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#### Abstract

Data on the fish fauna of the Leschenault Estuary on the lower west coast of Australia were


 collected and used as a model to elucidate the characteristics of permanently-open estuaries with a reverse salinity gradient that undergo similar seasonal changes to many estuaries with Mediterranean climates. Focus was placed on determining 1) the relationships of the number of species, density, life cycle category and species composition of fishes with region (within estuary), season and year and salinity, 2) whether species are partitioned along the lengths of such systems and 3) the extent and significance of any inter-decadal changes in species composition. The analyses and interpretation involved using multi-factorial PERMANOVA and ANOSIM designs, and three new or recently published visualisation tools, i.e. modified nMDS plots, coherent species curves and segmented bubble plots. The base, lower, upper and apex regions of the Leschenault Estuary, along which the salinity increased in each season except winter when most rainfall occurs, were sampled seasonally for the two years between winter 2008 and autumn 2010. Estuarine residents contributed twice as many individuals, but less than half the number of species as marine taxa. While the numbers of marine species and estuarine residents declined between the basal/lower and apex regions, the individuals of marine species dominated the catches in the basal region and estuarine residents the other three regions. Ichthyofaunal composition in each region underwent conspicuous annual cyclical changes, due to time-staggered differences in recruitment among species, and changed sequentially along the estuary, both paralleling salinity trends. Different groups of species characterised the fauna in the different regions and seasons, thereby partitioning resources among species. The ichthyofauna of the apex region, in which salinities reached 54 and temperatures $36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, recorded the highest maximum density and, in terms of abundance was dominated ( $90 \%$ ) by three atherinid species, emphasising the ability of this family to tolerate extreme conditions. Comparisons between the data for 2008-10 and 1994 demonstrate that the spotted hardyhead Craterocephalus mugiloides and the common hardyhead Atherinomorusvaigiensis had colonised and become very abundant in the Leschenault Estuary in the intervening period. This represents a southwards extension of the distribution of these essentially tropical species during a period of increasing coastal water temperatures as a result of climate change. The abundance of weed-associated species, e.g. the western gobbleguts Ostorhinchus rueppellii and the soldier Gymnapistes marmoratus, increased, whereas that of the longfinned goby Favonigobius lateralis decreased, probably reflecting increases in eutrophication and siltation, respectively.
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## Introduction

It is becoming increasingly evident that estuaries with low inflow are as common as those with a persistent and significant influx of fresh water and which produce the classical low-salinity density driven circulations described for many estuaries (Largier, 2010). When the evaporative loss of fresh water in low-flow estuaries exceeds fresh water input from precipitation and run off, the salinity in the basins of such systems becomes greater than in the ocean (Largier et al., 1997; Largier, 2010). These types of estuaries are therefore typically found in microtidal regions subject to seasonal or prolonged aridity and where the residence time in the estuary during dry periods is thus long (Largier et al., 1997; Wolanski, 2007; Largier, 2010). In many parts of the world with a Mediterranean climate, the basins of permanently-open estuaries are hypersaline during the dry summer months (net evaporation), but constitute a classical estuary (net dilution) during the wet winter months, leading Largier et al. (1997) to suggest that they represented a major class of estuary, which they termed "Mediterranean estuaries". Furthermore, in many of these estuaries, the extent of hypersalinity during the warm dry period increases markedly in an upstream direction from the estuary mouth, thus producing a reverse salinity gradient within these systems. Such reverse trends are also found in permanently-open estuaries in tropical regions that have been subjected to prolonged periods of low rainfall, but with the waters throughout most of these systems remaining hypersaline in all seasons (Simier et al., 2004; Kantoussan et al., 2012).

The permanently-open Leschenault Estuary in microtidal south-western Australia, the subject of the current study, contains the short and narrow entrance channel and large basin typical of many estuaries in southern Australia and southern Africa (Potter et al., 1990). It differs, however, from most other large estuaries in these regions in that its tributaries discharge into its basal region and therefore opposite the entrance channel (Semeniuk et al., 2000; Fig. 1). Thus, as the apex of the basin of this permanently-open estuary receives no riverine input, its salinity exceeds that of seawater during the warm dry months, thereby producing a reverse salinity gradient, a condition
that persists "for most seasons" (Semeniuk et al., 2000). While the large Coorong Estuary further east in South Australia likewise has a reverse salinity gradient and receives its riverine input at the lower end of its basin and thus near its entrance channel, the salinity regime in this system has changed over time through abstraction and reductions in rainfall and is modified by the use of barrages to regulate freshwater input (Webster, 2010; Zampatti et al., 2010; Ferguson et al., 2013).

Permanently-open estuaries are among the most productive of all aquatic ecosystems (Schelske \& Odum, 1961; Whittaker \& Likens, 1975; Elliott \& Whitfield, 2011) and thus, irrespective of geographical location or whether the salinity gradient is normal or reverse, provide a rich source of food for the numerous marine fish species that use these systems, especially as a nursery area (Blaber \& Blaber, 1980; Elliott \& Hemmingway, 2002; Able \& Fahay, 2010; Potter et al., 1990; 2013). This productive environment is also exploited by species that complete their life cycle in these systems and which are particularly abundant in the microtidal estuaries of southwestern Australia, a region which has a Mediterranean climate (Potter \& Hyndes, 1999; Potter et al., 2013). These species typically reproduce between the warm and dry spring to early autumn months, when water movement due to tidal action and fresh water discharge is limited and salinities and temperatures remain relatively stable, thus providing conditions ideal for spawning and recruitment success (e.g. Prince \& Potter, 1983; Chrystal et al., 1985; Potter \& Hyndes, 1999; Sarre \& Potter, 1999).

The collective data for the relatively few studies of the fish faunas of permanently-open estuaries with a conspicuous reverse salinity gradient indicate that increases in salinity from the lowermost to uppermost regions of the estuary are accompanied by certain changes in the ichthyofauna. These include declines in the number of species, overall density and density of marine species and changes in species composition (e.g. Simier et al., 2004; Ter Morshuizen \& Whitfield, 1994; Brookes et al., 2009; Kantoussan et al., 2012). There is a need, however, to produce an account that integrates the characteristics of the fish faunas of the above type of estuary,
using data collected seasonally for more than a year and which applies statistical approaches to tease out the ways and relative extents to which the number of species, density, species composition and partitioning change along the salinity gradient and during the year.

While the present study focuses on permanently-open estuaries with a reverse salinity gradient, the results of extensive studies in the St Lucia Estuary in southern Africa provide invaluable information on how the characteristics of the fish faunas can be influenced by salinity (Whitfield et al., 2006). This system falls, however, in a different category to the Leschenault and similar estuaries in that it sometimes closes for protracted periods and that this feature, in conjunction with very marked alterations in freshwater input due to extreme periodic differences in rainfall, results in vast changes in salinity of 1 to 200 (Whitfield et al., 2006; Cyrus, 2013).

The first overall aim of this study was to use the Leschenault Estuary as a model to increase our knowledge and understanding of the characteristics of the fish faunas of permanently-open estuaries in which there is a reverse salinity gradient. This involved, inter alia, determining the ways and relative extents to which number of species, density, species compositions and contributions of different life cycle categories and guilds sensu Potter et al. (2013) vary spatially, seasonally and between successive years. Particular attention was also focussed on testing the hypotheses that any spatial or temporal trends in species composition would be associated with changes in salinity and that the various species would form groups along the estuary and thus facilitate partitioning of the resources of the estuary among the members of the estuarine fish community. For this purpose, fishes throughout the Leschenault Estuary were sampled seasonally for two years, i.e. between winter 2008 and autumn 2010. The resultant data were subjected to a range of analyses, including multi-factorial PERMANOVA and ANOSIM designs, and visualisation tools, i.e. modified nMDS plots, coherent species curves and segmented bubble plots, which collectively enhanced the ability to interpret the ecological implications of the results for the Leschenault Estuary and other permanently-open systems with a reverse salinity gradient.

The second overall aim was to compare the characteristics of the fish fauna at sites in the lower half of the estuary in 2008-10 with those recorded previously at the same sites in 1994 (Potter et al., 1997, 2000) to test the following hypotheses that are based on changes known to have occurred to the environment between the two periods. 1) An increase in macroalgae (Hugues-ditCiles et al., 2012) and in local coastal water temperatures due to climate change (Lough et al., 2012) have been accompanied by increases in the abundance of fish species typically associated with plant material and/or which lie at the southern (warmer) end of their distribution. 2) Increased sedimentation (Hugues-dit-Ciles et al., 2012) has been accompanied by a decline in the abundance of the southern longfin goby Favonigobius lateralis Macleay 1881, a species that is very abundant in south-western Australian estuaries (Potter \& Hyndes, 1999) and known to be detrimentally influenced by increased siltation (Gill \& Potter, 1993). 3) The above changes led to a conspicuous shift in the species compositions between the two periods.

## Materials and methods

Sampling in 2008-10
Fishes at four regularly-spaced sites throughout the lengths of each of the base, lower, upper and apex regions of the Leschenault Estuary (Fig. 1) were sampled using a 21.5 m seine net in each season between the Austral winter (July) of 2008 and the Austral autumn (April) of 2010. The seine net, which comprised two 10 m long wings ( 6 m of 9 mm mesh and 4 m of 3 mm mesh) and a 1.5 m long bunt ( 3 mm mesh), swept an area of $c .116 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and fished to a maximum depth of 1.5 m . Three of the four sites in both the base and lower regions of the estuary were the same as those sampled at six weekly intervals (i.e. twice seasonally) between the summer and spring of 1994 using a seine net with the same dimensions (Potter et al., 1997). On each sampling occasion, the salinity and water temperature at each site were measured in the middle of the water column using a Yellow Springs International Model 85. Note that the estuary is shallow, generally $<1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ in depth,
and vertically well mixed (Semeniuk et al., 2000) and that, following Brauner et al. (2013), the term hypersalinity is, for convenience, used to refer to salinities greater than that of seawater, i.e. 35 . Note also that the Leschenault Estuary fulfils the criteria for an estuary as defined by Potter et al. (2010), e.g. it receives periodic discharge from rivers and can become hypersaline.

