Serveur Académique Lausannois SERVAL serval.unil.ch

Author Manuscript Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication

This paper has been peer-reviewed but dos not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Published in final edited form as:

Title: Antibiotic susceptibility of Neochlamydia hartmanellae and Parachlamydia acanthamoebae in amoebae. Authors: Vouga M, Diabi H, Boulos A, Baud D, Raoult D, Greub G Journal: Microbes and infection Year: 2015 Nov-Dec Volume: 17 Issue: 11-12 Pages: 761-5 DOI: 10.1016/j.micinf.2015.08.002

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.



UNIL | Université de Lausanne Faculté de biologie

et de médecine

- 1 Antibiotic susceptibility of *Neochlamydia hartmanellae* and *Parachlamydia*
- 2 *acanthamoebae* in amoebae

Manon Vouga^{1, 2}, Houria Diabi¹, Areen Boulos³, David Baud¹, Didier Raoult³ and Gilbert
Greub¹*

- 5
- ⁶ ¹Center for Research on Intracellular Bacteria, Institute of Microbiology, Faculty of Biology
- 7 and Medicine, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland,
- ⁸ ² Materno-fetal and Obstetrics Research Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology,
- 9 Maternity, University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland
- ¹⁰ ³Emerging infectious and tropical diseases research unit (URMITE), Medicine Faculty, Aix-
- 11 Marseille University, Marseille, France,
- 12
- 13 *<u>Corresponding author</u>:
- 14 Gilbert Greub, MD PhD
- 15 Microbiology institute
- 16 Faculty of Biology and Medicine
- 17 University of Lausanne
- 18 1011 Lausanne
- 19 SWITZERLAND
- 20 phone : (00) 41.21.314.49.79
- 21 fax : (00) 41.21.314.40.60
- 22 e-mail: gilbert.greub@chuv.ch
- 23
- 24
- 25 Running title: Antibiotic susceptibility of *Parachlamydiaceae*
- 26

27 ABSTRACT

Parachlamydia acanthamoebae and *Neochlamydia hartmanellae* are *Chlamydia*-related bacteria naturally infecting free-living amoebae. These strict intracellular bacteria might represent emerging pathogens. Recent studies report an association with lower respiratory tract infections, especially with pneumonia where they have been identified as a potential causative agent in 1-2% of cases. In this study, we defined the antibiotic susceptibility of *Neochlamydia hartmanellae*, two strains of *Parachlamydia acanthamoebae* and two yet unclassified *Parachlamydiaceae* strains using a quantitative approach.

We confirmed the results obtained earlier for *P. acanthamoebae* strain Bn9 in an observational study. Macrolides (MICs < $0.06 - 0.5 \mu g/ml$), rifampicin (MICs 0.25 - 0.5, 1-2 $\mu g/ml$) and doxycycline were active against *P. acanthamoebae* strains and *Neochlamydia*. All strains were resistant to amoxicillin, ceftriaxone and imipenem (MIC $\geq 32 \mu g/ml$). Similarly to other *Chlamydia*-related bacteria, all investigated *Parachlamydiaceae* were resistant to quinolones (MICs $\geq 16 \mu g/ml$). Therefore, we recommend a treatment with macrolides for *Parachlamydia*-associated pneumonia.

42

43 Keywords: Parachlamydiaceae, Antibiotic, Pneumonia, Chlamydia, Intracellular bacteria

44

45 **INTRODUCTION**

In the past few years various Chlamydia-related bacteria, such as Parachlamydia 46 acanthamoebae and Neochlamydia hartmanellae have been discovered, extending our 47 knowledge on the Chlamydiales ecology. Similarly to the pathogenic Chlamydia trachomatis 48 and Chlamydia pneumoniae, these obligate intracellular bacteria are characterized by their 49 biphasic developmental cycle with infectious elementary bodies (EBs) and replicative 50 reticulate bodies (RBs). They have been assigned to the Parachlamydiaceae family level-51 lineage based on highly taxonomic discriminative genes [1,2] and are known to naturally 52 infect free-living amoebae [3,4]. 53

