



MURDOCH RESEARCH REPOSITORY

This is the author's final version of the work, as accepted for publication following peer review but without the publisher's layout or pagination. The definitive version is available at <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsu011</u>

Prince, J., Hordyk, A., Valencia, S.R., Loneragan, N. and Sainsbury, K. (2015) Revisiting the concept of Beverton -Holt life-history invariants with the aim of informing data-poor fisheries assessment. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 72 (1). pp. 194-203.

http://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au/23829/

Copyright: © International Council for the Exploration of the Sea 2014.

It is posted here for your personal use. No further distribution is permitted.



Revisiting the concept of Beverton-Holt Life History Invariants with the aim of informing data-poor fisheries assessment.

Journal:	ICES Journal of Marine Science
Manuscript ID:	ICESJMS-2013-334.R2
Manuscript Types:	Symposium Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Prince, Jeremy; Murdoch University, Centre for Fish and Fisheries Research; Biospherics P/L, Hordyk, Adrian; Murdoch University, Centre for Fish and Fisheries Research Valencia, Sarah; University of California, Santa Barbara, Bren School of Environmental Science and Management Loneragan, Neil; Murdoch University, Centre for Fish and Fisheries Research Sainsbury, Keith; University of Tasmania, Institute of Marine and Antarctic Studies
Keyword:	Data-poor assessment, Beverton-Holt Life History Invariant, parameter estimation, Spawning Potential ratio

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

3
4
5
6
7
8
0
9
10
11
12
13
1/
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
20
∠ I 00
22
23
24
25
26
20
21
28
29
30
31
32
22
33
34
35
36
37
38
20
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
16
40
4/
48
49
50
51
52
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
50
59

60

1 Revisiting the concept of Beverton-Holt Life History Invariants with the aim of 2 informing data-poor fisheries assessment. 3 Jeremy Prince^{1,2}, Adrian Hordyk², Sarah R. Valencia³, Neil Loneragan², Keith 4 Sainsbury⁴ 5 6 7 ¹ Biospherics P/L, South Fremantle, Western Australia 8 ² Centre for Fish, Fisheries and Aquatic Ecosystems Research, School of Veterinary and 9 Life Sciences, Murdoch University, Western Australia, A.Hordyk@murdoch.edu.au , 10 N.Loneragan@murdoch.edu.au ³ Bren School of Environmental Science and Management, University of California, 11 12 Santa Barbara, USA, svalencia@bren.ucsb.edu, ⁴ University of Tasmania, Tasmania, Australia, ksainsbury@netspace.net.au 13 14 15 Corresponding author: Jeremy Prince, Biospherics P/L, P.O. Box 168 South Fremantle, W.A. 6162, Australia. Phone: +618 9336 3793, Email: biospherics@ozemail.com.au 16 17 18 19 1

20 Abstract

The complexity and cost of existing fishery assessment techniques prohibits their application to 90% of fisheries globally. Simple, cost-effective, generic approaches are needed for small-scale and data-poor fisheries that support the majority of the world's fishing communities but cannot currently be assessed quantitatively. This meta-analysis of the relationship between spawning potential and the normalized size and age of 123 marine species suggests that the so-called Beverton-Holt Life History Invariants (BH-LHI; L_m/L_{∞} , M/k, $M \ge Age_m$) actually vary together in relation to life history strategy, determining the relationship between size, age and reproductive potential for each species. Although little realized, the common assumption of unique values for the BH-LHI also implies that all species share the same relationship between size, age and reproductive potential. This implicit assumption of is not supported by this meta-analysis, which instead suggests there is considerable but predictable natural variation in the BH-LHI ratios and the relationships between size, age and reproductive potential that they determine. We believe this reconceptualization of the BH-LHI has potential to provide a theoretical framework for 'borrowing' knowledge from well-studied species to apply to related, unstudied species and populations, and when applied together with the assessment technique described by Hordyk et al. (this issue b), could make simple forms of size based assessment possible for many data-poor fish stocks that are currently considered unassessable.

42 Keywords

43 Beverton-Holt Life History Invariant, Data-poor assessment, parameter estimation

45 Introduction

A persistent challenge for sustainable fisheries is the scale, complexity and cost of fishery assessment (Walters and Pearse, 1996; Hilborn et al., 2005; Beddington and Kirkwood, 2005; Mullon et al., 2005). Current assessment techniques require technical expertise, detailed biological knowledge and time-series data on catch, effort and/or surveyed abundance (Walters and Martell, 2004) resulting in an annual cost of \$U\$50,000 to millions of dollars per stock (Pauly, 2013). This represents a substantial impediment to assessing small-scale, spatially complex and developing-world fisheries (Mahon, 1997). By some estimates, 90% of the world's fisheries, which directly support 14 - 40 million fishers and indirectly support approximately 200 million people, are un-assessable using current methods (Andrew et al., 2007).

Considerable uncertainty surrounds the status of unassessed stocks (Costello et al., 2012; Hilborn and Branch, 2012; Pauly, 2013) so that overfishing may go unrecognized until stocks collapse. Even where fishing communities want to change fishing practices, the technical difficulty and expense of current assessment techniques can prevent science-based harvest strategies from being developed and implemented for these fisheries. A new methodology is needed for small-scale and data-poor fisheries (Andrew et al., 2007; Pauly, 2013) along with theoretical frameworks for informing the assessment of unstudied species with biological knowledge about related species (Punt et al. 2011).

The correlation between biological parameters across species has been widely used to provide generic parameter estimates necessary for assessment modeling (Charnov, 1993) and were first described in fisheries by Beverton and Holt (1959) for the clupeid and engraulid (herring and anchovy-like bony fishes) stocks of the North Sea (Beverton, 1963). They observed correlations between: 1) the instantaneous natural mortality rate (M) and the von Bertalanffy (1938) growth rate constant (k), 2) length at maturity (L_m) and asymptotic length (L_{∞}) , and 3) M and the age of maturity (T_m) . Beverton and Holt's primary interest was in estimating M, a parameter that is notoriously difficult to measure, from studies of k, L_m and T_m , which by comparison, are easily estimated. Although neither Beverton, nor Holt used the term, these three life history ratios $(M/k, L_m/L_{\infty})$ and M x Age_m) are now commonly referred to as the Beverton-Holt Life History Invariants (BH-LHI). Widely considered to be environmentally influenced constants (Pauly, 1980) the BH-LHI have been used extensively to parameterize fisheries models (Charnov, 2003; Beddington and Kirkwood, 2005; Gislason et al., 2010). In this study we use Jensen's (1996) definition of the three BH-LHI: M/k = 1.5, $L_m/L_{\infty} = 0.66$ and $M \ge T_m = 1.65$.

The Spawning Potential Ratio, or Spawning Per Recruit (*SPR*), is a commonly used index of the relative rate of reproduction (Mace and Sissenwine, 1993; Walters and Martell, 2004) in an exploited stock. Brooks *et al.* (2010) recommend its utility for applying to stocks in data limited fisheries. The *SPR* is defined as the proportion of the unfished reproductive potential left by any given <u>level of</u> fishing pressure. It can be conceptualized as the total reproductive potential of all the cohorts in a population at equilibrium, or the

life-time reproductive potential of an average individual passing through a population at equilibrium. By definition, unfished stocks and individuals in an unfished stock, have an SPR of 100% (SPR_{100%}) and fishing mortality reduces SPR_{100%} from the unfished level to $SPR_{X\%}$. Shepherd (1982) used the SPR concept to integrate the separate approaches to fisheries modeling that had developed on opposite sides of the North Atlantic during the 1970s. Generic SPR-based reference points have been developed theoretically and through the meta-analysis of quantitatively assessed fisheries, and have been recognized in international fisheries law (Restrepo and Powers, 1999; Australian Government, 2007), for example $\sim SPR_{40\%}$ is generally considered a conservative proxy for maximum sustainable yield (Mace and Sissenwine, 1993; Clark, 2002; Walters and Martell, 2004). Until recently, estimating SPR has required unique population models to be parameterised for each stock using estimates of natural mortality, growth, reproduction, and time series of abundance, or age composition data (e.g. Ault et al., 1998; Walters and Martell 2004).

This study and the accompanying studies of Hordyk et al. (this issue a&b) arose from our interest in developing a simple method for using size composition data to evaluate the SPR of exploited populations (Prince et al. 2008; 2011). Prince et al. (2008) observed that the spatial variability of size of maturity in Haliotid populations could be gauged from the shape and appearance of their shells, and that qualitative evaluations of a population's spawning potential can be made on the basis of size composition relative to the visually evaluated size of maturity. This current collection of studies was prompted by a journal editor asking, how the finding of Prince et al. (2008) applied to other species? And our research began by addressing the question do all species exhibit the

same relationship between size of maturity, relative size composition and SPR? To this end we began with the empirical and descriptive study described here, of how SPR relates to size of maturity, relative body size and age in 123 species of teleosts, chondrichthyans, invertebrates and marine mammals. The results presented here suggested to us that there are predictable patterns in life history ratios M/k and L_m/L_{∞} which are related to each species' life history strategy, unfished size compositions, and the distribution of spawning potential by size. Exploring these results more rigorously Hordyk et al. (this issue a) demonstrates that it is the life history ratios of M/k and L_m/L_∞ together with F/Mthat determine the shape of size compositions and the SPR of populations, rather than the individual parameter values as generally assumed. On this basis Hordyk et al. (this issue b) developed and tested a new approach to size-based assessment, which we believe may have great application to data-poor assessment. Returning to the empirical observations made in this study, we postulate that extending our meta-analysis of well studied species could make it possible to predict characteristic life history ratios of most exploited populations based on general knowledge about each species life history strategy, and so make simple size based assessments possible for many stocks currently considered too data-poor to assess.

- 127 Materials and methods
 - 128 <u>Selection of Parameter Sets</u>

For our meta-analysis we collected studies of marine and estuarine species for which we
could find robust estimates of growth, natural mortality, <u>age/size of maturity or fecundity</u>

2	
3	
1	
4	
5	
6	
7	
o	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
10	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
20	
24	
25	
26	
27	
~	
28	
29	
30	
21	
31	
32	
33	
34	
25	
30	
36	
37	
38	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
40	
43	
44	
45	
16	
40	
47	
48	
49	
50	
50	
51	
52	
53	
50	
J4	
55	
56	
57	
50	
อช	
59	

60

at age/size, and length-weight relationships. In order to control the quality of the
parameter sets we applied strict selection criteria. Rather than developing our own criteria *de novo* we based our criteria on the six criteria developed by Gislason *et al.* (2010),
which they define as: "

Estimates were rejected if they had been derived from empirical relationships
 (e.g. Beverton and Holt, 1959; Pauly, 1980) or 'borrowed' from studies of similar
 species.

Estimates by size or age were rejected if they had been derived from multi-species
 modeling.

3. Parameters were rejected if they were based on an insufficient amount of data, if the authors expressed concern that they could be biased or uncertain, or if the sampling gears and/or procedures for working up the samples were likely to have biased the estimates.

4. Estimates of total mortality based on catch-at-length, or catch-at-age were accepted as estimates of *M*, only if the data had been collected from an unexploited or lightly exploited stock over a sufficiently long time period to ensure that they reflected mortality and not simply differences in year class strength, and if growth parameters or ageing methods were considered appropriate.

5. Estimates derived from tagging data were included only if the following factors
had been considered: mortality associated with the tagging operation, tag loss,
differences in mortality experienced by tagged and untagged fish, migration out of
the study area and uncertainty regarding tag recovery.

6. Estimates derived from regressions of total mortality and effort were included, only if it was credible that total fishing mortality would be proportional to the measure of fishing effort considered, and if extrapolation did not result in excessively large confidence intervals." While Gislason et al.'s focus was solely on ensuring the quality of natural mortality estimates, we found that where other aspects of biology had been studied, these criteria also ensured the quality of estimates for the broader list of parameters of interest to this study (i.e. growth, age/size of maturity or fecundity at age/size, and length-weight relationships). To ensure that each species' parameter set described a single population and a similar population density we also applied a seventh criterion, which we defined as: 7. All parameter estimates for a species should be from the same geographic population, and from the same time period. Species were only included if they met all seven criteria and all the necessary parameters could be estimated, this limited the number of studies available to our meta-analysis. We were able to gather data for a total of 123 species, including representatives from teleosts, invertebrates, chondrichthyans, and marine mammals. A complete list of species, parameter values and source references are provided in supporting on-line material. It should be noted that these criteria biased our selection process towards well-studied populations prior to, or during the early phase of exploitation. Thus almost all the studies included in our database are of lightly exploited populations. We do not believe our sample is representative of all, or even groups of, marine species. For this reason we have

not attempted to apply statistical techniques of analysis, as we have no expectation that our samples are distributed normally or are representative of any mean condition, parameter value or relationships. As discussed below we do not believe such statistical concepts are applicable to a study such as this. In this study our aim was entirely qualitative; to look for, and to describe patterns of variation that exist in nature. For the quantitative analysis of the patterns we observed, the reader is directed to the accompanying theoretical study of Hordyk *et al.* (this issue a)

184 Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR) Model for Meta-analysis

We modeled the SPR achieved at any given age for the 123 species to examine patterns in the relationships between age, length, weight and reproductive output. The SPR is usually calculated by summing the total reproductive output of all age classes and dividing by the number of recruits in order to obtain a general measure of current rate of reproductive output in relation to the maximum potential reproductive output, i.e. the unfished level. However, here we are interested in calculating the cumulative percentage of total lifetime reproductive output achieved when an individual reaches each age/size, and how that varies across the widest possible range of marine species. For this purpose we developed an age-based equilibrium SPR model for each species with an initial cohort size of 1,000. The number of survivors, average individual length and weight, and percentage of the total index of reproductive output (expressed variously for the differing species as eggs, pups, calves or simply mature biomass) achieved for both individuals and cohorts at each successive time step was calculated. To enable comparisons across species with varying

2	
3	
1	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	
20	
27	
28	
29	
20	
30	
31	
32	
33	
24	
34	
35	
36	
37	
20	
50	
39	
40	
41	
42	
40	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
47	
47 48	
47 48 49	
47 48 49 50	
47 48 49 50	
47 48 49 50 51	
47 48 49 50 51 52	
47 48 49 50 51 52 53	
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57	
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 50	
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	

