



Murdoch
UNIVERSITY

MURDOCH RESEARCH REPOSITORY

This is the author's final version of the work, as accepted for publication following peer review but without the publisher's layout or pagination.

The definitive version is available at :

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.05.043>

Leong, S., Li, D., Haggood, K., Zhang, X. and Wang, H. (2016) Ni(OH)₂ decorated rutile TiO₂ for efficient removal of tetracycline from wastewater. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 198 . pp. 224-233.

<http://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.a/31771/>

Copyright: © 2016 Elsevier B.V.

It is posted here for your personal use. No further distribution is permitted.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Ni(OH)₂ decorated rutile TiO₂ for efficient removal of tetracycline from wastewater

Author: Sookwan Leong Dan Li Karen Hapgood Xiwang Zhang Huanting Wang



PII: S0926-3373(16)30391-5
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.05.043>
Reference: APCATB 14786

To appear in: *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*

Received date: 14-2-2016
Revised date: 15-5-2016
Accepted date: 20-5-2016

Please cite this article as: Sookwan Leong, Dan Li, Karen Hapgood, Xiwang Zhang, Huanting Wang, Ni(OH)₂ decorated rutile TiO₂ for efficient removal of tetracycline from wastewater, Applied Catalysis B, Environmental <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.05.043>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Ni(OH)₂ decorated rutile TiO₂ for efficient removal of tetracycline from wastewater


Sookwan Leong,^a Dan Li,^b Karen Hapgood,^a Xiwang Zhang^a and Huanting Wang^{a*}

^a Department of Chemical Engineering, Monash University, Clayton, Australia.

^b Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering & Chemistry, School of Engineering and Information Technology, Murdoch University, Murdoch, Australia.

- Corresponding author. Tel.: +61 3 990 53449; Fax: +61 3 9905 5686
E-mail: huanting.wang@monash.edu

Graphic Abstract



Highlights

- Ni(OH)₂ were decorated on coral-like TiO₂ particles by a precipitation method.
- Ni(OH)₂ decorated TiO₂ showed improved activity under visible light.
- Ni(OH)₂ decorated TiO₂ performed better than P25 in tetracycline removal.
- Micro-sized Ni(OH)₂ decorated TiO₂ could be easily recovered from water.

Abstract

Flower-like and coral-like particles composed of rutile TiO₂ nanorods were synthesized *via* hydrolysis of TiCl₄ in water at room temperature and hydrothermal crystallization. The subsequent deposition of Ni(OH)₂ clusters resulted in enhanced adsorption property and photocatalytic activity. In particular, Ni(OH)₂-modified coral-like rutile TiO₂ was shown to efficiently remove 76% of tetracycline from 100 mg L⁻¹ solution after 30 min adsorption and subsequent 2 h photodegradation under visible light; whereas only 57% tetracycline removal was achieved by the commercial TiO₂ (P25). In addition, the micro-sized Ni(OH)₂-modified rutile TiO₂ particles could be easily recovered from water after the photocatalytic process, and showed significant advantages over nano-sized photocatalysts. Our study provides a simple and green route to synthesizing photocatalysts with enhanced adsorption and photocatalytic performance for potential applications in practical water purification and wastewater treatment.

Keywords

Rutile; photocatalysis; tetracycline; adsorption; photodegradation.

1.0 Introduction

Antibiotics have been widely selected in prophylaxis and therapy of human and animal diseases and as promoters for animal growth. Tetracycline, as one among the cheapest classes of antibiotics [1] has been used to treat many kinds of bacterial infections, for instance urinary tract infections, acne and gonorrhea. Additionally, tetracycline is commonly adopted in agricultural industry to prevent livestock diseases. However, like many other antibiotics, tetracycline can only be partially metabolized by humans and animals, causing the residue to be released into wastewater.[1] Because of the absence of biodegradability, the removal of tetracycline during biological process in conventional wastewater treatment plants is mainly achieved by biosorption instead of biodegradation.[2, 3] This always leads to a difficulty in completely and efficiently eliminating tetracycline before effluent is discharged and it inevitably reaches natural water bodies; it has accelerated growth of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and genes in environment.[4] Among various other

technologies investigated so far, photocatalytic oxidation reaction appears to be a cost-effective, high-performance and environmentally sustainable alternative to treat tetracycline wastewater.

