

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Evolutionary patchwork of an insecticidal toxin shared between plant-associated pseudomonads and the insect pathogens *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus*

Beat Ruffner¹, Maria Péchy-Tarr², Monica Höfte³, Guido Bloemberg⁴, Jürg Grunder⁵, Christoph Keel^{2*} and Monika Maurhofer^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Root-colonizing fluorescent pseudomonads are known for their excellent abilities to protect plants against soil-borne fungal pathogens. Some of these bacteria produce an insecticidal toxin (Fit) suggesting that they may exploit insect hosts as a secondary niche. However, the ecological relevance of insect toxicity and the mechanisms driving the evolution of toxin production remain puzzling.

Results: Screening a large collection of plant-associated pseudomonads for insecticidal activity and presence of the Fit toxin revealed that Fit is highly indicative of insecticidal activity and predicts that *Pseudomonas protegens* and *P. chlororaphis* are exclusive Fit producers. A comparative evolutionary analysis of Fit toxin-producing *Pseudomonas* including the insect-pathogenic bacteria *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus*, which produce the Fit related Mcf toxin, showed that *fit* genes are part of a dynamic genomic region with substantial presence/absence polymorphism and local variation in GC base composition. The patchy distribution and phylogenetic incongruence of *fit* genes indicate that the Fit cluster evolved via horizontal transfer, followed by functional integration of vertically transmitted genes, generating a unique *Pseudomonas*-specific insect toxin cluster.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that multiple independent evolutionary events led to formation of at least three versions of the Mcf/Fit toxin highlighting the dynamic nature of insect toxin evolution.

Keywords: *Pseudomonas*, *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus*, Insecticidal activity, Toxin evolution

Background

Bacteria belonging to the *Pseudomonas fluorescens* group [1, 2] provide a compelling example of ecological and bacterial lifestyle diversity reflected by the vast range of environmental habitats they occupy. This group encloses plant-beneficial symbionts, environmental saprophytes and clinical strains of opportunistic human pathogens [3–5]. Within the *P. fluorescens* group, root-colonizing pseudomonads are well known for their ability to promote plant growth and to protect plants against soilborne pathogens

through a set of diverse and functionally complementary mechanisms. The capacity to suppress fungal diseases has largely been attributed to the production of secondary metabolites with cytotoxic and antimicrobial activity, in particular 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG), phenazines, pyoluteorin, pyrrolnitrin, hydrogen cyanide, and lipopeptides [4, 6].

Extensive knowledge has been gathered over the last years on plant disease suppression and plant growth promotion. Surprisingly, it has become only recently apparent that specific strains of plant-associated pseudomonads are able to infect and kill insects [7–12]. These observations invoke that particular strains may function as insect pathogens and switch between insect hosts and the plant environment. Insecticidal activity in

* Correspondence: christoph.keel@unil.ch; monika.maurhofer@usys.ethz.ch

²Department of Fundamental Microbiology, University of Lausanne, Biophore Building, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland


¹Pathology, Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zurich, Universitätstrasse 2, CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

environmental pseudomonads was, with the exception of *Pseudomonas entomophila*, a pathogen of *Drosophila* [13–15], so far only rarely demonstrated. Initially, an insect toxin was discovered *in silico* when the genome of *Pseudomonas protegens* Pf-5 (previously called *P. fluorescens* Pf-5) became available [16]. Subsequent molecular and mutational characterization revealed that oral and injectable insecticidal activity is linked to the Fit (*P. fluorescens* insecticidal toxin) gene, which was described and characterized for the first time in *P. protegens* strains CHA0 and Pf-5 [7, 12]. Injection of Fit expressing *E. coli* is sufficient to induce strong melanization and rapid death of the tobacco hornworm *Manduca sexta* and larvae of the greater wax moth *Galleria mellonella* [7]. Fit toxin knock-out mutants of CHA0 have attenuated virulence, both when

injected into *G. mellonella* or fed to African cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* [7, 12]. The Fit gene cluster consists of eight genes (*fitABCDEFGHI*) with functions in toxin export, insect toxicity and regulation (Fig. 1). The Fit insect toxin gene *fitD* is flanked upstream by *fitABC* and downstream by *fitE* encoding components of a type I secretion system. The products of the *fitFGH* genes regulate toxin production [7, 8, 10, 11]. FitF is a sensor histidine kinase – response regulator hybrid, detecting the insect environment and activating insecticidal toxin expression via FitH and FitG [11].

Genome sequencing revealed that certain strains of *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* also harbour the complete *fit* gene cluster (Fig. 1) [17]. Contribution of the Fit toxin to the oral insecticidal activity has been demonstrated for *P. chlororaphis* PCL1391 against *S. littoralis* [12].



The Fit insect toxin shares 73 % identity with the makes caterpillars floppy insecticidal toxin Mcf1 and 67 % with Mcf2, both produced by *Photorhabdus luminescens*, a bacterial symbiont of entomopathogenic nematodes [7, 18, 20]. Mcf-like toxins are also found in *Photorhabdus asymbiotica*, *Xenorhabdus nemtophila* and *Xenorhabdus bovienii* (Fig. 1) [18–21]. The Mcf1 toxin causes rapid disruption of the insect midgut epithelium and hemocytes triggered by a BH-3-like apoptosis control domain [18, 22]. Injection of purified Mcf1 in *Drosophila* embryos leads to a freezing phenotype of hemocytes, due to a rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton [23]. While Mcf toxins are essentially studied in the *Photorhabdus* lineage the evolutionary basis for the homology between Fit and Mcf toxins has remained unclear.

Here, we conducted comparative sequence analysis in combination with virulence assays to yield a better understanding of insect pathogenicity in plant-associated pseudomonads. In order to study Fit/Mcf toxin evolution we have sequenced seven *Pseudomonas* genomes to retrieve the entire gene cluster. We analyzed evolutionary footprints of the *Pseudomonas* Fit gene cluster and the related Mcf genes of the insect pathogenic *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* bacteria and found patterns of recent horizontal transfer.

This study suggests that the Fit toxin is restricted to a particular group of plant-colonizing pseudomonads consisting of *P. protegens* and *P. chlororaphis*. We show that the presence of the *fit* toxin gene strongly correlates with high insect toxicity and thus is a suitable molecular marker for potent insecticidal activity in fluorescent pseudomonads. Absence of the Fit toxin gene in closely related pseudomonads and the genomic context suggest that *fit* genes have evolved in part via exchange of genetic material from phylogenetically distantly related bacteria. The acquisition of the Fit toxin within pseudomonads may represent an ancient event in the evolution towards a distinct ecotype of insect-associated pseudomonads. Our analysis further indicates substantial rearrangements within *Photorhabdus/Xenorhabdus* lineage of these insecticidal toxins thereby extending and diversifying the existing toxin repertoire of these entomopathogens.

Results and discussion

Survey of diverse pseudomonads predicts *P. protegens* and *P. chlororaphis* as exclusive Fit producers within plant-colonizing pseudomonads

We investigated the occurrence of Fit toxin production in plant-associated pseudomonads and tested whether insect toxin production is linked to specific ecological and molecular characteristics. We screened a large worldwide collection of *Pseudomonas* isolates (103) from soil and roots of different plant species

using generic primers directed against the Fit toxin gene *fitD* (Additional file 1: Table S1). In addition to the root-associated isolates, we tested 15 strains representing the major phylogenetic groups within the genus *Pseudomonas* and strains isolated from different environments including invertebrates such as cyclops, earthworms or isopods for the presence of the *fitD* gene. The phylogenetic relationship of the investigated strains based on concatenated sequences of the three housekeeping genes *recA*, *rpoB* and *rpoD* is shown in Fig. 2a.

PCR amplification and sequencing showed the presence of the toxin in 29 strains (Fig. 2a, Additional file 1: Table S1). In addition, PCR results were verified using Southern blotting on a subset of isolates (data not shown). We detected the Fit toxin gene only in two phylogenetic subgroups within the *P. fluorescens* group (grouping according to [1, 2]). The first subgroup comprises fluorescent pseudomonads that produce both the antifungal metabolites DAPG and PLT [24, 25] including our model strain *P. protegens* CHA0 [26]. As the second group of Fit toxin gene carriers, we were able to identify members of the *P. chlororaphis* subgroup (Fig. 2a). We included in addition to *P. protegens* CHA0 and Pf-5 the sequenced strains *P. chlororaphis* GP72 [27], *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* 30-84 [17] and *Pseudomonas* CMR12a (unpublished data) all harboring the Fit gene cluster. CMR12a is placed next to the group of DAPG and PLT producers, although CMR12a is phylogenetically clearly distinct from these strains (Fig. 2a) and does not produce the two antifungal compounds [28, 29].