198 If e spans, sizes and forms of reproductive output, all were normalized with respect to 199 their maximum value. The maximum age class (t_{max}) was determined to be the first age 200 class with an abundance of $\leq 1\%$ of the initial cohort size (i.e. ≤ 10 individuals), and 201 maximum length (L_{max}) , weight (W_{max}) and reproductive output (EP_{max}) was defined as 202 the value estimated for that age class. This is consistent with the results of empirical 203 studies of Hewitt and Hoenig (2005) who note that a range of 1-5% has generally been 204 used for the purpose of estimating M , and who conclude that 1.5% is most appropriate. In 205 this range we tested a number of values and found it had little effect on our results. 206 For each parameter set, the cohort declined with constant natural mortality: 207 $N_{t+1} = N_t e^{-M}$ (1) 208 where N_t is the number of age t individuals, M is natural mortality, and N_0 is 1,000. 209 Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age t as: 210 $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t$ (2) 211 where f_t is mean fecundity at age t . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (<i>SPR</i>) was 212 calculated for each age class t : 213 $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}$ (3)		
199 their maximum value. The maximum age class (t_{max}) was determined to be the first age 200 class with an abundance of $\leq 1\%$ of the initial cohort size (i.e. ≤ 10 individuals), and 201 maximum length (L_{max}) , weight (W_{max}) and reproductive output (EP_{max}) was defined as 202 the value estimated for that age class. This is consistent with the results of empirical 203 studies of Hewitt and Hoenig (2005) who note that a range of 1-5% has generally been 204 used for the purpose of estimating M , and who conclude that 1.5% is most appropriate. In 205 this range we tested a number of values and found it had little effect on our results. 206 For each parameter set, the cohort declined with constant natural mortality: 207 $N_{t+1} = N_t e^{-M}$ (1) 208 where N_t is the number of age t individuals, M is natural mortality, and N_0 is 1,000. 209 Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age t as: 210 $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t$ (2) 211 where f_t is mean fecundity at age t . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (<i>SPR</i>) was 212 calculated for each age class t : 213 $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}$ (3)	198	life spans, sizes and forms of reproductive output, all were normalized with respect to
class with an abundance of $\leq 1\%$ of the initial cohort size (i.e. ≤ 10 individuals), and maximum length (L_{max}), weight (W_{max}) and reproductive output (EP_{max}) was defined as the value estimated for that age class. This is consistent with the results of empirical studies of Hewitt and Hoenig (2005) who note that a range of 1-5% has generally been used for the purpose of estimating M , and who conclude that 1.5% is most appropriate. In this range we tested a number of values and found it had little effect on our results. For each parameter set, the cohort declined with constant natural mortality: $N_{t+1} = N_t e^{-M}$ (1) where N_t is the number of age t individuals, M is natural mortality, and N_0 is 1,000. Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age t as: $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t$ (2) where f_t is mean fecundity at age t . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (<i>SPR</i>) was calculated for each age class t : $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}$ (3)	199	their maximum value. The maximum age class (t_{max}) was determined to be the first age
201maximum length (L_{max}), weight (W_{max}) and reproductive output (EP_{max}) was defined as202the value estimated for that age class. This is consistent with the results of empirical203studies of Hewitt and Hoenig (2005) who note that a range of 1-5% has generally been204used for the purpose of estimating M , and who conclude that 1.5% is most appropriate. In205this range we tested a number of values and found it had little effect on our results.206For each parameter set, the cohort declined with constant natural mortality:207 $N_{t+1} = N_t e^{-M}$ (1)208where N_t is the number of age t individuals, M is natural mortality, and N_θ is 1,000.209Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age t as:210 $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t$ (2)211where f_t is mean fecundity at age t . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR) was212calculated for each age class t :213 $SPR_t = \sum_{t=0}^{t} \frac{EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}$ (3)	200	class with an abundance of $\leq 1\%$ of the initial cohort size (i.e. ≤ 10 individuals), and
202the value estimated for that age class. This is consistent with the results of empirical203studies of Hewitt and Hoenig (2005) who note that a range of 1-5% has generally been204used for the purpose of estimating \overline{M} , and who conclude that 1.5% is most appropriate. In205this range we tested a number of values and found it had little effect on our results.206For each parameter set, the cohort declined with constant natural mortality:207 $N_{t+1} = N_t e^{-M}$ (1)208where N_t is the number of age t individuals, M is natural mortality, and N_0 is 1,000.209Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age t as:210 $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t$ (2)211where f_t is mean fecundity at age t . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR) was212calculated for each age class t :213 $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}$ (3)	201	maximum length (L_{max}), weight (W_{max}) and reproductive output (EP_{max}) was defined as
studies of Hewitt and Hoenig (2005) who note that a range of 1-5% has generally been used for the purpose of estimating <i>M</i> , and who conclude that 1.5% is most appropriate. In this range we tested a number of values and found it had little effect on our results. For each parameter set, the cohort declined with constant natural mortality: $N_{t+1} = N_t e^{-M} \qquad (1)$ where <i>N_t</i> is the number of age <i>t</i> individuals, <i>M</i> is natural mortality, and <i>N_t</i> is 1,000. Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age <i>t</i> as: $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t \qquad (2)$ where <i>f_t</i> is mean fecundity at age <i>t</i> . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (<i>SPR</i>) was calculated for each age class <i>t</i> : $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t} \qquad (3)$	202	the value estimated for that age class. This is consistent with the results of empirical
204 used for the purpose of estimating <i>M</i> , and who conclude that 1.5% is most appropriate. In 205 this range we tested a number of values and found it had little effect on our results. 206 For each parameter set, the cohort declined with constant natural mortality: 207 $N_{t+1} = N_t e^{-M} \qquad (1)$ 208 where <i>N_t</i> is the number of age <i>t</i> individuals, <i>M</i> is natural mortality, and <i>N₀</i> is 1,000. 209 Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age <i>t</i> as: 210 $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t \qquad (2)$ 211 where <i>f_i</i> is mean fecundity at age <i>t</i> . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (<i>SPR</i>) was 212 calculated for each age class <i>t</i> : 213 $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t} \qquad (3)$	203	studies of Hewitt and Hoenig (2005) who note that a range of 1-5% has generally been
205 this range we tested a number of values and found it had little effect on our results. 206 For each parameter set, the cohort declined with constant natural mortality: 207 $N_{t+1} = N_t e^{-M}$ (1) 208 where N_t is the number of age t individuals, M is natural mortality, and N_0 is 1,000. 209 Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age t as: 210 $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t$ (2) 211 where f_t is mean fecundity at age t . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (<i>SPR</i>) was 212 calculated for each age class t : 213 $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}$ (3)	204	used for the purpose of estimating M , and who conclude that 1.5% is most appropriate. In
For each parameter set, the cohort declined with constant natural mortality: $N_{t+1} = N_t e^{-M} \qquad (1)$ where N_t is the number of age t individuals, M is natural mortality, and N_0 is 1,000. Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age t as: $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t \qquad (2)$ where f_t is mean fecundity at age t . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (<i>SPR</i>) was calculated for each age class t : $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t} \qquad (3)$	205	this range we tested a number of values and found it had little effect on our results.
$N_{t+1} = N_t e^{-M} $ (1) 207 208 where N_t is the number of age t individuals, M is natural mortality, and N_0 is 1,000. 209 Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age t as: 210 $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t$ (2) 211 where f_t is mean fecundity at age t . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (<i>SPR</i>) was 212 calculated for each age class t : $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}$ (3) 213	206	For each parameter set, the cohort declined with constant natural mortality:
207 208 where N_t is the number of age t individuals, M is natural mortality, and N_0 is 1,000. 209 Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age t as: 210 $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t$ (2) 211 where f_t is mean fecundity at age t . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (<i>SPR</i>) was 212 calculated for each age class t : $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}$ (3)		$N_{t+1} = N_t e^{-M} \tag{1}$
208 where N_t is the number of age t individuals, M is natural mortality, and N_0 is 1,000. 209 Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age t as: 210 $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t$ (2) 211 where f_t is mean fecundity at age t . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR) was 212 calculated for each age class t : $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}$ (3)	207	
209 Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age t as: 210 210 211 $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t$ (2) 211 where f_t is mean fecundity at age t. The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR) was 212 calculated for each age class t: 213 $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}$ (3)	208	where N_t is the number of age t individuals, M is natural mortality, and N_0 is 1,000.
210 $EP_{t} = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_{t}$ (2) 211 where f_{t} is mean fecundity at age t . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR) was 212 calculated for each age class t : $SPR_{t} = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_{t}}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_{t}}$ (3)	209	Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age <i>t</i> as:
210 $Err = (rr_{t-1}e^{-t})fr$ 211 where f_t is mean fecundity at age t . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR) was 212 calculated for each age class t : 213 $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}$ (3)		$FP_{i} = (N_{i}, e^{-M})f_{i} $ ⁽²⁾
211 where f_t is mean fecundity at age t . The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (<i>SPR</i>) was 212 calculated for each age class t : $SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}$ (3)	210	$Lit_t = (IV_{t-1}c) Jt $
212 calculated for each age class <i>t</i> : $SPR_{t} = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_{t}}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_{t}}$ (3)	211	where f_t is mean fecundity at age t. The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR) was
$SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t} $ (3)	212	calculated for each age class t:
	213	$SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t_{max}} EP_t} $ (3)

where SPR_t is the proportion of potential lifetime reproductive output achieved at age t. When no fecundity data were available, the reproductive output of a mature age class was assumed proportional to biomass:

 $EP_t = N_t W_t m_t$

(4)

Formatted: Font: Times New Roman

where W_t is mean weight at age t, and m_t is the probability of being mature at age t.

We used the simplifying assumption that M remains constant over all age and size classes in a population. This is unlikely to be true in nature, as juvenile mortality rates are generally observed to be greater than adult mortality rates, and mortality rates maybe size related throughout a species' life cycle (Gislasson et al. 2010; Charnov et al. 2013). However as noted by Charnov et al. (2013) juvenile mortality rates do not influence the estimation of life-time reproductive output in a species, and adult mortality rates tend to be more constant than juvenile rates, and so more likely to be compatible with this simplifying assumption.

A broad range of formulations to describe growth, size of maturity, fecundity, mortality and relationships between age, length and weight were found in the literature, and these are described below. We adapted the formulation of the SPR model for each species to the formulations and units used in the source literature. If < 15 age classes were present, we converted the unit of time to the next lowest unit (i.e. years to months, or months to weeks) to smooth the functions being modeled.

Five growth models were used by the collected literature to describe the growth for the selected species. The three-parameter von Bertalanffy growth function (VBGF) was used to describe the growth of 117 species:

$$L_t = L_{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-k(t-t_0)} \right)$$
(5)

where L_t is mean length at age t, L_{∞} is asymptotic length, k the growth coefficient, and t_0 is the theoretical age at zero length. The Schnute growth function was used for three species:

$$L_t = \left[\left(y_1^B + (y_2^B - y_1^B) \right) \left(\frac{(1 - e^{-A(t - T_1)})}{1 - e^{-A(T_2 - T_1)}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{B}}$$
(6)

where T_1 and T_2 are reference ages, y_1 and y_2 length at each reference age respectively, and *A* and *B* are constants $\neq 0$. The Gompertz growth function was used for one species:

$$L_t = \omega_0 e^{G(1 - e^{-gt})} \tag{7}$$

244 where $G, \omega_0 \& g$ are constants. Two generic length models were used for two species:

$$L_t = L_{\infty} + \alpha \beta^t \tag{8}$$

$$L_t = L_{t-1} + \frac{\alpha}{1 + e^{\frac{L_{t-1} - \tilde{\beta}}{\phi}}}$$
(9)

247 where α , β and φ are constants.

248 Length-weight relationships were described in the literature for all except two sp			
	12		

252

58 59 60

$$W_t = aL_t^b \tag{10}$$

where *a* and *b* are constants. Polynomial regressions were reported for the length-weight relationships for two species:

$$W_t = a - bL_t + cL_t^2$$
(11)

where *a*, *b* and *c* are constants.

254 When fecundity at length, weight, or age was not available, reproductive output was 255 assumed proportional to the biomass of an individual or cohort, based on the reported 256 maturity ogive for each species (Equation 4). Thus, in these cases reproductive output 257 was assumed to be the same as the mature biomass of a cohort. When no maturity ogive 258 was available, whichever available estimate of length at maturity (e.g. L_{0} , L_{50} , L_{100}) was 259 used to delineate between juvenile and adult size classes, and maturity was assumed to be 260 knife edge at that size. Size-fecundity relationships were available for 24 species. For the 261 remaining 99 species, reproductive output was assumed proportional to individual weight 262 for teleosts (75 species) and some crustaceans (4 species) and molluses (7 species), and 263 size-independent for elasmobranches (8 species) and mammals (5 species).

Where sexual dimorphism was recorded only female parameters were used in the *SPR* model developed for the species. Our database includes several hermaphroditic species, for these species the maturity ogive was defined as female maturation ogive, and if occurring after the female stage (which is generally the case), the male stage was 268 considered to contribute to the reproductive potential of the population, and for the269 purpose of *SPR* modeling treated as a continuation of the female phase.

271 Simulation of Length-Composition

To assist with our understanding of the patterns we observed an age-based model was developed to simulate the length frequency composition of the theoretical unfished populations across the range of M/k observed in our meta-analysis. To achieve the desired ratios of M/k for each simulation we fixed M at 0.2 and solved for the appropriate value of k. Size compositions were simulated using nine values of M/k (4.0, 1.65, 1.0, 0.8, 0.6, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, & 0.1). We assumed von Bertalanffy growth (mean $L_{\infty} = 1$, $CV_{L_{\infty}} = 0.1$, $t_0 = 0$) in arbitrary units, with L_{∞} distributed normally among individuals, and with the variance in mean length a function of mean cohort length (Sainsbury, 1980). Because of the variation associated with length-at-age, some individuals have lengths greater than mean L_{∞} (1.0); thus the length composition was calculated for lengths between 0 and 1.4. We estimated the size of maturity for these simulated size compositions using the Beverton (1992) equation derived for teleosts:

$$\frac{L_m}{L_\infty} = \frac{3}{3 + \frac{M}{k}} \tag{12}$$

285 Results

Figure 1a-d shows the modeled *SPR* for every species in our meta-analysis as functions of (a) normalized weight ($\underline{W}/\underline{W}_{max}$), (b) normalized length ($\underline{L}/\underline{L}_{max}$) and (c) normalized age

 $(\underline{t/t}_{max})$. As described in the methods W_{max} , \underline{L}_{max} and \underline{t}_{max} are defined as the value attained when modeled cohort abundance declines to $\leq 1\%$ of initial abundance. In Figure 1d we standardise the SPR-at-weight trajectories (Figure 1a) with respect to both weight-at-maturity and maximum weight $((W-W_m)/(W_{max}-W_m))$ making it evident that much of the crossing of trajectories observed in Figures 1a & b is due to variation in size of maturity. The spectrum of curves observed in Figures 1a-d appears to be determined by the range of M/k ratios observed in our meta-analysis; species with the greatest ratio (M/k = 3.5) have trajectories in the upper left of Figures 1a, b, and, while those with the lowest ratios (M/k = 0.1) have trajectories in the bottom right, and this empirical observation is confirmed theoretically by Hordyk et al. (this issue a).