54 Various works suggest a role of P. acanthamoebae as a causative agent of pneumonia and other lower respiratory tract infections [5]. A first hint was suggested by its isolation from the 55 water of an humidifier involved in an epidemic of fever in Vermont, USA [6]. This was 56 further confirmed by a positive association with evidence of acute infections to P. 57 acanthamoebae and community acquired pneumonia (CAP) [7], ventilator associated 58 pneumonia (VAP) [8] and nosocomial pneumonia [9]. In addition, P. acanthamoebae DNA 59 was identified in 2 cases of lower respiratory tract infections in children [10] as well as in 60 13% of children with bronchiolitis [11]. Similar findings were also shown for other members 61 62 of the Parachlamydiaceae, such as Protochlamydia amoebophila [12] and Protochlamydia naegleriophila [13]. Despite low prevalence of direct isolation of these organisms (less than 63 1% in CAP and 8% in VAP), cases of *Parachlamydiaceae*-associated pneumonia were clearly 64 documented leaving no doubt of the pathogenic role of these species. A low prevalence of 65 Chlamydia pneumoniae-associated pneumonia was also observed in recent studies [14-16]. 66 Its clinical relevance is, nonetheless, not debated. 67

These findings suggest that *Parachlamydiaceae* might be responsible for at least some cases of pneumonia of unidentified etiology. Therefore, it is crucial to verify that current recommended empirical treatments of pneumonia are effective on these emerging pathogens.
Partial information is already given by the work performed by Maurin *et al.* [17]. However, in
this study, minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were defined as the lowest concentration
that prevented amoebal lysis and therefore provides information based on indirect
observations that might be influenced by additional aspects than bacterial growth [18].

In this work, we used a specific real-time PCR to define quantitatively the antibiotic susceptibility of *Neochlamydia hartmanellae*, two strains of *P. acanthamoebae* and two yet unclassified *Parachlamydiaceae* strains. This approach has already been applied to determine antibiotic susceptibility of other *Chlamydia*-related bacteria [19,20] and is now considered as the standard technique to define antibiotic susceptibility. It should therefore be preferentially used to perform comparisons.

81

82 MATERIAL AND METHODS

Parachlamydia acanthamoebae strain Hall's coccus, Parachlamydia acanthamoebae strain 83 BN9 (ATCC VR-1476), Parachlamydia sp. TUMPL1 and the Neochlamydia sp. UWC22 84 were grown within Acanthamoeba polyphaga strain Linc AP-1 as previously described [21]. 85 Neochlamydia hartmanellae (symbiont of Hartmanella vermiformis ATCC 50802) was 86 grown similarly within Hartmanella vermiformis strain BL. After 6 days of incubation, 87 cultures were harvested and the broth was centrifuged at 180 x g for 10 minutes to eliminate 88 most amoebae. The supernatant was then diluted at 1:1000 in Page's amoebal saline (PAS) 89 [21], which corresponds to an approximate final concentration of about 10^3 bacteria/ml. 50 µl 90 of this inocolum was then used to infect Acanthamoeba polyphaga strain Linc AP-1 91 (Parachlamydia and Parachlamydiaceae-related strain) and Hartmanella vermiformis strain 92 BL (Neochlamydia hartmanellae), respectively, distributed in a 96-wells Costar micro plates 93 (Corning) at a concentration of 5×10^5 amoebae/ml. These amoebae were grown axenically as 94

previously described [21]. After two hours of incubation, at 32°C, to allow internalization, 50 95 µl of serial antibiotics dilutions were added. Antibiotics tested in this study were doxycycline 96 [0.06-4 µg/ml] (Pfizer, Neuilly, France), erythromycin [0.06-4 µg/ml] (Abbot, Rungis, 97 France), clarithromycin [0.06-4 µg/ml] (SmithKline Beecham, Nanterre, France), rifampicin 98 [0.06-4 µg/ml] (Cassenne, Puteaux, France). Other antibiotics, that were expected to be 99 ineffective on Parachlamydiaceae based on the work of Maurin et al [17], were tested at a 100 single high concentration: ofloxacin [16 µg/ml] (Diamant, Puteaux, France), ciprofloxacin [16 101 µg/ml] (Bayer Pharma, Sebs, France), amoxicillin [100 and 32 µg/ml] (SmithKline Beecham, 102 Nanter, France), ceftriaxone [100 and 32 µg/ml] (Roche, Paris, France) and imipenem [100 103 104 and 32 µg/ml]. Antibiotics were tested in duplicate.

