

#### MURDOCH RESEARCH REPOSITORY

This is the author's final version of the work, as accepted for publication following peer review but without the publisher's layout or pagination. The definitive version is available at <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2014.10.033</u>

Rugna, G., Bonilauri, P., Carra, E., Bergamini, F., Luppi, A., Gherpelli, Y., Magistrali, C.F., Nigrelli, A., Alborali, G.L., Martelli, P., La, T., Hampson, D.J. and Merialdi, G. (2015) Sequence types and pleuromutilin susceptibility of Brachyspira hyodysenteriae isolates from Italian pigs with swine dysentery: 2003–2012. The Veterinary Journal, 203 (1). pp. 115-119.

http://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au/25262/

Copyright: © 2014 Elsevier Ltd.

It is posted here for your personal use. No further distribution is permitted.

#### Accepted Manuscript

Title: Sequence types and pleuromutilin susceptibility of *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae* isolates from Italian pigs with swine dysentery: 2003-2012

Author: G. Rugna, P. Bonilauri, E. Carra, F. Bergamini, A. Luppi, Y. Gherpelli, C.F. Magistrali, A. Nigrelli, G. Alborali, P. Martelli, T. La, D.J. Hampson, G. Merialdi

 PII:
 \$1090-0233(14)00446-8

 DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2014.10.033

 Reference:
 YTVJL 4328

To appear in: The Veterinary Journal

Accepted date: 28-10-2014

Please cite this article as: G. Rugna, P. Bonilauri, E. Carra, F. Bergamini, A. Luppi, Y. Gherpelli, C.F. Magistrali, A. Nigrelli, G. Alborali, P. Martelli, T. La, D.J. Hampson, G. Merialdi, Sequence types and pleuromutilin susceptibility of *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae* isolates from Italian pigs with swine dysentery: 2003-2012, *The Veterinary Journal* (2014), http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2014.10.033.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



#### 1 Sequence types and pleuromutilin susceptibility of *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae* isolates from Italian pigs with swine dysentery: 2003-2012 2 3 4 G. Rugna <sup>a,\*</sup>, P. Bonilauri <sup>a</sup>, E. Carra <sup>a</sup>, F. Bergamini <sup>a</sup>, A. Luppi <sup>a</sup>, Y. Gherpelli <sup>a</sup>, C. F. Magistrali <sup>b</sup>, A. Nigrelli <sup>a</sup>, G. Alborali <sup>a</sup>, P. Martelli <sup>c</sup>, T. La <sup>d</sup>, D.J. Hampson <sup>d</sup>, G. 5 6 Merialdi<sup>a</sup> 7 8 <sup>a</sup> Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Lombardia e dell'Emilia Romagna, Via 9 Bianchi 9, 25124 Brescia, Italy 10 <sup>b</sup> Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale Umbria e Marche, Via G. Salvemini 1, 06126 11 Perugia Italy 12 <sup>c</sup> Department of Veterinary Science, University of Parma, Via del Taglio 10, 43126 13 Parma, Italy 14 <sup>d</sup> School of Veterinary and Life Sciences, Murdoch University, Murdoch, WA 6150, 15 Australia 16 17 18 19 20 Corresponding author. Tel. +39 59 453511. 21 \* E-mail address: gianluca.rugna@izsler.it (G. Rugna). 22

certed

#### 23 Highlights

24	• Isolates of <i>Brachyspira hyodysenteriae</i> recovered from 2003 to 2012 in Italy
25	were analysed.
26	• Susceptibility to pleuromutilins (tiamulin and valnemulin) was significantly
27	associated with genetic group.
28	• Susceptibility to pleuromutilins (tiamulin and valnemulin) was significantly
29	associated with year of isolation.
30	• Isolates in clonal clusters 2 and 7 were more than five times more likely to be
31	sensitive than those in the other clonal clusters.
32	Abstract
33	Swine dysentery is a mucohaemorrhagic colitis of pigs caused by infection
34	with Brachyspira hyodysenteriae. The disease can be controlled by treatment with
35	antimicrobial agents, with the pleuromutilins tiamulin and valnemulin being widely
36	used. In recent years, the occurrence of <i>B. hyodysenteriae</i> with reduced susceptibility
37	to these drugs has been increasing. The aim of this study was to determine temporal
38	changes in genetic groups and pleuromutilin susceptibility amongst B. hyodysenteriae
39	isolates from Italy. Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) was performed on 108
40	isolates recovered from 87 farms in different regions of Italy from 2003 to 2012, and
41	their minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) for tiamulin and valnemulin were
42	determined. Logistic regression was performed to assess associations between
43	susceptibility to the two antimicrobial agents and genetic group, year and region of
44	isolation. The isolates were allocated to 23 sequence types (STs), with five clonal
45	clusters (Ccs) and seven singletons. More than 50% of isolates were resistant to both
46	pleuromutilins (MIC > 2.0 $\mu$ g/mL for tiamulin and > 1.0 $\mu$ g/mL for valuemulin). All
47	10 isolates in ST 83 were resistant; these were first isolated in 2011 and came from

48	nine farms, suggesting recent widespread dissemination of a resistant strain.
49	Significant associations were found between the proportion of pleuromutilin
50	susceptible isolates and the genetic group and year of isolation. Although resistant
51	isolates were found in all Ccs, isolates in Ccs 2 and 7 were over five times more likely
52	to be susceptible than those in the other Ccs. A significant trend in reduction of
53	susceptibility over time also was observed.
54	
55	Keywords: Swine dysentery; Brachyspira hyodysenteriae; Multilocus sequence
56	typing; Pleuromutilin susceptibility
57	Introduction
58	Swine dysentery (SD) is a severe mucohaemorrhagic colitis affecting pigs
59	primarily during the grower-finisher period (Hampson, 2012). The most common
60	aetiological agent is the anaerobic intestinal spirochaete Brachyspira hyodysenteriae,
61	although 'Brachyspira hampsonii' occasionally may be involved (Rubin et al., 2013).
62	Infection spreads amongst pigs in the same or different herds by direct or indirect
63	contact with infected faecal material.
64	
65	Control and treatment of SD are based mainly on the use of antimicrobial
66	agents, particularly the pleuromutilins tiamulin and valnemulin (van Duijkeren et al.,
67	2014). Reduced susceptibility of <i>B. hyodysenteriae</i> strains to these antibiotics has
68	been reported (Karlsson et al., 2003, 2004; Lobova et al., 2004; Rohde et al., 2004;
69	Hidalgo et al., 2011; Sperling et al., 2011). As a consequence, temporal monitoring of
70	antimicrobial susceptibility in clinical isolates of B. hyodysenteriae is important
71	(Hidalgo et al., 2009). Data from susceptibility testing can be correlated with

