SHORT GENOME REPORT



Standards in Genomic Sciences



Open Access

High-Quality draft genome sequence of the *Lotus* spp. microsymbiont *Mesorhizobium loti* strain CJ3Sym

Wayne Reeve^{1*}, John Sullivan², Clive Ronson², Rui Tian¹, Christine Munk³, Cliff Han³, T.B.K. Reddy⁴, Rekha Seshadri⁴, Tanja Woyke⁴, Amrita Pati⁴, Victor Markowitz⁵, Natalia Ivanova⁴ and Nikos Kyrpides^{4,6}

Abstract

Mesorhizobium loti strain CJ3Sym was isolated in 1998 following transfer of the integrative and conjugative element ICE*MISym*^{R7A}, also known as the R7A symbiosis island, in a laboratory mating from the donor *M. loti* strain R7A to a nonsymbiotic recipient *Mesorhizobium* strain CJ3. Strain CJ3 was originally isolated from a field site in the Rocklands range in New Zealand in 1994. CJ3Sym is an aerobic, Gram-negative, non-spore-forming rod. This report reveals the genome of *M. loti* strain CJ3Sym currently comprises 70 scaffolds totaling 7,563,725 bp. The high-quality draft genome is arranged in 70 scaffolds of 71 contigs, contains 7,331 protein-coding genes and 70 RNA-only encoding genes, and is part of the GEBA-RNB project proposal.

Keywords: Root-nodule bacteria, Nitrogen fixation, Symbiosis, Alphaproteobacteria, GEBA-RNB

Introduction

Mesorhizobium loti strain CJ3Sym was first described in work that showed that the symbiotic genes of *M. loti* strain R7A (a field reisolate of culture collection strain ICMP3153) were located on a large transmissible symbiosis island that could be transferred to nonsymbiotic mesorhizobia both in the laboratory and the environment [1, 2]. The symbiosis island was later classified as an integrative and conjugative element and renamed ICEMlSym^{R7A} [3]. CJ3Sym was derived from a nonsymbiotic Mesorhizobium strain CJ3 by transfer of the symbiosis island from R7A in a laboratory mating experiment. The CJ3Sym progenitor strain CJ3 was a nonsymbiotic Mesorhizobium strain that was isolated from the rhizosphere of a Lotus corniculatus L. bird'sfoot trefoil cv. Grasslands Goldie (here after referred to as Lotus corniculatus cv. Grasslands Goldie) plant taken from a field site in the Rocklands range, Central Otago, New Zealand in 1994, near where ICEMlSym^{R7A} was discovered [4]. The study was initiated to locate nonsymbiotic rhizobia that were postulated to be the likely progenitors of the diverse symbiotic strains that had

* Correspondence: W.Reeve@murdoch.edu.au

¹Centre for Rhizobium Studies, Murdoch University, Perth, WA, Australia Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Seven strains (CJ1 to CJ7) which had a similar colony morphology to *M. loti*, but which could not nodulate *Lotus corniculatus* cv. Grasslands Goldie and lacked *nod* and *nif* genes were isolated. The strains were shown to be closely related to the diverse symbiotic strains from the site by RFLP analysis, whole genome DNA-DNA hybridization analysis, full 16S rRNA gene sequencing and multilocus enzyme electrophoresis. The seven strains fell into four genomic species of nonsymbiotic mesorhizobia with strains CJ3, CJ1, CJ4 and CJ6 belonging to the same genomic species as the diverse symbiotic isolates.

When strains CJ1 to CJ7 were characterized it was noticed that they grew poorly, and only formed microcolonies after prolonged incubation on defined G/RDM agar media, in comparison to growth on rich YMA media. Auxanographic analysis revealed that all 7 strains were auxotrophic for thiamin and biotin and all but CJ5 were auxotrophic for nicotinate. In contrast to CJ3, strain CJ3Sym is prototrophic for all three vitamins and consistent with this the genes required for their biosynthesis are located on ICE*MI*Sym^{R7A} [5]. The CJ3Sym



© 2015 Reeve et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

sequence confirms that these are the only operons for the biosynthesis of the three vitamins in the genome.

