Masclaux, F.G. ; Hotz, P. ; Gashi, D. ; Savova-Bianchi, D. ; Oppliger, A.
Assessment of airborne virus contamination in wastewater treatment plants.
Environmental Research, 133:260-265, 2014.

Assessment of airborne virus contamination in wastewater treatment plants

Frédéric G. Masclaux[1#], Philipp Hotz[2], Drita Gashi[2], Dessislava Savova-Bianchi[1], Anne Oppliger[1*]

[1]*Institute for Work and Health (IST), University of Lausanne and University of Geneva, Route de la Corniche 2, 1066 Epalinges-Lausanne, Switzerland.*

[2]*Occupational and Environmental Medicine Unit, Med. Poliklinik USZ, Rämistrasse 100, 8091 Zürich, Switzerland.*

[#]*Present address: Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Switzerland*

*Corresponding author

Tel.: 0041 21 314 74 16

Fax: 0041 21 314 74 20

E-mail: Anne.Oppliger@hospvd.ch

E-mail address of senior researchers: Frederic.Mascalux@unil.ch; Philipp.Hotz@usz.ch;

Number of Figures: 1   Number of Tables: 3

Abstract: 235 words

Text: 3134 words

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Occupational exposure to bioaerosols in wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) and its consequence on workers' health are well documented. Most studies were devoted to enumerating and identifying cultivable bacteria and fungi, as well as measuring concentrations of airborne endotoxins, as these are the main health-related factors found in WWTP. Surprisingly, very few studies have investigated the presence and concentrations of airborne virus in WWTP. However, many enteric viruses are present in wastewater and, due to their small size, they should become aerosolized. Two in particular, the norovirus and the adenovirus, are extremely widespread and are the major causes of infectious gastrointestinal diseases reported around the world. The third one, hepatitis E virus, has an emerging status. **Goal and methods:** This study's objectives were to detect and quantify the presence and concentrations of 3 different viruses (adenovirus, norovirus and the hepatitis E virus) in air samples from 31 WWTP by using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) during two different seasons and two consecutive years. **Results**: Adenovirus was present in 100% of summer WWTP samples and 97% of winter samples. The highest airborne concentration measured was 2.27×10^6 genome equivalent/m^3 and, on average, these were higher in summer than in winter. Norovirus was detected in only 3 of the 123 air samples, and the hepatitis E virus was not detected. **Conclusions:** Concentrations of potentially pathogenic viral particles in WWTP air is non-negligible and could partly explain the work-related gastrointestinal symptoms often reported in employees in this sector.

59 **Funding**

60 This work was supported by grant EST-09-69 from ANSES (French Agency for Food,

61 Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety), and a grant from the Swiss National

62 Accident Insurance Fund (SUVA).

63

64 1. **Introduction**

65 Wastewater is a significant transmission route for several viral and bacterial pathogens which

66 are reflected in both the clinical and subclinical infections currently present in the human

67 population (Vaidya et al., 2002). Therefore, wastewater treatment workers are exposed to a lot

68 of biological risks and have been shown to be at a higher risk of developing a large variety of

69 work-related symptoms compared with the general population, including respiratory and

70 gastrointestinal (e.g. diarrhoea) effects (Douwes et al., 2001; Rylander, 1999; Thorn et al.,

71 2002; Thorn and Beijer, 2004). Viruses could be responsible from some of these work-related

72 symptoms observed in WWTP workers. Numerous studies have investigated the presence of

73 airborne cultivable bacteria in WWTP (Oppliger et al., 2005; Rinsoz et al., 2009; Heinonen-

74 Tanski et al. , 2009; Haas et al., 2010; Kaarakainen et al., 2011; Han et al., 2013). However,

75 very few studies have investigated the airborne concentrations of virus particles in WWTP

76 although the health risk for workers is present (Divizia et al., 2008).

77 Among the most common human viral infections found in temperate regions and

78 transmitted by the faecal-oral route, norovirus (NoV) and human adenovirus (AdV) are good

79 candidates for being responsible for the observed symptoms reported in WWTP workers.

80 NoV is recognized as the major source of gastroenteritis outbreaks in adults worldwide. It is

81 presumed that infection mainly occurs by person-to-person transmission and consumption of

82 contaminated food or water, but airborne transmission is likely to occur too (Friesema et al.,

83 2009; Kimura et al., 2011; Kirking et al., 2010; Wikswo et al., 2011; Uhrbrand et al., 2012).