The fishes were immediately euthanized in an ice slurry and transported to the laboratory where they were each identified to species. The total number of individuals of each species in each sample was recorded and converted to a density (number of fish $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ ), which was then used to calculate the mean density of each species in the whole estuary and in each of its four regions. The total length of each fish was measured to the nearest 1 mm , except when a large number of a species was caught, when the total lengths of a random sample of 50 individuals of that species were recorded.

## Numbers of species and densities of fishes

The number of species and density (number $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ ) of fishes recorded at each of the four sites sampled in the four regions of the Leschenault Estuary in the eight consecutive seasons between winter 2008 and autumn 2010 were separately input to univariate Analysis of Variance, but using the permutation form in which the statistical tests do not make traditional normality assumptions (Anderson, 2001). This is effected as a special case of multivariate analysis in PRIMER v6 software (Clarke \& Gorley, 2006) by constructing a Euclidean distance matrix among values of the single variable and entering those into the PERMANOVA routine (Anderson et al., 2008). The resulting tests determined whether the number of species and density of fishes differed significantly between the two successive years (i.e. winter 2008 to autumn 2009 and winter 2009 to autumn 2010 and subsequently referred to as 2008/09 and 2009/10, respectively), seasons, regions and sites, and the extent of any interactions among these factors. All factors were considered fixed and crossed apart from the sites, which were treated as a random factor nested within regions,
though crossed with years and seasons, the same four sites being revisited on each sampling occasion. The three-way interaction term year $\times$ season $\times$ site was dropped from the model and thus utilised as the residual spatio-temporal variability for some of the tests, a robust and conservative procedure. Examination of the values for these variables demonstrated that, prior to PERMANOVA, the number of species required a square-root transformation and density a $\log _{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{x}+1)$ transformation to meet the test assumption of homogeneous dispersions among a priori groups (see Anderson, 2001).

## Life cycle categories and guilds

Each species was assigned to a life-cycle guild within a category according to the way in which it uses estuaries (Potter et al., 2013), based on numerous studies of the biology of fish species in south-western Australia as reported in the review of Potter \& Hyndes (1999). Definition of the two categories and five guilds relevant to the current study are as follows. Marine category, i.e species that spawn at sea. Marine straggler (MS) guild, i.e. species that spawn at sea and typically enter estuaries sporadically and in low numbers and are most common in the lower reaches, where salinities typically do not decline far below $\sim 35$. Marine estuarine-opportunist (MEO) guild, i.e. species that spawn at sea and regularly enter estuaries in substantial numbers, particularly as juveniles, but also use, to varying degrees, coastal marine waters as alternative nursery areas. Estuarine category, i.e. species with populations in which the individuals complete their life cycles within the estuary. Solely estuarine (E) guild, i.e. species typically found only in estuaries. Estuarine and marine (E\&M) guild, i.e. species represented by populations whose individuals complete their life cycle either in estuaries or coastal marine waters. Estuarine and freshwater (E\&F) guild, i.e. species represented by populations whose individuals complete their life cycle either in estuaries or fresh water. Thus, as no species belonging to the other two categories in Potter et al. (2013) were caught in the Leschenault Estuary, i.e. diadromous and freshwater, all species
represented either the marine or estuarine categories, the latter subsequently being referred to as estuarine residents. The numbers of marine species and estuarine resident species and the proportional contributions of the individuals of those two categories to the total number of all fish in each region of the estuary were then calculated for each season and for the whole year collectively.

## Multivariate analyses of species compositions

The numbers of each fish species recorded at each of the four sites in each region in each season in 2008/09 and 2009/10 were subject to a pre-treatment shown to be effective for fish data of this type (Clarke et al., 2014). This involved a) dispersion weighting to down-weight the effects of those species whose numbers exhibited erratic differences among replicate samples due to schooling (Clarke et al., 2006), followed by b) square-root transformation to down-weight the contributions of species with consistently high values (across replicates within a group) in relation to those with consistently low values. The resultant data were then used to construct a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix, which was subjected to the same four-way PERMANOVA design as described above, though now with genuinely multivariate data, with the focus being on determining whether there were significant interactions between year, season and region.

Separate two-way crossed Analysis of Similarity tests (ANOSIM, Clarke \& Green, 1988; Clarke, 1993) were used to assess the relative magnitudes of overall year, season and region factors (subsuming both main and interaction effects), via the universally-scaled ANOSIM $\bar{R}$ statistic. This was computed, in turn, for each factor (region, year or season) $v s$ the other two factors combined, thereby removing the combined effects of those other factors (Lek et al., 2011; French et al., 2012). The ANOSIM $\bar{R}$ values typically range from $c .0$, when the average similarities among and within groups of the target factor do not differ (in all strata of the excised combined factor), up to 1 , when the compositions of all samples within each group are more similar to each other than to those of any sample from other groups, again in all strata of the second factor (Clarke \& Warwick, 2001).

Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (nMDS) ordination was used to interpret interactions (or lack of interactions) between factors that resulted from the PERMANOVA tests. These configurations ordinate Bray-Curtis dissimilarities from the pre-treated data, which have been averaged over the four sites and one of the three factors (year, season, region) in turn, resulting in 'interaction plots' for season $\times$ region, year $\times$ region and season $\times$ year respectively. Such ordinations of mean values can sometimes involve few points (only eight here for the latter two combinations) and the standard algorithm for rank-based nMDS can be susceptible to finding degenerate solutions which have zero stress, but in which the highest-similarity samples collapse onto single points even though not $100 \%$ similar. This typically results from the paucity of information in a small number of ranks to constrain the ordination technique adequately, and occurs here for the year $\times$ region interaction plot. An effective solution is detailed for this type of problem in Hallett et al. (submitted). It utilises a modified nMDS algorithm (by R.N. Gorley, within a development version of PRIMER v7), in which optimisation is of a stress function mixing mainly nMDS with a minor component of metric MDS (mMDS, here $95 \%$ and $5 \%$ though the proportions of the mix are not critical). This retains the flexibility of nMDS to describe complex structures in low-dimensional space but the small amount of measurement information is sufficient to 'fix the collapse' of a subset of points in the nMDS.

Bubble plots, in which circles whose sizes represent the magnitude of the mean salinity in appropriate combinations of region, season and year (averaging over the omitted factor, as before) were then overlaid on the points for samples on the ordination plots, to visualise the extent to which ichthyofaunal composition was related to salinity (Clarke et al., 2008).

## Seasonal and regional partitioning of the main fish species

When there was a significant difference between the ichthyofaunal compositions of a priori groups, Similarity Percentages analysis (SIMPER; Clarke \& Gorley, 2006) was used to identify the
species which typified the ichthyofaunal composition of each a priori group and which were responsible for distinguishing between the fish compositions in each pair of groups. The pattern of change in the relative counts for individual species across both season and region (averaging over the two years and the four sites in each region) is further examined by the new technique of 'coherent species curves' (Somerfield \& Clarke, 2013). This uses a variant of the similarity profiles (Type 1 SIMPROF) of Clarke et al. (2008), applied to species rather than to samples. It identifies, via a cluster analysis of species similarities, the groups of species whose patterns of relative abundance over the samples are indistinguishable within a group but statistically significant between groups, when tested by the appropriate permutation procedure (Type 3 SIMPROF). Species similarities are defined by a species-standardised form of Bray-Curtis, namely Whittaker's index of association (Whittaker, 1952), calculated on the original abundance scales. The index 'relativises' each set of (averaged) species counts to 100 across the samples, so it is wise first to exclude species found only sporadically and in small numbers, since they will only add random noise to the species similarities (Clarke \& Warwick, 2001). Visualisation of the resulting 'coherent species groups' is by simple line plots of the relative abundances in each sequential season in each region.

## Comparisons between the fish faunas in 2008-10 and 1994

For comparing the species compositions of fishes in the two years sampled in 2008-10 vs the single year of 1994, the analyses have focused on determining whether the ichthyofaunas were more similar in 2008/09 and 2009/10 than either was to that in 1994.

The total number of individuals of the various fish species caught at three sites in the base and lower regions of the estuary twice in each season between summer and spring in 1994 and those caught subsequently at the same sites once in each season between winter 2008 and autumn 2010 (two years) were calculated. These data were used to determine and compare, in tabular form, the
mean densities (numbers $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ ) of each fish species and their percentage contributions to the total catch of fishes in 1994 and 2008-10. Note that, as the fish faunas were sampled twice seasonally in 1994, the data for that year were derived from the same number of samples (48) as in 2008-10 when sampling was conducted once seasonally over two years.

The multivariate data on fish composition from the above sample structure were used to construct a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix, input to a four-way PERMANOVA design, comprising three years (1994, 2008/09, 2009/10), four seasons, two regions (base and lower) and three sites in each region, with replicate seasonal observations only for the samples in 1994. The permutation in the PERMANOVA+ software (Anderson et al., 2008) can accommodate this imbalance at the replicate level or, as previously, the residual variability can be conservatively estimated from the year $\times$ season $\times$ site interaction (these mean squares turn out to be effectively identical). Note that type III sums of squares were used in the PERMANOVA test, but the robustness of the results in the unbalanced case was checked using a number of sequential (type I) sum of squares calculations, with model terms entered in different sequences. The matrix was also subjected to two-way crossed ANOSIM tests for one factor vs the other factors combined (see earlier) to determine the relative influence of each factor. The pairwise $\bar{R}$ statistics computed from the two-way crossed test for year $v s$ season and region combined were used to assess the extent of differences between the three years, i.e. 2008/09, 2009/10 and 1994. SIMPER was used to identify the species that contributed to the distinction between years.
nMDS ordination on appropriately averaged data then explored visually the basis for any interactions between factors, as detected by PERMANOVA. There was no necessity in this case (now with 12 points on the plot) to constrain the nMDS with a small percentage of a mMDS solution, but a new display method was adopted here, also utilising an alpha development version of PRIMER v7 software. Segmented bubble plots, which overlay multiple variables on a single ordination, were used to visualise the changes in major species identified in corresponding SIMPER
analyses, e.g. as being important in distinguishing the composition in the more recent years from the earlier year. Here, segment size represents (pre-treated) abundance, as input to the nMDS, on a common scale for all species of dispersion weighted, square-rooted, then averaged abundance for the 12 year $\times$ season combinations.