Titanium dioxide (TiO_2) is a promising semiconductor, which has attracted great interest in a variety of applications, including water splitting and pollutant degradation.[5-8] The photocatalytic activity of TiO_2 has been shown to strongly rely on its phase structure, crystallite size, morphological characteristic, surface area and pore structure. In general, rutile TiO_2 is less often investigated as compared to its anatase phase due to its lower photocatalytic activity arising from higher positive conduction band edge potential and faster recombination rate of photoelectron and holes.[9, 10] Nevertheless, in addition to higher light scattering efficiency, better chemical stability and lower production cost, rutile TiO_2 has a smaller bandgap energy of only 3.00 eV than anatase TiO_2 (3.20 eV); thus it is fundamentally interesting for developing visible light driven photocatalytic applications.[11]

It is well known that the high-performance photocatalysts should possess several features, including high crystallinity and large surface area/porosity. The traditional synthetic methods utilized the phase transformation of either amorphous titania or anatase *via* high temperature calcination (e.g. 600 °C) to produce rutile TiO_2 . [12, 13] However, this might unavoidably lead to agglomeration and growth of nanocrystalline particles, thus forming large particle sizes and small surface areas. Furthermore, the rutile phase prepared at low temperature exhibited better photocatalytic activity as compared with that produced at high temperature.[14] A number of prior studies reported the preparation of rutile TiO_2 at relatively low temperature; but it usually required introduction of acids, solvents or other chemicals, and in turn increased synthesis complexity and cost.[15, 16] On the other side, in spite of advantageously large external surfaces, small sizes of nanoparticles make them very difficult to be separated from solution after photocatalytic reaction. From a practical application perspective, forming mesocrystals, as a class of micro/nanostructured materials, which are composed of nanocrystals arranged in a regular fashion, could be an alternative solution. Three-dimensional

hierarchical structure of mesocrystals offers favorable surface area and light scattering for efficient photon harvesting compared to nanocrystallites.[17]

To further enhance photocatalytic activity of TiO₂, several strategies have been investigated so far, including doping with metals[18, 19] and non-metals [20-22] to narrow its bandgap energy. Some composites consisting of two oxide or hydroxide particles with different energy levels have been reported with better photocatalytic activities because of more efficient charge separation.[23] For instance, the Ni(OH)₂/ZnO composite could potentially inhibit rapid recombination of photogenerated electrons and holes, thus enhancing photocatalytic oxidation of organic azo dyes as compared with either pure ZnO or Ni(OH)₂. [23] Some previous investigations have also indicated transition-metal hydroxide Ni(OH)₂ is an economical and good co-catalyst when modifying TiO₂ for producing H₂ from water splitting.[24, 25] Up to date, there has been no study published on applying Ni(OH)₂ on TiO₂ as a photocatalyst to degrade antibiotics from water under visible light illumination.

In this paper we report a simple and environmentally friendly method for synthesizing rutile TiO₂ mesocrystals, *via* hydrolysis of TiCl₄ in water in the absence of other chemicals at room temperature and subsequent crystallization at 180 °C. We have further modified the TiO₂ mesocrystals with Ni(OH)₂ clusters *via* precipitation. Their structural properties as well as adsorption and photodegradation of tetracycline were examined in detail, in comparison with the commercial TiO₂ product, P25.

2.0 Experimental


2.1 Chemicals

Titanium chloride (TiCl₄, ≥ 99.0%), nickel chloride (NiCl₂, 98%) and tetracycline (≥ 98.1%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Australia. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 32%) and Aeroxide® TiO₂ P25 were obtained from Merck, Germany and Evonik Industries, Germany, respectively. All chemicals were used as received without further treatment. Double deionized (DI) water was used for all experiments.

2.2 Preparation of TiO₂ and Ni(OH)₂-TiO₂ catalysts

Typically, TiO₂ mesocrystals were prepared by hydrolyzing 20 mL of 0.1 M TiCl₄ aqueous solution at 25 °C for 24 h and subsequently at room temperature for another 48 h. Afterwards, the white precipitate was washed with DI water and ethanol in turn for 3 times, and recovered by centrifugation. The obtained white precipitate was dried at 80 °C for 24 h, followed by crystallization at 180 °C for 6 h. The resulting TiO₂ sample was denoted as T1. The similar approach was adopted to produce the T2 sample, except for using 0.2 M TiCl₄ aqueous solution.

0.08 g of the as-prepared T1 or T2 was dispersed in 20 mL of 0.1 M NaOH solution and followed by adding 0.026 g of NiCl₂ to form Ni(OH)₂ precipitate (Eq. 1). After keeping the obtained mixture stirring for 24 h, the greenish powders were separated by centrifugation, and then washed with DI water and ethanol in turn for 3 times. The resulting Ni(OH)₂-deposited T1 or T2 powders were dried at 80 °C for 24 h, which were denoted as T1-Ni or T2-Ni, respectively.



2.3 Characterization

Morphologies of the samples were examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, FEI Magellan 400 FEG, USA) and a transmission electron microscope (TEM, FEI Tecnai G2 T20 TWIN LaB6, USA). Crystal structures of the samples were investigated using an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Miniflex 600, Rigaku, Japan) with Cu K α radiation at 40 kV and 20 mA at a scan speed of 2° min⁻¹ in the range of 20 - 75°. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured at 77 K using an accelerated surface area and porosimetry system (ASAP 2020, Micromeritics, USA). Bandgap energy values were calculated using bandwidth spectra recorded by a UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV-2600, Shimadzu, Japan).

