are responsible for no more than 5% of all cases.⁶⁻⁸ Candida is the most frequent cause of fungal severe sepsis or septic shock in ICU patients.⁹ In a cohort of 386 patients with positive blood cultures and septic shock, candidemia

^{*}Correspondence to: Thierry Calandra; Email: Thierry.Calandra@chuv.ch Submitted: 07/28/2013; Revised: 08/11/2013; Accepted: 08/16/2013 http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/viru.26187

was documented in 16 patients (4.1%) of whom 10 (2.6%) had pure candidemia and 6 (1.5%) mixed candidemia and bacteremia.¹⁰ Likewise, in the EPISS study, a large prospective multicenter study in French ICUs, fungi were identified as causative microorganisms in 33 (3.2%) among 1035 patients with microbiologically documented infections.¹¹ Conversely, the proportion of patients with candidemia who develop severe sepsis or septic shock is in the range of 8% to 30% and 23% to 38%, respectively.¹²⁻¹⁴

Invasive Candida infections are associated with high morbidity and mortality, especially in the ICU. Overall crude mortality among patients with invasive candidiasis or candidemia is in the range of 40% to 60%, which reflects in part the contribution of the underlying condition.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ In the AmarCand study, the case fatality ratio was 45.9% and no significant differences in mortality were observed between patients with isolated candidemia (47.7%) or with invasive candidiasis with (48.7%) or without (41.2%) candidemia.¹⁵ Of note, in a recent prospective study of 271 ICU patients with Candida peritonitis, mortality was 38%,17 but death rates in excess of 60% have been reported previously.¹⁸ The attributable mortality of invasive candidiasis was reported to be somewhere between 5% and 71%.16,19,20 This wide range probably reflects the inherent difficulty of assessing whether these critically ill patients died either with or from fungal infections. When complicated by septic shock, candidemia is a highly lethal condition with mortality rates beyond 60%.9.10,15,21-24 Fungal sepsis increased the length of ICUs or hospital stays and impacted negatively on treatment costs.^{25,26}

Geography, patient's age and the use of antifungal agents are the main factors impacting on the distribution of Candida species. Candida parapsilosis is more frequent in the southern hemisphere (Latin America and Australia) or Southern Europe than in North America or Northern Europe.²⁷ Candida glabrata becomes more frequent with increasing patient's age. Worldwide, Candida albicans was historically the predominant species accounting for about two-thirds of Candida infections. However, the epidemiology of candidiasis is changing. Over the two last decades, species other than C. albicans (primarily C. glabrata, C. krusei, C. tropicalis, and C. parapsilosis) have emerged. These non-albicans Candida species are now responsible for up to 50% of all cases in some centers and are associated with intrinsic resistance (C. krusei) or reduced susceptibilities to azoles (C. glabrata) or to echinocandins (C. parapsilosis).²⁷ In a prospective, multicenter study of 136 patients with candidemia, 42.6% of infections were caused by non-albicans Candida. C. glabrata was the second most frequent pathogens isolated.¹⁵ Susceptibility to fluconazole was 95.6% for C. albicans strains, but only 68% for non-albicans Candida.28 In a retrospective study including 189 patients with candidemia in four general ICUs in Greece, Australia, Belgium, and Brazil, non-albicans Candida were recovered in 44% of the cases.²⁹ Finally, in the prospective AmarCand study, non-albicans Candida accounted for 42% of the species. Dose-dependent susceptibility or resistance to fluconazole was documented in 17.1% of Candida isolates.³⁰ Although changes in fungal ecology is a complex issue, there is mounting evidence supporting the idea that the global shift in the epidemiology of candidemia

and invasive candidiasis is most likely driven first and foremost by a widespread use of antifungal agents, especially azoles. These recent trends highlight the importance of and need for longitudinal epidemiological studies to monitor changes in the distribution of fungal species over time, especially in hospital hot spots like the ICU. This information is essential for driving the choice of empirical antifungal therapy.

Risk Factors

Candida is part of the normal skin, vaginal, and gastrointestinal flora. The vast majority of candidal infections are endogeneous. Given its opportunistic nature, *Candida* will not cause infection unless the ecology of the normal flora or the host antifungal defense response has been perturbed. Modification of the endogenous microbial flora by antibacterial agents is a major risk factor allowing for fungal overgrowth on mucosal and skin surfaces. Alteration of the physical integrity of the skin and mucous membranes barriers by intravascular access devices, wounds, surgery, chemotherapy, or of host defenses are other key pathogenic elements facilitating dissemination of infection into the systemic circulation.

Colonization of mucous membranes and skin is a critical step in the pathogenesis of invasive candidiasis. It has been used for predicting the development of infections in critically ill patients. However, differentiation between colonization and infection is not easy. Pioneering work by Pittet et al. in 29 high-risk surgical and neonatal ICU patients identified the extent of Candida colonization and the severity of illness assessed by APACHE II score as independent risk factors for development of infection.³¹ A colonization index of 0.5, defined as the ratio of non-bloodstream body site(s) positive for *Candida* species over the total number of body sites tested, successfully identified patients at risk of developing invasive infections with a positive predictive value of 66%. A corrected colonization index (CCI) of 0.4, defined as the product of CI times the ratio of the number of heavily colonized body sites divided by the total number of body sites colonized, had a positive predictive value of 100%. The CCI index was validated in an intervention study in ICU patients with historical controls confirming the utility of antifungal therapy based on colonization.³² In a prospective study involving 92 medical ICU patients with prolonged ICU stay, 39.1% of patients with invasive Candida infections had a CI of 0.5.33 A CI greater than 0.8 and extensive gastro-abdominal surgery was associated with invasive *Candida* in a group of 59 ICU patients.³⁴

Risk factors of the development of invasive fungal infections in ICUs patients have been analyzed in numerous retrospective studies with a heterogeneous patient population. Various conditions including patient's age, prolonged length of stay, administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics, central vascular catheters, diabetes mellitus, parenteral nutrition, mechanical ventilation, renal insufficiency, hemodialysis, colonization, antifungal prophylaxis, surgery, pancreatitis, and treatment with corticosteroids and chemotherapy were the most frequently identified risk factors. Prior to surgery, *Candida* colonization, acute renal failure, hemofiltration, use of parenteral nutrition,

presence of triple lumen catheter, and ICU length of stay were factors also identified in prospective studies (Table 1).35-37 Few studies have analyzed factors predisposing to the occurrence of septic shock.³¹ In a retrospective cohort of 15 patients, the only risk factor was time spent in ICU until the development of candidemia.²¹ APACHE score, delayed administration of antifungal therapy, neutropenia, immunosuppression, and retention of central venous catheters have been proposed as factors of poor prognosis.9,21,22 Studies have yielded conflicting results regarding the impact of Candida species isolated from blood cultures and patient's survival.^{15,38-40} BSI due to C. glabrata, C. krusei, and C. tropicalis were reported to be associated with the highest mortality in some but not all studies. There was no significant relationship between Candida species and death in the large AmarCand study.15 The lack of reproducibility of these findings is likely to reflect the large heterogeneity of the patient's population and an insufficient power to control for confounding factors (i.e., underlying comorbidities, presence of neutropenia or the timing to onset of appropriate therapy).

