1 **Cumulative live birth rates after one or more complete cycles of IVF: a**

2 **population-based study of linked cycle data from 178,898 women**

3 David J McLernon[1,*], Abha Maheshwari[2], Amanda J Lee[3], Siladitya Bhattacharya[4]

4 [1]Division of Applied Health Sciences, Polwarth Building, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, AB25

5 2ZD, UK [2]Division of Applied Health Sciences, Polwarth Building, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen,

6 AB25 2ZD, UK [3]Division of Applied Health Sciences, Polwarth Building, University of Aberdeen,

7 Aberdeen, AB25 2ZD, UK [4]Division of Applied Health Sciences, Polwarth Building, University of

8 Aberdeen, Aberdeen, AB25 2ZD, UK

9
10
11
12 ***Correspondence address:** DJ McLernon, Medical Statistics Team, Division of Applied Health

13 Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Polwarth Building, Foresterhill, Aberdeen, UK, AB25 2ZD. Email:

14 d.mclernon@abdn.ac.uk

15

16 **Running title:** Cumulative live birth rates following IVF

17

18

19

20   **Abstract**

21   **Study question:** What is the chance of a live birth following one or more linked complete cycles of

22   IVF (including ICSI)?

23   **Summary answer:** The chance of a live birth after three complete cycles of IVF was 42.3% for

24   treatment commencing from 1999 to 2007.

25   **What is known already:** IVF success has generally been reported on the basis of live birth rates after

26   a single episode of treatment resulting in the transfer of a fresh embryo. This fails to capture the

27   real chance of having a baby after a number of complete cycles – each involving the replacement of

28   fresh as well as frozen-thawed embryos.

29   **Study design, size and duration:**

30   Population based observational cohort study of 178,898 women between 1992 and 2007.

31   **Participants/materials, setting, methods:**

32   Participants included all women who commenced IVF treatment at a licenced clinic in the UK as

33   recorded in the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority national database. Exclusion criteria

34   included women whose treatment involved donor insemination, egg donation, surrogacy, and the

35   transfer of more than three embryos. Cumulative rates of live birth, term (>37 weeks) singleton live

36   birth, and multiple pregnancy were estimated for two time-periods, 1992-1998 and 1999-2007.

37   Conservative estimates assumed that women who did not return for IVF would not have the

38   outcome of interest while optimal estimates assumed that these women would have similar

39   outcome rates to those who continued IVF.

40   **Main results and the role of chance:**

41   A total of 71,551 women commenced IVF treatment during 1992–1998 and an additional 107,347

42   during 1999–2007. After the third complete IVF cycle (defined as three fresh IVF treatments -

43   including replacement of any surplus frozen-thawed embryos), the conservative CLBR in women who

44 commenced IVF during 1992-1998 was 30.8% increasing to 42.3% during 1999-2007. The optimal

45 CLBRs were 44.6% and 57.1% respectively. After eight complete cycles the optimal CLBR was 82.4%

46 in the latter time period. The conservative rate for multiple pregnancy per pregnant woman fell

47 from 31.9% during the earlier time period to 26.2% during the latter.

48 **Limitations and reason for caution:**

49 Linkage of all IVF treatments to individual women was conducted. However, it was not possible to

50 identify with certainty in all cases the episode of ovarian stimulation which generated some of the

51 frozen embryos. Cumulative live birth rates could not be calculated for women who started

52 treatment beyond 2007 as follow up data were incomplete in some of them. Following a change in

53 legislation in 2008, linked data were only made available for research in women who gave formal

54 consent for this purpose.

55 **Wider implications of the findings:**

56 Our results demonstrate, at a national level, the chances of livebirth in couples undergoing a number

57 of complete (fresh and frozen) IVF cycles. They reflect improvements in reproductive technology and

58 a more conservative embryo transfer policy. Although most couples in the UK still do not receive

59 three complete IVF cycles; assuming no barriers to continuation of IVF treatment, around 83% of

60 women receiving IVF would achieve a live birth by the eighth complete cycle, similar to the natural

61 live birth rate in a non-contraception practising population. Our results support the call from NICE to

62 develop consistent IVF policies based on three complete cycles.

69 **Key words:** Cumulative live birth rate, IVF, live birth, multiple pregnancy, time.

70

71

72

73 **Introduction**

74 Globally, the estimated prevalence of infertility is around 9% (Boivin et al. 2007), whilst in the UK,

75 around one in six couples experience problems conceiving (Oakley et al. 2008). Most couples with

76 prolonged unresolved infertility eventually proceed to in vitro fertilisation (defined here as IVF or

77 ICSI) and the number of women treated in the United Kingdom (UK) has increased annually from

78 6184 in 1991 to 49636 in 2013 (Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority 2008a, Human

79 Fertilisation and Embryology Authority 2012, Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority 2013a).

80 Worldwide, by the end of 2013 over five million people were estimated to have been born as a

81 result of IVF (Adamson et al. 2012), with the UK accounting for over 4% of this total (Human

82 Fertilisation and Embryology Authority 2014).

83 IVF success has generally been calculated and reported on the basis of live birth rates per treatment

84 attempt involving either an intended fresh or frozen-thawed embryo replacement (Vrtacnik et al.

85 2014, Elizur et al. 2006, Ke et al. 2013, Sharma et al. 2002, Abuzeid et al. 2014).

86 The continued improvement in reproductive technology has seen an increase in the number of

87 frozen-thawed embryo transfers (De Mouzon et al. 2010) and their associated pregnancy rates

88 (Roque et al. 2013). This, combined with an emphasis on reducing multiple pregnancies and

89 increasing single embryo transfers (National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health

90 2013), means that outcomes per fresh embryo transfer are no longer meaningful to patients and

91 clinicians who want to know their chance of a live birth over an entire IVF programme (Maheshwari

92 et al. 2015). The most appropriate way of reporting this is to estimate the cumulative chances of

93 success per woman after a number of complete cycles, - defined as all fresh and frozen-thawed

94 embryo transfer attempts resulting from one episode of ovarian stimulation (Moragianni and

95 Penzias 2010). The complete cycle definition allows realisation of the total reproductive potential of

96 each single fresh cycle including the contribution of all subsequent frozen-thawed embryo transfers

97 derived from it (Jones et al. 1997, Stern et al. 2012). Cumulative live birth rates (CLBRs) following IVF

98 have been reported mainly at a sub-national level (Vrtacnik et al. 2014, Ke et al. 2013, Malizia et al.