2.4 Adsorption and photocatalytic degradation test

Adsorption and photocatalytic activity properties of the samples, including T1, T2, T1-Ni, and T2-Ni, were investigated by adding 200 mg catalyst into 20 mL

of 100 mg L⁻¹ tetracycline solution in a water-cooling jacket vessel. Aeroxide® TiO₂ P25, denoted as P25, was also tested under the same condition as a reference. Visible light was obtained from a 200 W mercury xenon lamp (Newport Corporation, USA) with a UV-cutoff filter removing the light of wavelength less than 420 nm. The tetracycline concentration was determined by measuring the intensity of characteristic absorbance peak at 356 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (UVmini-1240, Shimadzu, Japan). Prior to light irradiation, the suspension was firstly stirred in the dark for 30 min to achieve adsorption equilibrium of tetracycline on the catalyst. Subsequently, the suspension was exposed to visible light under continuous stirring for 2 h; from which 1.5 mL of the sample was taken at every 15 min.

Langmuir-Hinshelwood (L-H) model, which was simplified to the pseudo-first-order equation and shown in Eq. 2, was used to describe the heterogeneous photodegradation kinetic behavior.

$$\ln \frac{C}{C_0} = -kt \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

where C (mg L⁻¹) was the tetracycline concentration at a given period of time t during photodegradation, C_0 (mg L⁻¹) was the tetracycline concentration at the adsorption equilibrium, t (min) is the degradation time, and k (min⁻¹) is the reaction rate constant.

3.0 Results and Discussion

Fig. 1 shows the low magnification and high magnification SEM images of the samples T1 (a and b), T2 (c and d) and P25 (e and f). As shown in Fig. 1e and f, the commercial TiO₂ P25 consisted of irregular particles with sizes ranging from 20 nm – 30 nm. The sample T1, synthesized from the hydrolysis of 0.1 M TiCl₄ and subsequent crystallization, exhibits characteristic nanorod-aggregated flower-like bundles (Fig. 1a and b). As the concentration of TiCl₄ in the synthetic solution was increased to 0.2 M, the resulting T2 sample shows coral-like loops consisting of nanorod aggregates (Fig. 1c and d). In both T1 and T2, those nanorods are radially arranged from the center of lumps (Fig. 1b and d). The nanorods in T2 present a

smaller average size but in a greater density than those in T1, resulting in TiO₂ mesocrystals with a feature of smaller average pore size, which was later evidenced in the nitrogen adsorption-desorption results (Table 1 and Fig. 5). Previous studies suggested that nanorod nanostructures possess higher photocatalytic activity as compared with nanoparticles, because they have advantages of improving visible light scattering and absorption property, increasing reactive sites, optimizing crystal plan effect, as well as lowering recombination rate of photogenerated electrons and holes.[26-28]

The samples were further examined by TEM and the images are shown in Fig. 2. Similar to the morphologies shown in Fig. 1e and f, the commercial TiO₂, P25, powder is composed of nanoparticles with an average size of approximately 30 nm (Fig. 2h). The high resolution TEM (HRTEM) image (Fig. 2i) demonstrates the lattice fringes of P25 with a distance of 3.52 Å corresponding to the (101) plane of anatase TiO₂, which is in agreement with its XRD pattern shown in Fig. 3. The low magnification TEM images of T1 and T2 (Fig. 2a and d) clearly show the nanorods are agglomerated to form bundles; the sizes are consistent with those shown in the SEM images (Fig. 1b and d). The HRTEM images (Fig. 2b and e) show the lattice fringes with a distance of 3.25 Å, corresponding to the (110) plane of rutile TiO₂. There is no crystallographic difference between the samples T1 and T2. Moreover, the HRTEM image of T2 (Fig. 2e) shows that the cuboid crystal facet of nanorod is parallel to the facet {110} and the pyramid-shaped facet is parallel to the facet {111}. The TiO₂ crystal preferably grew along the [001] direction to form nanorods in micron-length but nano-sized diameter due to the lowest formation energy of {110} facet.[11] Fig. 2c and f show the TEM images of T1-Ni and T2-Ni after Ni(OH)₂ decoration on the as-prepared TiO₂. As can be seen from Fig. 2c (highlighted by red circle), Ni(OH)₂ nanosheet clusters accumulate and locate between the TiO₂ rods of T1-Ni, possibly attributed to the available big gaps between the loosely packing nanorods. Different from the sample T1-Ni, T2-Ni consists of relatively densely agglomerated TiO₂ nanorods, thus the small gaps among the nanorods might promote the Ni(OH)₂ nanosheet clusters to evenly distribute and deposit on the TiO₂ surfaces (highlighted by red circle in Fig. 2f). Interestingly, some dot-like Ni(OH)₂ particles with sizes of ~5 nm are also found attached to the surfaces of TiO₂ nanorod aggregates, which are not observed in the TEM image of T1-Ni (Fig. 2c). We believe

that they might be formed by excessive Ni source after the coverage of Ni(OH)₂ clusters inside the small pores and on the external surfaces of T2 during modification.