Candida species are commensals of the digestive tract and may therefore invade the peritoneal cavity upon perforation of the gastrointestinal tract or after surgical section of the intestinal wall. Depending upon the underlying condition and circumstances, Candida will either be cleared promptly or else cause infection which may then disseminate to the bloodstream and other organs.⁴¹⁻⁴⁵ It is not always easy to determine when *Candida* is an "innocent bystander" or when it is playing a pathogenic role. In a study of 49 surgery patients with *Candida* isolated from the peritoneal fluid, we reported that recurrent gastrointestinal perforation and acute necrotizing pancreatitis were risk factors for the development of intra-abdominal candidiasis with a risk of systemic dissemination of about 20%.18 Serial semi-quantitative cultures indicated that a high initial growth of Candida or an increasing amount in follow-up cultures were early indicators of infection. Thus, recurrent gastrointestinal leakage with sustained seeding of Candida into the peritoneal cavity is a major risk factor for infection after surgery.

Predictions Rules and Scoring Systems

Clinical and microbiological prediction rules and scores have been developed to identify patients at high risk of fungal infections.⁴⁶⁻⁵¹ Various combinations of clinical, laboratory, and management parameters have been utilized to build predictive models. Using a stepwise logistic regression model, Michalopoulos et al. proposed a model based on four independent risk factors (invasive mechanical ventilation ≥10 d, nosocomial bacterial infections, cardiopulmonary bypass, and diabetes mellitus) that predicted candidemia in cardiothoracic ICU patients.⁴⁶ The model was validated in two centers and found to have a sensitivity of 57.9%, specificity and positive predictive value (PPV) of 100% and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 99.6%. In a retrospective study of patients who stayed in a surgical ICU for more than 3 d, Phaphitou et al. showed that patients with any combination of diabetes mellitus, hemodialysis, total parenteral nutrition and broad spectrum antibiotic had an increased rate of Table 1. Risk factors for invasive Candida infections in the critically ill patient

Age		
Colonization of body sites with Candida		
Length of ICU stay		
Administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics		
Intravascular access devices		
Diabetes mellitus		
Parenteral nutrition		
Mechanical ventilation		
Renal insufficiency		
Hemodialysis, hemofiltration		
Antifungal prophylaxis		
Surgery		
Acute necrotizing pancreatitis		
Treatment with corticosteroids		

ICU, Intensive Care Unit.

invasive candidiasis (16.6% vs. 5.1% in patients without these characteristics).⁵¹ In a follow-up study, Ostrosky-Zeichner et al. identified a predictive rule with a negative predictive value of 97% based on the following parameters: ICU stay of at least 4 d, systemic antibiotic therapy, presence of central venous catheter, total parenteral nutrition, dialysis, major surgery, pancreatitis, use of steroids, or immunosuppressive agents.⁵² More recently, in a very large cohort, Shorr et al. developed a score based on age (i.e., less than 65), temperature, altered mental status, cachexia, previous hospitalization within 30 d, and mechanical ventilation.53 The rates of candidemia increased from 0.4% (when no risk factor was present) to 0.8%, 1.6%, 3.2%, 4.2%, 9.6%, and 27.3% when the number of risk factors increased from 1 to 6. Using a retrospective multicenter cohort study of 1699 ICU non-neutropenic patients, Leon and colleagues constructed a Candida score for patients who stayed in the ICU for at least 7 d.⁵⁴ This easy-to-use scoring system relies on four risk factors: total parenteral nutrition, surgery, multifocal Candida colonization and severe sepsis. A score greater than 2.5 identifed patients at risk of developing invasive Candida infections with a sensitivity of 81% and a specificity of 74%. The clinical utility of the score was then tested in a prospective study that included 1107 ICU non-neutropenic patients from Spain, Argentina, and France. The incidence rates of invasive candidiasis were 2.3%, 8.5%, 16.8%, and 23.6% with a Candida score of less than 3, 3, 4, and 5, respectively. This trend was confirmed in a small prospective cohort study in which the rates of invasive candidiasis was 0% in patients with a score of 2, 17.6% in patients with a score of 3, and 50% in patients with a score of 4.55 In the Leon study, a *Candida* score equal to or greater than 3 had a negative predictive value of 97.7%, a sensitivity of 77.6%, and a specificity of 66.2%.⁴⁸ These data suggest that the main value of the Candida score is to rule out invasive candidiasis. The clinical utility of these prediction rules and scoring systems on the management of patients should be assessed prospectively in larger studies.

As mentioned above, *Candida* peritonitis is a difficult diagnosis to ascertain. Notwithstanding the issue of infection vs. colonization, the presence of *Candida* in an intraabdominal sample should be considered as a marker of disease severity. The isolation of yeasts in the peritoneal fluid of patients with community-acquired peritonitis was associated with the development of sepsis.⁵⁶ In a retrospective study of 221 ICU patients with peritonitis, Dupont et al. developed a score to predict yeast isolation from peritoneal samples based on 4 independent risk factors (female gender, a proximal gastrointestinal source of peritonitis, intraoperative cardiovascular failure, and antimicrobial treatment within 48 h of the development of peritonitis).⁵⁰ When at least 3 risk factors were present, the sensitivity and specificity were 84% and 50%, respectively.

Clinical Manifestations

The spectrum of Candida infections in critically ill patients is rather broad. Clinical manifestations include infections of the skin (intertrigo, wound infections), of mucous membranes (oropharyngitis, esophagitis, and vulvovaginitis) and of the lower urinary tract representing colonization of a urinary catheter in most instances. These are usually very mild infections. In contrast, infections of deep-seated organs (such as the peritoneum, liver, spleen, or the upper urinary tract), of intravascular access devices, of the cardiovascular system (i.e., bloodstream infections, endocarditis, and septic thrombophlebitis) and disseminated infections are normally quite severe and are often associated with sepsis, severe sepsis, or septic shock. Occasionally, other rare infections like those of the central nervous system or mediastinum may be encountered. Candidemia is the most common clinical presentation of all forms of invasive candidiasis. In a large prospective, multicenter study conducted in 180 ICUs in France candidemia was present in more than two-thirds of the 300 adult patients, of whom 39.5% had primary bloodstream infections and 28.4% had invasive candidiasis with secondary candidemia. In surgical ICUs, Candida peritonitis is one of the most frequent forms of invasive candidiasis.

The clinical presentation of fungal sepsis is not different from that of bacterial sepsis. In a prospective, randomized, doubleblind, multiple center study comparing the clinical manifestations of septic shock caused by bacteria or Candida spp., higher levels of lactate deshydrogenase in patients with bacterial septic shock and a higher incidence of renal and hepatic failure in patients with candidal septic shock were the only striking differences.¹⁰ Interestingly, the time to onset of sepsis is not a factor that helps distinguishing bacterial from fungal etiologies. In a prospective study of 136 patients with candidemia, one-third of candidemia occurred within the first 5 d of ICU admission.¹⁵ The clinical manifestations of *Candida* sepsis are not strain-dependent. In the study by Wisplinghoff et al., the inflammatory responses, clinical course (development of sepsis, severe sepsis, septic shock) or outcome were comparable in patients with bloodstream infections caused by C. albicans and non-albicans Candida species.¹³ Thus, with rare exceptions fungal sepsis does not present with specific

clinical manifestations or laboratory abnormalities and thus remains a real challenge for physicians.

