

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Cluster analysis of p53 binding site sequences reveals subsets with different functions

Citation for published version:

Lim, J-H, Latysheva, NS, Iggo, RD & Barker, D 2016, 'Cluster analysis of p53 binding site sequences reveals subsets with different functions' Cancer Informatics, pp. 199-209. DOI: 10.4137/CIN.S39968

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.4137/CIN.S39968

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Cancer Informatics

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1	Cluster analysis of p53 binding site sequences reveals
2	subsets with different functions
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Ji-Hyun Lim ^{1,2,3} , Natasha S. Latysheva ^{1,4} , Richard D. Iggo ^{2,5} and Daniel Barker ^{1,6,*}
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	¹ School of Biology, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, Fife, KY16 9TH, UK.
13	
14	² School of Medicine, University of St Andrews, Fife, KY16 9TF, UK.
15	
16	³ Current address: Alacris Theranostics GmbH, Fabeckstraße 60-62, D-14195 Berlin,
17	Germany.
18	Email: j.lim@alacris.de
19	
20	⁴ Current address: MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Francis Crick Avenue,
21	Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge, CB2 0QH, UK.
22	Email: natashal@mrc-Imb.cam.ac.uk
23	5
24 25	^o INSERM Unit U1218, University of Bordeaux, Institut Bergonie, 229 Cours de l'Argonne,
25 26	33076 Bordeaux, France.
26	Email: R.Iggo@bordeaux.unicancer.fr
21	⁶ Current address: Institute of Evolutionery Pielegy, University of Edinburgh
20 20	Charlette Auerbach Road, The Kings Ruildings, Ediphurgh, EH0 251, UK
29 30	Email: Daniel Barker@ed.ac.uk
31	
32	*To whom correspondence should be addressed
33	Email: Daniel.Barker@ed.ac.uk
34	Tel: + 44 (0)131 651 7812.

35 ABSTRACT

36

37 p53 is an important regulator of cell cycle arrest, senescence, apoptosis and metabolism, and 38 is frequently mutated in tumours. It functions as a tetramer, where each component dimer 39 binds to a decameric DNA region known as a response element. We identify p53 binding site 40 subtypes and examine the functional and evolutionary properties of these subtypes. We start 41 with over 1700 known binding sites and, with no prior labelling, identify two sets of response 42 elements by unsupervised clustering. When combined they give rise to three types of p53 43 binding site. We find that probabilistic and alignment-based assessments of cross-species 44 conservation show no strong evidence of differential conservation between types of binding 45 site. In contrast, functional analysis of the genes most proximal to the binding sites provides 46 strong bioinformatic evidence of functional differentiation between the three types of binding 47 site. Our results are consistent with recent structural data identifying two conformations of the 48 L1 loop in the DNA binding domain, suggesting that they reflect biologically meaningful groups 49 imposed by the p53 protein structure. 50

51

52 **KEYWORDS**

53

p53, transcription factor, protein-DNA interaction, DNA sequence, cluster analysis, function,
 conservation, human genome

- 56
- 57

58 INTRODUCTION

59

60 The p53 transcription factor is well known for its role in suppressing tumour formation. The wild 61 type form regulates transcription of genes implicated in cell cycle control, apoptosis and senescence.¹ Common oncogenic p53 mutants either induce a loss of these tumour 62 63 suppressor functions or acquire properties that promote cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis.^{2,3} However, it is increasingly recognised that p53 has a plethora of functions 64 65 mediated by a wide range of target genes, often with little or no connection to its 'classical' roles in cell cycle control and cell death.⁴ These functions include metabolic reprogramming, 66 stem cell maintenance, autophagy, and response to oxidative stress.^{5,6} There are perhaps 300-67 3000 functional p53 binding sites in the human genome.^{7,8,9} p53 binds to these sites as a 68 69 homotetrameric 'dimer of dimers', where each dimer interacts with a redundant, approximately palindromic, decameric DNA motif called the p53 response element (RE).^{10,11,12,13,14} The two 70

REs that bind to a full tetramer are either directly adjacent or separated by a few base
 pairs.^{4,15,16}

73

74 The best characterised p53 REs are typically found either near the promoters or in the first 75 introns of target genes¹⁷ and are approximately summarized by the 10-base pattern RRRCWWGYYY¹⁵, where 'R' indicates A or G, 'W' indicates A or T and 'Y' indicates C or T. In 76 77 the ambiguous positions, not all residues are equally frequent; furthermore, other sequence 78 variations exist. This flexibility suggests the hypothesis that different types of RE could mediate 79 different biological processes, regulated by p53 with different binding specificities due to variable intrinsic sequence affinities,^{18,19,20} different post-translational modifications, or by 80 81 being in complex with different cofactors. Different biological functions might be expected to 82 be subject to different strengths of natural selection, leading to varying rates of evolution of the 83 associated REs. Indeed, it has been suggested that REs involved in apoptosis and DNA repair 84 are more poorly conserved across species than those involved in the cell cycle.²¹

85

86 Here, we computationally investigate the existence of subsets of p53 binding site. One could 87 divide p53 binding sites or REs into subsets based on criteria such as Gene Ontology (GO) annotation of the nearest gene,²² and summarize the properties of these subsets. However, 88 89 GO - though an important guideline in broad studies of function - reflects a human-imposed 90 classification of function, is incomplete, and for intergenic binding sites may involve an arbitrary 91 decision as to which of the two nearest genes is regulated by the site. Instead of beginning 92 with GO-based subsets, we begin with the DNA sequences of known binding sites. In an 93 unsupervised clustering procedure, we classify these on the basis of the sequence similarity 94 of their constituent decameric REs. This allows groups of binding sites to emerge based on 95 their sequence, without imposing any limitations based on possible functional consequences. 96 Our procedure also removes the arbitrary effect of the strand of DNA considered. Once formed 97 on the basis of sequence similarity, we investigate the function of binding site groups, using 98 both GO annotation and cross-species conservation, on the assumption that groups differing 99 in one or both of these respects may have functional significance.