Growth was assessed using a real time TaqMan PCR assay at 2, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours post infection. Briefly, bacterial co-cultures were incubated at 32° C and wells were harvested at the adequate time. DNA was extracted from 200 µl aliquots of infected amoebal cells using the BioRad Genomic DNA Kit (BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, Ca), as described by the manufacturer. The extracted nucleic acid was resuspended in a final volume of 50 µl and stored at -20°C until used in the quantitative PCR assay.

Antibiotic-free wells served as growth controls while uninfected amoebae wells served as negative controls. The absence of toxicity of antibiotics to amoebal cells was determined by examining the amoebal micro plates once a day under an inverted microscope (Zeiss Axiovert 25, Carl Zeiss). To assess the activity and dilution of the antibiotics used, MICs were determined for *Escherichia coli* ATCC 8739 and *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC (Institut Pasteur, Marnes La Coquette, France) using Mueller-Hinton agar (bioMérieux) incubated at 37°C for 18 hours.

118 Quantitative PCR was performed using TaqMan technology in a final volume of 25 μ l 119 including 12.5 μ l of the TaqMan Universal Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Ca)

200 nM of the forward primer (abF 5'- CTCGTGCCGTGAGGTGTT), 200 nM of the reverse 120 primer (abR 5'- AGCACGTGTGTAGCCCCA), 100 nM of the fluorescent labeled probe (6-121 FAM-5'-TCAGGTGGGAACTCTAATGAGACTGCCT 3'-TAMRA, where 6-FAM is 6-122 carboxyfluorescein and TAMRA is 6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine), 2.5 µl of water and 2.5 123 µl of DNA. Amplification and detection were performed on the ABI 7900HT sequence 124 detection system (TaqMan system, Applied Biosystems). Cycling conditions were 2 minutes 125 at 50°C, 10 minutes at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C and 1 minute at 126 60°C. DNA extracted from tittered Parachlamydia and water were used as positive and 127 negative controls, respectively. The number of bacteria/ml in each sample was then 128 determined by comparing the threshold cycle (C_T) of the sample to that of the tittered positive 129 control used to establish a calibration curve. 130

131

132 **RESULTS**

In this study, we evaluated the susceptibility of various members of the Parachlamydiaceae to 133 six classes of antibiotics. MICs were defined using a quantitative PCR as the minimal 134 concentration that prevented bacterial growth. The cut-off used to define a significant 135 prevention of bacterial growth was the presence of less than a 100 bacterial copies at day 3, 136 based on the growth kinetics in the absence of antibiotics (figure 1). Both strains of P. 137 acanthamoebae exhibited a lag in their growth at day 3. Therefore cut-off was adjusted to less 138 than 10 copies to ensure a better discrimination and growth at day 4 was tested to establish the 139 susceptibility to ceftriaxone. No antibiotic toxicity was observed on the amoebae (data not 140 shown). We showed that *Parachlamydiaceae* were resistant to β -lactams (MIC >32 µg/ml) as 141 well as to quinolones (MIC >16 μ g/ml); such concentrations are, indeed, never achieved in 142 the human body. As expected, macrolides were active against all species even at a 143 concentration of 0.06 µg/ml for clarithromycin (MIC <0.06 µg/ml) and 0.06-0.5 µg/ml for 144

erythromycin. Doxycycline was active against both strains of *P. acanthamoebae* and *Neochlamydia hartmanellae* (MICs 2-4 μ g/ml). However, MICs seemed to be higher for the unclassified *Parachlamydiaceae* (\geq 8 μ g/ml). *Parachlamydiaceae* were also susceptible to rifampicin, with a stronger efficacy against *P. acanthamoebae* species (MIC 0.25-0.5 μ g/ml) versus *Neochlamydia* (MIC 2 μ g/ml) (figure 2).

