

# The Open Access Israeli Journal of Aquaculture – Bamidgen

As from **January 2010** The Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - Bamidgen (IJA) will be published exclusively as **an on-line Open Access (OA)** quarterly accessible by all AquacultureHub (<http://www.aquaculturehub.org>) members and registered individuals and institutions. Please visit our website (<http://siamb.org.il>) for free registration form, further information and instructions.

This transformation from a subscription printed version to an on-line OA journal, aims at supporting the concept that scientific peer-reviewed publications should be made available to all, including those with limited resources. The OA IJA does not enforce author or subscription fees and will endeavor to obtain alternative sources of income to support this policy for as long as possible.

## Editor-in-Chief

Dan Mires

## Editorial Board

<b>Rina Chakrabarti</b>	Aqua Research Lab, Dept. of Zoology, University of Delhi, India
<b>Angelo Colorni</b>	National Center for Mariculture, IOLR Eilat, Israel
<b>Daniel Golani</b>	The Hebrew University of Jerusalem Jerusalem, Israel
<b>Hillel Gordin</b>	Kibbutz Yotveta, Arava, Israel
<b>Sheenan Harpaz</b>	Agricultural Research Organization Beit Dagan,
<b>Gideon Hulata</b>	Agricultural Research Organization Beit Dagan,
<b>George Wm. Kissil</b>	National Center for Mariculture, IOLR, Eilat, Israel
<b>Ingrid Lupatsch</b>	Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea, UK
<b>Spencer Malecha</b>	Dept. of Human Nutrition, Food & Animal Sciences, CTAHR, University of Hawaii
<b>Constantinos Mylonas</b>	Hellenic Center for Marine Research, Crete, Greece
<b>Amos Tandler</b>	National Center for Mariculture, IOLR Eilat, Israel
<b>Emilio Tibaldi</b>	Udine University Udine, Italy
<b>Jaap van Rijn</b>	Faculty of Agriculture, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel
<b>Zvi Yaron</b>	Dept. of Zoology, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

Published under auspices of  
**The Society of Israeli Aquaculture and Marine Biotechnology (SIAMB),**  
 University of Hawai'i at Mānoa Library

&

**University of Hawai'i at Mānoa**  
**Aquaculture Program**  
 in association with  
**AquacultureHub**

<http://www.aquaculturehub.org>



UNIVERSITY  
 of HAWAII<sup>®</sup>  
 MĀNOA  
 LIBRARY



AquacultureHub.org

**AquacultureHub**  
 educate · learn · share · engage

ISSN 0792 - 156X

© Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - BAMIGDEH.

PUBLISHER:

Israeli Journal of Aquaculture - BAMIGDEH -  
 Kibbutz Ein Hamifratz, Mobile Post 25210,  
 ISRAEL


Phone: + 972 52 3965809

<http://siamb.org.il>

Copy Editor **Ellen Rosenberg**



The IJA appears exclusively as a peer-reviewed on-line open-access journal at <http://www.siamb.org.il>. To read papers free of charge, please register online at [registration form](#).  
Sale of IJA papers is strictly forbidden.



## Effects of the Amplitude and Frequency of Salinity Fluctuations on the Growth Performance of Juvenile Tongue Sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*)

Sachin Onkar Khairnar, Xiangli Tian\*, Ziheng Fang, Shuanglin Dong

The Key Laboratory of Mariculture, Ministry of Education, College of Fisheries, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, 266003, P.R. China

(Received 29.1.13, Accepted 27.3.13)

Key words: salinity fluctuations, growth, food consumption, apparent digestibility, *Cynoglossus semilaevis*

### Abstract

The effects of amplitude (2, 4, 6, 8 ppt) and frequency (2, 4, 8 days) of salinity fluctuations on the growth, body composition, and energy budget in juvenile tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*) were investigated for 64 days. Results showed that the frequency and amplitude, as well as the interaction between them, significantly affected the specific growth rate. The tongue sole had higher specific growth rates at the amplitudes of 4 and 6 ppt and frequencies of 4 and 8 days than tongue sole in other treatments or the unfluctuating control. In these treatments, food consumption, food conversion efficiency, and apparent digestion in terms of energy were also significantly higher than in the control. The growth rate of the juvenile tongue sole was a quadratic function of the salinity amplitude at various frequencies and was described by the equation  $G = \beta_0 + \beta_1(SA) + \beta_2(SA)^2$ , where G represents the specific growth rate on a 64-day basis, SA is salinity amplitude in ppt,  $\beta_0$  is the intercept on the G axis, and  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are the regression coefficients, respectively. The optimal salinity amplitudes for the best growth at salinity fluctuation frequencies of 2, 4, and 8 days were estimated to be 3.54, 4.89, and 4.74 ppt, respectively, suggesting that commercial farmers can rear juvenile tongue sole in moderate salinity fluctuations to achieve better growth performance.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +86-532-82032117, e-mail address: [xianglitian@ouc.edu.cn](mailto:xianglitian@ouc.edu.cn)