Also plotted in Figures 1a-d (black lines) are the relationships expected for species with biological parameters conforming to Jensen's (1996) estimates of the three BH-LHI (M/k= 1.5, $L_m/L_{\infty} = 0.66$ and M x Age_m = 1.65), and the assumption that reproductive output is proportional to mature weight, which in turn is a cubic function of length. From this we conclude that the three BH-LHI, proscribe a unique relationship between normalized size, age and SPR, however, the BH-LHI relationship is apparently some form of 'median' form of the relationship we observe across all 123 species in our meta-analysis rather than an invariant relationship that is applicable to many species as we expected originally might be the case.

307 As demonstrated by Hordyk *et al.* (this issue a) and illustrated by simulation in Figure 2
308 the *M/k* ratio determines the relative shape of a population's unfished size composition.
309 Here, we note again our use of the simplifying assumption that *M* remains constant over

the all age and size classes in a population, and the influence that this assumption has on the shape of these simulated size compositions. However, using the rationale of Charnov et al. (2013) that adult mortality rates are more likely to tend towards a level of consistency, and determine life-time reproductive output, we observe that unfished populations with M/k > 1.0 do not tend to exhibit an adult modal size (Figure 2). This is because adults grow and die too rapidly to accumulate around a species' asymptotic size (Hordyk *et al.* this issue a). This contrasts with unfished populations of species with M/k< 1.0 (Hordyk *et al.* this issue a) in which adults grow relatively slowly and persist long enough to accumulate around an asymptotic size so that a modal adult size becomes observable, and increasingly prominent as M/k declines (Figure 2).

To assist in our description of the variation we observed around the BH-LHI relationship between normalized size, age and SPR, we categorised the species in our meta-analysis with respect to M/k = 1.0, and whether their growth is determinate or indeterminate. Species with indeterminate growth continue growing throughout adult life, although slowing to some extent with increasing size, while species with determinate growth do not grow as adults. These two criteria defined three broad groups or 'Types' of species in our meta-analysis; Type I – M/k > 1.0 and indeterminate growth, Type II – M/k < 1.0 and indeterminate growth, Type III – M/k < 1.0 and determinate growth (Figure 1). No species with M/k > 1.0 and determinate growth were observed in our meta-analysis (see also Hordyk et al. this issue a).

A total of 49 Type I species (green lines) were identified, including 34 teleosts, 10
chondrichthyes, 3 crustaceans and 2 molluscs. Their trajectories occupy the upper left

hand side of Figs 1a-c and the lower right of Figure 1d. Type I species conform to the general shape of the BH-LHI trajectories, and have a slightly higher average M/k (1.95, cf. 1.5; Table 1) but slightly lower average L_m/L_{∞} (0.55, cf. 0.65; Table 1) than those for the BH-LHI. They begin reproduction at relatively small sizes (Figures 1a&b) but at a relatively later stage of their life cycle (Figure 1c) than Types II & III. Unfished Type I populations are numerically dominated by juvenile length classes and do not exhibit an adult modal size (Fig. 2; top panels). Most (60-80%) of the reproductive potential in these populations comes from smaller individuals that are <80% of their asymptotic size (Figure 1a, b).

A diverse range of species comprise the Type I category, including coastal bivalves Gari solida, Semele solida, a crab Callinectes sapidus, two spiny lobsters Panulirus argus, P. ornatus, several carcharhinid Carcharhinus obscurus, C. plumbeus and triakid sharks, Mustelus antarcticus, Prionace glauca, and teleosts ranging from low tropic level species such as Scomber japonicus, Cololabis saira and the clupeid Brevoortia patronus to higher trophic level species, such as the rockfish Sebastes chlorostictus, S. melanonstomus and two apex piscivores, the scombrid tunas Thunnus alalunga, and T. tonggol.

Type II species (blue curves on Figure 1) are shifted to the right of Type I species in Figures 1a&b, and to the left in Figure 1c. They share the indeterminate growth pattern of Type I species. Type III species (red) grow to a determinant asymptotic adult size, and reproduce without further growth. Their trajectories are shifted to the extreme right in Figures 1a&b, and the extreme left in Figure 1c. The 74 Type II and III species share

lower *M/k* ratios than Type I species (mean = 0.62, cf. 1.95; Table 1). In contrast to Type I species, Type II & III species do not reproduce until growth is almost complete; Type II species produce approximately 70% of their *SPR* at sizes >80% of the asymptotic size, while Type III species produce 90% of *SPR* at sizes >80% of asymptotic size (Figure 1). Unfished populations of Type II and III species are dominated by adult size classes, and exhibit distinct adult modes that become more pronounced with lower *M/k* ratios (Figure 2; mid & lower panels).

The Type II species (blue) form a middle group of 59 species (45 teleosts, 1 elasmobranch, 5 crustaceans, and 8 molluscs) with average L_m/L_∞ similar to the BH-LHI ratio (0.69, cf. 0.66; Table 2), but a lower average M/k than the BH-LHI ratio (0.62, cf. 1.5; Table 2). Type II species include crustaceans, *Nephrops norvegicus*, and all of the prawns (=shrimp) in our analysis Penaeus indicus, P. latisulcatus, P. merguiensis, all three haliotid gastropods Haliotis rubra, H. laevigata, H. iris, a carcharhinid shark Rhizoprionodon taylori, and a range of teleosts including flat-forms Pleuronectes platessa, Psettichthys melanostictus, tropical snappers Lutjanus malabaricus, L. carponotatus L. argentimaculatus and the long-lived orange roughy Hoplostethus atlanticus.

The 15 Type III species (red) exhibit trajectories that balloon into the bottom right of Figures 1a&b. These species reach maturity (Figure 1c) and a determinant asymptotic size (Figs 1a&b) relatively early in life. Type III species have the largest average L_m/L_{∞} ratio (0.88; Table 2) and lowest average *M/k ratio* (0.57; Table 2). Besides the five marine mammals in our database, Type III species include two triakid sharks

 Galeorhinus galeus, Furgaleus macki, eight teleosts, including the long-lived *Scorpis*377 *aequipinnis*, and two short-lived *Lethrinidae* species.

In Figure 3a the L_m/L_∞ of each species in our analysis is plotted as a function of M/k. The solid black line $(L_m/L_\infty = 3/(3 + M/k))$ is derived from Beverton (1992) and Hordyk *et al.* (this issue a), but is originally from Holt (1958) who used this equation to demonstrate that size at maximum biomass $(L_{opt.})$ can be estimated from the ratio of M/k. Numerous empirical studies observe that length at maturity (L_m) coincides with L_{opt} and various theoretical studies postulate that this is because the evolutionary fitness of a species is maximized by this coincidence (Fryer and Iles, 1972; Roff, 1984; Beverton, 1992) The factor of '3' used in this equation comes from the assumption that weight is proportional to L^3 . The dashed and dotted lines plotted in Figure 3 indicate alternative relationships obtained by substituting factors of 2.5 or 3.5 into this equation, which would be equivalent to assuming weight and fecundity are proportional to L^{2.5} and L^{3.5} respectively. These alternative relationships are plotted to provide some scaling of variance around the relationship.

Very few of the species in our meta-analysis fall above the Beverton (1992) curve for the relationship between M/k and L_m/L_∞ ; most of the outliers are below (Figure 3a). This is primarily because our meta-analysis encompasses all marine species, some of which have fixed rates of reproduction, while Beverton (1992) worked almost entirely with teleosts for which fecundity is normally more closely related to adult body size. In Figure 3b, the relationship between M/k and L_m/L_∞ is plotted for the 9 teleost families with three or more

species in our database and these conform much more closely to the Beverton (1992)relationship (Figure 3b).

In Figure 3b there is the suggestion that species within families tend to group together on the M/k and L_m/L_∞ spectrum, which in Figure 4 is shown with our 3 most numerous families to result in their SPR at size and age trajectories grouping into distinctive family bands. Comparison to the plotted BH-LHI trajectories (Figure 4 - broken black line) shows that to some extent the BH-LHI relationship describes the weight and length trajectories of the Gobiidae in our study, but not their SPR at age relationship. The BH-LHI relationship over-estimates the productivity of the Lethrinidae and Acanthuridae, by suggesting higher than observed SPR at lower sizes for those families, while describing a relationship between SPR and relative age that is intermediate between the Lethrinidae and Acanthuridae relationships in our analysis.

We also examined the predictive power of Pauly's (1980) equation for estimating Mempirically by comparing the M/k and L_m/L_∞ ratios of species in our meta-analysis with those derived from Pauly's (1980) equation for estimating M empirically (Figure 5). The relationship between M/k and L_{∞} is plotted for the 109 species in our database with $L_{\infty} \leq$ 200cm, which excludes marine mammals and large sharks (Figure 5a). The solid lines indicate the estimates of M/k that would be derived using the Pauly equation across the range of k values we observed. The Pauly equation requires an assumption about ambient temperature. For simplicity we assume 15°C but sensitivity analyses showed that increasing the assumed temperature only raised the plotted lines minimally. The Pauly equation generally produces estimates of M/k > 1, especially for species with $L_{\infty} < 50$ cm.

419 Our database includes a considerable number of teleosts with $L_{\infty} < 50$ cm and M/k < 1, 420 for which the Pauly equation over-estimates M. This pattern is maintained even when the 421 analysis is restricted to the teleost families with three or more species (Figure 5b).

423 Discussion

In fisheries science, the three Beverton Holt-Life History Invariants (BH-LHI) are most commonly used separately to estimate individual parameters for population modeling; generally they are only linked within studies seeking to relate evolutionary fitness and metabolic parameters to the optimization of life histories (e.g., Jensen, 1997; Charnov et al., 2013). We believe our combination of them to reveal that they imply a unique generalized relationship between normalized size, age and SPR is relatively novel. Our meta-analysis suggests that rather than approximating some unique relationship between size, age and reproductive potential that is broadly applicable across species, the BH-LHI estimate some form of 'median' relationship for the 123 species in our meta-analysis (Figure 1) that is not necessarily found in any species.

The first formulations of BH-LHI (Beverton and Holt 1959; Beverton 1963) were based on North Sea teleosts (clupeids and engraulids) that our analysis has classed as Type I species. Since that time fisheries biology has tended to accept, seemingly by default, that the values derived from those initial studies are relatively constant across much broader suites of species, particularly the ratio of M/k of ~1.5. This was, however, not an assumption ever made by Holt (1958) nor Beverton (1992), who both conceptualized

species as displaying a range of M/k values co-varying with L_m/L_∞ (Figure 3), nor by Pauly (1980), who correlated ambient temperature and adult body size with the M/k ratio for each species.

Apparently confirming these earlier studies the descriptive meta-analysis we present here illustrates the natural variability observed across marine species. We defined three broad types of marine species with characteristic relationship between size, age and reproductive potential, and ratios of M/k and L_m/L_{∞} . Our intent in doing so is not to imply fundamental differences or strong boundaries between our rather arbitrary groupings, but to provide an initial indicative categorization to aid our discussion of the phenomena we observe. Our aim here is to 1) illustrate that predictable patterns in size, age and SPR appear to exist in nature, and 2) to postulate that these predictable patterns might provide an alternative theoretical framework for deriving knowledge about unstudied species from studies of related species.

When we apply the classification of teleost life strategies developed King and McFarlane's (2003) or the more generalized 'r and K' theory of Pianka (1970), which characterizes life history strategies as either 1) 'r-strategists' with high population turn-over rates, a tendency for boom and bust dynamics, and invasive 'weed-like' characteristics, or 2) 'K-strategists' with relatively stable population dynamics, lower turnover and adults that reproduce over many breeding cycles. We conclude that our Type I species which mature at relatively small sizes, reproduce for a relatively short and late part of their life cycle, continue growing relatively rapidly as they reproduce, have unfished populations that are dominated by juvenile size classes, and do not exhibit a

modal adult size, are Opportunist and Intermediate Strategists (King and McFarlane 2003), and tend towards being 'r-strategists' (Pianka 1970). While Type II and III species which mature at relatively high sizes, reproduce for a relatively extended part of their life cycle, while growing slowly, or not at all, and have unfished populations that exhibit a modal adult size, are typically Periodic and Equilibrium Strategists (King and McFarlane 2003), and K-strategists (Pianka 1970). From this, we surmise that, in the case of an unstudied stock, general knowledge of a species typical life-history strategy might be used to estimate a likely set of life history ratios and the likely relationships between size, age and SPR those ratios proscribe. We propose that the estimations developed in this way for a relatively unstudied stock will be more accurate than existing standard methods for applying the concept of BH-LHI to data-poor stock assessment.

Currently the BH-LHI are widely assumed to be 'invariant' and individual parameters are commonly estimated for data-poor assessments on that basis, but as illustrated here (Figure 1) and proved by derivation in Hordyk *et al.* (this issue a) this implies all species share the same BH-LHI relationships between size, age and reproductive output. Rather, the so-called 'Invariants' vary together, matching patterns of growth and reproduction to different life history strategies, presumably ensuring that for each life-history strategy, length at maturity (L_m) and a cohort's maximum biomass $(L_{opt.})$ coincide, optimizing each species' evolutionary fitness (Fryer and Iles, 1972; Roff, 1984; Beverton, 1992). From our study, and those that precede us, it appears that the BH-LHI only tend towards relative invariance within groups of species sharing similar life-history strategies, reflecting the stage at which each life-history strategy transfers energy from allometric growth to reproduction (Charnov, 2008; Charnov et al. 2013). In this conceptualization,

tuna can be considered as 'larger, slower' anchovies which rarely reach asymptotic sizes,
while some prawns are 'smaller, faster' versions of the fish, lobsters and gastropods that
breed multiple times close to asymptotic sizes.

The obvious question at this point is; where do phenotypic plasticity, and density dependent responses to fishing pressure fit into this conceptualization? Do they invalidate this conceptualization of BH-LHI? The short answer is not at all, and although not studied enough at the present time, we believe these two phenomena are likely to provide the broader context, which will in time fully validate and extend this concept's application. Implicitly because of the selection criteria used this meta-analysis is basically a study of unfished, or lightly fished, populations and this limits what can be demonstrated here. In each of the cases we have used we would expect that the individual parameter estimates used will have changed as the stocks for which they were estimated have been fished down, just as we expect the individual parameters to vary between geographically isolated populations of each species. In the case of *Cheilodactylus* spectabilis we know this to have occurred as the population was fished down (Ziegler et al. 2007). We are not proposing a new alternative form of invariance within families, species or stocks. Rather we are returning to the spirit of the original formulation of this concept by Holt (1958) which was that the physiological constraints of species and families imply that the life history ratios will remain more stable across geographical distributions and density ranges, than the individual life history parameters, and so for the purpose of data-poor stock assessment the life history ratios are expected to be more informative and useful than the more variable individual parameters (S.J. Holt, Personal Communication). There is a growing body of literature to support this supposition, and in

this context the body of work by Choat on coral reef fish deserves to be highlighted and should be referred to (e.g., Choat & Robertson 2001; Gust et al. 2002). While the precedence set by fisheries science, and our selection criteria place a strong emphasis on the quality of component estimates of natural mortality, and our sampling of the literature has been strongly biased towards using lightly fished populations for this analysis, we suspect that exploited marine species do not distinguish between whether a seal or a human eats them. Thus we expect we would reach similar conclusions if we were to broaden our study to use the ratio of Z/k rather than M/k. On this basis we postulate that for metabolic and evolutionary reasons species respond to changes in total mortality by minimizing changes in their life history ratios, rather than the parameters themselves, and that this conceptualization of BH-LHI maybe useful for predicting how stocks are likely respond to fishing pressure, and thus the likely form and magnitude of density dependence mechanisms for each species.

