



# THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### **Subclinical avian hepatitis e virus infection in layer flocks in the united states**

**Citation for published version:**

Gerber, PF, Trampel, DW, Willingham, EM, Billam, P, Meng, X & Opriessnig, T 2015, 'Subclinical avian hepatitis e virus infection in layer flocks in the united states' *The Veterinary Journal*, vol. 206, no. 3, pp. 304-311. DOI: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2015.10.014

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.1016/j.tvjl.2015.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2015.10.014)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

**Published In:**

The Veterinary Journal

**Publisher Rights Statement:**

This is the author's final peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication.

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Accepted Manuscript

Title: Subclinical avian hepatitis e virus infection in layer flocks in the united states

Author: Priscilla F. Gerber, Darrell W. Trampel, Eric M. Willingham, Padma Billam, Xiang-Jin Meng, Tanja Opriessnig

PII: S1090-0233(15)00411-6  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2015.10.014>  
Reference: YTVJL 4652

To appear in: *The Veterinary Journal*

Accepted date: 4-10-2015

Please cite this article as: Priscilla F. Gerber, Darrell W. Trampel, Eric M. Willingham, Padma Billam, Xiang-Jin Meng, Tanja Opriessnig, Subclinical avian hepatitis e virus infection in layer flocks in the united states, *The Veterinary Journal* (2015), <http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2015.10.014>.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1 **Subclinical avian hepatitis E virus infection in layer flocks in the United States**

2

3

4 Priscilla F. Gerber <sup>a</sup>, Darrell W. Trampel <sup>b</sup>, Eric M. Willingham <sup>c</sup>, Padma Billam <sup>d</sup>, Xiang-Jin  
5 Meng <sup>d</sup>, Tanja Opriessnig <sup>a,b\*</sup>

6

7 <sup>a</sup> *The Roslin Institute and The Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of  
8 Edinburgh, Midlothian, UK*

9 <sup>b</sup> *Department of Veterinary Diagnostic and Production Animal Medicine, College of  
10 Veterinary Medicine, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, 50011, USA*

11 <sup>c</sup> *Midwest Foods Association, Rogers, Arkansas, 72758, USA*

12 <sup>d</sup> *Department of Biomedical Sciences and Pathobiology, Center for Molecular Medicine and  
13 Infectious Diseases, College of Veterinary Medicine, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State  
14 University, Blacksburg, Virginia, USA*

15

16

17

18 \* Corresponding author. Tel: +44 (0)131 651 9177.

19 *E-mail address:* Tanja.Opriessnig@roslin.ed.ac.uk (T. Opriessnig).

20

21 **Highlights**

- 22 • Circulation of avian HEV was determined in healthy commercial layer farms in the  
23 United States.
- 24 • A fluorescent microbead immunoassay (FMIA) was developed for detecting anti-avian  
25 HEV IgY antibodies.
- 26 • Prevalence of avian HEV infection was high among clinically healthy laying hens.
- 27 • Different avian HEV genotype 2 strains were circulating within a farm.

28

29 **Abstract**

30 The objective of this study was to determine patterns of avian HEV infection in  
31 naturally infected chicken farms. A total of 310 serum samples and 62 pooled fecal samples  
32 were collected from 62 chicken flocks on seven commercial in-line egg farms in the  
33 Midwestern United States and tested for avian HEV circulation. Serum samples were tested  
34 for presence of anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies by a fluorescent microbead immunoassay  
35 (FMIA) which was developed for this study. The FMIA was validated using archived  
36 samples of chickens with known exposure ( $n = 96$ ) and compared to the result obtained with  
37 an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) based on the same capture antigen. There  
38 was an overall substantial agreement between the two assays ( $\kappa = 0.63$ ) with earlier detection  
39 of positive chickens by the FMIA ( $P = 0.04$ ). On the seven farms investigated, the overall  
40 prevalence of anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies in serum samples from commercial chickens  
41 was 44.8% (20-82% per farm). Fecal samples were tested for avian HEV RNA by a nested  
42 reverse-transcriptase PCR. The overall detection rate of avian HEV RNA in fecal samples  
43 was 62.9% (0-100% per farm). Sequencing analyses of partial helicase and capsid genes  
44 showed that different avian HEV genotype 2 strains were circulating within a farm. However,

45 no correlation was found between avian HEV RNA detection and egg production, egg weight  
46 or mortality. In conclusion, avian HEV infection is widespread among clinically healthy  
47 laying hens in the United States.

48

49 *Keywords:* Avian hepatitis E virus (avian HEV); Chickens; Fluorescent microsphere  
50 immunoassay, RT-PCR, Subclinical infection.

Accepted Manuscript

## 51 Introduction

52 Hepatitis E virus (HEV) are non-enveloped, single-stranded RNA viruses with an  
53 icosahedral capsid symmetry that belongs to the family *Hepeviridae* (Meng et al., 2012).  
54 HEV has been identified in several animal species (Meng, 2013) and, based on host tropism  
55 and genetic relatedness, strains genetically characterized thus far can be classified into two  
56 genera: genus *Orthohepevirus* (all mammalian and avian hepatitis E virus [avian HEV]  
57 isolates) and genus *Piscihepevirus* (cutthroat trout virus) (Smith et al., 2014). Currently, four  
58 avian HEV genotypes have been described in chicken flocks worldwide (Johne et al., 2014).  
59 Genotype 1 has been identified in Australia and Korea, genotype 2 is present in North  
60 America, genotype 3 is present in Europe and China and, more recently, a novel putative  
61 genotype 4 has been detected in Hungary and Taiwan (Marek et al., 2010; Banyai et al.,  
62 2012; Kwon et al., 2012; Hsu and Tsai, 2014a). The avian HEV genome contains three open  
63 reading frames (ORFs): ORF1 encodes non-structural viral proteins, ORF2 encodes the  
64 capsid protein and ORF3 encodes a small multifunctional phosphoprotein (Meng et al.,  
65 2012).