Fig. 3 shows the XRD patterns of T1, T2, T1-Ni, and T2-Ni, in comparison to P25, which is composed of mainly anatase and some rutile phase. The XRD patterns of T1 and T2 both present characteristic reflections of rutile TiO₂ according to JCPDF#21-1276; whilst the sharp diffraction peaks suggest the high crystallinity of the samples. The crystallite sizes of T1 and T2, calculated using Scherrer equation based on the (110) reflections, are 6 nm and 7 nm, respectively. The crystallite size of P25 was calculated to be 16.5 nm using Scherrer equation based on the (101) anatase peak, which is twice the size of T1 and T2. In addition, the XRD patterns of T1-Ni and T2-Ni show very clear and sharp diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 38.54^\circ$, corresponding to the (101) plane of Ni(OH)₂ (JCPDF#14-0117). This indicates the presence of Ni(OH)₂ in both samples. Furthermore, the crystallite sizes calculated based on the (110) diffraction peaks of T1-Ni and T2-Ni are as the same as those of T1 and T2. This confirms the deposition of Ni(OH)₂ onto T1 and T2 does not change the crystallite sizes of TiO₂. It might be explained by that the Ni(OH)₂ deposition took place after the completion of TiO₂ crystallization and such ambient temperature deposition did not have sufficient energy to further promote crystal growth.[24]

Fig. 4a presents the UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra of T1, T2, T1-Ni, and T1-N2 as compared with P25. The bandgap energy values of samples, calculated from the UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra shown in Fig. 4b. The T1 and T2 samples, which are comprised of pure rutile TiO₂, show band-edge absorption at 410 nm, corresponding to the bandgap energy of 3.01 ± 0.005 eV. They are lower than 3.20 ± 0.005 eV, the bandgap energy of the commercial TiO₂, P25. After the deposition of Ni(OH)₂ onto TiO₂, the spectra show additional absorption in the region from 600 nm to 800 nm, which is not observed in that of either T1 or T2; this is assigned to the Ni(II) d-d transition.[24] As shown in Fig. 4b, the bandgap energy of T1-Ni and T2-Ni is further reduced down to 2.95 ± 0.005 eV; indicating both the samples could be excited under visible light irradiation.

The nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and pore size distribution curves of T1, T2, T1-Ni, and T2-Ni are shown in Fig. 5a-c, as compared to those of P25; Table 1

summarizes the corresponding BET surface areas, pore volumes and average pore sizes. The isotherms shown are type IV from Brunauer – Deming – Deming – Teller (BDDT) classification, suggesting the presence of mesopores.[29] The shapes of hysteresis loops at a high relative pressure range of 0.8 – 1.0 are of type H3, revealing the existence of slit-like pores.[24] As can be seen in Table 1, T1 and T2 have similar BET surface areas, which is greater than P25. The pore size and pore volume of T1 are almost a two-fold increase compared to those of T2; which might result from its loosely packing of nanorods (Fig. 1b and Fig. 2a). The decoration of Ni(OH)₂ on the T1 or T2 sample not only lowers the bandgap energy (Fig. 4b), but also increases the BET surface area, pore volume and average pore size (Table 1). This is because of small-size Ni(OH)₂ clusters deposited. All the samples (T1, T2, T1-Ni, and T2-Ni) synthesized in this work exhibit higher BET surface areas and smaller bandgap energies in comparison to P25; which are expected to exhibit superior photocatalytic performances and thus work as efficient catalysts.

Fig. 6a shows an overview on the tetracycline removal when using the as-prepared samples *via* adsorption in the dark (30 min) and subsequent photodegradation under visible light (2 h). P25 was also tested under the same condition for comparison. The tetracycline degradation kinetic study is shown in Fig. 6b with the reaction rate constants tabulated as an inset.