The data in our meta-analysis are as yet too few to properly extend these aspects of our analysis, but with the addition of further species we expect that the sharing of similar life-history ratios and bands of SPR trajectories by closely related species will become more evident amongst both teleost and non-teleost families. We expect that it will be possible to define a typical band of SPR at size and age trajectories for many marine families. From Figure 4a & b it can be seen already that assuming an average or median family value for an unstudied species of Lethrinid or Acanthuridae would produce a more accurate assessment of size data than the standard BH-LHI assumption of $M/k \sim 1.5$. Precautionary life history ratios and SPR trajectories might also be estimated for an

unstudied species by taking the extreme bottom right hand and least productive of the *SPR* at size trajectories observed for a family (Figure 4a & b).

Our observation that the commonly assumed values of the BH-LHIs define a unique 'median' form of the SPR-at-size and age relationships observed in nature also has significant implications. Firstly, the results of empirical studies to more accurately derive single estimates of the BH-LHIs and to develop correlative techniques for estimating individual life history parameters (e.g., Pauly, 1980; Gislasson et al., 2010), will be strongly influenced by the sample of species included in those studies We suspect that many of these studies are implicitly (and unintentionally) biased against the inclusion of low M/k species. Noting the inherently lower productivity of low M/k species, these species are expected to be more prone to early depletion in poorly managed systems, and less likely to sustain large, valuable fisheries (and thus research programs) in well managed systems. This could well have resulted in low M/k species being under-represented in the literature and meta-analyses, especially if those studies have an implicit (and perhaps unavoidable) focus on research from regions with long histories of heavy exploitation, such as the North Sea, Atlantic and South-east Asia (Costello et al., 2012). This might explain the apparent conflict between the Pauly (1980) technique and our meta-analysis (Figure 5). Being mainly based in Australia which has a relatively recent history of fishing and a strong history of research, we had ready access to recent research conducted in lightly exploited regions of Australia, and found numerous studies documenting small bodied low M/k teleost species, which were, apparently, not as well represented in Pauly's (1980) analysis. In this context, the quest to more accurately estimate unique values for the BH-LHI looks somewhat misguided, unless narrowed

carefully on taxonomic grounds, as were the original studies of Beverton and Holt(1959), and Beverton (1963).

Another significant implication of our observation that the BH-LHI define a unique 'median' form of the relationships between size, age and SPR observed in nature, is that existing stock assessments, using some derivation of the assumption that $M/k \sim 1.5$, are implicitly over-estimating the productivity of stocks with M/k < 1.5. This could amount to a serious systematic bias for our field as such techniques are commonly used with long-lived species where the depletion of the older age classes through fishing is thought to have rendered the estimation of M with aging studies unreliable. Species with M/k <1.0 are most prone to having the older adult classes depleted through fishing, and are consequently, more likely to have had a technique based on $M/k \sim 1.5$ applied to them. Even without extending this meta-analysis rigorously down to the level of families, assessments that have used the assumption of $M/k \sim 1.5$ could be improved using the three Types of species we defined here on the basis of life-history strategies and forms of growth.

569 Conclusions

570 This meta-analysis suggests that the so-called Beverton-Holt Life History Invariants are 571 actually co-variants, varying together in relation to life history strategies and defining a 572 range of relationships between size, age and reproductive output. From our study, and 573 those that precede us, it appears that the BH-LHI only tend towards relative invariance within groups of species sharing similar life-history strategies, reflecting the stage at which differing life-history strategy transfers energy from allometric growth to reproduction (Charnov 2008; Charnov et al. 2013). We believe this conceptualization of BH-LHI has potential to provide a theoretical framework for 'borrowing' knowledge from well-studied species for application to related unstudied stocks, and that together with Hordyk et al. (this issue a, b) this may make it possible to assess many otherwise data-poor species from simple size studies.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to the David and Lucille Packard Foundation, The Nature Conservancy and the Marine Stewardship Council for the support of this study. Thanks to the colleagues, fishing and scientific, who provided missing next steps along the way, and especially to Beth Fulton for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript. This study forms part of AH's doctoral dissertation at Murdoch University.

References

- Andrew, N.L., Bène, C., Hall, S.J., Allison, E.H., Heck, S., & Ratner, B.D. 2007.
- Diagnosis and management of small-scale fisheries in developing countries. Fish and Fisheries 8: 277-240.

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
6	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
11	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
∠3 24	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
21	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
10	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
<u>4</u> 0	
50	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
50	
00	

593	Ault, J.S., Bohnsack, J.A. & Meester, G.A. 1998. A retrospective (1979-1996)
594	multispecies assessment of coral reef fish stocks in the Florida Keys. Fishery
595	Bulletin, 96: 395-414.
596	Australian Government 2007. Commonwealth Fisheries Harvest Strategy Policy
597	Guidelines. Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and
598	Forestry, Canberra, Australia. 55 pp.
599	Beddington, J.R., Kirkwood, G.P. 2005. The estimation of potential yield and stock status
600	using life-history parameters. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B.,
601	360: 163-170.
602	Beverton, R.J.H. 1963. Maturation, growth and mortality of Clupeid and Engraulid stocks
603	in relation to fishing. Rapports et Procés-Verbaux des Réunions du Conseil
604	International pour l'Exploration de la Mer, 154: 44-67.
605	Beverton, R.J.H. 1992. Patterns of reproductive strategy parameters in some marine
606	teleost fishes. Journal of Fish Biology, 41: 137-160.
607	Beverton, R.J.H., Holt, S.J. 1959. A review of the lifespans and mortality of fish in nature
608	and the relation to growth and other physiological characteristics. Ciba Foundation
609	Colloquium on Ageing, 5: 142-177.
610	Brooks, E.N., Powers, J.E. and Cortes, E. 2010. Analytical reference points for age-
611	structured models: application to data-poor fisheries. ICES Journal of marine
612	Science, 67: 000-000.

613	Charnov, E.L. 1993. Life history invariants: some explorations of symmetry in
614	evolutionary ecology. Oxford University Press, New York. 184 pp.
615	Charnov, E.L. 2008. Fish growth: Bertalanffy k is proportional to reproductive effort.
616	Environmental Biology of Fishes, 83: 185-187.
617	Charnov, E.L., Gislason, H. and Pope, J.G. 2013. Evolutionary assembly rules for fish
618	life histories. Fish and Fisheries, 14: 213-224.
619	Choat, J.H., Robertson, D.R. 2001. Age-based studies on coral reef fishes. In: Coral Reef
620	Fishes: dynamic and diversity in a complex ecosystem. Elsevier, San Diego, CA,
621	USA, pp. 57-80.
622	Clark, W.G.W. 2002. F35% Revisited ten years later. North American Journal of Fisheries
623	Management, 22: 251-257.
624	Costello, C., Ovando, D., Hilborn, R., Gaines, S.D., Deschenes, O., Lester, S.E. 2012.
625	Status and solutions for the world's unassessed fisheries. Science, 338: 517-520.
626	Fryer, G., and Iles, T.D. 1972. The cichlid fishes of the Great Lakes of Africa: their
627	biology and evolution. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, UK. 641 pp.
628	Gislason, H., Daan, N., Rice, J.C., Pope, J.G. 2010. Size, growth, temperature and the
629	natural mortality of marine fish. Fish and Fisheries 11: 149-158.
630	Gust, N., Choat, J.H., Ackerman, J.L. 2002. Demographic plasticity in tropical reef fish.
631	Marine Biology, 140: 1039-1051.
	30

2
3
4
4
5
6
7
<i>'</i>
8
9
10
44
11
12
13
14
14
15
16
17
40
18
19
20
21
21
22
23
2/
24
25
26
27
21
28
29
30
24
31
32
33
24
34
35
36
37
57
38
39
40
44
41
42
43
11
44
45
46
47
47
48
49
50
51
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
50
20
59
60

632	Hewitt, D. and Hoenig, J. 2005. Comparison of two approaches for estimating natural
633	mortality based on longevity. Fishery Bulletin, 103: 433-437.
634	Hilborn, R., Branch, T.A. 2013. Does catch reflect abundance? Nature, 494: 303-306.
635	Hilborn, R., Orensanz, J.M., Parma, A.M. 2005. Institutions, incentives and the future of
636	fisheries. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B., 360: 47-57.
637	Holt, S. J. 1958. The evaluation of fisheries resources by the dynamic analysis of stocks,
638	and notes on the time factors involved. ICNAF Special Publication, I: 77–95.
639	Hordyk, A., Ono, K., Loneragan, N., Prince, J.D. This Issue a. Some explorations of the
640	life history ratios to describe length composition, spawning-per-recruit, and the
641	spawning potential ratio. ICES Journal of Marine Science
642	Hordyk, A., Ono, K., Loneragan, N., Prince, J.D. This Issue b. A novel length-based
643	estimation method of spawning potential ratio (SPR), and tests of its performance,
644	for small-scale, data-poor fisheries. ICES Journal of Marine Science
645	Jensen, A.L. 1996. Beverton and Holt life history invariants result from optimal trade-off
646	of reproduction and survival. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences,
647	53: 820-822.
648	Jensen, A.L. 1997. Origin of the relation between K and L_{inf} and synthesis of relations
649	among life history parameters. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences,
650	54: 987-989.

6 7		
, 8 9	651	King, J.R., McFarlane, G.A. 2003. Marine fish life history strategies: applications to
10 11	652	fishery management. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 10: 249-264.
12 13 14	653	Mace, P. and Sissenwine, M. 1993. How much spawning per recruit is necessary? In Risk
15	654	Evaluation and Biological Reference Points for Fisheries Management. pp. 101-118.
16 17	655	Ed. by S. Smith, J. Hunt, and D. Rivard. Canadian Special Publications of Fisheries
18 19	656	and Aquatic Science, No. 120. 222 pp.
20 21 22	657	Mahon, R. 1997. Does fisheries science serve the needs of managers of small stocks in
23 24	658	developing countries? Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 54: 2207-
25 26	659	2213.
27 28	660	Mullon, C., Freon, P., Cury, P. 2005. The dynamics of collapse in world fisheries. Fish
29 30 31	661	and Fisheries, 6:111-120.
32 33	662	Pauly, D. 1980. On the interrelationship between mortality, growth parameters and mean
34 35	663	temperature in 175 fish stocks. Journal du Conseil Permanent International pour
36 37	664	Exploration de la Mer, 39: 175-92.
38 39 40	665	Pauly, D. 2013. Does catch reflect abundance? Nature, 494: 303-305.
40 41 42	666	Pianka, F.R. 1970. On <i>r</i> - and <i>K</i> - selection. American Naturalist, 104: 593-597.
43 44 45	667	Prince, J.D., Peeters, H., Gorfine, H., Day R.W. 2008. The novel use of harvest policies
46	668	and rapid visual assessment to manage spatially complex abalone resources (Genus
47 48 49	669	Haliotis). Fisheries Research 94: 330-338.
50 51		
52 53		32
54 55		
56 57		
58 50		
00		

2			
3			
5			
6			
7 8 9	670	Prince, J. D., Dowling, N.A., Davies, C.R., Campbell, R.A., Kolody D.S. 2011. A simple	
10 11	671	cost-effective and scale-less empirical approach to harvest strategies. ICES Journal	
12 13	672	of Marine Science, 68: 947-960. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fs May 2011.	
14 15	673	Punt, A.E., Smith, D.C., Smith, A.D.M. 2011. Among-stock comparisons for improving	
16 17	674	stock assessments of data-poor stocks: the "Robin Hood" approach. ICES Journal of	
18 19	675	Marine Science, 68: 972-981.	
20 21 22	676	Restrepo, V.R., Powers, J.E. 1999. Precautionary control rules in US fisheries	
23 24	677	management: specification and performance. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 56:	
25 26	678	846-852.	
27 28	679	Roff, D.A. 1984. The evolution of life-history parameters in teleosts. Canadian Journal of	
29 30	680	Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 39: 1686-1698.	
31 32 22	681	Sainsbury, K. J. 1980. Effect of individual variability on the von Bertalanffy growth	
33 34 35	682	equation. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 37: 241-247.	
36 37	683	Shepherd, J.G. 1982. A versatile new stock-recruitment relationship for fisheries, and the	
38 39	684	construction of sustainable yield curves. Journal du Conseil Permanent International	
40 41 42	685	pour Exploration de la Mer, 40; 67-75.	
42 43 44	686	Von Bertalanffy, L. 1938. A quantitative theory of organic growth. Human Biology, 10:	
45 46	687	181-213.	
47 48	688	Walters, C. and S. J. D Martell. 2004. Fisheries Ecology and Management. Princeton	
49 50	689	University Press, Princeton, New Jersey. 399 pp.	
51 52 53		33	
55 55			
56 57			
58			
59 60			

690	Walters, C., Pearse, P.H. 1996. Stock information requirements for quota management
691	systems in commercial fisheries. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 6: 21-42.
692	Ziegler, P.E., Lyle, J.M., Haddon, M., Ewing, G.P. 2007. Rapid changes in life-history
693	characteristics of a long-lived temperate reef fish. Marine and Freshwater Research,
694	58: 1096-1107.
695	
696	
697	Figure & Table Legends
698	Table 1. Synopsis of the taxa and species in this meta-analysis summarizing the range of
699	parameters used for each species group. M = natural mortality; k = von Bertalanffy
700	growth parameter; L_m = length at maturity; L_{∞} = asymptotic length; max. = maximum.
701	
701	
702	Figure 1.
703	Observed relationships for 125 selected marine species between Spawning Potential
704	Ratio (<i>SPR</i>) and (a) standardised weight (weight _{max} =1.0), (b) standardised length
705	(length _{max} =1.0), (c) standardised age (age _{max} =1.0), and (d) weight standardized for size of
706	maturity (weight _m =0) and maximum weight (weight _{max} = 1.0). Green lines denote species
707	with indeterminate growth and $M/k > 1.0$; blue lines denote species with indeterminate
708	growth and $M/k < 1.0$; red lines denote species with determinate growth & $M/k < 1.0$;
709	black lines show the relationship for species conforming with BH-LHI; $M/k = 1.5$,
710	$L_m/L_{\infty} = 0.66$ and $M \ge Age_m = 1.65$.
	34
1	

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
1	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
27	
20	
30 20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
53	
04 55	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