84 AdV is made up of seven groups (A–G), with a total of 57 serotypes associated with a number

85 of clinical syndromes such as gastroenteritis, respiratory diseases and conjunctivitis. They

86 spread primarily via respiratory droplets, however, they can also spread along faecal routes

87 and their presence in wastewater (Carducci et al., 2008; Jiang, 2006) and air has been

88 demonstrated (Echavaria et al., 2000; Carducci et al., 2011; Wan et al., 2012). Another virus

89 which deserves particular attention, because of its emerging status, is the hepatitis E virus

90 (HEV) (Dalton et al., 2008). It is responsible for enterically transmitted viral hepatitis around

91 the world. In industrialized countries, the endemic genotype 3 HEV appears to be more

92 common than previously thought, even if it is rarely virulent. One main route of human

93 transmission of the genotype 3 has been suggested to be via consumption of contaminated

94 pork, but waterborne transmission cannot be excluded in these countries (Renou et al. 2008).

95 HEV has been detected in wastewater in France (Clemente-Casares et al., 2003), Italy (La

96 Rosa et al., 2010), Spain (Clemente-Casares et al., 2009; Rodriguez-Manzano et al., 2010)

97 and, very recently, the presence of HEV (genotype 3) was reported in 50% of water samples

98 from 31 WWTPs in Switzerland (Masclaux et al., 2013).

99   Treatment of wastewater generates aerosols of different sizes and all microorganisms

100 present in wastewater can consequently be aerosolized and deposited on surfaces (Han et al

101 2013). Thus, WWTP workers can be exposed to viral particles either via aerosol

102 inhalation/deglutition or via contact with contaminated surfaces, clothes or tools. Both regular

103 monitoring and accurate estimations of exposure to airborne viral particles are therefore

104 important in the assessment of occupational exposure risks and their prevention. Using

105 quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), this study aimed to investigate the presence of

106 3 different viruses (AdV groups F and E/D, NoV and HEV) in air samples from 31 WWTPs

107 located in the same area as a previous cohort study (Jeggli et al., 2004; Tschopp et al., 2009)

108 during two different seasons.

109

110 **2. Materials and methods**

111

112 2.1. Description of study sites

113 Thirty-one out of the 79 WWTP in the Canton of Zurich, Switzerland (about 1.39 million

114 inhabitants; 1,729 km^2), were selected for study in order to represent a broad array of plant

115   sizes (2–117 workers). These included 6 very large (> 50,000 inhabitants or inhabitant-

116   equivalents), 12 large (10,000–50,000 inhabitants or inhabitant-equivalents) and 13 small

117   WWTPs (2,000–10,000 inhabitants or inhabitant-equivalents). The selection was made using

118   the following criteria. First, WWTPs where a seroconversion in workers had been positively

119   ascertained in a recent cohort study on hepatitis E incidence (Tschopp et al., 2009) were

120   included. Second, the WWTP servicing Zurich's international airport was included because

121   international travel increases the probability of the occurrence of HEV. Third, WWTP where

122   occupational hygiene measurements had been taken in a previous study (Oppliger et al., 2005;

123   Daneshzadeh Tabrizi et al., 2010) were also included. Finally, further WWTPs were selected

124   to represent a well-balanced sample of sizes across the whole canton. All the plants were

125   municipal plants treating household wastewater only, and comprised a cleaning and an

126   activated sludge step (Zurich WWTP website, 2013). The presence of the 3 viruses under

127   investigation (NoV, AdV and HEV) in raw wastewater was known from a previous study

128   (Masclaux et al., 2013).

129

130   *2.2 Air sampling*

131   Each WWTP was visited once in winter (mean temperature 4°C) and once in summer (mean

132   temperature 21°C). At each visit, samples were collected at stationary points, continuously

133   for at least 1 hour during the working day in two different workstations: (i) one sample in the

134   enclosed area, at the water inlet, near the rake that removes big particles from incoming water

135   (termed 'inside'), and (ii) one sample in the unenclosed area, above the bubbling aeration

136   basin (termed 'outside'). All samples were collected 1.5 m above the floor or the basins. In

137   each WWTP, the inside and outside samples were taken in parallel during the same day.

138   In total, 123 airborne virus samples were collected onto 3 μm pore size, 25 mm gelatine filters

139   embedded in standard cassettes (SKC, Inc. Eighty Four, USA). Sampling was carried out

140   using a pocket pump (MSA Escort Elf, Mine Safety Appliance Company, Pittsburgh, PA,

141 USA, or SKC pocket pump 210-1002, SKC Inc., PA, USA) calibrated at 4 L min^{-1}. Airflow

142 was calibrated using a pocket calibrator (DryCal DCLite, Bios International, Pompton Plains,

143 NJ, USA), both before and after field sampling.

144 After sampling, filters were immediately immersed in 1 mL of RNAlater (Ambion, Life

145 Technologies, Europe) stabilizing solution. Samples were kept at 4°C until return to the

146 laboratory, where they were stored at -20°C.