After 30 min in the dark, the tetracycline removal using P25 is 12%, which is slightly (approximately 2%) lower than that of T1 and T2 (Fig. 6a). This can be explained by the higher BET surface areas of T1 and T2, which have more active absorption sites. After the adsorption process in the dark, visible light irradiation was introduced, initiating the photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline. It is noted that only a slight variation in tetracycline concentration was observed in the absence of photocatalyst when the solution was exposed to simulated sunlight for 2 h (Blank in Fig. 6a); this strongly confirms that the photolysis of tetracycline is minor even though tetracycline is categorized as one of the photo-sensitized antibiotics.[30] Degradation of tetracycline under visible light without any photocatalyst is slow and dependence of the presence of natural components such as nitrate and humic acids.[31] As can be seen in Fig. 6a and b, both T1 and T2 exhibit significant photocatalytic activities,


leading to total tetracycline removal rates of 65% and 68%, respectively; by contrast, only 57% removal was achieved by P25. This can be explained by the fact that the narrower bandgap energies of T1 and T2 result in more super oxide radicals under solar light, and the higher BET surface area also leads to enhanced tetracycline adsorption onto the TiO_2 surface, facilitating photodegradation.

The bandgap energy of T1, T2 and P25 shows that a shorter light wavelength with <420 nm is needed to excite the electrons (\bar{e}) from the valance band to generate photoexcited holes (h^+). Thus, the electrons in P25, T1 and T2 cannot be excited to the conduction band, and due to this reason the holes are not effectively generated under visible light. Note that the hydroxyl radicals are not generated here because they can be only produced during the process of water oxidation by photoexcited holes. However, the adsorbed tetracycline on TiO_2 helps to absorb visible light, like the sensitized dye in solar cell, and then excite the electrons and injected into the conduction band of TiO_2 . In turn, tetracycline is excited and labeled as T^* and demonstrated in Fig. 7a. The electrons on the conduction band would react with molecule oxygen in the water and generate super oxide radicals, O_2^- . P25, composed of mostly anatase phase and a small amount of rutile phase, produced less O_2^- compared to T1 and T2 that are pure rutile phase.[10] It is an active oxygen species that plays the role in oxidizing tetracycline into CO_2 and H_2O . On the other hand, higher specific surface area of T1 or T2 (more reactive sites) is another factor in promoting photocatalytic process. Moreover, the nanorod-aggregated architectures of T1 and T2 may offer advantageous features to enhance visible light scattering and absorption property as well as reduce recombination rate of photogenerated electrons and holes. [26-28] Especially, the sample T2 with the largest surface area performs best in terms of adsorption and photodegradation, as compared with T1 and P25.

After deposition of $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ onto T1 and T2, the adsorption capacity of the resulting T1-Ni and T2-Ni are greatly improved (Fig. 6a). Undoubtedly, as compared with those of T1 or T2, the approximately two-fold increase of adsorption (37% and 31%) for respective T1-Ni and T2-Ni can be attributed to the deposition of $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ clusters as well as its induced higher specific surface area. The greater specific surface area and lower bandgap energy also lead to the enhanced performances of T1-Ni and T2-

Ni in the photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline. After 30 min in dark and subsequently 2 h exposure to visible light, 74% and 76% removal rates are achieved by using T1-Ni and T2-Ni (Fig. 6a). The higher removal rates of tetracycline by T1-Ni and T2-Ni after 150 min process can be attributed to enhanced adsorption ability by Ni(OH)₂. Fig. 6b shows that the pseudo-first-order reaction rate constants of T1-Ni and T2-Ni are both higher than those of their parent TiO₂. Note that although T2-Ni possesses a lower surface area as compared to T1-Ni, it performs much better in terms of tetracycline photodegradation. In particular, the reaction rate constant of T2-Ni is 0.0090 min⁻¹ (inset of Fig. 6b), making it superior to all other samples including P25. This suggests that the coral-like rutile TiO₂ catalyst modified by Ni(OH)₂ can harvest and convert solar energy more efficiently to generate superoxide radicals, and subsequently effectively degrade tetracycline in water.

Although the bandgap energy of sample T1-Ni is smaller (2.95 eV), the reaction rate constant for T1-Ni is not improved as much as T2-Ni. This is probably due to the agglomeration of Ni(OH)₂ cluster in between the TiO₂ nanorods in T1-Ni. Unlike T2-Ni, the Ni(OH)₂ particles are uniformly deposited on the TiO₂ nanorods. Fig. 7 illustrates the proposed photocatalytic oxidation reaction mechanisms for the samples with or without Ni(OH)₂ decoration. Due to its narrower bandgap energy in the decorated samples such as T2-Ni, the valence band electrons of TiO₂ can be excited to the conduction band when exposed to visible light (Eq. 3). As a result, the photoexcited holes (h⁺) are generated on the valence band of TiO₂, which then oxidizes Ni(OH)₂ on the TiO₂ nanorods to NiOOH [32, 33] (Eq. 4). NiOOH is a very active catalyst for the reaction of oxidation of organic pollutants [33, 34] and it is believed that NiOOH plays an important role in oxidizing the tetracycline in this system. Furthermore, the introduction of Ni(OH)₂ onto TiO₂ can effectively inhibit the rapid recombination between conduction band electrons and valence band holes, allowing the generation of highly reactive O₂⁻ from molecular oxygen, which enhance the process of photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline.