99 2009, Elizur et al. 2006). Although they have been reported at the national level in the United States

100 (Stern et al. 2013, Luke et al, 2012) and Australia and New Zealand (Macaldowie et al. 2013) not all

101 the reports have been able to generate figures for cumulative live birth after several complete IVF

102 cycles. Until now, no studies have reported such rates for the UK (Johnson and Franklin 2013). Given

103 the national shift towards elective single embryo transfer and freezing of surplus embryos (National

104 Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health 2013, The Multiple Births Foundation,

105 2015), CLBRs are increasingly proving to be the currency of IVF. As such, it is important to determine

106 what their values are for couples embarking on IVF, and how they have changed over time with

107 increasing uptake of embryo freezing. Additionally, since multiple pregnancy is associated with

108 increased maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality (Mansour et al. 2014, Sunderam et al.

109 2014), it is useful to explore whether changes in practice have resulted in reducing cumulative

110 multiple pregnancy rates and increasing the numbers of healthy babies – i.e. rates of term singleton

111 live births (Min et al. 2004).

112 The Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority (HFEA) has collected data on all licensed fertility

113 treatments in the UK since 1992. An anonymised HFEA database is freely available for research

114 purposes and has been utilised in several studies (Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority

115 2013b, Sunkara et al. 2011, Nelson and Lawlor 2011, Bhattacharya et al. 2013,). However, as it only

116 contains data at the individual (fresh or frozen) IVF treatment level there is no way of linking one or

117 more complete IVF cycles to an individual woman in order to estimate CLBRs. However, a more

118 detailed version of the HFEA database is available for research purposes under strict conditions

119 which links all IVF treatments to complete cycles and to individual women (Williams et al 2013) and

120 allows estimation of cumulative live birth rates. A population-based cohort study was conducted to

121 investigate the cumulative live birth rate per woman following one or more linked complete cycles

122 of IVF. This was repeated for outcomes of term singleton live birth per woman and multiple

123 pregnancy per pregnant woman following IVF. We also aimed to explore whether the cumulative

124   live birth rate increased over time and the characteristics of women accessing IVF as well as their

125   patterns of treatment over time.

126

127   **Methods**

128   *Database access*

129   Access to the detailed HFEA database was subject to approval from the North of Scotland Research

130   Ethics Committee, the Confidentiality Advisory Group, and the HFEA Register Research Panel.

131   Consent for IVF patient data to be used in research changed from 'presumed' to 'required' in

132   October 2009. Therefore, from October 2009, only details relating to those patients who provided

133   explicit consent for their data to be used in research were available.

134   Anonymised "per woman" data were transferred to the University of Aberdeen where they were

135   stored and analysed using the dedicated secure Data Safe Haven (DaSH) University of Aberdeen

136   server with access restricted to approved researchers.

137   *Study population*

138   Records of all fresh and frozen-thawed IVF (including ICSI) treatments in women who embarked on

139   IVF in the UK between January 1992 and December 2011 were extracted. Since the treatment

140   information were linked to the individual we were able to identify and code complete cycles of IVF

141   for each woman by combining her fresh treatment with its associated frozen-thawed treatments (so

142   that the total reproductive potential could be determined). For clarity, our definition of a complete

143   cycle is all fresh and frozen-thawed embryo transfer attempts resulting from one episode of ovarian

144   stimulation (National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health 2013). The following

145   exclusion criteria were applied:

146   1. Women having any element of treatment involving donor insemination, egg donation, and

147   surrogacy.

148   2. Women who had treatment where the express purpose was storage of eggs or embryos.

149   3. Women aged less than 18 or over 50 in their first treatment.

150   4. Women with more than three embryos transferred in any treatment since this was a very

151   rare occurrence in the UK (20 over the whole study period).

152   5. Women whose first treatment in the database was a thawed embryo transfer since this

153   indicated previous unrecorded treatment.

154   6. Women who received their first treatment in 2008 and 2009 were excluded so that a

155   minimum of two years exposure time could be achieved for women commencing treatment

156   in 2007. Two years was chosen since this captured over 90% of women's total exposure to

157   treatment in the database. The years where the opt-in policy was in action (2010 to 2011)

158   were excluded since their inclusion would have led to falsely higher discontinuation rates

159   due to women opting not to disclose their treatment information in later treatments.

160   *Baseline characteristics*

161   Baseline characteristics of women at the beginning of their first complete cycle included age (<31,

162   31-35, 36-40, and >40 years), type of infertility (categorised as single diagnosis of tubal,

163   endometriosis, anovulation, male factor, or unexplained, or as any multiple diagnosis), and year.

164   *Outcomes*

165   Since the complete cycle information was linked to individual women, this enabled us to identify the

166   first live birth and first multiple pregnancy occurrences per woman over multiple complete cycles.

167   Once a woman achieved her first live born baby from IVF they did not contribute any further to the

168   cumulative rates. Outcomes were cumulative live birth rate per woman (CLBR), cumulative term

169   singleton live birth rate per woman, and cumulative multiple pregnancy rate per pregnant woman.

170   Multiple pregnancy was defined as an occurrence of: more than one foetal sac each with foetal

171   pulsation on scan; or one foetal sac but more than one birth outcome.

172   ***Statistical analysis***

173   Descriptive statistics were calculated for patient and treatment characteristics at the first fresh IVF

174   treatment. The median (interquartile range (IQR)) number of treatments per patient, median

175   follow-up time and the most frequent fresh and frozen-thawed treatment patterns per patient were

176   calculated. The live birth rate at the first fresh IVF treatment was calculated by year. These results

177   were used to inform the development of separate time periods over which the CLBRs were

178   calculated. This would enable investigation of the improvement in cumulative rates over time.

179   Three different live birth rates were estimated:

180   *Live birth rate and multiple pregnancy rate (per complete cycle)*

181   The live birth rate per complete cycle was calculated by dividing the number of women in each

182   complete cycle who had their first live birth by the total number of women who attempted that

183   complete cycle. The multiple pregnancy rate per complete cycle was calculated by dividing the

184   number of women in each complete cycle who had their first multiple pregnancy event by the

185   number of women who had a pregnancy in that complete cycle.

186   *Conservative CLBR*

187   This assumes that none of the women who discontinued treatment would have had a live birth. At

188   each successive complete cycle the total number of women who had their first treatment dependent

189   live birth up to and including it were divided by the total number of women who ever attempted IVF.