147 Given that the 3 investigated viruses are potentially pathogenic, we do not expect to find them

148 in non contaminated ambient air. That is why we did not collect control air samples in other

149 environment.

150

151 *2.3. Virus recovery and nucleic acid extraction*

152 Samples were allowed to liquefy briefly at room temperature and were then kept on ice. An

153 amount of 2×10^6 genome equivalent (GE) copies of the control virus (RYMV, rice yellow

154 mottle virus) was added to each sample. After brief swirling, and 10 min incubation, samples

155 were centrifuged at 1,500 g in a swinging rotor for 5 min (4°C). The supernatant was carefully

156 recovered in a 2 mL centrifuge tube, and centrifuged for 10 min at 16,000 g to pellet

157 precipitated materials. The pellet was treated with 560 µL of AVL (viral lysis buffer) from the

158 QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen AG, Hombrechtikon Switzerland) as per the

159 manufacturer's protocol. The gelatine filter was treated immediately with 560 µL of AVL

160 buffer. During 10 min incubation, both preparations were combined in the same tube and a

161 volume of 120 µL of ethanol was added to the sample. After the incubation period, the

162 samples were extracted as per the manufacturer's protocol. After final elution, an additive

163 ethanol precipitation step was carried out on the samples, using Glycoblue (Ambion, Life

164 Technologies, Europe) as a co-precipitant. Lastly, the nucleic acids were suspended in a final

165 volume of 60 µl of AVE buffer and stored at - 20°C until use.

166

167 *2.4. Reverse transcription*

168 RNA viruses (NoV and VHE) were reverse-transcribed using the SuperScript III First-Strand

169 Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Life Technologies, www.lifetechnologies.com) and a mixture

170 of reverse primers priming toward the particular RNA viruses to be detected (Table 1). A 20

171 µL reaction mixture was prepared as per the manufacturer's protocol, including RNasin

172 (Promega AG, Wisconsin, USA). The reaction was incubated for 60 min at 50°C, followed by

173 heat-inactivation at 70°C for 15 min. The volume was finally adjusted to 100 µL with TE

174 (Tris EDTA buffer) 0.1X.

175

176 *2.5. qPCR assay*

177 The qPCR reaction was performed on 5 µL of nucleic acid solution (either DNA or cDNA)

178 using the qPCR Core kit (No ROX, with dUTP) from Eurogentec (www.eurogentec.com).

179 Three duplex qPCR assays were developed to allow simultaneous detection of viruses: NoV-

180 GGII/RYMV and HEV/RYMV for RNA viruses, and AdV-40/AdV-E/D for DNA viruses.

181 The reaction efficiencies (Table 2) were measured on serial 10-fold dilution mixtures of 2

182 virus amplicons cloned in pGEM-T as described for the monoplex assays. Cross-reactivity

183 between the assays in duplex was evaluated by comparing the amplification of the target in

184 single-plasmid solution and in multiple plasmid solution. The primers used are detailed in

185 Table 1. RYMV was used as a control for the quality of each sample. The sample validation

186 threshold was 4×10^5 GE copies of RYMV. Samples with an amplification of spiked RYMV

187 under the threshold were reanalyzed or not considered, as described in Masclaux et al. (2013).

188 The reactions were run in triplicate on a RotorGene-3000 (Qiagen AG, Hombrechtikon

189 Switzerland) using the following profile: digestion with uracil-N-glycosylase at 50°C for 2

190 min, initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, 45 cycles of 15 s denaturation at 95°C, and 30 s

191   annealing at 60°C. No template controls were included in the runs. Good laboratory practices

192   were followed strictly to prevent PCR contamination (separated working areas and different

193   material for each extraction, preparation and amplification of samples). Quantitative data were

194   obtained using RotorGene software, version 6.1.93, and were subsequently analyzed with

195   custom-designed Excel spreadsheets using the standard curve equation as a reference for the

196   quantification. All signals (Cq values) above the limit of detection (LOD) were considered as

197   positive for the detection (Table 2). A standard curve was generated for each virus using ten-

198   fold dilutions of plasmid DNA containing the corresponding PCR product (see details in

199   Masclaux *et al.,* 2013). Concentrations were expressed in genome equivalent (GE) copies/µl

200   and converted to GE copies/m^3 of air on the basis of the volume of air sampled.