72	information about the origin and genetic identity of individual strains, providing a
73	better understanding of factors associated with the evolution of resistance.
74	
75	Numerous methods have been developed for molecular typing of <i>B</i> .
76	hyodysenteriae strains, of which multilocus sequence typing (MLST) is especially
77	useful (Råsbäck et al., 2007; La et al., 2009; Osorio et al., 2012). Data from B.
78	hyodysenteriae isolates from throughout the world have been deposited at the
79	PubMLST site <sup>1</sup> . The aim of this study was to determine the genetic diversity of $B$ .
80	hyodysenteriae isolates from Italy and to investigate relationships with year of origin,
81	local place of origin and susceptibility to pleuromutilins.
82	
83	Materials and methods
84	Brachyspira hyodysenteriae isolates
85	B. hyodysenteriae isolates ( $n = 108$ ) were obtained from the collection of the
86	Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Lombardia ed Emilia Romagna (IZSLER)
87	in northern Italy. The isolates originated from diagnostic submissions received in
88	2003-2012 from pigs with SD on 87 farms in eight regions of Italy (see Appendix:
	2005 2012 Hom pigs while be of of furnis in eight regions of furly (see rependix.
89	Supplementary Table 1); 2-8 isolates were obtained in the same or different years
89 90	Supplementary Table 1); 2-8 isolates were obtained in the same or different years from 13 farms.
89 90 91	Supplementary Table 1); 2-8 isolates were obtained in the same or different years from 13 farms.
89 90 91 92	Supplementary Table 1); 2-8 isolates were obtained in the same or different years from 13 farms.
89 90 91 92 93	<ul> <li>Supplementary Table 1); 2-8 isolates were obtained in the same or different years from 13 farms.</li> <li><i>Pleuromutilin susceptibility testing</i></li> <li>The susceptibility of 103 <i>B. hyodysenteriae</i> isolates to tiamulin and</li> </ul>
89 90 91 92 93 94	<ul> <li>Supplementary Table 1); 2-8 isolates were obtained in the same or different years from 13 farms.</li> <li><i>Pleuromutilin susceptibility testing</i></li> <li>The susceptibility of 103 <i>B. hyodysenteriae</i> isolates to tiamulin and valnemulin was determined by using the micro-broth dilution test performed with</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See: <u>http://pubmlst.org</u> (accessed 22 October 2014).

96	concentrations (MIC) were established for each isolate and interpreted according to
97	Pringle et al. (2012). Isolates were classified as being resistant (MIC > 2 $\mu$ g/mL),
98	intermediate (MIC > 0.25 $\mu g/mL)$ or susceptible (MIC $\leq$ 0.25 $\mu g/mL)$ to tiamulin, or
99	resistant (MIC > 1 $\mu g/mL$ ), intermediate (MIC > 0.125 $\mu g/mL)$ or susceptible (MIC $\leq$
100	0.125 $\mu$ g/mL) to valnemulin.
101	
102	Multilocus sequence typing
103	Isolates were incubated at 37 °C for 5 days in trypticase soy broth (Oxoid)
104	with 10% fetal bovine serum in an anaerobic atmosphere. One millilitre of each
105	culture (~10 <sup>8</sup> cells/mL) of <i>B. hyodysenteriae</i> was centrifuged at 5000 g for 5 min.
106	Cells were suspended in sterile distilled water and chromosomal DNA was extracted
107	according to the Gram-negative bacterial protocol of the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit
108	(Qiagen).
109	
110	MLST was performed with minor modifications from La et al. (2009). Seven
111	MLST loci were used, consisting of the genes encoding alcohol dehydrogenase (adh),
112	alkaline phosphatase (alp), esterase (est), glutamate dehydrogenase (gdh), glucose
113	kinase $(glpK)$ , phosphoglucomutase $(pgm)$ and acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase $(thi)$ .
114	PCRs were performed in 30 $\mu$ L reaction mixtures using the GoTaq Hot Start
115	Colorless Master Mix (Promega). Each PCR reaction set included DNA from B.
116	hyodysenteriae field strain Izler MO 371/2011 as a positive control and double
117	distilled water as a negative control. The PCR conditions were 95 °C for 2 min,

followed by 33 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min, then 5

- 119 min at 72 °C before cooling at 4 °C. The PCR products were purified with the
- 120 Ampure XP PCR purification kit (AgenCourt). Purified PCR products were

121	sequenced using the GenomeLab DTCS Quick Start Kit (Beckman Coulter).
122	Oligonucleotide primers were those described by Råsbäck et al. (2007), except for
123	pgm, for which a sequence reaction with a new primer PGM-F685 (5'-
124	TATACTCCTATTCATGGTTCCG-3') was used in addition to PGM-F172.
125	
126	Sequencing was performed with a CEQ 8000 sequencer (Beckman Coulter)
127	and the results were analysed and assembled using the 'Sequencing' and
128	'Investigator' packages of CEQ 8000 version 8.0 software. For each locus the
129	sequences were aligned with the original B. hyodysenteriae strain WA1 sequence
130	(GenBank EF488202) using the CLUSTAL W application of BioEdit version 7.0.8.0
131	(Hall, 1999). The aligned loci sequences were trimmed as reported by La et al. (2009)
132	and used for MLST analysis.
133	
134	Statistical analysis
135	The aligned sequences for each of the seven MLST loci were analysed using
136	BioEdit version 7.0.8.0 (Hall, 1999) to identify identical sequences. Each unique
137	sequence obtained for each locus was checked in the B. hyodysenteriae MLST
138	Database <sup>2</sup> to obtain the correspondent allele number. The allelic profile for each
139	isolate was determined and consisted of a line listing the allele number for each leave
	isolate was determined and consisted of a line listing the anele number for each locus
140	in turn. Isolates were assigned a sequence type (ST) according to their allelic profiles.
140 141	in turn. Isolates were assigned a sequence type (ST) according to their allelic profiles. Isolates were considered to be genetically identical and belonging to the same ST if
140 141 142	in turn. Isolates were assigned a sequence type (ST) according to their allelic profiles. Isolates were considered to be genetically identical and belonging to the same ST if their sequences were identical at all seven loci. Clonal clusters sharing six or more

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See: <u>http://pubmlst.org</u> (accessed 22 October 2014).

145	An MLST dendrogram was constructed from the data matrix of allelic
146	mismatches using the unweighted-pair group method with allelic arithmetic means
147	(UPGMA) method with 1000 bootstrap replicates. A minimum spanning tree was
148	generated using the Bionumerics Software version 7.1 (Applied Maths) and versions
149	were colour-coded according to pleuromutilin susceptibility and year of isolation to
150	assist with visualisation of the results.
151	
152	Isolates were divided in two groups for statistical analysis of MIC data for
153	both antibiotics: (1) fully susceptible (MIC $\leq 0.25~\mu\text{g/mL}$ for tiamulin and $\leq 0.125$
154	$\mu$ g/mL for valuemulin); and (2) isolates with decreased susceptibility (MIC > 0.25
155	$\mu$ g/mL for tiamulin and > 0.125 $\mu$ g/mL for valnemulin). Logistic regression was
156	performed to assess associations between pleuromutilin susceptibility and the
157	independent variables geographic origin, year of isolation and genetic group (Cc).
158	
159	The probability that strains belonging to a Cc were fully susceptible was
160	expressed as an odds ratio with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI). A trend in
161	reduction of susceptibility to both antibiotics over the period 2003 to 2012 was tested
162	with Pearson's correlation and an extension of the Wilcoxon rank-sum test for the
163	trend. All statistical analyses were performed using Intercooled Stata 7.0 software
164	(Stata) and the significance level was set at $P < 0.05$ .
165	
166	Results
167	MLST analysis
168	Allelic frequencies over the seven loci ranged from four (adh, est) to 10
169	(glpK), with a mean of 6.71. A total of 23 profiles (STs) was obtained (see Appendix:

170	Supplementary Table 1). Twenty-one STs (STs 74-87, 97-103) containing 104
171	isolates (96.3%) were newly described at the time they were deposited in PubMLST.
172	ST 8 and ST 52 had been reported previously and contained isolates from other
173	European countries (La et al., 2009; Osorio et al., 2012). ST 77 contained the greatest
174	number of isolates ( $n = 25$ ), followed by ST 76 ( $n = 12$ ), STs 75 and 78 ( $n = 11$ each)
175	and STs 79 and 83 ( $n = 10$ each). The other STs contained 1-5 isolates. The five most
176	prominent STs (STs 75-79), each containing > 10 isolates, originated from 55 farms
177	in five regions where more than 80% of Italian pig production is undertaken.
178	
179	An UPGMA dendrogram showing the relative relationship amongst the
180	isolates is presented as Fig. 1. The isolates were broadly distributed across the tree;
181	this distribution was reflected in the minimum spanning tree, which identified the five
182	Ccs and was colour-coded to show susceptibility to tiamulin (Fig. 2a) and valnemulin
183	(Fig. 2b), along with temporal changes (Fig. 3).
184	× C
185	Of the five Ccs, the largest was Cc 4 and this included 42 isolates from five
186	STs that were only identified in Italy. Cc 3 and Cc 7 also contained STs described in
187	other European countries (Fig. 2). Isolates from 2003-2006 were present amongst all
188	five Ccs, while isolates belonging to STs 83 and 99-103 were only isolated in 2011-
189	2012 (Fig. 3).
190	
191	In 10/13 farms where multiple <i>B. hyodysenteriae</i> isolates were available, all
192	isolates belonged to the same ST, although in four cases they varied in their
193	pleuromutilin susceptibility (farms 3, 15, 26 and 34; see Appendix: Supplementary
194	Table 1). In the other three farms (farms 8, 20 and 77) more than one ST was

- identified; on farm 8, these isolates also varied in susceptibility. Isolates from Farms 8
- and 20 had different STs, but belonged to the same Ccs.
- 197

198 Pleuromutilin susceptibility

199 Susceptibility results obtained for 103/108 isolates are recorded in

200 Supplementary Table 1 (see Appendix). No data was available for five isolates due to

201 overgrowth with contaminating microorganisms. Among these 103 isolates, 55

202 (53.4%) were resistant, 21 (20.4%) were intermediate and 27 (26.2%) were

susceptible to tiamulin, while 59 (57.3%) were resistant, 20 (19.4%) were

intermediate and 24 (23.3%) were susceptible to valnemulin. The susceptibility

classifications were identical for the two antimicrobial agents for 86/103 (83.5%)

206 isolates, but the patterns varied for 17/103 (16.5%) isolates (STs 52, 74-79, 84, 100,

207 102 and 103; Fig. 2).

208

209 No significant association was found between the two susceptibility groups (isolates fully susceptible to both antibiotics or isolates with reduced susceptibility; 210 MIC  $\geq 0.25 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$  for tiamulin and 0.125  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  for valuemulin) or by geographical 211 distribution of the isolates. Genetic group was significantly associated with the 212 proportion of fully susceptible isolates (P < 0.05) for both antibiotics. In particular, 213 214 isolates belonging to Ccs 7 and 2 had an odds of being fully susceptible to tiamulin and valnemulin that was greater than five times (odds ratio 5.5; 95% CI 1.9-16.0; P <215 0.01) and six times (odds ratio 6.3; 95% CI: 2.1-18.9; P < 0.01) higher than isolates 216 217 from the other Ccs. Year of isolation was significantly associated with the proportion of isolates that were fully susceptible to tiamulin (P < 0.01) and a significant trend 218 was observed in reduction of susceptibility from 2003 to 2012 (67% and 33% of fully 219

220	susceptible isolates, respectively; Pearson's correlation $r = -0.30$ ; extension of the
221	Wilcoxon rank-sum test for the trend, $P < 0.01$ ). This association was not significant
222	for valuemulin ( $P = 0.08$ ).
223	
224	Discussion
225	The aim of this study was to gain insight into the genetic background of Italian
226	B. hyodysenteriae isolates from different regions and to examine temporal changes in
227	pleuromutilin susceptibility patterns. Using previously published criteria, more than
228	half of <i>B. hyodysenteriae</i> isolates were resistant to tiamulin and/or valnemulin in
229	vitro, with others showing reduced susceptibility and only about a quarter being fully
230	susceptible.
231	
232	The two pleuromutilins target the domain V of the 23S rRNA gene and/or the
233	ribosomal protein L3 gene; reduced susceptibility in B. hyodysenteriae involves point
234	mutations in these regions (Pringle et al., 2004; Hidalgo et al., 2011). Our results
235	suggest that there are likely to be differences in binding sites for the two
236	pleuromutilins that result in different susceptibilities.
237	
238	Resistance to the pleuromutilins develops in a step-wise manner, suggesting
239	that multiple mutations are needed to achieve high level resistance (Karlsson et al.,
240	2001). Low level resistance (or decreased susceptibility, recorded here as
241	'intermediate') is likely to develop into higher MICs and full resistance. Consistent
242	with this, a temporal decrease in susceptibility between 2003 and 2012 was observed
243	in this study.

Although resistance in *B. hyodysenteriae* has been recorded previously in Italy
(Bonilauri et al., 2004; Merialdi et al., 2006) and other European countries (Karlsson
et al., 2003, 2004; Lobova et al., 2004; Rohde et al., 2004; Vyt and Hommez, 2006),
this study confirms that increasing resistance to pleuromutilins is an ongoing problem
in Italy.

250

The 108 isolates had 23 different allelic profiles (STs), of which 21 were newly described and two (ST 52 and ST 8) had been detected previously in other European countries. Isolates in ST 52 have been detected in Germany, Belgium and Spain, while those in ST 8 have been recorded in the UK (La et al., 2009), with this being the predominant ST in Spain (Osorio et al., 2012). Although not isolated in Italy, ST 9 contains isolates from Sweden and belongs to the same Cc that included two Italian STs (74 and 99).

258

Together, these observations support the likelihood that trans-national spread of resistant *B. hyodysenteriae* isolates has accompanied regular trade of pigs within Europe. In addition, local selection and spread of clonal groups in Italy is likely, as shown by the existence of Ccs (2, 4 and 17) that only included Italian strains. A better understanding of these features will emerge as additional isolates from widespread locations are analysed and added to the PubMLST database.

265

On 10/13 farms where more than one *B. hyodysenteriae* isolate from the same or different years was examined, they belonged to the same ST. In the case of the isolates from different years, this suggests persistence of a single strain on these farms; on four farms (farms 8, 15, 26, and 34) isolates developed reduced

270	susceptibility with time. This is likely to have occurred under the selection pressure of
271	pleuromutilin use, although specific records about such usage were not available due
272	to the retrospective nature of this study.