190   Any further live births occurring in subsequent cycles were not included in this analysis. The 95%

191   confidence intervals were calculated using standard errors from the binomial distribution.

192   *Optimal CLBR*

193   This assumes that women who discontinued treatment would have had the same chance of a live

194   birth or a multiple pregnancy as those who continued. The Kaplan-Meier estimate was used to

195   calculate these rates and pointwise estimates of the 95% confidence intervals were obtained.

196   Cumulative rates were calculated by different age group and type of infertility values. This utilised

197   the linked data by using the values of these characteristics of the woman at the start of her first

198   complete cycle. For CLBR, all complete cycles were included up to either the end of follow-up or the

199   first live birth occurrence, whichever came first.

200   The CLBR was only calculated for complete cycles where the number of women attempting that

201   complete cycle was greater than 100. The above analyses were repeated for the outcome of term

202   singleton live birth. The log-rank test was used to compare the optimal CLBRs between the two time

203   periods and between age and type of infertility within each time period. The conservative

204   cumulative multiple pregnancy rate per pregnant woman was calculated by dividing the number of

205   women in each complete cycle who had their first multiple pregnancy event by the number of

206   women who got pregnant up until that complete cycle.

207   ***Ethical approval***

208   Ethical approval was obtained by the North of Scotland Research Ethics Committee (12/NS/0119).

209

210   **Results**

211   A total of 253,417 women underwent 464,333 autologous complete cycles of IVF in the UK from

212   1992 to 2011. After exclusions these figures reduced to 218,591 women (438,454 complete cycles)

213   (see Figure 1). The live birth rate resulting from the first complete cycle of IVF increased from 16.1%

214   in 1992 to 31.2% in 2007 (see Figure S1). From 1992 to 1998 the rates slowly increased to 23.1%

215   before rising to 26.1% in 1999 where they remained steady until 2006 (29.7%). Based on the

216   stability of annual success rates for the first complete cycle, the CLBR was calculated for women who

217   commenced IVF from 1999 to 2007. This was to minimize heterogeneity caused by changes in

218   clinical practice over time. To assess whether the CLBR improved over time, the CLBR was also

219   calculated for the earlier time period of 1992 to 1998 (period 1) and compared to the CLBR for 1999

220   to 2007 (period 2).A total of 71,551 women commenced IVF during period 1 and 107,347 during

221   period 2 (see Figure 1). Table 1 shows couple and treatment characteristics at the start of the first

222   complete cycle by time period. The proportion of women over the age of 35 who received IVF

223   increased over time from 31.7% during period 1 to 39.6% during period 2. Unexplained infertility,

224   the most frequent diagnosis during period 1 (43.8%) slipped to second place during the second

225   period (27.2%) behind male factor (31.1%). In the first fresh treatment, the proportion of triple

226   embryo transfers decreased from 38.8% in period 1 to 8.4% in period 2 (Table 2). However, the

227   proportion of single embryo transfers remained the same (~8%) meaning that there were more

228   double embryo transfers in period 2 (69.4%) than in period 1 (32.9%). The median (IQR) number of

229   complete cycles was 1 (1, 2) in both time periods. The median (IQR) time from the start of the first

230   complete cycle to the last fresh or frozen-thawed treatment in the last complete cycle (excluding

231   women who only had one complete cycle with no frozen-thawed embryo transfer attempts) was

232   lower in period 2 compared to period 1 (365 (185, 701) versus 314 (165, 609) days; p<0.001).

233   *Treatment patterns*

234   The most frequent treatment patterns were the same in both periods: one fresh treatment (period 1

235   48.4% versus period 2 51.4%), two consecutive fresh treatments (21.8% versus 21.9%), three

236   consecutive fresh treatments (9.4% versus 8.5%), and one fresh treatment followed by one frozen-

237   thawed treatment (4.2% versus 4.3%).

238   *Cumulative live birth rates*

239   The conservative (Figure 2A) and optimal (Figure 2B) CLBRs per woman after the third complete

240   cycle for patients who commenced IVF from 1992 to 1998 were 30.8% and 44.6% respectively,

241   increasing to 42.3% and 57.1% from 1999 to 2007 (see Table 3). The respective rates for term

242   singleton live birth were 17.4% and 27.6% for 1992 to 1998 and 25.6% and 38.5% for 1999 to 2007

243   (Table S1). There was a highly significant difference between optimal cumulative live birth rates

244   across the two time periods (p<0.001). After eight complete cycles the optimal CLBR was 82.4% in

245   the latter time period. The conditional live birth rates per complete cycle tended to show a minimal

246   decline with each successive complete cycle.

247   For those patients who did not achieve a live birth following their fresh embryo transfer attempt in

248   their first complete cycle but who went on to have at least one frozen embryo transfer attempt, the

249   conditional cumulative live birth rate after three frozen embryo transfer attempts was 33.7% in

250   period 1 and 41.0% in period 2.

251   *Age group*

252   By age group, the CLBRs per women were higher in period 2 than period 1. After the third complete

253   cycle, for those aged <31 at their first complete cycle the conservative CLBRs were 38.6% versus

254   52.4% in periods 1 and 2 respectively; ages 31-35 (34.6% versus 50.3%), ages 36-40 (22.1% versus

255   33.9%), ages >40 (5.9% versus 9.8%). The corresponding optimal CLBRs were 54.1% versus 67.9%,

256   47.7% versus 64.2%, 33.3% versus 47.0% and 11.4% versus 17.3% respectively. In each time period

257   the optimal CLBRs were significantly different across the age groups (p<0.001).

258   *Type of infertility*

259   The CLBRs for type of infertility were not calculated for Period 1 as the number of events in some

260   groups were too small. In Period 2, couples with a single diagnosis of male factor infertility at their

261   first complete cycle had the highest CLBR of all types at 45.8% for the conservative estimate and

262   59.8% for the optimal estimate after the third complete cycle. This was followed closely by

263   endometriosis (44.8% conservative, 57.5% optimal), unexplained infertility (42.2% conservative,

264   56.2% optimal), tubal infertility (39.5% conservative, 54.6% optimal), and anovulation (39.4%

265   conservative, 57.6% optimal). The CLBR for couples with more than one type of infertility was

266   similar to that for couples with single types of infertility (40.1% conservative, 55.5% optimal). There

267   was a significant difference between the optimal CLBRs across the types of infertility in the second

268   period (p<0.001).