Diagnosis

Invasive candidiasis is often fatal unless treated promptly with antifungal agents active against the infecting Candida species.^{23,57,58} Hence, the absolute need to initiate prompt diagnostic measures. Notwithstanding the problems associated with the lack of specificity of risk factors, clinical and radiological manifestations, early diagnosis of fungal infection is difficult for three main reasons: (1) the yield of blood cultures is typically in the range of 50% to 70%,59 (2) identification of the Candida species and antifungal susceptibility testing take several days, (3) deep tissue sampling is challenging especially in unstable patients and may not be possible in thrombocytopenic or coagulopathic patients. In patients with hepatic candidiasis, biopsy had a sensitivity of 61% in treatment naïve patients which dropped to 30% in patients treated with antifungal agents.⁶⁰ There is therefore an urgent need to improve our diagnostic armamentarium. Non-culture-based diagnostic methods rely on the detection of circulating fungal metabolites, antigenic components of the fungal cell wall, antibodies, and fungal DNA.

β -D-glucan (BDG)

BDG, a cell wall component of Candida and other fungi with the exception of cryptococci and zygomycetes, has been proposed as a biomarker of invasive fungal infections. Two recent systematic reviews have assessed the performance of various commercially available BDG tests for the diagnosis of invasive fungal infections.^{61,62} Most of these studies were conducted in hemato-oncological patients with just a few focusing on ICU patients. Sensitivities and specificities of BDG vary widely, ranging from 57% to 97%, and from 56% to 93%.⁶² In patients with proven infections, the pooled sensitivity of BDG was 79.1% (95% CI, 68.9-86.7%) and the specificity was 87.7% (95% CI, 82.4-91.6%). Based on an analysis of 11 selected studies, the sensitivity of BDG for the diagnosis of probable or proven Candida infections was 75%. A very high specificity, positive and negative predictive values were noted when two consecutive positive assays were taken into account.61 Hemodialysis, severe mucositis, systemic bacterial infections, antibiotic therapy or human blood products have been linked to false positives especially in high-risk patients.⁶³ In a recent study in high-risk surgical ICU patients, BDG (Fungitell) was found to be superior to colonization indexes and to the Candida score for the diagnosis of intra-abdominal candidiasis.⁶⁴ Sensitivity of two consecutive positive tests was 65% and the specificity 78%. Interestingly, a positive BDG test anticipated the diagnosis of intraabdominal candidiasis by a median of 5 d. Of note, galactomannan assays are often performed together with BDG. A positive BDG and a negative galactomannan suggests candidiasis, whereas the reverse suggests of aspergillosis. In the recently published Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines, BDG was given a 2B grade of recommendation for the diagnosis of invasive candidiasis.65

Mannan and anti-mannan antibodies assays

In a metaanalysis of 14 primarily retrospective studies of which seven were conducted in ICU and surgical patients, the detection of circulating mannan had a sensitivity of 58% (95% CI, 53–62) and a specificity of 93% (95% CI, 91–94) for the diagnosis of invasive candidiasis.⁶⁶ The sensitivity of the antimannan antibody assay was 59% (95% CI, 54–65) and the specificity 83% (95% CI, 79–97). Combining the two tests increased the sensitivity to 83% (95% CI, 82–90). The sensitivity was higher for *C. albicans* than for *C. glabrata* or for *C. tropicalis.* In about three quarters of the candidemic patients one of the two tests was positive by a mean of 6 to 7 d prior to the results of blood cultures. In the Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines, mannan and anti-mannan antibody assays were given a 2C grade of recommendation for the diagnosis of invasive candidiasis.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Detection of fungi by PCR is a real challenge. One of main reason is that the fungal cell wall interferes with cell lysis and the release of DNA resulting in false-negative signals. Fungal PCR technology is also prone to false-positive results because of exogenous contamination by fungal saprophytes or pathogens. It is obviously beyond the scope of this article to discuss the difficulties encountered in the development of panfungal and species-specific PCR technology and the various steps undertaken for improvement.⁶⁷ Suffice it to say that a great diversity of PCR assays (standard, nested, and real-time PCRs) and fungal targets (rRNA, cytochrome P450, L1A1, and several other genes) have been elaborated and chosen for the detection of fungal nucleic acids in the systemic circulation and tissues of patients with suspected infections. More than 50 PCR studies focusing on the diagnosis of invasive candidiasis were reviewed recently.⁶⁸ PCR turned out to be positive significantly earlier than standard culture methods. Overall, the pooled sensitivity and specificity for candidemia was 95% and 92%, respectively. In 142 surgical patients with bacterial and fungal sepsis, the recovery rates of pathogens with the SeptiFast multiplex technology were 78.6% for gram-negatives, 50% for fungi, and 47.6% for gram-positives. Moreover, detection of pathogen by PCR correlated with disease severity even if the blood cultures remained negative. Using the same multiplex PCR technology for the diagnosis of infection in febrile neutropenic cancer patients, Lamoth et al. identified 5 Candida infections that were not detected by blood cultures.⁶⁹ More recently, Candida real-time PCR performed in whole blood, plasma or serum was compared with the BDG assay in 55 patients with invasive candidiasis of whom 17 had candidemia, 33 deepseated candidiasis, and 5 a combination of the two.⁷⁰ Plasma or serum PCRs were found to be more sensitive than BDG (80% vs 56%; P = 0.03), especially for deep-seated candidiasis (88% and 62%), but had similar specificity (70% vs 73%; P= NS). The same species of *Candida* were identified by PCR and culture in 82% of the patients. When combining blood culture with PCR or BDG the sensitivity reached 98% and 79%, respectively. In another study, PCR-based pathogen detection led to more rapid use of antifungal therapy when

compared with conventional microbiological methods.²⁵ Most recently, the first results of a novel whole blood T2 magnetic resonance-based (T2MR) biosensing technology platform were published.⁷¹ Combining PCR technology and nanoparticle-based hybridization, the technology allowed a rapid (less than 3 h), accurate and reproducible detection of 1 CFU per milliliter of 5 *Candida* species. Spiked blood samples analyzed by T2MR and conventional blood cultures revealed excellent positive (98%) and negative (100%) agreements. Unfortunately, given the lack of commercially available tests, fungal PCR assays remain at this stage available only in a limited number of skilled laboratories and mostly for research purposes only.