66  
67 A HEV-related sequence was first detected in chickens with big liver and spleen  
68 disease in Australia (Payne et al., 1999). A similar disease manifestation, designated as  
69 hepatitis-splenomegaly syndrome (HSS), was first associated with avian HEV in North  
70 America (Haqshenas et al., 2001). In both broiler breeder hens and egg-type chickens ranging  
71 from 30 to 72 weeks of ages, HSS is clinically characterized by increased mortality and  
72 decreased egg production. On gross examination, blood-tinged fluid can frequently be  
73 observed in the coelomic cavity and livers and spleens are typically both enlarged (Meng and  
74 Shivaprasad, 2013). A significant proportion of chicken flocks worldwide are seropositive to  
75 avian HEV, even though seropositive flocks do not necessarily suffer from HSS (Meng and

76 Shivaprasad, 2013). Thus far, no clear association between avian HEV strains and  
77 pathogenicity has been established (Marek et al., 2010). Avian HEV isolates obtained from  
78 healthy chickens were able to induce liver lesions but did not produce clinical HSS in  
79 specific-pathogenic-free chickens (Billam et al., 2009). However, apparently healthy  
80 chickens with reduced egg production have been shown to be positive for avian HEV RNA in  
81 the absence of other pathogens, suggesting that subclinical infection with avian HEV may  
82 impair egg production in broiler breeders (Sprygin et al., 2012). Therefore, additional studies  
83 are needed to elucidate the significance of avian HEV infections in clinically healthy laying  
84 hens.

85  
86 Current methods to demonstrate avian HEV infection include conventional and real-  
87 time reverse transcriptase (RT) PCR assays for detection of avian HEV RNA (Sun et al.,  
88 2004; Bilic et al., 2009; Troxler et al., 2011) and commercial and in-house enzyme-linked  
89 immunosorbent assays (ELISA) and agar gel immunodiffusion (AGID) tests for detection of  
90 anti-avian HEV antibodies (Huang et al., 2002; Morrow et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2013; Hsu  
91 and Tsai, 2014b). ELISAs based on the ORF2 capsid protein have been widely adapted and  
92 are commonly used in serological surveys (Peralta et al., 2009; Kwon et al., 2012; Zhao et al.,  
93 2013; Liu et al., 2014). Fluorescent microbead immunoassays (FMIA) are increasingly being  
94 used in veterinary serology (Wagner et al., 2011; Langenhorst et al., 2012; Gimenez-Lirola et  
95 al., 2014). An advantage of this new technology is the ability to screen for antibodies against  
96 multiple pathogens simultaneously in one reaction well using a small amount of sample, thus  
97 saving time, labor and reagents. In addition, FMIA may have improved sensitivity compared  
98 to conventional serological assays, such as ELISAs (van Gageldonk et al., 2008).

99

100           The objective of this study was to evaluate the avian HEV infection dynamics,  
101 including fecal avian HEV RNA shedding and prevalence of anti-avian HEV antibodies, in  
102 healthy layer hen flocks in the Midwestern United States. For serology purposes, an FMIA to  
103 detect anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies was developed, validated and compared with an  
104 indirect ELISA.

105

## 106 **Material and methods**

### 107 *Farm selection and characterization*

108           Seven commercial egg farms, designated A through G, volunteered to participate in  
109 this study. The final number of participating farms was determined based on responses to an  
110 email sent to the farms' managers and/or veterinarian-in-charge, and to on-farm availability  
111 to provide the specified samples and production data. Participating farms were located in four  
112 U.S. Midwestern states: Iowa, Illinois, Michigan and South Dakota. At the time of sample  
113 collection Iowa represented the largest U.S. egg producer and Michigan was ranked seventh.  
114 The number of sampled farms did not reflect the state's egg production. Each farm had an  
115 egg production facility wherein eggs were collected from multiple chicken houses and  
116 transported to an egg processing room via a collection of belts and conveyors. These in-line  
117 operations produced washed and graded whole shell eggs for retail and/or food service  
118 customers. Chickens on each farm were vaccinated against Marek's disease, infectious  
119 bronchitis, Newcastle disease, avian influenza, fowl pox, *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*, and  
120 *Salmonella* Enteritidis.

121

122           Samples were collected between October and December 2013. At the time of sample  
123 collection, chickens in flocks on participating farms were healthy and none had clinical signs  
124 associated with HSS. A flock consisted of all chickens housed in one building. All flocks on



125 each farm were sampled ( $n = 5$  to 11, average  $n = 8$ ). Production data available for each flock  
126 included average hen-day egg production (%), weekly mortality (%), and egg weight.

127

### 128 *Sample collection*

129 A total of 310 serum samples were collected from 62 flocks divided among seven egg  
130 farms. From each flock, blood samples were taken from each of five chickens arbitrarily  
131 selected from different locations within a house. Blood samples were acquired by  
132 venipuncture of the brachial vein in 5 ml serum tubes ( $n = 25$  to 55/farm; average  $n = 42$ ). In  
133 addition, one pooled fecal sample was obtained from each house by collecting and pooling 2  
134 g of fresh droppings from manure pits at five arbitrarily selected sites.

135

136 Blood and fecal samples were collected on the same day from all flocks on a farm,  
137 labeled with the house number, placed in insulated boxes with ice packs (4 °C) and shipped  
138 on the day of collection to the Iowa State University Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (ISU-  
139 VDL), Ames, Iowa. Upon arrival, blood was centrifuged at 1500  $\times g$  for 10 min to harvest  
140 serum and aliquotted into 4 mL plastic tubes. Fecal samples were homogenized and an  
141 aliquot of 0.4 g was resuspended in 4 ml PBS, vigorously vortexed, and centrifuged at 1500  
142  $\times g$  for 10 min. All samples were stored at  $-80$  °C until testing. Shipping and storage  
143 conditions were similar for all samples.