## Introduction

Salinity is one of the most important abiotic factors affecting the growth of aquatic organisms and has complex and wide-ranging biological effects (Brett and Groves, 1979; Gaumet et al., 1995; Kumlu et al., 2000; Boeuf and Payan, 2001). In intensive systems where salinity can be altered, commercial interests determine the optimum salinity level for each fish species. The idea that aquatic organisms grow better under constant salinity rather than fluctuating salinity is generally accepted and therefore most aquatic organisms are cultured in water of a constant salinity. However, fluctuating salinities can positively influence the growth of aquatic organisms (Stroganov 1962; Konstantinov and Martynova, 1993).

Tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis* Güther, 1873), Actinopterygii, Cynoglossidae, is a commercially important and rare native fish species in the Bohai, Yellow, and East China Seas of China, and inhabits coastal waters and estuaries (Masuda et al., 1984). However, the wild population has sharply decreased due to over-fishing and annual output is now less than one ton (Jiang and Wan, 2005). Tongue sole has become an important indoor intensively-cultured species in China due to its high nutritional and economic value. Research on tongue sole has focused on food habits and life history cycles, breeding, and embryonic development (Dou, 1993, 1995a,b; Du et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2005; Ma et al., 2005, 2007), karyotype, genetic diversity (Zhuang et al., 2006; Liao et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2007, 2008, 2010), influence of water temperature and ration (Fang et al., 2010), and compensatory growth (Tian et al., 2010). The objective of this study was to examine the effects of frequency and amplitude of fluctuating salinities on growth and food consumption in tongue sole.

## Materials and Methods

**Fish acclimation.** Juvenile tongue sole were provided by Mingbao Aquatic Product Co., Ltd., Yantai, P.R. China, and transferred to the laboratories at the Aoshanwei Research Centre of Ocean University of China. They were acclimated to seawater (30 ppt) in a 1000-l fiberglass tank for at least one week before the experiment during which they were fed commercial pellets (Guangzhou Yuequn Technology Co., Ltd, P.R. China) to satiation twice a day at 07:00 and 18:00 (Table 1).

**Experimental design.** The effects of three fluctuating frequencies (every 2, 4, or 8 days) and four fluctuation amplitudes (2, 4, 6, or 8 ppt) were compared to an unfluctuating control. The salinity of the control was a constant 30 ppt. An example of a 4-day salinity fluctuation of 6 ppt is shown in Fig. 1. There were five replicates for each treatment, and each replicate had six juveniles. After acclimation, fish were starved for 24 h to evacuate the gut. Normal healthy fish were anesthetized using MS-222 (60 mg/l) to reduce stress, weighed, and assigned to one of the 65 rectangular glass aquaria (55 × 30 × 35 cm) used in the experiment.

On day 1 of the experiment, all fish were held at the control salinity (30 ppt). Salinity was subsequently changed as per the experimental design. The fish were fed as described for acclimation above. During the experiment, 30% of the water in each aquarium was replaced every other day to ensure suitable water quality. Temperature, pH, and ammonia nitrogen were monitored daily and were 18-22°C, 8.0, and <0.2 mg/l, respectively. A simulated natural photoperiod (14 h light:10 h dark) was maintained.

To obtain water of the desired salinity, seawater was filtered through a sand filter, and low-salinity water was made by diluting the sand-filtered seawater with fully aerated tap water. High-salinity water was made by adding sea salt to the sand-filtered seawater. Moderate

Table 1. Chemical (%) and energy (KJ/g) contents of commercial pellets fed to tongue sole juveniles.