## Results

## Salinity and water temperature

In all seasons except winter, the mean salinities throughout 2008-10 followed a gradient, being lowest in the base region and highest in the apex (Fig. 2a). The minimum mean salinity in any season was the $c .23$ recorded in the former region in winter 2008/09, while the maximum mean was the $c .50$ recorded in the apex region in the summer of 2009/10. Furthermore, in both years, the salinities in each region were higher during summer and autumn than in winter and spring.

Mean seasonal water temperatures in each region underwent pronounced changes, typically rising from their minima in winter to their maxima in summer and then declining in autumn (Fig. 2b). The highest mean water temperatures in both years were recorded in the apex and upper regions. The minimum mean seasonal temperature was the $c .15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ recorded in each region in the winter of 2008/09 and 2009/10, whereas the maximum was the $c .36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ recorded in the upper region in the summer of 2009/10.

## Characteristics of the fish fauna of the Leschenault Estuary in 2008-10

## Numbers of species and densities of fishes

Four-way PERMANOVA for the number of species showed that the two-way interactions for year $\times$ season and season $\times$ region and the three-way year $\times$ season $\times$ region interaction were highly significant (Appendix 1a). This somewhat complex picture was apparent from the means plots (Fig. 2c), with the three-way interaction seen as a decline in species numbers in the spring and
summer in the upper and apex regions, only in 2009/10, not matched in the base and lower regions. Interpretation of the two-way interactions and main effects (Appendix 1a) is therefore compromised by the presence of this substantial three-way interaction, though the numbers of species were consistently highest in the base and lower regions (Fig. 2c) and lowest in the apex region. ANOVA for the mean densities of fishes (Appendix 1b) also resulted in a significant and substantial threeway interaction, reflecting less consistent trends across regions, with the mean values being greatest in the lower region in five of the eight seasons but in the apex in two others (Fig. 2d).

## Contributions of fish species and life cycle categories

A total of 27044 fish, representing 43 species, was caught in the Leschenault Estuary between winter 2008 and autumn 2010 (Table I). The most abundant species were the solely estuarine species, the elongate hardyhead Atherinosoma elongata Klunzinger 1879 and the spotted hardyhead Craterocephalus mugiloides (McCulloch 1912), the marine estuarine-opportunists the sandy sprat Hyperlophus vittatus Castelnau 1865 and the yelloweye mullet Aldrichetta forsteri Valenciennes 1836 and the estuarine \& marine species the silver fish Leptatherina presbyteroides (Richardson 1843), which collectively contributed $c .75 \%$ to the total catch. The total number of fish caught in the base, upper and apex regions ranged only from c. 5400 to 5800 per region, and thus each was only just over half of that recorded in the lower estuary, i.e. c. 10400 (Table I). The total number of species declined markedly from 32 and 35 in the base and lower regions, respectively, to 22 in the upper region and only 12 in the apex.

The fauna in the base of the estuary was dominated by A.forsteri and H. vittatus, with densities of c. 40 and 46 fish $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$, respectively, and collectively contributed $56 \%$ to the total catch in this region (Table I). Hyperlophus vittatus was also abundant in the lower region, i.e. c. 45 fish $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$, where it contributed c. $16 \%$. The atherinids A. elongata, C. mugiloides and L. presbyteroides constituted the other three most abundant species in the lower region, with
densities ranging from $c .33$ to 68 fish $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ and, together with $H$. vittatus, comprised $64 \%$ of the total catch. Atherinosoma elongata was so abundant in the upper and apex regions that its densities in these regions were $c .75$ and 107 fish $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$, respectively, and its percentage contribution as high as $c .50$ and $67 \%$, respectively. While the densities of $C$. mugiloides in the upper and apex regions were far less than those of A. elongata, they were still substantial and greater than those of any other species, with their numbers contributing $c .18$ and $16 \%$, respectively, to the total catches in those regions.

Twenty one of the species caught throughout the estuary were marine estuarineopportunists, while nine were marine stragglers, seven were estuarine \& marine, four were solely estuarine and two were estuarine \& freshwater (Table I). Overall, the number of species that represented the marine category (i.e. marine estuarine-opportunists and marine stragglers) declined markedly from 23 in both the base and lower regions to 14 in the upper region and seven in the apex (Fig. 3a). The number of species in the estuarine category (i.e. solely estuarine, estuarine \& marine and estuarine \& freshwater) declined from a maximum of 12 in the lower region to a minimum of five in the apex. The overall trends exhibited throughout the estuary by the number of species in both the marine and estuarine categories essentially replicate those in each season, demonstrating that they remain similar throughout the year (Fig. 3a-e).

In terms of number of individuals, the overall contributions by marine species was far greater in the base region ( $70 \%$ ) than lower region ( $28 \%$ ), which, in turn, was greater than the $22 \%$ in the upper region and $15 \%$ in the apex (Fig. 3a). The estuarine category thus exhibited the converse trend. The contribution to the abundances by marine species in the base region was broadly consistent over seasons, but was far higher in spring and summer than autumn and winter in the lower region (Fig. 3b-e). The estuarine category dominated the total catch of fish in both the upper and apex regions in summer and autumn (Fig. 3d).

Multivariate analyses of species compositions
On the basis of a four-way PERMANOVA using data on ichthyofaunal composition for 2008-10, each of the two- and three-way interactions were significant (Appendix 2a). However, several of the interactions have pseudo-F values close to 1 , and are only marginally significant on sometimes very powerful tests, i.e. high numerator and denominator degrees of freedom, e.g. all those involving site terms. The only conspicuous interactions are season $\times$ region and year $\times$ season (borne out by later plots), with all main effects appearing to be substantial and dominating the interactions. Subsuming main effects and interactions, the $\bar{R}$ values for the two-way crossed ANOSIM analyses for each factor $v s$ the other two factors combined were greater for season (0.51) and region (0.46) than for year (0.35).

The results of the tests were explored visually by nMDS ordinations on (pre-treated) data matrices averaged over sites and each factor in turn, to obtain 2-way 'interaction plots' for pairs of factors (Fig. 4), the second of which (Fig. 4c) needed to modify a degenerate nMDS solution by adding a trace component of mMDS (see Methods). For the season $\times$ region ordination, the samples for spring and summer lie above those for winter and autumn in each region. Furthermore, the points for each successive season in all four regions change in a clockwise cyclical manner and the points for each region progress sequentially from left to right along the horizontal axis, in the order of base, lower, upper and apex (Fig. 4a). The season $\times$ region interaction is evident in the slightly modified seasonal pattern for the lower region. The bubble plot of mean salinity at the times of sampling, superimposed on this ordination, shows that, in each region, the seasonal changes in ichthyofaunal composition from spring to summer coincided with substantial increases in salinity, whereas changes from autumn to winter coincided with declines in salinity (Fig. 4b).

On the year $\times$ region plot, averaged over seasons (Fig. 4c), the samples in both years follow very similar trajectories over the sequence of base to apex regions, indicating little interaction. In fact, the borderline year $\times$ region interaction detected by PERMANOVA (Appendix 2a) is only
evident in marginal differences in the size of compositional changes between adjacent regions (i.e. smaller changes from base to lower regions in 2008/9 than 2009/10 and larger changes from upper to apex regions). The trend in ichthyofaunal composition from the base to apex regions is associated with a sequential increase in mean salinities in both years (Fig. 4d). On the final ordination plot, of season $\times$ year means, the samples for both years changed in an essentially cyclical manner over successive seasons (Fig. 4e), though anticlockwise for 2008/9 and clockwise for 2009/10. The important distinction here, explaining the significant season $\times$ year interaction, is the difference in composition between the two winter samples, a disparity not shared by pairs of samples for the other seasons.

As region and season were more influential than year, the interaction between the first two factors was explored by pooling the data for the two years and undertaking a series of one-way ANOSIM tests for region using separate resemblance matrices constructed from the fish abundances in each season (Table II). Significant differences among regions were detected in each season, being greatest in spring ( $R=0.56$ ) and lowest in winter ( $R=0.12$ ). In all seasons except winter, the regional difference in composition was greatest for the apex and upper regions $v s$ the base and lower regions ( $R=0.34-0.94$ ). In each season, $F$. lateralis was always among the species characterising the ichthyofaunas in the base and lower regions, while A. elongata was always among the species typifying those in the upper and apex regions (Appendix 3).

## Seasonal and regional partitioning of the main fish species

The 'coherent curves' technique, identifying cohorts of species with common patterns of mean abundance across the 16 conditions ( 4 seasons by 4 regions), results in simple line plots of relative abundance, grouped according to the results from sequences of Type 3 SIMPROF tests, in which most species are notable for the relative concentration of their numbers only in certain regions of the estuary and/or certain times of the year (Fig. 5). Thus, for example, in terms of region
and most abundant species overall, the species in groups I and II, e.g. F. lateralis, H. vittatus, A. forsteri and L. presyteroides, were caught mainly in the base and lower regions (Fig. 5a, b), whereas those in groups III and IV e.g. the western hardyhead Leptatherina wallacei (Prince, Ivantsoff \& Potter 1982) and the western gobbleguts Ostorhinchus rueppellii (Günther 1859) were obtained very largely from the lower region (Fig. 5c, d), and those in groups V and VI, e.g. the sea mullet Mugil cephalus L. 1758, A. elongata and C. mugiloides came predominantly from the upper and apex regions (Fig. 5e, f). In the context of season, the abundance of certain groups of species produced particularly sharp peaks at certain times of the year, i.e. groups III and IV in spring and summer, respectively, in the lower estuary, group V (a single species) in winter in the upper and apex regions and group VI in autumn in the upper estuary.