269   *Multiple pregnancy rates by time period*

270   By time period, 7495 (30.9%) of 24296 pregnancies during 1992 to 1997 were multiple pregnancies

271   of which 6368 (85.0%) resulted in a multiple live birth. For IVF commencing during 1998 to 2007,

272   13702 (24.8%) of 55270 pregnancies were multiple pregnancies of which 11767 (85.9%) led to a

273   multiple live birth. The multiple pregnancy rate per pregnant woman after the first complete cycle

274   was 31.9% for those that commenced during period 1 and decreased to 26.2% during period 2.

275   Cumulatively, the multiple pregnancy rates did not increase i.e. they remained the same as the rate

276   in the first complete cycle for each period.

277   *Discontinuation*

278   The discontinuation rates after each complete cycle were very similar for the two time periods. Of

279   those women whose first complete cycle did not result in a live birth 42.7% did not return for a

280   second complete cycle over the following two years in period 1 versus 39.5% in period 2 (Figure S2).

281   The withdrawal rate per complete cycle increased until complete cycle four and then remained

282   reasonably steady.

283

284   **Discussion**

285   *Statement of principal findings*

286   In this study, national UK cumulative birth outcomes following one or more IVF complete cycles

287   were calculated over two separate time periods – 1992 to 1998 and 1999 to 2007. The conservative

288   estimates of the CLBR after three complete cycles increased by almost 40% from the earlier to the

289   later period (from 30.8% to 42.3%) whilst optimal estimates increased by 30% (from 44.6% to

290   57.1%). The conservative cumulative multiple pregnancy rate decreased from around 32% in period

291   1 to 26% in period 2 across all complete cycles. By age group, the CLBR per woman declined from the

292   age of 31 to 35 years. There was little difference between the CLBRs across the different types of

293   infertility with conservative estimates ranging from 39% to 46% from 1999 to 2007. The log-rank

294   test was statistically significant for this difference, however, this is almost certainly due to the large

295   population size.

296   ***Strengths and weaknesses of the study***

297   This is the first study to report CLBRs per woman following autologous IVF treatment for the whole

298   of the UK using national population-based data from 1992 to 2009. Per woman rates were

299   estimable because all IVF treatments were linked to the woman, a unique strength for a national IVF

300   database with a long history of complete treatment capture. CLBRs were calculated over complete

301   IVF cycles including fresh and frozen-thawed embryo transfers. This makes the results much more

302   relevant for clinicians and patients.

303   Although we were able to link all treatments within women, it was not possible to identify with

304   certainty from which complete cycle (i.e. episode of ovarian stimulation) each replaced frozen-

305   thawed embryo came. However, our assumption that any frozen-thawed embryos were most likely

306   to have been derived from the most recent egg retrieval episode is likely to be correct for all but a

307   minority of women who may have undergone multiple consecutive fresh transfer attempts and

308   reserved all frozen embryos for transfer at a later date. In reality, only 14% of all women in our

309   dataset had a frozen-thawed embryo transfer attempt; thus, CLBRs tended to be dominated by the

310   outcome of the first fresh treatment. CLBRs could not be calculated for women who started

311   treatment in 2008-2009 since the minimum two-year treatment exposure time would have

312   overlapped the phase, which began in October 2009, when patients had to give formal consent for

313   their data to be disclosed for research purposes (Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority

314   2008b).

315   ***Strengths and weaknesses in relation to other studies***

316   The conservative estimate of the CLBR is a pessimistic one since it assumes that women who do not

317   achieve a live birth do not have any continued chance of getting pregnant – it reflects the observed

318   treatment specific CLBR. The optimal estimate is seen as optimistic since it assumes that women

319   who discontinue without having a live birth still have the same chance of a live birth as those who

320   continue. This future chance of live birth can be interpreted as either a hypothetical ideal world

321   scenario where there is no barrier to future treatment (which is only true for some women) or as

322   one arising from a natural conception (assuming that such chances are similar to those who continue

323   with IVF). A 'realistic' estimate of the CLBR can be calculated which assumes that women who

324   discontinue because of a medical indication had no continued chance of achieving a live birth, while

325   those who stopped treatment for other reasons had the same probability of achieving a live birth

326   after IVF as those who continued (Stolwijk et al. 2000). Unfortunately the HFEA database did not

327   hold the reasons for discontinuation of IVF treatment meaning calculation of the realistic estimate

328   was not possible. However, a previous study found that 22.5% of women who failed 2-4 IVF

329   attempts went on to have a treatment dependent live birth (Troude et al. 2012). Assuming a similar

330   rate in our study gives a realistic estimate of approximately 55.3% after three complete cycles which

331   is just lower than the optimal estimate of 57.1%. Without knowing the reason for withdrawal it is

332   possible that the realistic estimate may show lower rates for the later time period compared to the

333   earlier time period. For this to happen it would mean that the discontinuation rate due specifically

334   to medical indication had increased sufficiently enough over time to have the effect of lowering the

335   CLBR. With the lowering of the threshold for IVF treatment this is unlikely to be the case (Kamphuis

336   et al. 2014).

337   It is not possible to directly compare the finding from the current study with that from the US since

338   the latter did not assess the CLBRs over complete cycles of IVF but did so over cumulative fresh or

339   frozen-thawed treatments (Luke et al. 2012). Also, the US study period was 2004 to 2008 whilst the

340   present study's latter time period was from 1999 to 2007.

341   In Australia and New Zealand, the overall conservative CLBR after three successive fresh or frozen-

342   thawed embryo transfers was 36.0% which is slightly lower than the UK rate of 39.8% after three

343   complete cycles (Macaldowie et al. 2013). However, as for the US, that study examined CLBRs over

344   cumulative fresh or frozen-thawed treatments rather than complete cycles as in our study. The

345   study period was 2009 to 2011 meaning that only those women who began treatment in 2009

346   contributed at least two years' worth of treatment to the cumulative rates.