711	
712	Figure 2
713	Simulated length frequency histograms illustrating how the size compositions of unfished
714	populations are determined by the M/k ratio for a species'. The range of M/k ratios (0.1 to
715	4.0) chosen for simulating these histograms was based on the range of ratios observed in
716	the meta-analysis of 123 species. Top row: $M/k = 4.0, 1.65, 1.0$. Middle row: $M/k = 0.8$,
717	0.6, 0.4. Bottom row: $M/k=0.3$, 0.2, 0.1. Shading indicates the proportion of the mature,
718	adults in the population, assuming $L_m/L_{\infty} = 3/(3 + M/k)$, as derived from Beverton (1992).
710	
719	
720	Figure 3
721	The relationship between relative length of maturity (L_m/L_∞) in (a) the 123 marine species
722	selected for this meta-analysis; and (b) nine teleost families with more than three species
723	plotted against the M/k for each species. In a), green points denote species with
724	indeterminate growth and $M/k > 1.0$; blue points indeterminate growth and $M/k < 1.0$; red
725	points determinate growth and $M/k < 1.0$. The solid black lines plot the Beverton (1992)
726	relationship; $L_m/L_{\infty} = 3/(3 + M/k)$. The dashed and dotted lines, respectively show the
727	relationships $L_m/L_\infty = 2.5/(2.5 + M/k)$ and $L_m/L_\infty = 3.5/(3.5 + M/k)$.
728	
729	Figure 4
730	Observed relationships between Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR) and (a) standardised
731	weight (weight _{max} =1.0), (b) standardised length (length _{max} =1.0), (c) standardised age
732	$(age_{max}=1.0)$ for the three most numerous teleost families in our meta-analysis; Gobiidae,
	35

733	n=5, green; Lethrinidae, n=10, red; Acanthuridae, n=7, blue. The broken black line show
734	the BH-LHI relationships; $M/k = 1.5$, $L_m/L_{\infty} = 0.66$, and $M \ge Age_m = 1.65$.
735	
736	Figure 5
737	The relationship between asymptotic length (L_{∞}) and M/k for a) 109 marine species in our
738	database with $L_{\infty} \leq$ 200cm and b) nine teleost families with more than three species, for
739	those species with asymptotic size ≤ 200 cm. Coloured lines show the relationships
740	estimated from Pauly's (1980) equation assuming the range of k observed in this meta-
741	analysis $(0.1 - 1.0)$ and an ambient temperature of 15°C.

Taxa	# Families	# Species	Max. age (yrs)	Max. length (m)	<i>M/k</i> mean (range)	L_m/L_∞ mean (range)
Туре І	34	49	<1-102	0.04-3.19	1.95 (1.00-3.52)	0.55 (0.32-0.79)
Chondrichthyes	8	10	10-49	0.57-3.19	2.07 (1.03-3.16)	0.64 (0.50-0.79)
Crustacean	2	3	<1-14	0.15-0.25	1.55 (1.20-1.90)	0.52 (0.46-0.56)
Mollusc	2	2	5	0.06-0.07	2.92 (2.74-3.10)	0.35 (0.32-0.39)
Teleost	22	34	<1-102	0.04-1.49	1.88 (1.00-3.52)	0.55 (0.32-0.71)
Type II	32	59	<1-154	0.03-1.83	0.62 (0.14-0.98)	0.69 (0.30-0.84)
Chondrichthyes	1	1	8	0.73	0.59	0.75
Crustacean	3	5	<1-15	0.03-0.08	0.74 (0.62-0.94)	0.55 (0.30-0.74)
Mollusc	5	8	3-154	0.07-0.14	0.53 (0.14-0.84)	0.55 (0.34-0.80)
Teleost	23	45	5-96	0.12-1.83	0.63 (0.21-0.98)	0.72 (0.32-0.84)
Type III	11	15	5-115	0.21-21.49	0.57 (0.12-0.83)	0.88 (0.85-0.93)
Chondrichthyes	2	2	17-46	1.21-1.62	0.68 (0.63-0.73)	0.92 (0.91-0.93)
Mammal	3	5	58-115	2.67-21.49	0.46 (0.20-0.75)	0.88 (0.87-0.91)
Teleost	6	8	5-77	0.21-0.69	0.61 (0.12-0.83)	0.87 (0.85-0.89)
Total	77	123	<1-154	0.03-21.49	1.17 (0.12-3.52)	0.66 (0.30-0.93)





http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/icesjms



79x79mm (120 x 120 DPI)





169x169mm (120 x 120 DPI)







169x77mm (120 x 120 DPI)





1.0

000

SPR 14 0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

0.0

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

Gobiidae (5) Lethrinidae (10)

Acanthuridae BH-I HI

Standardized Weight

1.0

0.0



ι 169x56mm (1.

Standardized Length

0.0

1.0

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 Standardized Age

0.8 1.0

1Revisiting the concept of Beverton-Holt Life History Invariants with the aim of2informing data-poor fisheries assessment.

4 Jeremy Prince^{1,2}, Adrian Hordyk², Sarah R. Valencia³, Neil Loneragan², Keith
5 Sainsbury⁴

⁷¹ Biospherics P/L, South Fremantle, Western Australia

8 ² Centre for Fish, Fisheries and Aquatic Ecosystems Research, School of Veterinary and

9 Life Sciences, Murdoch University, Western Australia, <u>A.Hordyk@murdoch.edu.au</u>,

10 N.Loneragan@murdoch.edu.au

³ Bren School of Environmental Science and Management, University of California,

12 Santa Barbara, USA, svalencia@bren.ucsb.edu,

⁴ University of Tasmania, Tasmania, Australia, ksainsbury@netspace.net.au

15 Corresponding author: Jeremy Prince, Biospherics P/L, P.O. Box 168 South Fremantle,

16 W.A. 6162, Australia. Phone: +618 9336 3793, Email: biospherics@ozemail.com.au

20 Abstract

 The complexity and cost of existing fishery assessment techniques prohibits their application to 90% of fisheries globally. Simple, cost-effective, generic approaches are needed for small-scale and data-poor fisheries that support the majority of the world's fishing communities but cannot currently be assessed quantitatively. This meta-analysis of the relationship between spawning potential and the normalized size and age of 123 marine species suggests that the so-called Beverton-Holt Life History Invariants (BH-LHI; L_m/L_{∞} , M/k, $M \ge Age_m$) actually vary together in relation to life history strategy, determining the relationship between size, age and reproductive potential for each species. Although little realized, the common assumption of unique values for the BH-LHI also implies that all species share the same relationship between size, age and reproductive potential. This implicit assumption of is not supported by this meta-analysis, which instead suggests there is considerable but predictable natural variation in the BH-LHI ratios and the relationships between size, age and reproductive potential that they determine. We believe this reconceptualization of the BH-LHI has potential to provide a theoretical framework for 'borrowing' knowledge from well-studied species to apply to related, unstudied species and populations, and when applied together with the assessment technique described by Hordyk et al. (this issue b), could make simple forms of size based assessment possible for many data-poor fish stocks that are currently considered unassessable.

42 Keywords

43 Beverton-Holt Life History Invariant, Data-poor assessment, parameter estimation

45 Introduction

A persistent challenge for sustainable fisheries is the scale, complexity and cost of fishery assessment (Walters and Pearse, 1996; Hilborn et al., 2005; Beddington and Kirkwood, 2005; Mullon et al., 2005). Current assessment techniques require technical expertise, detailed biological knowledge and time-series data on catch, effort and/or surveyed abundance (Walters and Martell, 2004) resulting in an annual cost of \$U\$50,000 to millions of dollars per stock (Pauly, 2013). This represents a substantial impediment to assessing small-scale, spatially complex and developing-world fisheries (Mahon, 1997). By some estimates, 90% of the world's fisheries, which directly support 14 - 40 million fishers and indirectly support approximately 200 million people, are un-assessable using current methods (Andrew et al., 2007).

Considerable uncertainty surrounds the status of unassessed stocks (Costello et al., 2012; Hilborn and Branch, 2012; Pauly, 2013) so that overfishing may go unrecognized until stocks collapse. Even where fishing communities want to change fishing practices, the technical difficulty and expense of current assessment techniques can prevent science-based harvest strategies from being developed and implemented for these fisheries. A new methodology is needed for small-scale and data-poor fisheries (Andrew et al., 2007; Pauly, 2013) along with theoretical frameworks for informing the assessment of unstudied species with biological knowledge about related species (Punt et al. 2011).

The correlation between biological parameters across species has been widely used to provide generic parameter estimates necessary for assessment modeling (Charnov, 1993) and were first described in fisheries by Beverton and Holt (1959) for the clupeid and engraulid (herring and anchovy-like bony fishes) stocks of the North Sea (Beverton, 1963). They observed correlations between: 1) the instantaneous natural mortality rate (M) and the von Bertalanffy (1938) growth rate constant (k), 2) length at maturity (L_m) and asymptotic length (L_{∞}) , and 3) M and the age of maturity (T_m) . Beverton and Holt's primary interest was in estimating M, a parameter that is notoriously difficult to measure, from studies of k, L_m and T_m , which by comparison, are easily estimated. Although neither Beverton, nor Holt used the term, these three life history ratios $(M/k, L_m/L_{\infty})$ and M $x Age_m$) are now commonly referred to as the Beverton-Holt Life History Invariants (BH-LHI). Widely considered to be environmentally influenced constants (Pauly, 1980) the BH-LHI have been used extensively to parameterize fisheries models (Charnov, 2003; Beddington and Kirkwood, 2005; Gislason et al., 2010). In this study we use Jensen's (1996) definition of the three BH-LHI; M/k = 1.5, $L_m/L_{\infty} = 0.66$ and $M \ge T_m = 1.65$.

The Spawning Potential Ratio, or Spawning Per Recruit (*SPR*), is a commonly used index of the relative rate of reproduction (Mace and Sissenwine, 1993; Walters and Martell, 2004) in an exploited stock. Brooks *et al.* (2010) recommend its utility for applying to stocks in data limited fisheries. The *SPR* is defined as the proportion of the unfished reproductive potential left by any given fishing pressure. It can be conceptualized as the total <u>reproductive</u> potential of all the cohorts in a population at equilibrium, or the life-

time reproductive potential of an average individual passing through a population at equilibrium. By definition, unfished stocks and individuals in an unfished stock, have an SPR of 100% (SPR_{100%}) and fishing mortality reduces SPR_{100%} from the unfished level to SPR_{x%}. Shepherd (1982) used the SPR concept to integrate the separate approaches to fisheries modeling that had developed on opposite sides of the North Atlantic during the 1970s. Generic SPR-based reference points have been developed theoretically and through the meta-analysis of quantitatively assessed fisheries, and have been recognized in international fisheries law (Restrepo and Powers, 1999; Australian Government, 2007), for example $\sim SPR_{40\%}$ is generally considered a conservative proxy for maximum sustainable yield (Mace and Sissenwine, 1993; Clark, 2002; Walters and Martell, 2004). Until recently, estimating SPR has required unique population models to be parameterised for each stock using estimates of natural mortality, growth, reproduction, and time series of abundance, or age composition data (e.g. Ault et al., 1998; Walters and Martell 2004).

This study and the accompanying studies of Hordyk *et al.* (this issue a&b) arose from our interest in developing a simple method for using size composition data to evaluate the SPR of exploited populations (Prince et al. 2008; 2011). Prince et al. (2008) observed that the spatial variability of size of maturity in Haliotid populations could be gauged from the shape and appearance of their shells, and that qualitative evaluations of a population's spawning potential can be made on the basis of size composition relative to the visually evaluated size of maturity. This current collection of studies was prompted by a journal editor asking, how the finding of Prince et al. (2008) applied to other species? And our research began by addressing the question do all species exhibit the

2
3
1
4
5
6
7
8
0
9
10
11
12
13
10
14
15
16
17
10
10
19
20
21
22
~~
23
24
25
26
20
21
28
29
30
21
31
32
33
34
35
00
36
37
38
39
10
40
41
42
43
44
15
40
46
47
48
10
43
50
51
52
53
51
54
55
56
57
58
50
59
60

109	same relationship between size of maturity, relative size composition and SPR? To this
110	end we began with the empirical and descriptive study described here, of how SPR relates
111	to size of maturity, relative body size and age in 123 species of teleosts, chondrichthyans,
112	invertebrates and marine mammals. The results presented here suggested to us that there
113	are predictable patterns in life history ratios M/k and L_m/L_{∞} which are related to each
114	species' life history strategy, unfished size compositions, and the distribution of
115	spawning potential by size. Exploring these results more rigorously Hordyk et al. (this
116	issue a) demonstrates that it is the life history ratios of M/k and L_m/L_∞ together with F/M
117	that determine the shape of size compositions and the SPR of populations, rather than the
118	individual parameter values as generally assumed. On this basis Hordyk et al. (this issue
119	b) developed and tested a new approach to size-based assessment, which we believe may
120	have great application to data-poor assessment. Returning to the empirical observations
121	made in this study, we postulate that extending our meta-analysis of well studied species
122	could make it possible to predict characteristic life history ratios of most exploited
123	populations based on general knowledge about each species life history strategy, and so
124	make simple size based assessments possible for many stocks currently considered too
125	data-poor to assess.
126	
127	Materials and methods
128	Selection of Parameter Sets
129	For our meta-analysis we collected studies of marine and estuarine species for which we
130	could find robust estimates of growth, natural mortality, reproduction, and length-weight
	6

1
2
3
1
4 5
с С
6
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
10
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
20
21
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
20
20
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
<u>1</u> 0
50
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
50

131	relatio	nships. In order to control the quality of the parameter_sets we applied strict
132	selecti	on criteria. Rather than developing our own criteria de novo we based our criteria
133	on the	six criteria developed by Gislason et al. (2010), which they define as: "
134	1.	Estimates were rejected if they had been derived from empirical relationships
135		(e.g. Beverton and Holt, 1959; Pauly, 1980) or 'borrowed' from studies of similar
136		species.
137	2.	Estimates by size or age were rejected if they had been derived from multi-species
138		modeling.
139	3.	Parameters were rejected if they were based on an insufficient amount of data, if
140		the authors expressed concern that they could be biased or uncertain, or if the
141		sampling gears and/or procedures for working up the samples were likely to have
142		biased the estimates.
143	4.	Estimates of total mortality based on catch-at-length, or catch-at-age were
144		accepted as estimates of M, only if the data had been collected from an
145		unexploited or lightly exploited stock over a sufficiently long time period to
146		ensure that they reflected mortality and not simply differences in year class
147		strength, and if growth parameters or ageing methods were considered
148		appropriate.
149	5.	Estimates derived from tagging data were included only if the following factors
150		had been considered: mortality associated with the tagging operation, tag loss,
151		differences in mortality experienced by tagged and untagged fish, migration out of
152		the study area and uncertainty regarding tag recovery.