Comparisons between fish faunas in 1994 and 2008-10

## Contributions of fish species and life-cycle guilds

A total of 13483 fishes was recorded in seasonal samples collected between winter 2008 and autumn 2010 at three sites in each of the base and lower regions of the Leschenault Estuary (Table III). This total approaches the 14601 fish recorded twice seasonally between summer and spring in 1994 and thus for the same total number of samples. It was particularly striking that two tropical atherinids, C. mugiloides and the common hardyhead Atherinomorus vaigiensis (Quoy \& Gaimard 1825), ranked as high as fifth and ninth, respectively, in 2008-10, and yet were not even caught in 1994. However, eight of the 11 most abundant species caught in 2008-10 did also rank among the 11 most abundant species in 1994 and the four most abundant species in 2008-10 ranked among the most numerous species in the earlier period (Table III). Yet, among those species, A. elongata was far more abundant in 2008/09, whereas the reverse was true for A. forsteri and H. vittatus. While F. lateralis ranked only sixth and contributed $8.1 \%$ to the catch in 2008-10 it ranked first and contributed $36.5 \%$ to the catches in 1994.

The percentage contributions made by each life-cycle guild to the number of species were relatively similar in 1994 and 2008-10, i.e. solely estuarine, $12 \mathrm{vs} 14 \%$; estuarine \& marine, 18 vs $19 \%$ and marine estuarine-opportunist, 48 vs 56, respectively (Table III). Furthermore, each of these life cycle guilds made similar contributions to the total number of individuals in each period, with the exception of estuarine residents, which were relatively more numerous in 2008-10 (32\%) than in 1994 (8\%), due, in particular, to far larger numbers of A. elongata and to C. mugiloides being caught only in the more recent period.

## Comparisons of ichthyofaunal compositions in 1994 vs 2008-10

In terms of ichthyofaunal compositions, the year $\times$ season, season $\times$ region and year $\times$ season $\times$ region terms were significant, but clearly at a minor level, with the three-way interaction being particularly borderline and effectively negligible (Appendix 2 b ). The $\bar{R}$ values for the twoway crossed ANOSIM tests for each factor vs the other two factors combined were greater for year (0.30) and season (0.28) than for region (0.12). In the case of year vs season and region combined, pairwise comparisons demonstrated that, while the compositions in 2008/09 and 2009/10 were not significantly different ( $R=0.10, P>0.05$ ), they both differed from that in $1994(P=0.001)$, with $R$ statistic values of 0.29 and 0.42 , respectively.

The year $\times$ season interaction can be visualised, in a nMDS ordination derived from mean abundance of each species in each region (Fig. 6a). On this plot, the seasonal samples in each of the three years change in a common anti-clockwise cyclical direction, with those for 1994 lying clearly to the left of those for the 2008/09 and 2009/10 years, in which the samples for the two springs and the two summers are virtually coincident. The trajectory of the seasonal samples in 1994 differs however, from that in both 2008/09 and 2009/10, thus defining the year $\times$ season interaction. A two-way crossed SIMPER analysis, contrasting 1994 with the combined 2008/09 and 2009/10 samples, removing the effect of season by comparing years only within seasons, demonstrated that
the species which most heavily and consistently discriminated the fish compositions in the earlier and later years were F. lateralis, C. mugiloides, A. forsteri, A. vaigiensis, A. elongata, and the Weeping Toado Torquigener pleurogramma Regan 1903, with all but the first species being consistently more abundant in 2008-10.

The abundances of the above six species (on a common scale of pre-treated then averaged values, as input to the multivariate analysis) are shown on the segmented bubble plot for each season and year in Fig. 6b. They thus provide a concise description for the derivation of much of the difference observed between the ichthyofaunal communities in the early and later years in the nMDS. Although F. lateralis was always present in substantial numbers in all seasons and years, it is clear that the abundances of this gobiid were much higher in 1994 than in 2008-10. In contrast, the abundances of A. elongata, A. forsteri and T. pleurogramma C. mugiloides, A. vaigiensis were found in larger numbers in the later years, particularly in the summer periods for A. forsteri and A. elongata, and in all but the autumn periods for T. pleurogramma. It was noted previously that C. mugiloides and A. vaigiensis were absent altogether in 1994 and this differentiation is seen to provide a clear contribution to the community assessment. Also notable from the plot are the closely matching values of all displayed species for the two spring and summer samples in the later years, which gives some diagnostic reassurance that the (approximate) 2-d nMDS configuration genuinely reflects the higher-dimensional information.

The converse component of the 2-way SIMPER analysis, comparing seasons having removed the year effects, will identify some contributions from species already displayed in Fig. 6b but, by definition, they are less likely to be the most consistent discriminators of the seasonal cycle across all years, because of their differentiation of the years. Instead, a second set of species are displayed in Fig. 6c, which highlight the seasonal differences. Most of these are seen to be species with higher numbers in the spring or summer periods, being absent or less abundant in the autumn or winter: $H$. vittatus is a major contributor of this type. Other species have somewhat different
phasing: the King George whiting, Sillaginodes punctatus (Cuvier 1829) has substantial (transformed) abundances throughout the spring, summer and autumn (peaking in summer) but is largely absent in winter; the soldier Gymnapistes marmoratus Cuvier 1829 is more restricted to spring and summer, $O$. rueppellii is characteristically only a summer species and the spotted pipefish Stigmatopora argus Richardson 1840, only a spring species. In contrast, numbers of the bluespot goby, Pseudogobius olorum Sauvage 1880, tend to peak in the winter and spring and decline or are absent altogether in the summer and autumn. One notable absence from both Figs 6 b and 6 c is L. presbyteroides. In spite of its large numbers, being ranked third and fourth in terms of total abundance for the early and later years respectively (Table III), it is largely present across all years and seasons and plays little role in distinguishing between years, although, as earlier observed, it is one of the species characterising the differences between the base/lower and upper/apex regions of the estuary in 2008-10. The remainder of the 'top ten' ranked species listed in Table III for both periods, with the exception of $L$. wallacei, are represented in Fig. 6 and their temporal patterns, in so far as these influence the community assessment from a multivariate analysis, are readily discernible from this novel segmented bubble plot.

## Discussion

## Salinities and water temperatures

The seasonal trends exhibited by salinity throughout the Leschenault Estuary in 2008-10 demonstrate that, during the warm dry summer months of two successive years, there was a pronounced reverse salinity gradient, with salinities increasing from the base region to the apex, where they were far greater than sea water, i.e. 35 , and reached 56 at one sampling site. Although that gradient was also present in spring and autumn, it was less pronounced and disappeared in winter, when salinities in all regions declined to less than 35 . The exceptionally high salinities in the apex region in summer were due to a combination of very high evaporative loss from the shallow
waters of this region (typically $<1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ ), the absence of tributary rivers in the upper three-quarters of the estuary and limited rainfall during this dry period. The particularly pronounced decline in salinity between autumn and winter in the shallow apex and upper regions is produced mainly by freshwater, which, during that period of heavy precipitation, enters the apex region directly and via surface run off and from a large drain. The concomitant decline in salinity in the deeper base and lower regions was due largely to freshwater discharge into the bottom end of the estuary from the two tributaries that supply this system (Fig. 1).

High levels of solar radiation, limited precipitation and the shallowness of the water also account for the very high water temperatures in the upper and apex regions of the Leschenault Estuary during summer. As with salinity, the maximum recorded water temperature of $36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is almost certainly an under-estimate of the true maximum as temperatures were measured only at the time of sampling. The extreme salinities and temperatures in the upper and apex regions in summer would pose a strong physiological challenge to many of the fish species typically found in estuaries on the lower west coast of Australia.

## Main characteristics of the fish fauna in the Leschenault Estuary

Analyses of the data, derived from the approximately 27000 fishes, representing 43 species caught during seasonal sampling of the four regions of the Leschenault Estuary over two years, provided an integrated picture of the characteristics of the ichthyofauna of this permanently-open estuary with a reverse salinity gradient. The overall number of species was shown to decline from the base and lower regions to the upper and then apex regions during spring and summer, when the reverse salinity gradient was most pronounced. This presumably reflects, in part, a progressive decline along that gradient, in the number of species capable of osmoregulating effectively in hypersalinities. This decline is more pronounced with marine species than with estuarine residents, which was expected as the latter contain some euryhaline species that are particularly well adapted
to living in the highly variable environments found in estuaries (see later). The trend towards a reduced number of species along the reverse salinity gradient parallels that recorded for other estuaries with such a gradient, such as the permanently-open and microtidal Sine Saloum and Casamance estuaries in west Africa (Simier et al., 2004; Kantoussan et al., 2012) and the periodically-closed Lake St Lucia in Africa (Cyrus et al., 2011).

It was striking that, while densities in the base and/or lower regions were greater than in the upper and apex regions in most seasons, very high densities were recorded in the apex region in the autumn and winter of 2008/09 and in the upper region in the autumn of both 2008/09 and 2009/10. This was due to the recruitment of large numbers of $0+$ individuals of three atherinid species, A. elongata, C. mugiloides and A. vaigiensis, following their spring to summer spawning periods (Prince \& Potter, 1983). The presence, in most seasons, of greater densities of fishes in the lower region than other regions (see also Table I) probably reflects the greater heterogeneity of habitat in this region, through containing substantial areas of seagrass and macroalage as well as unvegetated substrata (Wurm \& Semeniuk, 2000), and does not undergo the extreme changes in salinity experienced by the upper and apex regions.