Prophylactic, Preemptive, and Targeted Antifungal Therapies

Appropriateness and timing of initiation of antifungal therapy have a crucial impact on the outcome of invasive candidiasis. Several studies have shown that inappropriate empirical therapy or delays in the introduction of appropriate antifungals are associated with an increased mortality in patients with candidemia or septic shock due to Candida.9,57,58,72 Retrospective cohort studies demonstrated stepwise increases of mortality as a function of the time that elapsed between the first positive blood culture and initiation of fluconazole therapy.9,57,58,72 At face value these data suggest a role for empirical or preemptive therapy in the management of invasive candidiasis. Yet, criteria for initiating empirical antifungal therapy in fungal sepsis remain ill defined and should be balanced against the potential risks of toxicity, selection of resistance, and treatment costs.^{1,73} In the 2009 clinical practice guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), it was suggested to consider empirical antifungal therapy in critically ill patients with risk factors for invasive candidiasis and no other known cause of fever.⁷⁴ Unfortunately, an early and accurate diagnosis of Candida infections is a difficult task and the place of Candida scores, colonization indexes, and other nonconventional diagnostic tools in facilitating the identification of patients who may benefit from early interventions remain to be established. Antifungal prophylaxis may be reasonable in ICU patients with a risk of infection greater than 10%. However, one has to acknowledge that this cut-off risk value is quite arbitrary and not supported by strong data. Reduction in the rate of Candida infections with the use of fluconazole prophylaxis has been demonstrated in some clinical trials and metaanalyses.75-79 However, whether this prophylaxis strategy can provide a survival benefit is still controversial.

With the advent of the lipid formulations of amphotericin B, the newest triazoles (voriconazole and posaconazole) and the echinocandins (caspofungin, anidulafungin, and micafungin) the antifungal armamentarium has expanded quite substantially. Over the last 2 decades, numerous clinical studies have compared the efficacy and safety of the 3 major classes of systemic antifungal agents (i.e., polyenes, triazoles, and echinocandins) for the management of invasive candidiasis. Most of the large phase III clinical trials have enrolled nonneutropenic patients with candidemia with a limited number

Management according to clinical condition and microbiological documentation	Recommendations
Initial therapy	Fluconazole A-I Echinocandin A-I
Moderately severe to severely ill	Echinocandin A-III
Recent azole exposure	Echinocandin A-III
Less critically ill and no recent azole exposure	Fluconazole A-III
C. glabrata	Echinocandin B-III
C. parapsilosis	Fluconazole B-III
Step-down therapy for clinically stable and isolate susceptible to fluconazole	Echinocandin to fluconazole A-II AmB-d or L-AmB to fluconazole
Duration of therapy	Two weeks after clearance of <i>Candida</i> bloodstream infection and resolution of symptoms: A-III
i.v. catheter removal	A-II

AmB-d, Amphotericin B deoxycholate; L-AmB, Lipid formulation of AmB

of ca		
	Antifungal therapy	Recommendations

Antifungal therapy	Recommendations
Echinocandins:	
Anidulafungin	A-I
Caspofungin	A-I
Micafungin	A-I
Azoles:	
Fluconazole	C-I
Itraconazole	D-II
Posaconazole	D-III
Voriconazole	B-I
Polyenes:	
AmB deoxycholate	D-I
AmB colloidal dispersion	D-II
AmB lipid complex	C-II
AmB liposomal	B-I

AmB, Amphotericin B.

of deep-seated *Candida* infections.^{39,80-83} These clinical studies have shown that triazoles and echinocandins are at least as efficacious as and under most instances better tolerated than deoxycholate or liposomal amphotericin B with overall response rates in the range of 60% to 75%.⁵ A recent randomized trial suggested that anidulafungin might be superior to fluconazole as global treatment responses, clinical and microbiological successes evaluated at the end of intravenous or of all therapy were higher in patients treated with anidulafungin than in those treated with fluconazole.⁸⁴ In the recent review article of seven clinical trials by Andes et al., the use of an echinocandin therapy also reduced mortality (OR, 0.65; 95% CI 0.45–0.94; P = 0.02). Step-down therapy to fluconazole is recommended for patients who have improved clinically and who are infected with isolates susceptible to fluconazole.^{81,83,85} Echinocandins should also be preferred in case of recent azole exposure or infections due to azole-resistant strains (such as C. glabrata). In contrast, it might be preferable to use fluconazole for infections due to C. parapsilosis because of lower MIC values. However, it remains to be demonstrated whether fluconazole therapy translates into better clinical and microbiological responses. For non-critically ill patients, fluconazole can be used as an empirical therapy for suspected invasive Candida infections. Given the increasing occurrence of infections by non-albicans Candida species in the ICUs, the selection of empirical antifungal therapy should always be tailored to local resistance patterns. Finally, until now there are no data supporting the superiority of combination antifungal therapy over monotherapy.⁸⁶ A summary of the main recommendations of the 2009 guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and of the 2012 guidelines of the Fungal Infection Study Group of the European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) for the treatment of candidiasis are shown in Table 2 and in Table 3.

Adequate source control improves the outcome of patients with severe *Candida* infections.⁸⁷ In particular, central venous catheter removal has been associated with shorter duration of candidemia and reduced mortality.⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰ But this issue remains controversial. Indeed, catheter removal was associated with better outcome (P = 0.0001) in a study of 1915 patients derived from seven recent clinical trials of invasive candidiasis with a patient-level quantitative assessment.⁹¹ In contrast, early (i.e., within 24 or 48 h after treatment initiation) central venous catheter removal did not translate into clinical benefit in a study of 842 patients with candidemia who were followed prospectively.⁹²

Conclusions

Fungal infections are associated with high mortality in critically ill patients and delayed antifungal treatment contributes to poor outcome. As the clinical manifestations of fungal infections are non-specific, diagnosis and management of fungal infections remains a challenge. The increase in invasive fungal infections and the emergence of other than *C. albicans* species with reduced susceptibilities or intrinsic resistances to azoles highlight the absolute need of developing new diagnostic tools that could help identify among critically ill patients with invasive candidiasis those who may benefit from either prophylactic, preemptive, or empirical treatment strategies.