151 **DISCUSSION**

In this paper, we confirmed the results obtained for *P. acanthamoebae* strain BN9 by Maurin 152 et al. [17] using a reliable quantitative approach and extended these observations to additional 153 154 members of the Parachlamydiaceae. We demonstrated that the antibiotic susceptibility of Parachlamydiaceae in amoebae is quite similar to what is known for other members of the 155 Chlamydiales (see table 1). Macrolides are the treatment of choice. Cyclines might be an 156 alternative, at least for P. acanthamoebae strains and Neochlamydia hartmanellae, but 157 conclusions are difficult to draw due to the *in vitro* amoeabal model used in our study. Indeed, 158 it has already been demonstrated that amoebae are a good alternative to mammalian cells lines 159 to test the antibiotic susceptibility for species that strictly grow in amoebae, as similar results 160 are obtained in both cell types [20]. However, caution should be taken regarding doxycycline, 161 which MIC tends to be higher in amoebae due to the likely presence of an efflux pump [20]. 162 In our study, we found a MIC of 4µg/ml that might be overestimated compared to mammalian 163 cells. Nevertheless, even if a concentration of 4µg/ml is required to inhibit bacterial growth in 164 humans, doxycycline is still an acceptable treatment for Parachlamydia-related pneumonia, as 165 it was shown that such lung concentrations were achieved in humans after a single dose of 166 200 mg IV doxycycline [22]. Confirmation of our results in a mammalian cell model seems to 167 be difficult. Indeed, so far, it has not been possible to grow Neochlamydia hartmanellae in 168 mammalian cells in vitro and, even if P. acanthamoebae was shown to replicate in 169

¹⁵⁰

pneumocytes, fibroblasts [23], as well as macrophages [24] cells lines *in vitro*, growth is very
limited, in these cell lines, preventing accurate antibiotic susceptibility testing.

172 Rifampicin was shown to be efficient against *Parachlamydiaceae*, similarly to what is 173 observed for *Chlamydia trachomatis*. However, resistance are known to rapidly develop 174 under treatment [25]. Therefore, caution should be taken when using this antibiotic in a single 175 antibiotic regimen.

176 Of utmost interest, our results confirm that unlike *Chlamydia* spp.[25–31], *Neochlamydia* and Parachlamydia spp. are resistant to quinolones, as already demonstrated for several other 177 Chlamydia-related bacteria, including Simkania negevensis, Waddlia chondrophila and 178 Estrella lausannensis [19,20,32]. This resistance is probably due to a mutation in the 179 quinolones Resistance-Determining Region (QRDR) of gyrA, as shown by a recent 180 publication [32]. Indeed, two substitutions were identified in quinolones resistant 181 Chlamydiales when compared to susceptible Chlamydiaceae : (1) at position 70, the presence 182 of a serine and (2) at position 83, the substitution of cysteine by another amino acid might 183 induce resistance [32,33]. Quinolones such as levofloxacin represent one of the alternative 184 treatments recommended for CAP, especially in patients that require in-treatment or patients 185 suffering from additional co-morbidities in the objective to cover both S. pneumoniae and P. 186 aeruginosa infection [34]. Since Chlamydia-related bacteria might represent 1-2% of 187 community-acquired pneumonia, caution should be taken when prescribing quinolones. 188

- 189
- 190

191 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 192 We thank Dr. Matthias Horn from the department of Microbiology and Ecosystem Science,
- 193 University of Vienna, who kindly provided the *Neochlamydia* sp.UWC22 and the
- 194 *Parachlamydia* sp. Tumpl1 strains.
- 195 Manon Vouga is founded through the MD-PhD grant by the Swiss National Science
- 196 Foundation (SNSF) (no. 323530_158123). Gilbert Greub's research is supported by various
- 197 grants including grants from the SNSF (no 310030_141050 & Synergia CRSII3-141837).