100

We use this procedure to group the decameric REs into two clusters, arbitrarily labelled 'cluster 102 1' and 'cluster 2'. Then, given that two REs form a full p53 binding site, three groups of full 103 binding site are possible: group '1,1' binding sites, consisting of two REs of cluster 1; group 104 '2,2' binding sites, consisting of two REs of cluster 2; and group '1,2' binding sites, consisting 105 of one RE of each type. We find evidence of functional differentiation between these binding 106 site groups, but find no strong evidence of differential evolutionary conservation.

109 MATERIALS AND METHODS

110

111 Input data

112

We obtained 1757 p53 binding sites from the literature, as described by Lim et al.²³ These consist of 327 binding sites from Wei et al.,¹ 1422 from Smeenk et al.⁷ after excluding a further 123 also present in Wei et al., and eight from Horvath et al.²¹. These 1757 binding sites are given in Supplementary Material.

117

118 Clustering p53 response elements

119

120 Within a binding site, we label as 'first' the response element (RE) that is nearer to the start of 121 the chromosome in the conventional representation; it is thus an arbitrary property of the strand 122 of the chromosomal sequence being considered. Binding sites were then each split into the 123 two constituent REs, excluding any spacer. To ensure comparable bases were aligned, the 124 'second' RE was reverse-complemented. All REs were then represented as strings of bases 125 from the base outermost in the binding site (5') on the left, to the innermost base (3') on the 126 right. Redundant sequences were removed, leaving 1724 unique p53 RE sequences 127 (Supplementary Material).

128

129 A symmetrical matrix of RE-to-RE Hamming distance was calculated²⁴. Exploratory 130 hierarchical clustering of this distance matrix with the unweighted paired-groups method using arithmetic averages (UPGMA)²⁵ produced varying results when repeated, presumably due to 131 the arbitrary resolution of ties during the clustering procedure^{26,27}. For the final clusters 132 133 presented in this paper, we instead clustered using Ward's method,²⁸ which minimises an 134 objective function at each stage in the clustering procedure. In typical implementations, the 135 objective function is within-cluster variance, requiring Euclidian distances as input. Before 136 clustering, we transformed the RE-to-RE Hamming distance matrix to Euclidian distance using the 'lingoes' function of the 'ade4' package²⁹ in R (http://www.r-project.org). Clustering with 137 138 Ward's method was then performed using the 'hclust' function of R.

139

To divide the REs into subgroups, we drew a phenon line³⁰ on the Ward's method cluster diagram at a position that split the REs into two sets (i.e. k = 2 clustering). These two primary clusters of REs represent the most inclusive subsets supported by our analysis. We labelled these primary clusters of REs as 'cluster 1' and 'cluster 2'.

145 The robustness of the grouping of REs into primary clusters was assessed using a jackknife 146 procedure. 1000 subsamples (jackknife replicates), each with a random set of 37% REs omitted,³¹ were generated from the set of 1724 non-redundant p53 RE sequences. Hence, 147 148 each replicate consists of a random subset of 1086 REs (63% of the set of nonredundant REs), 149 sampled without replacement. Using the same procedure as for the analysis of the set of 1724 150 non-redundant REs, we clustered REs of each replicate at k = 2. We mapped each of the two 151 clusters from each replicate to one of the primary clusters from the analysis of the full set of 152 non-redundant REs. The replicate cluster with the highest proportion of overlap with cluster 1 153 of the primary clusters was mapped to primary cluster 1, and the other was mapped to primary 154 cluster 2. As an indication of robustness of the clustering of the 1724 nonredundant REs, a G-155 test was used to investigate the correspondence between the assignment of REs to primary 156 clusters in each jackknife replicate, and the assignment to the primary clusters in the analysis 157 of the full, non-redundant set of 1724 REs.

158

159 To investigate the evolutionary relationships of the primary clusters of RE, position weight-160 matrices (PWMs) for the RE clusters were compared to known PWMs for p53, p63 and p73 161 REs Transfac database (BioBase Corporation: from the http://www.biobase-162 international.com/product/transcription-factor-binding-sites). If presented in Transfac as 163 counts, binding site PWMs were converted to a frequency representation. Then, frequencies 164 for each base position within the RE were taken as the mean of the frequencies for the first RE 165 and for the reverse-complement of the second RE within the binding site. The resulting RE 166 PWMs represent base frequencies starting from the outermost base of the binding site on the 167 left (5') to the innermost base (3') on the right. PWMs were visualized as logos using Weblogo³² 168 with the nonredundant sequences as input in the case of cluster 1 and cluster 2, and a synthetic 169 set of 5000 simulated sequences matching the composition of each base position in the RE 170 PWM in the case of PWMs based on Transfac. Similarities among the innermost 9 bases of 171 REs (the outermost base was excluded due to its absence in the p73 PWM, M04503), were 172 quantified using profile-profile alignment scores calculated as the sum of dot-product scores for the individual base positions^{33,34} without adjusting for background frequencies. 173

174

175 Functional and evolutionary analysis of p53 binding site subtypes

176

Based on the primary cluster membership of the two constituent REs in the un-jackknifed cluster analysis, we defined three groups of full p53 binding site. Each binding site may be a '1,1' binding site, consisting of two REs from cluster 1; a '2,2' binding site, consisting of two REs from cluster 2; or a '1,2' binding site, consisting of one RE from each cluster. In the latter case, we make no distinction between binding sites in which the RE from cluster 1 comes 'first' and those in which it comes 'second', since this distinction is arbitrary, depending only on whichstrand of the double helix is being considered.