References

- Marchetti O, Bille J, Fluckiger U, Eggimann P, Ruef C, Garbino J, Calandra T, Glauser MP, Täuber MG, Pittet D; Fungal Infection Network of Switzerland. Epidemiology of candidemia in Swiss tertiary care hospitals: secular trends, 1991-2000. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 38:311-20; PMID:14727199; http://dx.doi. org/10.1086/380637
- Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ. Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. Clin Microbiol Rev 2007; 20:133-63; PMID:17223626; http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00029-06
- Dimopoulos G, Frantzeskaki F, Poulakou G, Armaganidis A. Invasive aspergillosis in the intensive care unit. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2012; 1272:31-9; PMID:23231712; http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06805.x
- Vincent JL, Rello J, Marshall J, Silva E, Anzueto A, Martin CD, Moreno R, Lipman J, Gomersall C, Sakr Y, et al.; EPIC II Group of Investigators. International study of the prevalence and outcomes of infection in intensive care units. JAMA 2009; 302:2323-9; PMID:19952319; http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/ jama.2009.1754
- Méan M, Marchetti O, Calandra T. Bench-tobedside review: Candida infections in the intensive care unit. Crit Care 2008; 12:204; PMID:18279532; http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/cc6212
- Bochud PY, Bonten M, Marchetti O, Calandra T. Antimicrobial therapy for patients with severe sepsis and septic shock: an evidence-based review. Crit Care Med 2004; 32(Suppl):S495-512; PMID:15542958; http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01. CCM.0000143118.41100.14
- Opal SM, Laterre PF, Francois B, LaRosa SP, Angus DC, Mira JP, Wittebole X, Dugernier T, Perrotin D, Tidswell M, et al.; ACCESS Study Group. Effect of eritoran, an antagonist of MD2-TLR4, on mortality in patients with severe sepsis: the ACCESS randomized trial. JAMA 2013; 309:1154-62; PMID:23512062; http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.2194
- Ranieri VM, Thompson BT, Barie PS, Dhainaut JF, Douglas IS, Finfer S, Gårdlund B, Marshall JC, Rhodes A, Artigas A, et al.; PROWESS-SHOCK Study Group. Drotrecogin alfa (activated) in adults with septic shock. N Engl J Med 2012; 366:2055-64; PMID:22616830; http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa1202290
- Kollef M, Micek S, Hampton N, Doherty JA, Kumar A. Septic shock attributed to Candida infection: importance of empiric therapy and source control. Clin Infect Dis 2012; 54:1739-46; PMID:22423135; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis305
- Hadley S, Lee WW, Ruthazer R, Nasraway SA Jr. Candidemia as a cause of septic shock and multiple organ failure in nonimmunocompromised patients. Crit Care Med 2002; 30:1808-14; PMID:12163798; http://dx.doi. org/10.1097/00003246-200208000-00023
- Quenot JP, Binquet C, Kara F, Martinet O, Ganster F, Navellou JC, Castelain V, Barraud D, Cousson J, Louis G, et al. The epidemiology of septic shock in French intensive care units: the prospective multicenter cohort EPISS study. Crit Care 2013; 17:R65; PMID:23561510; http://dx.doi. org/10.1186/cc12598

- Eggimann P, Garbino J, Pittet D. Epidemiology of Candida species infections in critically ill nonimmunosuppressed patients. Lancet Infect Dis 2003; 3:685-702; PMID:14592598; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/S1473-3099(03)00801-6
- Wisplinghoff H, Seifert H, Wenzel RP, Edmond MB. Inflammatory response and clinical course of adult patients with nosocomial bloodstream infections caused by Candida spp. Clin Microbiol Infect 2006; 12:170-7; PMID:16441456; http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2005.01318.x
- Guery BP, Arendrup MC, Auzinger G, Azoulay E, Borges Sá M, Johnson EM, Müller E, Putensen C, Rotstein C, Sganga G, et al. Management of invasive candidiasis and candidemia in adult non-neutropenic intensive care unit patients: Part I. Epidemiology and diagnosis. Intensive Care Med 2009; 35:55-62; PMID:18972101; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s00134-008-1338-7
- Leroy O, Gangneux JP, Montravers P, Mira JP, Gouin F, Sollet JP, Carlet J, Reynes J, Rosenheim M, Regnier B, et al.; AmarCand Study Group. Epidemiology, management, and risk factors for death of invasive Candida infections in critical care: a multicenter, prospective, observational study in France (2005-2006). Crit Care Med 2009; 37:1612-8; PMID:19325476; http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ CCM.0b013e31819efac0
- Falagas ME, Apostolou KE, Pappas VD. Attributable mortality of candidemia: a systematic review of matched cohort and case-control studies. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2006; 25:419-25; PMID:16773391; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s10096-006-0159-2
- Montravers P, Mira JP, Gangneux JP, Leroy O, Lortholary O; AmarCand study group. A multicentre study of antifungal strategies and outcome of Candida spp. peritonitis in intensive-care units. Clin Microbiol Infect 2011; 17:1061-7; PMID:20825438; http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03360.x
- Calandra T, Bille J, Schneider R, Mosimann F, Francioli P. Clinical significance of Candida isolated from peritoneum in surgical patients. Lancet 1989; 2:1437-40; PMID:2574368; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/S0140-6736(89)92043-6
- Gudlaugsson O, Gillespie S, Lee K, Vande Berg J, Hu J, Messer S, Herwaldt L, Pfaller M, Diekema D. Attributable mortality of nosocomial candidemia, revisited. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 37:1172-7; PMID:14557960; http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/378745
- Wey SB, Mori M, Pfaller MA, Woolson RF, Wenzel RP. Hospital-acquired candidemia. The attributable mortality and excess length of stay. Arch Intern Med 1988; 148:2642-5; PMID:3196127; http://dx.doi. org/10.1001/archinte.1988.00380120094019
- Guzman JA, Tchokonte R, Sobel JD. Septic shock due to candidemia: outcomes and predictors of shock development. J Clin Med Res 2011; 3:65-71; PMID:21811532
- Charles PE, Doise JM, Quenot JP, Aube H, Dalle F, Chavanet P, Milesi N, Aho LS, Portier H, Blettery B. Candidemia in critically ill patients: difference of outcome between medical and surgical patients. Intensive Care Med 2003; 29:2162-9; PMID:13680110; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-003-2002-x

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgment

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7-2007-2013) under HEALTH-F2-2010-260338-ALLFUN.

- Nolla-Salas J, Sitges-Serra A, León-Gil C, Martínez-González J, León-Regidor MA, Ibáñez-Lucía P, Torres-Rodríguez JM; Study Group of Fungal Infection in the ICU. Candidemia in non-neutropenic critically ill patients: analysis of prognostic factors and assessment of systemic antifungal therapy. Intensive Care Med 1997; 23:23-30; PMID:9037636; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001340050286
- 24. Bougnoux ME, Kac G, Aegerter P, d'Enfert C, Fagon JY; CandiRea Study Group. Candidemia and candiduria in critically ill patients admitted to intensive care units in France: incidence, molecular diversity, management and outcome. Intensive Care Med 2008; 34:292-9; PMID:17909746; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-007-0865-y
- 25. Bloos F, Bayer O, Sachse S, Straube E, Reinhart K, Kortgen A. Attributable costs of patients with candidemia and potential implications of polymerase chain reaction-based pathogen detection on antifungal therapy in patients with sepsis. J Crit Care 2013; 28:2-8; PMID:22999484; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2012.07.011
- Olaechea PM, Palomar M, León-Gil C, Alvarez-Lerma F, Jordá R, Nolla-Salas J, León-Regidor MA; EPCAN Study Group. Economic impact of Candida colonization and Candida infection in the critically ill patient. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2004; 23:323-30; PMID:15024623; http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s10096-004-1104-x
- Arendrup MC. Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis. Curr Opin Crit Care 2010; 16:445-52; PMID:20711075; http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ MCC.0b013e32833e84d2
- Playford EG, Marriott D, Nguyen Q, Chen S, Ellis D, Slavin M, Sorrell TC. Candidemia in nonneutropenic critically ill patients: risk factors for non-albicans Candida spp. Crit Care Med 2008; 36:2034-9; PMID:18552700; http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ CCM.0b013e3181760f42
- Holley A, Dulhunty J, Blot S, Lipman J, Lobo S, Dancer C, Rello J, Dimopoulos G. Temporal trends, risk factors and outcomes in albicans and non-albicans candidaemia: an international epidemiological study in four multidisciplinary intensive care units. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2009; 33:e1-7; PMID:19167196; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2008.10.035
- Montravers P, Mira JP, Gangneux JP, Leroy O, Lortholary O; AmarCand study group. A multicentre study of antifungal strategies and outcome of Candida spp. peritonitis in intensive-care units. Clin Microbiol Infect 2011; 17:1061-7; PMID:20825438; http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03360.x
- Pittet D, Monod M, Suter PM, Frenk E, Auckenthaler R. Candida colonization and subsequent infections in critically ill surgical patients. Ann Surg 1994; 220:751-8; PMID:7986142; http://dx.doi. org/10.1097/00000658-199412000-00008
- Piarroux R, Grenouillet F, Balvay P, Tran V, Blasco G, Millon L, Boillot A. Assessment of preemptive treatment to prevent severe candidiasis in critically ill surgical patients. Crit Care Med 2004; 32:2443-9; PMID:15599149; http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01. CCM.0000147726.62304.7F