201

202   **3. Results**

203

204   Airborne AdV-F was detected in all the WWTP (either inside or outside or both) in summer

205   and 97% of WWTPs (30/31) in winter. In total, 84% (104/123) of samples were positive

206   (Table 3). Among these positive samples, 61.5% (64/104) were above the limit of

207   quantification (LOQ) (i.e. 2.72×10^3 GE copies/m^3) with a maximum concentration of $2.27 \times$

208   10^6 GE copies/m^3. In summer, 93% (58/62) of samples were positive compared to 75%

209   (46/61) in winter. This difference was statistically significant (Pearson Chi-square = 7.74, df

210   =1, p = 0.005). In summer, 75% (44/58) of positive samples were above the LOQ compared

211   to only 20% (20/46) in winter (Pearson Chi-square = 11.36, df =1, p = 0.001), and the mean

212   concentration of airborne AdV of positive samples (samples above the LOQ) was higher in

213   summer than in winter (mean ± SE, *median*: 95,179 ± 54,066 GE copies/m^3, *12,492 GE*

214   *copies/m^3*, N = 44; versus 31,121 ± 10,594 GE copies/m^3, *13,884 GE copies/m^3*, N = 20,

215   respectively; Mann-Whitney U test = 2,652, p < 0.001, N = 64) (Fig 1, and Table 4). There

216   were no differences in AdV occurrence between each WWTP's two sampling sites, with

217   85.4% (53/62) of positive outside samples compared to 83.6% (51/61) of positive inside

218   samples (Pearson Chi-square = 0.08, df = 1, p = 0.7). Median concentrations were slightly

219   lower outside than inside (Fig 1), but these differences were not significant (Mann-Whitney U

220   test, U = 2001.5, p = 0.569). The size of the WWTPs (small, large or very large) had no

221   significant influence on airborne concentrations of AdV (Kruskal-Wallis = 2.474, p = 0.29, N

222   = 31). Group E/D adenoviruses were only detected in winter in 6 air samples (2 inside and 4

223   outside).

224   NoV was detected in 3 of the 123 air samples, and these results were below the LOQ

225   (i.e. $< 6.55 \times 10^2$ GE copies/m^3). HEV was not detected in any of the 123 air samples (LOQ =

226   3.34×10^3 GE copies/m^3).

227

228   **4. Discussion**

229

230   Of the 3 different pathogenic virus species previously found in influent water at WWTP in the

231   Canton of Zurich, only AdV was very frequently present (84% of 124 samples) in relatively

232   high concentrations (up to 22.76×10^5 viral particles/m^3) in WWTP air samples. The HEV

233   was not observed at all, and the NoV was detected in only 2.4% of samples. These results

234   therefore demonstrated that the working environments of WWTP can expose workers to

235   airborne pathogenic viruses. The AdV are known to be more resistant to the effects of UV

236   light than other enteric viruses (Thurston-Enriquez et al., 2003). Moreover, a lot of non-

237   enveloped viruses tend to be more stable in environments with relatively high relative

238   humidity (Yang and Marr, 2012), as is the case in WWTP and they could therefore remain

239   infectious in aerosol for a long time. Once in suspension in the air, AdV can be deposited onto

240   any WWTP surface, and contamination of workers can occur not only via inhalation but also

241   via contaminated hands, objects or surfaces. When AdV are inhaled, respiratory symptoms

242   (fever, pharyngitis, tonsillitis, cough, and sore throat) can occur. When ingested, some

serotypes (group F) are known to infect the gastrointestinal tract primarily, with symptoms of diarrhea and gastroenteritis.

Numerous studies have reported that WWTP workers have more frequent gastrointestinal symptoms than the general population, though without highlighting clear relationships with occupational exposure to one specific biological agent (Thorn and Kerekes, 2001; Khuder et al., 1998; Thorn et al., 2002; Douwes et al., 2001). Rylander (1999) reported prevalence of diarrhea of nearly 45% in Swedish sewage workers (versus 3% in the control population), and assumed that endotoxins are responsible of these symptoms. Exposure to airborne endotoxins was also suspected to be related to the diarrheal symptoms observed in workers from the WWTP investigated in this study (Jeggli et al., 2004), but the authors noticed that other components could also be involved. Therefore, the presence of high airborne quantities of AdV in almost all WWTP, sometimes in association with airborne NoV, could explain the gastrointestinal symptoms often reported in WWTP, yet never elucidated. To the best of our knowledge, this was the first time that the presence of airborne AdV was investigated in WWTP, and such high airborne concentrations were previously reported only in solid-waste processing facilities in Italy, where AdV was found in 25% (8/40) of air samples, with concentrations ranging between 10×10^5 to 98×10^6 viral particles/m^3 (Carducci et al., 2013). As point of comparison, in Taiwan, a survey in a paediatric emergency room showed that airborne concentrations of AdV were only between < 10 and 104 copies/m^3 (Wan et al., 2012). The major limit of our study is that the infectivity of these viral particles is unknown, since PCR methods of quantification take into account both infectious and non-infectious viral particles. However, AdV is known to be very resistant (Gordon et al., 1993; Thurston-Enriquez et al., 2003; Enriquez et al., 1995), and it is likely to remain infectious in the environment for a long time. In a recent study (Carducci et al., 2013) in solid-waste processing facilities in Italy, 75% of the surface samples which were positive