184

To investigate differential pairing between RE clusters within binding sites, we performed a Gtest for evidence of association between 'cluster 1' and 'cluster 2' REs within the full, redundant set of 1757 p53 binding sites.

188

To test for functional differences between the three groups of binding sites ('1,1', '1,2' and '2,2'), nearest genes were assigned to binding sites as described by Lim et al.²³ Enrichment for GO biological process terms was performed with PANTHER³⁵ (http://www.pantherdb.org; version 11.0, released 2016-07-15). To test for overlap with hallmark gene sets, Ensembl Gene 85 IDs were converted to GRCh38.7 Entrez Gene IDs with Biomart then compared to the h.all.v5.1.entrez.gmt hallmark gene set in the Molecular Signatures Database³⁶ (MSigDB v5.1, January 2016 release; http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/annotate.jsp).

196

197 Conservation levels for the three sets of binding site were first investigated using PhastCons scores,³⁷ which quantify negative selection by using a hidden Markov model-based method to 198 199 estimate the probability that each nucleotide in a multiple alignment forms part of a conserved 200 sequence element. PhastCons conservations scores take into account the conservation of 201 neighbouring bases, which makes PhastCons scores a natural choice for detecting stretches 202 of conserved sequence, such as p53 binding sites. We obtained PhastCons scores which 203 represent levels of conservation (ranging 0 to 1, where higher values indicate higher 204 conservation) across the following ten primate species: Homo sapiens (genome assembly 205 hg19), Pan troglodytes (panTro2), Gorilla gorilla (gorGor1), Pongo abelii (ponAbe2), Macaca 206 mulatta (rheMac2), Papio hamadryas (papHam1), Callithrix jacchus (calJac1), Tarsius syrichta 207 (tarSyr1), Microcebus murinus (micMur1), and Otolemur garnetti (otoGar1). The PhastCons 208 scores for every p53 binding site (as the average across all constituent base pairs within the 209 site) were extracted using the UCSC table browser function (http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-210 bin/hgTables). For comparison, a background level of conservation was estimated from a pre-211 calculated, genome-wide phastCons score set downloaded from UCSC 212 (http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenpath/hg19/phastCons46way/primates). Random 213 segments of the human genome, for which PhastCons scores were available, were sampled 214 with replacement 10,000 times. Lengths of these segments were sampled from an empirical 215 distribution, estimated from the lengths of the known p53 binding sites. Conservation scores 216 for the various binding site groups ('1,1', '1,2' and '2,2') and the background were compared 217 using Kruskal-Wallis (KW) tests, a non-parametric equivalent of ANOVA.

219 Secondly, as an additional approach to test binding site conservation, alignments of genomic regions containing p53 binding sites were extracted using the Ensembl Perl API.³⁸ Genomic 220 coordinates of p53 binding sites in the three groups were first converted to hg19 coordinates. 221 222 and the evolutionary conservation of the binding sites was assessed by calculating average 223 percentage identities in three types of alignments. The alignments used were, firstly, the LastZ-224 net³⁹ pairwise alignment of *Homo sapiens* (GRCh37) versus *Pan troglodytes* (CHIMP2.1.4); 225 secondly, the EPO^{40,41} multiple alignment of six primates (*Homo sapiens, Gorilla gorilla, Pan* 226 troglodytes, Pongo abelii, Macaca mulatta, Callithrix jacchus); and thirdly, the EPO alignment 227 of 15 eutherian mammals (Homo sapiens, Gorilla gorilla, Pan troglodytes, Pongo abelii, 228 Macaca mulatta, Callithrix jacchus, Mus musculus, Rattus norvegicus, Oryctolagus cuniculus, 229 Equus caballus, Felis catus, Canis familiaris, Sus scrofa, Bos taurus, Ovis aries). 230 231 Methods are further discussed in the Supplementary Material. 232 233 234 RESULTS 235 236 Clusters of p53 response elements and binding sites 237 238 Ward's method clustering of nonredundant p53 REs based on Euclidian distance led to primary 239 clusters of size 410 and 1314, which we designate 'cluster 1' and 'cluster 2', respectively 240 (Figure 1; Figure 2). 241 242 The spread of results among jackknife replicates is summarized in Table 1. Table 1 shows 243 very strong evidence of association between the original classification of REs into two clusters 244 and the classification of REs into two clusters in jackknife replicates. In the majority of jackknife 245 replicates, REs are assigned to the same primary cluster as in the analysis of the un-jackknifed 246 set of 1724 nonredundant REs (Figure S1). Hence, the two primary clusters (Figure 1) are based on a pervasive difference that is present throughout the dataset. 247 248 249 For the full set of 1757 binding sites, 140 were in group '1,1' (consisting of two REs from cluster 250 1); 687 were in group '1,2' (consisting of one RE from each cluster); and 930 were in group 251 '2,2' (consisting of two REs from cluster 2). Given the relative sizes of cluster 1 and cluster 2, 252 these counts are not statistically significantly different from expectations under a null 253 hypothesis of independent assignment of RE clusters to binding sites (G = 0.689, df = 2, p =254 0.709). 255