347   ***Meaning of the study***

348   Our results provide an estimate of the chances of a couple taking a baby home after one or more

349   complete cycles of IVF. They also confirm the fact that, despite rising female age, the CLBR in the

350   U.K. has increased over time while the multiple pregnancy rate has declined. This reflects

351   improvements in reproductive technology and the evolution towards a more conservative embryo

352   transfer policy (McLernon et al. 2010).The multiple pregnancy rate per pregnant woman reduced

353   from 31.9% in women who commenced IVF during period 1 to 26.2% during period 2 reflecting the

354   reduction in triple embryo transfers. The latter rate is slightly lower than that reported in Canada in

355   2004 of 30% (Health Quality Ontario, 2006) and is actually lower than many countries' multiple birth

356   rate including Guatemala (71.5%), Brazil (55.9%), Argentina (43.1%), Taiwan (40.5%) and USA

357   (31.5%) (Sullivan et al. 2013). Since the end of our study period the HFEA have reported that the

358   multiple pregnancy rate has reduced further to 16.4% in 2013 (Human Fertilisation and Embryology

359   Authority 2015) reflecting the strong drive by the HFEA to reduce the multiple pregnancy rate

360   (Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority 2013c).

361

362   Elective single embryo transfer (SET) with cryopreservation of surplus embryos can optimise the

363   safety and success of IVF (National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health 2013).

364   The traditional focus on presenting outcomes per fresh IVF treatment has tended to discourage use

365   of elective SET which, inevitably, is associated with slightly lower live birth rates per fresh treatment

366   but comparable cumulative outcomes. In addition, given the relatively modest success rates of IVF

367   per fresh/frozen-thawed embryo transfer, commissioners and health planners, as well as patients

368   who pay for IVF appreciate being able to base their decisions regarding treatment on a realistic

369   expectation of CLBRs after one or more complete cycles of IVF i.e. a package of fresh (and their

370   accompanying frozen-thawed) treatments.

371   Despite NICE recommendations in 2004, most couples in the UK still do not receive three complete

372   IVF cycles. The majority of patients discontinue IVF after receiving one complete cycle which may be

373   due to various reasons including the National Health Service's rationing of IVF in different regions

374   (National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence 2014), a lack of personal funds, psychological

375   burden of treatment, relationship problems/divorce, physical burden (Lande et al. 2014, Verberg et

376   al. 2008, Olivius et al. 2004). This was reflected in the conservative CLBRs which stabilised after three

377   successive complete cycles. For those women with no barrier to continued treatment, our results

378   show that the CLBR after eight complete cycles would be 82% (optimal estimate) which is similar to

379   the live birth rate within two years in 30 to 35 year old women from a simulated natural population

380   (Leridon 2004).   The per complete cycle live birth rates declined slowly with each successive

381   complete cycle e.g. a woman starting her second complete cycle of treatment has almost as high a

382   chance of success as when she started her first. Our findings offer important reassurance to women

383   contemplating whether to persist with treatment. They also add further support to a recent call

384   from NICE to end the postcode lottery of IVF treatment and to develop consistent IVF policies on

385   access to treatment across all clinical commissioning groups (Everywomen 2013). Our findings for

386   the optimal CLBR should be reassuring for countries, such as Belgium (Berg Brigham et al. 2013) and

387   Israel (Lande et al. 2011), who conduct more than the UK's maximum of three complete cycles and

388   where lack of patient funds is not such a potential barrier to treatment.

389   *Unanswered questions and future research*

390   CLBRs per woman over time are useful to inform clinicians, patients and policy makers about the

391   national improvement in success rates and the overall chances of live birth. However, there is a

392   need to provide patients with a more individualised estimate of their chances of live birth over

393   multiple complete cycles. Clinical prediction models would allow clinicians to make more informed

394   treatment decisions tailored to the characteristics of the woman and her treatment. The recently

395   released IVFPredict clinical prediction tool can estimate the probability of a live birth for a specific

396   treatment attempt number (Nelson and Lawlor 2011). However, it cannot estimate the cumulative

397   chances of a live birth over multiple complete cycles of IVF.

398   *Conclusions*

399   The last two decades have witnessed a rise in CLBRs accompanied by a decline in multiples. Yet

400   most UK couples who do not conceive after their first complete cycle do not receive a further two

401   complete NHS funded IVF cycles as recommended by NICE. If there were no barriers to continuation

402   of IVF treatment, around 83% of women receiving IVF would achieve a live birth by the eighth

403   complete cycle, similar to the natural live birth rate in a non-contraception practising population.

404   These data should be used to inform policy and counsel patients commencing IVF treatment.

405

414   **Author's Roles**

415   DJM, SB, AM and AJL designed the study. DJM conducted the statistical analysis, literature search,

416   and wrote the article. All authors contributed intellectually to the writing or revising of the

417   manuscript, and approved the final version. DJM is the guarantor.

430

431 **References**

432 Abuzeid MI, Bolonduro O, La Chance J, Abozaid T, Urich M, Ullah K, Ali T, Ashraf M, Khan I.

433 Cumulative live birth rate and assisted reproduction: impact of female age and transfer day. *Facts*

434 *Views Vis Obgyn* 2014; **6**: 145-149.

435 Adamson GD, Zegers-Hochschild F, Ishihara O, Sullivan E, Mansour R, Nygren KG, Banker M, Dyer S,

436 de Mouzon J. ICMART world report: preliminary 2008 data. *Hum Reprod* 2012; **27**: Suppl 2:ii38-ii39.

437 Berg Brigham K, Cadier B, Chevreul K. The diversity of regulation and public financing of IVF in

438 Europe and its impact on utilization. *Hum Reprod* 2013; **28**: 666-675.

439 Bhattacharya S, Maheshwari A, Mollison. Factors associated with failed treatment: an analysis of

440 121,744 women embarking on their first IVF cycles. *PLOS One* 2013; **8**: e82249.

441 Boivin J, Bunting L, Collins JA, Nygren KG. International estimates of infertility prevalence and

442 treatment-seeking: potential need and demand for infertility medical care. *Hum Reprod* 2007; **22**:

443 1506-1512.

444 De Mouzon J, Goossens V, Bhattacharya S, Castilla JA, Ferraretti AP, Korsak V, Kupka M, Nygren KG,

445 Nyboe Andersen A, European IVF-monitoring (EIM) Consortium, for the European Society of Human

446 Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE). Assisted reproductive technology in Europe, 2006: results

447 generated from European registers by ESHRE. *Hum Reprod* 2010; **25**: 1851–1862.

448 Elizur SE, Lerner-Geva L, Levron J, Shulman A, Bider D, Dor J. Cumulative live birth rate following in

449 vitro fertilization: study of 5310 cycles. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 2006; **22**: 25-30.

450 Everywomen J. Cassandra's prophecy: why we need to tell the women of the future about age-

451 related fertility decline and 'delayed' childbearing. *Reprod BioMed Online* 2013; **27**: 4-10.