Times New Roman

1
2
3
4
ч 5
0
0
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
10
10
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
20
20
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
40 //1
רד ⊿ר∕
72 12
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
5 <u>/</u>
54
55
20
5/
58
59

153	6. Estimates derived from regressions of total mortality and effort were included,	
154	only if it was credible that total fishing mortality would be proportional to the	
155	measure of fishing effort considered, and if extrapolation did not result in	
156	excessively large confidence intervals."	
157	While Gislason et al.'s focus was solely on ensuring the quality of natural mortality	
158	estimates, we found that where other aspects of biology had been studied, these criteria	
159	also ensured the quality of estimates for the broader list of parameters of interest to this	
160	study (i.e. growth, reproduction, and length-weight relationships).	
161	To ensure that each species' parameter set described a single population and a similar	
162	population density we also applied a seventh criterion, which we defined as:	
163	7. All parameter estimates for a species should be from the same geographic	
164	population, and <u>from the same</u> time <u>period</u> .	Formatted: Font:
165	Species were only included if they met all seven criteria and all the necessary parameters	
166	could be estimated, this limited the number of studies available to our meta-analysis. We	
167	were able to gather data for a total of 123 species, including representatives from teleosts,	
168	invertebrates, chondrichthyans, and marine mammals. A complete list of species,	
169	parameter values and source references are provided in supporting on-line material.	
170	It should be noted that these criteria biased our selection process towards well-studied	
171	populations prior to, or during the early phase of exploitation. Thus almost all the studies	
172	included in our database are of lightly exploited populations. We do not believe our	
173	sample is representative of all, or even groups of, marine species. For this reason we have	
174		
1/4	not attempted to apply statistical techniques of analysis, as we have no expectation that	

3
1
4 -
5
6
7
8
q
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
19
20
21
22
22
23
24
25
26
27
20
20
29
30
31
32
33
22
34
35
36
37
38
30
10
40
41
42
43
44
45
40 40
40
41
48
49
50
51
50
52
53
54
55
56
57
50
20
59

60

181

175 our samples are distributed normally or are representative of any mean condition,
176 parameter value or relationships. As discussed below we do not believe such statistical
177 concepts are applicable to a study such as this. In this study our aim was entirely
178 qualitative; to look for, and to describe patterns of variation that exist in nature. For the
179 quantitative analysis of the patterns we observed, the reader is directed to the
180 accompanying theoretical study of Hordyk *et al.* (this issue a)

182 Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR) Model for Meta-analysis

183 We modeled the SPR achieved at any given age for the 123 species to examine patterns in 184 the relationships between age, length, weight and reproductive output. The SPR is usually 185 calculated by summing the total reproductive output of all age classes and dividing by the 186 number of recruits in order to obtain a general measure of current rate of reproductive 187 output in relation to the maximum potential output, i.e. the unfished, level. However, here 188 we are interested in calculating the cumulative percentage of total lifetime reproductive 189 output achieved when an individual reaches each age/size, and how that varies across the 190 widest possible range of marine species. For this purpose we developed an age-based 191 equilibrium SPR model for each species with an initial cohort size of 1,000. The number 192 of survivors, average individual length and weight, and percentage of total reproductive 193 output achieved for both individuals and cohorts at each successive time step was 194 calculated. To enable comparisons across species age, length, weight and reproduction 195 were normalized with respect to their maximum value. The maximum age class (t_{max}) was 196 determined to be the first age class with an abundance of $\leq 1\%$ of the initial cohort size

197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213

 8
 and Hoenig (2005) who note that a range of 1-5% has generally been used for the purpose

 9
 of estimating M, and who conclude that 1.5% is most appropriate. In this range we tested

 0
 a number of values and found it had little effect on our results.

 1
 For each parameter set, the cohort declined with constant natural mortality:

 2
 $N_{t+1} = N_t e^{-M}$ (1)

 3
 where N_t is the number of age t individuals, M is natural mortality, and N_{θ} is 1,000.

 4
 Reproductive output (EP) was estimated at each age t as:

 5
 $EP_t = (N_{t-1}e^{-M})f_t$ (2)

 6
 where f_t is mean fecundity at age t. The cumulative Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR) was

 7
 calculated for each age class t:

(i.e. ≤ 10 individuals). This is consistent with the results of empirical studies of Hewitt

$$SPR_t = \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{t} EP_t}{\sum_{t=0}^{t_{max}} EP_t}$$
(3)

where *SPR_t* is the proportion of potential lifetime <u>reproductive output</u> achieved at age *t*.
When no fecundity data were available, the reproductive output of a mature age class was
assumed proportional to biomass:

$$EP_t = N_t e^{-M} W_t m_t \tag{4}$$

13 where W_t is mean weight at age t, and m_t is the probability of being mature at age t.

214	We used the simplifying assumption that M remains constant over all age and size classes
215	in a population. This is unlikely to be true in nature, as juvenile mortality rates are
216	generally observed to be greater than adult mortality rates, and mortality rates maybe size
217	related throughout a species' life cycle (Gislasson et al. 2010; Charnov et al. 2013).
218	However as noted by Charnov et al. (2013) juvenile mortality rates do not influence the
219	estimation of life-time reproductive output in a species, and adult mortality rates tend to
220	be more constant than juvenile rates, and so more likely to be compatible with this
221	simplifying assumption.
222	A broad range of formulations to describe growth, fecundity, mortality and relationships
223	between age, length and weight were found in the literature, and these are described

below. We adapted the formulation of the *SPR* model for each species to the formulations and units used in the source literature. If < 15 age classes were present, we converted the unit of time to the next lowest unit (i.e. years to months, or months to weeks) to smooth the functions being modelled.

Five growth models were used by the collected literature to describe the growth for the
123 selected species. The three-parameter von Bertalanffy growth function (VBGF) was
used to describe the growth of 117 species:

$$L_t = L_{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-k(t-t_0)} \right)$$
(5)

where L_t is mean length at age t, L_{∞} is asymptotic length, k the growth coefficient, and t_0 is the theoretical age at zero length. The Schnute growth function was used for three species:

When fecundity at length, weight, or age was not available, reproductive output was assumed proportional to the biomass of an individual or cohort, based on the reported maturity ogive for each species (Equation 4). When no maturity ogive was available, whichever available estimate of length at maturity (e.g. L_{0} , L_{50} , L_{100}) was used to delineate between juvenile and adult size classes, and maturity was assumed to be knife edge at that size. Size-fecundity relationships were available for 24 species. For the remaining 99 species, reproductive output was assumed proportional to individual weight for teleosts (75 species) and some crustaceans (4 species) and molluscs (7 species), and size-independent for elasmobranches (8 species) and mammals (5 species).

Where sexual dimorphism was recorded only female parameters were used in the SPR
model developed for the species. Our database includes several hermaphroditic species,
for these species the maturity ogive was defined as female maturation ogive, and if
occurring after the female stage (which is generally the case), the male stage was
considered to contribute to the reproductive potential of the population, and for the
purpose of SPR modeling treated as a continuation of the female phase.

265 <u>Simulation of Length-Composition</u>

266To assist with our understanding of the patterns we observed an age-based model was267developed to simulate the length frequency composition of the theoretical unfished268populations across the range of M/k observed in our meta-analysis. To achieve the desired269ratios of M/k for each simulation we fixed M at 0.2 and solved for the appropriate value270of k. Size compositions were simulated using nine values of M/k (4.0, 1.65, 1.0, 0.8, 0.6,13

271 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, & 0.1). We assumed von Bertalanffy growth (mean $L_{\infty} = 1$, $CV_{L\infty} = 0.1$, $t_0 = 0$) 272 in arbitrary units, with L_{∞} distributed normally among individuals, and with the variance 273 in mean length a function of mean cohort length (Sainsbury, 1980). Because of the 274 variation associated with length-at-age, some individuals have lengths greater than mean 275 L_{∞} (1.0); thus the length composition was calculated for lengths between 0 and 1.4. We 276 estimated the size of maturity for these simulated size compositions using the Beverton 277 (1992) equation derived for teleosts:

$$\frac{L_m}{L_\infty} = \frac{3}{3 + \frac{M}{k}} \tag{12}$$

279 Results

Figure 1a-d shows the modeled SPR for every species in our meta-analysis as functions of (a) normalized weight (weight/weight $_{max}$), (b) normalized length (length/length $_{max}$) and (c) normalized age (age/agemax). As described in the methods Weightmax, lengthmax and agemax are defined as the value attained when modeled cohort abundance declines to \leq 1% of initial abundance. In Figure 1d we standardise the SPR-at-weight trajectories (Figure 1a) with respect to both weight-at-maturity and maximum weight ((W- $(\underline{W}_m)/(\underline{W}_{max}-\underline{W}_m))$ making it evident that much of the crossing of trajectories observed in Figures 1a & b is due to variation in size of maturity. The spectrum of curves observed in Figures 1a-d appears to be determined by the range of M/k ratios observed in our metaanalysis; species with the greatest ratio (M/k = 3.5) have trajectories in the upper left of Figures 1a, b, and, while those with the lowest ratios (M/k = 0.1) have trajectories in the

291	bottom right, and this empirical observation is confirmed theoretically by Hordyk et al.
292	(this issue a).

293	Also plotted in Figures 1a-d (black lines) are the relationships expected for species with
294	biological parameters conforming to Jensen's (1996) estimates of the three BH-LHI (M/k
295	= 1.5, L_m/L_{∞} = 0.66 and M x Age _m = 1.65), and the assumption that reproductive output is
296	proportional to mature weight, which in turn is a cubic function of length. From this we
297	conclude that the three BH-LHI, proscribe a unique relationship between normalized size,
298	age and SPR, however, the BH-LHI relationship is apparently some form of 'median'
299	form of the relationship we observe across all 123 species in our meta-analysis rather
300	than an invariant relationship that is applicable to many species as we expected originally
301	might be the case.
202	

302	As demonstrated by Hordyk et al. (this issue a) and illustrated by simulation in Figure 2
303	the M/k ratio determines the relative shape of a population's unfished size composition.
304	Here, we note again our use of the simplifying assumption that M remains constant over
305	the all age and size classes in a population, and the influence that this assumption has on
306	the shape of these simulated size compositions. However, using the rationale of Charnov
307	et al. (2013) that adult mortality rates are more likely to tend towards a level of
308	consistency, and determine life-time reproductive output, we observe that unfished
309	populations with $M/k > 1.0$ do not tend to exhibit an adult modal size (Figure 2). This is
310	because adults grow and die too rapidly to accumulate around a species asymptotic size
311	(Hordyk et al. this issue a). This contrasts with unfished populations of species with M/k
312	\leq 1.0 (Hordyk <i>et al.</i> this issue a) in which adults grow relatively slowly and persist long

313 <u>enough to accumulate around an asymptotic size so that a modal adult size becomes</u>
314 <u>observable, and increasingly prominent as *M/k* declines (Figure 2).
</u>

To assist in our description of the variation we observed around the BH-LHI relationship between normalized size, age and SPR, we categorised the species in our meta-analysis with respect to M/k = 1.0, and whether their growth is determinate or indeterminate. Species with indeterminate growth continue growing throughout adult life, although slowing to some extent with increasing size, while species with determinate growth do not grow as adults. These two criteria defined three broad groups or 'Types' of species in our meta-analysis; Type I – M/k > 1.0 and indeterminate growth, Type II – M/k < 1.0 and indeterminate growth, Type III – $M/k \le 1.0$ and determinate growth (Figure 1). No species with M/k > 1.0 and determinate growth were observed in our meta-analysis (see also Hordyk et al. this issue a).

A total of 49 Type I species (green lines) were identified, including 34 teleosts, 10 chondrichthyes, 3 crustaceans and 2 molluses. Their trajectories occupy the upper left hand side of Figs 1a-c and the lower right of Figure 1d. Type I species conform to the general shape of the BH-LHI trajectories, and have a slightly higher average M/k (1.95, cf. 1.5; Table 1) but slightly lower average L_m/L_{∞} (0.55, cf. 0.65; Table 1) than those for the BH-LHI. They begin reproduction at relatively small sizes (Figures 1a&b) but at a relatively later stage of their life cycle (Figure 1c) than Types II & III. Unfished Type I populations are numerically dominated by juvenile length classes and do not exhibit an adult modal size (Fig. 2; top panels). Most (60-80%) of the reproductive potential in these

 334 populations comes from smaller individuals that are <80% of their asymptotic size335 (Figure 1a, b).

A diverse range of species comprise the Type I category, including coastal bivalves Gari solida, Semele solida, a crab Callinectes sapidus, two spiny lobsters Panulirus argus, P. ornatus, several carcharhinid Carcharhinus obscurus, C. plumbeus and triakid sharks, Mustelus antarcticus, Prionace glauca, and teleosts ranging from low tropic level species such as Scomber japonicus, Cololabis saira and the clupeid Brevoortia patronus to higher trophic level species, such as the rockfish Sebastes chlorostictus, S. melanonstomus and two apex piscivores, the scombrid tunas Thunnus alalunga, and T. tonggol.

Type II species (blue curves on Figure 1) are shifted to the right of Type I species in Figures 1a&b, and to the left in Figure 1c. They share the indeterminate growth pattern of Type I species. Type III species (red) grow to a determinant asymptotic adult size, and reproduce without further growth. Their trajectories are shifted to the extreme right in Figures 1a&b, and the extreme left in Figure 1c. The 74 Type II and III species share lower M/k ratios than Type I species (mean = 0.62, cf. 1.95; Table 1). In contrast to Type I species, Type II & III species do not reproduce until growth is almost complete; Type II species produce approximately 70% of their SPR at sizes >80% of the asymptotic size, while Type III species produce 90% of SPR at sizes >80% of asymptotic size (Figure 1). Unfished populations of Type II and III species are dominated by adult size classes, and exhibit distinct adult modes that become more pronounced with lower M/k ratios (Figure 2; mid & lower panels).

The Type II species (blue) form a middle group of 59 species (45 teleosts, 1 elasmobranch, 5 crustaceans, and 8 molluscs) with average L_m/L_∞ similar to the BH-LHI ratio (0.69, cf. 0.66; Table 2), but a lower average M/k than the BH-LHI ratio (0.62, cf. 1.5; Table 2). Type II species include crustaceans, *Nephrops norvegicus*, and all of the prawns (=shrimp) in our analysis Penaeus indicus, P. latisulcatus, P. merguiensis, all three haliotid gastropods Haliotis rubra, H. laevigata, H. iris, a carcharhinid shark Rhizoprionodon taylori, and a range of teleosts including flat-forms Pleuronectes platessa, Psettichthys melanostictus, tropical snappers Lutjanus malabaricus, L. carponotatus L. argentimaculatus and the long-lived orange roughy Hoplostethus atlanticus.