273

Different STs occurred on another three farms; on two farms (farms 8 and 20), 274 these belonged to the same Ccs, whilst on farm 77 the isolates were more genetically 275 distinct. These findings could involve transmission of new strains to the farms, 276 although it might also be explained by the emergence of variants of the original strain 277 (Atyeo et al., 1999; La et al., 2009). This seems most likely to have occurred on farm 278 8, where the two isolates differed by only one nucleotide substitution. This is the first 279 280 description of ST 83, which was not part of a Cc. The 10 isolates in this ST were 281 recovered from nine Italian farms in 2011 and 2012; all were resistant to both pleuromutilins. These data suggest recent widespread dissemination of this resistant 282 strain in Italy. 283 284

There was a significant association between susceptibility to pleuromutilins and the allelic profiles of the isolates in Ccs 2 and 7. These findings imply that if a strain acquires a mutation in a conserved housekeeping gene and a trait of antibiotic resistance, the subsequent antibiotic selection pressure could be sufficient to promote expansion of such clones on infected farms and eventual dissemination.

290

#### 291 Conclusions

MLST analysis showed the existence of several clonal groups and STs of *B*. *hyodysenteriae* in Italy that have not previously been described, as well as evidence that some strains have been spread amongst European countries. More than half of the

295	Italian isolates of <i>B. hyodysenteriae</i> were resistant to pleuromutilins and there was a
296	significant trend for this to have increased in the last 10 years. Such increases in MICs
297	for tiamulin and valnemulin against porcine B. hyodysenteriae isolates in Italy and
298	other European countries are of concern, since there are only a limited number of
299	other antimicrobial agents still available and effective for the treatment of SD. There
300	is a need to include B. hyodysenteriae in national antimicrobial resistance monitoring
301	programmes, linking changes in susceptibility to MLST data to trace the national and
302	international spread of resistant clones.
303	
304	Conflict of interest statement
305	None of the authors has any financial or personal relationships that could
306	inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper.
307	
308	Acknowledgements
309	This study was financed by the Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della
310	Lombardia ed dell'Emilia Romagna, Via Bianchi 9, Italy.
311	
312	Appendix. Supplementary material
313	Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online
314	version, at doi:
315	
316	References
317 318 319	Atyeo, R.F., Oxberry, S.L., Hampson, D.J., 1999. Analysis of <i>Serpulina</i> <i>hyodysenteriae</i> strain variation and its molecular epidemiology using pulsed- field gel electrophoresis. Epidemiology and Infection 123, 133-138.
320 321 322	Bonilauri, P., Merialdi, G., Calzolari, M., Luppi, A., Dottori, M., 2004. Incremento del riscontro di ceppi multiresistenti di <i>Brachyspira hyodysenteriae</i> in

323 324 325 326	allevamenti suini del nord Italia. Proceedings Atti del XXX Convegno della Società Italianà di Patologia ed Allevamento dei Suini 2004. Salsomaggiore Terme, Parma, Italy, 25-26 March 2004, 181-186.
327 328 329 330	Hall, T.A., 1999. BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series 41, 95-98.
331 332 333 334	Hampson, D.J., 2012. Brachyspiral colitis. In: Zimmerman, J.J., Karriker, L.A., Ramirez, A., Schwartz, K.J., Stevenson, G.W. (Eds). Diseases of Swine, 10th Edn. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK, pp. 680-696.
335 336 337 338	<ul> <li>Hidalgo, Á., Carvajal, A., García-Feliz, C., Osorio, J., Rubio, P., 2009. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Spanish field isolates of <i>Brachyspira hyodysenteriae</i>. Research in Veterinary Science 87, 7-12.</li> </ul>
339 340 341 342 343	<ul> <li>Hidalgo, Á., Carvajal, A., Vester, B., Pringle, M., Naharro, G., Rubio, P., 2011.</li> <li>Trends towards lower antimicrobial susceptibility and characterization of acquired resistance among clinical isolates of <i>Brachyspira hyodysenteriae</i> in Spain. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 55, 3330-3337.</li> </ul>
344 345 346	Jolley, K.A., Feil, E.J., Chan, M.S., Maiden, M.C., 2001. Sequence type analysis and recombinational tests (START). Bioinformatics 17, 1230-1231.
347 348 349 250	Karlsson, M., Gunnarsson, A., Franklin, A., 2001. Susceptibility to pleuromutilins in Brachyspira (Serpulina) hyodysenteriae. Animal Health Research Reviews 2, 59-65.
351 352 353 354	Karlsson, M., Fellstrom, C., Gunnarsson, A., Landen, A., Franklin, A., 2003. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of porcine <i>Brachyspira</i> ( <i>Serpulina</i> ) species isolates. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 41, 2596-2604.
355 356 357 358	Karlsson, M., Aspan, A., Landen, A., Franklin, A., 2004. Further characterization of porcine <i>Brachyspira hyodysenteriae</i> isolates with decreased susceptibility to tiamulin. Journal of Medical Microbiology 53, 281-285.
359 360 361 362 363	La, T., Phillips, N.D., Harland, B.L., Wanchanthuek, P., Bellgard, M.I., Hampson, D.J., 2009. Multilocus sequence typing as a tool for studying the molecular epidemiology and population structure of <i>Brachyspira hyodysenteriae</i> . Veterinary Microbiology 138, 330-338.
364 365 366 367	Lobova, D., Smola, J., Cizek, A., 2004. Decreased susceptibility to tiamulin and valnemulin among Czech isolates of <i>Brachyspira hyodysenteriae</i> . Journal of Medical Microbiology 53, 287-291.
368 369 370 371 372	Merialdi, G., Bonilauri, P., Dottori, M., 2006. Presence of tiamulin and valnemulin resistant <i>B. hyodysenteriae</i> strains in Italian pig herds. Proceedings of the 19th International Pig Veterinary Society Congress, Copenhagen, Denmark, 16-19 July 2006. Vol. 2, p. 455.