452   Health Quality Ontario. In vitro fertilization and multiple pregnancies: an evidence-based analysis.

453   *Ont Health Technol Assess Ser*. 2006; **6**: 1–63.

454   Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority. A long term analysis of the HFEA register data (1991–

455   2006). 2008a. http://www.hfea.gov.uk/docs/Latest_long_term_data_analysis_report_91-

456   06.pdf(1).pdf.

457   HFEA's Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act 2008b

458   http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2008/22/contents#pt1-pb8-l1g25.

459   Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority. Fertility treatment in 2012: trends and figures. 2012.

460   http://www.hfea.gov.uk/docs/FertilityTreatment2012TrendsFigures.PDF.

461   Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority. Fertility treatment in 2013: trends and figures.

462   2013a. http://www.hfea.gov.uk/docs/HFEA_Fertility_Trends_and_Figures_2013.pdf.

463   Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority. Access anonymised HFEA data. 2013b.

464   http://www.hfea.gov.uk/5874.html.

465   Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority. Multiple births and single embryo transfer review.

466   2013c. http://www.hfea.gov.uk/Multiple-births-after-IVF.html.

467   Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority. Facts & figures for researchers and the media. 2014.

468   http://www.hfea.gov.uk/99.html.

469   Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority. Improving outcomes for fertility patients: multiple

470   births. 2015. http://www.hfea.gov.uk/docs/Multiple_Births_Report_2015.pdf.

471   Johnson MH, Franklin S. Editorial: A patient perspective. *Reprod BioMed Online* 2013; **27**: 1-3.

472   Jones Jr HW, Jones D, Kolm P. Cryopreservation: a simplified method of evaluation. *Hum Reprod*

473   1997; **12**: 548-553.

474 Kamphuis EI, Bhattacharya S, van der Veen F, Mol BWJ, Templeton. A Are we overusing IVF? *Br Med*

475 *J* 2014; **348**: g252

476 Ke H, Chen X, Liu Y, Ye D, He Y, Chen A. Cumulative live birth rate after three ovarian stimulation IVF

477 cycles for poor ovarian responders according to the Bologna criteria*. J Huazhong Univ Sci Technol*

478 2013; **33**: 418-422.

479 Lande Y, Seidman DS, Maman E, Baum M, Dor J, Hourvitz A. Couples offered free assisted

480 reproduction treatment have a very high chance of achieving a live birth within 4 years. *Fertil Steril*

481 2011; **95**: 568-572.

482 Lande Y, Seidman DS, Maman E, Baum M, Hourvitz A. Why do couples discontinue unlimited free IVF

483 treatments? *Gynecol Endocrinol* 2014; doi: 10.3109/09513590.2014.982082.

484 Leridon H. Can assisted reproduction technology compensate for the natural decline in fertility with

485 age? A model assessment. *Hum Reprod* 2004; **19**: 1548-1553.

486 Luke B, Brown MB, Wantman E, et al. Cumulative birth rates with linked assisted reproductive

487 technology cycles. *N Engl J Med* 2012; **366**: 2483-2491.

488 Macaldowie A, Wang YA, Chambers GM, Sullivan EA. Assisted reproduction technology in Australia

489 and New Zealand 2011. 2013. National Perinatal Epidemiology and Statistics Unit, the University of

490 New South Wales, Sydney. https://npesu.unsw.edu.au/surveillance/assisted-reproductive-

491 technology-australia-new-zealand-2011.

492 Maheshwari A, McLernon D, Bhattacharya S. Cumulative live birth rate: time for a consensus? *Hum*

493 *Reprod* 2015; doi:10.1093/humrep/dev263.

494 Malizia BA, Hacker MR, Penzias AS. Cumulative live-birth rates after in vitro fertilization. *N Engl J*

495 *Med* 2009; **360**: 236-243.

496 Mansour R, Ishihara O, Adamson GD, Dyer S, de Mouzon J, Nygren KG, Sullivan E, Zegers-Hochschild

497 F. International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies world report:

498 Assisted Reproductive Technology 2006. *Hum Reprod* 2014; **29**: 1536-1551.

499 McLernon DJ, Harrild K, Bergh C, Davies MJ, de Neubourg D, Dumoulin JCM, Gerris J, Kremer JAM,

500 Martikainen H, Mol BW, et al. Clinical effectiveness of elective single versus double embryo transfer:

501 meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomised trials. *BMJ* 2010; **341**: c6945.

502 Min JK, Breheny SA, MacLachlan V, Healy DL. What is the most relevant standard of success in

503 assisted reproduction? The singleton, term gestation, liver birth rate per cycle initiated: the BESST

504 endpoint for assisted reproduction. *Hum Reprod* 2004; **19**: 3-7.

505 Moragianni VA, Penzias AS. Cumulative live-birth rates after assisted reproductive technology. *Curr*

506 *Opin Obstet Gynecol* 2010; **22**: 189-192.

507 National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health. Fertility: assessment and

508 treatment for people with fertility problems. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

509 clinical guideline. 2013. http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg156/evidence/cg156-fertility-full-

510 guideline3.

511 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. NICE calls for an end to postcode lottery of IVF

512 treatment. 2014. https://www.nice.org.uk/news/article/nice-calls-for-an-end-to-postcode-lottery-

513 of-ivf-treatment.

514 Nelson SM, Lawlor DA. Predicting live birth, preterm delivery, and low birth weight in infants born

515 from in vitro fertilisation: a prospective study of 144,018 treatment cycles. *PLOS Med* 2011; **8**:

516 e1000386.

517 Oakley L, Doyle P, Maconochie N. Lifetime prevalence of infertility and infertility treatment in the

518 UK: results from a population-based survey of reproduction. *Hum Reprod* 2008; **23**: 447-450.

519   Olivius C, Friden B, Borg G, Bergh C. Why do couples discontinue in vitro fertilization treatment? A

520   cohort study. *Fertil Steril* 2004; **81**: 258-261.

521   Roque M, Lattes K, Serra S, Solà I, Geber S, Carreras R, Checa MA. Fresh embryo transfer versus

522   frozen embryo transfer in in vitro fertilization cycles: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Fertil*

523   *Steril* 2013; **99**: 156–162.

524   Sharma V, Allgar V, Rajkhowa, M. Factors influencing the cumulative conception rate and

525   discontinuation of in vitro fertilization treatment for infertility. *Fertil Steril* 2002; **78**: 40-46.