Comparison of response element clusters with existing PWMs

- When compared to PWMs for REs from known p53, p63 and p73 binding sites derived from Transfac, our cluster 1 REs are most similar to the p53 RE, then to the p73 RE. Both of our RE clusters are most similar to the Transfac p53 RE, then to the p73 RE, and least similar to the p63 RE (Table 2). Cluster 1 and the Transfac-based PWM for the p53 RE show a stronger CCC homopolymer in the three bases innermost in the binding site than do cluster 2, the p63 RE or the p73 RE (Figure 2).
- 264

265 Functional analysis of binding site groups

266

267 To identify potential differences in the function of genes near the different classes of binding 268 site, we measured the overlap with genes defining 50 hallmark biological processes in the 269 Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB)³⁶. The hallmark most strongly associated with all 270 three of our binding site groups was 'genes involved in p53 pathways and networks', confirming 271 the validity of the approach (Table S1). The results for the other hallmarks are shown visually 272 in Figure 3, with numerical details in Table S1. The main functional difference found between 273 binding site groups is that group '2,2' is associated with a much broader set of functions. Group 274 '1,1' is mainly associated with signal transduction pathways, particularly pro-survival and 275 oncogenic pathways. Group '1,2' had an intermediate phenotype, functionally broader than 276 group '1,1' but not as broad as group '2,2'. GO enrichment analysis confirmed that group '2,2' 277 is associated with a much broader set of functions than the other two groups (Tables S2-S4). 278 Based on these analyses, we conclude that a switch between '1,1' and '2,2' modes of DNA 279 binding would change the spectrum of biological functions activated by p53.

280

281 Conservation of binding site groups

282 The conservation of binding sites in each group was first assessed using PhastCons scores, 283 which are base-by-base probabilities of a given nucleotide belonging to an evolutionarily 284 conserved element. The distributions of PhastCons scores for the three classes of binding 285 sites, as well as the conservation scores across the length-matched genomic background, are 286 shown in Figure 4. There is no statistically significant difference between conservation scores across the three groups of binding site (KW X^2 = 2.49, df = 2, p = 0.288). Conservation of 287 288 binding sites and flanking regions was also assessed (Figure S2). No statistically significant 289 differences in evolutionary conservation were found when sequences flanking the binding sites 290 were included by adding 50 base pairs on each side of a binding site (forming ~ 110 bp regions, i.e. 100 bp flanking regions; KW $X^2 = 0.052$, df = 2, p = 0.974). Similarly, no statistically 291 292 significant difference was found when longer, 1000 bp flanking regions were included (forming

293 ~1010 bp regions; KW $X^2 = 1.78$, df = 2, p = 0.410). The difference between conservation 294 scores for all p53 binding sites (mean = 0.176, median = 0.044) and background levels of 295 genome conservation (mean = 0.127, median = 0.041) was also not statistically significant 296 (KW $X^2 = 0.100$, df=2, p=0.752). Similarly, no statistically significant differences were found 297 when separately comparing the conservation of each binding site to the background level of 298 conservation.

299

300 The distribution of PhastCons conservation scores in both the p53 binding site and genomic 301 background sequences appears slightly bimodal (Figure 4). The second peak, representing 302 the highest observed conservation levels, is more pronounced for binding sites than for the 303 genomic background. We find that 102 binding sites have PhastCons conservation scores 304 greater than or equal to 0.90, representing 5.9% of all binding sites, but only 195 (2.0%) of 305 length-matched background genomic regions fall into this highly conserved category. This 306 constitutes strong evidence that binding sites may have a larger subset of highly conserved 307 sequences (G-test vs genomic background as an extrinsic null hypothesis; G = 73.45, df = 1, $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). Further examining the highly conserved p53 subset, we find that group '1,1' 308 309 sites may be slightly overrepresented. Group '1,1' represents 8.1% of all binding sites, but 310 constitutes 9.8% of the highly conserved subset, though this difference is not statistically 311 significant (G-test on 2x2 contingency table; G = 0.42, df = 1, p = 0.52). Applying a less 312 stringent (but high) conservation score cut-off of 0.8, 141 binding sites (8.2%) are above the 313 cut-off, compared to the genomic background level of 2.9% (G-test vs extrinsic null hypothesis; 314 G = 92.34, df = 1, $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$), and the proportion of the conserved subset included in group 315 '1,1' rises to 12.1%, though this difference remains statistically non-significant (G-test on 2x2 316 contingency table; G = 2.93, df = 1, p = 0.087).

317

The finding of no strong evidence that p53 binding sites are more conserved than background genomic sequences is in accord with the observation that transcription factor binding sites show high evolutionary turnover, both in general⁴² and particularly for p53.²¹ There was no strong evidence of a difference in conservation between the functionally broader group '2,2' and the others (group '1,1' with group '1,2' mean = 0.177, median = 0.046; group '2,2' mean = 0.175, median = 0.041; KW X^2 = 0.429, df = 1, *p* = 0.512).

324

As an alternative means to analyse binding site conservation, three sets of multiple alignments were examined to study p53 binding site sequence divergence over increasingly long spans of evolutionary time (chimp-human, primate, and eutherian mammal; Figure S3). Overwhelmingly, these alignments support the PhastCons-based conclusion of no differential conservation between binding site groups (Table 3). The sole conservation differences close

330 to the conventional cut-off for statistical significance for a single test (p < 0.05) occur in the 331 chimp-human comparison: group '1,1' binding sites are more highly conserved between 332 humans and chimps than both group '1,2' (p = 0.051) and group '2,2' (p = 0.040; Table 3). This 333 may be taken as weak evidence for the conservation of group '1,1' p53 binding site functionality 334 between chimps and humans, or equivalently, the relative divergence of p53 binding sites 335 related to non-canonical functions (i.e. those containing cluster 2 REs). However, the statistical 336 significance is borderline and may be misleading due to multiple testing. Higher conservation 337 of group '1,1' binding sites was not observed in the primate alignments or in the mammal 338 alignments (Table 3).