268   for AdV using PCR were confirmed as infectious using cell culture. The infectious dose of

269   AdV is estimated at 30 – 1,000 viral particles, thus, with regard to the high airborne virus

270   concentrations found in this study, potential infection via aerosols cannot be excluded. , even

271   if it was not found in the cross-sectional examination of these workers (Steiner et al., 2005).

272   Due to the specificity of the primers used, it can be surmised that airborne AdV

273   isolated in this study belong to group F (type 40-41), i.e. one of those involved in

274   gastrointestinal tropism. The presence of AdVs from the groups E/D, which can be more

275   specifically involved in respiratory tropism, was also identified in 6 samples. The present

276   study also observed that concentrations of AdV viruses were higher in summer than in winter.

277   This is also the case for other microbial aerosols in WWTP (Grisoli et al. 2009; Oppliger et al.

278   2005) and certainly due to the higher temperature measured in summer time,

279   NoV was only detected in 3 air samples and at concentrations too low to be

280   quantifiable. The presence of airborne NoV in WWTP was also detected at a concentration of

281   1,420 viral particle copies/m^3 in an air sample in Denmark (Uhrbrand et al., 2011). These two

282   results show that the risk of airborne NoV exposure does indeed exist for wastewater workers.

283   Even though the levels and frequencies of exposure are lower than those to AdV, because the

284   infectious dose of NoV is at an extremely low level (18 viral particles) (Teunis et al., 2008),

285   and because NoV is known to survive very well in adverse environments (Rodriguez-Lazaro

286   et al., 2012), the risk of contracting an infection is non-negligible, and the risk is even greater

287   for immunocompromised patients since more severe symptoms can occur.

288   HEV was not detected in air samples despite its presence in 50% of wastewater

289   samples. This can be explained by the fact that HEV was found in very low concentrations

290   (detectable, but not quantifiable) in wastewater compared to the waterborne concentrations of

291   AdV and NoV, and therefore aerosolisation was less probable. This can also be explained by

292   the fact that we have collected small volume of air which limits the probability of detecting

293 low airborne virus concentrations. Due to the high concentrations of AdV and NoV
294 previously found in the effluent of the WWTP investigated (Masclaux et al., 2013), it is
295 highly likely that influent water is the source of airborne contamination in WWTP.
296 Investigated WWTP are geographically isolated from urban centres and no other potential
297 sources of AdV or NoV were present in the near environment of the WWTP. However, the
298 lack of control air samples collected in other outdoor environments is a limit of our study.
299 Once aerosolised, certain viruses can be disseminated over long distances and several
300 examples of airborne virus transmission exist. For instance, the foot and mouth animal virus,
301 SRAS and the influenza virus have all been reported to travel over very long distances
302 (Gloster et al., 2010; Booth et al., 2005; Ssematimba et al., 2012). The dissemination of viral
303 particles from WWTP into the environment disserves to be further evaluated to assess
304 possible public health risks.

305

306 **5. Conclusion**

307 Pathogenic viral particles responsible of gastrointestinal symptoms are frequently present in
308 WWTP air. Occupational exposure to these airborne micro-organisms could explain part of
309 the work-related gastrointestinal symptoms often reported in this occupational sector,
310 especially during the first months of employment in WWTP. We can infer that workers'
311 repeated exposure to these viruses triggers off a good immune response and consequently a
312 decrease in symptoms after the first year of work. However, because more and more workers
313 (included workers of WWTP) use immunosuppressive drugs (transplant patients, HIV
314 patients, etc.), some can develop severe complications when infected by these viruses.
315 Objective data useful for an adequate risk assessment for these workers are largely lacking,
316 and this subject requires further investigation.

317

References

Booth, T. F., Kournikakis, B., Bastien, N., Ho, J., Kobasa, D., Stadnyk, L., Li, Y., Spence, M., Paton, S., Henry, B., Mederski, B., White, D., Low, D. E., McGeer, A., Simor, A., Vearncombe, M., Downey, J., Jamieson, F. B., Tang, P., Plummer, F., 2005. Detection of airborne severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus and environmental contamination in SARS outbreak units. J. Infect. Dis. 191, 1472-1477.