373 374 375 376 377	Osorio, J., Carvajal, A., Naharro, G., La, T., Philips, N.D., Rubio, P., Hampson, D.J., 2012. Dissemination of clonal groups of <i>Brachyspira hyodysenteriae</i> amongst pig farms in Spain, and their relationships to isolates from other countries. PLoS One 7, e39082.
378 379 380 381 382	Pringle, M., Poehlsgaard, J., Vester, B., Long, K.S., 2004. Mutations in ribosomal protein L3 and 23S ribosomal RNA at the peptidyl transferase centre are associated with reduced susceptibility to tiamulin in <i>Brachyspira</i> spp. isolates. Molecular Microbiology 54, 1295-1306.
383 384 385 386 387	Pringle, M., Landén, A., Unnerstad, H.E., Molander, B., Bengtsson, B., 2012. Antimicrobial susceptibility of porcine <i>Brachyspira hyodysenteriae</i> and <i>Brachyspira pilosicoli</i> isolated in Sweden between 1990 and 2010. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica 54, 54.
388 389 390 391 392	Råsbäck, T., Johansson, KE., Jansson, D.S., Fellström, C., Alikhani, Y., La, T., Dunn, D.S., Hampson, D.J., 2007. Development of a multilocus sequence typing scheme for intestinal spirochaetes of the genus <i>Brachyspira</i> . Microbiology 153, 4074-4087.
393 394 395 396 397	Rohde, J., Kessler, M., Baums, C.G., Amtsberg, G., 2004. Comparison of methods for antimicrobial susceptibility testing and MIC values for pleuromutilin drugs for <i>Brachyspira hyodysenteriae</i> isolated in Germany. Veterinary Microbiology 102, 25-32.
<ul> <li>398</li> <li>399</li> <li>400</li> <li>401</li> <li>402</li> <li>403</li> </ul>	Rubin, J.E., Costa, M.O., Hill, J.E., Kittrell, H.E., Fernando, C., Huang, Y., O'Connor, B., Harding, J.C.S., 2013. Reproduction of mucohaemorrhagic diarrhea and colitis indistinguishable from swine dysentery following experimental inoculation with " <i>Brachyspira hampsonii</i> " strain 30446. PLoS One 8, e57146.
404 405 406 407	Sperling, D., Smola, J., Cízek, A., 2011. Characterisation of multiresistant Brachyspira hyodysenteriae isolates from Czech pig farms. Veterinary Record 168, 215.
408 409 410 411	Vyt, P., Hommez, J., 2006. Antimicrobial susceptibility of <i>Brachyspira</i> <i>hyodysenteriae</i> isolates compared with the clinical effect of treatment. Vlaams Diergeneeskundig Tijdschrift 75, 279-285
412 413 414 415 416	<ul> <li>van Duijkeren, E., Greko, C., Pringle, M., Baptiste, K.E., Catry, B., Jukes, H., Moreno, M.A., Pomba, M.C., Pyörälä, S., Rantala, M., et al., 2014.</li> <li>Pleuromutilins: Use in food-producing animals in the European Union, development of resistance and impact on human and animal health. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 69, 2022-2031.</li> </ul>

#### 417 **Figure legends**

418

419	Fig. 1. UPGMA dendrogram depicting genetic relationships amongst the 23 Italian
420	Brachyspira hyodysenteriae STs identified in the study (underlined) and 110 STs
421	from PubMLST. The tree was constructed from combined individual distance
422	matrices of sequences from seven MLST loci (adh, alp, est, gdh, glpK, pgm, thi). The
423	length of the scale bar represents 1 nucleotide substitution in 100 base pairs of the
424	sequenced gene fragment. The five clonal clusters of Italian STs sharing six or more
425	common <i>loci</i> are indicated as Cc
426	S
427	Fig. 2. Minimum spanning tree analysis showing (a) tiamulin and (b) valnemulin
428	susceptibility of 103 isolates of Brachyspira hyodysenteriae represented by 23
429	sequence types (ST).
430	
431	Fig. 3. Minimum spanning tree analysis comparing the year of isolation of 108 Italian
432	isolates with the 23 sequence types (ST) they represent. Year of isolation has been
433	grouped into four-year intervals. Each node of the MST indicates a different ST
434	(labelled), its size reflects the number of isolates and the colour represents the period
435	in which the strain was isolated. Each node indicates a different ST (labelled), its size
436	indicates the number of isolates in the ST and the colour represents the susceptibility
437	of the isolate to tiamulin. The width of the branches indicates the allelic difference

between two STs; heavy lines link single locus variants (SLVs), thin lines link double
locus variants (DLVs) and dotted lines link STs differing by more than two loci. The

440 five clonal clusters of STs sharing six or more common loci are indicated by shading

- 441 in grey. Isolates from other countries that belong in the same ST or Cc are marked:
- 442 DE, Germany; BE, Belgium; SP, Spain; SE, Sweden; IT, Italy.

Accepted Manuschik

# 443 444 445

Appendix: Supplementary Table 1 Details of 108 *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae* isolates from Italy included in the study.