- 339340
- 341 **DISCUSSION**

342

343 We have shown that subtle differences in p53 binding site functionality can be identified by 344 clustering the constituent decamers on the basis of sequence similarity. We obtained a robust 345 grouping of decamers into two major clusters. These two clusters of decamers can give rise to 346 three groups of binding site, each composed of one of the three possible combinations of 347 decamer. The frequencies of specific pairings of decamers from the two clusters into binding 348 sites show no strong difference compared to random expectation, and we find no appreciable 349 difference in conservation compared to background genome conservation levels. Furthermore, 350 the three binding site groups also showed little evidence of differential conservation between 351 themselves, with the strongest evidence hinting at relatively strong chimp/human conservation 352 of group '1,1' binding sites, though with only borderline statistical significance. However, we 353 find that genes near '2,2' sites have a much broader range of functions than genes near '1,1' 354 and '1,2' sites, (Figure 3 and Tables S1-S4). Combined with the robustness of the RE clusters 355 demonstrated by jacknifing, and with results from earlier studies (discussed below), we 356 conclude that switching p53 from a '1,1' to a '2,2' mode of binding would substantially change 357 the functional consequences of p53 activation.

358

359 Our results confirm a long-standing suspicion that p53 binding sites are not simply duplicated 360 copies of a symmetrical RRRCWWGYYY decamer. Instead, the REs in cluster 1 are C-rich in 361 the final three positions, which correspond to the innermost positions in the middle of a full 362 20mer (or larger) binding site. Because of the way we report the decamer sequences, '1,1' 363 binding sites will tend to have the sequence 'CCCGGG' at the centre of the 20mer. This is the sequence that was found in the original SELEX study that first defined the p53 binding site.43 364 365 Shortly thereafter we showed that mutations in the L1 loop alter the affinity and specificity of DNA binding,¹⁸ but an understanding of the mechanism had to wait until the Halazonetis group 366

discovered that the L1 loop in *C. elegans* p53 contains a small alpha helix.¹¹ They went on to 367 show that the L1 loop in human p53 can form the same alpha helix.⁴⁴ The lysine 120 DNA 368 369 contact residue lies at the tip of the loop. Accordingly, formation of the alpha helix retracts the 370 lysine from the DNA. The discovery that the L1 loop can adopt two different conformations 371 immediately suggests an explanation for the asymmetry in the cluster 1 and cluster 2 372 sequences in our study. The L1 loop is in the retracted form in the outer p53 subunits in the 373 tetramer.^{44,45,46} In this form lysine 120 can not reach into the major groove to contact the bases, 374 so the sequence is less constrained. In contrast, the loop is in the extended form in the inner 375 two subunits, allowing lysine 120 to forms hydrogen bonds with the bases in the major groove. 376 The hydrogen bonds between the side chains of lysine 120, cysteine 277 and arginine 280 377 and the DNA are shown as yellow dotted lines in Figure 5A. The L1 loop is shown in the extended form in Figure 5B, and in the retracted state in Figure 5C. Switching to the extended 378 379 conformation allows induced fitting of the protein to the DNA when the correct sequence is 380 present.^{44,45} It is likely that the L1 loop adopts many different conformations while searching 381 for the correct sequence and that, thanks to induced fitting, this leads to important differences in the kinetics of binding that depend on the sequence.^{44,45} In addition to the inner-outer 382 383 asymmetry caused by changes in the conformation of the L1 loop there are differences 384 between the hydrogen bonds formed, depending on the exact sequence at positions 8 and 9 385 in the decamer: cysteine 277 forms a hydrogen bond with either O4 of thymine or N4 of 386 cytosine at position 8; lysine 120 forms hydrogen bonds with N7 and O6 of guanine but only 387 N7 of adenine at position 9; and hydrophobic and van de Waals forces from alanine 276 and cysteine 277 stabilise the C5 methyl group in T at position 8.^{10,44,45} Taken together, these data 388 389 would lead us to expect p53 to bind with decreasing affinity to '1,1', '1,2', and '2,2' sites. 390 Hallmark analysis reveals a preference for prosurvival and oncogenic signalling pathways for 391 '1,1' sites (Figure 3). This is consistent with old suggestions that p53 promotes survival early 392 after activation, and only binds to all of its targets if the signal persists and p53 accumulates. 393 Originally this was interpreted as a binary switch between cell cycle arrest and apoptotic sites, with the latter containing only a single decamer^{18,20} and having a lower affinity for p53 ^{20,19}, but 394 395 the multiplication of p53 functions over time means the effects are likely to be more diverse 396 and to depend heavily on the cellular context. The most important DNA binding residue in p53 397 is arginine 280, which forms hydrogen bonds with the G base paired to the invariant C at 398 position 4 in the pentamer. The corresponding positions in the decamer are 4 (C) and 7 (G). 399 The pattern in cluster 1, with a stronger preference for G at position 7 than for C at position 4, is reminiscent of a binding site profile identified by Veprintsev and Fersht.⁸ Interestingly, 400 acetylation of lysine 120^{47,48} negated the difference.⁴⁹ In addition to acetylation of K120, the 401 402 cell can manipulate the sequence specificity of p53 through multiple mechanisms, for example 403 binding to Hzf and ASPP proteins.^{50,51} Indeed, many publications have described plausible