Organism information

Classification and features

Mesorhizobium loti strain CJ3Sym is in the order *Rhizobiales* of the class *Alphaproteobacteria*. Cells are described as non-sporulating, Gram-negative, non-encapsulated, rods (Fig. 1 Left). The rod-shaped form varies in size with dimensions of 0.25-0.5 μ m in width and 1.25-1.5 μ m in length (Fig. 1 Left and Right). It forms 2 mm diameter colonies within 6 days and has a mean generation time of approximately 8 h when grown in TY broth at 28 °C [2]. Colonies on G/RDM agar [6] and half strength Lupin Agar (½LA) [7] are opaque, slightly domed, mucoid with smooth margins (Fig. 1 Right).

Strains of this organism are able to tolerate a pH range between 4 and 10. Carbon source utilization and fatty acid profiles of *M. loti* have been described previously [8–10]. Minimum Information about the Genome Sequence (MIGS) is provided in Table 1 and Additional file 1: Table S1.

Figure 2 shows the phylogenetic neighborhood of M. loti strain CJ3Sym in a 16S rRNA gene sequence based tree. This strain has 99.8 % (1,364/1,366 bp) 16S rRNA gene sequence identity to M. loti R88B (GOLD ID: Gi08827) and 99.6 % sequence identity (1,361/1,366 bp) to M. australicum WSM2073 (GOLD ID: Gc02468). M. loti strain R88B is a diverse symbiotic strain isolated from the same field site as CJ3Sym, confirming the close relationship between symbiotic and nonsymbiotic mesorhizobia isolated from the site. It is interesting to note that both of these strains cluster with Mesorhizobium shangrilense, several Mesorhizobium ciceri strains and the type M. loti strain LMG 6125 (NZP2213) whereas M. loti strains R7A, NZP2037 and MAFF303099 form a separate cluster that shares only 98 % 16S rRNA gene sequence identity with CJ3Sym and R88B.

Symbiotaxonomy

Mesorhizobium sp. strain CJ3Sym was isolated from a laboratory mating experiment in which ICEMlSym^{R7A} was transferred from the donor strain R7A to the nonsymbiotic Mesorhizobium strain CJ3 [2]. The nonsymbiont strain CJ3 was isolated from the rhizosphere of a Lotus corniculatus cv. Grasslands Goldie plant located at a field site that was an undeveloped tussock (Festuca novae-zealandiae and Chionochloa rigida) grassland located at an elevation of 885 m in Lammermoor, the Rocklands range, Otago, New Zealand in 1994 [4]. The soil was a dark brown silt loam with an acid pH (4.9) and a low (0.28 %) total nitrogen content [11]. CJ3 existed as a soil saprophyte that lacked symbiotic DNA. CJ3Sym forms effective nodules on L. corniculatus cv. Grasslands Goldie but has not yet been tested on any other Lotus species or ecotypes.

Genome sequencing information Genome project history

This organism was selected for sequencing on the basis of its environmental and agricultural relevance to issues in global carbon cycling, alternative energy production, and biogeochemical importance, and is part of the Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea, Root Nodulating Bacteria project at the U.S. Department of Energy, Joint Genome Institute. The genome project is deposited in the Genomes OnLine Database [12] and a high-quality permanent draft genome sequence in IMG [13]. Sequencing, finishing and annotation were performed by the JGI using state of the art sequencing technology [14]. A summary of the project information is shown in Table 2.

Growth conditions and genomic DNA preparation

M. loti strain CJ3Sym was grown to mid logarithmic phase in TY rich medium [15] on a gyratory shaker at 28 °C.




Fig. 1 Images of *Mesorhizobium loti* strain CJ3Sym from a Gram stain (Left), using scanning electron microscopy (Center) and the appearance of colony morphology on ½LA (Right)