Carducci, A., Federigi, I., Verani, M., 2013. Virus occupational exposure in solid waste processing facilities. Ann. Occup. Hyg. 57,1115-1127.

Carducci, A., Morici, P., Pizzi, F., Battistini, R., Rovini, E., Verani, M., 2008. Study of the viral removal efficiency in a urban wastewater treatment plant. Water Sc. Technol. 58, 893-897.

Carducci, A., Verani, M., Lombardi, R., Casini, B., Privitera, G., 2011. Environmental survey to assess viral contamination of air and surfaces in hospital settings. J. Hosp. Infect. 77, 242-247.

Clemente-Casares, P., Pina, S., Buti, M., Jardi, R., MartIn, M., Bofill-Mas, S., Girones, R., 2003. Hepatitis E virus epidemiology in industrialized countries. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 9, 448–454.

Clemente-Casares, P., Rodriguez-Manzano, J., Girones, R., 2009. Hepatitis E virus genotype 3 and sporadically also genotype 1 circulate in the population of Catalonia, Spain. J. Water Health. 7, 664–673.

Dalton, H.R., Bendall, R., Ijaz, S., Banks, M., 2008. Hepatitis E: an emerging infection in developed countries. Lancet Infect. Dis. 8, 698-709.

Divizia, M., Cencioni, B., Palombi, L., Pana, A., 2008. Sewage workers: risk of acquiring enteric virus infections including Hepatitis A. New Microbiol. 31, 337-341.

Douwes, J., Mannetje, A., Heederik, D., 2001. Work-related symptoms in sewage treatment workers. Ann. Agric. Environ. Med. 8, 39-45.

Echavarria, M., Kolavic, S. A., Cersovsky, S., Mitchell, F., Sanchez, J. L., Polyak, C., Innis, B. L., Binn, L. N., 2000. Detection of adenoviruses (AdV) in culture-negative environmental samples by PCR during an AdV-associated respiratory disease outbreak. J. Clin. Microbiol. 38, 2982-2984.

Enriquez, C. E., Hurst, C. J., Gerba, C. P., 1995. Survival of the enteric adenovirus-40 and adenovirus-41 in tap, sea, and waste-water. Water Res. 29, 2548-2553.

Friesema, I. H. M., Vennema, H., Heijne, J. C. M., de Jager, C. M., Morroy, G., van den Kerkhof, J. H. T. C., de Coster, E. J. M., Wolters, B. A., ter Waarbeek, H. L. G., Fanoy, E. B., Teunis, P. F. M., van der Linde, R., van Duynhoven, Y. T. H. P., 2009. Norovirus outbreaks in nursing homes: the evaluation of infection control measures. Epidemiol. Infect. 137, 1722-1733.

Gloster, J., Jones, A., Redington, A., Burgin, L., Sorensen, J. H., Turner, R., Dillon, M., Hullinger, P., Simpson, M., Astrup, P., Garner, G., Stewart, P., D'Amours, R., Sellers, R., Paton, D., 2010. Airborne spread of foot-and-mouth disease – Model intercomparison. Vet. J. 183, 278-286.

Gordon, Y. J., Gordon, R. Y., Romanowski, E., Araullocruz, T. P., 1993. Prolonged recovery of desiccated adenoviral serotypes 5, 8, and 19 from plastic and metal-surfaces in-vitro. Ophthalmol. 100, 1835-1840.

Grisoli, P., Rodolfi, M., Villani, S., Grignani, E., Cottica, D., Berri, A., Picco, A. M., Dacarro, C., 2009. Assessment of airborne microorganism contamination in an industrial area characterized by an open composting facility and a wastewater treatment plant. Environ. Res. 109, 135-142.

Haagsma, J. A., Tariq, L., Heederik, D. J., Havelaar, A. H., 2012. Infectious disease risks associated with occupational exposure: a systematic review of the literature. Occup. Environ. Med. 69, 140-146.

Haas, D., Unteregger, M., Habib, J., Galler, H., Marth, E., Reinthaler, F. F., 2010. Exposure to Bioaerosol from Sewage Systems. Water Air Soil Poll. 207, 49-56.

Han, Y. P., Li, L., Liu, J. X., 2013. Characterization of the airborne bacteria community at different distances from the rotating brushes in a wastewater treatment plant by 16S rRNA gene clone libraries. J. Environ. Sci. China. 25, 5-15.

Heinonen-Tanski, H., Reponen, T., Koivunen, J., 2009. Airborne enteric coliphages and bacteria in sewage treatment plants. Water Res. 43, 2558-2566.