Our data also demonstrate that the ichthyofauna of the Leschenault Estuary consisted entirely of marine species or estuarine residents. However, while the overall number of marine species was well over twice that of estuarine residents, the reverse was true for overall relative abundance. This reflected the fact that, whereas marine species were far more numerous than estuarine residents in the base region, the exact opposite was the case in each of the other three regions and especially so in the upper and apex regions in summer and autumn. These trends imply, firstly, that the essentially marine environment created in the base region by the direct intrusion of marine waters through the entrance channel, which joins this region with the Indian Ocean, provides an ideal environment for the marine species that enter the Leschenault Estuary. The trends also imply, however, that further up the estuary, and particularly in the upper and apex regions in
summer and autumn, possess features that make those regions far more conducive to occupation by estuarine residents than marine species. It is thus relevant that tidal water movements are relatively weak in this estuary and do not extend into the upper and apex regions (Charteris \& Deeley, 2000; Gillibrand et al., 2012) and that the waters in those regions in summer and autumn become hypersaline and particularly warm (see next section for significance and particularly for certain atherinids).

The above regional trends helps account for the finding that the percentage contributions made by estuarine residents to the abundance of fishes in samples from the Leschenault Estuary were greater than those in the two large permanently-open estuaries to the north, i.e. Swan-Canning and Peel-Harvey estuaries, recognising, however, that those contributions were still substantial (Loneragan et al., 1989; Young \& Potter, 2003). The large abundances of a number of estuarine residents in the microtidal estuaries of Western Australian has been attributed to these species typically spawning in these systems during the warm and dry months when environmental conditions are relatively benign and thus conducive to spawning success and recruitment (Potter \& Hyndes, 1999).

## The importance of atherinids

The small number of species that are apparently well adapted to living in the high salinities and water temperatures that characterise the upper and apex regions of the Leschenault Estuary in summer and autumn would benefit from an inevitable reduction in interspecific competition for spatial and food resources. This parallels the situation in other estuaries with reverse salinity gradients, or at least high salinities in their upper reaches, such as the Casamance Estuary in western Africa and Kariega Estuary in southern Africa, where the ichthyofauna of the upper reaches were dominated by estuarine residents (Ter Morshuizen \& Whitfield, 1994; Kantoussen et al., 2012).

The domination of the fish faunas of the upper and apex regions of the Leschenault Estuary by estuarine residents was largely due to the overwhelming prevalence of atherinids in these two regions (68 and $83 \%)$ and, in particular, of Atherinosoma elongata and Craterocephalus mugiloides. These species are known to be able to tolerate a wide range of salinities (Thompson \& Withers, 1992; Young \& Potter, 2002). Atherinosoma elongata was so abundant in the upper and apex regions that it contributed as much as one half and two thirds to the total catch in these regions, respectively. These data emphasize that certain species of the Atherinidae are particularly well adapted to living in high salinities and thus able to exploit the opportunities provided by a reduction in the competition from other species that are less tolerant of such conditions. In this context, it is relevant that A. elongata was the only fish species to survive in the Wellstead Estuary on the lower west coast of Australia, when salinities in this normally-closed estuary had risen to 122 (Young \& Potter, 2002) and that a closely-related species, the smallmouth hardyhead Atherinosoma microstoma Günther 1861, was the only species caught in the very high salinities at the uppermost site in the Coorong Estuary, which has a reverse salinity gradient (Brookes et al., 2009; Zampatti et al., 2010). Experimental studies have shown that the latter species can osmoregulate in salinities up to at least 80 (Wedderburn et al., 2008). Furthermore, two atherinid species in the Mondego Estuary in Portugal were more abundant in drought than in nondrought years when salinities were less (Baptista et al., 2010). It is also noteworthy that the only other abundant species in the upper and apex regions was another atherinid, Atherinomorus vaigiensis, and that this species has also been found in the hypersaline regions of a large embayment (Bayly, 1972), recognising, however, that this species spawns in marine waters and recruits into estuaries as juveniles (Prince \& Potter, 1983). As this atherinid, and also C. mugiloides, are tropical species, they would be well adapted to tolerating the high temperatures present in the shallow waters of the upper estuary during summer.

In contrast, in particular to A. elongata, the atherinid Leptatherina presbyteroides, which is represented by both marine and estuarine populations (Prince \& Potter, 1983), is more abundant in the base and lower than upper and apex regions of the estuary and thus exhibits a 'preference' for that part of the estuary which is subject to appreciable tidal influence and thus never becomes conspicuously hypersaline. The numbers of this species and all other atherinids collectively account for as much as $60 \%$ of the total catch taken throughout the Leschenault Estuary, thus emphasizing the importance of this versatile family in this system. In terms of overall abundance, however, two marine species Hyperlophus vittatus and Aldrichetta forsteri ranked third and fourth and the estuarine residents Favonigobius lateralis and Ostorhinchus rueppellii ranked sixth and eighth, respectively.

The low numerical rankings of the atherinid Leptatherina wallacei (12) and the gobiid P. olorum (32) in the Leschenault Estuary contrast markedly with those in the permanently-open Swan-Canning (3 and 13) and Blackwood River (1 and 8) estuaries to the north and south of the Leschenault, respectively (Loneragan et al., 1989; Valesini et al., 1997). In the latter estuaries, these two species were most abundant by far in the upper regions, where, due to riverine discharge and freshwater run-off, and thus, in contrast to the Leschenault Estuary, salinities rarely approach that of seawater. Similarly, in the Sine Saloum system in west Africa where hypersalinities are observed all year round, several species that are common in brackish waters of other estuaries in this region are absent or present in low numbers (Simier et al., 2004).

## Seasonal and regional trends in species composition

The trends shown on the nMDs ordination plots in Figs 4 a and b emphasise that the composition of the ichthyofauna changed in a cyclical manner in each region of the estuary and that these sequential changes were associated with the trends exhibited by salinity. The seasonal changes in the lower and middle areas of the estuary were strongly related to the seasonal patterns of
immigration and emigration of marine species, such as H. vittatus, Gymnapistes marmoratus, S. punctatus, A. forsteri and Stigmatopora argus, which were represented by greater numbers in spring and/or summer. In contrast, the seasonal changes in the apex region reflected, inter alia, the presence of only three species (mainly the estuarine resident atherinids A. elongata and C. mugiloides) in summer, when salinities became markedly elevated (see earlier), and a wider range of species during other times of the year when salinities declined. Cyclical changes in composition have been shown to occur in other microtidal estuaries (e.g. Young \& Potter, 2003; Hoeksema \& Potter, 2006) and also macrotidal estuaries, where they reflected predominantly timestaggered immigrations and emigrations of the juveniles of marine species and migrating diadromous species (e.g. Potter et al., 1986; Thiel \& Potter, 2001; Maes et al., 2005).

The use in Figs 4c and d of bubble plots to denote salinity differences, show that ichthyofaunal composition changed progressively along the estuary and in conjunction with increasing salinity. These changes reflect the shift from domination by marine species of ichthyofauna in the base region to the extreme dominance in the apex region of A. elongata, C. mugiloides and A. vaigiensis, which each belong to a family known to be able to tolerate high salinities (see earlier). The ichthyofaunas of two estuaries in west Africa with a reverse salinity gradient have also been shown to change in composition and undergo a reduction in number of species along that gradient (Simier et al., 2004; Kantoussan et al., 2012). $\bar{R}$ values demonstrated that inter-annual variations in ichthyofaunal composition in the Leschenault Estuary were less than those due to seasonal and regional changes, reflecting the consistency of the pronounced seasonal and regional trends exhibited by salinity and temperature in the two years.

The use of SIMPROF, in conjunction with coherent species curve analyses, demonstrated that the spatial and temporal resources in the Leschenault Estuary are largely partitioned among separate groups of fish species. The species in groups I and II, i.e. those mainly confined to the base and lower regions of the estuary, all belonged to the marine estuarine-opportunist guild, except for
F. lateralis and L. presbyteroides, which belong to the estuarine \& marine guild and are thus also represented by discrete populations in marine waters. These species are also typically found in the lower regions of other south-western Australian estuaries (Gill \& Potter, 1993; Prince et al., 1982). In contrast, three of the six species (the yellowtail grunter Amniataba caudavittata Richardson 1845, A. elongata and C. mugiloides) in group VI, i.e. which occurred mainly in the upper and apex regions, are, confined to estuaries in south-western Australia (Prince \& Potter, 1983; Wise et al., 1994; Potter \& Hyndes, 1999). Two of the other species are marine estuarine opportunists (the yellowfin whiting Sillago schomburgkii Peters 1864 and A. vaigiensis) are known to be able to tolerate high salinities (Bayly, 1972; Lenanton, 1977).

The species which were largely restricted to the lower region of the estuary were mainly caught in either spring (group III) or summer (group IV). Thus, c. 50-70 \% of the individuals of G. marmoratus, L. wallacei, S. argus and the hairy pipefish Urocampus carinirostris Castelnau 1872 were caught in this region during spring and $c .50-100 \%$ of individuals of $O$. rueppellii, the blue weed whiting Haletta semifasciata Valenciennes 1840, the western striped grunter Pelates octolineatus Jenyns 1840 and the tarwhine Rhabdosargus sarba Forsskål 1775 were obtained from this region in summer. It is thus relevant that the above species are associated with aquatic vegetation (Travers \& Potter, 2002; Young \& Potter, 2003; Hesp et al., 2004; Valesini et al., 2004) and that macroalgal growths are prolific in these seasons (Hugues-dit-Ciles et al., 2012).

The partitioning of spatial and seasonal resources among groups of species in the Leschenault Estuary would reduce the potential for competition among the most abundant marine species and estuarine residents in this system.