MIGS ID	Property	Term	Evidence code ^a
	Classification	Domain Bacteria	TAS [32]
		Phylum Proteobacteria	TAS [23, 33]
		Class Alphaproteobacteria	TAS [34]
		Order Rhizobiales	TAS [35]
		Family Phyllobacteriaceae	TAS [36]
		Genus Mesorhizobium	TAS [9]
		Species Mesorhizobium loti	TAS [8]
		Strain CJ3Sym	TAS [2]
	Gram stain	Negative	IDA
	Cell shape	Rod	IDA
	Motility	Motile	IDA
	Sporulation	non-sporulating	NAS
	Temperature range	Mesophile	NAS
	Optimum temperature	28 ℃	NAS
	pH range; Optimum	Unknown	NAS
	Carbon source	various	TAS [9]
	Energy source	chemoorganotroph	TAS [9]
MIGS-6	Habitat	Soil, root nodule, host	TAS [8]
MIGS-6.3	Salinity	Unknown	NAS
MIGS-22	Oxygen requirement	Aerobic	TAS [8]
MIGS-15	Biotic relationship	Free living, Symbiotic	TAS [8]
MIGS-14	Pathogenicity	None	NAS
	Biosafety level	1	TAS [37]
	Isolation	Isolated following transfer of ICEM/Sym ^{R7A} from the donor <i>M. loti</i> strain R7A to a nonsymbiotic recipient <i>Mesorhizobium</i> strain CJ3 in a laboratory mating	TAS [2]
MIGS-4	Geographic location	Dunedin, Otago, NZ	TAS [2]
MIGS-5	Isolation date	1998	TAS
MIGS-4.1	Latitude	-45.864179	TAS [2]
MIGS-4.2	Longitude	170.512551	TAS [2]
MIGS-4.3	Depth	5-10 cm	IDA
MIGS-4.4	Altitude	50 m	IDA

Table 1 Classification and general features of *Mesorhizobium loti* strain CJ3Sym in accordance with the MIGS recommendations [30]published by the Genome Standards Consortium [31]

^aEvidence codes – *IDA* Inferred from Direct Assay, *TAS* Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature), *NAS* Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). Evidence codes are from the Gene Ontology project [38, 39]

DNA was isolated from 60 mL of cells using a CTAB (Cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide) bacterial genomic DNA isolation method [16]

Genome sequencing and assembly

The draft genome of *M. loti* CJ3Sym was generated at the DOE Joint Genome Institute using Illumina technology [17]. An Illumina standard shotgun library was constructed and sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform, which generated 26,326,824 reads totaling 3,949 Mbp.

All general aspects of library construction and sequencing performed at the JGI can be found at the JGI's web site [18]. All raw Illumina sequence data was passed through DUK, a filtering program developed at JGI, which removes known Illumina sequencing and library preparation artifacts (Mingkun L, Copeland A, Han J, Unpublished). The following steps were then performed for assembly: (1) filtered Illumina reads were assembled using Velvet [19] (version 1.1.04), (2) 1–3 Kbp simulated paired end reads were created from Velvet contigs using

Fig. 2 (See legend on next page.)

861 Mesorhizobium loti R7A (Gi08825) 84 Mesorhizobium loti MAFF303099 (Gc00040)* 63 Mesorhizobium opportunistum WSM2075^T (NR 074209) 59 Mesorhizobium loti NZP2037 (Gi08826) 32 -Mesorhizobium huakuii LMG14107⁺ (D13431) -Mesorhizobium plurifarium LMG 11892^T (Y14158) 72 Mesorhizobium australicum WSM2073^T (CP003358) Mesorhizobium septentrionale CCBAU 11014^T (AF508207) Mesorhizobium amorphae ACCC 19665^T (AF041442) Mesorhizobium mediterraneum LMG 17148⁺ (AM181745) 54 Mesorhizobium temperatum CCBAU 11018^T (AF508208) └-Mesorhizobium robiniae CCNWYC 115^T (EU849582) Mesorhizobium caraganae CCBAU 11299T (EF149003) Mesorhizobium tarimense CCBAU 83306^T (EF035058) 75 Mesorhizobium metallidurans STM 2683^T (AM930381) 40 Mesorhizobium gobiense CCBAU 83330^T (EF035064) ^LMesorhizobium tianshanense USDA 3592[™] (AF041447) Mesorhizobium chacoense Pr5^T (AJ278249) 100 r Mesorhizobium alhagi CCNWXJ12-2^T (EU169578) Mesorhizobium camelthorni CCNWXJ40-4^T (EU169581) 92 (Mesorhizobium ciceri bv. biserrulae WSM1271 (Gc01578) 100 ⁸⁴ Mesorhizobium ciceri NBRC 100389^T (AB681164) 70 Mesorhizobium loti LMG 6125^T(X67229, Gi08881) ſMesorhizobium shangrilense CCBAU 65327[™] (EU074203) Mesorhizobium loti CJ3sym (Gi08828) 56 76 Mesorhizobium loti R88B (Gi08827) Mesorhizobium albiziae CCBAU 61158^T (DQ100066) 63 _C Ensifer fredii LMG 6217^T(X67231) 100 Ensifer meliloti LMG 6133^T(X67222) Ensifer terangae LMG 7834^T (X68388) 99 Ensifer kostiensis LMG 19920^T (AM181748) - Rhizobium galegae LMG 6214^T (X67226, Gi09589) Rhizobium mongolense USDA 1844T (Gi08900 U89817) 95 Rhizobium tropici CIAT899^T (EU488752, Gi05744)* 72 96 Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. viciae USDA 2370^T (U29386, Gi06483) Azorhizobium caulinodans ORS571^T (D11342) 52 - Bradyrhizobium liaoningense LMG18230^T (AJ250813) Bradyrhizobium yuanmingense LMG 21827^T(AF193818) 99 I Bradyrhizobium japonicum USDA 6^T (Gc02045, U69638) 100 43 L Bradyrhizobium canariense LMG 22265^T (AJ558025) Bradyrhizobium elkanii USDA 76^T (AB509378, Gi08850) Methylobacterium nodulans ORS 2060^T (AF220763, Gc00935)* 99 100 -Methylobacterium sp. WSM2598 (Gi08887) Microvirga zambiensis WSM3693^T (HM362433) 99 - Microvirga lupini Lut6[™] (EF191408, Gi06478) 99 -Microvirga lotononidis WSM3557⁺ (HM362432, Gi06493) 0.02