Huang, M. L., Nguy, L., Ferrenberg, J., Boeckh, M., Cent, A., Corey, L., 2008. Development of multiplexed real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay for detecting human adenoviruses. Diag. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 62, 263-271.

Jeggli, S., Steiner, D., Joller, H., Tschopp, A., Steffen, R., Hotz, P., 2004. Hepatitis E, Helicobacter pylori, and gastrointestinal symptoms in workers exposed to waste water. Occup. Environ. Med. 61, 622-627.

Jiang, S. C., 2006. Human adenovirus in water: occurrence and health implications: a critical review. Environ. Sci. Technol. 40, 7132-7140.

Jothikumar, N., Lowther, J. A., Henshilwood, K., Lees, D. N., Hill, V. R., Vinje, J., 2005a. Rapid and sensitive detection of norovirus by using TaqMan-based one-step reverse transcription-PCR assays and application to naturally contaminated shellfish samples. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 1870-1875.

Jothikumar, N., Cromeans, T. L., Hill, V. R., Lu, X. Y., Sobsey, M. D., Erdman, D. D., 2005b. Quantitative real-time PCR assays for detection of human adenoviruses and identification of serotypes 40 and 41. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 3131-3136.

Jothikumar, N., Cromeans, T. L., Robertson, B. H., Meng, X. J., Hill, V. R., 2006. A broadly reactive one-step real-time RT-PCR assay for rapid and sensitive detection of hepatitis E virus. J. Virol. Meth. 131, 65-71.

Kaarakainen, P., Rintala, H., Meklin, T. Karkkainen, P., Hyvarinen, A., Nevalainen, A., 2011. Concentrations and Diversity of Microbes from Four Local Bioaerosol Emission Sources in Finland. J. Air Waste Manag. Ass. 61, 1382-1392.

Khuder, S. A., Arthur, T., Bisesi, M. S., Schaub, E. A., 1998. Prevalence of infectious diseases and associated symptoms in wastewater treatment workers. Am. J. Ind. Med. 33, 571-577.

410 Kimura, H., Nagano, K., Kimura, N., Shimizu, M., Ueno, Y., Morikane, K., Okabe, N., 2011.
411   A norovirus outbreak associated with environmental contamination at a hotel.
412   Epidemiol. Infect. 139**,** 317-325.
413 Kirking, H. L., Cortes, J., Burrer, S., Hall, A. J., Cohen, N. J., Lipman, H., Kim, C., Daly, E.
414   R., Fishbein, D. B., 2010. Likely Transmission of Norovirus on an Airplane, October
415   2008. Clin. Infect. Dis. 50**,** 1216-1221.
416 Kouassi, N.K., N'Guessan, P., Albar, L., Fauquet, C.M., Brugidou, C., 2005. Distribution and
417   Characterization of Rice yellow mottle virus: A Threat to African Farmers. Plant. Dis.
418   89, 124–133.
419 La Rosa, G., Pourshaban, M., Iaconelli, M., Spuri Vennarucci, V., Muscillo, M.,2010.
420   Molecular Detection of Hepatitis E Virus in Sewage Samples. Appl. Environ.
421   Microbiol. 76, 5870–5873.
422 Masclaux, F. G., Hotz, P., Friedli, D., Savova-Bianchi, D., Oppliger, A., 2013. High
423   occurrence of hepatitis E virus in samples from wastewater treatment plants in
424   Switzerland and comparison with other enteric viruses. Water Res. 47**,** 5101-5109.
425 Oppliger, A., Hilfiker, S., Duc, T. V., 2005. Influence of seasons and sampling strategy on
426   assessment of bioaerosols in sewage treatment plants in Switzerland. Ann. Occup.
427/428   Hyg. 49**,** 393-400.
429 Renou, C., Moreau, X., Pariente, A., Cadranel, J. F., Maringe, E., Morin, T. Causse, X .
430   Payen, J. L., Izopet, J., Nicand, E., Bourliere, M., Penaranda, G., Hardwigsen, J.,
431   Gerolami, R., Peron, J. M., Pavio, N., 2008. A national survey of acute hepatitis E in
        France. Alim. Pharmacol. Therapeutics. 11, 1086-1093.
432 Rinsoz, T., Hilfiker, S., Oppliger, A., 2009. Quantification of Thermotolerant Campylobacter
433   in Swiss Water Treatment Plants, by Real-Time Quantitative Polymerase Chain
434   Reaction. Water Environ. Res. 81**,** 929-933.
435 Rodriguez-Lazaro, D., Cook, N., Ruggeri, F. M., Sellwood, J., Nasser, A., Nascimento, M. S.
436   J., D'Agostino, M., Santos, R., Carlos Saiz, J., Rzezutka, A., Bosch, A., Girones, R.,
437   Carducci, A., Muscillo, M., Kovac, K., Diez-Valcarce, M., Vantarakis, A., von
438   Bonsdorff, C.-H., Husman, A. M. d. R., Hernandez, M., van der Poel, W. H. M., 2012.
439   Virus hazards from food, water and other contaminated environments. Fems
440   Microbiol. Rev. 36**,** 786-814.
441 Rodriguez-Manzano, J., Alonso, J. L., Ferrus, M. A., Moreno, Y., Amoros, I., Calgua, B.,
442   Hundesa, A., Guerrero-Latorre, L., Carratala, A., Rusinol, M., Girones, R., 2012.
443   Standard and new faecal indicators and pathogens in sewage treatment plants,
444   microbiological parameters for improving the control of reclaimed water. Water Sc.
445   Technol. 66**,** 2517-2523.
446 Rylander, R., 1999. Health effects among workers in sewage treatment plants. Occup.
447   Environ. Med. 56, 354–357.
448 Ssematimba, A., Hagenaars, T. J., de Jong, M. C. M., 2012. Modelling the wind-borne spread
449   of highly pathogenic avian influenza virus between farms. Plos One. 7, e31114.
450 Steiner, D., Jeggli, S., Tschopp, A., Bernard, A., Oppliger, A., Hilfiker, S., Hotz, P., 2005.
451   Clara cell protein and surfactant protein B in garbage collectors and in wastewater
452   workers exposed to bioaerosols. Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health. 78**,** 189-197.
453 Teunis, P. F. M., Moe, C. L., Liu, P., Miller, S. E., Lindesmith, L., Baric, R. S., Le Pendu, J.,
454   Calderon, R. L., 2008. Norwalk virus: How infectious is it? J. Med. Virol. 80**,** 1468-
455   1476.
456 Thorn, J., Beijer, L., 2004. Work-related symptoms and inflammation among sewage plant
457   operatives. Int. J. Occup. Environ. Health. 10**,** 84-89.
458 Thorn, J., Beijer, L., Rylander, R., 2002. Work related symptoms among sewage workers: a
459   nationwide survey in Sweden. Occup. Environ. Med. 59**,** 562-566.