regulatory mechanisms based on post-translational modifications and protein-protein interactions (reviewed by Carvajal and Manfredi⁵²) that could explain the differences we have found by clustering of p53 binding sites. Given the elegant structural studies from the Halazonetis group cited above, we suspect that these regulatory mechanisms converge on the L1 loop and switch p53 from a '1,1' to a '2,2' mode of binding. In conclusion, we have shown that p53 binding sites can be classified into groups that may reflect the different modes of DNA binding that have been described in structural studies. Integration of sequence-based clustering with data on post-translational modification, cofactor binding and changes in the structure of the DNA binding domain is a promising direction for future research. **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** We acknowledge the financial support of the University of St Andrews School of Medicine and a BBSRC Doctoral Training Grant [BB/D526845/1] (studentship to J.-H.L.); The University of St Andrews Undergraduate Research Internship Programme (award to N.L.); and the French National Research Agency [ANR grant ANR-08-CEXC-016-01 to R.I.]. **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS** Conceived and designed the analyses: J-HL, NSL, RDI, DB. Performed the analysis: J-HL, NSL, RDI, DB. Wrote the manuscript: J-HL, NSL, RDI, DB. Agree with manuscript results and conclusions: J-HL, NSL, RDI, DB. All authors read and approved of the final manuscript. REFERENCES 1. Wei CL, Wu Q, Vega VB, et al. A global map of p53 transcription-factor binding sites in the human genome. Cell. 2006;124:207-19. 2. Muller PAJ, Vousden KH. p53 mutations in cancer. Nat. Cell Biol. 2013;15:2-8. 3. Muller PAJ, Vousden KH. Mutant p53 in cancer: new functions and therapeutic opportunities. Cancer Cell. 2014;25:304-317.

- 442 4. Menendez D., Inga A, Resnick MA. The expanding universe of p53 targets. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 443 2009;9:724-37. 444 445 5. Bieging KT, Mello SS, Attardi LD. Unravelling mechanisms of p53-mediated tumour 446 suppression. Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2014;14:359-70. 447 448 6. Hager KM, Gu W. Understanding the non-canonical pathways involved in p53-mediated 449 tumor suppression. Carcinogenesis. 2014;35:740-6. 450 451 7. Smeenk L, van Heeringen SJ, Koeppel, M., et al. Characterization of genome-wide p53-452 binding sites upon stress response. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008;36:3639-54. 453 454 8. Veprintsev DB, Fersht AR. Algorithm for prediction of tumour suppressor p53 affinity for
- 455 binding sites in DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2008;36:1589-98.456
- 457 9. Wang B, Niu D, Lam TH, Xiao Z, Ren EC. Mapping the p53 transcriptome universe using
 458 p53 natural polymorphs. *Cell Death Differ*. 2014;21:521-32.
- 459
- 460 10. Cho Y, Gorina S, Jeffrey PD, Pavletich NP. Crystal structure of a p53 tumor suppressor461 DNA complex: understanding tumorigenic mutations. *Science*. 1994;265:346-55.
- 462
- 463 11. Huyen Y, Jeffrey PD, Derry WB, et al. Structural differences in the DNA binding domains
 464 of human p53 and its *C. elegans* ortholog Cep-1. *Structure*. 2004;12:1237-43.
- 465
- 466 12. Kitayner M, Rozenberg H, Kessler N, et al. Structural basis of DNA recognition by p53
 467 tetramers. *Mol. Cell*. 2006;22:741-53.
- 468
- 469 13. Ho WC, Fitzgerald MX, Marmorstein R. Structure of the p53 core domain dimer bound to
 470 DNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2006;281:20494-502.
- 471
- 472 14. Joerger AC, Fersht AR. Structural biology of the tumor suppressor p53. *Annu. Rev.*473 *Biochem.* 2008;77:557-82.

- 475 15. El-Deiry WS, Kern SE, Pietenpol JA, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Definition of a consensus
 476 binding site for p53. *Nat. Genet.* 1992;1:45-9.
- 477

478	16. Riley T, Sontag E, Chen P, Levine A. Transcriptional control of human p53-regulated genes.
479	Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2008;9,402-12.
480	
481	17. Brady CA, Attardi LD. p53 at a glance. <i>J. Cell Sci.</i> 2010;123:2527-2532.
482	
483	18. Freeman J, Schmidt S, Scharer E, Iggo R. Mutation of conserved domain II alters the
484	sequence specificity of DNA binding by the p53 protein. <i>EMBO J</i> . 1994;13:5393-400.
485	
486	19. Ludwig RL, Bates S, Vousden KH. Differential activation of target cellular promoters by
487	p53 mutants with impaired apoptotic function. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1996;16:4952-60.
488	
489	20. Saller E, Tom E, Brunori M, et al. Increased apoptosis induction by 121F mutant p53.
490	EMBO J. 1999;18:4424-4437.
491	
492	21. Horvath MM, Wang X, Resnick MA, Bell DA. Divergent evolution of human p53 binding
493	sites: cell cycle versus apoptosis. PLoS Genet. 2007;3:e127.
494	
495	22. Gene Ontology Consortium. Gene ontology annotations and resources. Nucleic Acids Res.
496	2013;41:D530-5.
497	
498	23. Lim J-H, Iggo RD, Barker D. Models incorporating chromatin modification data identify
499	functionally important p53 binding sites. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41:5582-93.
500	
501	24. Hamming RW. Error detecting and error correcting codes. Bell System Techincal
502	<i>Journal</i> . 1950;29:147-60.
503	
504	25. Sokal RR, Michener CD. A statistical method for evaluating systematic
505	relationships. University of Kansas Scientific Bulletin. 1958;28:1409-38.
506	
507	26. Backeljau T, De Bruyn L, De Wolf H, Jordaens K, Van Dongen S, Winnepennickx W.
508	Multiple UPGMA and neighbour-joining trees and the performance of some computer
509	pacjkages. <i>Mol. Biol. Evol</i> . 1996;13:309-13.
510	
511	27. Scherma D, Podani J, Erős T. Mesuring the contribution of community members to
512	functional diversity. Oikos. 2009;118:961-71.
513	