Protein <sup>1</sup>	54.25
Ash <sup>2</sup>	<16.00
Fat <sup>2</sup>	≥12.00
Moisture <sup>3</sup>	≤4.12±0.03
Fiber <sup>2</sup>	<3.00
Calcium <sup>2</sup>	>2.30
Phosphorus <sup>2</sup>	>1.50
Energy <sup>4</sup>	15.36±0.11

<sup>1</sup> according to N × 6.25; N content determined by Vario ELIII Elemental Analyser (Elementar, Germany)

<sup>2</sup> provided by manufacturer

<sup>3</sup> after oven-drying to a constant weight at 70°C

<sup>4</sup> measured by PARR1281 Calorimeter (PARR Instrument Co., USA)




Fig. 1. Example of salinity fluctuation of 6 ppt every 4 days. The pattern was similar for frequencies of 2 and 8 days, and amplitudes of 2, 4, and 8 ppt.

weighed, and dried at 70°C. Specific growth rates in terms of weight gain ( $SGR_w$ ; %/d) and energy ( $SGR_e$ ; %/d) were determined as  $100(\ln W_t - \ln W_0)/t$  and  $100(\ln E_t - \ln E_0)/t$ , where  $W_t$  and  $W_0$  are the final and initial wet body weights in g,  $E_t$  and  $E_0$  are the final and initial energy contents in the fish body, and  $t$  is the feeding duration in days. Relative specific growth rate ( $RSGR$ ; %) was determined as  $100[SGR(s + \Delta s) - SGR_s]/SGR_s$ , where  $SGR_s$  is the SGR at 30 ppt,  $\Delta s$  is the amplitude of salinity fluctuation, and  $s + \Delta s$  indicates the fluctuating salinity.

Food conversion efficiency in terms of weight ( $FCE_w$ ; %) and energy ( $FCE_e$ ; %) were determined as  $100(DW_t - DW_0)/C_w$  and  $100(E_t - E_0)/C_e$ , where  $DW_t$  and  $DW_0$  are the final and initial dry body weights in g, and  $C_w$  and  $C_e$  are food intakes in g. Apparent digestibility rates in terms of weight ( $ADR_w$ ; %) and energy ( $ADR_e$ ; %) were calculated as  $100(C_w - F_w)/C_w$  and  $100(E_t - E_0)/E_t$ , where  $F_w$  is total fecal production in g (Sun et al., 2006).

The effects of fluctuating salinity amplitudes and frequencies on SGR were tested using the quadratic polynomial function:  $G = \beta_0 + \beta_1(SA) + \beta_2(SA)^2$  where  $G$  represents the specific growth rate on a 64-day basis,  $SA$  is salinity amplitude in ppt,  $\beta_0$  is the intercept on the  $G$  axis, and  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are the regression coefficients.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis of the data was performed with a statistical package (SPSS 16.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Richmond, CA, USA). The assumption of homogeneity of variances was tested for all data, which were log-transformed if necessary. The interaction between salinity amplitude and fluctuations on growth and food consumption was tested using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Significant ANOVA was followed by Duncan's multiple comparison to determine differences between treatments. Differences were considered statistically significant if  $p < 0.05$ .

## Results

There were no mortality, health disturbances, or alterations in behavior in any treatment. There were no significant differences in initial body weight between treatments (Table 2). Two-way ANOVA showed that both the frequency and the amplitude of salinity fluctuation significantly affected the final weights of the tongue sole juveniles ( $p < 0.01$ ) and that there was a significant interaction between frequency and amplitude of salinity fluctuations ( $p < 0.01$ ). The fish in the 8 day/ $\pm 6$  ppt treatment attained the highest final body weight. Food consumption increased with increased frequency and amplitude of salinity till it reached an optimum level. However, there was no interaction between frequency and amplitude of salinity.

Two-way ANOVA analysis showed that frequency and amplitude of the salinity fluctuations significantly affected the specific growth rates ( $p < 0.01$ ) and that there was a significant interaction between the frequency and amplitude of salinity. When both  $SGR_w$  and  $SGR_e$  were considered, frequencies of 4 and 8 days and amplitudes of 4 and 6 ppt produced significantly higher growth rates than the control and other treatments (Fig. 2). The relative specific growth rate differed with frequency and amplitude (Fig. 3).

aeration was continuously provided to maintain dissolved oxygen above 6 mg/l, stopped 30 min before collecting feces and uneaten food, and resumed immediately after collection. Food consumption was calculated as the difference in dry weight between the amount of food supplied and amount of uneaten food.