(See figure on previous page.)

Fig. 2 Phylogenetic tree showing the relationships of Mesorhizobium loti CJ3Sym with other root nodule bacteria based on aligned sequences of the 16S rRNA gene (1,290 bp internal region). All sites were informative and there were no gap-containing sites. Phylogenetic analyses were performed using MEGA [40], version 5. The tree was built using the Maximum-Likelihood method with the General Time Reversible model [41]. Bootstrap analysis [42] with 500 replicates was performed to assess the support of the clusters. Type strains are indicated with a superscript T. Brackets after the strain name contain a DNA database accession number and/or a GOLD ID (beginning with the prefix G) for a sequencing project registered in GOLD [43]. Published genomes are indicated with an asterisk

wgsim [20], (3) Illumina reads were assembled with simulated read pairs using Allpaths-LG [21] (version r41043). Parameters for assembly steps were: 1) Velvet -v -s 51 -e 71 -i 4 -t 1 -f "-shortPaired -fastq \$FASTQ" -o "-ins length 250 -min_contig_lgth 500"), 2) wgsim (-e 0-1 100-2 100 -r 0 -R 0 -X 0), 3) Allpaths-LG (STD 1,project,assembly,fragment,1,200,35,,,inward,0,0.

SIMREADS, project, assembly, jumping, 1,,, 3000, 300, inward,0,0). The final draft assembly contained 71 contigs in 70 scaffolds. The total size of the genome is 7.6 Mbp and the final assembly is based on 3,949 Mbp of Illumina data, which provides an average of 522x coverage of the genome.

Genome annotation

Genes were identified using Prodigal [22] as part of the DOE-JGI genome annotation pipeline [23], followed by a round of manual curation using the JGI GenePrimp pipeline [24]. The predicted CDSs were translated and used to search the National Center for Biotechnology Information non-redundant database, UniProt, TIGRFam,

Table	2	Project	information
-------	---	---------	-------------

MIGS ID Property Term MIGS-31 Finishing High-quality quality permanent draft One Illumina MIGS-28 Libraries used fragment library MIGS-29 Seauencina Illumina HiSeg2000 platforms technology MIGS-31.2 Fold coverage Illumina: 522x MIGS-30 Assemblers Velvet version 1.1.04; Allpaths-LG version r41043 MIGS-32 Gene calling Prodigal 1.4, methods GenePRIMP Locus Tag A3A9 GenBank ID AXAI 00000000 September 30, 2013 GenBank date of Relase GOLD ID Gp0010090 BIOPROJECT PRJNA165305 MIGS-13 Source Material CJ3Sym Identifier Project relevance Symbiotic nitrogen fixation, agriculture

Pfam, KEGG, COG, and InterPro databases. The tRNAScanSE tool [25] was used to find tRNA genes, whereas ribosomal RNA genes were found by searches against models of the ribosomal RNA genes built from SILVA [26]. Other non-coding RNAs such as the RNA components of the protein secretion complex and the RNase P were identified by searching the genome for the corresponding Rfam profiles using INFERNAL [27]. Additional gene prediction analysis and manual functional annotation was performed within the Integrated Microbial Genomes-Expert Review (IMG-ER) system [28].