Insecticidal activity strongly correlates with the presence of the Fit toxin gene

We aimed to test if insecticidal activity is restricted to a particular group of pseudomonads and whether presence of the Fit toxin is predictive of insecticidal activity. To this end, the insecticidal activity of selected strains from phylogenetically and functionally diverse subgroups within the *P. fluorescens* group (Fig. 2a) was tested using a previously established assay with larvae of the greater wax moth *Galleria mellonella* [7]. Tested strains included representatives of different phylogenetic subgroups of DAPG-producers and some DAPG non-producing strains (Fig. 2a). Injection assays with *Galleria* larvae demonstrated that *Pseudomonas* strains harboring the *fitD* toxin gene display potent insecticidal activity while the naturally *fitD*-negative sister group fails to induce significant mortality (Fig. 2b). Since insecticidal activity is restricted to a particular group and substantial toxicity is retained in *fitD* knock-out mutants [7], insecticidal activity is likely driven by other shared traits. We have previously shown that the *fit* toxin gene significantly contributes to the insecticidal activity, but requires additional




Fig. 2 Insecticidal activity correlates with presence of the *fitD* gene. **(a)** The Phylogenetic relationship amongst 29 closely related plant-associated Fit^+ and 24 Fit^- pseudomonads is based on the three concatenated housekeeping genes *recA*, *rpoB* and *rpoD* consisting of a total of 1469 nucleotide sites with *Pseudomonas syringae* as outgroup. Strains/subgroups harboring the Fit toxin gene and major antifungal compounds produced by the pseudomonads are indicated: DAPG, 2-4-diacetylphloroglucinol; PHZ, phenazines; and PLT, pyoluteorin. The evolutionary history was inferred using PhyML 3.0. Bootstrap values are based on 100 replicates and indicated for major nodes indicated by circles. Only the *P. protegens* and *P. chlororaphis* subgroups harbor the Fit toxin gene. The *P. corrugata* subgroup containing DAPG producers is indicated as defined by Mulet [1, 2]. **(b)** Insect mortality of *G. mellonella* larvae four days after injection of 4×10^4 cells of 17 Fit^+ producing and 13 non-producing *Pseudomonas* strains. Tested strains are shown in bold in (A). Each strain was tested on a total of 30 larvae (five replicate plates with six larvae per plate). Bars show average of insect mortality for each strain. Error bars show standard error of the mean. The experiment was repeated with similar results (Additional file 2: Table S2). Fit^+ strains were significantly different from Fit^- based on Wilcoxon rank sum test grouped by Fit^+ and Fit^- strains ($P < 0.05$)

factors for full activity that are regulated by the GacS/GacA system [11, 12]. Nevertheless, the Fit toxin gene seems to be predictive of insecticidal activity in root-colonizing fluorescent pseudomonads.

The Fit gene cluster is located in a dynamic genomic region

To characterize the Fit gene cluster among *Pseudomonas* spp. we generated high quality assemblies of seven

toxin-producing strains (i.e., *P. protegens* CHA0, BRIP, PGNR1, K94.41, and PF and *P. chlororaphis* PCL1391 and CD of which) covering the entire Fit toxin cluster and flanking regions. The complete genome sequence of CHA0 has recently been published [30]. Sequences containing the *fit* genes were aligned to the reference sequence of strains Pf-5 and 30-84 [16, 17]. All seven strains contain the complete *fit* toxin cluster (*fitABCDEFGHI*). The Fit gene cluster in the genome of Pf-5 is embedded in a large genomic region, with features indicative of horizontal acquisition, such as phage-related proteins and unusual nucleotide composition (Fig. 3a) [17]. The *fit* cluster in *P. chlororaphis* strains 30-84 and O6 is located in a different part of the genome within a 24-28 gene insertion (Fig. 3b) [17]. We were able to define a 165-kb region of the Pf-5 genome flanked by steep residual G + C content clines (Fig. 3a). Abrupt changes in the residual cumulative G + C content curve may point to foreign genetic elements, such as horizontally acquired genes, phage-derived elements or other mobile elements [31]. The corresponding genomic region in strain PF is highly similar to that of Pf-5 and in both strains the region is delimited at the 5'-end by a type I restriction modification system and by phage-related proteins at the 3'-end. Interestingly, comparisons of the respective regions among the six *P. protegens* strains show a high level of insertion-deletion polymorphism. In particular, the type I restriction-modification system is present in strains Pf-5, PF, K94.41 and BRIP, but absent in PGNR1 and CHA0 (Fig. 3a). The gene cluster encoding the rhizoxin biosynthesis is unique to Pf-5 and PF as well as the phage-related proteins [32]. A polysaccharide synthesis gene cluster (*pel*) is common to all *P. protegens* strains and located upstream of the Fit cluster. In *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Pel* is one of at least three secreted extracellular polysaccharides implicated in biofilm formation [33].

The genomic context of the *fit* genes in *P. protegens* differs from that in *P. chlororaphis* (Fig. 3b). Using the residual cumulative G + C content approach a region of 45 kb composed of 34 ORFs was defined in *P. chlororaphis* strain 30-84. Similar to *P. protegens*, there is substantial presence/absence polymorphism. In strains CD and PCL1391, adjacent to a gene encoding a putative membrane-associated transporter upstream of *fitA*, a nine-ORF gene cluster involved in ribose uptake and utilization is located, which was assumed to be unique to the *P. chlororaphis* subgroup of the *P. fluorescens* group [17].

Fit gene cluster: a phylogenetic patchwork

Since only strains of *P. protegens* and *P. chlororaphis* were identified as carriers of the Fit toxin, we were

interested in the evolutionary origin of this gene cluster. High similarities between protein sequences in distantly related species, patchy distribution or phylogenetic incongruence indicate potential horizontal transmission of a gene [34]. BLASTP searches, revealed a very distinct phylogenetic distribution of Fit components. Consistent with horizontal transmission, most of the Fit components (except FitE and FitG) have significant best hits outside the *Pseudomonas* (Additional file 2: Table S2). Initial sequence analysis of the Fit toxin from *P. protegens* strain CHA0 revealed 73 % sequence identity over the entire protein to the insecticidal protein Mcf1 of *P. luminescens* strain TT01 [7]. Mcf2 of TT01 shares 67 % identity with FitD (Additional file 3: Figure S1). Mcf1 is also present in *P. asymbiotica* ATCC 4394, but this bacterium appears to have lost Mcf2 [20]. Similarly, *X. bovienii* SS-2004 and *X. nematophila* ATCC 19061, both sister species of *Photorhabdus*, carry an Mcf variant, which we call here Mcf3 with 69 %, respectively, 65 % overall identity to FitD and highest identity (79 %, respectively 76 %) in a 900 amino acid N-terminal overlap. Mcf3 is also present in *Photorhabdus temperata* [35]. Within the genus *Xenorhabdus* Mcf2 is only found in *X. nematophila* ATCC 19061 with an overall identity of 64 % to FitD. Mcf-like proteins are also found in other γ -Proteobacteria including *Vibrio* and *Providencia* spp. Distantly related Fit-like genes (27-28 % identity) [7, 17], (Additional file 2: Table S2) with a predicted TcdA/TcdB pore-forming domain can also be found in *P. brassicacearum* and diverse Fit-negative *P. fluorescens* strains, but none of the representative strains of this group tested in our virulence tests (i.e., P12, Q8r1-96, Q2-87, Q12-87, belonging to the *P. corrugata* subgroup) caused significant insect mortality (Fig. 2b). Interestingly, FitA, FitB and FitC with predicted function in toxin secretion [7] revealed highest similarities (69 %, 65 % and 73 % amino acid identity) with the RTX toxin transporter encoded by the genomic region adjacent to the Mcf2 insect toxin gene of *P. luminescens* (Additional file 2: Table S2, Fig. 4). Similar genes, however, are absent in proximity of *mcf1* and *mcf3* as well as of *mcf2* of *Xenorhabdus* (Figs. 1 and 4). The only *fit* components showing closest identity to the genus *Pseudomonas* are FitE (62 % identity to *P. brassicacearum*) and the regulatory protein FitG (45 % identity to *P. fluorescens* and *Serratia* sp.). The other proteins involved in regulation of toxin expression (FitF and FitH) show closest identity to *Dechloromonas aromatica* (41 %) and *Vibrio* sp. (54 %), respectively. The three regulatory proteins FitF, FitG and FitH are absent in *Photorhabdus/Xenorhabdus*, suggesting a *Pseudomonas*-specific regulation of Fit. However, we cannot rule out an earlier existence of the whole cluster also in *Photorhabdus/Xenorhabdus* but that transport




Fig. 3 (See legend on next page.)

(See figure on previous page.)

Fig. 3 Comparison of the Fit cluster harbouring region. Within *P. protegens* (a) and *P. chlororaphis* (b) subgroups indicates a high degree of absence/presence polymorphism. The genomic region was defined based on residual cumulative G + C content analysis of *P. protegens* strain PF-5 and *P. chlororaphis* strain 30-84, where steep slopes indicate local variations in G + C content indicative of foreign elements. Compared with PF-5 and PF, the genomic region of strains K94.41, BRIP, PGNR1 and CHA0 is notably downsized

and regulatory genes were subsequently lost. Mcf2 in *Photorhabdus luminescens*, for example is still flanked by the three transport genes.

The patchy phylogenetic distribution of Fit/Mcf toxins and the absence of the toxin in closely related *Pseudomonas* species e. g. members of the *P. corrugata* subgroup strongly suggest that the Fit cluster evolved in part via horizontal acquisition, followed by functional integration of vertically transmitted genes, making up a unique virulence cluster within the subclade of *P. chlororaphis* and *P. protegens*.

Mosaic composition of Fit/Mcf toxin variants

Aligning the genomic regions of FitD and Mcf variants encoding sequences reveals a mosaic like structure of toxin-encoding parts and associated components. The *fitD*-encoding region of *P. protegens* and *P. chlororaphis* shows extraordinarily high similarity over the entire gene (69-75 %) to *mcf1* of *P. luminescens* and *P. asymbiotica*. However, immediately adjacent flanking regions drop below the threshold of alignable sequences set at 50 % similarity in a 100 bp window (Fig. 4). Short stretches sharing over 70 % nucleotide similarity outside the




Fig. 4 Similarity plot Fit and Mcf toxin encoding genomic regions in *Pseudomonas*, *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* species. The alignment was conducted using LAGAN as implemented in mVISTA [59] with the respective reference sequence of (a) *P. protegens* CHA0 *fitD*, (b) *P. luminescens* TT01 *mcf1* (c) *Photorhabdus luminescens* TT01 *mcf2* and (d) *X. nematophila* ATCC 19061 *mcf*. The peaks and valleys graphs represent percent conservation between aligned sequences at a given coordinate on the reference sequence. Regions of high conservation ($\geq 70\%$) are colored according to the coding region of the reference sequences in dark red for toxin genes and in orange for transport genes and in green for regulation genes. Regions of high conservation not related to the cluster sequence are colored in pink. Regions colored in blue have high similarity to transposable elements and are only present adjunct to *mcf* genes in *Xenorhabdus* and *Photorhabdus*. Dotted lines mark the region encoding the TcdA/TcdB pore forming domain. Nucleotide key positions discussed in the text are indicated with numbers 1-5 in Fig. 1a for *fitD*: 1 = site 1; 2 = site 2707; 3 = site 4849; 4 = site 6831; 5 = site 9015. The plot shown of each alignment ranges between 50 % and 100 % identity calculated on a 100 bp window

designated toxin encoding regions indicated by sharp peaks in the similarity plots among *P. luminescens*, *P. asymbiotica* as well as between *P. luminescens* genes *mcf1* and *mcf2* include fragments with predicted association to transposable elements (Fig. 4).