**Data calculation.** The experiment was conducted from September 27 to December 1, 2011. At the end of the experiment, all fish were starved for 24 h,

Table 2. Growth and feed efficiency in tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*) reared in salinity fluctuations occurring at different intervals (days) and amplitudes ( $\pm$ ppt), means $\pm$ SE.

Salinity changes in...		Weight (g)		Food conversion efficiency (%)		Apparent digestibility rate (%)		Food consumption (g/d/ind)
Frequency (days)	Amplitude (ppt)	Initial	Final	Weight	Energy	Weight	Energy	
Control		7.55 $\pm$ 0.27	17.39 $\pm$ 0.70 <sup>bc</sup>	93.73 $\pm$ 1.29 <sup>ab</sup>	18.97 $\pm$ 0.52 <sup>b</sup>	76.19 $\pm$ 1.73 <sup>ab</sup>	58.11 $\pm$ 0.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.14 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>a</sup>
2	2	7.41 $\pm$ 0.19	17.52 $\pm$ 1.24 <sup>bc</sup>	89.31 $\pm$ 5.61 <sup>ab</sup>	15.60 $\pm$ 0.99 <sup>a</sup>	82.62 $\pm$ 1.42 <sup>c</sup>	56.23 $\pm$ 2.29 <sup>b</sup>	0.14 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup>
2	4	7.59 $\pm$ 0.14	17.45 $\pm$ 0.94 <sup>bc</sup>	93.43 $\pm$ 9.13 <sup>ab</sup>	19.54 $\pm$ 1.82 <sup>b</sup>	81.94 $\pm$ 3.64 <sup>bc</sup>	59.55 $\pm$ 1.18 <sup>b</sup>	0.15 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>ab</sup>
2	6	7.60 $\pm$ 0.18	16.13 $\pm$ 0.88 <sup>b</sup>	94.08 $\pm$ 5.21 <sup>ab</sup>	22.81 $\pm$ 1.28 <sup>b</sup>	80.38 $\pm$ 2.83 <sup>bc</sup>	59.56 $\pm$ 0.95 <sup>b</sup>	0.14 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup>
2	8	7.77 $\pm$ 0.17	14.91 $\pm$ 0.67 <sup>a</sup>	81.73 $\pm$ 5.02 <sup>a</sup>	14.15 $\pm$ 0.91 <sup>a</sup>	79.47 $\pm$ 1.45 <sup>b</sup>	44.24 $\pm$ 1.48 <sup>a</sup>	0.14 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>a</sup>
4	2	7.43 $\pm$ 0.09	17.21 $\pm$ 1.09 <sup>bc</sup>	101.34 $\pm$ 5.79 <sup>bc</sup>	21.96 $\pm$ 1.20 <sup>b</sup>	79.32 $\pm$ 2.67 <sup>b</sup>	63.07 $\pm$ 0.50 <sup>b</sup>	0.15 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>ab</sup>
4	4	7.53 $\pm$ 0.02	18.72 $\pm$ 0.76 <sup>c</sup>	108.98 $\pm$ 2.98 <sup>c</sup>	27.53 $\pm$ 0.68 <sup>c</sup>	81.90 $\pm$ 3.47 <sup>c</sup>	68.29 $\pm$ 0.52 <sup>bc</sup>	0.16 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>b</sup>
4	6	7.57 $\pm$ 0.07	18.55 $\pm$ 1.13 <sup>c</sup>	109.87 $\pm$ 4.84 <sup>c</sup>	30.43 $\pm$ 1.36 <sup>c</sup>	76.44 $\pm$ 1.49 <sup>ab</sup>	68.90 $\pm$ 0.50 <sup>bc</sup>	0.16 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>b</sup>
4	8	7.77 $\pm$ 0.09	15.02 $\pm$ 0.27 <sup>a</sup>	87.60 $\pm$ 3.63 <sup>ab</sup>	19.05 $\pm$ 0.79 <sup>b</sup>	79.71 $\pm$ 1.45 <sup>b</sup>	52.40 $\pm$ 0.40 <sup>ab</sup>	0.14 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>a</sup>
8	2	7.49 $\pm$ 0.17	17.57 $\pm$ 0.69 <sup>c</sup>	102.60 $\pm$ 5.14 <sup>bc</sup>	25.22 $\pm$ 1.20 <sup>bc</sup>	79.62 $\pm$ 1.51 <sup>b</sup>	64.81 $\pm$ 0.72 <sup>b</sup>	0.16 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>b</sup>
8	4	7.62 $\pm$ 0.18	19.37 $\pm$ 0.90 <sup>c</sup>	110.21 $\pm$ 3.31 <sup>c</sup>	31.88 $\pm$ 0.83 <sup>c</sup>	74.52 $\pm$ 1.14 <sup>a</sup>	71.21 $\pm$ 0.41 <sup>c</sup>	0.17 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>bc</sup>
8	6	7.50 $\pm$ 0.10	19.42 $\pm$ 1.15 <sup>c</sup>	112.23 $\pm$ 2.01 <sup>c</sup>	33.59 $\pm$ 0.47 <sup>c</sup>	74.34 $\pm$ 1.86 <sup>a</sup>	72.88 $\pm$ 0.80 <sup>c</sup>	0.18 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>c</sup>
8	8	7.56 $\pm$ 0.20	15.05 $\pm$ 0.44 <sup>a</sup>	98.42 $\pm$ 3.91 <sup>b</sup>	25.31 $\pm$ 0.94 <sup>bc</sup>	78.61 $\pm$ 1.03 <sup>b</sup>	58.06 $\pm$ 0.38 <sup>b</sup>	0.15 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>a</sup>