198

199 **DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

200 The authors did not report any potential conflict of interest.

201

202

203 **REFERNCES**

[1] Pillonel T, Bertelli C, Salamin N, Greub G. Taxogenomics of the Chlamydiales. Int J 204 Syst Evol Microbiol 2015. 205 206 [2] Everett KD, Bush RM, Andersen AA. Emended description of the order *Chlamydiales*, 207 proposal of Parachlamydiaceae fam. nov. and Simkaniaceae fam. nov., each containing 208 one monotypic genus, revised taxonomy of the family *Chlamydiaceae*, including a new 209 genus and five new species, and standards for the identification of organisms. Int J Syst 210 Bacteriol 1999;49 Pt 2:415-40. 211 212 [3] Amann R, Springer N, Schönhuber W, Ludwig W, Schmid EN, Müller KD, et al. 213 Obligate intracellular bacterial parasites of acanthamoebae related to *Chlamydia* spp. 214 Appl Environ Microbiol 1997;63:115–21. 215 216 217 [4] Horn M, Wagner M, Müller KD, Schmid EN, Fritsche TR, Schleifer KH, et al. Neochlamydia hartmannellae gen. nov., sp. nov. (Parachlamydiaceae), an endoparasite 218 of the amoeba Hartmannella vermiformis. Microbiol Read Engl 2000;146 (Pt 5):1231-9. 219 220 [5] Greub G, Berger P, Papazian L, Raoult D. Parachlamydiaceae as Rare Agents of 221 Pneumonia. Emerg Infect Dis 2003;9:755-6. 222 223 Birtles RJ, Rowbotham TJ, Storey C, Marrie TJ, Raoult D. Chlamydia-like obligate 224 [6] parasite of free-living amoebae. Lancet 1997;349:925-6. 225 226 Marrie TJ, Raoult D, La Scola B, Birtles RJ, de Carolis E, Canadian Community-[7] 227 Acquired Pneumonia Study Group. Legionella-like and other amoebal pathogens as 228 agents of community-acquired pneumonia. Emerg Infect Dis 2001;7:1026-9. 229 230 [8] Greub G, Boyadjiev I, La Scola B, Raoult D, Martin C. Serological hint suggesting that 231 Parachlamydiaceae are agents of pneumonia in polytraumatized intensive care patients. 232 Ann N Y Acad Sci 2003;990:311-9. 233 234 [9] Berger P, Papazian L, Drancourt M, La Scola B, Auffray J-P, Raoult D. Ameba-235 236 associated microorganisms and diagnosis of nosocomial pneumonia. Emerg Infect Dis 2006;12:248-55. 237 238 [10] Lamoth F, Jaton K, Vaudaux B, Greub G. Parachlamydia and Rhabdochlamydia: 239 emerging agents of community-acquired respiratory infections in children. Clin Infect 240 241 Dis 2011;53:500–1. 242 [11] Casson N, Posfay-Barbe KM, Gervaix A, Greub G. New diagnostic real-time PCR for 243 specific detection of Parachlamydia acanthamoebae DNA in clinical samples. J Clin 244 Microbiol 2008;46:1491-3. 245 246 [12] Haider S, Collingro A, Walochnik J, Wagner M, Horn M. Chlamydia-like bacteria in 247 respiratory samples of community-acquired pneumonia patients. FEMS Microbiol Lett 248 2008;281:198-202. 249 250