514 515	28. Ward JH. Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function. <i>J. Am. Stat. Assoc.</i> 1963;58:236-44.
516	
517	29. Dray S, Dufour AB. The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for ecologists. J.
518	Stat. Softw. 2007;22:1-20.
519	
520	30. Sneath PHA, Sokal RR. Numerical taxonomy: The Principles and Practice of Numerical
521	Classification. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman; 1973.
522	
523	31. Farris JS, Albert VA, Källersjö M, Lipscomb D, Kluge AG. Parsimony jackknifing
524	outperforms neighbor joining. <i>Cladistics</i> . 1996;12:99-124.
525	
526	32. Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia JM, Brenner SE. WebLogo: A sequence logo generator.
527	Genome Res. 2004;14:1188-90.
528	
529	33. Ohlson T, Wallner B, Elofsson A. Profile-profile methods provide improved fold-recognition:
530	a study of different profile-profile alignment methods. <i>Proteins</i> . 2004;57:188-97.
531	
532	34. Wang G, Dunbrack RL. Scoring profile-to-profile sequence alignments. Protein Science.
533	2004;13:1612-26.
534	
535	35. Mi H, Poudel S, Muruganujan A, Casagrande JT, et al. PANTHER version 10: expanded
536	protein families and functions, and analysis tools. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 2016;44(D1):D336-342.
537	
538	36. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette M, Paulovich A,
539	Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, Mesirov JP. Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-
540	based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.
541	2005;102:15545-15550.
542	
543	37. Siepel A, Bejerano G, Pedersen JS, et al. Evolutionarily conserved elements in vertebrate,
544	insect, worm, and yeast genomes. Genome Res. 2005;15:1034-50.
545	
546	38. Stabenau A, McVicker G, Melsopp C, Proctor G, Clamp M, Birney E. The Ensembl core
547	software libraries. Genome Res. 2004;14:929-33.
548	
549	39. Harris RS. Improved pairwise alignment of genomic DNA. Ph.D. Thesis, Pennsylvania
550	State University; 2007.

551	
552	40. Paten B, Herrero J, Beal K, Fitzgerald S, Birney E. Enredo and Pecan: Genome-wide
553	mammalian consistency-based multiple alignment with paralogs. Genome Res. 2008;18:1814-
554	28.
555	
556	41. Paten B, Herrero J, Fitzgerald, S, et al. Genome-wide nucleotide-level mammalian
557	ancestor reconstruction. Genome Res. 2008;18:1829-43.
558	
559	42. Doniger SW, Fay JC. Frequent gain and loss of functional transcription factor binding sites.
560	PLoS Comput. Biol. 2007;3:e99.
561	
562	43. Funk WD, Pak DT, Karas RH, Wright WE, Shay JW. A transcriptionally active DNA-binding
563	site for human p53 protein complexes. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1992;12:2866-71.
564	
565	44. Petty TJ, Emamzadah S, Costantino L, et al. An induced fit mechanism regulates p53 DNA
566	binding kinetics to confer sequence specificity. EMBO J. 2011;30:2167-76.
567	
568	45. Emamzadah S, Tropia L, Halazonetis TD. Crystal structure of a multidomain human p53
569	tetramer bound to the natural CDKN1A (p21) p53-response element. Mol. Cancer Res.
570	2011;9:1493-9.
571	
572	46. Emamzadah S, Tropia L, Vincenti I, Falquet B, Halazonetis TD. Reversal of the DNA-
573	binding-induced loop L1 conformational switch in an engineered human p53 protein. J. Mol.
574	<i>Biol.</i> 2014;426:936-44.
575	
576	47. Sykes SM, Mellert HS, Holbert MA, Li K, Marmorstein R, Lane WS, McMahon SB.
577	Acetylation of the p53 DNA-binding domain regulates apoptosis induction. Mol. Cell.
578	2006;24:841-51.
579	
580	48. Tang Y, Luo J, Zhang W, Gu W. Tip60-dependent acetylation of p53 modulates the
581	decision between cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis. Mol. Cell. 2006;24:827-39.
582	
583	49. Arbely E, Natan E, Brandt T, et al. Acetylation of lysine 120 of p53 endows DNA-binding
584	specificity at effective physiological salt concentration. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.
585	2011;108:8251-6.
586	
587	50. Das S, Raj L, Zhao B, Kimura Y, Bernstein A, Aaronson SA, Lee SW. Hzf Determines cell

- 588 survival upon genotoxic stress by modulating p53 transactivation. *Cell.* 2007;130:624-37.
- 589
- 590 51. Samuels-Lev Y, O'Connor DJ, Bergamaschi D, Trigiante G, Hsieh JK, Zhong S, Campargue I,
- 591 Naumovski L, Crook T, Lu X. ASPP proteins specifically stimulate the apoptotic function of p53. *Mol.*
- 592 *Cell*. 2001;8:781-94.
- 593
- 594 52. Carvajal LA, Manfredi JJ. Another fork in the road—life or death decisions by the tumour
- 595 suppressor p53. *EMBO Rep.* 2013;14:414-21.
- 596

- 597 **TABLES**
- 598
- 599

Table 1. Contingency table showing the relationship between response element (RE) classification in the original cluster analysis (Figure 1) and re-classification in jackknife replicates. A highly statistically significant association was observed between the original classification of REs into two clusters and the classification of REs into two clusters in jackknife replicates (*G* = 519.98, d.f. = 1, $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$).