Genome properties

The genome is 7,563,725 nucleotides with 62.15 % GC content (Table 3) and is comprised of a single scaffold. From a total of 7,401 genes, 7,331 were protein encoding and 70 RNA-only encoding genes. The majority of genes (76.76 %) were assigned a putative function whilst the remaining genes were annotated as hypothetical. The distribution of genes into COGs functional categories is presented in Table 4.

Table 3 Genome statistics	Table	3 Genome	statistics
---------------------------	-------	-----------------	------------

Attribute	Value	% of Total
Genome size (bp)	7,563,725	100.00
DNA coding (bp)	6,613,638	87.44
DNA G+C (bp)	4,700,964	62.15
DNA scaffolds	70	
Total genes	7,401	100.00
Protein-coding genes	7,331	99.05
RNA genes	70	0.95
Pseudo genes	0	0.00
Genes in internal biosynthetic clusters	478	6.46
Genes with function prediction	5,681	76.76
Genes assigned to COGs	5,074	68.56
Genes assigned Pfam domains	5,960	80.53
Genes with signal peptides	649	8.77
Genes coding transmembrane helices	1,688	22.81
CRISPR repeats	1	

Table 4 Number	genes associated	l with	general	COG
functional categor	ries			

Code	Value	% of total (5,809)	COG Category	
J	234	4.03	Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis	
A	0	0.00	RNA processing and modification	
К	526	9.05	Transcription	
L	139	2.39	Replication, recombination and repair	
В	5	0.09	Chromatin structure and dynamics	
D	33	0.57	Cell cycle control, Cell division, chromosome partitioning	
V	124	2.13	Defense mechanisms	
Т	216	3.72	Signal transduction mechanisms	
М	309	5.32	Cell wall/membrane/ envelope biogenesis	
Ν	46	0.79	Cell motility	
W	32	0.55	Extracellular structures	
U	106	1.82	Intracellular trafficking secretion, and vesicula transport	
0	205	3.53	Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones	
С	319	5.49	Energy production and conversion	
G	519	8.93	Carbohydrate transport and metabolism	
E	736	12.67	Amino acid transport and metabolism	
F	102	1.76	Nucleotide transport and metabolism	
Η	274	4.72	Coenzyme transport and metabolism	
I	282	4.85	Lipid transport and metabolism	
Ρ	286	4.92	Inorganic ion transport and metabolism	
Q	225	3.87	Secondary metabolite biosynthesis, transport and catabolism	
R	657	11.31	General function prediction only	
S	383	6.59	Function unknown	
-	2,327	31.44	Not in COGS	

Conclusions

The *M. loti* strain CJ3Sym genome was completed to the stage where 70 scaffolds comprising 71 contigs and 7.56 Mb were obtained. A total of 7,401 genes were annotated. It is likely that the genome consists of a single chromosome and a single plasmid; however further assembly is required to confirm this. CJ3Sym is a strain that was derived from nonsymbiotic Mesorhizobium strain CJ3 by transfer of the symbiosis island ICEMlSym^{R7A} from M. *loti* strain R7A in a laboratory mating experiment [2]. After the discovery of diverse *M. loti* strains containing ICEMlSym^{R7A} at a New Zealand field site, a second adjacent field site was established and sampled to identify nonsymbiotic mesorhizobia that were the likely progenitors of the diverse symbiotic strains. Strain CJ3 was one of seven non-symbiotic Mesorhizobium strains isolated from the rhizosphere of Lotus corniculatus cv. Grasslands Goldie plants and one of the four that belonged to the same genomic species as the diverse symbiotic isolates that contained ICEMISym^{R7A} [4]. The genome of CJ3Sym is likely to contain a plasmid, as scaffold 17.18 contains a trb gene cluster (Locus tags 05060-05072 coordinates 16432–26076) and traG (locus tag 05072 coordinates 26704–28695) highly similar to genes on the M. loti strain MAFF303099 pMlb plasmid [29]. The same scaffold also contains likely plasmid replication genes.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. Associated MIGS record for *Mesorhizobium loti* CJ3Sym. (DOC 73 kb)

Abbreviations

GEBA-RNB: Genomic Encyclopedia for Bacteria and Archaea-Root Nodule Bacteria; JGI: Joint Genome Institute; ½LA: half strength Lupin Agar; TY: Tryptone Yeast; YMA: Yeast Mannitol Agar; CTAB: Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contribution

JS and CR supplied the strain and background information for this project and contributed to the assembly of the manuscript with WR, TR supplied DNA to JGI and performed all imaging, WR coordinated the project and all other authors were involved in either sequencing the genome and/or editing the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was performed under the auspices of the US Department of Energy's Office of Science, Biological and Environmental Research Program, and by the University of California, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory under contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC52-07NA27344, and Los Alamos National Laboratory under contract No. DE-AC02-06NA25396.