Within the *Photorhabdus/Xenorhabdus* lineage three variants of Mcf toxins can be determined based on their sequential make up: Mcf1, Mcf2 and Mcf3. While insect toxicity has been demonstrated at several levels for Mcf1 and Mcf2 from *Photorhabdus* [18, 19, 23], and FitD from *Pseudomonas* 2013 [7, 12], the functionality of *Xenorhabdus* Mcf toxins remains to be tested, in particular of Mcf3 the most distinct toxin variant discussed in this paper.

The VISTA alignment presented in Fig. 4 shows the mosaic-like structure of Mcf variants. While *fitD* and *mcf1* share high homology over the entire nucleotide sequence, *mcf3* in the *Xenorhabdus* lineage and also present in *P. temperata* shares only the 5'-end (Position 1-2, Fig. 4) with *fitD/mcf1* (Figs. 4 and 5a). Including *mcf2* in the alignment, it becomes apparent, that it is exactly this

stretch at the *mcf3* 5'-end with high homology to *fitD/mcf1*, which is entirely missing in *mcf2* (Figs. 4 and 5). BLAST searches revealed that the sequence towards the 3'-end (Position 2-5, Fig. 4) of the *Xenorhabdus mcf3* shows for most of this stretch (position 2-3 and position 4-5, Fig. 4) no similarity to the other *mcf/fitD* variants and did not allow to identify a putative origin. The rapid drop from >70 % similarity to 40 % between position 1 and 2 (Fig. 4) coincide with a breakpoint indicated by SBP (Single Breakpoint Recombination) analysis. In the middle of this stretch there is a part (position 3-4, Fig. 4) which again shows a low similarity (50-70 % at the nucleotide level, Fig. 4) to the TcdA/TcdB pore forming domain of *fit*, *mcf1* and *mcf2*. Thus, all investigated toxin variants encode a TcdA/TcdB pore forming domain in this region, however, based on amino acid and nucleotide comparison, it seems that these pore-forming domains originate from at least two different ancestors (Fig. 4). A phylogenetic tree conducted on the TcdA/TcdB pore forming domain indeed suggests a monophyletic origin for the pore-




Fig. 5 Representation of Fit and Mcf toxin variants. **(a)** Segments of same color represent highly identical regions (>60 % identity) between the different variants. Strains representing the toxin variants are indicated in bold in Fig. 1b. C80 peptidase is only present in Mcf3. TcdA/TcdB indicates the pore-forming domain present in all variants, but most likely of different origin (Fit/Mcf1/Mcf2 vs. Mcf3). The BH3 domain present in FitD, Mcf1 and Mcf2 has been attributed a functional role in cell death induction [22]. **(b)** Phylogenetic relationship of the bacterial TcdA/TcdB pore-forming domain present in all Fit and Mcf protein variants. The TcdA/TcdB domain from *Rhodobacteraceae* was designated as outgroup. The tree is based on 312 amino acids after removal of segments by Gblocks [54] and inferred using PhyML 3.0 with the HKY85 substitution model and default settings [55]. Bootstrap values are based on 100 replicates and given for relevant nodes indicated by circles. The range of amino acid identity of the TcdA/TcdB domain compared to the FitD reference sequence of CHA0 is given next to the colored boxes delimiting the different Mcf variants

forming domain of FitD/Mcf1 and Mcf2, but a different origin for that of Mcf3 found in *Xenorhabdus* and *P. temperata* (Fig. 5b).

The mosaic composition of the different *fit/mcf* variants, the presence of transposable elements and the patchy phylogenetic distribution of the toxin could indicate a highly mobile nature of this gene. An unusual GC content of a gene/segment, is a further indication of horizontal acquisition, assuming that donor and recipient have a sufficiently high degree of base composition differences [36, 37]. While the average GC content of *P. luminescens* TTO1 (43.9 %) and *X. nematophila* ATCC 19061 (45.3 %) genomes is low, *Pseudomonas* spp. have high overall GC content (*P. protegens* Pf-5: 64.0 %; *P. chlororaphis* 30-84: 63.8 %). Comparing the GC content of *fitD* and *mcf1* to the respective average of all genes within the same strain, the *mcf1* gene in *P. luminescens* displays an unusual high GC content (56.2 % vs. 43.9 %), whereas the *fitD* gene in *Pseudomonas* is within the range of the average GC content of the genome (e.g., Pf-5: 65.2 % vs. 64.0 %) (Table 1). In addition, only 0.3 % of *P. luminescens* genes display an equal or higher GC content than *mcf1* and *rtxD* and *rtxB* (homologous to *fitA* and *fitB* in *P. luminescens*

adjunct to *mcf2*) (data not shown). Interestingly, all investigated *mcf* variants of *Xenorhabdus* spp. do not show such obvious abnormality (50 % vs. 45 %) (Table 1).

The unusual nucleotide composition of *mcf1* and *mcf2* in *Photorhabdus* contradicts the hypothesis that pseudomonads acquired the insect toxin from entomopathogenic *Photorhabdus*. However, horizontal acquisition of *mcf* in *Photorhabdus* from an unknown ancestral vector is very likely. In *P. chlororaphis* and *P. protegens* the nucleotide composition of the *fit* genes does not differ from the average of the whole genome (Table 1). Therefore, one might speculate that pseudomonads have acquired the toxin earlier than *Photorhabdus* and the *fit* codon usage has already adapted to the *Pseudomonas* background or that pseudomonads have acquired the toxin from a bacterium displaying a similar nucleotide composition.

mcf toxin genes are not only shuffled around in bacteria. A recent study by Ambrose [38] indicates that a *mcf*-like gene of the fungal grass endosymbiont *Epichloë poae*, which is sufficient to confer a lethal phenotype when expressed in *E. coli* cells and injected into the black cutworms *Agrotis ipsilon*, has derived from a

Table 1 GC content of *fitD* and *mcf* genes

Strain	Gene	Position	Strand	Length (bp)	GC content (%)	GC content genome (%)
<i>Pseudomonas protegens</i>						
Pf-5	<i>fitD</i>	3350746..3359757	+	9012	65.2	64.0
CHA0	<i>fitD</i>	3362990..3371995	+	9006	65.1	63.4
	<i>fitA</i>	3357171..3359312	+	2142	65.4	
	<i>fitB</i>	3359309..3360697	+	1389	65.7	
	<i>fitC</i>	3360700..3362859	+	2160	66.9	
<i>Pseudomonas chlororaphis</i>						
3084	<i>fitD</i>	4176228..4185206	+	8979	66.1	62.9
<i>Photorhabdus luminescens</i>						
TTO1	<i>mcf1</i>	4832190..4841195	-	8994	56.2	43.9
	<i>mcf2</i>	3670273..3677427	+	7155	52.0	
	<i>Plu3125</i> ^a	3664486..3666606	+	2121	54.8	
	<i>Plu3126</i> ^a	3666606..3667994	+	1389	55.8	
	<i>Plu3127</i> ^a	3667994..3670153	+	2160	57.3	
<i>Photorhabdus asymbiotica</i>						
ATCC 43949	<i>mcf1</i>	3962994..3971975	+	5982	54.4	42.2
<i>Xenorhabdus nematophila</i>						
ATCC 19061	<i>mcf</i>	2205090..2212682	+	7593	50.7	45.3
	<i>mcf2</i>	1917776..1924951	+	7176	50.2	
<i>Xenorhabdus bovienii</i>						
SS-2004	<i>mcf</i>	2380592..2388193	-	7602	50.6	45.0

^aEncode predicted transporters and are homologous to *fitA*, *fitB* and *fitC* respectively

single lineage-specific horizontal transfer of bacterial origin [38].

Conclusions

The plant environment was assumed to be the dominant niche of *P. fluorescens* group bacteria, but it becomes apparent that some members, notably *P. protegens* and *P. chlororaphis*, which harbor the Fit insect toxin, are capable of colonizing and killing insects [7–12, 17]. The present comparative analysis study provides a better understanding of the processes driving the evolution of insect pathogenicity in environmental pseudomonads. The Fit virulence cassette seems to be ubiquitous for *P. protegens* and *P. chlororaphis* and is encoded in dynamic portions of the *P. protegens* and *P. chlororaphis* genomes with substantial absence/presence polymorphism, phage-related genes and an unusual base composition, while in the *Photorhabdus/Xenorhabdus* lineage transposable elements are located in proximity of the *Mcf* gene. It would therefore appear that evolutionary processes including the acquisition of insecticidal elements, sequence rearrangements (as demonstrated in this study) and protein adaptation through domain shuffling (as demonstrated by Kupferschmied [11]) allowed plant-associated pseudomonads to adapt to a new ecological niche. In line with the genomic arguments of horizontal acquisition are the patchy distributed *fit* components that share highest homology with bacteria outside the *Pseudomonadaceae* family. Our data show that a specific group of plant-colonizing pseudomonads have evolved a unique virulence gene cluster through diverse evolutionary processes, which contributed to extend their existing repertoire of antifungal and antipredator activities with insecticidal activity. Frequent mobilization and recombination is possibly favored by the common niche of insect hosts shared between these particular *Pseudomonas*, *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* bacteria, and may provide a selective advantage by the diversification of the toxin gene repertoire.