## Comparisons of the fish faunas in 1994 and 2008-10

It is particularly pertinent that the species compositions in 2008/9 and 2009/10 were not significantly different, but that the composition in each of these years was significantly different
from that in 1994, a feature emphasised by a comparison of the distributions of the samples for the three years in the ordination plots shown in Fig. 6. This trend is consistent with the hypothesis that changes in the environment between those two periods were accompanied by a change in ichthyofaunal composition. The most important contributors to these ichthyofaunal differences were unexpected, however, involving a contrast between the presence in 2008-10 of substantial numbers of A. vaigiensis, and more particularly C. mugiloides, and the absence of these species in 1994 (Table III; Potter et al., 1997, 2000). As only A. vaigiensis, of these two species, has been recorded in an estuary further south and then only in very low numbers (Valesini et al., 1997), there has been a southwards extension in the range of in which these designated tropical species (Hoese et al., 2006) are abundant. This presumably reflects the influence of the conspicuous increases in water temperature, which, as a result of climate change, have occurred along the south-western coast of Australia during particularly the last 20 years (Pearce \& Feng, 2007; Pearce et al., 2011; Lough, et al., 2012). Such a conclusion is consistent with the fact that, during the last 30 years, the abundance of C. mugiloides has increased in both the Swan-Canning (cf. Loneragan et al., 1989; Valesini et al., 2009, 2011, 2013) and Peel-Harvey estuaries (cf. Potter et al., 1983; Loneragan et al., 1986; Veale, 2013), which are located c. 140 and 85 km to the north of the Leschenault Estuary, respectively.

The differences in composition between 2008-10 and 1994 also reflect increases in the densities and contributions to the total catch by $O$. rueppellii and G. marmoratus. It is thus relevant that these species are strongly associated with aquatic vegetation (Travers \& Potter, 2002; Young \& Potter, 2003; Valesini et al., 2004), and that the estuary became more eutrophic between the two periods, which is reflected in an increase in macroalgae (Hugues-dit-Ciles et al., 2012). As the distribution of $O$. rueppellii includes the northernmost and thus tropical regions of Australia (Allen et al., 2006), the increased abundance of this apogonid may also reflect the beneficial effects of higher water temperatures for such species. In contrast to the above two species, the overall density, contribution to the total catch and ranking by abundance of $F$. lateralis was far less in 2008-10 than
in 1994. Since this gobiid suffered a greater mortality when housed in aquaria containing silt rather than sand (Gill \& Potter, 1993), the reduced abundance of this species is probably related to the effects of an increase in siltation in this estuary (McKenna, 2007; Kilminster, 2010; Hugues-ditCiles et al., 2012).

In summary, the results of this integrated study, in combination with those on a range of largely comparable estuaries, have elucidated the features that characterise permanently-open estuaries with a reverse salinity gradient. The results demonstrate that the number of species, including those of both the marine and estuarine category, decline along the salinity gradient. However, very high densities were recorded in the apex and upper regions in autumn, due predominantly to large numbers of three species of atherinid, a family that contains certain species capable of tolerating extreme salinities. Coherent species curves demonstrated that species tend to form groups along the estuary and according to season, thereby resulting in partitioning of resources among the members of the ichthyofauna and thus reducing the potential for interspecific competition. Ichthyofaunal composition was shown to undergo pronounced and progressive changes along the estuary and with season in association with changes in salinity. Inter-decadal comparisons emphasised that the ichthyofaunal composition of estuaries can undergo conspicuous changes due to the introduction of new species as coastal water temperature increase as a result of climate change and through changes in the relative abundance of some species in association with other changes in the environment, such as increased siltation and eutrophication.
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Table I. Life-cycle guilds (LC), rankings by abundance ( $R$ ), mean densities ( $D$; numbers of fish $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ ) and percentage contributions to the total catch (\%) of the fish species caught between the Austral winter (July) of 2008 and the Austral autumn (April) of 2010 in all four regions of the Leschenault Estuary collectively, and of each region individually. Numbers of fish species and overall mean density (number of fish $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ ) are also given. In this and subsequent tables, life-cycle guilds are abbreviated as follows: E, solely estuarine; E\&M, estuarine \& marine; E\&F, estuarine and freshwater; MEO, marine estuarine-opportunist and MS, marine straggler. The ten most abundant species in the whole estuary and in each of the four regions individually are highlighted in bold.

|  |  | Whole estuary |  |  | Base |  |  | Lower |  |  | Upper |  |  | Apex |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species Name | LC | R | D | \% | $R$ | D | \% | $R$ | D | \% | R | D | \% | R | D | \% |
| Atherinosoma elongata | E | 1 | 64.0 | 34.0 | 6 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 1 | 67.8 | 23.4 | 1 | 74.8 | 49.9 | 1 | 107.2 | 67.0 |
| Craterocephalus mugiloides | E | 2 | 24.0 | 12.7 | 8 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 3 | 39.9 | 13.8 | 2 | 27.1 | 18.1 | 2 | 25.8 | 16.1 |
| Hyperlophus vittatus | MEO | 3 | 21.4 | 11.4 | 2 | 40.4 | 26.4 | 2 | 45.2 | 15.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aldrichetta forsteri | MEO | 4 | 15.7 | 8.4 | 1 | 45.6 | 29.8 | 7 | 7.5 | 2.6 | 7 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 4 | 5.5 | 3.5 |
| Leptatherina presbyteroides | E\&M | 5 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 3 | 20.2 | 13.2 | 4 | 33.4 | 11.5 | 6 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 7 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Favonigobius lateralis | E\&M | 6 | 12.8 | 6.8 | 4 | 14.2 | 9.3 | 6 | 27.9 | 9.6 | 4 | 8.2 | 5.5 | 8 | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| Atherinomorus vaigiensis | MEO | 7 | 9.1 | 4.8 | 5 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 12 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 3 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 3 | 11.5 | 7.2 |
| Ostorhinchus rueppellii | E\&M | 8 | 7.9 | 4.2 | 18 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 5 | 30.9 | 10.7 | 12 | 0.7 | 0.4 |  |  |  |
| Sillaginodes punctatus | MEO | 9 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 9 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 8 | 7.2 | 2.5 | 8 | 2.8 | 1.8 | 11 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Mugil cephalus | MEO | 10 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 15 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 15 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 5 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 6 | 2.8 | 1.8 |
| Torquigener pleurogramma | MEO | 11 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 7 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 11 | 5.3 | 1.8 | 10 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 10 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Leptatherina wallacei | E\&F | 12 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 12 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 10 | 6.0 | 2.1 | 9 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 9 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Gymnapistes marmoratus | MEO | 13 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 11 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 9 | 6.2 | 2.1 | 11 | 0.7 | 0.5 |  |  |  |
| Sillago schomburgkii | MEO | 14 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 17 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 26 | 0.1 | <0.1 | 14 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 5 | 3.7 | 2.3 |
| Haletta semifasciata | MEO | 15 | 0.6 | 0.3 |  |  |  | 13 | 2.6 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arripis truttaceus | MEO | 16 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 10 | 2.5 | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pseudogobius olorum | E\&F | 17 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 14 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 16 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Ammotretis elongatus | MS | 18 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 13 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 18.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stigmatopora argus | MS | 19 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 20.5 | <0.1 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 21 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |
| Sillago burrus | MEO | 20.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 30.5 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 20.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 12 | $<0.1$ | 0.1 |
| Gerres subfasciatus | MEO | 20.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 25.5 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 17 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 18 | <0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Scobinichthys granulatus | MS | 22 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 22 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhabdosargus sarba | MEO | 23 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 25.5 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 18.5 | 0.4 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contusus brevicaudus | MEO | 24 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 25.5 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 24 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 17 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Pomatomus saltatrix | MEO | 25 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 25.5 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 20.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amniataba caudavittata | E | 27 | <0.1 | $<0.1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Pelates octolineatus | MEO | 27 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  | 24 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 19 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |
| Urocampus carinirostris | E\&M | 27 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 25.5 | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ | 24 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pseudorhombus jenynsii | MEO | 29 | $<0.1$ | <0.1 | 20.5 | $<0.1$ | 0.1 | 28.5 | $<0.1$ | <0.1 | 21 | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ |  |  |  |
| Siphamia cephalotes | E\&M | 30 | $<0.1$ | <0.1 |  |  |  | 27 | 0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Afurcagobius suppositus | E | 32 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  | 28.5 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cristiceps australis | MS | 32 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 20.5 | $<0.1$ | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lesueurina platycephala | MEO | 32 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 20.5 | <0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meuschenia freycineti | MEO | 35 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  | 30 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arenigobius bifrenatus | E\&M | 35 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 30.5 | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ | 33 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Microcanthus strigatus | MS | 35 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 25.5 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arripis georgianus | MEO | 40 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ |  |  |  |
| Callogobius depressus | E\&M | 40 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  | 33 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ophisurus serpens | MEO | 40 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  | 33 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parablennius postoculomaculatus | MS | 40 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  | 33 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Platycephalus laevigatus | MS | 40 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  | 33 | $<0.1$ | <0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enoplosus armatus | MS | 40 | <0.1 | $<0.1$ | 30.5 | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spratelloides robustus | MS | 40 | $<0.1$ | <0.1 | 30.5 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of samples |  |  | 124 |  |  | 31 |  |  | 31 |  |  | 31 |  |  | 31 |  |
| Number of species |  |  | 43 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Number of fish |  |  | 27044 |  |  | 5500 |  |  | 10407 |  |  | 5388 |  |  | 5749 |  |
| Mean number of fish $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ |  |  | 188 |  |  | 153 |  |  | 289 |  |  | 150 |  |  | 160 |  |

Table II. Global and pairwise $R$-statistic values and significance levels ( $P$ ) for one-way ANOSIM tests for region, employing separate Bray-Curtis similarity matrices constructed from the fish abundances in each season. Significant pairwise comparisons are highlighted in grey.