Author details

¹Centre for Rhizobium Studies, Murdoch University, Perth, WA, Australia. ²Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand. ³Los Alamos National Laboratory, Bioscience Division, Los Alamos, NM, USA. ⁴DOE Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, CA, USA. ⁵Biological Data Management and Technology Center, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA. ⁶Department of Biological Sciences, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Received: 26 March 2015 Accepted: 23 July 2015 Published online: 14 August 2015

References

- Sullivan JT, Patrick HN, Lowther WL, Scott DB, Ronson CW. Nodulating strains of *Rhizobium loti* arise through chromosomal symbiotic gene transfer in the environment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92:8985–9.
- Sullivan JT, Ronson CW. Evolution of rhizobia by acquisition of a 500-kb symbiosis island that integrates into a phe-tRNA gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95:5145–9.
- Ramsay JP, Sullivan JT, Stuart GS, Lamont IL, Ronson CW. Excision and transfer of the *Mesorhizobium loti* R7A symbiosis island requires an integrase IntS, a novel recombination directionality factor RdfS, and a putative relaxase RIxS. Mol Biol. 2006;62:723–34.
- Sullivan JT, Eardly BD, van Berkum P, Ronson CW. Four unnamed species of nonsymbiotic rhizobia isolated from the rhizosphere of *Lotus corniculatus*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1996;62:2818–25.
- Sullivan JT, Trzebiatowski JR, Cruickshank RW, Gouzy J, Brown SD, Elliot RM, et al. Comparative sequence analysis of the symbiosis island of *Mesorhizobium loti* strain R7A. J Bacteriol. 2002;184:3086–95.
- Ronson CW, Nixon BT, Albright LM, Ausubel FM. *Rhizobium meliloti ntrA* (*rpoN*) gene is required for diverse metabolic functions. J Bacteriol. 1987;169:2424–31.
- Howieson JG, Ewing MA, D'antuono MF. Selection for acid tolerance in Rhizobium meliloti. Plant Soil. 1988;105:179–88.
- Jarvis BDW, Pankhurst CE, Patel JJ. *Rhizobium loti*, a new species of legume root nodule bacteria. Int J Syst Bacteriol. 1982;32:378–80.
- Jarvis BDW, Van Berkum P, Chen WX, Nour SM, Fernandez MP, Cleyet-Marel JC, et al. Transfer of *Rhizobium loti, Rhizobium huakuii, Rhizobium ciceri, Rhizobium mediterraneum, Rhizobium tianshanense* to *Mesorhizobium* gen.nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 1997;47:895–8.
- Tighe SW, de Lajudie P, Dipietro K, Lindstrom K, Nick G, Jarvis BDW. Analysis of cellular fatty acids and phenotypic relationships of *Agrobacterium*, *Bradyrhizobium*, *Mesorhizobium*, *Rhizobium* and *Sinorhizobium* species using the Sherlock Microbial Identification System. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2000;50:787–801.
- Chapman HM, Lowther WL, Trainor KD. Some factors limiting the success of *Lotus corniculatus* in hill and high country. Proc N Z Grassl Assoc. 1989;51:147–50.
- Pagani I, Liolios K, Jansson J, Chen IM, Smirnova T, Nosrat B, et al. The Genomes OnLine Database (GOLD) v.4: status of genomic and metagenomic projects and their associated metadata. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:D571–579.
- Markowitz VM, Chen I-MA, Palaniappan K, Chu K, Szeto E, Pillay M, et al. IMG 4 version of the integrated microbial genomes comparative analysis system. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42:D560–7.
- Mavromatis K, Land ML, Brettin TS, Quest DJ, Copeland A, Clum A, et al. The fast changing landscape of sequencing technologies and their impact on microbial genome assemblies and annotation. PLoS One. 2012;7:e48837.
- Beringer JE. R factor transfer in *Rhizobium leguminosarum*. J Gen Microbiol. 1974;84:188–98.
- Protocols and sample preparation information [http://jgi.doe.gov/ collaborate-with-jgi/pmo-overview/protocols-sample-preparationinformation/]
- 17. Bennett S. Solexa Ltd. Pharmacogenomics. 2004;5:433-8.
- 18. JGI: Joint Genome Institute [http://www.jgi.doe.gov]
- 19. Zerbino DR: Using the Velvet *de novo* assembler for short-read sequencing technologies. Current Protocols in Bioinformatics 2010, Chapter 11: Unit 11 15.
- 20. Reads simulator wgsim [https://github.com/lh3/wgsim]
- Gnerre S, MacCallum I, Przybylski D, Ribeiro FJ, Burton JN, Walker BJ, et al. High-quality draft assemblies of mammalian genomes from massively parallel sequence data. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108:1513–8.
- Hyatt D, Chen GL, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics. 2010;11:119.