Isolate         origin         Region         isolation         cluster         type         (ug/mL)*         (ug/mL)*           295-2012, 77         1         Emilia-Romagna         2012         4         77         > $8.0$ (R)         > $4.0$ (R)           232-2009         2         Lombardy         2009         2         75         ND         ND           136-2005_1         3         Lombardy         2005         4         77         < $c.0053$ (S)         0.003 (S)           136-2005_5         J         Lombardy         2006         2 $c.2$ $c.0063$ (S) $c.0063$ (S) $c.0063$ (S)           243-2011         6         Lombardy         2005         7         74 $c.0063$ (S) $c.0063$ (S)           247-2011         7         Piedmont         2011         3         79         > $8.0$ (R) $> 4.0$ (R)           248-2011         8         Piedmont         2010         3         80         0.5 (1)         0.5 (1)           216-2008         9         Lombardy         2008         2         75         0.5 (0)         0.5 (1)           108-2008         11         Lombardy         2006         2         81 <th></th> <th>Farm of</th> <th></th> <th>Year of</th> <th>Clonal</th> <th>Sequence</th> <th>Tiamulin MIC</th> <th>Valnemulin MIC</th>		Farm of		Year of	Clonal	Sequence	Tiamulin MIC	Valnemulin MIC
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Isolate	origin	Region	isolation	cluster	type	(µg/mL) <sup>a</sup>	(µg/mL) <sup>b</sup>
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	295-2012_77	1	Lombardy	2012	4	77	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	297-2012_77	1	Emilia-Romagna	2012	4	77	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	232-2009	2	Lombardy	2009	2	75	ND	ND
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	136-2005_5 136-2005_1	3	Lombardy	2005	4	// 77	<0.063 (S)	0.031(S)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	130-2005_1	5	Lombardy	2005	4	82	(1.0(1))	2.0 (R)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	203-2005	4 5	Lombardy	2000	2	82 74	<0.003 (3)	<0.003 (S)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	243-2011	6	Lombardy	2005	NA	83	>8.0 (R)	2.0(R)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	247-2011	7	Piedmont	2011	3	79	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	248-2011	8	Piedmont	2011	3	79	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	310-2010	8	Piedmont	2010	3	79	0.5 (I)	0.5 (I)
96i-2008         9         Lombardy         2008         4         77         <0.05 (b)         <0.031 (S)           110i-2008         10         Lombardy         2008         2         75         0.5 (l)         0.5 (l)           128-2008         11         Lombardy         2005         2         81         ND         ND           240-2009         13         Lombardy         2009         17         85         0.25 (S)         0.06 (R)           245-2011         14         Lombardy         2010         4         77         -8.0 (R)         >4.0 (R)           245-2010         15         Lombardy         2010         4         77         -8.0 (R)         >4.0 (R)           245-2011         16         Emilia-Romagna         2011         2         75         >8.0 (R)         >4.0 (R)           155-2006         17         Lombardy         2006         NA         84         0.25 (S)         0.25 (I)           153-2006         20         Lombardy         2006         4         86         8.0 (R)         >4.0 (R)           150-2003         21         Lombardy         2003         17         78         >8.0 (R)         >4.0 (R) <tr< td=""><td>318-2010</td><td>8</td><td>Piedmont</td><td>2010</td><td>3</td><td>80</td><td>0.5 (I)</td><td>0.5 (I)</td></tr<>	318-2010	8	Piedmont	2010	3	80	0.5 (I)	0.5 (I)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	96i-2008	9	Lombardy	2008	4	77	<0.063 (S)	<0.031 (S)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	110i-2008	10	Lombardy	2008	4	77	0.5 (1)	0.5 (1)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	128-2008	11	Lombardy	2008	2	/5	0.5 (I)	0.5 (I)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	240-2009	12	Lombardy	2005	17	85	0.25(S)	0.063 (S)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	245-2007	13	Lombardy	2007	4	77	>80(R)	>40(R)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	271-2009	15	Lombardy	2009	4	77	0.25 (S)	0.5 (I)
246-201116Emilia-Romagna2011275> $\otimes 0.(R)$ > $\rightarrow 4.0.(R)$ 155-200617Lombardy2006NA840.25 (S)0.25 (I)160-200618Lombardy20061778> $\otimes 0.(R)$ > $\rightarrow 4.0.(R)$ 108i-200819Lombardy2006476 $\otimes 0.(R)$ > $\rightarrow 4.0.(R)$ 153-200620Lombardy2006476 $\otimes 0.(R)$ > $\rightarrow 4.0.(R)$ 150-200620Lombardy2006486 $\otimes 0.(R)$ > $\rightarrow 4.0.(R)$ 167-200322Lombardy20031778 $\ll 0.063 (S)$ $\sim 0.031 (S)$ 170-200323Lombardy2003275 $\otimes 0.(R)$ > $\rightarrow 4.0.(R)$ 190-200924Lombardy2009774 $0.5.(I)$ $0.5.(I)$ 154-200625Emilia-Romagna2011477> $\otimes 0.(R)$ > $\rightarrow 4.0.(R)$ 254-201126Emilia-Romagna2011477> $\otimes 0.(R)$ > $\rightarrow 4.0.(R)$ 257-201126Emilia-Romagna2014477 $0.5.(I)$ $0.5.(I)$ 156m-200626Emilia-Romagna2011477 $\otimes 0.(R)$ > $\rightarrow 4.0.(R)$ 156m-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477> $\otimes 0.(R)$ > $\rightarrow 4.0.(R)$ MO521-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477> $\otimes 0.(R)$ > $\rightarrow 4.0.(R)$ 156m-20630Basilicata2005352 </td <td>338-2010</td> <td>15</td> <td>Lombardy</td> <td>2010</td> <td>4</td> <td>77</td> <td>&gt;8.0 (R)</td> <td>&gt;4.0 (R)</td>	338-2010	15	Lombardy	2010	4	77	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	246-2011	16	Emilia-Romagna	2011	2	75	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	155-2006	17	Lombardy	2006	NA	84	0.25 (S)	0.25 (I)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	160-2006	18	Lombardy	2006	17	78	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	108i-2008	19	Lombardy	2008	2	75	0.25 (S)	<0.031 (S)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	153-2006	20	Lombardy	2006	4	76	8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
167-2003       21       Lonibardy       2003       17       78       <0.05 (k)       <0.01 (k)         167-2003       23       Lombardy       2003       2       75       8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         190-2009       24       Lombardy       2009       7       74       0.5 (I)       0.5 (I)         154-2006       25       Emilia-Romagna       2006       17       78       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         254-2011       26       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         257-2011       26       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         259-2011       26       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         256-2011       26       Emilia-Romagna       2012       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         MO520-2012       26       Emilia-Romagna       2012       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         30i-2005       28       Umbria       2005       3       52       0.25 (S)       0.031 (S)         293-2009       29       Umbria       2005       3	150-2006	20	Lombardy	2006	4	86 77	8.0(R)	>4.0 (R)
10       2003       22       Lombardy       2003       17       76       \$(3,05,06)()       \$(3,05,0)()       \$(3,	167-2003	21	Lombardy	2008	17	78	<0.063 (S)	< 0.031 (S)
100 200924Lombardy20097740.5 (l)0.5 (l)154-200625Emilia-Romagna20061778>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)254-201126Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)257-201126Emilia-Romagna20114778.0 (R)>4.0 (R)259-201126Emilia-Romagna20114778.0 (R)>4.0 (R)156m-200626Emilia-Romagna20114778.0 (R)>4.0 (R)156m-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)MO520-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)MO521-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)MO521-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)30i-200528Umbria20053520.25 (S)<0.031 (S)	170-2003	23	Lombardy	2003	2	75	(0.003 (B))	>40(R)
154-200625Emilia-Romagna20061778>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)254-201126Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)257-201126Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)259-201126Emilia-Romagna20114778.0 (R)>4.0 (R)156m-200626Emilia-Romagna20064770.25 (S)0.125 (S)286-201126Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)MO520-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)MO521-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)302-201027Lombardy2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)302-201028Umbria20053520.25 (S)<0.031 (S)	190-2009	24	Lombardy	2009	7	74	0.5 (I)	0.5 (I)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	154-2006	25	Emilia-Romagna	2006	17	78	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	254-2011	26	Emilia-Romagna	2011	4	77	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
259-201126Emilia-Romagna20114778.0 (R)>4.0 (R)156m-200626Emilia-Romagna20064770.25 (S)0.125 (S)286-201126Emilia-Romagna20114770.5 (I)0.5 (I)7-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)MO520-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)MO521-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)302-201027Lombardy2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)30i-200528Umbria20053520.25 (S)<0.031 (S)	257-2011	26	Emilia-Romagna	2011	4	77	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
156m-200626Emilia-Romagna20064770.25 (S)0.125 (S)286-201126Emilia-Romagna20114770.5 (I)0.5 (I)7-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)MO520-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)MO521-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)302-201027Lombardy2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)293-200528Umbria20053520.25 (S)<0.031 (S)	259-2011	26	Emilia-Romagna	2011	4	77	8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
286-2011       26       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       0.5 (1)       0.5 (1)         7-2012       26       Emilia-Romagna       2012       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         MO520-2012       26       Emilia-Romagna       2012       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         MO521-2012       26       Emilia-Romagna       2012       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         302-2010       27       Lombardy       2012       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         302-2005       28       Umbria       2005       3       52       0.25 (S)       <0.031 (S)	156m-2006	26	Emilia-Romagna	2006	4	77	0.25 (S)	0.125 (S)