| (a) Winter $R=0.122, P=0.033$ |  |  |  | (b) Spring, $R=0.560, P=0.001$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apex | Upper | Lower |  | Apex | Upper | Lower |
| Upper | 0.143 |  |  | Upper | 0.245 |  |  |
| Lower | 0.230 | 0.139 |  | Lower | 0.941 | 0.609 |  |
| Base | 0.089 | -0.070 | 0.282 | Base | 0.778 | 0.504 | 0.278 |
| (c) Summer, $R=0.455, P=0.001$ |  |  |  | (d) Autumn, $R=0.369, P=0.001$ |  |  |  |
|  | Apex | Upper | Middle |  | Apex | Upper | Lower |
| Upper | -0.012 |  |  | Upper | 0.090 |  |  |
| Lower | 0.633 | 0.446 |  | Lower | 0.420 | 0.340 |  |
| Base | 0.888 | 0.697 | 0.137 | Base | 0.648 | 0.674 | 0.199 |

Table III. Life-cycle guilds (LC), rankings by abundance ( $R$ ), mean densities ( $D$; number of fish $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ ) and percentage contributions to the total catch (\%) of the fish species caught in the base and lower regions of the Leschenault Estuary in each season in 1994 and over two consecutive 'years' between winter 2008 and autumn 2010. Numbers of fish species and overall mean density (number of fish $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ ) in each period are also given. The eleven most abundant species in each period are highlighted in bold.

| Species name | LC | 1994 |  |  | 2008-10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R | D | \% | R | D | \% |
| Atherinosoma elongata | E | 4 | 10.8 | 4.1 | 1 | 46.2 | 19.1 |
| Hyperlophus vittatus | MEO | 2 | 85.1 | 32.4 | 2 | 38.9 | 16.0 |
| Aldrichetta forsteri | MEO | 5 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 3 | 30.8 | 12.7 |
| Leptatherina presbyteroides | E\&M | 3 | 41.4 | 15.8 | 4 | 30.7 | 12.7 |
| Craterocephalus mugiloides | E |  |  |  | 5 | 25.4 | 10.5 |
| Favonigobius lateralis | E\&M | 1 | 95.8 | 36.5 | 6 | 19.7 | 8.1 |
| Ostorhinchus rueppellii | E\&M | 9 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 7 | 17.6 | 7.3 |
| Sillaginodes punctatus | MEO | 7 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 8 | 5.4 | 2.2 |
| Atherinomorus vaigiensis | MEO |  |  |  | 9 | 5.4 | 2.2 |
| Torquigener pleurogramma | MEO | 12 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 10 | 4.8 | 2.0 |
| Leptatherina wallacei | E\&F | 8 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 11 | 4.6 | 1.9 |
| Gymnapistes marmoratus | MEO | 14.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 12 | 4.3 | 1.8 |
| Arripis truttaceus | MEO |  |  |  | 13 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| Haletta semifasciata | MEO | 19 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 14 | 1.5 | 0.6 |
| Pseudogobius olorum | E\&F | 10 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 15 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| Mugil cephalus | MEO | 11 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 16 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| Ammotretis elongates | MS | 28 | <0.1 | $<0.1$ | 17 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| Stigmatopora argus | MS | 13 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 18 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Sillago schomburgkii | MEO | 24 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 19 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Sillago burrus | MEO | 16 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 20.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Scobinichthys granulatus | MS | 26 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 20.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Rhabdosargus sarba | MEO | 21 | 0.1 | <0.1 | 22 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Urocampus carinirostris | E\&M | 17 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 23 | 0.1 | <0.1 |
| Pelates octolineatus | MEO | 22 | 0.1 | <0.1 | 24 | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| Pseudorhombus jenynsii | MEO | 24 | <0.1 | $<0.1$ | 25 | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| Afurcagobius suppositus | E | 6 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 27 | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| Contusus brevicaudus | MEO | 14.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 27 | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| Siphamia cephalotes | E\&M | 18 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 27 | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| Pomatomus saltatrix | MEO | 31.5 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 30 | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| Gerres subfasciatus | MEO |  |  |  | 30 | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| Meuschenia freycineti | MEO |  |  |  | 30 | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| Spratelloides robustus | MS | 24 | <0.1 | $<0.1$ | 34 | <0.1 | $<0.1$ |
| Arenigobius bifrenatus | E\&M | 31.5 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 34 | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| Platycephalus laevigatus | MS |  |  |  | 34 | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| Callogobius depressus | E\&M |  |  |  | 34 | <0.1 | <0.1 |
| Ophisurus serpens | MEO |  |  |  | 34 | $<0.1$ | $<0.1$ |
| Enoplosus armatus | MS | 20 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Sillago bassensis | MS | 28 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |
| Callogobius mucosus | MEO | 28 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |
| Notolabrus parilus | MS | 31.5 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |
| Cristiceps australis | MS | 31.5 | <0.1 | <0.1 |  |  |  |
| Number of samples |  |  | 48 |  |  | 48 |  |
| Number of species |  |  | 33 |  |  | 36 |  |
| Number of fish |  |  | 14601 |  |  | 13483 |  |
| Mean number of fish $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ |  |  | 262 |  |  | 242 |  |

## List of Figures

Figure 1. Map showing the base, lower, upper and apex regions of the Leschenault Estuary that were sampled using a 21.5 m seine net in each consecutive season between the Austral winter of 2008 and Austral autumn of 2010. Arrow in inset shows location of the Leschenault Estuary in south-western Australia.

Figure 2. Mean seasonal values for (a) salinity, (b) water temperature, (c) numbers of species and (d) densities of fishes in the base $(\diamond)$, lower $(\bigcirc)$, upper $(\Delta)$ and apex ( $\square$ ) regions of the Leschenault Estuary between the Austral winter of 2008 and Austral autumn of 2010. Overall mean $\pm 95 \%$ confidence intervals are presented for each variable (back-transformed for the numbers and densities of fish). The horizontal line in (a) denotes full strength sea water, i.e. 35.

Figure 3. Numbers of species representing the marine ( $\mathbf{\Delta}$ ) and estuarine $(\triangle)$ categories and the percentage contributions of the number of individuals of the marine ( $\square$ ) and estuarine ( $\square$ ) categories (shown as stacked bar graphs) in each region of the Leschenault Estuary; (a) throughout the year and (b-e) in each season. B, base region; L, lower region; U, upper region; A, apex region.

Figure 4. nMDS ordination plots, constructed from separate Bray-Curtis similarity matrices derived from pre-treated (see text) and then meaned abundances recorded for each fish species in: (a) each season (averaged over years and sites) in the base $(\diamond)$, lower $(\odot)$, upper ( $\triangle$ ) and apex ( $\square$ ) regions of the Leschenault Estuary; (c) each region (averaged over seasons and sites) in 2008/09 ( $\boldsymbol{\text { ) }}$ ) and 2009/10 ( $>$ ); and (e) each season (averaged over regions and sites) in 2008/09 ( $>$ ) and 2009/10 $(*)$. The nMDS stress function in (c) is mixed with a trace amount (5\%) of metric MDS (mMDS) stress to avoid a degenerate solution for this small number of points. W , winter; Sp , spring; S ,
summer; A, autumn. Arrows show seasonal cycling or trend up the estuary. (b) and (d) Bubble plots of mean salinities for the relevant samples are superimposed on the nMDS ordinations in (a) and (c) respectively.

Figure 5. Line plots for coherent groups of species (I-VI) identified by Type 3 SIMPROF tests, showing, for each species, the percentage contributions to its total abundance (untransformed) across the 16 combinations of four seasons ( $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Sp}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{A}$ ) over four regions (base, lower, upper and apex) of the Leschenault Estuary. The species retained for the SIMPROF tests all accounted for more than $0.1 \%$ of the total abundance in at least one of the 16 (averaged) samples. This resulted in 13 coherent groups; the omitted plots are typically of singleton groups and all involve few occurrences and low total abundance (all species with $>100$ individuals are present in one of the displayed groups).

Figure 6. (a) nMDS ordination plot constructed from the Bray-Curtis similarity matrix derived from the (pre-treated) mean abundances of each fish species in each season (averaged for regions) in the Leschenault Estuary in 1994 ( $\square$ ), 2008/09 ( ( ) and 2009/10 ( $\bullet$ ). Arrows show direction of seasonal cycling. (b) Segmented bubble plot, superimposed on the ordination in (a), with segment sizes, on a common scale, proportional to the means of the (pre-treated) abundances for each of 6 species, identified by a two-way SIMPER analysis (removing seasonal effects) as primarily contributing to the difference between the 1994 and 2008-2010 periods. Favonigobius lateralis ( $\quad$ ), Craterocephalus mugiloides ( $\square$ ), Aldrichetta forsteri (■), Atherinomorus vaigiensis (■), Atherinosoma elongata (■) and Torquigener pleurogramma (■). (c) Bubble plot as in (b), with segment sizes on the same common scale, but for 6 species identified by two-way SIMPER (removing period effects) as primarily contributing to the observed seasonal changes. Hyperlophus vittatus ( $\square$ ),Gymnapistes marmoratus ( $\square$ ),Sillaginodes punctatus (■), Pseudogobius olorum (■),Ostorhinchus rueppellii (■) and Stigmatopora argus (■).
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Appendix 1. Mean squares (MS), $F$ values (F) and significance levels ( $P$ ) for three-way PERMANOVA tests employing the Euclidean distance matrices constructed from (a) number of species and (b) mean densities of fishes (numbers $100 \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ ) in the base, lower, upper and apex regions of the Leschenault Estuary in each season over the two consecutive years between the Austral winter of 2008 and Austral autumn of 2010. df $=$ degrees of freedom. Significant differences $(<0.05)$ are highlighted in bold.