The 15 Type III species (red) exhibit trajectories that balloon into the bottom right of Figures 1a&b. These species reach maturity (Figure 1c) and a determinant asymptotic size (Figs 1a&b) relatively early in life. Type III species have the largest average L_m/L_{∞} ratio (0.88; Table 2) and lowest average *M/k ratio* (0.57; Table 2). Besides the five marine mammals in our database, Type III species include two triakid sharks *Galeorhinus galeus, Furgaleus macki*, eight teleosts, including the long-lived *Scorpis aequipinnis*, and two short-lived *Lethrinidae* species.

In Figure 3a the L_m/L_{∞} of each species in our analysis is plotted as a function of M/k. The solid black line $(L_m/L_{\infty} = 3/(3 + M/k))$ is derived from Beverton (1992) and Hordyk *et al.* (this issue a), but is originally from Holt (1958) who used this equation to demonstrate that size at maximum biomass $(L_{opt.})$ can be estimated from the ratio of M/k. Numerous empirical studies observe that length at maturity (L_m) coincides with L_{opt} and various

theoretical studies postulate that this is because the evolutionary fitness of a species is maximized by this coincidence (Fryer and Iles, 1972; Roff, 1984; Beverton, 1992) The factor of '3' used in this equation comes from the assumption that weight is proportional to L³. The dashed and dotted lines <u>plotted in Figure 3</u> indicate alternative relationships obtained by substituting factors of 2.5 or 3.5 into this equation, which would be equivalent to assuming weight and fecundity are proportional to L^{2.5} and L^{3.5} respectively. These alternative relationships are plotted to provide some scaling of variance around the relationship.

Very few of the species in our meta-analysis fall above the Beverton (1992) curve for the relationship between M/k and L_m/L_{∞} ; most of the outliers are below (Figure 3a). This is primarily because our meta-analysis encompasses all marine species, some of which have fixed rates of reproduction, while Beverton (1992) worked almost entirely with teleosts for which fecundity is normally more closely related to adult body size. In Figure 3b, the relationship between M/k and L_m/L_∞ is plotted for the 9 teleost families with three or more species in our database and these conform much more closely to the Beverton (1992) relationship (Figure 3b).

In Figure 3b there is the suggestion that species within families tend to group together on the M/k and L_m/L_{∞} spectrum, which in Figure 4 is shown with our 3 most numerous families to result in their SPR_{at} size and age trajectories grouping into distinctive family bands. Comparison to the plotted BH-LHI trajectories (Figure 4 – broken black line) shows that to some extent the BH-LHI relationship describes the weight and length trajectories of the Gobiidae in our study, but not their SPR at age relationship. The BH- 400 <u>LHI relationship</u> over-estimates the productivity of the Lethrinidae and Acanthuridae, by
401 suggesting higher than observed *SPR* at lower sizes for those families, while describing a
402 relationship between *SPR* and relative age that is intermediate between the Lethrinidae
403 and Acanthuridae relationships in our analysis.

<u>We</u> also examined the predictive power of Pauly's (1980) equation for estimating Mempirically by comparing the M/k and L_m/L_∞ ratios of species in our meta-analysis with those derived from Pauly's (1980) equation for estimating M empirically (Figure 5). The relationship between M/k and L_{∞} is plotted for the 109 species in our database with $L_{\infty} \leq$ 200cm, which excludes marine mammals and large sharks (Figure 5a). The solid lines indicate the estimates of M/k that would be derived using the Pauly equation across the range of k values we observed. The Pauly equation requires an assumption about ambient temperature. For simplicity we assume 15°C but sensitivity analyses showed that increasing the assumed temperature only raised the plotted lines minimally. The Pauly equation generally produces estimates of M/k > 1, especially for species with $L_{\infty} < 50$ cm. Our database includes a considerable number of teleosts with $L_{\infty} < 50$ cm and M/k < 1, for which the Pauly equation over-estimates M. This pattern is maintained even when the analysis is restricted to the teleost families with three or more species (Figure 5b).

418 Discussion

In fisheries science, the three Beverton Holt-Life History Invariants (BH-LHI) are mostcommonly used separately to estimate individual parameters for population modeling;

generally they are only linked within studies seeking to relate evolutionary fitness and metabolic parameters to the optimization of life histories (e.g., Jensen, 1997; Charnov et al., 2013). We believe our combination of them to reveal that they imply a unique generalized relationship between normalized size, age and SPR is relatively novel. Our meta-analysis suggests that rather than approximating some unique relationship between size, age and reproductive potential that is broadly applicable across species, the BH-LHI estimate some form of 'median' relationship for the 123 species in our meta-analysis (Figure 1) that is not necessarily found in any species. The first formulations of BH-LHI (Beverton and Holt 1959; Beverton 1963) were based on North Sea teleosts (clupeids and engraulids) that our analysis has classed as Type I species. Since that time fisheries biology has tended to accept, seemingly by default, that the values derived from those initial studies are relatively constant across much broader

suites of species, particularly the ratio of M/k of ~1.5. This was, however, not an assumption <u>ever made by Holt (Holt 1958) nor Beverton (1992)</u>, who <u>both</u> conceptualized species <u>as displaying</u> a range of M/k values co-varying with L_m/L_{∞} (Figure 3), nor <u>by</u> Pauly (1980), who correlated ambient temperature and adult body size with the M/k ratio for each species.

438 Apparently confirming earlier studies the descriptive meta-analysis we present here 439 illustrates the natural variability observed across marine species. We defined three broad 440 types of marine species with characteristic relationship between size, age and 441 reproductive potential, and ratios of M/k and L_m/L_{∞} Our intent in doing so is not to imply 442 fundamental differences or strong 'significantly different' boundaries between categories,

but to provide an initial indicative categorization to aid our discussion of the phenomena we observe. Our aim here is to 1) illustrate that predictable patterns in size, age and SPR appear to exist in nature, and 2) to postulate that these predictable patterns might provide an alternative theoretical framework for deriving knowledge about unstudied species from studies of related species. When we apply the classification of teleost life strategies developed King and McFarlane's (2003) or the more generalized 'r and K' theory of Pianka (1970), which characterizes life history strategies as either 1) 'r-strategists' with high population turn-over rates, a tendency for boom and bust dynamics, and invasive 'weed-like' characteristics, or 2) 'K-strategists' with relatively stable population dynamics, lower turnover and adults that reproduce over many breeding cycles. We conclude that our Type I species which mature at relatively small sizes, reproduce for a relatively short and late part of their life cycle, continue growing relatively rapidly as they reproduce, have unfished populations that are dominated by juvenile size classes, and do not exhibit a modal adult size, are Opportunist and Intermediate Strategists (King and McFarlane 2003), and tend towards being 'r-strategists' (Pianka 1970). While Type II and III species which mature at relatively high sizes, reproduce for a relatively extended part of their life cycle, growing slowly, or not at all, as they reproduce, and have unfished populations that

exhibit a modal adult size, are typically Periodic and Equilibrium Strategists (King and

McFarlane 2003), and K-strategists (Pianka 1970). From this, we surmise that, in the case

of an unstudied stock, general knowledge of a species typical life-history strategy might

be used to estimate a likely set of life history ratios and the likely relationships between

size, age and SPR those ratios proscribe. We propose that the estimations developed in

this way for a relatively unstudied stock will be more accurate than existing standard methods for applying the concept of BH-LHI to data-poor stock assessment. Currently the BH-LHI are widely assumed to be 'invariant' and individual parameters are commonly estimated for data-poor assessments on that basis, but as illustrated here (Figure 1) and proved by derivation in Hordyk *et al.* (this issue a) this implies all species share the same BH-LHI relationships between size, age and reproductive output. Rather, the so-called 'Invariants' vary together, matching patterns of growth and reproduction to different life history strategies, presumably ensuring that for each life-history strategy, length at maturity (L_m) and a cohort's maximum biomass (L_{opt}) coincide, optimizing each species' evolutionary fitness (Fryer and Iles, 1972; Roff, 1984; Beverton, 1992). From our study, and those that precede us, it appears that the BH-LHI only tend towards relative invariance within groups of species sharing similar life-history strategies, reflecting the stage at which each life-history strategy transfers energy from allometric growth to reproduction (Charnov, 2008; Charnov et al. 2013). In this conceptualization, tuna can be considered as 'larger, slower' anchovies which rarely reach asymptotic sizes, while some prawns are 'smaller, faster' versions of the fish, lobsters and gastropods that breed multiple times <u>close to</u> asymptotic sizes. The obvious question at this point is; where do phenotypic plasticity, and density

483 The obvious question at this point is; where do phenotypic plasticity, and density
484 dependent responses to fishing pressure fit into this conceptualization? Do they invalidate
485 this conceptualization of BH-LHI? The short answer is not at all, and although not
486 studied enough at the present time, we believe these two phenomena are likely to provide
487 the broader context, which will in time fully validate and extend this concept's

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
22 22
23
24
20
20
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45 45
40 16
40 17
41 10
40 40
49 50
5U ⊑4
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

488	application. Implicitly because of the selection criteria used this meta-analysis is basically
489	a study of unfished, or lightly fished, populations and this limits what can be
490	demonstrated here. In each of the cases we have used we would expect that the individual
491	parameter estimates used will have changed as the stocks for which they were estimated
492	have been fished down, just as we expect the individual parameters to vary between
493	geographically isolated populations of each species. In the case of Cheilodactylus
494	spectabilis we know this to have occurred as the population was fished down (Ziegler et
495	al. 2007). We are not proposing a new alternative form of invariance within families,
496	species or stocks. Rather we are returning to the spirit of the original formulation of this
497	concept by Holt (1958) which was that the physiological constraints of species and
498	families imply that the life history ratios will remain more stable across geographical
499	distributions and density ranges, than the individual life history parameters, and so for the
500	purpose of data-poor stock assessment the life history ratios are expected to be more
501	informative and useful than the more variable individual parameters (S.J. Holt, Personal
502	Communication). There is a growing body of literature to support this supposition, and in
503	this context the body of work by Choat on coral reef fish deserves to be highlighted and
504	should be referred to (e.g., Choat & Robertson 2001; Gust et al. 2002). While the
505	precedence set by fisheries science, and our selection criteria place a strong emphasis on
506	the quality of component estimates of natural mortality, and our sampling of the literature
507	has been strongly biased towards using lightly fished populations for this analysis, we
508	suspect that exploited marine species do not distinguish between whether a seal or a
509	human eats them. Thus we expect we would reach similar conclusions if we were to
510	broaden our study to use the ratio of Z/k rather than M/k. On this basis we postulate that
	24

2
1
4 5
0
0
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
24
20
20
21
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
40
40
41 10
40
49 50
5U
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

60

511 for metabolic and evolutionary reasons species respond to changes in total mortality by 512 minimizing changes in their life history ratios, rather than the parameters themselves, and 513 that this conceptualization of BH-LHI maybe useful for predicting how stocks are likely 514 respond to fishing pressure, and thus the likely form and magnitude of density 515 dependence mechanisms for each species. 516 The data in our meta-analysis are as yet too few to properly extend these aspects of our 517 analysis, but with the addition of further species we expect that the sharing of similar life-518 history ratios and bands of SPR trajectories by closely related species will become more 519 evident amongst both teleost and non-teleost families. We expect that it will be possible 520 to define a typical band of SPR at size and age trajectories for many marine families. 521 From Figure 4a & b it can be seen already that assuming an average or median family 522 value for an unstudied species of Lethrinid or Acanthuridae would produce a more 523 accurate assessment of size data than the standard BH-LHI assumption of $M/k \sim 1.5$. 524 Precautionary life history ratios and SPR trajectories might also be estimated for an 525 unstudied species by taking the extreme bottom right hand and least productive of the 526 SPR at size trajectories observed for a family (Figure 4a & b).

527 Our observation that the commonly assumed values of the BH-LHIs define a unique 528 'median' form of the *SPR*-at-size and age relationships observed in nature also has 529 significant implications. Firstly, the results of empirical studies to more accurately derive 530 single estimates of the BH-LHIs and to develop correlative techniques for estimating 531 individual life history parameters (e.g., Pauly, 1980; Gislasson *et al.*, 2010), will be 532 strongly influenced by the sample of species included in those studies <u>We</u> suspect that

many of these studies are implicitly (and unintentionally) biased against the inclusion of low M/k species. Noting the inherently lower productivity of low M/k species, these species are expected to be more prone to early depletion in poorly managed systems, and less likely to sustain large, valuable fisheries (and thus research programs) in well managed systems. This could well have resulted in low M/k species being under-represented in meta-analyses, especially if those studies have an implicit (and perhaps unavoidable) focus on research from regions with long histories of heavy exploitation, such as the North Sea, Atlantic and South-east Asia (Costello et al., 2012). This might explain the apparent conflict between the Pauly (1980) technique and our meta-analysis (Figure 5). Being mainly based in Australia which has a relatively recent history of fishing and a strong history of research, we had ready access to recent research conducted in lightly exploited regions of Australia, and found numerous studies documenting small bodied low M/k teleost species, which were, apparently, not as well represented in Pauly's (1980) analysis. In this context, the quest to more accurately estimate unique values for the BH-LHI looks somewhat misguided, unless narrowed carefully on taxonomic grounds, as were the original studies of Beverton and Holt (1959), and Beverton (1963).

Another significant implication of our observation that the BH-LHI define a unique 'median' form of the <u>relationships between size, age and SPR</u> observed in nature, is that existing stock assessments, using some derivation of the assumption that $M/k \sim 1.5$, are implicitly over-estimating the productivity of stocks with M/k < 1.5. This could amount to a serious systematic bias for our field as such techniques are commonly used with long-lived species where the depletion of the older age classes through fishing is thought

to have rendered the estimation of *M* with aging studies unreliable. Species with M/k <1.0 are most prone to having the older adult classes depleted through fishing, and are consequently, more likely to have had a technique based on $M/k \sim 1.5$ applied to them. Even without extending this meta-analysis rigorously down to the level of families, assessments that have used the assumption of $M/k \sim 1.5$ could be improved using the three Types of species we defined here on the basis of life-history strategies and forms of growth.

564 Conclusions

This meta-analysis suggests that the so-called Beverton-Holt Life History Invariants are actually co-variants, varying together in relation to life history strategies and defining a range of relationships between size, age and reproductive output. From our study, and those that precede us, it appears that the BH-LHI only tend towards relative invariance within groups of species sharing similar life-history strategies, reflecting the stage at which differing life-history strategy transfers energy from allometric growth to reproduction (Charnov 2008; Charnov et al. 2013). We believe this conceptualization of BH-LHI has potential to provide a theoretical framework for 'borrowing' knowledge from well-studied species for application to related unstudied stocks, and that together with Hordyk et al. (this issue a, b) this may make it possible to assess many otherwise data-poor species from simple size studies.

577 Acknowledgements

Thanks to the David and Lucille Packard Foundation, The Nature Conservancy and the Marine Stewardship Council for the support of this study. Thanks to the colleagues, fishing and scientific, who provided missing next steps along the way, and especially to Beth Fulton for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript. This study forms part of AH's doctoral dissertation at Murdoch University.