I-2012 $26$ Emilia-Romagna $2012$ $4$ $17$ $>8.0$ (R) $>4.0$ (R)MO520-201226Emilia-Romagna $2012$ 4 $77$ $>8.0$ (R) $>4.0$ (R)MO521-201226Emilia-Romagna $2012$ 4 $77$ $>8.0$ (R) $>4.0$ (R) $302-2010$ 27Lombardy $2012$ 4 $77$ $>8.0$ (R) $>4.0$ (R) $302-2010$ 27Lombardy $2005$ 3 $52$ $0.25$ (S) $<0.031$ (S) $293-2009$ 29Umbria $2009$ 3 $79$ $>8.0$ (R) $>4.0$ (R) $157-2006$ 30Basilicata $2006$ NA $87$ $0.25$ (S) $0.063$ (S) $143-2006$ 31Emilia-Romagna $2006$ 2 $75$ $0.25$ (S) $0.125$ (S) $146-2006$ 32Emilia-Romagna $2006$ 4 $76$ $>8.0$ (R) $>4.0$ (R) $152-2006$ 33Emilia-Romagna $2006$ 4 $76$ $>8.0$ (R) $>4.0$ (R) $152-2006$ 34Emilia-Romagna $2006$ 3 $79$ $0.5$ (I) $0.25$ (I) $192-2007$ 34Emilia-Romagna $2003$ 2 $75$ NDND $172-2003$ 36Emilia-Romagna $2003$ 2 $75$ $0.25$ (S) $0.063$ (S) $244-2011$ 37Emilia-Romagna $2011$ 4 $77$ $>8.0$ (R) $>4.0$ (R) $172-2003$ 36Emilia-Romagna $2003$ 2 $75$ NDND $172-201$	286-2011	26	Emilia-Romagna	2011	4	11	0.5(1)	0.5(1)
MO520-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>0.063 (K)>4.0 (K)MO521-201226Emilia-Romagna2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (K)302-201027Lombardy2012477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)30i-200528Umbria20053520.25 (S)<0.031 (S)	7-2012 MO520-2012	20	Emilia-Romagna	2012	4	77	> 8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
302-21027Lombardy2012477>8.0 (B)>4.0 (B)302-200528Umbria20053520.25 (S)<0.031 (S)	MO521-2012	26	Emilia-Romagna	2012	4	77	<0.063 (S)	<0.031 (S)
30i-200528Umbria20053520.25 (S)<0.031 (S)293-200929Umbria2009379>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)157-200630Basilicata2006NA870.25 (S)0.063 (S)143-200631Emilia-Romagna20062750.25 (S)0.125 (S)146-200632Emilia-Romagna20067740.125 (S)0.125 (S)151-200633Emilia-Romagna2006476>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)152-200633Emilia-Romagna2006476>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)158-200634Emilia-Romagna20063790.5 (I)0.25 (I)192-200734Emilia-Romagna2003275NDND172-200336Emilia-Romagna20032750.25 (S)0.063 (S)244-201137Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)NC1 -201138Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)145-200639Toscana2006379NDND	302-2010	27	Lombardy	2012	4	77	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
293-200929Umbria2009379>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)157-200630Basilicata2006NA870.25 (S)0.063 (S)143-200631Emilia-Romagna20062750.25 (S)0.5 (I)146-200632Emilia-Romagna20067740.125 (S)0.125 (S)151-200633Emilia-Romagna2006476>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)152-200633Emilia-Romagna2006476>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)152-200634Emilia-Romagna20063790.5 (I)0.25 (I)192-200734Emilia-Romagna2003275NDND169-200335Emilia-Romagna20032750.25 (S)0.063 (S)244-201137Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)NC1 -201138Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)145-200639Toscana2006379NDND	30i-2005	28	Umbria	2005	3	52	0.25 (S)	<0.031 (S)
157-200630Basilicata2006NA870.25 (S)0.063 (S)143-200631Emilia-Romagna20062750.25 (S)0.5 (I)146-200632Emilia-Romagna20067740.125 (S)0.125 (S)151-200633Emilia-Romagna2006476>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)152-200633Emilia-Romagna2006476>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)158-200634Emilia-Romagna20063790.5 (I)0.25 (I)192-200734Emilia-Romagna20073792.0 (I)4.0 (R)169-200335Emilia-Romagna2003275NDND172-200336Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)NC1 -201138Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)145-200639Toscana2006379NDND	293-2009	29	Umbria	2009	3	79	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
143-200631Emilia-Romagna20062750.25 (S)0.5 (I)146-200632Emilia-Romagna20067740.125 (S)0.125 (S)151-200633Emilia-Romagna2006476>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)152-200633Emilia-Romagna2006476>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)152-200634Emilia-Romagna20063790.5 (I)0.25 (I)192-200734Emilia-Romagna20073792.0 (I)4.0 (R)169-200335Emilia-Romagna2003275NDND172-200336Emilia-Romagna20032750.25 (S)0.063 (S)244-201137Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)NC1 -201138Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)145-200639Toscana2006379NDND	157-2006	30	Basilicata	2006	NA	87	0.25 (S)	0.063 (S)
146-2006       32       Emilia-Romagna       2006       7       74       0.125 (S)       0.125 (S)         151-2006       33       Emilia-Romagna       2006       4       76       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         152-2006       33       Emilia-Romagna       2006       4       76       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         152-2006       33       Emilia-Romagna       2006       4       76       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         158-2006       34       Emilia-Romagna       2006       3       79       0.5 (I)       0.25 (I)         192-2007       34       Emilia-Romagna       2007       3       79       2.0 (I)       4.0 (R)         169-2003       35       Emilia-Romagna       2003       2       75       ND       ND         172-2003       36       Emilia-Romagna       2003       2       75       0.25 (S)       0.063 (S)         244-2011       37       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         NC1 -2011       38       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         145-2006       39       Toscana       2006       3	143-2006	31	Emilia-Romagna	2006	2	75	0.25 (S)	0.5 (I)
151-2006       53       Emilia-Romagna       2006       4       76       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         152-2006       33       Emilia-Romagna       2006       4       76       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         158-2006       34       Emilia-Romagna       2006       3       79       0.5 (I)       0.25 (I)         192-2007       34       Emilia-Romagna       2007       3       79       2.0 (I)       4.0 (R)         169-2003       35       Emilia-Romagna       2003       2       75       ND       ND         172-2003       36       Emilia-Romagna       2003       2       75       0.25 (S)       0.063 (S)         244-2011       37       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         NC1 -2011       38       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         145-2006       39       Toscana       2006       3       79       ND       ND	146-2006	32	Emilia-Romagna	2006	7	74	0.125 (S)	0.125 (S)
132-2006       35       Emilia-Romagna       2006       4       76       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         158-2006       34       Emilia-Romagna       2006       3       79       0.5 (I)       0.25 (I)         192-2007       34       Emilia-Romagna       2007       3       79       2.0 (I)       4.0 (R)         169-2003       35       Emilia-Romagna       2003       2       75       ND       ND         172-2003       36       Emilia-Romagna       2003       2       75       0.25 (S)       0.063 (S)         244-2011       37       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         NC1 -2011       38       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         145-2006       39       Toscana       2006       3       79       ND       ND	151-2006	33 22	Emilia-Romagna	2006	4	76 76	> 8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
192-2007       34       Emilia-Romagna       2007       3       79       2.0 (I)       4.0 (R)         192-2003       35       Emilia-Romagna       2007       3       79       2.0 (I)       4.0 (R)         169-2003       35       Emilia-Romagna       2003       2       75       ND       ND         172-2003       36       Emilia-Romagna       2003       2       75       0.25 (S)       0.063 (S)         244-2011       37       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         NC1 -2011       38       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         145-2006       39       Toscana       2006       3       79       ND       ND	152-2006	33	Emilia-Romagna	2006	4	70	> 8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
169-2003       35       Emilia-Romagna       2003       2       75       ND       ND         172-2003       36       Emilia-Romagna       2003       2       75       0.25 (S)       0.063 (S)         244-2011       37       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         NC1 -2011       38       Emilia-Romagna       2011       4       77       >8.0 (R)       >4.0 (R)         145-2006       39       Toscana       2006       3       79       ND       ND	192-2007	34	Emilia-Romagna	2000	3	79	2.0 (I)	4.0(R)
172-200336Emilia-Romagna20032750.25 (S)0.063 (S)244-201137Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)NC1 -201138Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)145-200639Toscana2006379NDND	169-2003	35	Emilia-Romagna	2003	2	75	ND	ND
244-201137Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)NC1 -201138Emilia-Romagna2011477>8.0 (R)>4.0 (R)145-200639Toscana2006379NDND	172-2003	36	Emilia-Romagna	2003	2	75	0.25 (S)	0.063 (S)
NC1 -2011         38         Emilia-Romagna         2011         4         77         >8.0 (R)         >4.0 (R)           145-2006         39         Toscana         2006         3         79         ND         ND	244-2011	37	Emilia-Romagna	2011	4	77	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
145-2006 39 Toscana 2006 3 79 ND ND	NC1 -2011	38	Emilia-Romagna	2011	4	77	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
	145-2006	39	Toscana	2006	3	79	ND	ND
135-2005 40 Veneto 2005 17 78 ND ND	135-2005	40	Veneto	2005	17	78	ND	ND
159-2006 41 Lombardy 2006 4 /6 >8.0 (K) >4.0 (K)	159-2006	41	Lombardy Emilia Romagna	2006	4	/6 78	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
101-2000 42 Elimia-Konagna 2000 17 76 2.0 (1) >4.0 (R) 166-2006 43 Emilia-Romagna 2006 4 76 >8.0 (R) >4.0 (R)	166-2006	42	Emilia-Romagna	2000	17	76	>80(R)	>4.0 (R)
123-2005 44 Piedmont 2005 2 97 0.5 (II) 0.5 (II)	123-2005	44	Piedmont	2005	2	97	0.5 (I)	0.5 (I)
125-2005 45 Lombardy 2005 4 76 0.25 (S) 0.5 (I)	125-2005	45	Lombardy	2005	4	76	0.25 (S)	0.5 (I)
126-2005 46 Lombardy 2005 4 76 0.25 (S) 0.5 (I)	126-2005	46	Lombardy	2005	4	76	0.25 (S)	0.5 (I)
134-2005 47 Emilia-Romagna 2005 2 75 0.125 (S) <0.031 (S)	134-2005	47	Emilia-Romagna	2005	2	75	0.125 (S)	<0.031 (S)
138-2006 48 Emilia-Romagna 2006 4 77 >8.0 (R) >4.0 (R)	138-2006	48	Emilia-Romagna	2006	4	77	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)
141-2006 49 Veneto 2006 4 77 0.25 (S) 0.125 (S)	141-2006	49	Veneto	2006	4	77	0.25 (S)	0.125 (S)
142-2006 50 Lombardy 2006 7 74 0.25 (S) 0.25 (I)	142-2006	50	Lombardy	2006	7	74	0.25 (S)	0.25 (I)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	191-2007	51	Lombardy	2007	4	77	>8.0 (R)	4.0(R)
170-2007 52 LOIII0atuy 2007 4 70 1.0 (I) 2.0 (K) 108-2007 53 Emilia-Romagna 2007 3 70 $> 9.0$ (D) $> 4.0$ (D)	190-2007	52 53	Emilia Pomara	2007	4	/0 70	1.U (I)	$2.0 (\mathbf{K})$
201-2007 54 Emilia-Romagna 2007 5 79 >0.0 (K) >4.0 (K)	201-2007	55 54	Emilia-Romagna	2007	5 4	76	20.0 (K) 10 (D	-4.0 (K) 10 (D)
1000000000000000000000000000000000000	203-2007	55	Lombardy	2007	4	98	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)