Data in a column with different superscripts significantly differ (Duncan's multiple comparisons,  $p < 0.05$ ) from other treatments at the same frequency.




Fig. 2. Specific growth rate in terms of (a) wet weight ( $SGR_{wet}$ ; %/day) and (b) energy ( $SGR_{energy}$ ; %/day) of tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*) reared in salinity fluctuations occurring at different intervals (day) and amplitudes (ppt) during a 64-day experiment. Means with different letters indicate significance differences ( $p < 0.05$ ). Error bars represent standard errors.




Fig. 3. Relative specific growth rate (RSGR) in terms of body weight of juvenile *Cynoglossus semilaevis* raised in salinity fluctuations at different intervals (days) and amplitudes (ppt). Error bars represent standard errors.

Equations for regression relationships of specific growth rates to salinity amplitudes at different fluctuation frequencies show that the optimal salinity amplitude for growth at fluctuation frequencies of 2, 4, and 8 days is 3.54, 4.89, and 4.74 ppt, respectively (Table 3).

**Food conversion efficiency.** Food conversion efficiencies in terms of wet weight ( $FCE_w$ ) and energy content ( $FCE_e$ ) were significantly affected by frequency and amplitude of salinity but there was no significant interaction between frequency and amplitude (for  $FCE_w$ ,  $p = 0.854$ ; for  $FCE_e$ ,  $p = 0.923$ ).  $FCE$  at 8 ppt was significantly lower than at other amplitudes, while there were no significant differences in  $FCE$  at 2, 4, or 6 ppt.

Table 3. Regression relationships\* between specific growth rate (G) of tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*) and salinity amplitudes (SA; in ppt) at different fluctuation frequencies.

Frequency (days)	$\beta_0$	Std. error	$\beta_1$	Std. error	$\beta_2$	Std. error	$R^2$	F	P
2	1.080	0.042	0.138	0.025	-0.020	0.003	0.745	24.810	<0.05
4	1.035	0.037	0.201	0.022	-0.025	0.003	0.843	45.612	<0.05
8	1.031	0.036	0.218	0.021	-0.026	0.003	0.862	53.280	<0.05

\*  $G = \beta_0 + \beta_1(SA) + \beta_2(SA)^2$ , where  $\beta_0$  = intercept on specific growth rate axis and  $\beta_2$  = regression coefficient

**Apparent digestibility.** The apparent digestibility rates ranged 74.34-82.62% in terms of weight and 44.24-72.88% in terms of energy and were significantly affected by the frequency and amplitude of salinity fluctuations (for  $ADR_w$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ; for  $ADR_e$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). There was no significant interaction between frequency and amplitude on  $ADR_w$  or  $ADR_e$ .  $ADR$  at two-day intervals was higher than at 4 or 6-day intervals or in the control, while there were no significant differences between days 4 and 8.