As discussed above, sample T1-Ni does not improve the reaction rate as much as T2-Ni, which is presumably related to the location of Ni(OH)₂ on TiO₂. As can be seen in Fig. 2c, the Ni(OH)₂ particles are agglomerated to form large clusters and are found in between the TiO₂ rods in T1-Ni. However, the small Ni(OH)₂ particles are found to deposit uniformly on the TiO₂ rods of T2-Ni due to its smaller gaps in between the nanorods (Fig. 2f). The deposition of Ni(OH)₂ particles on TiO₂ nanorods, but not in the gaps of the nanorods, could receive the photoexcited holes from TiO₂ and turn into NiOOH to oxidize the tetracycline.

To further investigate the non-photocatalytic effect of Ni(OH)₂ decoration, the adsorption experiments were carried out by adding 0.2 g of the as-synthesized samples in 20 ml of 100 ppm tetracycline solution in the dark for 150 min, in comparison with P25 and Ni(OH)₂. The results are presented in Fig. 8. Ni(OH)₂ is an excellent adsorbent, fully removing tetracycline from the water within 150 min. T1-Ni and T2-Ni show similar adsorption capacity, adsorbing 65.2% and 66.6% of tetracycline, respectively; whereas T1 and T2 only adsorb 50.3% and 53.6%, respectively. By contrast, 45.9% of tetracycline is removed by P25. These results confirm that the enhanced adsorption capacity is another important factor in contributing to improved tetracycline removal efficiency by decorating Ni(OH)₂ onto TiO₂ mesocrystals.

4.0 Conclusions

In conclusion, a simple and effective method was developed to synthesize flower-like and coral-like nanorod-aggregated rutile TiO₂ (T1 and T2). Thanks to large surface area, small average pore size and reduced bandgap energy, both rutile TiO₂ catalysts exhibited improved properties in terms of adsorption and photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline under visible light. The modification of the as-prepared rutile TiO₂ mesocrystals with Ni(OH)₂ resulted in further enhancement in the removal of tetracycline from water. The visible light-driven photocatalytic degradation demonstrated in this work provides an attractive option to effectively treat secondary effluent which contains tetracycline from conventional water treatment plants in a short reaction period. Its relatively easy operation also provides great potential for

integration into currently existing water treatment systems, which would eventually lower the energy consumption and cost in water treatment processes.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council. H.W. thanks the Australian Research Council for a Future Fellowship (Project no. FT100100192). The authors acknowledge use of the facilities at the Monash Centre for Electron Microscopy.

References

- [1] I. Chopra, M. Roberts, Tetracycline Antibiotics: Mode of Action, Applications, Molecular Biology, and Epidemiology of Bacterial Resistance, *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 65 (2001) 232-260.
- [2] I. de Godos, R. Muñoz, B. Guieysse, Tetracycline removal during wastewater treatment in high-rate algal ponds, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 229–230 (2012) 446-449.
- [3] H. Kim, Y. Hong, J.-e. Park, V.K. Sharma, S.-i. Cho, Sulfonamides and tetracyclines in livestock wastewater, *Chemosphere*, 91 (2013) 888-894.
- [4] M. Liu, Y. Zhang, M. Yang, Z. Tian, L. Ren, S. Zhang, Abundance and Distribution of Tetracycline Resistance Genes and Mobile Elements in an Oxytetracycline Production Wastewater Treatment System, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46 (2012) 7551-7557.
- [5] I.S. Cho, Z. Chen, A.J. Forman, D.R. Kim, P.M. Rao, T.F. Jaramillo, X. Zheng, Branched TiO₂ Nanorods for Photoelectrochemical Hydrogen Production, *Nano Lett.*, 11 (2011) 4978-4984.
- [6] A.V. Puga, A. Forneli, H. García, A. Corma, Hydrogen Production: Production of H₂ by Ethanol Photoreforming on Au/TiO₂, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 24 (2014) 240-240.
- [7] V. Vaiano, O. Sacco, D. Sannino, P. Ciambelli, S. Longo, V. Venditto, G. Guerra, N-doped TiO₂/s-PS aerogels for photocatalytic degradation of organic dyes in wastewater under visible light irradiation, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*, 89 (2014) 1175-1181.
- [8] Z. Jiang, F. Yang, N. Luo, B.T.T. Chu, D. Sun, H. Shi, T. Xiao, P.P. Edwards, Solvothermal synthesis of N-doped TiO₂ nanotubes for visible-light-responsive photocatalysis, *Chem. Commun.*, 0 (2008) 6372-6374.
- [9] J. Zhang, P. Zhou, J. Liu, J. Yu, New understanding of the difference of photocatalytic activity among anatase, rutile and brookite TiO₂, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 16 (2014) 20382-20386.
- [10] Y. Kakuma, A.Y. Nosaka, Y. Nosaka, Difference in TiO₂ photocatalytic mechanism between rutile and anatase studied by the detection of active oxygen and surface species in water, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 17 (2015) 18691-18698.
- [11] L. Li, J. Yan, T. Wang, Z.-J. Zhao, J. Zhang, J. Gong, N. Guan, Sub-10 nm rutile titanium dioxide nanoparticles for efficient visible-light-driven photocatalytic hydrogen production, *Nat. Commun.*, 6 (2015) 5881.