Methods

Bacterial strains

Bacterial strains used in the present study are summarized in Additional file 1: Table S1. For the screening, we relied on a worldwide strain collection of *Pseudomonas* spp. isolated from the rhizosphere, roots and leaves of various plant species [24, 25, 39–41]. Strains belonging to the genus *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* were originally isolated from entomopathogenic nematodes (*Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis* species) sampled from diverse soils in Switzerland using *Galleria mellonella* larvae as baits [42]. Bacteria used in this study were cultured on King's medium B (KMB) agar plates, or in lysogeny broth (LB) at 27 °C [43–45]. Additional strains from environmental samples were isolated by plating serial dilutions on KMB

supplemented with antibiotics at the following concentrations: chloramphenicol 13 µg ml⁻¹, ampicillin 40 µg ml⁻¹ and cycloheximide 100 µg ml⁻¹. For single gene amplification, DNA was obtained from overnight LB cultures diluted 1:500 with sterile distilled H₂O and incubated for 10 min at 96 °C to lyse bacterial cells.

Insect toxicity assay

Washed bacterial cells from overnight cultures in (LB) were suspended in 0.9 % sterile NaCl solution and adjusted to an OD₆₀₀ = 0.01. Aliquots of 5 µl, corresponding to an injection dose of 4 × 10⁴ cells, were injected into the haemolymph of ultimate-instar *G. mellonella* larvae (Hebeisen Fishing, Zürich, Switzerland) using a Hamilton microsyringe with a 26-gauge needle [7]. Sterile NaCl solution served as control. Treated larvae were incubated in Greiner six-well plates at room temperature and scored as live or dead regularly over four days. For each bacterial strain, five replicate plates with six larvae per plate were prepared. The experiment was repeated twice with similar results. Mortality was defined as the inability of larvae to react to poking. Significance between Fit⁺ and Fit⁻ pseudomonads was assessed based on Wilcoxon rank sum test (P ≤ 0.05). For data analyses, R version 3.1.1 was used [46].

Taxon determination

For the taxon determination of uncharacterized strains, a 455-bp 16 s rDNA fragment was amplified and sequenced using the universal primers f933 and r1387 [47]. For strains belonging to the genus *Pseudomonas* three housekeeping genes were used in addition, amplified and sequenced with primers *recA*f1, *recA*r1 for *recA* (537 bp), *rpoB*f1, *rpoB*r1 for *rpoB* (508 bp) and *rpoD*f1, *rpoD*r1 for *rpoD* (695 bp) [24]. PCR reactions were conducted according to the standard protocol for use of Dream TAQ Polymerase (Fermentas GmbH, St. Leon-Rot, Germany). PCR products were electrophoretically separated on 1 % agarose gels and purified using the Nucleo-Fast PCR purification kit (Macherey-Nagel). Sequencing was carried out using the BigDye[®] Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Life Technologies Cooperation, Carlsbas, USA). Sequencing products were purified on Sephadex G-50 followed by capillary electrophoresis separation using an ABI Hitachi 3130xl Prism Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The obtained sequences were blasted against public available genomic sequences on the NCBI website.

Sequencing of *fit* gene cluster and *fitD/mcf1* fragments

Pairs of primers for the amplification of *fitD/mcf1* genes were designed based on the *fitD* sequences of *P. protegens* strains CHA0 and Pf-5. Primer specificity

was tested *in silico* using BLASTN against assemblies of whole genome shotgun sequences from the NCBI website. Primer pairs are fit1f 5'-TGGCTTTTATGTCCAAG GAC-3', fit1r 5'-TGGTTGGCGAAGTACTGCTC-3' (position 2-962) and fit2f 5'-CTGACCACGTTTCGACGCC GAGCAATG-3', -fit2r 5'-TAACGTCCACCGCCTTGG CATCTTCG-3' (position 4828-5702) and allowed amplification of *fitD*, *mcf3* and *mcf1*, but not *mcf2*. The fit1f/fit1r primer pair was tested on a collection of *Pseudomonas*, *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* spp. listed in Additional file 1: Table S1. Amplification with primers fit1f and fit1r yielded one single amplicon ranging from 914 to 980 bp for *P. protegens*, *P. chlororaphis*, *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* strains carrying the *fitD*, *mcf3* or *mcf1* insect toxin genes, respectively. For *P. protegens* and *P. chlororaphis*, a second *fitD* fragment was amplified and sequenced with primer pair fit2f/fit2r resulting in one single amplicon of 875 bp. PCR reactions and sequencing of the two fragments were conducted as described above for housekeeping genes.

Illumina sequencing was applied to retrieve the entire *fit* cluster and flanking genes of *P. protegens* strains PF, K94.41, BRIP, PGNR1, and CHA0 and *P. chlororaphis* strains PCL1391 and CD. Genomic DNA was extracted from 10 ml LB overnight culture grown from a single colony using the DNeasy extraction kit (Qiagen). Sequence data consisted of 90-bp paired-end Illumina reads carried out on a 500-bp library. The short reads were assembled using SOAPdenovo version 1.05 [48]. Contigs that harbor the *fit* genes were identified by BLASTN searches and annotated on the RAST server [49]. The sequence obtained for CHA0 is in accordance with the recently published genome of CHA0 (NCBI accession no. CP003190, [30]).

Vista alignments shown in Fig. 4 were performed using mVISTA [50, 51] with LAGAN as alignment algorithm on 100 bp window. The genomic region encoding either the Fit toxin for *Pseudomonas* species or the homologous Mcf toxin in *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* (NC005126, NC012962, NC014228, NC013892) species were extracted using BioEdit (<http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html>) 25 kb downwards and 25 kb upwards from the starting codon of the toxin encoding gene (*fit/mcf*) and oriented according to the transcription direction of *fitD* from *P. protegens* CHA0.

Phylogenetic analysis

Public available gene sequences for housekeeping genes of previously characterized *Pseudomonas* and other γ -proteobacterial strains included in the phylogenetic analyses were retrieved from GenBank (<http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank>) and added to our dataset. For the phylogenetic analysis shown in Fig. 2 sequences of the three housekeeping genes (*recA*, *rpoB*, *rpoD*) were concatenated into a single combined dataset using

BioEdit (<http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html>). Sequence data sets were all aligned using MUSCLE [52] implemented in MEGA5 [53] and alignment gaps and poorly aligned segments were removed with Gblocks [54] resulting in data sets of 1469 bp. Tree topologies based on nucleotide sequences (Fig. 2) were inferred using PhyML 3.0 with HKY85 substitution model and default settings [55]. The node supports were evaluated based on 100 bootstrap replicates.

The tree in Fig. 1 was inferred on concatenated whole protein sequences of RecA, RpoB and RpoD using the LG amino acid replacement matrix [56]. For the TcdA/tcdB phylogeny shown in Fig. 5, a BLASTP search was performed based on the amino acid domain sequence of *P. luminescens* of TT01 and the tree inferred as described above for protein sequences. The presence of the TcdA/TcdB pore-forming domain in this region was confirmed by SMART (<http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de>).

Single Breakpoint Recombination analysis on *mcf/fit* sequences were performed on the Datamonkey webserver (<http://www.datamonkey.org>).

In silico detection of Fit components

The amino acid sequences of *fit* genes of *P. protegens* CHA0 [7] served as query for BLASTP searches against completed bacterial genomic sequences. BLAST searches are summarized in Additional file 3: Figure S1.

Residual cumulative GC content

GC content for *fit* genes was calculated using the seqinr package implemented in R [57]. Identification of the genomic region carrying the *fit* cluster was defined on local variations of G + C content of the *P. protegens* Pf-5 and *P. chlororaphis* 30-84 genomes. GC content of genomes as listed in Table 1 are retrieved from the NCBI genome database. The residual cumulative GC content analyses were conducted according to a GC profile approach [31] described previously by [58]. First, the G + C content is calculated in a 1-kb sliding window with 20-bp steps before the residual cumulative G + C content is presented as bi-dimensional graph on which chromosome positions on the horizontal axis are plotted versus the residues on the vertical axis. A DNA stretch enriched or depleted in G's and C's is indicated by a steep slope on the graphs in Fig. 3.

Availability of supporting data

Sequence data supporting the results of this article are available in LabArchives (DOI:10.6070/H47M05X, <http://dx.doi.org/10.6070/H47M05X0>)

Additional files

Additional file 1: Table S1. Bacterial strains used in this study [60–106].

Additional file 2: Table S2. BLASTp analysis of *P. protegens* CHA0 Fit components against completed bacterial whole genome sequences.

Additional file 3: Figure S1. Insecticidal activity correlates with presence of the *fitD* gene.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

BR, CK and MM were involved in the study design. BR and MPT performed the experiment and analysed the results. BR, CK and MM participated in the data analyses and in developing the first draft through the final submitted version of the manuscript. MH, JG and GB contributed reagents and materials. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Swiss National Foundation for Scientific Research (Projects 3100A0-105881 and 31003A-138248), the Swiss State Secretariat for Education and Research (Project C07.0026, COST action 862) and the Fund for Scientific Research Flanders (FWO grant 3G000210 to MH). The Genetic Diversity Center at ETH Zurich (GDC) provided sequencing facilities. The authors are particularly grateful to Alina Gradolf and Daniel Croll for valuable discussions, and Pascale Flury for technical assistance.