### Discussion

While the idea that aquatic organisms grow better under constant salinity is generally accepted, the positive influence of salinity fluctuations on the growth of aquatic organisms have been reported in Russian sturgeon, *Acipenser gueldenstaedti* (Stroganov, 1962) and juvenile Russian sturgeon, *A. gueldenstaedti*, common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*, and white amur, *Ctenopharyngodon idella* (Konstantinov and Martynova, 1993). Salinity fluctuations of 0.2 ppt considerably accelerated the growth of juvenile fish in comparison to their growth in either freshwater or water with a salinity of 2 ppt (Stroganov, 1962; Konstantinov and Martynova, 1993). Tongue sole is euryhaline and able to adapt to a wide range of salinities (0-40 ppt) in a short time period (Tian et al., 2011). In the present study, fluctuations of 4-6 ppt at frequencies of 4 and 8 days resulted in significantly higher growth rates than the constant salinity of 30 ppt. However, the fluctuation amplitude of 8 ppt and frequency of 2 days did not improve the growth beyond that of the control; on the contrary, it seemed that this combination of frequency and amplitude reduced growth. Thus, the general conclusion that salinity fluctuations produce higher or lower growth rates than a constant salinity depends not only on amplitude but also on the frequency of the fluctuations.

Investigations probing into the effects of salinity fluctuations on growth in aquatic animals have produced variant results. In *Litopenaeus vannamei* juveniles maintained in fluctuations of 5 ppt every 4 days, food consumption and growth were higher than in shrimp maintained at a constant 20 ppt or other levels (Feng et al., 2008). The digestive gland weight of *Marsupenaeus japonicus* increased after a salinity change, suggesting that salinity changes may affect digestibility and thereby affect growth (Marangos et al., 1989). The present study indicates that fluctuating frequencies and amplitudes of salinity significantly affect food consumption, food conversion efficiency, and even apparent digestion rates of juvenile tongue sole. The best growth performance (final weight, SGR), food consumption, food conversion efficiency ( $FCE_w$ ,  $FCE_e$ ), and apparent digestion rate in terms of energy content of the fish body ( $ADR_e$ ) were obtained with the amplitudes of 4 and 6 ppt and the frequencies of 4 and 8 days.

Better growth performance is always the aim of aquaculture farmers. According to results from the present study, moderate salinity fluctuations of 4-6 ppt at a frequency of 4-8 days improve growth in juvenile tongue sole without a significant decrease in food conversion or digestion rate. Thus, commercial tongue sole farmers could obtain the fastest growth by culturing the fish indoors or in ponds near estuaries or creeks where they could take advantage of tidal salinity fluctuations. This information can be utilized in farm site selection and salinity maintenance to maximize commercial productivity.

### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Great Project of Scientific and Technical Supporting Programs (Grant No. 2011BAD13B03), the program for Excellent Youth Foundation of Shandong Province (Grant No. JQ201009), and the Public Science and Technology Research Funds Projects of Ocean, State Oceanic Administration of the People's Republic of China (Grant No. 200905020).