- Garrity GM, Bell JA, Lilburn T. Phylum XIV. Proteobacteria phyl. nov. In: Garrity GM, Brenner DJ, Kreig NR, Staley JT, editors. Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. Volume 2. Secondth ed. New York: Springer - Verlag; 2005. p. 1.
- 24. Pati A, Ivanova NN, Mikhailova N, Ovchinnikova G, Hooper SD, Lykidis A, et al. GenePRIMP: a gene prediction improvement pipeline for prokaryotic genomes. Nat Methods. 2010;7:455–7.
- Lowe TM, Eddy SR. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997;25:955–64.
- Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig W, Peplies J, et al. SILVA: a comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35:7188–96.
- 27. Infernal: inference of RNA alignments [http://infernal.janelia.org/]
- Markowitz VM, Mavromatis K, Ivanova NN, Chen IM, Chu K, Kyrpides NC. IMG ER: a system for microbial genome annotation expert review and curation. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:2271–8.
- 29. Kaneko T, Nakamura Y, Sato S, Asamizu E, Kato T, Sasamoto S, et al. Complete genome structure of the nitrogen-fixing symbiotic bacterium *Mesorhizobium loti*. DNA Res. 2000;7:331–8.
- Field D, Garrity G, Gray T, Morrison N, Selengut J, Sterk P, et al. Towards a richer description of our complete collection of genomes and metagenomes "Minimum Information about a Genome Sequence" (MIGS) specification. Nature Biotechnol. 2008;26:541–7.
- 31. Field D, Amaral-Zettler L, Cochrane G, Cole JR, Dawyndt P, Garrity GM, et al. The Genomic Standards Consortium. PLoS Biol. 2011;9:e1001088.
- Woese CR, Kandler O, Wheelis ML. Towards a natural system of organisms: proposal for the domains Archaea, Bacteria, and Eucarya. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1990;87:4576–9.
- Validation of publication of new names and new combinations previously effectively published outside the IJSEM. List no. 106. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2005, 55:2235–2238.
- Garrity GM, Bell JA, Lilburn T. Class I. Alphaproteobacteria class. In: Garrity GM, Brenner DJ, Kreig NR, Staley JT, editors. Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. Volume 2. Secondth ed. New York: Springer - Verlag; 2005. p. 1.
- Kuykendall LD. Order VI. *Rhizobiales* ord. nov. In: Garrity GM, Brenner DJ, Kreig NR, Staley JT, editors. *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*. Secondth ed. New York: Springer - Verlag; 2005. p. 324.
- Mergaert J, Swings J. Family IV. *Phyllobacteriaceae*. In: Garrity GM, Brenner DJ, Kreig NR, Staley JT, editors. *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*. Secondth ed. Springer - Verlag: New York; 2005. p. 393.
- 37. Biological Agents: Technical rules for biological agents. TRBA:466.
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, et al. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. Nat Genet. 2000;25:25–9.
- Guide to GO evidence codes [http://www.geneontology.org/ GO.evidence.shtml]
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis using Maximum Likelihood, Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony Methods. Mol Biol Evol. 2011;28:2731–9.
- 41. Nei M, Kumar S. Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics. New York: Oxford University Press; 2000.
- 42. Felsenstein J. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution. 1985;39:783–91.
- Liolios K, Mavromatis K, Tavernarakis N, Kyrpides NC. The Genomes On Line Database (GOLD) in 2007: status of genomic and metagenomic projects and their associated metadata. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008;36:D475–479.