- 33. Charles PE, Dalle F, Aube H, Doise JM, Quenot JP, Aho LS, Chavanet P, Blettery B. Candida spp. colonization significance in critically ill medical patients: a prospective study. Intensive Care Med 2005; 31:393-400; PMID:15711782; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-005-2571-y
- 34. Agvald-Ohman C, Klingspor L, Hjelmqvist H, Edlund C. Invasive candidiasis in long-term patients at a multidisciplinary intensive care unit: Candida colonization index, risk factors, treatment and outcome. Scand J Infect Dis 2008; 40:145-53; PMID:17852926; http://dx.doi. org/10.1080/00365540701534509
- 35. Blumberg HM, Jarvis WR, Soucie JM, Edwards JE, Patterson JE, Pfaller MA, Rangel-Frausto MS, Rinaldi MG, Saiman L, Wiblin RT, et al.; National Epidemiology of Mycoses Survey(NEMIS) Study Group; The National Epidemiology of Mycosis Survey. Risk factors for candidal bloodstream infections in surgical intensive care unit patients: the NEMIS prospective multicenter study. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 33:177-86; PMID:11418877; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1086/321811
- Peres-Bota D, Rodriguez-Villalobos H, Dimopoulos G, Melot C, Vincent JL. Potential risk factors for infection with Candida spp. in critically ill patients. Clin Microbiol Infect 2004; 10:550-5; PMID:15191384; http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2004.00873.x
- Jordà-Marcos R, Alvarez-Lerma F, Jurado M, Palomar M, Nolla-Salas J, León MA, León C; EPCAN Study Group. Risk factors for candidaemia in critically ill patients: a prospective surveillance study. Mycoses 2007; 50:302-10; PMID:17576324; http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/j.1439-0507.2007.01366.x
- Wisplinghoff H, Bischoff T, Tallent SM, Seifert H, Wenzel RP, Edmond MB. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: analysis of 24,179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39:309-17; PMID:15306996; http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/421946
- 39. Pappas PG, Rex JH, Lee J, Hamill RJ, Larsen RA, Powderly W, Kauffman CA, Hyslop N, Mangino JE, Chapman S, et al.; NIAID Mycoses Study Group. A prospective observational study of candidemia: epidemiology, therapy, and influences on mortality in hospitalized adult and pediatric patients. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 37:634-43; PMID:12942393; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1086/376906
- 40. Viscoli C, Girmenia C, Marinus A, Collette L, Martino P, Vandercam B, Doyen C, Lebeau B, Spence D, Krcmery V, et al. Candidemia in cancer patients: a prospective, multicenter surveillance study by the Invasive Fungal Infection Group (IFIG) of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC). Clin Infect Dis 1999; 28:1071-9; PMID:10452637; http://dx.doi. org/10.1086/514731
- Voss A, Kluytmans JA, Koeleman JG, Spanjaard L, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM, Verbrugh HA, Vos MC, Weersink AY, Hoogkamp-Korstanje JA, Meis JF. Occurrence of yeast bloodstream infections between 1987 and 1995 in five Dutch university hospitals. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1996; 15:909-12; PMID:9031872; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ BF01690507
- Richet H, Roux P, Des Champs C, Esnault Y, Andremont A; French Candidemia Study Group. Candidemia in French hospitals: incidence rates and characteristics. Clin Microbiol Infect 2002; 8:405-12; PMID:12199850; http://dx.doi. org/10.1046/j.1469-0691.2002.00446.x
- Berrouane YF, Herwaldt LA, Pfaller MA. Trends in antifungal use and epidemiology of nosocomial yeast infections in a university hospital. J Clin Microbiol 1999; 37:531-7; PMID:9986807

- 44. Garbino J, Kolarova L, Rohner P, Lew D, Pichna P, Pittet D. Secular trends of candidemia over 12 years in adult patients at a tertiary care hospital. Medicine (Baltimore) 2002; 81:425-33; PMID:12441899; http://dx.doi. org/10.1097/00005792-200211000-00003
- 45. Chen YC, Chang SC, Sun CC, Yang LS, Hsieh WC, Luh KT. Secular trends in the epidemiology of nosocomial fungal infections at a teaching hospital in Taiwan, 1981 to 1993. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1997; 18:369-75; PMID:9154483; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1086/647628
- Michalopoulos AS, Geroulanos S, Mentzelopoulos SD. Determinants of candidemia and candidemiarelated death in cardiothoracic ICU patients. Chest 2003; 124:2244-55; PMID:14665507; http://dx.doi. org/10.1378/chest.124.6.2244
- 47. León C, Ruiz-Santana S, Saavedra P, Almirante B, Nolla-Salas J, Alvarez-Lerma F, Garnacho-Montero J, León MA; EPCAN Study Group. A bedside scoring system ("Candida score") for early antifungal treatment in nonneutropenic critically ill patients with Candida colonization. Crit Care Med 2006; 34:730-7; PMID:16505659; http://dx.doi. org/10.1097/01.CCM.000202208.37364.7D
- 48. León C, Ruiz-Santana S, Saavedra P, Galván B, Blanco A, Castro C, Balasini C, Utande-Vázquez A, González de Molina FJ, Blasco-Navalproto MA, et al.; Cava Study Group. Usefulness of the "Candida score" for discriminating between Candida colonization and invasive candidiasis in non-neutropenic critically ill patients: a prospective multicenter study. Crit Care Med 2009; 37:1624-33; PMID:19325481; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31819daa14
- Shorr AF, Tabak YP, Johannes RS, Sun X, Spalding J, Kollef MH. Candidemia on presentation to the hospital: development and validation of a risk score. Crit Care 2009; 13:R156; PMID:19788756; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1186/cc8110
- Dupont H, Bourichon A, Paugam-Burtz C, Mantz J, Desmonts JM. Can yeast isolation in peritoneal fluid be predicted in intensive care unit patients with peritonitis? Crit Care Med 2003; 31:752-7; PMID:12626979; http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01. CCM.0000053525.49267.77
- Paphitou NI, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Rex JH. Rules for identifying patients at increased risk for candidal infections in the surgical intensive care unit: approach to developing practical criteria for systematic use in antifungal prophylaxis trials. Med Mycol 2005; 43:235-43; PMID:16010850; http://dx.doi.org/10.1 080/13693780410001731619
- 52. Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Sable C, Sobel J, Alexander BD, Donowitz G, Kan V, Kauffman CA, Kett D, Larsen RA, Morrison V, et al. Multicenter retrospective development and validation of a clinical prediction rule for nosocomial invasive candidiasis in the intensive care setting. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2007; 26:271-6; PMID:17333081; http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s10096-007-0270-z
- Shorr AF, Sun X, Johannes RS, Yaitanes A, Tabak YP. Validation of a novel risk score for severity of illness in acute exacerbations of COPD. Chest 2011; 140:1177-83; PMID:21527510; http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/ chest.10-3035
- 54. León C, Ruiz-Santana S, Saavedra P, Almirante B, Nolla-Salas J, Alvarez-Lerma F, Garnacho-Montero J, León MA; EPCAN Study Group. A bedside scoring system ("Candida score") for early antifungal treatment in nonneutropenic critically ill patients with Candida colonization. Crit Care Med 2006; 34:730-7; PMID:16505659; http://dx.doi. org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000202208.37364.7D
- 55. Leroy G, Lambiotte F, Thévenin D, Lemaire C, Parmentier E, Devos P, Leroy O. Evaluation of "Candida score" in critically ill patients: a prospective, multicenter, observational, cohort study. Ann Intensive Care 2011; 1:50; PMID:22128895; http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2110-5820-1-50