251 252 253	[13]	Casson N, Michel R, Müller K-D, Aubert JD, Greub G. <i>Protochlamydia naegleriophila</i> as etiologic agent of pneumonia. Emerg Infect Dis 2008;14:168–72.
253 254 255 256 257	[14]	Dumke R, Schnee C, Pletz MW, Rupp J, Jacobs E, Sachse K, et al. <i>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</i> and <i>Chlamydia</i> spp. Infection in community-acquired pneumonia, Germany, 2011–2012. Emerg Infect Dis 2015;21:426–34.
258 259 260 261	[15]	Wellinghausen N, Straube E, Freidank H, Baum H von, Marre R, Essig A. Low prevalence of <i>Chlamydia pneumoniae</i> in adults with community-acquired pneumonia. Int J Med Microbiol 2006;296:485–91.
262 263 264 265	[16]	Pletz MW, Rohde G, Schütte H, Bals R, Baum H von, Welte T, et al. Epidemiology and aetiology of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). Dtsch Med Wochenschr 1946 2011;136:775–80.
266 267 268	[17]	Maurin M, Bryskier A, Raoult D. Antibiotic Susceptibilities of <i>Parachlamydia</i> acanthamoeba in amoebae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002;46:3065–7.
268 269 270 271	[18]	Greub G, La Scola B, Raoult D. <i>Parachlamydia acanthamoeba</i> is endosymbiotic or lytic for <i>Acanthamoeba polyphaga</i> depending on the incubation temperature. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2003;990:628–34.
272 273 274 275	[19]	de Barsy M, Bottinelli L, Greub G. Antibiotic susceptibility of <i>Estrella lausannensis</i> , a potential emerging pathogen. Microbes Infect 2014;16:746–54.
275 276 277	[20]	Goy G, Greub G. Antibiotic susceptibility of <i>Waddlia chondrophila</i> in <i>Acanthamoeba castellanii</i> amoebae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009;53:2663–6
278 279 280	[21]	Greub G, La Scola B, Raoult D. Amoebae-resisting bacteria isolated from human nasal swabs by amoebal coculture. Emerg Infect Dis 2004;10:470–7.
281 282 283	[22]	Thadepalli H, Mandal AK, Bach VT, Oparah SS. Tissue levels of doxycycline in the human lung and pleura. Chest 1980;78:304–5.
284 285 286 287	[23]	Casson N, Medico N, Bille J, Greub G. <i>Parachlamydia acanthamoebae</i> enters and multiplies within pneumocytes and lung fibroblasts. Microbes Infect 2006;8:1294–300.
288 289 290	[24]	Greub G, Mege J-L, Raoult D. <i>Parachlamydia acanthamoebae</i> enters and multiplies within human macrophages and induces their apoptosis. Infect Immun 2003;71:5979–85.
291 292 293 294	[25]	Dreses-Werringloer U, Padubrin I, Zeidler H, Köhler L. Effects of azithromycin and rifampicin on <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i> infection <i>in vitro</i> . Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001;45:3001–8.
295 296 297 298	[26]	Hammerschlag MR, Gleyzer A. <i>In vitro</i> activity of a group of broad-spectrum cephalosporins and other beta-lactam antibiotics against <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i> . Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1983;23:493–4.

299 300 301 302	[27]	Samra Z, Rosenberg S, Soffer Y, Dan M. <i>In vitro</i> susceptibility of recent clinical isolates of <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i> to macrolides and tetracyclines. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2001;39:177–9.
303 304 305 306 207	[28]	Smelov V, Perekalina T, Gorelov A, Smelova N, Artemenko N, Norman L. <i>In vitro</i> Activity of fluoroquinolones, azithromycin and doxycycline against <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i> cultured from men with chronic lower urinary tract symptoms. Eur Urol 2004;46:647–50.
307 308 309 310	[29]	Senn L, Hammerschlag MR, Greub G. Therapeutic approaches to <i>Chlamydia</i> infections. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2005;6:2281–90.
 311 312 313 314 	[30]	Chirgwin K, Roblin PM, Hammerschlag MR. <i>In vitro</i> susceptibilities of <i>Chlamydia pneumoniae</i> (<i>Chlamydia</i> sp. strain TWAR). Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1989;33:1634–5.
315 316 317	[31]	Kuo CC, Grayston JT. In vitro drug susceptibility of <i>Chlamydia</i> sp. strain TWAR. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1988;32:257–8.
318 319 320 221	[32]	Casson N, Greub G. Resistance of different <i>Chlamydia</i> -like organisms to quinolones and mutations in the quinoline resistance-determining region of the DNA gyrase A- and topoisomerase-encoding genes. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2006;27:541–4.
322 323 324 325 326	[33]	Dessus-Babus S, Bébéar CM, Charron A, Bébéar C, de Barbeyrac B. Sequencing of gyrase and topoisomerase IV quinolone-resistance-determining regions of <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i> and characterization of quinolone-resistant mutants obtained <i>in vitro</i> . Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1998;42:2474–81.
320327328329330331	[34]	Mandell LA, Wunderink RG, Anzueto A, Bartlett JG, Campbell GD, Dean NC, et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America/American Thoracic Society consensus guidelines on the management of community-acquired pneumonia in adults. Clin Infect Dis Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am 2007;44 Suppl 2:S27–72.
332		