### References

- Boeuf G. and P. Payan**, 2001. How should salinity influence fish growth? *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.*, 130C:411-423.
- Brett J.R. and T.D.D. Groves**, 1979. Physiological energetics. pp. 279-352. In: W.S. Hoar, D.J., Randall (eds.). *Fish Physiology*. Academic Press, New York. 782 pp.
- Dou S.**, 1993. Food habits and seasonal variation of stomach contents of tongue sole *Cynoglossus semilaevis* (Günther) in the Bohai Sea. *Chin. J. Oceanol. Limnol.*, 11(1):89-96.
- Dou S.**, 1995a. Life history cycles of flatfish species in the Bohai Sea, China. *Neth. J. Sea Res.*, 34(1-3):195-210.
- Dou S.**, 1995b. Food utilization of adult flatfish co-occurring in the Bohai Sea of China. *Neth. J. Sea Res.*, 34(1-3):183-193.
- Du W., Meng Z.J., Xue Z.Y., Jiang Y.W., Zhuang Z.M. and R.J. Wan**, 2004. Embryonic development of *Cynoglossus semilaevis* and its relationship with incubation temperature. *J. Fish. Sci. Chin.*, 11(1):48-52 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Fang J., Tian X. and S.L. Dong**, 2010. The influence of water temperature and ration on the growth, body composition and energy budget of tongue sole, *Cynoglossus semilaevis*. *Aquaculture*, 299:106-114.
- Feng C.M., Tian X., Dong S.L., Su Y.P., Wang F. and S. Ma**, 2008. Effects of frequency and amplitude of salinity fluctuation on the growth and energy budget of juvenile *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Aquacult. Res.*, 39(15):1639-1646.
- Gaumet F., Boeuf G., Severe A., Le Roux A. and N. Mayer-Gostan**, 1995. Effects of salinity on the ionic balance and growth of juvenile turbot. *J. Fish Biol.* 47:865-876.
- Jiang Y.W. and R.J. Wan**, 2005. Tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*) culture techniques. pp. 663-665. In: J.L. Lei (ed.). *Marine Fish Culture Theory and Techniques*. China Agricultural Press, Beijing. 1002 pp.
- Konstantinov A.S. and V.V. Martynova**, 1993. Effect of salinity fluctuations on energetics of juvenile fish. *J. Ichthyol.*, 33:1-8.
- Kumlu M., Eroldogan O.T. and M. Aktas**, 2000. Effect of temperature and salinity on larval growth, survival and development of *Penaeus semisulcatus*. *Aquaculture*, 188:167-173.
- Liao X., Shao C.W., Tian Y.S. and S.L. Chen**, 2007. Polymorphic dinucleotide microsatellites in tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*). *Mol. Ecol. Notes*, 7(6):1147-1149.
- Liu X.Z., Zhuang Z.M., Ma A.J., Chen S.Q., Sun Z.Z., Liang Y. and Y.J. Xu**, 2005. Reproductive biology and breeding technology of *Cynoglossus semilaevis* Güther. *Mar. Fish. Res.*, 26(5):7-14 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Liu Y.G., Sun X.Q., Gao H. and L.X. Liu**, 2007. Microsatellite markers from an expressed sequence tag library of half-smooth tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*) and their application in other related fish species. *Mol. Ecol. Notes*, 7(6):1242-1244.
- Liu Y.G., Bao B.L., Liu L.X., Wang L. and H. Lin**, 2008. Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite loci from RAPD product in half-smooth tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*) and a test of cross-species amplification. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, 8(1):202-204.
- Liu Y.G., Gao H., Liu C.Y., Li F.Z. and S.L. Chen**, 2010. Genetics features of natural and cultured populations of half-smooth tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*) revealed by RAPD Markers. [Isr. J. Aquacult. - Bamidgeh](#), 62(2):96-104.
- Ma A.J., Liu X.Z., Xu Y.J., Liang Y., Zhuang Z.M., Zhai J.M. and B. Li**, 2005. Study on feeding behavior and growth of tongue sole *Cynoglossus semilaevis* in early

development stage. *Oceanol. Limnol. Sin.*, 36(2):130-138 (in Chinese with English abstract).

**Ma A.J., Wang X.A., Zhuang Z.M. and X.Z. Liu**, 2007. Study on relationship of the special sense organ and feeding behaviour of *Cynoglossus semilaevis* Güther. *Oceanol. Limnol. Sin.*, 38(3):240-245 (in Chinese with English abstract).

**Marangos C., Brogren C.H. and E. Alliot**, 1989. The influence of water salinity on the free amino acid concentration in muscle and hepatopancreas of adult shrimps, *Penaeus japonicus*. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.*, 17:589-594.

**Masuda H., Amaoka K., Araga C., Uyeno T. and T. Yoshino**, 1984. *The Fishes of the Japanese Archipelago*. Tokai Univ. Press, Tokyo, Japan. 1437 pp.

**Sun L., Chen H. and L. Huang**, 2006. Effect of temperature on growth and energy budget of juvenile cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*). *Aquaculture*, 261:872-878.

**Stroganov N.S.**, 1962. *Ecological Physiology of Fish*. Moscow Univ. Press, Moscow. 420 pp.

**Tian X., Fang J. and S. Dong**, 2010. Effects of starvation and recovery on the growth, metabolism and energy budget of juvenile tongue sole, *Cynoglossus semilaevis*. *Aquaculture*, 310:122-129.

**Tian X., Wang G. and S. Dong**, 2011. Effect of salinity on plasma osmolality and gill Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity of the tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*). *Mar. Sci.*, 35:27-31 (in Chinese with English abstract).

**Zhuang Z.M., Wu D., Zhang S.C., Pang Q.X., Wang C.L. and R.J. Wan**, 2006. G-banding patterns of the chromosomes of tonguefish, *Cynoglossus semilaevis* Günther, and 1873. *J. Appl. Ichthyol.*, 22(5):437-440.