- Montravers P, Dupont H, Gauzit R, Veber B, Auboyer C, Blin P, Hennequin C, Martin C. Candida as a risk factor for mortality in peritonitis. Crit Care Med 2006; 34:646-52; PMID:16505648; http://dx.doi. org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000201889.39443.D2
- Morrell M, Fraser VJ, Kollef MH. Delaying the empiric treatment of candida bloodstream infection until positive blood culture results are obtained: a potential risk factor for hospital mortality. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005; 49:3640-5; PMID:16127033; http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.49.9.3640-3645.2005
- Garey KW, Rege M, Pai MP, Mingo DE, Suda KJ, Turpin RS, Bearden DT. Time to initiation of fluconazole therapy impacts mortality in patients with candidemia: a multi-institutional study. Clin Infect Dis 2006; 43:25-31; PMID:16758414; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1086/504810
- Clancy CJ, Nguyen MH. Finding the "missing 50%" of invasive candidiasis: how nonculture diagnostics will improve understanding of disease spectrum and transform patient care. Clin Infect Dis 2013; 56:1284-92; PMID:23315320; http://dx.doi. org/10.1093/cid/cit006
- 60. Thaler M, Pastakia B, Shawker TH, O'Leary T, Pizzo PA. Hepatic candidiasis in cancer patients: the evolving picture of the syndrome. Ann Intern Med 1988; 108:88-100; PMID:3276268; http://dx.doi. org/10.7326/0003-4819-108-1-88
- 61. Lamoth F, Cruciani M, Mengoli C, Castagnola E, Lortholary O, Richardson M, Marchetti O; Third European Conference on Infections in Leukemia (ECIL-3). β-Glucan antigenemia assay for the diagnosis of invasive fungal infections in patients with hematological malignancies: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies from the Third European Conference on Infections in Leukemia (ECIL-3). Clin Infect Dis 2012; 54:633-43; PMID:22198786; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ cir897
- Karageorgopoulos DE, Vouloumanou EK, Ntziora F, Michalopoulos A, Rafailidis PI, Falagas ME. β-D-glucan assay for the diagnosis of invasive fungal infections: a meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 52:750-70; PMID:21367728; http://dx.doi. org/10.1093/cid/ciq206
- Wheat LJ. Approach to the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis and candidiasis. [viii.]. Clin Chest Med 2009; 30:367-77, viii; PMID:19375641; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccm.2009.02.012
- 64. Tissot F, Lamoth F, Hauser PM, Orasch C, Flückiger U, Siegemund M, Zimmerli S, Calandra T, Bille J, Eggimann P, et al. Beta-Glucan Antigenemia Anticipates Diagnosis of Blood Culture-Negative Intra-Abdominal Candidiasis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013 (Forthcoming) PMID:23782027; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201211-2069OC
- 65. Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, Annane D, Gerlach H, Opal SM, Sevransky JE, Sprung CL, Douglas IS, Jaeschke R, et al.; Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines Committee including The Pediatric Subgroup. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock, 2012. Intensive Care Med 2013; 39:165-228; PMID:23361625; http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s00134-012-2769-8
- 66. Mikulska M, Calandra T, Sanguinetti M, Poulain D, Viscoli C; Third European Conference on Infections in Leukemia Group. The use of mannan antigen and anti-mannan antibodies in the diagnosis of invasive candidiasis: recommendations from the Third European Conference on Infections in Leukemia. Crit Care 2010; 14:R222; PMID:21143834; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1186/cc9365
- White PL, Perry MD, Barnes RA. An update on the molecular diagnosis of invasive fungal disease. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2009; 296:1-10; PMID:19416355; http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01575.x

- Avni T, Leibovici L, Paul M. PCR diagnosis of invasive candidiasis: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Microbiol 2011; 49:665-70; PMID:21106797; http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01602-10
- Lamoth F, Jaton K, Prod'hom G, Senn L, Bille J, Calandra T, Marchetti O. Multiplex blood PCR in combination with blood cultures for improvement of microbiological documentation of infection in febrile neutropenia. J Clin Microbiol 2010; 48:3510-6; PMID:20720024; http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/ JCM.00147-10
- Nguyen MH, Wissel MC, Shields RK, Salomoni MA, Hao B, Press EG, Shields RM, Cheng S, Mitsani D, Vadnerkar A, et al. Performance of Candida real-time polymerase chain reaction, β-D-glucan assay, and blood cultures in the diagnosis of invasive candidiasis. Clin Infect Dis 2012; 54:1240-8; PMID:22431804; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis200
- Neely LA, Audeh M, Phung NA, Min M, Suchocki A, Plourde D, Blanco M, Demas V, Skewis LR, Anagnostou T, et al. T2 magnetic resonance enables nanoparticle-mediated rapid detection of candidemia in whole blood. Sci Transl Med 2013; 5:182ra54; PMID:23616121; http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ scitranslmed.3005377
- 72. Kumar A, Ellis P, Arabi Y, Roberts D, Light B, Parrillo JE, Dodek P, Wood G, Kumar A, Simon D, et al.; Cooperative Antimicrobial Therapy of Septic Shock Database Research Group. Initiation of inappropriate antimicrobial therapy results in a fivefold reduction of survival in human septic shock. Chest 2009; 136:1237-48; PMID:19696123; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.09-0087
- Playford EG, Eggimann P, Calandra T. Antifungals in the ICU. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2008; 21:610-9; PMID:18978529; http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ QCO.0b013e3283177967
- 74. Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes D, Benjamin DK Jr., Calandra TF, Edwards JE Jr., Filler SG, Fisher JF, Kullberg BJ, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, et al.; Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of candidiasis: 2009 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2009; 48:503-35; PMID:19191635; http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/596757
- 75. Garbino J, Lew DP, Romand JA, Hugonnet S, Auckenthaler R, Pittet D. Prevention of severe Candida infections in nonneutropenic, high-risk, critically ill patients: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in patients treated by selective digestive decontamination. Intensive Care Med 2002; 28:1708-17; PMID:12447512; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00134-002-1540-y
- Pelz RK, Hendrix CW, Swoboda SM, Diener-West M, Merz WG, Hammond J, Lipsett PA. Double-blind placebo-controlled trial of fluconazole to prevent candidal infections in critically ill surgical patients. Ann Surg 2001; 233:542-8; PMID:11303137; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1097/00000658-200104000-00010
- Eggimann P, Francioli P, Bille J, Schneider R, Wu MM, Chapuis G, Chiolero R, Pannatier A, Schilling J, Geroulanos S, et al. Fluconazole prophylaxis prevents intra-abdominal candidiasis in high-risk surgical patients. Crit Care Med 1999; 27:1066-72; PMID:10397206; http://dx.doi. org/10.1097/00003246-199906000-00019