|  | (a) Number of species |  |  |  | (b) Density |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Main Effects | $\boldsymbol{d f}$ | MS | $\boldsymbol{F}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}$ | MS | $\boldsymbol{F}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}$ |  |
|  | 1 | $\mathbf{1 . 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 3}$ | 1.56 | 0.9 | 0.357 |  |
| Year | 3 | 0.23 | 1.1 | 0.358 | $\mathbf{6 . 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2}$ |  |
| Season | 3 | $\mathbf{8 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 1}$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 8}$ |  |
| Region | 12 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 9}$ | 1.41 | 1.9 | 0.071 |  |
| Site (Region) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interactions | 3 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 0}$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9}$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |  |
| Year $\times$ Season | 3 | 0.55 | 3.2 | 0.065 | 3.96 | 2.3 | 0.121 |  |
| Year $\times$ Region | 9 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5}$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7}$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |  |
| Season $\times$ Region | 12 | 0.17 | 1.2 | 0.337 | $\mathbf{1 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 6}$ |  |
| Year $\times$ Site (Region) | 36 | 0.21 | 1.5 | 0.148 | 1.06 | 1.4 | 0.155 |  |
| Season $\times$ Site $($ Region $)$ | 9 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6}$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |  |
| Year $\times$ Season $\times$ Region | 32 | 0.15 |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  |
| Residuals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 2. Mean squares (MS), Pseudo-F ( pF ) values, and significance levels ( $P$ ) for four-way PERMANOVA tests on the Bray-Curtis similarity matrices constructed from the (pre-treated) fish abundances recorded in (a) the base, lower, upper and apex regions of the Leschenault Estuary in each season over two consecutive years between the Austral winter of 2008 and Austral autumn of 2010 and (b) those in the base and lower regions in each season in 1994, 2008/09 and 2009/10. $d f=$ degrees of freedom. Significant results ( $<0.05$ ) are highlighted in bold. Asterisks indicate some missing cells in the unbalanced design.

| (a) Fish compositions in 2008/09 and 2009/10 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main Effects | $d f$ | MS | pF | $P$ |
| Year | 1 | 4904 | 3.8 | 0.003 |
| Season | 3 | 8738 | 8.1 | <0.001 |
| Region | 3 | 12721 | 6.2 | <0.001 |
| Site (Region) | 12 | 2091 | 2.4 | <0.001 |
| Interactions |  |  |  |  |
| Year $\times$ Season | 3 | 4000 | 4.7 | <0.001 |
| Year $\times$ Region | 3 | 2211 | 1.7 | 0.034 |
| Season $\times$ Region | 9 | 3186 | 3.0 | <0.001 |
| Year $\times$ Site (Region) | 12 | 1283 | 1.5 | 0.003 |
| Season $\times$ Site (Region) | 36 | 1082 | 1.3 | 0.012 |
| Year $\times$ Season $\times$ Region | 9 | 1833 | 2.1 | <0.001 |
| Residuals | 32 | 858 |  |  |
| (b) Fish compositions in 1994, 2008/09 and 2009/10 |  |  |  |  |
| Main effects | $d f$ | MS | pF | $P$ |
| Year | 2 | 7843 | 3.5 | 0.001 |
| Season | 3 | 6165 | 4.0 | <0.001 |
| Region | 1 | 6892 | 2.5 | 0.036 |
| Site (Region) | 6 | 2784 | 1.5 | 0.049 |
| Interactions |  |  |  |  |
| Year $\times$ Season | 6 | 3026 | 2.4 | <0.001 |
| Year $\times$ Region | 2 | 2411 | 1.1 | 0.362 |
| Season $\times$ Region | 3 | 3051 | 2.0 | 0.006 |
| Year $\times$ Site (Region)* | 10 | 2201 | 1.2 | 0.198 |
| Season $\times$ Site (Region) | 18 | 1531 | 0.8 | 0.881 |
| Year $\times$ Season $\times$ Region | 6 | 1752 | 1.4 | 0.034 |
| Year $\times$ Season $\times$ Site (Region)* | 28 | 1864 | 0.7 | 0.997 |
| Residuals | 24 | 2662 |  |  |

Appendix 3. Species which, on the basis of one-way SIMPER, typified (shaded) the fish assemblages in each region of the Leschenault Estuary in (a) winter, (b) spring, (c) summer and (d) autumn of 2008-10 and distinguished between each pair of assemblages (un-shaded). The region in which each species was most consistently found and abundant is given in superscript for each pairwise comparison. Asterisks denote the relative consistency of each species in either typifying or distinguishing the faunal compositions in each region, as measured by the similarity to standard deviation ratio and dissimilarity to standard deviation ratio, respectively; 1.0-1.5*, 1.5-2.0**, 2.0-2.5**, >2.5****.

| (a) W | Apex | Upper | Lower | Base | (b) $\mathbf{S p}$ | Apex | Upper | Lower | Base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apex | A. forsteri <br> L. presbyteroides* <br> A. elongata <br> C. mugiloides |  |  |  | Apex | A. elongata |  |  |  |
| Upper |  | F. lateralis <br> L. presbyteroides* <br> T. pleurogramma <br> A. elongata |  |  | Upper | F. lateralis <br> S. punctatus ${ }^{\mathrm{U}^{*}}$ <br> A. elongata ${ }^{\mathrm{U}}$ <br> A. forsteri ${ }^{\mathrm{U}^{*}}$ | F. lateralis ${ }^{* *}$ <br> A. elongata* <br> S. punctatus |  |  |
| Lower | F. lateralis ${ }^{\mathrm{L}^{*}}$ <br> A. forsteri ${ }^{\mathrm{A}^{*}}$ <br> T. pleurogramma ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ |  | F. lateralis** <br> C. mugiloides <br> O. rueppellii |  | Lower | F. lateralis ${ }^{\mathrm{L}^{* *}}$ <br> G. marmoratus ${ }^{\text {L }^{*}}$ <br> S. argus $^{\mathrm{L}^{*}}$ <br> T. pleurogramma ${ }^{\mathrm{L}^{*}}$ | F. lateralis ${ }^{\mathrm{M}}$ <br> C. mugiloides ${ }^{\mathrm{M}}$ <br> A. elongata ${ }^{\mathrm{M}^{*}}$ | F. lateralis ${ }^{* * *}$ <br> G. marmoratus*** <br> S. argus <br> H. vittatus* |  |
| Base |  |  | F. lateralis ${ }^{\mathrm{B}^{*}}$ <br> C. mugiloides ${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ <br> A. elongata ${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ | F. lateralis* <br> T. pleurogramma | Base | S. argus ${ }^{\mathrm{B}^{*}}$ <br> F. lateralis ${ }^{\mathrm{B}^{*}}$ <br> G. marmoratus ${ }^{\mathrm{B}^{*}}$ <br> T. pleurogramma ${ }^{\mathrm{B}^{*}}$ <br> H. vittatus ${ }^{\mathrm{B}^{*}}$ | H. vittatus ${ }^{\text {L }}$ <br> F. lateralis ${ }^{\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{L}}}$ <br> S. punctatus ${ }^{\mathrm{U}}$ <br> A. elongata ${ }^{\mathrm{U}^{*}}$ <br> S. granulatus ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | S. argus ${ }^{\mathrm{L}^{*}}$ <br> F. lateralis $^{\mathrm{L}^{*}}$ <br> G. marmoratus ${ }^{\mathrm{L}^{*}}$ <br> L. wallacei ${ }^{L^{*}}$ | F. lateralis* <br> H. vittatus <br> T. pleurogramma |
| (c) S | Apex | Upper | Lower | Base | (d) A | Apex | Upper | Lower | Base |
| Apex | A. elongata* |  |  |  | Apex | A. elongata <br> C. mugiloides* <br> A. vaigiensis |  |  |  |
| Upper |  | A. elongata* <br> C. mugiloides |  |  | Upper |  | A. elongata <br> C. mugiloides <br> A. vaigiensis* <br> F. lateralis* |  |  |
| Lower | F. lateralis $^{\text {L }}$ <br> S. punctatus ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ <br> O. rueppellii ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ <br> H. vittatus ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | F. lateralis ${ }^{\text {L }}$ <br> S. punctatus ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ <br> O. rueppellii ${ }^{\mathrm{L}^{*}}$ <br> T. pleurogramma ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ <br> H. vittatus ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | F. lateralis* <br> O. rueppellii <br> A. elongata |  | Lower | F. lateralis ${ }^{\mathrm{L}^{* *}}$ <br> A. elongata ${ }^{\mathrm{A}^{* *}}$ <br> A. vaigiensis ${ }^{\mathrm{A}^{*}}$ <br> C. mugiloides ${ }^{\mathrm{L}^{*}}$ | A. elongata <br> F. lateralis ${ }^{\mathrm{M}^{*}}$ <br> C. mugiloides ${ }^{\mathrm{M}^{*}}$ | F. lateralis* <br> C. mugiloides <br> T. pleurogramma |  |
| Base | F. lateralis ${ }^{\mathrm{B}^{* *}}$ <br> A. forsteri ${ }^{\text {B }}$ <br> H. vittatus ${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ <br> L. presbyteroides $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{B}^{*}}$ | A. forsteri ${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ <br> F. lateralis ${ }^{\mathrm{B}^{*}}$ <br> H. vittatus ${ }^{\mathrm{B}^{*}}$ <br> L. presbyteroides $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{B}^{*}}$ |  | F. lateralis** <br> H. vittatus* <br> A. forsteri <br> S. punctatus | Base | A. elongata ${ }^{A^{*}}$ <br> C. mugiloides ${ }^{\mathrm{A}^{*}}$ <br> T. pleurogramma ${ }^{\mathrm{B}^{*}}$ <br> S. punctatus ${ }^{\mathrm{B}}$ | A. elongata <br> C. mugiloides <br> A. vaigiensis ${ }^{\mathrm{U}^{*}}$ <br> T. pleurogramma ${ }^{\mathrm{B}^{*}}$ | C. mugiloides ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ <br> A. vaigiensis ${ }^{\mathrm{B}^{*}}$ <br> L. presbyteroides ${ }^{\text {L }}$ <br> F. lateralis ${ }^{\mathrm{L}^{*}}$ | F. lateralis <br> T. pleurogramma <br> A. vaigiensis |