526   Stern JE, Hickman TN, Kinzer D, Penzias AS, Ball GD, Gibbons WE. Can the Society for Assisted

527   Reproductive Technology Clinic Outcome Reporting System (SART CORS) be used to accurately

528   report clinic total reproductive potential (TRP)? *Fertil Steril* 2012; **97**: 886-889.

529   Stern JE, Brown MB, Wantman E, Kalra SK, Luke B. Live birth rates and birth outcomes by diagnosis

530   using linked cycles from the SART CORS database. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 2013; **30**: 1445-1450.

531   Stolwijk AM, Wetzels AMM, Braat DDM. Cumulative probability of achieving an ongoing pregnancy

532   after in-vitro fertilization and intracytoplasmic sperm injection according to a woman's age,

533   subfertility diagnosis and primary or secondary subfertility. *Hum Reprod* 2000; **15**: 203-209.

534   Sullivan EA, Zegers-Hochschild F, Mansour R, Ishihara O, de Mouzon J, Nygren KG, Adamson GD.

535   International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ICMART) world report:

536   assisted reproductive technology 2004. *Hum Reprod* 2013; **28**: 1375-1390.

537   Sunderam SKD, Kissin DM, Crawford SB, Folger SG, Jamieson DJ, Barfield WD. Assisted Reproductive

538   Technology Surveillance – United States, 2011. *MMWR Surveill Summ* 2014; **63**: 1–28.

539   Sunkara SK, Rittenberg V, Raine-fenning N, Bhattacharya S, Zamora J, Coomarasamy A. Association

540   between the number of eggs and live birth in IVF treatment: an analysis of 400 135 treatment cycles.

541   *Hum Reprod* 2011; **26**: 1768-1774.

542   The Multiple Births Foundation. One at a time. http://www.oneatatime.org.uk.

543   Troude P, Bailly E, Guibert J, Bouyer J, de la Rochebrochard E, for the DAIFI Group. Spontaneous

544   pregnancies among couples previously treated by in vitro fertilization. *Fertil Steril* 2012; **98**: 63-

545   68.Verberg MFG, Eijkemans MJC, Heijnen EMEW, Broekmans FJ, de Klerk C, Fauser BCJM, Macklon

546   NS. Why do couples drop-out from IVF treatment? A prospective cohort study. *Hum Reprod* 2008;

547   **23**: 2050-2055.

548   Vrtacnik U, Bokal EV, Davjak R. Cumulative delivery rate after providing full reimbursement in vitro

549   fertilization programme: a 6-years survey. *BioMed Res Int* 2014;

550   http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/850478.

551   Williams CL, Bunch KJ, Stiller CA, Murphy MFG, Botting BJ, Wallace WH, Davies M, Sutcliffe AG.

552   Cancer risk among children born after assisted conception. *New Engl J Med* 2013; **369**: 1819-1827.

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563 **Table 1**. Characteristics of the couple at the start of their first complete cycle

Characteristics	Period, N(%), unless otherwise stated	
	1992 to 1998 N=71551	1999 to 2007 N=107347
Female Age (y), mean (SD)	33.4 (4.5)	34.1 (4.6)
<31	19646 (27.5)	23391 (21.8)
31 to 35	29260 (40.9)	41459 (38.6)
36 to 40	18343 (25.6)	33866 (31.5)
>40	4302 (6.0)	8631 (8.0)
Duration (y), median (IQR)	2 (2 to 4)	4 (3 to 6)
Type of infertility		
Unexplained only	31353 (43.8)	29181 (27.2)
Tubal only	10716 (15.0)	17634 (16.4)
Anovulation only	1115 (1.6)	7425 (6.9)
Endometriosis only	965 (1.3)	3591 (3.3)
Cervical only	83 (0.1)	47 (0.0)
Male factor only	440 (0.6)	33427 (31.1)
>1 type of infertility	26879 (37.6)	16042 (14.9)

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581 **Table 2**. Treatment information for women commencing IVF during two time periods
582

Treatment information	Period, N(%), unless otherwise stated	
	1992 to 1998 N=71551	1999 to 2007 N=107347
First fresh treatment characteristics		
IVF	59322 (82.9)	64587 (60.2)
ICSI	12229 (17.1)	42760 (39.8)
Number of oocytes, median (IQR)	8 (4, 12)	8 (5, 13)
Number of embryos created, median (IQR)	4 (1, 7)	5 (2, 8)
Number of embryos transferred		
0	14349 (20.1)	14831 (13.8)
1	5886 (8.2)	9038 (8.4)
2	23555 (32.9)	74496 (69.4)
3	27761 (38.8)	8982 (8.4)
Cryopreservation of embryos	15184 (21.2)	27711 (25.8)
Overall treatment information (per woman)		
Number of fresh/frozen treatment attempts until end of follow-up[1], median (IQR)	2 (1, 3)	1 (1, 2)
Number of complete cycles until end of follow-up[1], median (IQR)	1 (1, 2)	1 (1, 2)
Number of couples with at least one frozen embryo transfer attempt	10609 (14.8%)	14979 (14.0%)
Number of complete cycles until first live birth[2], median (IQR)	1 (1, 2)	1 (1, 2)
Time (days) from first fresh treatment attempt to last fresh/frozen treatment attempt, median (IQR)[3]	365 (185, 701)	314 (165, 609)
Time (days) from first fresh treatment attempt to last fresh/frozen treatment attempt leading to live birth[2], median (IQR)	0 (0, 282)	0 (0, 196)

583

584 [1] Follow-up defined as first live birth or end of study (whichever came first)

585 [2] Only includes women who had a live birth

586 [3] Excludes women who only had one fresh treatment attempt i.e. no frozen embryo transfer
587 attempts or further ovarian stimulations.