144

### 145 *Fluorescent microbead immunoassay (FMIA) development*

#### 146 Experimental serum samples

147 Ninety-six serum samples from 36 specific-pathogen-free chickens from a previous avian  
148 HEV study (Billam et al., 2009) were used as positive and negative controls to develop the  
149 FMIA. Briefly, 24 6-week-old chickens were intravenously inoculated with avian HEV

150 genotype 2. Blood samples were collected before inoculation and weekly thereafter for a total  
151 of four weeks. Twelve chickens were sham-inoculated and served as negative controls.  
152 Seroconversion started at day post-inoculation (dpi) 14 and at dpi 28 all inoculated chickens  
153 had seroconverted to avian HEV as previously determined by an in-house ELISA (Billam et  
154 al., 2009). A total of 36 negative control samples (all samples collected on dpi 0) and 60  
155 samples (dpi 7–28) from chickens infected experimentally were tested. Specifically, negative  
156 control samples were used for estimating diagnostic specificity and 24 serum samples from  
157 experimentally infected chickens collected on dpi 21 ( $n = 12$ ) or 28 ( $n = 12$ ) were used for  
158 estimating diagnostic sensitivity of the FMIA.

159

#### 160 Antigen and conjugation to carboxylated paramagnetic microbeads

161 A truncated recombinant ORF2 capsid protein of avian HEV expressed in *Escherichia*  
162 *coli* and purified by affinity chromatography as described previously (Haqshenas et al., 2002)  
163 was used as antigen for the FMIA. Fluorescent microsphere coupling was performed using a  
164 method described previously (Gimenez-Lirola et al., 2012) by addition of 25  $\mu\text{g}$  of the avian  
165 HEV recombinant ORF2 capsid protein to  $2.5 \times 10^6$  carboxylated-fluorescent microbeads  
166 (bead region 64, Luminex Corp., Austin, TX, USA).

167

#### 168 FMIA

169 The assay was performed as described previously (Gimenez-Lirola et al., 2012) with  
170 exception that a 1:2000 dilution of a biotin-conjugated goat anti-chicken IgY Fc (Gallus  
171 Immunotech) was used. Samples were analyzed using a Luminex-100 flow cytometer  
172 (Luminex) at default settings set by the manufacturer. Median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of  
173 the reporter signal estimated from 50 beads was used for the data analysis. A set of internal  
174 standard controls described as high positive control, low negative control and cut off control,

175 were selected after initial analysis and subsequently included on each plate. Additionally, a  
176 blank control (serum diluent) was included on each plate. The MFI data was corrected for  
177 background levels by subtracting the blank control MFI from the sample MFI (MFI-  
178 Bkg). Results were presented as antibody index (MFI-Bkg sample/MFI-Bkg cut off serum).  
179 Samples with an index value below 0.9 were considered negative and those above 1.1 were  
180 considered positive. Values from 0.9 to 1.1 were considered inconclusive.

181

#### 182 Cut-off value determination

183 Sensitivity and specificity were evaluated using receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve  
184 analysis. The optimal cut-off points determined to be a sample MFI value ranging between  
185 1008 and 1297 giving an overall diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of 100%. The  
186 cumulative area under the ROC curve (AUC) indicated that the aHEV ORF2-based FMIA  
187 was 100% accurate. The analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism v. 6.01 (GraphPad  
188 Software).

189

#### 190 Assay reproducibility

191 The reproducibility of the FMIA was evaluated by utilizing six different chicken sera. The  
192 coefficient of variation (CV) was used to evaluate the intra- and inter-assay variation. The  
193 inter-assay CV determined by each sample tested in three different runs on different  
194 occasions ranged from 0.57% to 7.83%; while the intra-assay CV determined by three  
195 replicates tested within the same run ranged from 0.74% to 7.99%, indicating that the results  
196 were reproducible.

197

#### 198 *Comparison of FMIA and ELISA*

199 In order to evaluate the FMIA, a subset of the experimental ( $n = 48$ ) and the field ( $n$   
200  $= 56$ ) serum samples were tested for the presence of specific anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies  
201 by an in-house ELISA described elsewhere (Billam et al., 2009) using the same antigen used  
202 in this study. For comparison, the results were presented as an antibody index as specified for  
203 the FMIA test (sample OD or MFI-Bkg/cut off serum mean OD or MFI-Bkg).

204

#### 205 *RNA extraction and avian HEV RNA detection*

206 RNA extractions from the fecal samples were performed using the QIAamp Viral  
207 RNA Mini kit (Qiagen). Extracts were subsequently used for detection of the partial helicase  
208 and capsid genes of avian HEV as described previously (Sun et al., 2004) in nested RT-PCR  
209 reactions. Briefly, for the helicase gene detection, external primer set 5'-  
210 TGTTATYACACCCACCAARACGYTG-3', and 5'-CCTCRTGGACCGTWATCGACCC-  
211 3'; and internal primer set 5'-GCCACGGCTRTTACACCYCAYGT-3', and 5'-  
212 GACCCRGGRTTCGACTGCTT-3' were used. For the capsid gene, external primer set 5'-  
213 TCGCCYGGTAAYACWAATGC-3', and 5'-GCGTTSCCSACAGGYCGGCC-3'; and  
214 internal primer set 5'- ACWAATGCYAGGGTCACCCG -3', and 5'-  
215 ATGTACTGRCCRCTSGCCGC -3' were used. PCR products were examined on a 1%  
216 agarose gel and amplicons with the expected size (386 bp for the helicase gene and 242 bp  
217 for the capsid gene) were excised and purified with the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit  
218 (Qiagen).