- [12] K.-N.P. Kumar, K. Keizer, A.J. Burggraaf, T. Okubo, H. Nagamoto, S. Morooka, Densification of nanostructured titania assisted by a phase transformation, *Nature*, 358 (1992) 48-51.
- [13] F.D. Hardcastle, H. Ishihara, R. Sharma, A.S. Biris, Photoelectroactivity and Raman spectroscopy of anodized titania (TiO₂) photoactive water-splitting catalysts as a function of oxygen-annealing temperature, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 21 (2011) 6337-6345.
- [14] S. Yurdakal, G. Palmisano, V. Loddo, O. Alagoz, V. Augugliaro, L. Palmisano, Selective photocatalytic oxidation of 4-substituted aromatic alcohols in water with rutile TiO₂ prepared at room temperature, *Green Chem.*, 11 (2009) 510-516.
- [15] K. Ding, Z. Miao, B. Hu, G. An, Z. Sun, B. Han, Z. Liu, Study on the Anatase to Rutile Phase Transformation and Controlled Synthesis of Rutile Nanocrystals with the Assistance of Ionic Liquid, *Langmuir*, 26 (2010) 10294-10302.
- [16] Y. Li, J. Liu, Z. Jia, Morphological control and photodegradation behavior of rutile TiO₂ prepared by a low-temperature process, *Mater. Lett.*, 60 (2006) 1753-1757.
- [17] J. Lin, Y.-U. Heo, A. Nattestad, Z. Sun, L. Wang, J.H. Kim, S.X. Dou, 3D Hierarchical Rutile TiO₂ and Metal-free Organic Sensitizer Producing Dye-sensitized Solar Cells 8.6% Conversion Efficiency, *Sci. Rep.*, 4 (2014) 5769.
- [18] S. Kirit, S. Dimple, Characterization of nanocrystalline cobalt doped TiO₂ sol-gel material, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 352 (2012) 224-228.
- [19] M. Nishikawa, R. Takanami, F. Nakagoshi, H. Suizu, H. Nagai, Y. Nosaka, Dominated factors for high performance of Fe³⁺ grafted metal doped TiO₂ based photocatalysts, *Appl. Catal. B.*, 160-161 (2014) 722-729.
- [20] D. Chen, Z. Jiang, J. Geng, Q. Wang, D. Yang, Carbon and Nitrogen Co-doped TiO₂ with Enhanced Visible-Light Photocatalytic Activity, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 46 (2007) 2741-2746.
- [21] H. Irie, Y. Watanabe, K. Hashimoto, Carbon-doped Anatase TiO₂ Powders as a Visible-light Sensitive Photocatalyst, *Chem. Lett.*, 32 (2003) 772-773.
- [22] F. Dong, W. Zhao, Z. Wu, Characterization and photocatalytic activities of C, N and S co-doped TiO₂ with 1D nanostructure prepared by the nano-confinement effect, *Nanotechnology*, 19 (2008) 365607.
- [23] X. Cai, Y. Cai, Y. Liu, S. Deng, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, I. Djerdj, Photocatalytic degradation properties of Ni(OH)₂ nanosheets/ZnO nanorods composites for azo dyes under visible-light irradiation, *Ceram. Int.*, 40 (2014) 57-65.
- [24] J. Yu, Y. Hai, B. Cheng, Enhanced Photocatalytic H₂-Production Activity of TiO₂ by Ni(OH)₂ Cluster Modification, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 115 (2011) 4953-4958.
- [25] J. Liao, S. Lin, N. Pan, S. Li, X. Cao, Y. Cao, Fabrication and photocatalytic properties of free-standing TiO₂ nanotube membranes with through-hole morphology, *Mater. Charact.*, 66 (2012) 24-29.
- [26] H. Wang, Y. Bai, Q. Wu, W. Zhou, H. Zhang, J. Li, L. Guo, Rutile TiO₂ nano-branched arrays on FTO for dye-sensitized solar cells, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 13 (2011) 7008-7013.
- [27] Y. Li, M. Guo, M. Zhang, X. Wang, Hydrothermal synthesis and characterization of TiO₂ nanorod arrays on glass substrates, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 44 (2009) 1232-1237.
- [28] S. Liang, F. Teng, G. Bulgan, R. Zong, Y. Zhu, Effect of Phase Structure of MnO₂ Nanorod Catalyst on the Activity for CO Oxidation, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 112 (2008) 5307-5315.
- [29] K.S.W. Sing, D.H. Everett, R.A.W. Haul, L. Moscou, R.A. Pierotti, J. Rouquerol, T. Siemieniewska, Reporting physisorption data for gas/solid systems

with special reference to the determination of surface area and porosity, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 57 (1985) 603-619.