588   **Table 3.** Live birth rates per complete cycle and cumulative live birth rates per woman by period

589

| Period	Complete cycle	No. Women	No. women with at least one live birth	Conditional live birth rate	Conservative cumulative live birth rate	Optimal cumulative live birth rate
1992-1998	1	71551	13697	19.1 (18.85, 19.43)	19.1 (18.85, 19.43)	19.1 (18.86, 19.43)
	2	33155	5960	18.0 (17.56, 18.39)	27.5 (27.15, 27.80)	33.7 (33.27, 34.09)
	3	14288	2356	16.5 (15.88, 17.10)	30.8 (30.43, 31.10)	44.6 (44.09, 45.145)
	4	5649	905	16.0 (15.06, 16.98)	32.0 (31.69, 32.37)	53.5 (52.80, 54.18)
	5	2135	333	15.6 (14.06, 17.14)	32.5 (32.15, 32.84)	60.7 (59.82, 61.67)
	6	878	117	13.3 (11.08, 15.57)	32.7 (32.32, 33.00)	66.0 (64.78, 67.16)
	7	372	51	13.7 (10.21, 17.20)	32.7 (32.39, 33.07)	70.6 (69.06, 72.20)
	8	147	14	9.5 (4.78, 14.27)	32.8 (32.41, 33.09)	73.4 (71.43, 75.41)
1999-2007	1	107347	30546	28.5 (28.19, 28.73)	28.5 (28.19, 28.73)	28.5 (28.19, 28.73)
	2	46439	11116	23.9 (23.55, 24.32)	38.8 (38.52, 39.10)	45.6 (45.24, 45.93)
	3	17913	3791	21.2 (20.57, 21.76)	42.3 (42.05, 42.64)	57.1 (56.67, 57.52)
	4	6253	1189	19.0 (18.04, 19.99)	43.5 (43.15, 43.75)	65.3 (64.71, 65.80)
	5	2175	365	16.8 (15.21, 18.35)	43.8 (43.49, 44.09)	71.1 (70.38, 71.79)
	6	793	121	15.3 (12.76, 17.76)	43.9 (43.61, 44.20)	75.5 (74.55, 76.43)
	7	292	44	15.1 (10.97, 19.17)	43.9 (43.65, 44.24)	79.2 (77.89, 80.46)
	8	110	17	15.5 (8.70, 22.21)	44.0 (43.66, 44.26)	82.4 (80.59, 84.14)

590

591

592

593 **Figure legends**

Figure 1 Flow chart of exclusion criteria

Initial population

253,417 women with 464,333 complete cycles (564,224 fresh/frozen treatments)

Exclusions

Women who had at least one treatment that was not IVF or ICSI (20625 women)

Women who ever underwent IVF for purposes of egg/embryo storage (9724 women)

Women who had a subsequent fresh treatment within 42 days of previous treatment or a frozen treatment within 28 days of previous treatment (4511 women)

Women whose first treatment was a frozen treatment (4250 women)

Women who had unusual treatment information (coding errors) (834 women)

Women who at their first treatment were under 18 years old or over 50 years old (59 women)

Women who ever had >3 embryos transferred (49 women)

218,591 women with 388,552 complete cycles (438,454 fresh/frozen treatments between 1992 and 2011)

Further exclusions for cumulative live birth rate analysis

Women whose first complete cycle occurs after 2007

Treatments occurring after 2009

Treatments occurring after 2000 in women whose first treatment was between 1992 and 1998.

(39,693 women and 67,668 fresh/frozen treatments)

71,551 women whose first complete cycle was from 1992 to 1998 (133,519 complete cycles; 151,021 fresh/frozen treatments from 1992 to 2000)

107,347 women whose first complete cycle was from 1999 to 2007 (192557 complete cycles; 219,765 treatments from 1999 to 2009)

594

595　**Figure 2:** (A) Conservative cumulative live birth rates per woman and (B) optimal cumulative live
596　birth rates per woman over multiple complete cycles of IVF (including ICSI) for women commencing
597　treatment in 1992 to 1998 or 1999 to 2007

598

599

600

601

602

603   **Web extra material**
604
605   **Cumulative live birth rates per woman following in vitro fertilisation: a population-**
606   **based study of data from 178,898 women**
607
608   **Contents**
609

616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641

Table S1 Term singleton live birth rates per complete cycle and cumulative term singleton live birth rates per woman by period

| Period	Complete cycle	No. Women	No. women with at least one term singleton live birth	Conditional term singleton live birth rate	Conservative cumulative term singleton live birth rate	Optimal cumulative term singleton live birth rate
1992-1998	1	71551	7596	10.6 (10.39, 10.84)	10.6 (10.39, 10.84)	10.6 (10.39, 10.84)
	2	33675	3451	10.2 (9.92, 10.57)	15.4 (15.17, 15.70)	19.8 (19.43, 20.13)
	3	14642	1430	9.8 (9.29, 10.25)	17.4 (17.16, 17.72)	27.6 (27.11, 28.12)
	4	5833	553	9.5 (8.73, 10.23)	18.2 (17.93, 18.49)	34.5 (33.77, 35.19)
	5	2218	217	9.8 (8.55, 11.02)	18.5 (18.23, 18.80)	40.9 (39.86, 41.92)
	6	923	75	8.1 (6.36, 9.89)	18.6 (18.33, 18.90)	45.7 (44.29, 47.11)
	7	397	29	7.3 (4.75, 9.86)	18.7 (18.37, 18.94)	49.7 (47.77, 51.58)
	8	159	12	7.5 (3.44, 11.65)	18.7 (18.39, 18.96)	53.5 (50.76, 56.19)
1999-2007	1	107347	18058	16.8 (16.60, 17.05)	16.8 (16.60, 17.05)	16.8 (16.60, 17.05)
	2	47505	6973	14.7 (14.36, 15.00)	23.3 (23.06, 23.57)	29.0 (28.71, 29.36)
	3	18600	2491	13.4 (12.90, 13.88)	25.6 (25.38, 25.90)	38.5 (38.09, 38.99)
	4	6583	778	11.8 (11.04, 12.60)	26.4 (26.10, 26.63)	45.8 (45.18, 46.42)
	5	2337	243	10.4 (9.16, 11.64)	26.6 (26.33, 26.85)	51.4 (50.57, 52.31)
	6	870	89	10.2 (8.22, 12.24)	26.7 (26.41, 26.94)	56.4 (55.16, 57.66)
	7	318	36	11.3 (7.84, 14.80)	26.7 (26.44, 26.97)	61.3 (59.46, 63.22)
	8	121	12	9.9 (4.59, 15.24)	26.7 (26.45, 26.98)	65.2 (62.50, 67.83)

1

Figure S1 Conditional live birth rate after the first complete cycle of IVF (including ICSI) by year

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15
16
17
18

19   **Figure S2   Discontinuation rate by complete cycle number by time period of first IVF treatment**
20   **(including ICSI)**

21

22

23

24

25

26