219

#### 220 *Sequencing and phylogenetic analyses*

221 Sequencing of at least two avian HEV capsid and two helicase RT-PCR positive  
222 samples from each farm was performed directly on both strands at the Iowa State University  
223 DNA Facility, Ames, Iowa, USA (Applied Biosystems 3730xl DNA Analyzer). Sequences

224 were aligned with published data using BLAST at the national Centre for Biotechnology  
225 Information (NCBI)<sup>1</sup>. Sequences were compiled using Lasergene software and the Clustal V  
226 alignment algorithm (DNASar). Identical nucleotide sequences were represented as one  
227 sequence and used in phylogenetic analysis. For sequence analysis, the 361 bp sequences of  
228 the helicase gene and 232 bp of the capsid gene were compared to each other and to  
229 sequences of other avian HEV isolates representing genotypes 1 (GenBank accession  
230 numbers AM943647 and JN597006), 2 (GenBank accession numbers AY535004, EF206691,  
231 and EU919187), 3 (GenBank accession numbers AM943646 and GU954430), and 4  
232 (GenBank accession numbers JN997392 and KF511797). Sequences reported herein were  
233 deposited in the GenBank database under the accession numbers KJ495790 to KJ495804 and  
234 KJ914879 to KJ914889.

235

### 236 *Statistical analysis*

237 Summary statistics including normality were calculated for all the farms. For each  
238 flock actual egg production, mortality and egg weights were compared to the breed standards.  
239 Breed standard values were defined and provided by the genetic companies for each week of  
240 the egg chicken production cycle for the specific genetic line utilized and were valid for the  
241 year in which samples were collected. Breed standards are publically shared by all genetic  
242 companies and are applicable for any commercial farm located in the U.S. Statistical analysis  
243 of the data among farms was performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).  
244 Pearson's correlation test was used to correlate presence of avian HEV RNA in feces with  
245 egg production, egg weight, and hen mortality. A kappa index ( $\kappa$ ) was performed to  
246 determine the agreement of positive and negative results between ELISA and FMIA. The  
247 strength of agreement was scored as follows:  $\leq 0$ , poor; 0.01 to 0.2, slight; 0.21 to 0.4, fair;

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>

248 0.41 to 0.60, moderate; 0.61 to 0.80, substantial; and 0.81 to 1, almost perfect.  $P < 0.05$  was  
249 set as the statistically significant level. The statistical analyses were performed using the SAS  
250 v.9.2 (SAS Institute).

251

## 252 **Results**

### 253 *Evaluation and validation of the FMIA*

254 The earliest detection of avian HEV-specific IgY antibodies was detected at dpi 14 in  
255 29.2% (7/24) avian HEV infection. On dpi 21 and onwards all 24 chickens were positive for  
256 anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies.

257

258 To further evaluate the avian HEV FMIA, the same antigen used in the FMIA was  
259 coated on 96-wells ELISA plates. Internal FMIA controls, 48 experimental serum samples,  
260 and 56 field serum samples were tested by the ELISA. There was a substantial agreement  
261 between ELISA and FMIA when using the ORF2 recombinant capsid antigen ( $\kappa = 0.63$ ).  
262 Although there was no difference for the overall detection rates between assays (43.4%  
263 [53/122] FMIA vs. 36.0% [44/122] ELISA;  $P = 0.052$ ), when considering only the  
264 experimental samples subset, FMIA showed a higher detection rate at 14 dpi (7/12 vs. 1/12,  $P$   
265 = 0.04) (Table 1).

266

### 267 *Production data*

268 Egg production, mortality and egg weights were compared to breed standards.  
269 Average egg production and average mortality data for participating flocks on each of the  
270 seven investigated farms are shown in Fig. 1. A summary of median, maximum and  
271 minimum mortality, egg production and egg weights for each farm are shown in Fig. 2.

272

273 Overall performance of all farms at the time of sample collection was satisfactory and  
274 mortality rates were mostly within an acceptable range when compared to breed standards  
275 (average  $\pm$  SD,  $0.13 \pm 0.06$ ) with exception of Farm B that presented an average 10%  
276 increase in mortality rates ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 2). Maximum reported weekly mortality was  
277 0.27% at 87 weeks of age on Farm B, 0.27% at 81 weeks of age on Farm E, and 0.27% at 109  
278 weeks of age on Farm G (Fig. 1).

279

280 Egg production met or exceeded standard egg production curves in 5 of 7 farms (Figs.  
281 1 and 2). Specifically, egg production on Farms A and B was on average 4 to 7% lower when  
282 compared to the breed standards while Farm C presented on average a 3.5% higher egg  
283 production ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 2). On Farm A, egg production in 92 to 97 week old flocks was  
284 on average 11% less than expected and on Farm B, egg production decrease was on average  
285 18% from 87 to 94 weeks of age (Fig. 1). On Farm D, there was a 12% drop in egg  
286 production in the 89 week old flock; however the overall egg production was on average 5%  
287 higher than the breed standard in the other flocks (Fig. 1). Lack of egg production from hens  
288 on Farm B at 77 weeks of age and on Farm G at 76 weeks of age was due to induction of  
289 molt prior to onset of a second production cycle, a routine procedure used by commercial egg  
290 producers in the Midwestern United States.

291

292 Egg weights were within an acceptable range when compared to breed standards with  
293 exception of a flock in Farm G had a maximum decrease of 10.09% when compared to the  
294 standard (Fig. 2). In all other flocks the maximum egg weight decrease was lower than 5.00%  
295 (average  $\pm$  SD,  $0.97 \pm 2.67$ ) with exception of Farm F that exceed the breed standards (Fig.  
296 2).

297

298 *Detection of anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies*

299         The detection rates of anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies are shown in Fig. 1 and Table 2.  
300 All seven investigated chicken farms had at least one seropositive animal. Considering all  
301 farms, the overall detection rate of anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies was 44.8% (145/310). The  
302 proportion of positive animals ranged from 20% (5/25, Farm F) to 82.0% (41/50, Farm B) per  
303 farm. Considering all the examined animals by rank of age, seropositive animals were  
304 detected at any age, but chickens older than 50 weeks were found to have higher  
305 seropositivity rates compared to 16-26 and 31-45 weeks old chickens ( $P < 0.05$ ).