- **References**
- 585 Andrew, N.L.. Bène, C., Hall, S.J., Allison, E.H., Heck, S., & Ratner, B.D. 2007.
- 586 Diagnosis and management of small-scale fisheries in developing countries. Fish and
 587 Fisheries 8: 277-240.
- 588 Ault, J.S., Bohnsack, J.A. & Meester, G.A. 1998. A retrospective (1979-1996)
- 589 multispecies assessment of coral reef fish stocks in the Florida Keys. Fishery

590 Bulletin, 96: 395-414.

- 591 Australian Government 2007. Commonwealth Fisheries Harvest Strategy Policy
- 592 Guidelines. Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and
- 593 Forestry, Canberra, Australia. 55 pp.
- Beddington, J.R., Kirkwood, G.P. 2005. The estimation of potential yield and stock status
 using life-history parameters. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B.,
 360: 163-170.
| 2
3 | | |
|----------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7
8
9 | 597 | Beverton, R.J.H. 1963. Maturation, growth and mortality of Clupeid and Engraulid stocks |
| 10
11 | 598 | in relation to fishing. Rapports et Procés-Verbaux des Réunions du Conseil |
| 12
13 | 599 | International pour l'Exploration de la Mer, 154: 44-67. |
| 14
15 | 600 | Beverton, R.J.H. 1992. Patterns of reproductive strategy parameters in some marine |
| 10
17
18 | 601 | teleost fishes. Journal of Fish Biology, 41: 137-160. |
| 19
20 | 602 | Beverton, R.J.H., Holt, S.J. 1959. A review of the lifespans and mortality of fish in nature |
| 21
22 | 603 | and the relation to growth and other physiological characteristics. Ciba Foundation |
| 23
24 | 604 | Colloquium on Ageing, 5: 142-177. |
| 25
26
27 | 605 | Brooks, E.N., Powers, J.E. and Cortes, E. 2010. Analytical reference points for age- |
| 28 | 606 | structured models: application to data-poor fisheries. ICES Journal of marine |
| 29
30
31 | 607 | Science, 67: 000-000. |
| 32
33 | 608 | Charnov, E.L. 1993. Life history invariants: some explorations of symmetry in |
| 34
35 | 609 | evolutionary ecology. Oxford University Press, New York. 184 pp. |
| 36
37
28 | 610 | Charnov, E.L. 2008. Fish growth: Bertalanffy k is proportional to reproductive effort. |
| 38
39
40 | 611 | Environmental Biology of Fishes, 83: 185-187. |
| 41
42 | 612 | Charnov, E.L., Gislason, H. and Pope, J.G. 2013. Evolutionary assembly rules for fish |
| 43
44
45 | 613 | life histories. Fish and Fisheries, 14: 213-224. |
| 45
46
47 | 614 | Choat, J.H., Robertson, D.R. 2001. Age-based studies on coral reef fishes. In: Coral Reef |
| 48 | 615 | Fishes: dynamic and diversity in a complex ecosystem. Elsevier, San Diego, CA, |
| 49
50
51 | 616 | <u>USA, pp. 57-80.</u> |
| 52
53 | | 29 |
| 54 | | |
| 55
56 | | |
| 57
58 | | |
| 59 | | |

60

2
3
1
5
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
10
12
13
14
15
16
17
10
10
19
20
21
22
23
2/
24
25
26
27
28
29
20
24
31
32
33
34
35
36
27
31
38
39
40
41
42
43
11
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
50
5/
58
59
60

Clark, W.G.W. 2002. F35% Revisited ten years later. North American Journal of Fisheries
Management, 22: 251-257.
Costello, C., Ovando, D., Hilborn, R., Gaines, S.D., Deschenes, O., Lester, S.E. 2012.
Status and solutions for the world's unassessed fisheries. Science, 338: 517-520.
Fryer, G., and Iles, T.D. 1972. The cichlid fishes of the Great Lakes of Africa: their
biology and evolution. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, UK. 641 pp.
Gislason, H., Daan, N., Rice, J.C., Pope, J.G. 2010. Size, growth, temperature and the
natural mortality of marine fish. Fish and Fisheries 11: 149-158.
Gust, N., Choat, J.H., Ackerman, J.L. 2002. Demographic plasticity in tropical reef fish.
Marine Biology, 140: 1039-1051.
Hewitt, D. and Hoenig, J. 2005. Comparison of two approaches for estimating natural
mortality based on longevity. Fishery Bulletin, 103: 433-437.
Hilborn, R., Branch, T.A. 2013. Does catch reflect abundance? Nature, 494: 303-306.
Hilborn, R., Orensanz, J.M., Parma, A.M. 2005. Institutions, incentives and the future of
fisheries. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B., 360: 47-57.
Holt, S. J. 1958. The evaluation of fisheries resources by the dynamic analysis of stocks,
and notes on the time factors involved. ICNAF Special Publication, I: 77-95.
Hordyk, A., Ono, K., Loneragan, N., Prince, J.D. This Issue a. Some explorations of the
life history ratios to describe length composition, spawning-per-recruit, and the
spawning potential ratio. ICES Journal of Marine Science
30

2		
3 1		
5		
6		
7 8	637	Hordyk, A., Ono, K., Loneragan, N., Prince, J.D. This Issue b. A novel length-based
9 10	638	estimation method of spawning potential ratio (SPR), and tests of its performance,
12 13	639	for small-scale, data-poor fisheries. ICES Journal of Marine Science
14 15	640	Jensen, A.L. 1996. Beverton and Holt life history invariants result from optimal trade-off
16 17	641	of reproduction and survival. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences,
18 19	642	53: 820-822.
20 21	643	Jensen, A.L. 1997. Origin of the relation between K and L_{inf} and synthesis of relations
22 23	644	among life history parameters. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences,
24 25	645	54: 987-989.
26 27 28	646	King I.R. McFarlane, G.A. 2003. Marine fish life history strategies: applications to
20 29 30	647	fishery management. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 10: 249-264.
31 32	(10)	
33	648	Mace, P. and Sissenwine, M. 1993. How much spawning per recruit is necessary? In Risk
34 35	649	Evaluation and Biological Reference Points for Fisheries Management. pp. 101-118.
36 37	650	Ed. by S. Smith, J. Hunt, and D. Rivard. Canadian Special Publications of Fisheries
30 39 40	651	and Aquatic Science, No. 120. 222 pp.
41 42	652	Mahon, R. 1997. Does fisheries science serve the needs of managers of small stocks in
43 44	653	developing countries? Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 54: 2207-
45 46	654	2213.
47 48	655	Mullon, C., Freon, P., Cury, P. 2005. The dynamics of collapse in world fisheries. Fish
49 50	656	and Fisheries, 6:111-120.
51 52		31
วง 54 55		
55 56 57		
58		
59 60		

657	Pauly, D. 1980. On the interrelationship between mortality, growth parameters and mean
658	temperature in 175 fish stocks. Journal du Conseil Permanent International pour
659	Exploration de la Mer, 39: 175-92.
660	Pauly, D. 2013. Does catch reflect abundance? Nature, 494: 303-305.
661	Pianka, F.R. 1970. On <i>r</i> - and <i>K</i> - selection. American Naturalist, 104: 593-597.
662	Prince, J.D., Peeters, H., Gorfine, H., Day R.W. 2008. The novel use of harvest policies
663	and rapid visual assessment to manage spatially complex abalone resources (Genus
664	Haliotis). Fisheries Research 94: 330-338.
665	Prince, J. D., Dowling, N.A., Davies, C.R., Campbell, R.A., Kolody D.S. 2011. A simple
666	cost-effective and scale-less empirical approach to harvest strategies. ICES Journal
667	of Marine Science, 68: 947-960. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fs May 2011.
668	Punt, A.E., Smith, D.C., Smith, A.D.M. 2011. Among-stock comparisons for improving
669	stock assessments of data-poor stocks: the "Robin Hood" approach. ICES Journal of
670	Marine Science, 68: 972-981.
671	Restrepo, V.R., Powers, J.E. 1999. Precautionary control rules in US fisheries
672	management: specification and performance. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 56:
673	846-852.
674	Roff, D.A. 1984. The evolution of life-history parameters in teleosts. Canadian Journal of
675	Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 39: 1686-1698.
	32

Sainsbury, K. J. 1980. Effect of individual variability on the von Bertalanffy growth

equation. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 37: 241-247.

pour Exploration de la Mer, 40; 67-75.

University Press, Princeton, New Jersey. 399 pp.

181-213.

58: 1096-1107.

Figure & Table Legends

Shepherd, J.G. 1982. A versatile new stock-recruitment relationship for fisheries, and the

Von Bertalanffy, L. 1938. A quantitative theory of organic growth. Human Biology, 10:

Walters, C. and S. J. D Martell. 2004. Fisheries Ecology and Management. Princeton

Walters, C., Pearse, P.H. 1996. Stock information requirements for quota management

Ziegler, P.E., Lyle, J.M., Haddon, M., Ewing, G.P. 2007. Rapid changes in life-history

systems in commercial fisheries. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 6: 21-42.

characteristics of a long-lived temperate reef fish. Marine and Freshwater Research,

Table 1. Synopsis of the taxa and species in this meta-analysis summarizing the range of

parameters used for each species group. M = natural mortality; k = von Bertalanffy

growth parameter; $L_m =$ length at maturity; $L_{\infty} =$ asymptotic length; max. = maximum.

construction of sustainable yield curves. Journal du Conseil Permanent International

2	
3 4	
5 6	
7	
8 9	676
10 11	677
12 13	678
14	679
16 17 19	680
19 20	681
21 22	682
23 24 25	683
26 27	684
28 29	685
30 31 32	686
32 33 34	687
35 36	688
37 38	689
39 40 41	690
42 43	691
44 45 46	692
47 48	693
49 50	694
51 52	695
53	
54 55	
56	
57 58	
59	

60

http://mc.manuscriptcentral	l.com/icesjms

2 3
3
·
5
4
4
F
S
6
0
7
1
Q
0
a
5
10
11
•••
12
13
14
4 -
15
40
16
47
17
10
١Ö
10
19
20
∠0
21
∠ 1
22
<u> </u>
23
20
24
~ '
25
26
27
28
~~
29
00
30
~ 1
31
22
32
22
33
21
34
25
35
36
30
27
57
28
50
39
39
39 40
39 40
39 40 41
39 40 41
39 40 41 42
39 40 41 42
39 40 41 42 43
39 40 41 42 43
39 40 41 42 43 44
39 40 41 42 43 44 45
39 40 41 42 43 44 45
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49
39 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50
30 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 9 50
30 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51
30 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 51
30 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 9 51 51
30 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 95 51 52
30 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 50 51 52 53
30 39 40 41 42 43 44 56 47 48 50 51 253 55
30 39 41 42 43 445 46 47 48 50 51 52 53
30 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 9 51 23 55 55 55 55
30 39 41 42 43 445 46 47 48 50 51 52 53 55
30 30 41 42 44 45 44 46 47 49 51 52 34 55 55 55 55
30 340 41 42 43 44 45 467 489 512 535 55
30 41 42 44 45 67 89 51 52 54 55 55 55 55 55 55 57
30 340 41 42 44 45 46 47 49 512 54 55 55 55
39 40 41 42 44 45 46 47 49 51 53 55 55 55 55 55 55 55
30 340 41 42 43 445 46 47 489 501 525 556 578

1

696	
697	Figure 1.
698	Observed relationships for 123 selected marine species between Spawning Potential
699	Ratio (SPR) and (a) standardised weight (weight _{max} =1.0), (b) standardised length
700	(length _{max} =1.0), (c) standardised age (age _{max} =1.0), and (d) weight standardized for size of
701	maturity (weight _m =0) and maximum weight (weight _{max} = 1.0). Green lines denote species
702	with indeterminate growth and $M/k > 1.0$; blue lines denote species with indeterminate
703	growth and $M/k < 1.0$; red lines denote species with determinate growth & $M/k < 1.0$;
704	black lines show the relationship for species conforming with BH-LHI; $M/k = 1.5$,
705	$L_m/L_{\infty} = 0.66$ and $M \ge Age_m = 1.65$.
706	
707	Figure 2
708	Simulated length frequency histograms illustrating how the size compositions of unfished
709	populations are determined by the M/k ratio for a species'. The range of M/k ratios (0.1 to
710	4.0) chosen for simulating these histograms was based on the range of ratios observed in

711 the meta-analysis of 123 species. Top row: M/k = 4.0, 1.65, 1.0. Middle row: M/k = 0.8,

712 0.6, 0.4. Bottom row: M/k=0.3, 0.2, 0.1. Shading indicates the proportion of the mature,

adults in the population, assuming $L_m/L_{\infty} = 3/(3 + M/k)$, as derived from Beverton (1992).

714

715 Figure 3

The relationship between relative length of maturity (L_m/L_∞) in (a) the 123 marine species selected for this meta-analysis; and (b) nine teleost families with more than three species

718	plotted against the M/k for each species. In a), green points denote species with	
719	indeterminate growth and $M/k > 1.0$; blue points indeterminate growth and $M/k < 1.0$; re	d
720	points determinate growth and $M/k < 1.0$. The solid black lines plot the Beverton (1992))
721	relationship; $L_m/L_\infty = 3/(3 + M/k)$. The dashed and dotted lines, respectively show the	
722	relationships $L_m/L_{\infty} = 2.5/(2.5 + M/k)$ and $L_m/L_{\infty} = 3.5/(3.5 + M/k)$.	
723		
724	Figure 4	
725	Observed relationships between Spawning Potential Ratio (SPR) and (a) standardised	
726	weight (weight _{max} =1.0), (b) standardised length (length _{max} =1.0), (c) standardised age	
727	$(age_{max}=1.0)$ for the three most numerous teleost families in our meta-analysis; Gobiida	ie
728	n=5, green; Lethrinidae, n=10, red; Acanthuridae, n=7, blue. The broken black line sho	W
729	the BH-LHI relationships; $M/k = 1.5$, $L_m/L_{\infty} = 0.66$, and $M \ge Age_m = 1.65$.	
730		
731	Figure 5	
732	The relationship between asymptotic length (L_{∞}) and M/k for a) 109 marine species in C_{∞}	зu
733	database with $L_{\infty} \leq 200$ cm and b) nine teleost families with more than three species, for	•
734	those species with asymptotic size ≤ 200 cm. Coloured lines show the relationships	
735	estimated from Pauly's (1980) equation assuming the range of k observed in this meta-	
736	analysis $(0.1 - 1.0)$ and an ambient temperature of 15°C.	
		2
		3
	718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736	718 plotted against the <i>M/k</i> for each species. In a), green points denote species with 719 indeterminate growth and <i>M/k</i> >1.0; blue points indeterminate growth and <i>M/k</i> <1.0; re