605

Counts	Replicate cluster 1	Replicate cluster 2	Totals
Original cluster 1	440	70	510
Original cluster 2	344	870	1214
Totals	784	940	<i>n</i> = 1724

606

607

608 Table 2. Dot-product alignment scores between PWMs for RE cluster 1, RE cluster 2, and 609 PWMs for the p53 RE, p63 RE and p73 RE derived from the Transfac database (M01651, 610 M07138 and M04503). To match the PWM for p73, which has REs of length 9, the first 611 (outermost) base of the other PWMs was omitted. The alignment score depends both on the 612 extent of matching between profiles and the extent of ambiguity within profiles, and is not a 613 metric. Scores are symmetrical and are only given for the bottom-left portion of the table. 614 Scores can range from a maximum of 9, for two unambiguous 9-base PWMs which perfectly 615 match, to a minimum of 0.

616

	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	p53 Transfac	p63 Transfac	p73 Transfac
Cluster 1	4.8				
Cluster 2	4.3	4.7	•		•
p53 Transfac	4.9	5	5.5		
p63 Transfac	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.5	
p73 Transfac	4.5	4.9	5.3	4.7	5.3

617

- **Table 3.** p53 binding site conservation as judged by averaged percentage identities from multiple sequence alignments. In each alignment, the mean and median percentage identities for the three binding site groups are shown. The distribution of percentage identities in each binding site group was pairwise tested against the remaining 2 groups (X^2 = Kruskal-Wallis X^2 statistic; p = p value).
- 624

	Binding site	Mean	Median	Group '1,1'	Group '1,2'
Chimp-	Group '1,1'	99.18	100		
human	Group '1,2'	98.70	100	X ² = 3.82, <i>p</i> = 0.051	
divergence	Group '2,2'	98.60	100	X ² = 4.21, <i>p</i> = 0.040	$X^2 = 0.03, p = 0.866$
Drimoto	Group '1,1'	93.73	95		
divorgonoo	Group '1,2'	92.07	95	$X^2 = 0.85, p = 0.358$	
uivergence	Group '2,2'	92.46	95	X ² = 0.97, <i>p</i> = 0.325	X ² = 0.007, <i>p</i> = 0.935
Eutherian	Group '1,1'	82.52	82.37		
mammal	Group '1,2'	82.72	83.30	$X^2 = 0.21 \ p = 0.645$	
divergence	Group '2,2'	82.41	82.41	$X^2 = 0.04, p = 0.842$	$X^2 = 1.56, p = 0.221$

- 627 FIGURE CAPTIONS
- 628

Figure 1. Summary of dendogram obtained by cluster analysis of the 1724 nonredundant decamers. For visualization purposes, an arbitrary phenon line was drawn at a height of 38. The number of sequences in each resulting sub-cluster is shown, along with the logo summarizing those sequences, with bases ranging from 1 (outermost) to 10 (innermost) in the binding site. The logo *y*-axis represents information content, with ticks at 1 and 2 bits. The full dendogram is available as a file in Newick format in the Supplementary Material.

635

Figure 2. Sequence logos for (a) cluster 1 REs, (b) cluster 2 REs, (c) p53 Transfac RE, (d) p63
Transfac RE and (e) p73 Transfac RE. Bases range from 1 (outermost) to 9 or 10 (innermost)
in the binding site. (c), (d) and (e) are based on Transfac M01651, M07138 and M04503,
respectively.

640

641 Figure 3. Functional enrichment for hallmark biological processes. The genes nearest to the 642 binding sites were used to create putative target gene lists for each group. The bars in the 643 figure show the relative enrichment for genes in each hallmark; the dots show the p-value 644 expressed as -log10. Only hallmarks for which at least one group gave p < 0.0001 are shown; 645 within each hallmark missing bars correspond to associations with p > 0.01. For numerical 646 details see Table S1. The terms in MSigDB corresponding to the labels are: PI3K, 647 PI3K AKT mTOR signaling; NFKB, TNFA signaling via NFKB; Hyp, hypoxia; TOR, 648 mTORC1 signaling; RAS, KRAS signaling up; UV, UV response down; Apo, apoptosis; 649 epithelial mesenchymal transition; ER, EMT, estrogen response early; Inf, 650 inflammatory_response; Myo, myogenesis; Gly, glycolysis; IL2, IL2_STAT5_signaling; Xeno, 651 xenobiotic metabolism.

652

Figure 4. Histograms of PhastCons evolutionary conservation scores for binding sites in our p53 binding site group '1,1' (n = 140), group '1,2' (n = 687), group '2,2' (n = 930) and the genomic background (n = 10,000), across 10 species of primates. Dashed lines indicate means for each group.

657

Figure 5. p53 DNA binding. (A) The p53 loop-sheet-helix is shown in contact with the major groove of the DNA. Amino acid 120K (cyan) binds to G on the Watson strand; 277C (orange) binds to T and 280R (red) to G on the Crick strand. Amino acid 120K arises from the tip of the L1 loop (the green line at the bottom of the figure). Hydrogen bonds are shown as dotted yellow lines. (B) The L1 loop in the extended form, as in panel (A). (C) The L1 loop in the retracted

- 663 form. The figures were made with PyMOL (Schrödinger, LLC) from PDB structure 3Q05; for a
- 664 detailed description of the p53 DNA-protein interaction see 44,45,46 .







PI3K NFKB Hyp TOR RAS UV Apo EMT ER Inf Myo Gly IL2 Xeno