306

307 *Detection of avian HEV RNA and correlation with production data*

308         The detection rates of avian HEV RNA are shown in Fig. 1 and Table 2. The overall  
309 detection rate of avian HEV RNA in the pooled fecal samples of the seven studied farms was  
310 62.9% (39/62) considering the combined results of both RT-PCR assays utilized (capsid  
311 gene, 32/62; helicase gene, 38/92). The majority of flocks (31/39) were RT-PCR positive for  
312 both capsid and helicase genes. However; on Farm B, the 69 week old flock was only  
313 positive for the capsid gene, and the 91 week old flock was only positive for the helicase  
314 gene. On Farm C, the flocks with layer hens at 16, 23, 23, 43, 94 and 103 weeks of age were  
315 positive only for the helicase gene.

316

317         All tested samples from Farms E ( $n = 7$ ) and F ( $n = 5$ ) were negative for avian HEV  
318 RNA which coincided with the lowest detection of anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies among all  
319 tested farms, 22.9% and 20%, respectively (Table 2). Avian HEV RNA was detected in 40%  
320 (4/10) of the samples on Farm G and in 75-100% of the samples on Farms A, B, C, and D  
321 (Table 2). No correlation was found between avian HEV RNA detection and hen-day egg  
322 production ( $P = 0.09$ ), weekly hen mortality ( $P = 0.37$ ) or egg weight ( $P = 0.15$ ).



323

324 *Sequence and phylogenetic analyses*

325 At least two avian HEV RNA positive samples were selected for sequencing of both a  
326 361 bp region in the helicase gene and a 232 bp region of capsid gene in each farm. The  
327 sequences obtained were compared with the reference strains of each avian HEV genotype.  
328 All sequences clustered within avian HEV genotype 2 previously identified in the USA (Fig.  
329 3).

330

331 Sequence analysis of the helicase gene from Farms A to G presented 82.3- 99.7% of  
332 nucleotide sequence identity. Within a farm, nucleotide sequence identities varied from 85.2-  
333 99.7%. Between sequences described here and the USA prototype avian HEV, the nucleotide  
334 sequence identify was 84.9-88.6% and it was 84.9-97.2% to the avirulent avian HEV-VA  
335 strain. Sequence identities with avian HEV genotype 1, 3 and 4 isolates varied between 76.5-  
336 82.5%.

337

338 Sequence analysis based on the capsid gene revealed similar results. Among farms,  
339 nucleotide sequence identity of avian HEV strains varied between 80.6-97.0%. Within a  
340 farm, nucleotide sequence identities varied from 85.2-97.3%. Between sequences described  
341 here and the USA prototype avian HEV and avirulent avian HEV-VA strains, there was 85.1-  
342 88.9% and 79.7-90.1% nucleotide sequence identity, respectively. Sequence identities with  
343 avian HEV genotype 1, 3 and 4 isolates varied between 73.8-77.9%.

344

345 **Discussion**

346 Serological surveys have shown a worldwide distribution of avian HEV infection,  
347 although clinical cases of HSS seem to occur infrequently (Meng and Shivaprasad, 2013).

348 Often avian HEV is considered as minor causative agent in diagnostic investigations but is  
349 frequently regarded as insignificant when another poultry disease has been diagnosed in the  
350 same chickens. However, drops in egg production and increased mortality have been reported  
351 in clinically healthy chickens infected with avian HEV in the absence of other known  
352 pathogens (Sprygin et al., 2012). To further investigate the patterns of avian HEV infection in  
353 apparently healthy chickens, fecal samples were tested for HEV RNA by RT-PCR and serum  
354 samples were tested for anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies by FMIA. In addition, mortality rates  
355 and egg production, parameters usually affected during HSS outbreaks, and egg weight as  
356 indirect method to evaluate the liver function (Husbands, 1970), were acquired from the  
357 flocks. Egg weight is largely determined by yolk weight (Jaffe, 1964), and yolk is  
358 synthesized in the liver hepatocytes.

359

360 Although Farm B, which presented the highest detection rates of avian HEV, showed  
361 the overall highest increase in mortality and highest decrease in egg production within the  
362 farms sampled, no associations between egg production data, mortality rates and detection  
363 rates of avian HEV RNA were found when using the cumulative data of all farms. Different  
364 management factors and biosecurity measures of each farm, diet, host genetics and presence  
365 of other pathogens could partially explain differences between production performances  
366 among flocks (Gerber et al., 2014). In fact, a prospective study has found that nearly identical  
367 avian HEV nucleotide sequences have been retrieved from broiler breeder flocks over two  
368 years, independent of clinical signs (Troxler et al., 2014). In this same study, viral load did  
369 not seem to have an effect on pathogenicity (Troxler et al., 2014). Recently, a pilot study  
370 using experimentally infected broiler breeder chickens could reproduce more typical  
371 macroscopic and microscopic avian HEV lesions than previous studies with young chickens  
372 (Park et al., 2015). However, production losses commonly associated with avian HEV

373 outbreaks have not been reproduced experimentally to date. Further systemic prospective  
374 studies addressing avian HEV subclinical infection and its impact on production data on a  
375 larger scale are needed.

376

377         Testing serum samples from chickens with unknown avian HEV exposure in this  
378 study indicated that the seropositive rate of anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies was 44.8%  
379 (145/310) in sexually mature chickens at various stages of egg production and that all farms  
380 studied were seropositive for anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies with a detection rate ranging  
381 from 20% to 82% per farm. This finding is in agreement with previous reports from the U.S.  
382 and other countries (Huang et al., 2002; Peralta et al., 2009; Kwon et al., 2012; Zhao et al.,  
383 2013). The present study also suggests that the likelihood of being seropositive increases with  
384 age, as previously described (Huang et al., 2002; Peralta et al., 2009; Troxler et al., 2014).