Author details

¹Pathology, Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zurich, Universitätsstrasse 2, CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland. ²Department of Fundamental Microbiology, University of Lausanne, Biophore Building, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. ³Laboratory of Phytopathology, Department of Crop Protection, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium. ⁴Institute of Medical Microbiology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. ⁵Natural Resources Sciences, University of Applied Sciences ZHAW, Wädenswil, Switzerland.

Received: 12 February 2015 Accepted: 9 July 2015

Published online: 16 August 2015

References

- Mulet M, Lalucat J, García-Valdés E. DNA sequence-based analysis of the *Pseudomonas* species. *Environ Microbiol.* 2010;12:1513–30.
- Mulet M, Gomila M, Scotta C, Sánchez D, Lalucat J, García-Valdés E. Concordance between whole-cell/matrix-assisted laser-desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry and multilocus sequence analysis approaches in species discrimination within the genus *Pseudomonas*. *Syst Appl Microbiol.* 2012;35:455–64.
- Compeau G, Al-Achi BJ, Platsouka E, Levy SB. Survival of rifampin-resistant mutants of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Pseudomonas putida* in soil systems. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1988;54:2432–8.
- Haas D, Défago G. Biological control of soil-borne pathogens by fluorescent pseudomonads. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2005;3:307–19.
- Rossignol G, Sperandio D, Guerillon J, Duclairoir Poc C, Soum-Souter E, Orange N. Phenotypic variation in the *Pseudomonas fluorescens* clinical strain MFN1032. *Res Microbiol.* 2009;160:337–44.
- Haas D, Keel C. Regulation of antibiotic production in root-colonizing *Pseudomonas* spp. and relevance for biological control of plant disease. *Annu Rev Phytopathol.* 2003;41:117–53.
- Péchy-Tarr M, Bruck DJ, Maurhofer M, Fischer E, Vogne C, Henkels MD, et al. Molecular analysis of a novel gene cluster encoding an insect toxin in plant-associated strains of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *Environ Microbiol.* 2008;10:2368–86.
- Péchy-Tarr M, Borel N, Kupferschmied P, Turner V, Binggeli O, Radovanovic D, et al. Control and host-dependent activation of insect toxin expression in a root-associated biocontrol pseudomonad. *Environ Microbiol.* 2013;15:736–50.
- Olcott MH, Henkels MD, Rosen KL, Walker FL, Sneh B, Loper JE, et al. Lethality and developmental delay in *Drosophila melanogaster* larvae after ingestion of selected *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains. *PLoS ONE.* 2010;5:e12504.
- Kupferschmied P, Maurhofer M, Keel C. Promise for plant pest control: root-associated pseudomonads with insecticidal activities. *Frontiers in Plant Science.* 2013;4:article 287.
- Kupferschmied P, Péchy-Tarr M, Imperiali N, Maurhofer M, Keel C. Domain shuffling in a sensor protein contributed to the evolution of insect pathogenicity in plant-beneficial *Pseudomonas protegens*. *PLoS Pathog.* 2014;10:e1003964.
- Ruffner B, Péchy-Tarr M, Ryffel F, Hoegger P, Obrist C, Rindlisbacher A, et al. Oral insecticidal activity of plant-associated pseudomonads. *Environ Microbiol.* 2013;15:751–63.
- Liehl P, Blight M, Vodovar N, Bocard F, Lemaître B. Prevalence of local immune response against oral infection in a *Drosophila/Pseudomonas* infection model. *PLoS Pathog.* 2006;2:e56.
- Opota O, Vallet-Gély I, Vincentelli R, Kellenberger C, Iacovache I, Gonzalez MR, et al. Monalysin, a novel β -pore-forming toxin from the *Drosophila* pathogen *Pseudomonas entomophila*, contributes to host intestinal damage and lethality. *PLoS Pathog.* 2011;7:e1002259.
- Vodovar N, Vinals M, Liehl P, Basset A, Degrouard J, Spellman P, et al. *Drosophila* host defense after oral infection by an entomopathogenic *Pseudomonas* species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005;102:11414–9.
- Paulsen IT, Press CM, Ravel J, Kobayashi DY, Myers GS, Mavrodi DV, et al. Complete genome sequence of the plant commensal *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf-5. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2005;23:873–8.
- Loper JE, Hassan KA, Mavrodi DV, Davis 2nd EW, Lim CK, Shaffer BT, et al. Comparative genomics of plant-associated *Pseudomonas* spp.: insights into diversity and inheritance of traits involved in multitrophic interactions. *PLoS Genet.* 2012;8:e1002784.
- Daborn PJ, Waterfield N, Silva CP, Au CPY, Sharma S, Ffrench-Constant RH. A single *Photorhabdus* gene, makes caterpillars floppy (mcf), allows *Escherichia coli* to persist within and kill insects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2002;99:10742–7.
- Dowling AJ, Daborn PJ, Waterfield NR. The insecticidal toxin Makes caterpillars floppy (Mcf) promotes apoptosis in mammalian cells. *Cell Microbiol.* 2004;6:345–53.
- Wilkinson P, Waterfield NR, Crossman L, Corton C, Sanchez-Contreras M, Vlisidou I, et al. Comparative genomics of the emerging human pathogen *Photorhabdus asymbiotica* with the insect pathogen *Photorhabdus luminescens*. *BMC Genomics.* 2009;10:302.
- Sugar DR, Murfin KE, Chaston JM, Andersen AW, Richards GR, deLéon L, et al. Phenotypic variation and host interactions of *Xenorhabdus bovienii* SS-2004, the entomopathogenic symbiont of *Steinernema jolietii* nematodes. *Environ Microbiol.* 2012;14:924–39.
- Dowling AJ, Waterfield NR, Hares MC, Le Goff G, Streuli CH, Ffrench-Constant RH. The Mcf1 toxin induces apoptosis via the mitochondrial pathway and apoptosis is attenuated by mutation of the BH3-like domain. *Cell Microbiol.* 2007;9:2470–84.
- Vlisidou I, Dowling AJ, Evans IR, Waterfield N, Ffrench-Constant RH, Wood W. *Drosophila* embryos as model systems for monitoring bacterial infection in real time. *PLoS Pathog.* 2009;5:e1000518.
- Frapolli M, Défago G, Moënne-Loccoz Y. Multilocus sequence analysis of biocontrol fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. producing the antifungal compound 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol. *Environ Microbiol.* 2007;9:1939–55.
- Keel C, Weller DM, Natsch A, Défago G, Cook RJ, Thomashow LS. Conservation of the 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol biosynthesis locus among fluorescent *Pseudomonas* strains from diverse geographic locations. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1996;62:552–63.
- Ramette A, Frapolli M, Fischer-Le Saux M, Gruffaz C, Meyer JM, Défago G, et al. *Pseudomonas protegens* sp. nov., widespread plant-protecting bacteria producing the biocontrol compounds 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol and pyoluteorin. *Syst Appl Microbiol.* 2011;34:180–8.
- Shen X, Chen M, Hu H, Wang W, Peng H, Xu P, et al. Genome sequence of *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* GP72, a root-colonizing biocontrol strain. *J Bacteriol.* 2012;194:1269–70.
- Mavrodi DV, Peever TL, Mavrodi OV, Parejko JA, Raaijmakers JM, Lemanceau P, et al. Diversity and evolution of the phenazine biosynthesis pathway. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2010;76:866–79.