- Shorr AF, Chung K, Jackson WL, Waterman PE, Kollef MH. Fluconazole prophylaxis in critically ill surgical patients: a meta-analysis. Crit Care Med 2005; 33:1928-35, quiz 1936; PMID:16148461; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000178352.14703.49
- Schuster MG, Edwards JE Jr., Sobel JD, Darouiche RO, Karchmer AW, Hadley S, Slotman G, Panzer H, Biswas P, Rex JH. Empirical fluconazole versus placebo for intensive care unit patients: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2008; 149:83-90; PMID:18626047; http://dx.doi. org/10.7326/0003-4819-149-2-200807150-00004
- Rex JH, Bennett JE, Sugar AM, Pappas PG, van der Horst CM, Edwards JE, Washburn RG, Scheld WM, Karchmer AW, Dine AP, et al.; Candidemia Study Group and the National Institute. A randomized trial comparing fluconazole with amphotericin B for the treatment of candidemia in patients without neutropenia. N Engl J Med 1994; 331:1325-30; PMID:7935701; http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/ NEJM199411173312001
- Mora-Duarte J, Betts R, Rotstein C, Colombo AL, Thompson-Moya L, Smietana J, Lupinacci R, Sable C, Kartsonis N, Perfect J; Caspofungin Invasive Candidiasis Study Group. Comparison of caspofungin and amphotericin B for invasive candidiasis. N Engl J Med 2002; 347:2020-9; PMID:12490683; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa021585
- Kullberg BJ, Sobel JD, Ruhnke M, Pappas PG, Viscoli C, Rex JH, Cleary JD, Rubinstein E, Church LW, Brown JM, et al. Voriconazole versus a regimen of amphotericin B followed by fluconazole for candidaemia in non-neutropenic patients: a randomised non-inferiority trial. Lancet 2005; 366:1435-42; PMID:16243088; http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67490-9
- Pappas PG, Rotstein CM, Betts RF, Nucci M, Talwar D, De Waele JJ, Vazquez JA, Dupont BF, Horn DL, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, et al. Micafungin versus caspofungin for treatment of candidemia and other forms of invasive candidiasis. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 45:883-93; PMID:17806055; http://dx.doi. org/10.1086/520980
- Reboli AC, Rotstein C, Pappas PG, Chapman SW, Kett DH, Kumar D, Betts R, Wible M, Goldstein BP, Schranz J, et al.; Anidulafungin Study Group. Anidulafungin versus fluconazole for invasive candidiasis. N Engl J Med 2007; 356:2472-82; PMID:17568028; http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/ NEJM0a066906
- Kuse ER, Chetchotisakd P, da Cunha CA, Ruhnke M, Barrios C, Raghunadharao D, Sekhon JS, Freire A, Ramasubramanian V, Demeyer I, et al.; Micafungin Invasive Candidiasis Working Group. Micafungin versus liposomal amphotericin B for candidaemia and invasive candidosis: a phase III randomised double-blind trial. Lancet 2007; 369:1519-27; PMID:17482982; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(07)60605-9

- 86. Rex JH, Pappas PG, Karchmer AW, Sobel J, Edwards JE, Hadley S, Brass C, Vazquez JA, Chapman SW, Horowitz HW, et al.; National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group. A randomized and blinded multicenter trial of high-dose fluconazole plus placebo versus fluconazole plus amphotericin B as therapy for candidemia and its consequences in nonneutropenic subjects. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 36:1221-8; PMID:12746765; http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/374850
- Lepak A, Andes D. Fungal sepsis: optimizing antifungal therapy in the critical care setting. Crit Care Clin 2011; 27:123-47; PMID:21144990; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccc.2010.11.001
- Nguyen MH, Peacock JE Jr., Tanner DC, Morris AJ, Nguyen ML, Snydman DR, Wagener MM, Yu VL. Therapeutic approaches in patients with candidemia. Evaluation in a multicenter, prospective, observational study. Arch Intern Med 1995; 155:2429-35; PMID:7503601; http://dx.doi. org/10.1001/archinte.1995.00430220087009
- Rex JH, Bennett JE, Sugar AM, Pappas PG, Serody J, Edwards JE, Washburn RG; NIAID Mycoses Study Group and the Candidemia Study Group. Intravascular catheter exchange and duration of candidemia. Clin Infect Dis 1995; 21:994-6; PMID:8645855; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ clinids/21.4.994
- Luzzati R, Amalfitano G, Lazzarini L, Soldani F, Bellino S, Solbiati M, Danzi MC, Vento S, Todeschini G, Vivenza C, et al. Nosocomial candidemia in non-neutropenic patients at an Italian tertiary care hospital. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2000; 19:602-7; PMID:11014622; http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s100960000325
- Andes DR, Safdar N, Baddley JW, Playford G, Reboli AC, Rex JH, Sobel JD, Pappas PG, Kullberg BJ; Mycoses Study Group. Impact of treatment strategy on outcomes in patients with candidemia and other forms of invasive candidiasis: a patient-level quantitative review of randomized trials. Clin Infect Dis 2012; 54:1110-22; PMID:22412055; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis021
- Nucci M, Anaissie E, Betts RF, Dupont BF, Wu C, Buell DN, Kovanda L, Lortholary O. Early removal of central venous catheter in patients with candidemia does not improve outcome: analysis of 842 patients from 2 randomized clinical trials. Clin Infect Dis 2010; 51:295-303; PMID:20578829; http://dx.doi. org/10.1086/653935
- 93. Cornely OA, Bassetti M, Calandra T, Garbino J, Kullberg BJ, Lortholary O, Meersseman W, Akova M, Arendrup MC, Arikan-Akdagli S, et al.; ESCMID Fungal Infection Study Group. ESCMID* guideline for the diagnosis and management of Candida diseases 2012: non-neutropenic adult patients. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012; 18(Suppl 7):19-37; PMID:23137135; http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12039