385

386         Avian HEV RNA was detected in five of the seven farms tested, including in hens  
387 close to the end of the egg production (> 100 weeks of age) and in farms with high numbers  
388 of avian HEV seropositive chickens. This suggests that the humoral response may not offer  
389 complete protection against avian HEV fecal shedding and/or that chickens might be re-  
390 infected at different points of the production cycle with different strains of avian HEV.  
391 Indeed, sequencing of avian HEV partial helicase and capsid genes showed that different  
392 strains of avian HEV existed on the same farm. Similarly, HEV re-infection in seropositive  
393 animals has been reported in adult pigs (de Deus et al., 2008). Possible factors that could  
394 explain the co-circulation of different avian HEV sequences on a farm include co-mingling  
395 animals from different sources, a common food supplier or shared workers between different  
396 farms; however, these factors were not investigated in the present study. Although there is no  
397 currently available information regarding the cross-protection among different avian HEV

398 genotypes, vaccination of pigs with recombinant capsid antigens derived from HEV isolated  
399 from swine, rat, and avian induced a strong IgG anti-HEV antibody response but only a  
400 partial cross-protection to a HEV genotype 3 challenge (Sanford et al., 2012).

401

402         Interestingly, avian HEV RNA was not detected in any of the flocks in Farms E and F  
403 although anti-avian HEV antibodies were detected in most of the flocks on all farms  
404 investigated. The failure in detecting avian HEV genome could be due to a lower amount of  
405 viral shedding into the fecal material of chickens without clinical signs. As pooled samples  
406 were used for the analysis, the dilution effect could have decreased the viral copies below the  
407 detection limit of the assay used. Alternatively as the avian HEV genome shows a high  
408 variability (Sprygin et al., 2012), the primers used for avian HEV RNA detection in the  
409 present study may have not amplified the viral genome due to mismatches in the sequences.  
410 In addition, lack of detection could be due to poor quality of samples and possible  
411 degradation of viral RNA, although samples from all farms were processed and tested  
412 similarly. It is also worth noting that Farms E and F contained the lowest number of flocks  
413 among the farms sampled in the present study which could have decreased the chances of  
414 detecting positive samples if the viral shedding was low. A higher number of fresh fecal  
415 samples from each flock should be tested to address these issues.

416

417         In conclusion, avian HEV infection was detected directly (RNA) or indirectly  
418 (antibodies) in all studied U.S. farms. Different strains of avian HEV genotype 2 were found  
419 in different flocks within a farm. There was no clear association between levels of egg  
420 production or mortality and detection rates of avian HEV RNA.

421

422 **Conflict of interest statement**

423 None of the authors of this paper has a financial or personal relationship with other  
424 people or organizations that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper.

425

## 426 **Acknowledgments**

427 We thank all the producers for kindly sending the samples and production data used  
428 in this study and Dr. Luis Giménez-Lirola for his insights in the FMIA development.

429

## 430 **References**

431

432 Banyai, K., Toth, A.G., Ivanics, E., Glavits, R., Szentpali-Gavaller, K., Dan, A., 2012.  
433 Putative novel genotype of avian hepatitis E virus, Hungary, 2010. *Emerg Infect Dis*  
434 18, 1365-1368.

435 Bilic, I., Jaskulska, B., Basic, A., Morrow, C.J., Hess, M., 2009. Sequence analysis and  
436 comparison of avian hepatitis E viruses from Australia and Europe indicate the  
437 existence of different genotypes. *J Gen Virol* 90, 863-873.

438 Billam, P., LeRoith, T., Pudupakam, R.S., Pierson, F.W., Duncan, R.B., Meng, X.J., 2009.  
439 Comparative pathogenesis in specific-pathogen-free chickens of two strains of avian  
440 hepatitis E virus recovered from a chicken with Hepatitis-Splenomegaly syndrome  
441 and from a clinically healthy chicken. *Vet Microbiol* 139, 253-261.

442 de Deus, N., Casas, M., Peralta, B., Nofrarias, M., Pina, S., Martin, M., Segales, J., 2008.  
443 Hepatitis E virus infection dynamics and organic distribution in naturally infected  
444 pigs in a farrow-to-finish farm. *Vet Microbiol.* 132, 19-28.

445 Gerber, P.F., Trampel, D.W., Opriessnig, T., 2014. Identification and characterization of  
446 avian hepatitis E virus in 2013 outbreaks of hepatitis-splenomegaly syndrome in two  
447 US layer operations. *Avian Pathol.* 43, 357-363.

448 Gimenez-Lirola, L.G., Jiang, Y.H., Sun, D., Hoang, H., Yoon, K.J., Halbur, P.G., Opriessnig,  
449 T., Litwin, V.M., 2014. Simultaneous Detection of Antibodies against Apx Toxins  
450 ApxI, ApxII, ApxIII, and ApxIV in Pigs with Known and Unknown *Actinobacillus*  
451 *pleuropneumoniae* Exposure Using a Multiplexing Liquid Array Platform. *Clin*  
452 *Vaccine Immunol* 21, 85-95.

453 Gimenez-Lirola, L.G., Xiao, C.T., Halbur, P.G., Opriessnig, T., 2012. Development of a  
454 novel fluorescent microbead-based immunoassay and comparison with three enzyme-  
455 linked immunoassays for detection of anti-*Erysipelothrix* spp. IgG antibodies in pigs  
456 with known and unknown exposure. *J Microbiol Methods* 91, 73-79.

457 Haqshenas, G., Huang, F.F., Fenaux, M., Guenette, D.K., Pierson, F.W., Larsen, C.T.,  
458 Shivaprasad, H.L., Toth, T.E., Meng, X.J., 2002. The putative capsid protein of the  
459 newly identified avian hepatitis E virus shares antigenic epitopes with that of swine