[30] A.A. Borghi, M.S.A. Palma, Tetracycline: production, waste treatment and environmental impact assessment, *Brazilian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 50 (2014) 25-40.

[31] R. Andreozzi, M. Raffaele, P. Nicklas, Pharmaceuticals in STP effluents and their solar photodegradation in aquatic environment, *Chemosphere*, 50 (2003) 1319-1330.

[32] G. Wang, Y. Ling, X. Lu, H. Wang, F. Qian, Y. Tong, Y. Li, Solar driven hydrogen releasing from urea and human urine, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 5 (2012) 8215-8219.

[33] S. Xie, T. Zhai, W. Li, M. Yu, C. Liang, J. Gan, X. Lu, Y. Tong, Hydrogen production from solar driven glucose oxidation over Ni(OH)₂ functionalized electroreduced-TiO₂ nanowire arrays, *Green Chemistry*, 15 (2013) 2434-2440.

[34] B.V. Lyalin, V.A. Petrosyan, Oxidation of organic compounds on NiOOH electrode, *Russ J Electrochem*, 46 (2010) 1199-1214.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. SEM images of T1 (a and b), T2 (c and d) and P25 (e and f) at low and high magnification. The SEM images of P25 are taken at magnifications different from T1 and T2 for clarity.

Fig. 2. TEM images of T1 (a and b), T1-Ni (c), T2 (d and e), T2-Ni (f), and P25 (g and h) at low and high magnifications. The circled material in (c) and (f) is Ni(OH)₂.

Fig. 3. XRD patterns of T1, T2, T1-Ni and T2-Ni in comparison with P25.

Fig. 4. (a) UV-vis diffuse-reflectance spectra and (b) bandgap.

Fig. 5. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms (a and b) and pore size distribution curves (c) of T1, T2, T1-Ni, T2-Ni and P25.

Fig. 6. (a) Tetracycline removal *via* adsorption in the dark and photodegradation under visible light using T1, T2, T1-Ni, T2-Ni and P25 (Blank: photolysis without adding catalyst); (b) kinetic plots for photodegradation of tetracycline (Inset: reaction rate constants).

Fig. 7. Proposed photocatalytic oxidation mechanism for samples T-1, T-2, P25 (a), and T1-Ni and T2-Ni (b).

Fig. 8 Tetracycline removal *via* adsorption in the dark using T1, T2, T1-Ni, T2-Ni, P25, and Ni(OH)₂ for 150 min.




Fig. 1. SEM images of T1 (a and b), T2 (c and d) and P25 (e and f) at low and high magnification. The SEM images of P25 are taken at magnifications different from T1 and T2 for clarity.




Fig. 2. TEM images of T1 (a and b), T1-Ni (c), T2 (d and e), T2-Ni (f), Ni(OH)₂ (g) and P25 (h and i) at low and high magnifications. The circled material in (c) and (f) is Ni(OH)₂.




Fig. 3. XRD patterns of T1, T2, T1-Ni, and T2-Ni in comparison with P25.




Fig. 4. (a) UV-vis diffuse-reflectance spectra and (b) bandgap. energies of T1, T2, T1-Ni, T2-Ni, and P25.





Fig. 5. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms (a and b) and pore size distribution curves (c) of T1, T2, T1-Ni, T2-Ni and P25.

(a)



(b)




Fig. 6. (a) Tetracycline removal *via* adsorption in the dark and photodegradation under visible light using T1, T2, T1-Ni, T2-Ni, and P25 (Blank: photolysis without adding catalyst); (b) kinetic plots for photodegradation of tetracycline (Inset: reaction rate constants).




Fig. 7. Proposed photocatalytic oxidation mechanism for samples T-1, T-2, P25 (a), and T1-Ni and T2-Ni (b).




Fig. 8 Tetracycline removal *via* adsorption in the dark using T1, T2, T1-Ni, T2-Ni, P25, and Ni(OH)₂ for 150 min.

Table Caption

Table 1. BET surface area, pore volume and average pore size of T1, T2, T1-Ni, T2-Ni and P25

Sample	BET surface area (m²g⁻¹)	Pore volume (cm³g⁻¹)	Average pore size (nm)
T1	70.2	0.37	16.9
T2	70.3	0.19	8.7
T1-Ni	91.6	0.49	17.3
T2-Ni	77.3	0.24	9.9
P25	56.3	0.29	24.7