333 FIGURES AND TABLE

Figure 1: Growth kinetic of each parachlamydial strains in amoebae without antibiotic

Kinetics were determined by quantitative PCR. Results are shown in a logarithmic scale as
 the means +/- standard deviation of triplicate experiments.

337

Figure 2: Antibiotic susceptibility of each parachlamydial strains in amoebae

Bacterial copy numbers were determined by quantitative PCR at day 3 post-infection, except when indicated by a *, where it was determined at day 4. Only results of significant experiments are shown. Results are shown in a logarithmic scale as the means +/- standard deviation in duplicate experiments. Abbreviations : Clari, clarithromycin; Ery, erythromycin; Doxy, doxycycline, Rifam, rifampicin; Amo, amoxicillin; Cef, ceftriaxone; Imi, imipenem; Cipro, ciprofloxacin; Oflo, ofloxacin.

345 (A) Neochlamydia hartmanellae (B) Parachlamydia acanthamoebae strain Hall's coccus (C)

346 *Parachlamydia acanthamoebae* strain BN9 (D) *Parachlamydia* sp. Tumpl1 (E) *Neochlamydia*347 sp. UWC22.

348

349 Table 1: Antibiotic susceptibility of *Parachlamydiaceae* and others *Chlamydiales*

This table represents the MICs in µg/ml of various antibiotics against members of the *Chlamydiales* orders

352

353

354

355



A.

C.

в.





D.









Antibiotics slig/ml

Table 1: Antibiotic susceptibility of Parachlamydiaceae and others Chlamydiales

P BN9 [17] Cell lines	P. acanthamoe BN9	bae Hall's coccus	N. hartmanellae	Parachlamv						
[17] Cell lines				illae Parachlamya UWC22	ydiaceae spp. Tumpl1	W. chondrophila		E. lausannensis	C. trachomatis	: C. pneumoniae
Cell lines							[20]	[19]	[25-29]	[29-31]
NALC (Am	oebae			Vero	Amoebae	Vero	Mc Coy, Hep2	HeLA
iviic (µi/mi)										
Cyclines										
Tetracycline								0.25	0.25-0.5	0.125-0.5
Doxycycline 0.5	4	2	4	≥ 8	≥ 8	0.25	1-4	0.25	0.06-0.25	0.015-0.5
Macrolides										
Erythromycin 0.5	< 0.06	> 0.06	> 0.5	0.25	< 0.06	ND	ND	ND	<0.125-2	<0.125-0.5
Clarithromycin 0.5	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	ND	ND	ND	<0.125-2	<0.125-1
Azythromycin ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.25	0.006-0.125	2	<0.125-2	<0.125-0.5
β-lactams										
Penicillin derivatives > 32	> 32	> 32	> 32	> 32	> 32	> 32	> 32	> 32	ND	>100
Ceftriaxone > 32	> 32	> 32	> 32	> 32	> 32	> 32	> 32	> 32	<32	ND
Imipenem		>32								
Fluoroquinolones										
Ciprofloxacin > 16	> 16	> 16	> 16	> 16	> 16	> 16	> 16	32	0.5-2	1-4
Ofloxacine > 16	> 16	> 16	> 16	> 16	> 16	> 16	> 16	16	0.5-1	0.5-2
Rifamycine										
Rifampicine 0.25	0.25	0.5	1-2	0.25-0.5	0.25-0.5	ND	ND	ND	<0.125-1	<0.125

Abbreviations : MIC, Minimal inhibitory concentration; ND, Not determined