- 460 and human hepatitis E viruses and chicken big liver and spleen disease virus. *J Gen*  
461 *Viro* 83, 2201-2209.
- 462 Haqshenas, G., Shivaprasad, H.L., Woolcock, P.R., Read, D.H., Meng, X.J., 2001. Genetic  
463 identification and characterization of a novel virus related to human hepatitis E virus  
464 from chickens with hepatitis-splenomegaly syndrome in the United States. *J Gen*  
465 *Viro* 82, 2449-2462.
- 466 Hsu, I.W., Tsai, H.J., 2014a. Avian hepatitis e virus in chickens, taiwan, 2013. *Emerg Infect*  
467 *Dis* 20, 149-151.
- 468 Hsu, I.W., Tsai, H.J., 2014b. Avian hepatitis e virus in chickens, taiwan, 2013. *Emerg Infect*  
469 *Dis* 20, 149-151.
- 470 Huang, F.F., Haqshenas, G., Shivaprasad, H.L., Guenette, D.K., Woolcock, P.R., Larsen,  
471 C.T., Pierson, F.W., Elvinger, F., Toth, T.E., Meng, X.J., 2002. Heterogeneity and  
472 seroprevalence of a newly identified avian hepatitis e virus from chickens in the  
473 United States. *J Clin. Microbiol.* 40, 4197-4202.
- 474 Husbands, D.R., 1970. The composition of triglycerides from liver, egg yolk and adipose  
475 tissue of the laying hen. *Biochem. J.* 120, 365-371.
- 476 Jaffe, W.P., 1964. The relationships between egg weight and yolk weight. *Brit Poultry Sci* 5,  
477 295-298.
- 478 Johne, R., Dremsek, P., Reetz, J., Heckel, G., Hess, M., Ulrich, R.G., 2014. Hepeviridae: An  
479 expanding family of vertebrate viruses. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 27C, 212-229.
- 480 Kwon, H.M., Sung, H.W., Meng, X.J., 2012. Serological prevalence, genetic identification,  
481 and characterization of the first strains of avian hepatitis E virus from chickens in  
482 Korea. *Virus Genes* 45, 237-245.
- 483 Langenhorst, R.J., Lawson, S., Kittawornrat, A., Zimmerman, J.J., Sun, Z., Li, Y.,  
484 Christopher-Hennings, J., Nelson, E.A., Fang, Y., 2012. Development of a fluorescent  
485 microsphere immunoassay for detection of antibodies against porcine reproductive  
486 and respiratory syndrome virus using oral fluid samples as an alternative to serum-  
487 based assays. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 19, 180-189.
- 488 Liu, B., Zhao, Q., Sun, Y., Wang, X., Zhao, J., Du, T., Wang, C., Xiao, S., Mu, Y., Zhang,  
489 G., Luo, J., Hsu, W.H., Zhou, E.M., 2014. Development of a blocking ELISA for  
490 detection of antibodies against avian hepatitis E virus. *J Virol Methods* 204, 1-5.
- 491 Marek, A., Bilic, I., Prokofieva, I., Hess, M., 2010. Phylogenetic analysis of avian hepatitis E  
492 virus samples from European and Australian chicken flocks supports the existence of  
493 a different genus within the Hepeviridae comprising at least three different genotypes.  
494 *Vet Microbiol* 145, 54-61.
- 495 Meng, X.J., 2013. Zoonotic and foodborne transmission of hepatitis E virus. *Semin. Liver*  
496 *Dis* 33, 41-49.
- 497 Meng, X.J., Anderson, D., Arankalle, V.A., Emerson, S.U., Harrison, T.J., Jameel, S.,  
498 Okamoto, H., 2012. Hepeviridae. In: King, A.M.Q., Adams, M.J., Carstens, E.B.,

- 499 Lefkowitz, E.J. (Eds.), *Virus taxonomy: ninth report of the International Committee*  
500 *on Taxonomy of Viruses*. Academic Press, London, United Kingdom, pp. 1021-1028.
- 501 Meng, X.J., Shivaprasad, H.L., 2013. Avian Hepatitis E virus infections. In: Swayne, D.E.,  
502 Glisson, J.R., McDougald, L.R., Nolan, L.K., Suarez, D.L., Nair, V.L. (Eds.),  
503 *Diseases of Poultry*. John Wiley & Sons, Ames, pp. 494-512.
- 504 Morrow, C.J., Samu, G., Matrai, E., Klausz, A., Wood, A.M., Richter, S., Jaskulska, B.,  
505 Hess, M., 2008. Avian hepatitis E virus infection and possible associated clinical  
506 disease in broiler breeder flocks in Hungary. *Avian Pathol* 37, 527-535.
- 507 Park, S.J., Lee, B.W., Moon, H.W., Sung, H.W., Yoon, B.I., Meng, X.J., Kwon, H.M., 2015.  
508 Construction of an infectious cDNA clone of genotype 1 avian hepatitis E virus:  
509 characterization of its pathogenicity in broiler breeders and demonstration of its utility  
510 in studying the role of the hypervariable region in virus replication. *J Gen Virol* 96,  
511 1015-1026.
- 512 Payne, C.J., Ellis, T.M., Plant, S.L., Gregory, A.R., Wilcox, G.E., 1999. Sequence data  
513 suggests big liver and spleen disease virus (BLSV) is genetically related to hepatitis E  
514 virus. *Vet Microbiol* 68, 119-125.
- 515 Peralta, B., Biarnes, M., Ordonez, G., Porta, R., Martin, M., Mateu, E., Pina, S., Meng, X.J.,  
516 2009. Evidence of widespread infection of avian hepatitis E virus (avian HEV) in  
517 chickens from Spain. *Vet Microbiol.* 137, 31-36.
- 518 Sanford, B.J., Opriessnig, T., Kenney, S.P., Dryman, B.A., Cordoba, L., Meng, X.J., 2012.  
519 Assessment of the cross-protective capability of recombinant capsid proteins derived  
520 from pig, rat, and avian hepatitis E viruses (HEV) against challenge with a genotype 3  
521 HEV in pigs. *Vaccine* 30, 6249-6255.
- 522 Smith, D.B., Simmonds, P., Jameel, S., Emerson, S.U., Harrison, T.J., Meng, X.J., Okamoto,  
523 H., van der Poel, W.H., Purdy, M.A., 2014. Consensus proposals for classification of  
524 the family Hepeviridae. *J Gen Virol* 95, 2223-2232.
- 525 Sprygin, A.V., Nikonova, Z.B., Zinyakov, N.G., 2012. Avian hepatitis E virus identified in  
526 Russian chicken flocks exhibits high genetic divergence based on the ORF2 capsid  
527 gene. *Avian Pathol* 41, 459-463.
- 528 Sun, Z.F., Larsen, C.T., Dunlop, A., Huang, F.F., Pierson, F.W., Toth, T.E., Meng, X.J.,  
529 2004. Genetic identification of avian hepatitis E virus (HEV) from healthy chicken  
530 flocks and characterization of the capsid gene of 14 avian HEV isolates from chickens  
531 with hepatitis-splenomegaly syndrome in different geographical regions of the United  
532 States. *J Gen Virol* 85, 693-700.
- 533 Troxler, S., Marek, A., Prokofieva, I., Bilic, I., Hess, M., 2011. TaqMan real-time reverse  
534 transcription-PCR assay for universal detection and quantification of avian hepatitis E  
535 virus from clinical samples in the presence of a heterologous internal control RNA. *J*  
536 *Clin Microbiol* 49, 1339-1346.
- 537 Troxler, S., Pac, K., Prokofieva, I., Liebhart, D., Chodakowska, B., Furmanek, D., Hess, M.,  
538 2014. Subclinical circulation of avian hepatitis E virus within a multiple age rearing