29. Perneel M, Heyrman J, Adiobo A, De Maeyer K, Raaijmakers JM, De Vos P, et al. Characterization of CMR5c and CMR12a, novel fluorescent *Pseudomonas* strains from the cocoyam rhizosphere with biocontrol activity. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2007;103:1007–20.
30. Jousset A, Schuldes J, Keel C, Maurhofer M, Daniel R, Scheu S, et al. Full-genome sequence of the plant growth-promoting bacterium *Pseudomonas protegens* CHA0. *Genome Announc*. 2014;2:e00322–14.
31. Zhang R, Zhang CT. A systematic method to identify genomic islands and its applications in analyzing the genomes of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* and *Vibrio vulnificus* CMCP6 chromosome I. *Bioinformatics*. 2004;20:612–22.
32. Takeuchi K, Noda N, Someya N. Complete genome sequence of the biocontrol strain *Pseudomonas protegens* Cab57 discovered in Japan reveals strain-specific diversity of this species. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e93683.
33. Franklin MJ, Nivens DE, Weadge JT, Howell PL. Biosynthesis of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* extracellular polysaccharides, alginate, pel, and psl. *Front Microbiol*. 2011;2:167.
34. Silva JC, Loreto EL, Clark JB. Factors that affect the horizontal transfer of transposable elements. *Curr Issues in Mol Biol*. 2004;6:57–71.
35. Ullah I, Jang EK, Kim MS, Shin JH, Park GS, Khan AR, et al. Identification and Characterization of the insecticidal toxin “makes caterpillars floppy” in *Photorhabdus temperata* using a cosmid library. *Toxins*. 2014;6:2024–40.
36. Ochman H, Lawrence JG, Groisman EA. Lateral gene transfer and the nature of bacterial innovation. *Nature*. 2000;405:299–304.
37. Gogarten JP, Townsend JP. Horizontal gene transfer, genome innovation and evolution. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2005;3:679–87.
38. Ambrose KV, Koppenhöfer AM, Belanger FC. Horizontal gene transfer of a bacterial insect toxin gene into the *Epichloë fungal* symbionts of grasses. *Sci Rep*. 2014;4:5562.
39. Ramette A, Frapollini M, Défago G, Moëgne-Loccoz Y. Phylogeny of HCN synthase-encoding hcnBC genes in biocontrol fluorescent pseudomonads and its relationship with host plant species and HCN synthesis ability. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*. 2003;16:525–35.
40. Ramette A, Loccoz YM. Genetic diversity and biocontrol potential fluorescent pseudomonads producing phloroglucinols and hydrogen cyanide from Swiss soils naturally suppressive or conducive to *Thielaviopsis basicola*-mediated black root rot of tobacco. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2006;55:369–81.
41. Wang C, Ramette A. Cosmopolitan distribution of *phlD*-containing dicotyledonous crop-associated biocontrol pseudomonads of worldwide origin. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2001;37:105–16.
42. Fan X, Hominick WM. Efficiency of the *Galleria* (wax moth) baiting technique for recovering infective stages of entomopathogenic rhabditids (Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) from sand and soil. *Revue Nématol*. 1991;14:381–87.
43. Bertani G. Studies on lysogeny. I. The mode of phage liberation by lysogenic *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol*. 1951;62:293–300.
44. King EO, Ward MK, Raney DE. Two simple media for the demonstration of pyocyanin and fluorescin. *J Lab Clin Med*. 1954;44:301–7.
45. Schnider-Keel U, Seematter A, Maurhofer M, Blumer C, Duffy B, Gigot-Bonnefoy C, et al. Autoinduction of 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol biosynthesis in the biocontrol agent *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHA0 and repression by the bacterial metabolites salicylate and pyoluteorin. *J Bacteriol*. 2000;182:1215–25.
46. Ihaka R, Gentleman R. R: A language for data analysis and graphics. *J Comput Graph Stat*. 1996;5:299–314.
47. Iwamoto T, Tani K, Nakamura K, Suzuki Y, Kitagawa M, Eguchi M, et al. Monitoring impact of in situ biostimulation treatment on groundwater bacterial community by DGGE. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2000;32:129–41.
48. Li R, Zhu H, Ruan J, Qian W, Fang X, Shi Z, et al. De novo assembly of human genomes with massively parallel short read sequencing. *Genome Res*. 2010;20:265–72.
49. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, et al. The RAST Server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. *BMC Genomics*. 2008;9:75.
50. Mayor C, Brudno M, Schwartz JR, Poliakov A, Ruben EM, Frazer KA, et al. VISTA: visualizing global DNA sequence alignments of arbitrary length. *Bioinformatics*. 2000;16:1046–47.
51. Frazer KA, Pachter L, Poliakov A, Rubin EM, Dubchak I. VISTA: computational tools for comparative genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2004;32:273–79.
52. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2004;5:113.
53. Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2011; 28:2731–39.
54. Castresana J. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2000;17:540–52.
55. Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst Biol*. 2010;59:307–21.
56. Le SQ, Gascuel O. Accounting for solvent accessibility and secondary structure in protein phylogenetics is clearly beneficial. *Syst Biol*. 2010;59:277–87.
57. Charif D, Lobry JR. SeqinR 1.0-2: a contributed package to the R project for statistical computing devoted to biological sequences retrieval and analysis. In: Bastolla U, Porto M, Roman HE, editors. Structural approaches to sequence evolution. New York: Springer Verlag; 2007. p. 207–32.
58. Greub G, Collyn F, Guy L, Roten CA. A genomic island present along the bacterial chromosome of the *Parachlamydiaceae* UWE25, an obligate amoebal endosymbiont, encodes a potentially functional F-like conjugative DNA transfer system. *BMC Microbiol*. 2004;4:48.
59. Brudno M, Do CB, Cooper GM, Kim MF, Davydov E. NISC Comparative Sequencing Program, et al. LAGAN and Multi-LAGAN: efficient tools for large-scale multiple alignment of genomic DNA. *Genome Res*. 2003; 13:721–31.
60. Lopez-Reyes L, Soto-Urzuá L, Mascarua-Esparza J, Caballero-Mellado J. Antibiotic resistance and β -lactamase activity in *Azospirillum*. *Soil Biol Biochem*. 1989;21:651–5.
61. Dobbelaere S, Croonenborghs A, Thys A, Ptacek D. Responses of agronomically important crops to inoculation with *Azospirillum*. *Aust J Plant Physiol*. 2001;28:871–9.
62. Fages J, Mulard D. Isolement de bactéries rhizosphériques et effet de leur inoculation en pot chez *Zea mays*. *Agronomie*. 1988;8:309–14.
63. Gobbin D, Rezzonico F, Gessler C. Quantification of the biocontrol agent *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf153 in soil using a quantitative competitive PCR assay unaffected by variability in cell lysis- and DNA-extraction efficiency. *Soil Biol Biochem*. 2007;39:1609–19.
64. Pemberton JM, Corney B, Don RH. Evolution and spread of pesticide degrading ability among soil microorganisms. In: Timmis KN, Puhler A, editors. Plasmids of medical, environmental and commercial importance. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 1979. p. 287–99.
65. Smits THM, Rezzonico F, Kamber T, Blom J, Goesmann A, Frey JE, et al. Complete genome sequence of the fire blight pathogen *Erwinia amylovora* CFBP 1430 and comparison to other *Erwinia* spp. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*. 2010;23:384–93.
66. McGowan SJ, Sebahia M, O’Leary S, Hardie KR, Williams P, Stewart GS, et al. Analysis of the carbapenem gene cluster of *Erwinia carotovora*: definition of the antibiotic biosynthetic genes and evidence for a novel beta-lactam resistance mechanism. *Mol Microbiol*. 1997;26:545–56.
67. Molina L, Constantinescu F, Michel L, Reimmann C, Duffy B, Défago G. Degradation of pathogen quorum-sensing molecules by soil bacteria: a preventive and curative biological control mechanism. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2003;45:71–81.
68. Blattner FR. The complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Science*. 1997;277:1453–62.
69. Fischer-Le Saux M, Viallard V, Brunel B, Normand P, Boemare NE. Polyphasic classification of the genus *Photorhabdus* and proposal of new taxa: *P. luminescens* subsp. *luminescens* subsp. nov., *P. luminescens* subsp. *akhurstii* subsp. nov., *P. luminescens* subsp. *laumondii* subsp. nov., *P. temperata* sp. nov., *P. temperata* subsp. *temperata* subsp. nov. and *P. asymbiotica* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol*. 1999;4:1645–56.
70. Holloway BW. Genetic recombination in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Gen Microbiol*. 1955;13:572–81.
71. Pierson LS, Thomashow LS. Cloning and heterologous expression of the phenazine biosynthetic locus from *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* 30-84. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*. 1992;5:330–9.
72. Landa BB, Cachinero-Díaz JM, Lemanceau P, Jiménez-Díaz RM, Alabouvette C. Effect of fusaric acid and phytoanticipins on growth of rhizobacteria and *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Can J Microbiol*. 2002;48:971–85.
73. Chin-A-Woeng TF, Bloembergen GV, van der Bij AJ, van der Drift KMG, Schripsema J. Biocontrol by phenazine-1-carboxamide-producing *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* PCL1391 of tomato root rot caused by

- Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici*. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact.* 1998;13:1340–5.
74. Scarlett CM, Flechter JT, Roberts P, Lelliott RA. Tomato pith necrosis caused by *Pseudomonas corrugata* n. sp. *Ann Appl Biol.* 1978;88:105–14.
 75. Weller DM, Cook RJ. Suppression of Take-All of wheat by seed treatments with fluorescent pseudomonads. *Phytopathology.* 1983;73:463–9.
 76. Fuchs J, Défago G. Protection of cucumber plants against black root rot caused by *Phomopsis sclerotoides* with rhizobacteria. *IOBC/WPRS Bulletin.* 1991;14:57–62.
 77. Fenton AM, Stephens PM, Crowley J. Exploitation of gene(s) involved in 2, 4-diacetylphloroglucinol biosynthesis to confer a new biocontrol capability to a *Pseudomonas* strain. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1992;58:3873–8.
 78. Rezzonico F, Défago G, Moënné-Loccoz Y. Comparison of ATPase-encoding type III secretion system hrcN genes in biocontrol fluorescent *Pseudomonads* and in phytopathogenic proteobacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2004;70:5119–31.
 79. Voisard C, Keel C, Haas D, Défago G. Cyanide production by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* helps suppress black root rot of tobacco under gnotobiotic conditions. *EMBO J.* 1989;8:351–8.
 80. Fuchs JG, Moënné-Loccoz Y. The laboratory medium used to grow biocontrol *Pseudomonas* sp. Pf153 influences its subsequent ability to protect cucumber from black root rot. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 2000;32:421–4.
 81. Vincent MN, Harrison LA, Brackin JM, Kovacevich PA, Mukerji P, Weller DM, et al. Genetic analysis of the antifungal activity of a soilborne *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* strain. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1991;57:2928–34.
 82. Harrison LA, Letendre L, Kovacevich P. Purification of an antibiotic effective against *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici* produced by a biocontrol agent, *Pseudomonas aureofaciens*. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 1993;25:215–21.
 83. Duffy BK, Défago G. Zinc improves biocontrol of *Fusarium* crown and root rot of tomato by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and represses the production of pathogen metabolites inhibitory to bacterial antibiotic biosynthesis. *Phytopathology.* 1997;87:1250–57.
 84. Sikorski J, Stackebrandt E. *Pseudomonas kilonensis* sp. nov., a bacterium isolated from agricultural soil. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.* 2001;51:1549–55.
 85. Stutz E, Défago G, Kern H. Naturally occurring fluorescent pseudomonads involved in suppression of black root rot of tobacco. *Phytopathology.* 1986;76:181.
 86. Levy E, Gough FJ, Berlin KD, Guiana PW, Smith JT. Inhibition of *Septoria tritici* and other phytopathogenic fungi and bacteria by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and its antibiotics. *Plant Pathology.* 1992;41:1–8.
 87. Howell C, Stipanovic RD. Control of *Rhizoctonia solani* on cotton seedlings with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and with an antibiotic produced by the bacterium. *Phytopathology.* 1979;69:480–2.
 88. Jamali F, Sharifi-Tehrani A, Lutz MP, Maurhofer M. Influence of host plant genotype, presence of a pathogen, and coinoculation with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains on the rhizosphere expression of hydrogen cyanide- and 2,4-Diacetylphloroglucinol biosynthetic genes in *P. fluorescens* biocontrol strain CHA0. *Microb Ecol.* 2008;57:267–75.
 89. Haynes W, St. Julian G, Shekleton MC, Hall HH, Tahsiro H. Preservation of infectious milky disease bacteria by lyophilization. *J Insect Pathol.* 1961;3:55–61.
 90. Kogut M, Podoski EP. Oxidative pathways in a fluorescent *Pseudomonas*. *Biochem J.* 1953;55:800–11.
 91. Bagdasarian M, Lurz R, Rückert B, Franklin F. Specific-purpose plasmid cloning vectors II. Broad host range, high copy number, RSF 1010-derived vectors, and a host-vector system for gene cloning in *Pseudomonas*. *Gene.* 1981;16:237–47.
 92. Peix A, Rivas R, Mateos PF, Martínez-Molina E, Rodríguez-Barrueco C, Velázquez E. *Pseudomonas rhizosphaerae* sp. nov., a novel species that actively solubilizes phosphate in vitro. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.* 2003;53:2067–72.
 93. Wilson M, Lindow SE. Relationship of total viable and culturable cells in epiphytic populations of *Pseudomonas syringae*. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1992;58:3908–13.
 94. Dorn E, Hellwig M, Reineke W, Knackmuss HJ. Isolation and characterization of a 3-chlorobenzoate degrading pseudomonad. *Arch Microbiol.* 1974;99:61–70.
 95. Sørensen D, Nielsen TH, Christophersen C, Sørensen J, Gajhede M. Cyclic lipoundecapeptide amphisin from *Pseudomonas* sp. strain DSS73. *Acta Crystallogr.* 2001;57:1123–4.
 96. Paternoster T, Défago G, Duffy B, Gessler C, Pertot I. Selection of a biocontrol agent based on a potential mechanism of action: degradation of nicotinic acid, a growth factor essential for *Erwinia amylovora*. *Int Microbiol.* 2010;13:195–206.
 97. Skerman VBD, McGowan V, Sneath PHA. Approved lists of bacterial names. *Int J Syst Bacteriol.* 1980;30:225–420.
 98. Schraa G, Bethe BM, van Neerven AR, Van den Tweel WJ, Van der Wende E, Zehnder AJ. Degradation 1,2-dimethylbenzene by *Corynebacterium* strain C125. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek.* 1987;53:159–70.
 99. Horvath M, Ditzelmüller GN, Loidl M, Streichsbier F. Isolation and characterization of a 2-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy) propionic acid-degrading soil bacterium. *Appl Microbiol and Biotechnol.* 1990;33:213–6.
 100. Nagata Y, Miyauchi K, Takagi M. Complete analysis of genes and enzymes for gamma-hexachlorocyclohexane degradation in *Sphingomonas paucimobilis* UT26. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol.* 1999;23:380–90.
 101. Dyke KG, Jevons MP, Parker MT. Penicillinase production and intrinsic resistance to penicillins in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Lancet.* 1966;1:835–8.
 102. Baba T, Takeuchi F, Kuroda M, Yuzawa H, Aoki KI, Oguchi A, et al. Genome and virulence determinants of high virulence community-acquired MRSA. *Lancet.* 2002;359:1819–27.
 103. Kreiswirth BN, Löfdahl S, Betley MJ, O'Reilly M. The toxic shock syndrome exotoxin structural gene is not detectably transmitted by a prophage. *Nature.* 1983;305:709–12.
 104. Christensen GD, Simpson WA, Bisno AL, Beachey EH. Adherence of slime-producing strains of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* to smooth surfaces. *Infect Immun.* 1982;37:318–26.
 105. Bouček-Mechiche K, Gardan L, Andrivon D, Normand P. *Streptomyces turgidiscabies* and *Streptomyces reticuliscabies*: one genomic species, two pathogenic groups. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.* 2006;56:2771–6.
 106. Chaston JM, Suen G, Tucker SL, Andersen AW, Bhasin A, Bode E, et al. The entomopathogenic bacterial endosymbionts *Xenorhabdus* and *Photorhabdus*: convergent lifestyles from divergent genomes. *PLoS ONE.* 2011;6:e27909.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



Table S1 Bacterial strains used in this study.

Strain	Origin ^a	Fit /Mcf	Reference
<i>Azospirillum brasilense</i>			
UAP 154	Maize	-	[60]
CNF 535	Unknown	-	[61]
<i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i>			
Crt1	Maize	-	[62]
<i>Bacillus mycoides</i>			
A23	Unknown	-	[63]
<i>Burkholderia</i> spp.			
J2502	Unknown	-	[63]
<i>Cupriavidus necator</i>			
JMP134	Unknown	-	[64]
<i>Erwinia amylovora</i>			
CFBP 1430	Hawthorn	-	[65]
<i>Erwinia carotovora</i>			
ATTn10	Unknown	-	[66]
EC852	Unknown	-	[67]
<i>Escherichia coli</i>			
K12	Laboratory strain	-	[68]
<i>Photorhabdus asymbiotica</i>			
ATCC 43949	Human	+	[20]
<i>Photorhabdus luminescens</i>			
2	<i>Heterorhabditis</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
3	<i>Heterorhabditis</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
I	<i>Heterorhabditis</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
TT01	<i>Heterorhabditis bacteriophora</i>	+	[69]
<i>Photorhabdus temperata</i>			
1	<i>Heterorhabditis</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
7	<i>Heterorhabditis</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
9	<i>Heterorhabditis</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
10	<i>Heterorhabditis</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
17	<i>Heterorhabditis</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>			
PAO1	Human	-	[70]
<i>Pseudomonas caricapapaye</i>			
LMG2152		-	LMG collection
<i>Pseudomonas chlororaphis</i>			
30-84	Soil	+	[71]
DTR 133	Soil	+	[72]
GP72	Green pepper	+	[27]
LMG 5004	Contaminated plate	+	[63]
LMG 1245	River Clay	+	[63]
CD	Cyclops (water)	+	This study
PCL 1391	Tomato	+	[73]
<i>Pseudomonas corrugata</i>			
LMG2172	Tomato	-	[74]
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>			
2-79	Wheat	-	[75]
C*1A1	Cucumber	-	[25]
C6-11	Tobacco	-	[39]
C6-16	Tobacco	-	[39]
C10-180	Tobacco	-	This study
C10-181	Tobacco	-	[39]
C10-186	Tobacco	-	[40]
C10-190	Tobacco	-	[40]
C10-197	Tobacco	-	[39]
C10-204	Tobacco	-	[39]
C10-205	Tobacco	-	[39]

CM1'A2	Cucumber	-	[76]
F113	Sugar beet	-	[77]
K92-1	Cucumber	-	This study
K92-5	Tomato	-	This study
K92-6	Tomato	-	This study
K92-8	Tomato	-	This study
K92-9	Tomato	-	This study
K92-11	Cucumber	-	This study
K92-12	Cucumber	-	This study
K92-14	Cucumber	-	[41]
K92-48	Cotton	-	[41]
K92-53	Cotton	-	[41]
K92-59	Cucumber	-	[41]
K93-2	Tobacco	-	[41]
K93-3	Tobacco	-	[41]
K93-7	Cucumber	-	[41]
K93-8	Cucumber	-	[41]
K93-9	Cucumber	-	[41]
K93-39	Wheat	-	[41]
K93-43	Wheat	-	This study
K93-48	Wheat	-	[78]
K93-52	Tomato	-	[41]
K93-53	Tomato	-	[78]
K94-18	Tomato	-	[41]
K94-31	Cucumber	-	[41]
K94-37	Cucumber	-	[41]
K95-7	Cucumber	-	[78]
KD	Wheat	-	[78]
LMG1794	Water	-	LMG collection
P1.8	Earthworm	-	This study
P1.31	Woodlouse (dead)	-	This study
P3	Barley	-	[79]
P12	Tobacco	-	[25]
P96.25	Wheat	-	[41]
P97.26	Tomato	-	[41]
P97.39	Cucumber	-	[41]
P97-1	Cucumber	-	[41]
P97-2	Cucumber	-	[41]
P97-6	Tomato	-	[41]
P97-20	Wheat	-	[41]
P97-26	Tomato	-	[41]
P97-27	Cucumber	-	[41]
P97-30	Wheat	-	[41]
PF36	Unknown	-	[63]
Pf-153	Tobacco	-	[80]
Q1-87	Wheat	-	[25]
Q2-87	Wheat	-	[81]
Q7-87	Wheat	-	[25]
Q12-87	Wheat	-	[25]
Q13-87	Wheat	-	[25]
Q37-87	Wheat	-	[25]
Q128-87	Wheat	-	[82]
S7-29	Tobacco	-	[39]
S7-46	Tobacco	-	[39]
S7-52	Tobacco	-	[39]
S7-42	Tobacco	-	[39]
S8-110	Tobacco	-	[39]
S8-130	Tobacco	-	[39]
S8-151	Tobacco	-	[40]
TM1A3	Tomato	-	[25]