460 Thorn, J., Kerekes, E., 2001. Health effects among employees in sewage treatment plants: A
461   literature survey. Am. J. Ind. Med. 40**,** 170-179.
462 Thurston-Enriquez, J. A., Haas, C. N., Jacangelo, J., Riley, K., Gerba, C. P., 2003.
463   Inactivation of feline calicivirus and adenovirus type 40 by UV radiation. App.
464   Environ. Microbiol. 69**,** 577-582.
465 Tschopp, A., Joller, H., Jeggli, S., Widmeier, S., Steffen, R., Hilfiker, S., Hotz, P., 2009.
466   Hepatitis E, Helicobacter pylori and peptic ulcers in workers exposed to sewage: a
467   prospective cohort study. Occup. Environ. Med. 66**,** 45-50.
468 Uhrbrand, K., Schultz, A. C., Madsen, A. M., 2011. Exposure to Airborne Noroviruses and
469   Other Bioaerosol Components at a Wastewater Treatment Plant in Denmark. Food
470   Environ. Virol. 3**,** 130-137.
471 Vaidya, S. R., Chitambar, S. D., Arankalle, V. A., 2002. Polymerase chain reaction-based
472   prevalence of hepatitis A, hepatitis E and TT viruses un sewage from an endemic area.
473   J. Hepatol. 31, 131-136.
474 Wan, G.-H., Huang, C.-G., Huang, Y.-C., Huang, J.-P., Yang, S.-L., Lin, T.-Y., Tsao, K.-C.,
475   2012. Surveillance of Airborne Adenovirus and Mycoplasma pneumoniae in a
476   Hospital Pediatric Department. Plos One. 7.
478 Wikswo, M. E., Cortes, J., Hall, A. J., Vaughan, G., Howard, C., ; Gregoricus, N., Cramer, E.
479   H., 2011. Disease transmission and passenger behaviours during a high morbidity
         norovirus outbreak on a cruise ship, January 2009. Clin. Infec. Dis. 52,116-1122.
480 Yang, W., Marr, L. C., 2012. Mechanisms by Which Ambient Humidity May Affect Viruses
481   in Aerosols. App. Environ. Microbiol. 78**,** 6781-6788.
482 Zurich   WWTP   website   :   available   from   URL
483   http://www.awel.zh.ch/internet/baudirektion/awel/de/betriebe_anlagen_baustellen/abw
484   asserreinigungsanlagen.htm
485
486
487
488