#### USCRI Р٦ =i • . [0

212-2008	56	Toscana	2008	3	79	2.0 (I)	4.0 (R)	
227-2009	57	Emilia-Romagna	2009	3	52	4.0 (R)	2.0 (I)	
228-2009	58	Emilia-Romagna	2009	NA	8	<0.063 (S)	<0.031 (S)	
250-2011	59	Lombardy	2011	17	78	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
252-2011	60	Emilia-Romagna	2011	17	78	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
262-2011	61	Emilia-Romagna	2011	17	78	8.0 (R)	2.0 (R)	
263-2011	62	Emilia-Romagna	2011	4	76	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
264-2011	63	Emilia-Romagna	2011	17	78	>8.0 (R)	2.0 (R)	
266-2011	64	Marche	2011	NA	83	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
268-2011	65	Campania	2011	NA	8	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
270-2011	66	Lombardy	2011	NA	83	>8.0 (R)	2.0 (R)	
271-2011	67	Emilia-Romagna	2011	7	99	<0.063 (S)	<0.031 (S)	
272-2011	68	Lombardy	2011	NA	83	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
273-2011	69	Lombardy	2011	7	74	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
274-2011	70	Veneto	2011	3	79	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
275-2011	71	Emilia-Romagna	2011	NA	100	1.0 (I)	2.0 (R)	
277-2011	72	Emilia-Romagna	2011	NA	101	<0.063 (S)	<0.031 (S)	
278-2011	72	Emilia-Romagna	2011	NA	101	0.5 (I)	0.5 (I)	
279-2011	73	Lombardy	2011	17	78	0.5 (I)	0.25 (I)	
280-2011	74	Lombardy	2011	4	76	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
281-2011	75	Emilia-Romagna	2011	17	78	4.0 (R)	1.0 (I)	
284-2011	76	Lombardy	2011	2	102	0.50 (I)	0.125 (S)	
282-2011	77	Lombardy	2011	17	85	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
285-2011	77	Lombardy	2011	NA	83	>8.0 (R)	4.0 (R)	
290-2011	78	Lombardy	2011	NA	83	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
291-2012	78	Lombardy	2012	NA	83	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
292-2012	79	Emilia-Romagna	2012	NA	83	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
293-2012	80	Lombardy	2012	NA	83	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
295-2012_103	81	Lombardy	2012	4	103	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
296-2012	82	Lombardy	2012	4	77	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
297-2012_76	83	Emilia-Romagna	2012	4	76	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
337-2012	84	Lombardy	2012	2	75	0.125 (S)	<0.031 (S)	
339-2012	84	Lombardy	2012	2	75	0.125 (S)	<0.031 (S)	
348-2012	85	Lombardy	2012	4	103	0.125 (S)	<0.031 (S)	
350-2012	85	Lombardy	2012	4	103	2.0 (I)	0.063 (S)	
351-2012	85	Lombardy	2012	4	103	2.0 (I)	<0.031 (S)	
100-2012	86	Emilia-Romagna	2012	NA	83	>8.0 (R)	>4.0 (R)	
283-2011	87	Lombardy	2011	NA	84	0.5 (I)	0.25 (I)	

NA, not appropriate (not part of a clonal cluster); ND, not determined; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration. <sup>a</sup> Tiamulin susceptibility: R, resistant (MIC > 2  $\mu$ g/mL); I, intermediate (MIC > 0.25 $\mu$ g/mL); S, susceptible (MIC  $\leq$  0.25). <sup>b</sup> Valnemulin susceptibility: R, resistant (MIC > 1  $\mu$ g/mL); I, intermediate (MIC > 0.125 $\mu$ g/mL); S, susceptible (MIC  $\leq$  0.125).

Accel