TM1A4	Tomato	-	[83]
TM1B2	Tomato	-	[76]
53K-B2	Unknown	-	This study
<i>Pseudomonas kilonensis</i>			
520-20	Soil	-	[84]
<i>Pseudomonas plecoglossicida</i>			
PFCP1	Soil	-	[78]
<i>Pseudomonas protegens</i> ^b			
C6.2	Tobacco	+	[78]
C6-23	Tobacco	+	[78]
CHA0	Tobacco	+	[85]
K94-4	Tomato	+	[41]
K94-5	Tomato	+	[41]
K94-6	Cucumber	+	[25]
K94-30	Cucumber	+	[41]
K94-40	Cucumber	+	[41]
K94-41	Cucumber	+	[41]
M	Sunflower	+	This study
PF	Wheat	+	[86]
BRIP	Cyclops (water)	+	This study
Pf1	Tobacco	+	[25]
Pf-5	Cotton	+	[87]
Pf-68	Sunflower	+	[88]
Pf-100	Sunflower	+	[88]
PGNL1	Tobacco	+	[25]
PGNR1	Tobacco	+	[25]
PGNR2	Tobacco	+	[25]
S8-62	Tobacco	+	[78]
P6-1	Unknown	+	This study
<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>			
8176 NCBI	Milk	-	[89]
KB1	Soil	-	[90]
KT2440	Soil	-	[91]
LMG2257	Soil	-	[64]
<i>Pseudomonas rhizosphaerae</i>			
IH5	Rhizosphere grass	-	[92]
<i>Pseudomonas</i> sp.			
A506	Pear leaves	-	[93]
B13	Sewage	-	[94]
CMR12a	Cocoyam	+	[29]
DSS73	Soil	-	[95]
Jan	Apple blossom	-	[96]
<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i>			
ATCC 19310	Lilac	-	[97]
LMG 1247	Lilac	-	LMG collection
<i>Rhodococcus</i> sp.			
C125	River sediment	-	[98]
<i>Sphingomonas herbicidovorans</i>			
MH	Soil	-	[99]
<i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i>			
UT26	Soil	-	[100]
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>			
COL	Hospital	-	[101]
MW2	Human	-	[102]
RN4220	Laboratory strain	-	[103]
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>			
RP62A	Hospital	-	[104]
<i>Streptomyces turgidiscabies</i>			
Sy9103	Scab lesions	-	[105]
<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i>			

ATCC33913	Plant	-	[78]
<i>Xenorhabdus bovienii</i>			
13	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
14	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
25	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
26	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
27	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
28	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
29	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
30	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
31	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
33	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
35	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
36	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
37	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
39	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
44	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
61	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
64	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
C	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
D	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
F	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
J	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	This study
SS-2004	<i>Steinernema</i> (Swiss soil)	+	[106]
<i>Xenorhabdus nematophila</i>			
ATCC 19061	<i>Steinernema carpocapsae</i>	+	[106]

^a If a plant is indicated, the respective strain has been isolated from its roots or rhizosphere

^b *P. protegens* was recently proposed as an own species [26] and has been designated as *P. fluorescens* in previous publications

Table S2 BLASTp analysis of *P. protegens* CHA0 Fit components against completed bacterial whole genome sequences.

Query	BLAST hit ^a	Product	Species	% aa identity (similarity)	Coverage	e-value
FitA	NP_930357.1	plu3125	<i>Photobacterium luminescens</i> subsp. <i>laumondii</i> TTO1	69 (82)	98	0.0
	YP_001448573.1	RTX toxin transporter	<i>Vibrio harveyi</i> ATCC BAA-1116	59 (76)	97	0.0
	YP_003041907.1	RTX toxin ABC transporter	<i>Photobacterium asymbiotica</i> subsp. <i>asymbiotica</i> ATCC 43949	59 (76)	88	0.0
	NP_928643.1	RTX toxin ABC transporter	<i>Photobacterium luminescens</i> subsp. <i>laumondii</i> TTO1	58 (76)	88	0.0
FitB	NP_762443.2	RTX toxin transporter	<i>Vibrio vulnificus</i> CMCP6	58 (76)	88	0.0
	NP_930358.1	plu3126	<i>Photobacterium luminescens</i> subsp. <i>laumondii</i> TTO1	65 (83)	97	0.0
	YP_001448572.1	VIBHAR_06454	<i>Vibrio harveyi</i> ATCC BAA-1116	52 (71)	94	3e-150
	YP_002923190.1	ABC transporter	Candidatus <i>Hamiltonella defensa</i> 5AT	50 (71)	94	2e-137
	YP_070687.1	RTX toxin ABC transporter	<i>Yersinia pseudotuberculosis</i> IP 32953	49 (67)	98	6e-113
YP_004298409.1	hemolysin transport protein	<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i> subsp. <i>palaearctica</i> 105.5R	47 (66)	98	4e-109	
FitC	NP_930359.1	plu3127	<i>Photobacterium luminescens</i> subsp. <i>laumondii</i> TTO1	73 (84)	98	0.0
	ZP_01956307.1	toxin secretion transporter	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i> MZO-3	58 (74)	97	0.0
	NP_762445.1	RTX toxin transporter	<i>Vibrio vulnificus</i> CMCP6	59 (74)	97	0.0
	NP_928641.1	plu1331	<i>Photobacterium luminescens</i> subsp. <i>laumondii</i> TTO1	57 (74)	99	0.0
YP_004298408.1	putative toxin transport protein	<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i> subsp. <i>palaearctica</i> 105.5R	58 (72)	98	0.0	
FitD	NP_931332.1	Mcf protein	<i>Photobacterium luminescens</i> subsp. <i>laumondii</i> TTO1	73 (83)	100	0.0
	YP_003042199.1	MCF toxin	<i>Photobacterium asymbiotica</i> subsp. <i>asymbiotica</i> ATCC 43949	71 (81)	97	0.0
	NP_930360.1	plu3128	<i>Photobacterium luminescens</i> subsp. <i>laumondii</i> TTO1	67 (81)	69	0.0
	YP_003712268.1	XNC1_2028	<i>Xenorhabdus nematophila</i> ATCC 19061	64 (78)	69	0.0
	YP_003468304.1	Mcf protein	<i>Xenorhabdus bovienii</i> SS-2004	69 (79)	74	0.0
YP_003712501.1	XNC1_2265	<i>Xenorhabdus nematophila</i> ATCC 19061	65 (76)	63	0.0	

	EGU44028.1	Mcf2	<i>Vibrio splendidus</i> ATCC 33789	29 (48)	65	0.0
FitE	ZP_10648180.1	PSEBR_a511	<i>Pseudomonas brassicacearum</i> subsp. <i>brassicacearum</i> NFM421	62 (79)	89	4e-159
	YP_346224.1	Type I secretion outer membrane protein, TolC	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> Pf0-1	61 (78)	90	7e-159
	YP_257681.1	TolC family type I secretion outer membrane protein	<i>Pseudomonas protegens</i> Pf-5	61 (78)	90	2e-156
	EGH31280.1	Type I secretion outer membrane protein, TolC	<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>japonica</i> str. M301072	62 (79)	90	5e-155
	ZP_07003042.1	Type I secretion outer membrane protein, TolC precursor	<i>Pseudomonas savastanoi</i> pv. <i>savastanoi</i> NCPPB 3335	63 (79)	88	1e-154
FitF	YP_285112.1	PAS/PAC sensor hybrid histidine kinase	<i>Dechloromonas aromatica</i> RCB (beta)	41 (57)	48	1e-106
	YP_005041177.1	Hybrid sensor histidine kinase	<i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> 4B	38 (60)	61	3e-100
	YP_865134.1	multi-sensor hybrid histidine kinase	<i>Magnetococcus marinus</i> MC-1	37 (58)	49	4e-98
	YP_004514611.1	PAS domain-containing protein	<i>Thiocystis violascens</i> DSM 198	40 (58)	66	6e-98
	YP_005050479.1	multi-sensor hybrid histidine kinase	<i>Methylomonas methanica</i> MC09]	34 (54)	67	9e-98
FitG	YP_004499875.1	LysR family transcriptional regulator	<i>Serratia</i> sp. AS12	45 (65)	98	3e-79
	YP_348645.1	LysR family transcriptional regulator	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> Pf0-1	45 (64)	95	7e-79
	YP_260488.1	LysR family transcriptional regulator	<i>Pseudomonas protegens</i> Pf-5	46 (63)	95	6e-76
	ZP_07775323.1	LysR family transcriptional regulator	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> WH6	45 (61)	97	2e-73
	YP_001007048.1	LysR family transcriptional regulator	<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i> subsp. <i>enterocolitica</i> 8081	42 (64)	97	2e-73
FitH	YP_005025997.1	response regulator receiver modulated metal dependent phosphohydrolase	<i>Vibrio</i> sp. EJY3 (gamma)	54 (76)	36	0.0
	NP_441314.1	regulatory components of sensory transduction system	<i>Synechocystis</i> sp. PCC 6803 (cyano)	53 (74)	36	9e-33
	YP_002954478.1	response regulator receiver protein	<i>Desulfovibrio magneticus</i> RS-1 (delta)	47 (62)	44	2e-32
	YP_006416106.1	response regulator containing a CheY-like receiver domain and an HD-GYP domain	<i>Thiocystis violascens</i> DSM 198 (gamma)	52 (72)	35	1e-31
	YP_421203.1	response regulator	<i>Magnetospirillum magneticum</i> AMB-1 (alpha)	52 (68)	39	5e-31

A total of 1234 completed genomic sequences were included in BLASTp searches. Highly identical sequences ($\leq 2\%$ divergence) within the same species were omitted.




Figure S1 Insecticidal activity correlates with presence of the *fitD* gene. Insect mortality of *G. mellonella* larvae four days after injection of 4×10^4 cells of 17 *Fit*⁺ producing and 13 non-producing *Pseudomonas* strains. Each strain was tested on a total of 30 larvae (five replicate plates with six larvae per plate). Bars show average of insect mortality for each strain. Error bars show standard error of the mean. *Fit*⁺ strains were significantly different from *Fit*⁻ based on Wilcoxon rank sum test grouped by *Fit*⁺ and *Fit*⁻ strains ($P < 0.05$).