- 539 and broiler breeder farm indicates persistence and vertical transmission of the virus.  
540 Avian Pathol., 1-26.
- 541 van Gageldonk, P.G., van Schaijk, F.G., van der Klis, F.R., Berbers, G.A., 2008.  
542 Development and validation of a multiplex immunoassay for the simultaneous  
543 determination of serum antibodies to Bordetella pertussis, diphtheria and tetanus. J  
544 Immunol Methods 335, 79-89.
- 545 Wagner, B., Freer, H., Rollins, A., Erb, H.N., 2011. A fluorescent bead-based multiplex assay  
546 for the simultaneous detection of antibodies to B. burgdorferi outer surface proteins in  
547 canine serum. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 140, 190-198.
- 548 Zhao, Q., Sun, Y., Zhao, J., Hu, S., Zhao, F., Chen, F., Clavijo, A., Zhou, E.M., Xiao, Y.,  
549 2013. Development and application of an indirect ELISA for detection of antibodies  
550 against avian hepatitis E virus. J Virol Methods 187, 32-36.  
551  
552



553 **Figure legends**

554

555 Fig. 1. Actual and standard egg production (%), actual and standard weekly mortality (%),  
556 anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies detection rate by FMIA (%) (grey bars) and avian HEV RNA  
557 detection by nested RT-PCR (red boxes indicate the age group that was found positive for  
558 HEV RNA) for flocks on Farm A ( $n=11$  flocks), Farm B ( $n=10$  flocks), Farm C ( $n= 11$   
559 flocks), Farm D ( $n= 8$  flocks), Farm E ( $n= 7$  flocks), Farm F ( $n= 5$  flocks) and Farm G ( $n= 10$   
560 flocks).

561

562 Fig. 2. Difference (%) of actual mortality (A), egg production (B), and egg weights (C) in  
563 Farms A through G when compared to the breed standards. The box-and-whiskers plots show  
564 cumulative results of all flocks within a farm. Different superscripts (a,b) indicate significant  
565 ( $P < 0.05$ ) differences between farms for the measured parameter.

566

567 Fig. 3. Phylogenetic tree based on 361 bp of the helicase gene (A) and 232 bp of the capsid  
568 gene (B) of the avian HEV isolates. Sequences that were obtained in this study are indicated  
569 in a black box. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by the NJ method implemented in the  
570 Lasergene software (DNASTAR). The nucleotide substitution per 100 residues is given;  
571 bootstrap values are indicated for the major nodes. Genotype classification represented as  
572 proposed by Marek et al. (2010) and Hsu and Tsai (2014).

573

574 **Table 1**

575 Comparison of anti-avian HEV antibodies detection rates with ELISA and FMIA on samples  
 576 obtained from 12 experimentally infected chickens on different days post infection. Data  
 577 presented as number of positive chickens/total number of chickens tested (mean group  
 578 antibody index  $\pm$  standard deviation).

Assay	0	7	14	21
FMIA	0/12 (0.04 $\pm$ 0.07)	0/12 (0.03 $\pm$ 0.02)	7/12 (2.62 $\pm$ 3.08) <sup>A*</sup>	12/12 (6.87 $\pm$ 3.17)
ELISA	0/12 (0.20 $\pm$ 0.04)	0/12 (0.23 $\pm$ 0.08)	1/12 (0.41 $\pm$ 0.32) <sup>B</sup>	9/12 (1.89 $\pm$ 0.93)

579 \* Different superscripts (<sup>A,B</sup>) within a column indicate significant differences in detection ( $P <$   
 580 0.05) within groups.

581

582

583

584 **Table 2**

585 Detection rates of anti-avian HEV IgY antibodies in serum samples tested by FMIA and  
 586 avian HEV RNA in fecal samples tested by RT-PCR from Farms A through G. Data  
 587 presented as number of positive samples/total number of samples tested (prevalence).

	Anti-avian HEV IgY	Avian HEV RNA
Farm	antibody (%)	(%)
A	29/55 (52.7) <sup>B*</sup>	10/11 (90.9) <sup>B</sup>
B	41/50 (82.0) <sup>C</sup>	10/10 (100) <sup>B</sup>
C	18/55 (32.7) <sup>AB</sup>	9/11 (81.8) <sup>B</sup>
D	24/40 (60.0) <sup>BC</sup>	6/8 (75.0) <sup>B</sup>
E	8/35 (22.9) <sup>A</sup>	0/7 <sup>A</sup>
F	5/25 (20.0) <sup>A</sup>	0/5 <sup>A</sup>
G	36/50 (72.0) <sup>BC</sup>	4/10 (40.0) <sup>B</sup>

588 \*Different superscripts (<sup>A,B,C</sup>) within a column indicate significant differences in detection  
 589 rates ( $P < 0.05$ ) among groups.

590  
 591

Accepted Manuscript